



Priming maize resistance by its neighbors: activating 1,4-benzoxazine-3-ones synthesis and defense gene expression to alleviate leaf disease

Xupo Ding, Min Yang, Huichuan Huang, Youcong Chuan, Xiahong He, Chengyun Li, Youyong Zhu and Shusheng Zhu *

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Essaid Ait Barka, Reims University, France

Reviewed by:

Oswaldo Valdes-Lopez, National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico Ryohei Thomas Nakano, Max Planck Institute for Plant Breeding Research, Germany

*Correspondence:

Shusheng Zhu, Key Laboratory of Agro-Biodiversity and Pest Management of Education Ministry of China, Yunnan Agricultural University, No. 452, Fengyuan Road, Panlong District, Kunming 650201, China shushengzhu79@126.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Biotic Interactions, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 03 July 2015 Accepted: 22 September 2015 Published: 12 October 2015

Citation:

Ding X, Yang M, Huang H, Chuan Y, He X, Li C, Zhu Y and Zhu S (2015) Priming maize resistance by its neighbors: activating 1,4-benzoxazine-3-ones synthesis and defense gene expression to alleviate leaf disease. Front. Plant Sci. 6:830. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00830 Key Laboratory of Agro-Biodiversity and Pest Management of Education Ministry of China, Yunnan Agricultural University, Kunming, China

Plant disease can be effectively suppressed in intercropping systems. Our previous study demonstrated that neighboring maize plants can restrict the spread of soil-borne pathogens of pepper plants by secreting defense compounds into the soil. However, whether maize plant can receive benefits from its neighboring pepper plants in an intercropping system is little attention. We examined the effects of maize roots treated with elicitors from the pepper pathogen Phytophthora capsici and pepper root exudates on the synthesis of 1,4-benzoxazine-3-ones (BXs), the expression of defense-related genes in maize, and their ability to alleviate the severity of southern corn leaf blight (SCLB) caused by Bipolaris maydis. We found that SCLB was significantly reduced after the above treatments. The contents of 1,4-benzoxazine-3-ones (BXs: DIBOA, DIMBOA, and MBOA) and the expression levels of BX synthesis and defense genes in maize roots and shoots were up-regulated. DIMBOA and MBOA effectively inhibited the mycelium growth of Bipolaris maydis at physiological concentrations in maize shoots. Further studies suggested that the defense related pathways or genes in maize roots and shoots were activated by elicitors from the P. capsici or pepper root exudates. In conclusion, maize increased the levels of BXs and defense gene expression both in roots and shoots after being triggered by root exudates and pathogen from neighboring pepper plants, eventually enhancing its resistance.

Keywords: intercropping, DIMBOA, resistance induction, defense genes, antimicrobial activity

Introduction

The capability of some plants to affect neighboring plants has been well documented (Broz et al., 2010; Ratnadass et al., 2012). In particular, certain plant diseases can be suppressed in biodiverse ecosystems (Zhu et al., 2000; Fan et al., 2010). This theory has been widely applied in traditional farming practices, such as intercropping, which has been practiced for 3000 years (de Albuquerque et al., 2010). In compatible intercropping systems, the crop productivity is increased (Li et al., 2009) and the development of wind-dispersed and soil-borne diseases and nematodes is suppressed

1

(Wolfe, 1985; Zhu et al., 2000; Li et al., 2009; Newton and Guy, 2009; Dong et al., 2014; Gao et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2014). The mechanisms by which intercropping suppresses crop diseases are complicated; indeed, several mechanisms, such as inoculum dilution, spore dispersal interference, microenvironmental modification, and induced resistance, may be involved (Chin and Wolfe, 1984; Wolfe, 1985; Calonnec et al., 1996; Zhu et al., 2005; Boudreau, 2013). Recent studies have suggested that chemical defenses play an important role in suppressing disease in intercropping systems (Weston and Mathesius, 2013). For example, the crop roots secrete secondary metabolites, which can help the surrounding crops suppress soilborne pathogen infection (Dixon, 2001; Gómez-Rodriguez et al., 2003; Park et al., 2004; Bais et al., 2006; Basu et al., 2006; Ren et al., 2008; Bednarek and Osbourn, 2009; Frébortová, 2010). One crop release volatile organic compounds (VOCs) to help other crop to suppress wind dispersed pathogens (Kishimoto et al., 2005; Chehab et al., 2008; Yi et al., 2009; Du Fall and Solomon, 2011; Naznin et al., 2014).

Maize is the most popular crop used in intercropping systems. When maize was intercropped with legume, solanaceous, or cruciferous crops, the diseases of both intercropped crops were all significantly suppressed, as observed in intercropping with wheat and broad bean, maize and broad bean, maize and potato, maize and pepper, maize and tobacco, and maize and sugarcane (Li et al., 2007, 2009; Shukla et al., 2010). In maize and pepper intercropping system, maize plants can restrict the spread of soil-borne pathogens of pepper plants by secreting defense compounds into the soil. However, whether pepper plant can help its neighboring maize plants to suppress disease is little attention.

