



Inter-organismal signaling and management of the phytomicrobiome

Donald L. Smith*, Dana Praslickova and Gayathri Ilangumaran

Plant Science Department, McGill University/Macdonald Campus, Sainte Anne de Bellevue, QC, Canada

The organisms of the phytomicrobiome use signal compounds to regulate aspects of each other's behavior. Legumes use signals (flavonoids) to regulate rhizobial nod gene expression during establishment of the legume-rhizobia N₂-fixation symbiosis. Lipochitooligosaccharides (LCOs) produced by rhizobia act as return signals to the host plant and are recognized by specific lysine motif receptor like kinases, which triggers a signal cascade leading to nodulation of legume roots. LCOs also enhance plant growth, particularly when plants are stressed. Chitooligosaccharides activate plant immune responses, providing enhanced resistance against diseases. Co-inoculation of rhizobia with other plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) can improve nodulation and crop growth. PGPR also alleviate plant stress by secreting signal compounds including phytohormones and antibiotics. Thuricin 17, a small bacteriocin produced by a phytomicrobiome member promotes plant growth. Lumichrome synthesized by soil rhizobacteria function as stress-sensing cues. Inter-organismal signaling can be used to manage/engineer the phytomicrobiome to enhance crop productivity, particularly in the face of stress. Stressful conditions are likely to become more frequent and more severe because of climate change.

Keywords: inter-organismal signals, phytomicrobiome, plant agriculture, crop productivity, plant stress

Background

The perspectives provided in this theme volume illustrate that members of the phytomicrobiome utilize inter-organismal signal compounds to affect the behavior of the plants they associate with, and signal compounds from the plants regulate the behavior of the phytomicrobiome. Presumably, one organism alters the behavior of another for its own benefit, but often to the benefit of the other organism as well, leading to mutualistic symbiosis. An example of this is improved stress tolerance in a plant by a signal compound from an associated microbe, where the resulting enhanced plant growth means expanded niche space and more reduced carbon for the specific phytomicrobiome member.

Signaling in the Legume-rhizobia Symbiosis

Plants must allow beneficial microorganisms to colonize near them or in their tissues in order to establish mutualistic relationships. This kind of close association (for example, the legume-rhizobia symbiosis, where rhizobia reside inside the legume roots) necessitates a filtering system in the plants, disallowing unsuitable microorganisms, perhaps pathogens that could harm their tissues. On the other side, a microbe entering a disadvantageous plant would risk being recognized

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Patrick Schweizer, Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK), Germany

Reviewed by:

Yusuke Saijo, Max Planck Institute for Plant Breeding Research, Germany Adam Schikora, Justus Liebig University Giessen, Germany

*Correspondence:

Donald L. Smith, Plant Science Department, McGill University/Macdonald Campus, 21,111 Lakeshore Road, Sainte Anne de Bellevue, QC H9X 3V9, Canada donald.smith@mcgill.ca

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Biotic Interactions, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 30 April 2015 Accepted: 28 August 2015 Published: 14 September 2015

Citation:

Smith DL, Praslickova D and llangumaran G (2015) Inter-organismal signaling and management of the phytomicrobiome. Front. Plant Sci. 6:722. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00722

1

as unacceptable and killed. Signal/recognition compounds facilitate communication between mutually beneficial organisms and ensure continuum of their relationship until senescence. Flavonoids (examples: luteolin, 7,4' dihydroxyflavone, quercetin, kaempferol, myricetin, genistin, etc.,) in the rhizosphere are constituents of root exudates and well studied for their function as legume-to-rhizobia signal compounds (Nelson and Sadowsky, 2015). Their structural diversity and substitutions in the carbon skeleton determines their characteristic function (Weston and Mathesius, 2013). The release of specific flavonoids (or mixtures) from a legume host is only recognized by certain rhizobial species, which partially determines the host-symbiont specificity. The flavonoids diffuse through the rhizobial membrane and bind to NodD proteins in rhizobia, which then activate transcription of Nod genes involved in synthesis of nodulation factors (NF; Hassan and Mathesius, 2012). Altered flavonoid profiles at different symbiosis stages regulate Nod factor synthesis (Dakora et al., 1993). Flavonoids also cause auxin accumulation in root tissues that initiates nodule formation and differentiation (Hassan and Mathesius, 2012). Flavonoids regulate development of nodules and phytoalexin resistance in rhizobia (Cooper, 2004). Thus, these signal compounds regulate the behavior of appropriate partner organisms down to the gene expression level.