1,4-Benzoxazine-3-ones (BXs) are the most important secondary metabolites for defense in maize (Niemeyer, 2009). 2,4-Dihydroxy-7-methoxy-2H-1,4-benzoxazin-3(4H)-one (DIMBOA), which is derived from 2,4-dihydroxy-1,4benzoxazin-3-one (DIBOA), is the major maize BX against herbivorous insects and pathogens (Friebe et al., 1998; Erb et al., 2009; Niemeyer, 2009; Ahmad et al., 2011). DIMBOA can be degraded into 6-methoxybenzoxazolin-3-one (MBOA), which also exhibits antimicrobial activity (Etzerodt et al., 2006; Maag et al., 2014). Previous studies have demonstrated that DIMBOA synthesis in maize can be regulated by biotic and abiotic elicitors (Niemeyer, 2009). The hormone-dependent defense pathways are also activated when DIMBOA in maize is induced by elicitors, such as NaCl or insects (Erb et al., 2009). Our previous study demonstrated that zoospores of the pepper pathogen P. capsici can be absorbed into the maize rhizosphere and ruptured by maize root exudates in maize and pepper intercropping system (Yang et al., 2014). It has been reported that the cell or the cell wall contents of Phytophthora can elicit plant defenses (Mozzetti et al., 1995; Gaulin et al., 2002; Xu et al., 2007). In addition, the root exudate of the surrounding plants contains ions, enzymes, mucilage, the primary and secondary metabolites may also have inductive effects on the surrounding plants (Bertin et al., 2003). Thus, root exudates and pathogens from the pepper plant may be potential elicitors for maize in an intercropping system of maize and pepper. However, whether the potential elicitors from pepper root exudates and the surrounding pathogens can induce the synthesis of BXs in maize tissues and improve their ability to defend themselves against leaf diseases remains to be elucidated.

Here, we assessed the profiles of BXs and defense-related genes in maize roots and shoots after treatment with intercropping elicitors from pepper root exudates and the pepper *Phytophthora* blight pathogen *P. capsici*. Our experiments suggested that the accumulation of BXs and the expression levels of defense genes in maize roots and shoots were induced when maize roots triggered by the elicitors form the pathogen and root exudates from the neighboring pepper plants, which could then enhance the resistance of maize against the above-ground leaf disease *B. maydis*.

Materials and Methods

Plants and Pathogens

Inbred B73 maize seeds were surface sterilized with 1% sodium hypochlorite for 5 min followed by four rinses in sterile distilled water. The seeds were then plated on wet cheesecloth, after which they were incubated in a growth chamber at 28°C for 7 days. The maize seedlings were transplanted into 500 mL sterile conical flasks with 200 mL 0.5% Hoagland fluid medium (only the maize plant roots were exposed to the medium) and incubated in a climate box at 28°C for 3 days with a 16 h light/8 h dark photoperiod (Janda et al., 1999). Each flask contained five maize seedlings at the three leaf stage and the medium was replaced in every day. The pepper seeds were grown in plastic pots containing an autoclaved sand-soil mixture for 30 days in a controlled climate chamber (28°C, with a 16 h light/8 h dark photoperiod) after surface sterilization with 1% sodium hypochlorite for 5 min.

The *P. capsici* (hereafter referred to as *PC*) was growth on carrot agar at $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C in petri plates for 7 days in darkness and incubated under fluorescent light at $28 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C for 48 h to induce sporulation. Zoospore release into 5 mL sterile water was induced by chilling harvested sporangia at 4° C for 40 min. The zoospore suspension was then diluted to 1×10^{5} spores·mL⁻¹ with sterile water after the zoospores were counted with a cytometer under an optical microscope. *Bipolaris maydis* was grown on potato dextrose agar on petri plates for 7 days at $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C without light.

Intercropping Elicitor Preparation, Treatment, and Sampling

The elicitors from *P. capsici* and pepper root exudate were prepared by the following methods: (1) the *PC* spore suspension (SP) was obtained by the methods described above and adjusted to 1×10^5 spores·mL⁻¹; (2) the *PC* spore lysis solution (SL) was obtained from the *PC* spore suspension (1×10^5 spores·mL⁻¹) after ultrasonic treatment in dark conditions for 2 h; (3) the *PC* spore culture suspension (CS) included the supernatants of the *PC* spore suspension (1×10^5 spores·mL⁻¹) centrifuged at 12,000 g after shaking in sterile water with 120 rpm for 2 h in dark conditions; (4) the healthy pepper root exudate (HRE) was collected from the exudate of 30 pepper plants growing in 200 mL sterile water for 8 h in light conditions, and the collected exudate was filtered with a 0.22 µm filter membrane (Millipore Express[®]) PES membrane, Ireland); and (5) the nosogenic pepper exudate (NRE) was collected from the exudate of 30 pepper plants after pepper plant root infection by PC. The nosogenic pepper was obtained from pepper roots infected by PC zoospores (1×10^5) spores mL^{-1}) for 5 days, after which these nosogenic plants were incubated in 200 mL sterile water for 8 h with light conditions. The NRE was filtered with a $0.22\,\mu m$ filter membrane. Maize plants cultured as above were respectively transplanted into 500 mL sterile conical flasks with 200 mL above elicitor and incubated in a climate box at 28°C with a 16h light/8h dark photoperiod. The maize plants treated with sterile water was considered as the mock treatment. The elicitor medium was replaced per day. Three types of roots (crown-, primary-, and secondary roots) and shoots of maize plant were respectively collected at 0, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h after treatment with the above five elicitors. Each time point consisted of three replicates, and each replicate consisted of five seedlings. The roots and shoots were collected from each replicate, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C for the HPLC and gene expression analyses.