A range of very diverse non-flavonoid compounds present in the root exudates also induces *Nod* genes in some rhizobia (Mabood et al., 2014): betaines (stachydrine and trigonelline; Cooper, 2007), aldonic acids (erythronic and tetronic acids), and jasmonates (jasmonate and methyl jasmonate; Mabood et al., 2006). The jasmonates have been commercialized and products are now available (http://agproducts.basf.us/products/vault-hpplus-integral-for-soybeans-inoculant.html).

Activated rhizobial Nod-genes secrete signals (Nod factors) back to the plant: lipochitooligosaccharides (LCOs) and exopolysaccharides (EPS). LCOs are conserved at the core but are diverse due to degree of saturation and the substitutions (glycosylation or sulfation) in the N-Acetyl chain at both reducing ends and vary widely between different rhizobial species, which are essential for host plant specificity (Oldroyd, 2013). Genes at the loci of Nod factors perception encode receptor like kinases with N-Acetyl glucosamine binding lysine motifs (LsyM RLK), which include Nod factor receptors (NFR1), NFR5, LysM receptor kinase 3 (LYK3), Nod factor perception (NFP). NFR/NFP binds to NF and are essential in determining NF specificity of rhizobial symbionts and activation of nodulation signaling (Oldroyd, 2013). Signaling from the receptor complex generates calcium oscillations in the nucleus of cortical cells, which activate a localized protein, calcium and calmodulin (CaM) dependent serine/threonine protein kinase (CCamK), and phosphorylates CYCLOPS, which is required for rhizobial colonization and nodule development (Oldroyd, 2013). The rhizobial specific gene expression is regulated by the Nodulation signaling pathway (NSP1 and NSP2) and encodes GRAS domain transcription factors involved in nodulation specific functions. They are associated with promoters of Nodulation inception genes (NIN) and early nodulation genes (ENOD) and ensure that nodulation is stimulated under appropriate circumstances (Kalo et al., 2005; Smit et al., 2005).

In some rhizobia-legume systems (for example, *Bradyrhizobium*, and *Glycine soja*) application of correct Nod factors (LCOs isolated from *B. elkanii*) trigger formation of complete and anatomically precise, albeit, empty nodules (Stokkermans and Peters, 1994). It is impressive that the external application of a signal compound can lead to complete organogenesis.

Although many parallels are observed in the signaling mechanisms, plants exhibit subtle regulatory pathways to establish mutualistic associations and protect from pathogenesis (Toth and Stacey, 2015). During rhizobial infection, legume defense responses are elicited in the early stages but suppressed soon after (Libault et al., 2010). Increased activation of mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) and production of reactive oxygen species were observed in legumes when inoculated with rhizobia (Jamet et al., 2007; Lopez-Gomez et al., 2012). Chitooligosaccharides, chitosan, lipopolysaccharides, and peptidoglycan associated with fungal and bacterial pathogens are recognized as microbeassociated molecular patterns (MAMPs) by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) in the plant cell membrane (Dangl and Jones, 2001; Zipfel, 2014). Recognition of MAMPs is crucial for the activation of MAMP triggered immunity (MTI) in plants, which triggers expression of defense related genes, leading to structural hardening (callose formation) of plant tissues, accumulation of phytoalexins and antimicrobial peptides (Ahuja et al., 2012). NF remain active even after nodulation, suggesting a role in suppression of MTI (Liang et al., 2013). Exopolysaccharide of rhizobia (example succinoglycan from Sinorhizobium meliloti) is known to supress plant immunity (Aslam et al., 2008). LCO recognition has been evolved from a pathogenic role to symbiosis. Even though LCOs are structurally similar to chitin oligomers (MAMPs) and their recognition is mediated by LysM RLK, modifications in amino acid sequences of LysM RLK which confer specificity to recognition of LCO or chitin oligosaccharides (Nakagawa et al., 2011). For example, chimeric proteins in the ectodomain of chitin elicitor receptor kinase (CERK1) for chitin perception are replaced with ectodomain of NFR involved in NF recognition (Zhang et al., 2007).

Effector proteins secreted by pathogens trigger effector mediated immunity (ETI) in plants due to activation of resistance (R) genes encoding nucleotide-binding site—leucine rich repeat proteins (Jones and Dangl, 2006). Leucine rich repeats receptor like kinases (LRR-RLK) are involved in NF perception and nodule formation (Endre et al., 2002). Effectors are transported and injected into the host cytoplasm through type III (T3SS) and type IV (T4SS) secretion systems. Effector proteins of rhizobia (NopM of S. fredii NGR234, NopL from S. fredii USDA247) have been shown to facilitate colonization of rhizobia in roots, prevent MAPK signaling, supress the plant immune system, affect formation of nitrogen-fixing nodules, timing of nodule establishment and final number of nodules formed (Zhang et al., 2011). Interestingly, rhizobial NF, T3SS and T4SS depend on a common regulator activated by legume secreted flavonoids (Gourion et al., 2015).

Bacteroid differentiation inside the nodule is regulated by antimicrobial peptides (nodule cysteine rich peptides), which functions similar to plant defensins (de Velde et al., 2010). The bacteroids are separated from the host by a symbiosome membrane and immune activity is modulated inside the nodules and the expression of defense related genes is relatively low (Limpens et al., 2013). The plant controls the duration of symbiosis and regulates the senescence of nodules and the suppression of plant immunity reverses during nodule senescence (Puppo et al., 2005). The number of nodules is controlled by the legumes through a process called autoregulation of nodules (AON; Mortier et al., 2012). Shoot derived signals involve production of cytokinins and downstream signaling to the roots regulates AON (Sasaki et al., 2014).

Rhizobia signaling and associations can be affected by other members of the phytomicrobiome, this is because they function together as a consortia exerting synergism, playing a vital role in plant growth, nutrient uptake, alleviation of abiotic stress, and protecting from disease. The more frequently studied coinoculation partners of rhizobia are Bacillus species. Inoculation of Rhizobium with Bacillus strains improved root structure and nodule formation in bean, pigeon pea and soybean (Halverson and Handelsman, 1991; Petersen et al., 1996; Srinivasan et al., 1997; Rajendran et al., 2008). Inoculation of pea with Bacillus simplex 30N-5 and Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. viciae 128C53 increased root nodulation and plant growth (Schwartz et al., 2013). When pea plants carrying DR5::GUS promoter are coinoculated with B. simplex 30N-5 and R. leguminosarum bv. viciae expression of GUS was higher in nodule meristems and young vascular bundles of developing nodules (Schwartz et al., 2013). Azospirillum brasilense co-inoculated with R. tropici on bean relieved negative effects of salt stress on nod genes transcription (Dardanelli et al., 2008). Co-inoculation of rhizobia and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) promoted growth of soybean under low phosphorous and nitrogen conditions, indicated by increase in shoot dry weight (Wang et al., 2011).

The legume-rhizobia symbiotic relationship tends to be less specific in tropical agriculture, involving much wider sets of rhizobial partners, while it is often quite specific in the temperate zones (Dakora, 2000). A wider range of rhizobia forming relationships with any given legume, and the more diverse signaling involved, may alter the effect of environmental conditions on the nitrogen-fixing symbiosis for that particular legume species. Exploitation of the rhizobia-legume symbiosis has occurred for over a century yet, there is considerable scope for improved understanding of this complex relationship in tropical zones.

Other Phytomicrobiome Signaling Systems

While the legume-*Rhizobium* symbiosis is well understood of signaling interactions, given its significance of biological nitrogen fixation, extensive research in other phytomicrobiome signaling systems has been conducted. Mycorrhizal symbiosis uses a signaling system similar to that of the legume-rhizobia symbiosis (Harrison, 2005; Oldroyd, 2013) and it plays a critical role in solubilisation of minerals and plant protection. In this association plants emit strigolactones, triggering production of Myc factors including LCOs by the fungus and stimulate hyphal branching (Bonfante and Requena, 2011). AMF have a broad host range and hence they produce diverse array of LCOs for recognition by

the host plants. LysM RLK are also associated with mycorrhizal colonization (Young et al., 2011). It would be interesting to study the mechanisms employed by the plants to differentially recognize mycorrhizal and rhizobial LCOs.

Nitrogen fixing symbiotic association occurs between *Frankia* and actinorhizal plants. *Frankia* sp. colonizes roots of actinorhizal plants and induces root hair curling and nodule formation similar to those observed in legumes suggesting common symbiotic mechanisms but with important structural differences, particularly the signaling compounds produced by *Frankia* differ from rhizobia (Pawlowski and Bisseling, 1996; Gherbi et al., 2008).

Many plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR; example, *Bacillus, Pseudomonas, Serratia, Azospirillum, Acetobacter*, etc.,) secrete phytohormones, such as cytokinins, gibberellins, auxin, and ACC deaminase and influence plant growth and functions (Vessey, 2003). They are also capable of alleviating drought stress by promoting root growth and hampering stomatal conductance (Vessey, 2003; Gray and Smith, 2005). The phytomicrobiome also improves the uptake of nutrients by forming siderophores or solubilizing phosphates and other minerals (Vessey, 2003). Phytomicrobiome members synthesize and excrete a range of inter-organismal signal compounds that defend their host plant against pathogens and abiotic stresses: broad-spectrum antibiotics, lytic enzymes, organic acids and other metabolites, proteinaceous exotoxins and antimicrobial peptides (bacteriocins).