Induced Systemic Resistance against *B. maydis*

Spores from the 7-day-old *B. maydis* culture were collected in sterile water (containing 0.1% Tween 20), and the spore concentration was adjusted to 5×10^4 spores·mL⁻¹. 10-dayold maize plant roots were induced with the above five elicitors or mock treated for 6 h. Then, 5 mL of spore suspension was sprayed onto the corresponding leaves of five maize plants with a 10 mL sprayer for each treatment. After inoculation, the plants were transplanted to the climatic box with a photoperiod of 16 h light/8 h dark and a relative humidity of 90% at 25°C. Five days after infection, disease lesions infected by *B. maydis* were quantified as described previously (Goodwin and Hsiang, 2010) by calculating the percentages of the lesion areas that accounted for the total leaf area. Each treatment included three replicates, and every replicate included five plants.

Extraction and Analysis of 1,4-benzoxazin-3-ones

To determine the concentrations of the three BXs derivatives DIBOA, DIMBOA, and MBOA in the shoots and different types of roots after treatment with the above five elicitors, a concise method was adopted as follows (Friebe et al., 1998). A total of 3-6 g of collected root or shoot samples was pulverized with liquid nitrogen, and 10 mL ethyl acetate was added directly. The homogenate was filtered and rotary evaporated at 37°C after homogenization. The residues were brought to a constant volume with 500 μ L methyl alcohol (99%) and stored at -20°C for high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analyses. To simultaneously and rapidly measure the three 1,4-benzoxazin-3one derivatives in the roots and leaves, we developed a method based on the Agilent 1260 Infinity HPLC system equipped with a Kinetex C18 100 A column (100 \times 4.6 mm, 2.6 μ m) by monitoring the absorbance of elution at 254 nm. Solvent A is 0.1% phosphoric acid (Mallinckrodt Chemicals, LC/MS grade) in water (HPLC grade), and Solvent B is 99% methanol (OmniSolv, HPLC grade). The solvent gradient parameters were as follows: the gradient consisted of 0-10 min in 80-30% solution A, 10-10.5 min in 30% solution A, and 10.5-15.5 min in isocratic conditions of 100% in solution B. Five microlitres of the extracted sample was injected with a flow rate of $0.5 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ at 30°C. With this method, the concentrations of DIBOA, BIMBOA, and MOBA from the crown, primary, and secondary roots and the leaves were quantified by standard curves, which showed linear relationships between the peak area and concentration. Each treatment included three replicates.

Gene Expression Analysis in the Maize Roots and Shoots

Total RNA was isolated from frozen root and shoot tissues using TRIzol Reagent (Qiangen, China) and quantified with a spectrophotometer (U-2910, HITCH, Japan). Four micrograms of total RNA was reverse transcribed by Easy-Script Reverse Transcriptase [M-MLV, RNase H⁻] and Oligo dT(18) primer (Transgen, China) at 42°C for 40 min and 85°C for 5 min according to the manufacturer's instructions. The reaction product cDNA was diluted into 50 ng· μ L⁻¹, and 1 μ L was used for each semi-quantitative RT-PCR (semi-qPCR) reaction. The semi-qPCR reaction mix $(50 \,\mu\text{L})$ contained $1 \,\mu\text{L}$ of each gene-specific primer ($10 \mu mol \cdot \mu L^{-1}$), $8 \mu L$ of dNTPs, $5 \mu L$ of $10 \times$ PCR buffer, 0.5 µL of Ex-Taq DNA polymerase (1 U·µL⁻¹, Transgen, China) and $33.5\,\mu$ L sterile water. The semi-qPCR included cDNA degenerated in 95°C for 5 min, with 25 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 58°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 40 s, with extension at 72°C for 5 min in the ABI Veriti 96 system (Applied Biosystems, CA). The relative target gene expression levels were calculated by comparison with the maize housekeeping gene GAPC. The gene-specific primers used for all RT-PCR assays are listed in a Supplementary File (Table S1).

Sensitivity Testing of *B. maydis* to DIMBOA and MBOA

The sensitivities of B. maydis to DIMBOA and MBOA were determined according to a previous method (Mei et al., 2014). Briefly, a fresh plug (5 mm in diameter) was taken from the growing edge of a colony of B. maydis and cultured on potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium at 25°C for 4 days. These fungi were transferred onto PDA agar medium supplemented with DIMBOA (0, 1, 10, 20, 50, and $100 \,\mu \text{g} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$) or MBOA (0, 2, 4, 40, 80, and $160 \,\mu \text{g} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$), respectively. The concentrations of DIMBOA and MBOA were physiological concentrations of DIMBOA and MBOA for the maize shoots. The final amount of solvent did not exceed 1% (vol/vol) in the treated and control samples. Each treatment was replicated three times. After incubation at 25°C without light for 7 days for B. maydis, two measurements was taken for each colony at perpendicular angles, and the average of the two measurements was used for the inhibition ratio analysis. The diameter of the mycelium plug (0.5 cm) was subtracted from the colony diameter.

Statistical Analyses

All data obtained from the disease, antimicrobial activity and HPLC, were analyzed with Turkey *Post-hoc* ANOVA with SPSS 18.0 (P < 0.05). Then, Multibase 2014 was used for principal comparisons analyses (PLS-DA). The relative expression level of genes from semi-qPCR was analyzed with Hemi 2.0 after fold

treatment with Quantity One from Bio-Rad and the hierarchical cluster was analyzed using Nearest neighbor with SPSS 18.0 (Deng et al., 2014).