Several products of PGPR have been commercialized as biofertilizers and biocontrol agents owing to their diverse modes of action. There is considerable scope for application of phytomicrobiome signals in agriculture. For instance, Bacillus thuringiensis NEB17 produces the bacteriocin thuricin 17. Intriguingly, this peptide is also a bacteria-to-plant signal that stimulates the growth of many plants (Lee et al., 2009). Thuricin 17 $(10^{-9} \text{ to } 10^{-11} \text{ M})$ changes the hormone levels of Arabidopsis and soybean (increased IAA and SA) and causes profound alterations in the proteome (major increases in energy related proteins; Subramanian, 2014). Thuricin 17 almost completely overcomes the negative plant growth effects of salt stress (250 mM NaCl). For the producer bacterium B. thuringiensis NEB 17, thuricin 17 is a dual function peptide, acting both as a bacteriocin that reduces competition from closely related bacteria, and to enlarge the available niche space by promoting plant growth. Bacillus subtilis OKB105 contains genes (yecA, speB, ACO1) involved in synthesis of spermidine, a plant growth stimulating polyamine (Xie et al., 2014).

Bacterially produced lumichrome (breakdown product of riboflavin) accelerates leaf production, onset of stem elongation, and leaf area development (at a concentration of 5×10^{-9} M), leading to greater production of biomass in many plants (maize, sorghum, tomato, lotus), related to enhanced starch and ethylene metabolism. Adversely, 10-fold greater concentrations can retard plant growth and development (Matiru and Dakora, 2005; Gouws et al., 2012). Similar effects were observed in legumes (soybean, cowpea) in response to the signal compounds (lipopolysaccharides and lumichrome), suggesting their role in the nitrogen-fixing symbiosis. Lumichrome promotes nodulation and mycorrhizal establishment in legumes (Dakora and Phillips, 2002). Lumichrome also helps plants deal with drought and salinity stress (Kanu and Dakora, 2009).

Quorum sensing signals including those of beneficial bacterial such as rhizobia (Zarkani et al., 2013) can elicit immune responses (Schenk et al., 2012; Hartmann et al., 2014), and change hormone profiles in plants, inducing those regulating growth responses and disease resistance (Hartmann and Schikora, 2012). Quorum sensing regulates mobility, virulence and biofilm formation in bacteria. Biofilm formation (bacteria embedded in a thick matrix of EPS, proteins and water) enables bacteria to adhere to host tissues. Biofilm improves plant growth, root proliferation (Azospirillum in wheat) and function in as biocontrol (B. subtilis, Farrar et al., 2014). In the case of N-acyl-homoserine lactones (AHL), the length of the lipid side chain dictates characteristics of the signal compound's activity (Schikora et al., 2011). Quorum sensing in the phytomicrobiome will be the subject of an upcoming Frontiers in Plant Science theme volume (Plant responses to bacterial quorum sensing signal molecules, topic editors Schikora A and Hartmann A).

Engineering the Phytomicrobiome

Given our intense reliance on higher plants for food and other resources, our expanding understanding of the phytomicrobiome associated with these plants, advances in genetic engineering and synthetic biology, it seems reasonable to consider "engineering" the phytomicrobiome to improve crop productivity, including enhancement of photosynthesis and growth, nutrient assimilation, disease and insect resistance and improved ability to resist increases in abiotic stresses likely to be associated with environmental disturbances, or even mitigating the impact of climate change through CO₂ sequestration. The host plant with its phytomicrobiome constitutes a holobiont (Hartmann et al., 2014), a collective community with broader genomic, proteomic, metabolomics and physiologic capacity, making it better able to adjust to environmental (biotic and abiotic) challenges. The potential to alter the composition of the microbial consortia residing near, on or in plant tissues has been explored through inoculation processes to some extent. The inoculation strategy to manipulate the microbiome focuses on co-inoculation of several strains of PGPR, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and other endosymbionts. Increase in the abundance of beneficial microbes in the rhizosphere (for example biofertilizers) has resulted in less disease incidence and high levels of microbial activity (Bunemann et al., 2006).

Understanding plant microbe interactions requires a holistic approach to analyze this complex and dynamic system.

References

- Ahuja, I., Kissen, R., and Bones, A. M. (2012). Phytoalexins in defense against pathogens. Trends Plant Sci. 17, 73–90. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2011.11.002
- Aslam, S., Newman, M., Erbs, G., and Morrissey, K. (2008). Bacterial polysaccharides suppress induced innate immunity by calcium chelation. *Curr. Biol.* 18, 1078–1083. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2008.06.061
- Bakker, P. A. H. M., Berendsen, R. L., Doornbos, R. F., Wintermans, P. C. A., and Pieterse, C. M. J. (2013). The rhizosphere revisited: root microbiomics. *Front. Plant Sci.* 4:165. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00165

However, the difficulty to readily culture many members of phytomicrobiome (for example, obligate endosymbionts) in the laboratory can be overcome by culture independent techniques such as metagenomics, metaproteomics, and metabolomics and usage of next generation sequencing tools to understand the complexity of the phytomicrobiome (Bulgarelli et al., 2012; Quiza et al., 2015). Our ability to implement largescale manipulations of the microbial populations is currently limited. Plant microbiome engineering facilitates modulation of nutrient cycling, synthesis of phytohormones, production of antibiotics (biocontrol agents), leading to improved plant growth and resistance to disease, insects, drought, salinity stress, etc. (Quiza et al., 2015). Introducing recombinant strains in the rhizosphere could improve the persistence of endogenous microbial population by horizontal gene transfer (Taghavi et al., 2005) and community level microbiome engineering could result in higher resilience across disruptive environments (Loreau et al., 2001). The ability to engineer the phytomicrobiome will be pivotal in furthering long-term sustainability of agricultural crop production and affecting related issues such as climate change, human health and global food security (Quiza et al., 2015). While we are progressing in our understanding of mechanisms involved in the interspecies interactions, nature of the complex relationships within the phytomicrobiome, role of the host plants and its microbiome as a holobiont (Lakshmanan et al., 2014), engineering the whole metaorganism is a promising strategy that finds application in nitrogen fixation, disease control, nutrient cycling and phytoremediation (Bakker et al., 2013; Bell et al., 2014).

It is clear that members of the phytomicrobiome exchange signal compounds that are effective at hormonal concentrations, so that inter-organismal, indeed, inter-kingdom exohormones are now understood to play a crucial role in controlling the growth, composition and development of plants, including the crop plants that we depend on as food sources. The commercial deployment of LCOs in non-legume crop plants (Souleimanov and Prithiviraj, 2002; Prithiviraj et al., 2003) indicates that there is enormous scope for application of these compounds, to help crop plants be more productive, and to remain productive under the more challenging environmental conditions of climate change. Indeed, many of the positive effects of phytomicrobiome signals on plant growth seem to involve activation of stress response systems. Understanding the mechanisms and consequences of signal interactions occurring between the phytomicrobiome and host plants and development of methods to manipulate these interactions for increased plant growth, is an important challenge for this century.

- Bell, T. H., Joly, S., Pitre, F. E., and Yergeau, E. (2014). Increasing phytoremediation efficiency and reliability using novel omics approaches. *Trends. Biotechnol.* 32, 271–280. doi: 10.1016/j.tibtech.2014.02.008
- Bonfante, P., and Requena, N. (2011). Dating in the dark: how roots respond to fungal signals to establish arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 14, 451–457. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2011.03.014
- Bulgarelli, D., Rott, M., Schlaeppi, K., van Themaat, E. V. L., Ahmadinejad, N., Assenza, F., et al. (2012). Revealing structure and assembly cues for *Arabidopsis* root-inhabiting bacterial microbiota. *Nature* 488, 91–95. doi: 10.1038/nature11336