Results

Induced Systemic Resistance against B. maydis

To investigate the induced systemic resistance against *B. maydis* in maize leaves, the lesions on leaves infected by *B. maydis* were quantified after the maize roots were induced by the spore suspension (SP), spore lysis suspension (SL), culture suspension (CS), healthy pepper root exudates (HRE), and nosogenic pepper root exudates (NRE). The lesions colonized by *B. maydis* were significantly reduced in all induced plants compared with the mock-induced plants (p < 0.05) (**Figure 1**). However, there were no significant differences among the five treatment groups (**Figure 1**).

The Accumulation of BXs in Maize Roots and Shoots Induced by Five Elicitors

To inspect the effects of the elicitors from neighboring pepper plants on the accumulation of defensive metabolites in maize, we profiled three BXs (DIBOA, DIMBOA, and MBOA) in crown-, primary-, secondary-, and shoots at 0, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h using HPLC analysis. Because the mock treatments at different time point did not show significant difference (**Figure S1**), we only analyzed the contents difference of BXs in shoots and roots at 0, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h after elicitor treatment.

The accumulation of DIBOA in the roots and shoots was induced when the maize roots were treated with all elicitors (Figure 2, Figure S2). After treatment with SP, the content of DIBOA in the crown roots was significantly induced at 12 h, then significantly suppressed at 24 and 48 h. However, the content of DIBOA in the crown roots was significantly increased when checked at 72 h (Figure 2A). After treatment with SL and CS, the content of DIBOA in the crown roots was significantly decreased at 12 h, then increased with the extension of the treatment time (Figure 2A). The accumulation of DIBOA in primary and secondary roots showed similar trend with crown roots after treated with SP, SL, and CS (Figure S2A). Furthermore, the contents of DIBOA in the primary and secondary roots were significantly lower than in paired crown roots (p < 0.05). The content of DIBOA in the shoots was significantly increased after the maize roots were treated with SP, SL, and CS at 24 or 48 h but then decreased (Figure 2D). After treatment with HRE and NRE, the content of the DIBOA in the roots and shoots was also significantly induced. The response of DIBOA concentration in the roots to HRE was earlier than the response to NRE (Figure 2D). However, the response of the accumulation of DIBOA in the shoots to HRE occurred later than the response to NRE (Figure 2D). PCA analysis indicated that the synthesis profiles of DIBOA in the crown-, primary-, secondary roots and shoots after treatment with HRE were separated from the other four elicitors (Figures S3A-D). The synthesis profile of DIBOA in the crown- and primary roots after treatment with NRE was not significantly separated from SP, SL, and CS on the PC1







direction (**Figures S3A,B**). In addition, the synthesis profiles of DIBOA in the crown-, primary-, secondary roots and shoots overlapped after treatment with SP, SL, and CS (**Figures S3 A–D**).

The content of DIMBOA in the crown roots was significantly induced after treatment with SP, SL, and CS from 12 to 72 h (Figure 2B). However, the content of DIMBOA in the shoots was significantly increased at 12 h and then decreased from 24 to 72 h (Figures 2E). The accumulation of DIMBOA in primary and secondary roots showed similar trends with crown roots after treatment with SP, SL, and CS (Figures S2B,E). After treatment with HRE, the DIMBOA content of the crown roots was significantly induced, and this content was consistent from 12 to 72 h (Figure 2B). The accumulation of DIMBOA in the primary-, secondary roots, and shoots showed a similar trend, in which the content was significantly increased at 12 and 24 h and then significantly decreased (Figure 2B, Figures S2B,E). After treatment with NRE, the DIMBOA content in the crown-, primary-, and secondary roots was not found to be significantly increased at 12 or 24 h (Figure 2B, Figures S2B,E). However, the DIMBOA content of the shoots was significantly increased at 12 h and then decreased from 24 to 72 h (Figure 2E). PCA analysis demonstrated that the synthesis profiles of DIMBOA in the crown, primary, and secondary roots after treatment with HRE are also separated from other elicitors (Figures S3E-G). However, the synthesis profile of DIMBOA in the crown roots treated by NRE was not significantly separated from the SL and CS in terms of the PC1 direction. In addition, the synthesis profile of DIMBOA in the shoots after treatment with HRE was separated from that of the other four elicitors. The DIMBOA synthesis profiles of the shoots after treatment with NRE overlapped with the treatment of SP, and the treatment of SL also overlapped with the treatment of CS (**Figure S3H**).

Similarly, the accumulation of MBOA in the roots and shoots was modified by the five elicitors. After treatment with SP, the MBOA content in the roots and shoots was significantly decreased at 12 h (Figures 2C,F, Figures S2C,F), except in the secondary roots, its content then significantly increased at 24 h, with the highest content in roots and shoots at 72 h. After being treated with SL, the MBOA content in the crown and secondary roots decreased from 12 to 24 h and increased from 48 to 72 h (Figure 2C, Figure S2F). The MBOA content of shoots was significantly increased from 24 to 72 h (Figure 2F). After treatment with CS, the MBOA content in the roots and shoots was significantly increased at 72 h, except in the crown roots, in which the MBOA content was significantly below that of the control (Figure 2C). After treatment with HRE and NRE, the content of MBOA in the crown roots was significantly decreased at 12 h and then increased from 24 to 72 h (Figure 2C). The content of MBOA in the primary and secondary roots and shoots increased from 12 to 72 h (Figures S2C,F, Figure 2F). PCA analysis revealed that the synthesis profiles of MBOA in the roots and shoots after the treatment with the root exudates

were separated from those of the other elicitors in the PC1 direction (Figures S3I-L). The synthesis profiles of MBOA in the crown, primary and secondary roots after treatment with HRE and NRE were overlapped but separated from those of the other elicitors in the PC2 direction (Figures S3I-K). The synthesis profiles of MBOA in the crown roots and shoots after treatment with SP and NRE were not separated in the PC1 direction. The synthesis profiles of MBOA in the crown- and primary roots after treatment with SP and CS were not separated in the PC2 direction (Figures S3I,J).