- Bunemann, E. K., Schwenke, G. D., and Van Zwieten, L. (2006). Impact of agricultural inputs on soil organisms—a review. Soil Res. 44, 379–406. doi: 10.1071/SR05125
- Cooper, J. E. (2004). Multiple responses of rhizobia to flavonoids during legume root infection. *Adv. Bot. Res.* 41, 1–62. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2296(04)41001-5
- Cooper, J. E. (2007). Early interactions between legumes and rhizobia: disclosing complexity in a molecular dialogue. J. App. Microbiol. 103, 1355–1365. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2007.03366.x
- Dakora, F. D. (2000). Commonality of root nodulation signals and nitrogen assimilation in tropical grain legumes belonging to the tribe Phaseoleae. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 27, 885–892. doi: 10.1071/PP00015
- Dakora, F. D., Joseph, C. M., and Phillips, D. A. (1993). Common bean root exudates contain elevated levels of daidzein and coumestrol in response to *Rhizobium* inoculation. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 6, 665–668. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-6-665
- Dakora, F. D., and Phillips, D. A. (2002). Root exudates as mediators of mineral acquisition in low-nutrient environments. *Plant Soil* 245, 35–47. doi: 10.1023/A:1020809400075
- Dangl, J. L., and Jones, J. D. G. (2001). Plant pathogens and integrated defence responses to infection. *Nature* 411, 826–833. doi: 10.1038/35081161
- Dardanelli, M. S., de Cordoba, F., and Espuny, M. R. (2008). Effect of Azospirillum brasilense coinoculated with Rhizobium on Phaseolus vulgaris flavonoids and Nod factor production under salt stress. Soil Biol. Biochem. 40, 2713–2721. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.06.016
- de Velde, V. W., Zehirov, G., Szatmari, A., and Debreczeny, M. (2010). Plant peptides govern terminal differentiation of bacteria in symbiosis. *Science* 327, 1122–1126. doi: 10.1126/science.1184057
- Endre, G., Kereszt, A., Kevei, Z., Mihacea, S., Kalo, P., and Kiss, G. B. (2002). A receptor kinase gene regulating symbiotic nodule development. *Nature* 417, 962–966. doi: 10.1038/nature00842
- Farrar, K., Bryant, D., and Cope-Selby, N. (2014). Understanding and engineering beneficial plant–microbe interactions: plant growth promotion in energy crops. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 12, 1193–1206. doi: 10.1111/pbi.12279
- Gherbi, H., Markmann, K., and Svistoonoff, S. (2008). SymRK defines a common genetic basis for plant root endosymbioses with arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi, rhizobia, and *Frankia* bacteria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 4928–4932. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0710618105
- Gourion, B., Berrabah, F., Ratet, P., and Stacey, G. (2015). *Rhizobium*–legume symbioses: the crucial role of plant immunity. *Trends Plant Sci.* 20, 186–194. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2014.11.008
- Gouws, L. M., Botes, E., Wiese, A. J., Trenkamp, S., Torres-Jerez, I., Tang, Y., et al. (2012). The plant growth-promoting substance, lumichrome, mimics starch, and ethylene-associated symbiotic responses in lotus and tomato roots. *Front. Plant Sci.* 3:120. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2012.00120
- Gray, E. J., and Smith, D. L. (2005). Intracellular and extracellular PGPR: commonalities and distinctions in the plant-bacterium signaling processes. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 37, 395–412. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.08.030
- Halverson, L. J., and Handelsman, J. (1991). Enhancement of soybean nodulation by *Bacillus* cereus UW85 in the field and in a growth chamber. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 57, 2767–2770.
- Harrison, M. J. (2005). Signaling in the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 59, 19–42. doi: 10.1146/annurev.micro.58.030603.123749
- Hartmann, A., Rothballer, M., Hense, B. A., and Schröder, P. (2014). Bacterial quorum sensing compounds are important modulators of microbe-plant interactions. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5:131. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00131
- Hartmann, A., and Schikora, A. (2012). Quorum sensing of bacteria and transkingdom interactions of N-acyl homoserine lactones with eukaryotes. J. Chem. Ecol. 38, 70–713. doi: 10.1007/s10886-012-0141-7
- Hassan, S., and Mathesius, U. (2012). The role of flavonoids in root–rhizosphere signalling: opportunities and challenges for improving plant–microbe interactions. J. Exp. Bot. 63, 3429–3444. doi: 10.1093/jxb/err430
- Jamet, A., Mandon, K., and Puppo, A. (2007). H2O2 is required for optimal establishment of the Medicago sativa/Sinorhizobium meliloti symbiosis. J. Bacteriol. 189, 8741–8745. doi: 10.1128/JB.01130-07
- Jones, J. D., and Dangl, J. L. (2006). The plant immune system. *Nature* 444, 323–329. doi: 10.1038/nature05286
- Kalo, P., Gleason, C., Edwards, A., March, J., and Mitra, R. (2005). Nodulation signaling in legumes requires NSP2, a member of the GRAS family of transcriptional regulators. *Science* 308, 1786–1789. doi: 10.1126/science.1110951

- Kanu, S. A., and Dakora, F. D. (2009). Thin-layer chromatographic analysis of lumichrome, riboflavin and indole acetic acid in cell-free culture filtrate of Psoralea nodule bacteria grown at different pH, salinity and temperature regimes. *Symbiosis* 48, 173–181. doi: 10.1007/BF03179996
- Lakshmanan, V., Selvaraj, G., and Bais, H. P. (2014). Functional soil microbiome: belowground solutions to an aboveground problem. *Plant Physiol.* 166, 689–700. doi: 10.1104/pp.114.245811
- Lee, K. D., Gray, E. J., Mabood, F., Jung, W. J., Charles, T., Clark, S. R. D., et al. (2009). The class IId bacteriocin thuricin 17 increases plant growth. *Planta* 229, 747–755. doi: 10.1007/s00425-008-0870-6
- Liang, Y., Cao, Y., Tanaka, K., Thibivilliers, S., Wan, J., and Choi, J. (2013). Nonlegumes respond to rhizobial Nod factors by suppressing the innate immune response. *Science* 341, 1384–1387. doi: 10.1126/science.1242736
- Libault, M., Farmer, A., and Brechenmacher, L. (2010). Complete transcriptome of the soybean root hair cell, a single-cell model, and its alteration in response to *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* infection. *Plant Physiol.* 152, 541–552. doi: 10.1104/pp.109.148379
- Limpens, E., Moling, S., Hooiveld, G., and Pereira, P. (2013). Cell- and tissuespecific transcriptome analyses of *Medicago truncatula* root nodules. *PLoS ONE* 8:e64377. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0064377
- Lopez-Gomez, M., Sandal, N., Stougaard, J., and Boller, T. (2012). Interplay of flg22induced defence responses and nodulation in *Lotus japonicus. J. Exp. Bot.* 63, 393–401. doi: 10.1093/jxb/err291
- Loreau, M., Naeem, S., Inchausti, P., Bengtsson, J., Grime, J. P., Hector, A., et al. (2001). Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: current knowledge and future challenges. *Science* 294, 804–808. doi: 10.1126/science.1064088
- Mabood, F., Zhou, X., Lee, K. D., and Smith, D. L. (2006). Methyl jasmonate, alone or in combination with genistein, and *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* increases soybean (*Glycine max* L.) plant dry matter production and grain yield under short season conditions. *Field Crop. Res.* 95, 412–419. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2005.04.013
- Mabood, F., Zhou, X., and Smith, D. L. (2014). Microbial signaling and plant growth promotion. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 94, 1051–1063. doi: 10.4141/cjps2013-148
- Matiru, V. N., and Dakora, F. D. (2005). The rhizosphere signal molecule lumichrome alters seedling development in both legumes and cereals. *New Phytol.* 166, 439–444. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01344.x
- Mortier, V., Holsters, M., and Goormachtig, S. (2012). Never too many? How legumes control nodule numbers. *Plant Cell Environ.* 35, 245–258. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02406.x
- Nakagawa, T., Kaku, H., and Shimoda, Y. (2011). From defense to symbiosis: limited alterations in the kinase domain of LysM receptor-like kinases are crucial for evolution of legume–*Rhizobium* symbiosis. *Plant J.* 65, 169–180. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04411.x
- Nelson, M. S., and Sadowsky, M. J. (2015). Communication between nitrogen-fixing rhizobia and legumes. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6:491. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00491
- Oldroyd, G. E. D. (2013). Speak, friend, and enter: signaling systems that promote beneficial symbiotic associations in plants. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 11, 252–263. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2990
- Pawlowski, K., and Bisseling, T. (1996). Rhizobial and actinorhizal symbioses: what are the shared features? *Plant Cell* 8, 1899–1913. doi: 10.1105/tpc.8.10.1899
- Petersen, D. J., Srinivasan, M., and Chanway, C. P. (1996). Bacillus polymyxa stimulates increased Rhizobium etli populations and nodulation when coresident in the rhizosphere of Phaseolus vulgaris. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 142, 271–276. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.1996.tb08442.x
- Prithiviraj, B., Zhou, X., Souleimanov, A., Kahn, W., and Smith, D. (2003). A host-specific bacteria-to-plant signal molecule (Nod factor) enhances germination and early growth of diverse crop plants. *Planta* 216, 437–445. doi: 10.1007/s00425-002-0928-9
- Puppo, A., Groten, K., Bastian, F., and Carzaniga, R. (2005). Legume nodule senescence: roles for redox and hormone signalling in the orchestration of the natural aging process. *New Phytol.* 165, 683–701. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01285.x
- Quiza, L., St-Arnaud, M., and Yergeau, E. (2015). Harnessing phytomicrobiome signaling for rhizosphere microbiome engineering. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6:507. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00507
- Rajendran, G., Sing, F., Desai, A. J., and Archana, G. (2008). Enhanced growth and nodulation of pigeon pea by co-inoculation of *Bacillus* strains with *Rhizobium* spp. *Bioresour. Technol.* 99, 4544–4550. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2007. 06.057