DIMBOA and MBOA Exhibit Antimicrobial Activity against and *B. Maydis*

To determine whether physiological concentrations of DIMBOA and MBOA in maize shoots have antimicrobial activity, the mycelium growth of *B. maydis* was tested on artificial media mixed with different concentrations of DIMBOA (1– $100 \,\mu g \cdot mL^{-1}$) and MBOA (2– $160 \,\mu g \cdot mL^{-1}$), respectively. This experiment showed that DIMBOA and MBOA displayed antimicrobial activity and could inhibit the mycelium growth of maize pathogen *B. maydis* (**Figure 3**). The mycelium growth of *B. maydis* was significantly inhibited when DIMBOA reached a concentration of $20 \,\mu g \cdot mL^{-1}$ (p < 0.05) (**Figure 3A**). The mycelium growth of *B. maydis* was significantly suppressed by MBOA at a concentration of $40 \,\mu g \cdot mL^{-1}$ (p < 0.05) (**Figure 3B**).

The Expression Profiles of BX Synthesis and Defense-related Genes in Maize Crown Roots and Shoots after Treatment with the Five Elicitors

To determine whether the elicitors from neighboring pepper plants induced the expression of BX synthesis and defense-related genes in maize, we analyzed 21 stress- or hormone-induced genes in crown roots and shoots after roots were treated with five elicitors from 12 to 72 h and checked by semi-quantitative RT-PCR (**Figure S4**).

In crown roots, the expression of ABA, SA, and JA pathway related genes were activated by both root exudates and *PC*-related elicitors, whereas the ABA pathway was strongly activated by PC-related elicitors and the JA pathway was strongly activated by elicitors from root exudates (**Figure 4A**). In shoots, the

expression of ABA, SA, and JA pathway related genes was also induced by all five elicitors with different degrees (**Figure 4B**). The SA pathway was strongly activated by *PC*-related elicitors (**Figure 4B**). The expression of BXs synthesis genes in crown roots and shoots were also induced by all five elicitors with different degrees (**Figures 4A,B**). Almost all other defense genes except *MFS1* in crown shoots were activated by all five elicitors, whereas only *Cyst*, *TPS1*, *Thiolase*, and *MFS1* were activated in shoots (**Figures 4A,B**).

The hierarchical cluster analysis data indicated that BX synthesis genes and defense-related genes were activated by five elicitors with different patterns. The profiles induced by SP, SL, and CS clearly clustered away from the profiles induced by HRE and NRE in the crown roots (**Figure 4C**) and shoots (**Figure 4D**). Although the expression of BX synthesis and defense-related genes in primary and secondary roots were also activated by both root exudates and PC-related elicitors (**Figures S5A,B**), the gene expression profiles induced by *PC*-related elicitors did not clearly clustered away from the profiles induced by root exudates related elicitors in primary root and secondary roots (**Figures S5C,D**).

Discussion

Plant diseases and insects can be effectively controlled in complicated and diverse ecosystems (Knops et al., 1999; Zhu et al., 2000). Intercropping is the classical applied technology of biodiversity in agroecosystems (Li et al., 2009). Intercropping not only improves plant growth and productivity but also enhances plant resistance to various insects and pathogens (Altieri, 1999; Li et al., 2007; Boudreau, 2013; Gao et al., 2014). In our previous field studies, intercropping was confirmed to suppress maize and pepper disease through inoculum dilution, spore dispersal interference, micro-environmental modification, and allelopathy (Li et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2014). Studies have demonstrated that the below-ground part of the maize is infected by insects or colonized by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR), which trigger above-ground resistance against worms or leaf diseases (Erb et al., 2009, 2011; Song et al., 2011). In the present study, we found that the resistance of maize against the





cluster analysis (n = 3 replicates, with each replicate consisting of five plants).

above-ground leaf disease B. maydis was enhanced when its roots were induced by non-host pathogen P. capsici or root exudates from pepper plants (Figure 1). Induced systemic resistance (ISR) in plants is recognized to be effective against a wide range of pathogen infections (Ryals et al., 1996; Van Loon et al., 1998; Naoumkina et al., 2007; Shoresh et al., 2010). The induced defense was sensitized by the plant response to biotic and abiotic elicitors (Maldonado-Bonilla et al., 2008). Studies have indicated that plant pathogens were suppressed when plant roots were inoculated with non-adapted bacteria, fungi, or viruses or treated with exogenous root exudates (Pozo et al., 2002; Weller et al., 2012). Even microbial cell wall-related substances can induce systemic acquired resistance in plants (Zhao et al., 2007; Sillero et al., 2012; Nawrocka and Małolepsza, 2013). The synthesis of defensive substances and the expression of defense-related genes are two important mechanisms involved in ISR (Maldonado-Bonilla et al., 2008). In the present study, we found that the BXs accumulation and defense-related genes expression in maize shoots and roots were enhanced when the maize roots were induced by elicitors.

BXs are the key defense substances in maize (Niemeyer, 2009; Ahmad et al., 2011). Studies have demonstrated that BXs have antifungal activity against phytopathogenic fungi and can be induced by a trigger, such as aphids, worms, pathogens, or PGPR (Ahmad et al., 2011; Neal et al., 2012; Robert et al., 2012; Betsiashvili et al., 2015). Here, we found that the accumulation of BXs in maize roots and shoots could be induced by the spore suspension, spore lysis suspension, and culture suspension from P. capsici and the root exudates from pepper plants. In particular, the accumulation of DIMBOA in the crown roots was found to be up-regulated by five- to ten-fold after induction by PC or pepper root exudates related elicitors for 72 h (Figure 2A). The concentration of DIBOA in the shoots was significantly increased to 10–40 or 50–100 $\mu g{\cdot}mL^{-1}$ and the concentration of MBOA reached $20 \,\mu \text{g} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$ at 72 h (Figure 2B). Our antifungal activity test demonstrated that the mycelium growth of the maize pathogen B. maydis were significantly inhibited by DIMBOA and MBOA when their concentrations reached 20 and $40 \,\mu g \cdot m L^{-1}$, respectively (Figure 3). These data indicated that the reduced lesions in shoots infected by B. maydis might be due to the building up of DIMBOA and MBOA in the shoots after being triggered by elicitors. However, the expression of other defense-related genes in shoots might also involve in the resistance of maize to *B. maydis.* It has been reported that other defense-related genes can be regulated in maize by biotic or exogenous chemical elicitors (Yang et al., 2012; Sommer et al., 2014). Here, we found that *Cyst, TPS1, Thiolase*, and *MFS1* were strongly activated in shoots after treatment with all five elicitors.

The induced profiles of BXs in maize treated with elicitors from nosogenic pepper root exudates were different from those treated with elicitors from healthy pepper root exudates but similar to those treated with PC-related treatments, especially on the DIBOA and DIMBOA. This finding may be due to differences in the substances secreted by the nosogenic and healthy pepper roots. Previous studies have demonstrated that defense substances in plants, such as momilactone, sakuranetin, camalexins, capsidiol, resveratrol, and piceids, can be induced by fungi, bacteria, or their cell walls (Ma, 2008; Jasiński et al., 2009; Mialoundama et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2010). Interestingly, the fusaric acid produced by Fusarium spp. also induced the accumulation of camalexin in Arabidopsis (Bouizgarne et al., 2006). In addition, certain compounds separated from plant root exudates, such as plant hormones and secondary metabolites, can induce the resistance of the plant against pathogen infection (Wen et al., 2007; De-la-Peña et al., 2008). It has also been reported that the elicitors from plants infected by insects or pathogens can effectively enhance the production of defense or signaling substances used to help the plant or a neighboring plant activate its defense-related pathways (Engelberth et al., 2004; Ton et al., 2007; Ahuja et al., 2012). These studies demonstrated that some special elicitors, which were contained in the P. capsici and pepper root exudates, could induce BX synthesis in roots and shoots. However, the special elicitors contained in the P. capsici and root exudates should be further identified.

The transcripts of Bx1, Bx8, and Bx9, which are the key genes for DIMBOA synthesis in maize, were up-regulated in roots and shoots after the maize roots were treated by elicitors, suggesting that the DIMBOA synthesis gene expression was consistent with the accumulation of BXs in roots and shoots. Furthermore, the BX synthesis gene was reported to be synchronously regulated with certain defense-related genes, such as the JA-related gene AOS, the SA-related PR genes, the ABArelated gene ABI and 9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase (Farag et al., 2005; Alleman et al., 2006; Schnee et al., 2006; Erb et al., 2009; Frey et al., 2009). The latest studies have demonstrated that BX synthesis genes in maize, especially Bx1, Bx8, and Bx9, can be regulated by ABA, NaCl, insects or PGPR (Erb et al., 2009, 2011; Song et al., 2011; Planchamp et al., 2015). In this study, the expression pattern of Bx1 was similar to ABI, which may imply that *Bx1* expression was synchronously regulated by ABA pathway. However, it should be further identified with mutant plant.

For maize, defense genes such as ABA-related genes (*ABI*, *CPK*10, and *Deh*), SA-related genes (*PR1*, *PR10*, *PAL*, and *MPI*), and JA-related genes (*AOC* and *AOS*) can be regulated by