- Sasaki, T., Suzaki, T., Soyano, T., Kojima, M., Sakakibara, H., and Kawaguchi, M. (2014). Shoot-derived cytokinins systemically regulate root nodulation. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 1–9. doi: 10.1038/ncomms5983
- Schenk, S. T., Stein, E., Kogel, K., and Schikora, A. (2012). Arabidopsis growth and defense are modulated by bacterial quorum sensing molecules. Plant Signal. Behav. 7, 178–181. doi: 10.4161/psb.18789
- Schikora, A., Schenk, S. T., Stein, E., Molitor, A., Zuccaro, A., and Kogel, K. (2011). N-acyl-homoserine lactone confers resistance toward biotrophic and hemibiotrophic pathogens via altered activation of AtMPK6. *Plant Physiol.* 157, 1407–1418. doi: 10.1104/pp.111.180604
- Schwartz, A. R., Ortiz, I., Maymon, M., Herbold, C. W., Fujishige, N. A., Vijanderan, J. A., et al. (2013). *Bacillus* simplex–a little known PGPB with anti-fungal activity alters pea legume root architecture and nodule morphology when coinoculated with *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *viciae*. J. Agron. 3, 595–620. doi: 10.3390/agronomy3040595
- Smit, P., Readts, J., Portyanko, V., Debelle, F., and Gough, C. (2005). NSP1 of the GRAS protein family is essential for rhizobial Nod factor-induced transcription. *Science* 308, 1789–1791. doi: 10.1126/science.1111025
- Souleimanov, A., and Prithiviraj, B. (2002). The major Nod factor of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* promotes early growth of soybean and corn. J. Exp. Bot. 53, 1929–1934. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erf034
- Srinivasan, M., Holl, F., and Petersen, D. (1997). Nodulation of *Phaseolus vulgaris* by *Rhizobium etli* is enhanced by the presence of *Bacillus. Can. J. Microbiol.* 43, 1–8. doi: 10.1139/m97-001
- Stokkermans, T. J. W., and Peters, N. K. (1994). Bradyrhizobium elkanii lipooligosaccharide signals induce complete nodule structures on Glycine soja Siebold et Zucc. Planta 193, 413–420. doi: 10.1007/BF00201821
- Subramanian, S. (2014). Mass Spectrometry Based Proteome Profiling to Understand the Effects of Lipo-chito-oligosaccharide and Thuricin 17 in Arabidopsis thaliana and Glycine max Under Salt Stress. Ph.D. thesis, Montreal, Canada: McGill University.
- Taghavi, S., Barac, T., Greenberg, B., Borremans, B., Vangronsveld, J., and Van Der Lelie, D. (2005). Horizontal gene transfer to endogenous endophytic bacteria from poplar improves phytoremediation of toluene. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 8500–8505. doi: 10.1128/AEM.71.12.8500-8505.2005
- Toth, K., and Stacey, G. (2015). Does plant immunity have a central role in the legume *Rhizobium* symbiosis? *Front. Plant Sci.* 6:401. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015. 00401
- Vessey, J. K. (2003). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers. *Plant Soil* 255, 571–586. doi: 10.1023/A:1026037216893

- Wang, X., Pan, Q., Chen, F., Yan, X., and Liao, H. (2011). Effects of co-inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobia on soybean growth as related to root architecture and availability of N and P. *Mycorrhiza* 21, 173–181. doi: 10.1007/s00572-010-0319-1
- Weston, L. A., and Mathesius, U. (2013). Flavonoids: their structure, biosynthesis and role in the rhizosphere, including allelopathy. J. Chem. Ecol. 39, 283–297. doi: 10.1007/s10886-013-0248-5
- Xie, S., Wu, H., Zang, H., Wu, L., Zhu, Q., and Gao, X. (2014). Plant growth promotion by spermidine-producing *Bacillus* subtilis OKB105. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Inter*. 27, 655–663. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-01-14-0010-R
- Young, N., Debelle, F., Oldroyd, G., Geurts, R., Cannon, S. B., Udvardi, M. K., et al. (2011). The Medicago genome provides insight into the evolution of rhizobial symbioses. *Nature* 480, 520–524. doi: 10.1038/nature10625
- Zarkani, A. A., Stein, E., Röhrich, C. R., Schikora, M., Evguenieva-Hackenberg, E., Degenkolb T., et al. (2013). Homoserine lactones influence the reaction of plants to rhizobia. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 14, 17122–17146. doi: 10.3390/ijms140817122
- Zhang, L., Chen, X. J., Lu, H. B., Xie, Z. P., and Staehelin, C. (2011). Functional analysis of the type 3 effector nodulation outer protein L (NopL) from *Rhizobium* sp. NGR234: symbiotic effects, phosphorylation, and interference with mitogenactivated protein kinase signaling. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 32178–32187. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.265942
- Zhang, X. C., Wu, X., Findley, S., Wan, J., and Libault, M. (2007). Molecular evolution of lysin motif-type receptor-like kinases in plants. *Plant Physiol.* 144, 623–636. doi: 10.1104/pp.107.097097
- Zipfel, C. (2014). Plant pattern-recognition receptors. *Trends Immunol.* 35, 345–351. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2014.05.004

Conflict of Interest Statement: The DS conducts research in collaboration with Inocucor Technologies, which manufactures and sells microbial consortia for inoculation on plants, where the research is funded through a Canadian Federal Government program (Mitacs) which levers industrial funding. The other authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2015 Smith, Praslickova and Ilangumaran. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.