insects, pathogens or exogenous ABA, BTH, JA, or NaCl (Ton et al., 2007; Erb et al., 2009; Lanubile et al., 2012; Kumar et al., 2014). Furthermore, some defense-related genes (Cys, Cys II, Lectin, PX5, TPS, Thiolase, MFS1, and HPL) that do not belong to the major hormone-related signaling pathways can be regulated in maize by biotic or exogenous chemical elicitors (Yang et al., 2012; Sommer et al., 2014). Our wide spectrum of defense-related gene expression profiles demonstrated that all five elicitors can regulate defense gene expression with different degrees (Figure 4). Generally, the expression profiles induced by the PC-related elicitors were different from those induced by pepper root exudates in crown roots and shoots (Figures 4C,D). ABA pathway in roots and shoots can be induced by all elicitors, whereas JA pathway in shoots was strongly induced by PC-related elicitors and SA pathway in shoots was strongly by pepper root exudates (Figure 4B). In crown roots, the ABA pathway was strongly activated by PC-related elicitors whereas JA pathway was strongly activated by elicitors from root exudates (Figure 4A). Previous studies have indicated that the ABA pathway is essential in response to biotic stress and negatively regulates the SA pathway in plants. These studies also demonstrated the existence of a synergistic interaction between the SA and JA pathways and an interference with ABA and JA pathways (Robert-Seilaniantz et al., 2007; Lee and Luan, 2012; Montillet and Hirt, 2013). However, we did find significant synergistic or interference interaction between ABA, JA, and SA pathway in this study. In addition, the expression differences in the defense-related genes might be due to the different elicitors secreted by P. capsici and the pepper root exudates. In general, plant pathogens secrete many special enzymes, saccharides, toxins, and other organic substances (Xu and Du, 2006). Some components are even released from the cell wall, entocyte, or liptocoenosis when the pathogen is dead (Mattoo et al., 2007; Giraud et al., 2010). Plant roots secrete ions, inorganic acids, amino acids, volatile compounds, mucilage, and proteins (Bais et al., 2006; Uren, 2007). Many of these substances have been reported to induce plant defense-related gene expression in different hormone-related pathways (Okubara and Paulitz, 2005; Baldi et al., 2008; Huang et al., 2014). Therefore, the elicitors existing in P. capsici and the pepper root exudates may differ. Further experiments are planned to confirm this hypothesis.

Although the accumulation of BXs and the expression of defense-related genes were induced in three types of roots after treated with five elicitors, but the induced profiles in crown roots by five elicitors were different with their profiles in primary and secondary roots (**Figure S5**). This might be due to the different function of root types. The maize crown root, which contains higher concentration of carbohydrates, amino acids, and defensive substances, are more important for young maize plant development and defense in young plant than primary and secondary root (Erb, 2012; Robert et al., 2012). In this study, we found that the accumulation of BXs in crown roots was higher than in primary and secondary root safter treated with elicitors, which further demonstrated that crown root was the important root type for plant defensive.

In conclusion, maize increased the levels of BXs and defense gene expression both in roots and shoots after being triggered by elicitors from neighboring pepper plants, which might eventually enhance its resistance to the leaf pathogen *B. maydis*. These data showed that the elicitor-induced resistance from the neighboring plants was an important mechanism in the suppression of plant disease in the intercropping system.

Author Contributions

SZ and XD designed the research; XD, MY, and YC performed the research; XD and HH analyzed the data; SZ and XD wrote the paper; XH, CL, and YZ reviewed the paper.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr. Dieter Sicker (Leipzig University Leipzig, GRE) for supplying the DIBOA and DIMBOA samples. This project was supported by the "973" program (2011CB100400) from the Ministry of Science and Technology of China, the National Science Foundation of China (31260447), and the program for innovative research teams at the University of Yunnan Province.

Supplementary Material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpls.2015. 00830

References

- Ahmad, S., Veyrat, N., Gordon-Weeks, R., Zhang, Y., Martin, J., Smart, L., et al. (2011). Benzoxazinoid metabolites regulate innate immunity against aphids and fungi in maize. *Plant Physiol.* 157, 317–327. doi: 10.1104/pp.111. 180224
- Ahuja, I., Kissen, R., and Bones, A. M. (2012). Phytoalexins in defense against pathogens. *Trends Plant Sci.* 17, 73–90. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2011.11.002
- Alleman, M., Sidorenko, L., McGinnis, K., Seshadri, V., Dorweiler, J. E., White, J., et al. (2006). An RNA-dependent RNA polymerase is required for paramutation in maize. *Nature* 442, 295–298. doi: 10.1038/nature04884
- Altieri, M. A. (1999). The ecological role of biodiversity in agroecosystems. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 74, 19–31. doi: 10.1016/S0167-8809(99)00028-6
- Bais, H. P., Weir, T. L., Perry, L. G., Gilroy, S., and Vivanco, J. M. (2006). The role of roots exudates in rhizosphere interactions with plants and other organisms. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 57, 233–266. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905.105159
- Baldi, A., Jain, A., Gupta, N., Srivastava, A. K., and Bisaria, V. S. (2008). Co-culture of arbuscular mycorrhiza-like fungi (*Piriformospora indica* and *Sebacina vermifera*) with plant cells of *Linum album* for enhanced production of podophyllotoxins: a first report. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 30, 1671–1677. doi: 10.1007/s10529-008-9736-z
- Basu, U., Francis, J. L., Whittal, R. M., Stephens, J. L., Wang, Y., Zaiane, O. R., et al. (2006). Extracellular proteomes of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Brassica napus* roots: analysis and comparison by MudPIT and LC-MS/MS. *Plant Soil* 286, 357–376. doi: 10.1007/s11104-006-9048-9
- Bednarek, P., and Osbourn, A. (2009). Plant-microbe interactions: chemical diversity in plant defense. Science 324, 746–748. doi: 10.1126/science.1171661

Figure S1 | Accumulation of BXs (DIBOA, DIMBOA, and MBOA) in maize shoot and roots after treated with sterile water as the mock treatment. Maize shoots and roots were collected at 0, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h post-sterile water treated. The content of DIBOA, DIMBOA, and MBOA in maize shoots, crown roots, primary roots and secondary roots was analyzed by HPLC (n = 5 replicates, with each replicate consisting of five plants). Treatments were statistically different (p < 0.05; Turkey *Post-hoc* ANOVA). (A–D) DIBOA; (E–H) DIMBOA; (I–L) MBOA.

Figure S2 | Accumulation of DIBOA, DIMBOA, and MBOA in maize primary and secondary roots after treated with five elicitors. Maize primary roots and secondary roots were collected at 0, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h post-elicitor induction. The content of DIBOA, DIMBOA, and MBOA in primary root (A–C) and secondary root (D–F) was analyzed by HPLC (n = 3 replicates, with each replicate consisting of five plants). Treatments were statistically different (p < 0.05; Turkey *Post-hoc* ANOVA).

Figure S3 | Principal component analysis of the DIBOA, DIMBOA, and MBOA content of maize roots and shoots. DIBOA (A–D), DIMBOA (E–H) and MBOA (I–L) in maize crown, primary, secondary root and shoots. Green, blue, purple, magenta, and yellow images denote treatment with SP, SL, CS, HRE, and NRE, respectively.

Figure S4 | The electrophoresis gels of 21 stress genes expression in maize roots and shoots from 0 to 72 h after maize roots treatment with five elicitors. Maize was collected at 0, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h post-elicitor induction. (A) Shoots, (B) crown roots, (C) primary roots, (D) secondary roots.

Figure S5 | Gene expression profiles in maize primary and secondary roots from 12 to 72 h of maize roots treatment with five elicitors. Maize was collected at 0, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h post-elicitor induction. Gene expression in maize primary roots (A) and secondary roots (B) were indicated in terms of the fold induction compared to the mock-treated plant, and the bars show their fold changes. The 21 stress gene expression profiles in primary roots (C) and secondary roots (D) were analyzed by hierarchical cluster analysis (n = 3 replicates, with each replicate consisting of five plants).

Table S1 | Gene names, putative function and corresponding primer sequences of genes used in this study for semi-qPCR.

- Bertin, C., Yang, X., and Weston, L. A. (2003). The role of roots exudates and allelochemicals in the rhizosphere. *Plant Soil* 256, 67–83. doi: 10.1023/A:1026290508166
- Betsiashvili, M., Ahern, K. R., and Jander, G. (2015). Additive effects of two quantitative trait loci that confer *Rhopalosiphum maidis* (corn leaf aphid) resistance in maize inbred line Mo17. *J. Exp. Bot.* 66, 571–578. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eru379
- Boudreau, M. A. (2013). Diseases in intercropping systems. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 51, 499–519. doi: 10.1146/annurev-phyto-082712-102246
- Bouizgarne, B., El-Maarouf-Bouteau, H., Frankart, C., Reboutier, D., Madiona, K., Pennarun, A. M., et al. (2006). Early physiological responses of *Arabidopsis thaliana* cells to fusaric acid: toxic and signalling effects. New Phytol. 169, 209–218. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01561.x
- Broz, A. K., Broeckling, C. D., De-la-Peña, C., Lewis, M. R., Greene, E., Callaway, R. M., et al. (2010). Plant neighbor identity influences plant biochemistry and physiology related to defense. *BMC Plant Biol.* 10:115. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-10-115
- Calonnec, A., Goyeau, H., and de Vallavieille-Pope, C. (1996). Effects of induced resistance on infection efficiency and sporulation of *Puccinia striiformis* on seedlings in varietal mixtures and on field epidemics in pure stands. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 102, 733–741. doi: 10.1007/BF01877147
- Chehab, E. W., Kaspi, R., Savchenko, T., Rowe, H., Negre-Zakharov, F., Kliebenstein, D., et al. (2008). Distinct roles of jasmonates and aldehydes in plant-defense responses. *PLoS ONE* 3:e1904. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0001904
- Chin, K. M., and Wolfe, M. S. (1984). Selection on *Erysiphe graminis* in pure and mixed stands of barley. *Plant Pathol.* 33, 89–100. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3059.1984.tb00592.x

- de Albuquerque, M. B., dos Santos, R. C., Lima, L. M., de Albuquerque Melo Filho, P., Nogueira, R. J. M. C., Da Câmara, C. A. G., et al. (2010). Allelopathy, an alternative tool to improve cropping systems. A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 31, 379–395. doi: 10.1051/agro/2010031
- De-la-Peña, C., Lei, Z., Watson, B. S., Sumner, L. W., and Vivanco, J. M. (2008). Roots-microbe communication through protein secretion. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 25247–25255. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M801967200
- Deng, W., Wang, Y., Liu, Z., Cheng, H., and Xue, Y. (2014). Hemi: a toolkit for illustrating heatmaps. *PLoS ONE* 9:e111988. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0111988
- Dixon, R. A. (2001). Natural products and plant disease resistance. *Nature* 411, 843–847. doi: 10.1038/35081178
- Dong, L., Li, X., Huang, L., Gao, Y., Zhong, L., Zheng, Y., et al. (2014). Lauric acid in crown daisy root exudate potently regulates root-knot nematode chemotaxis and disrupts *Mi-flp-18* expression to block infection. *J. Exp. Bot.* 65, 131–141. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert356
- Du Fall, L. A., and Solomon, P. S. (2011). Role of cereal secondary metabolites involved in mediating the outcome of plant-pathogen interactions. *Metabolites* 1, 64–78. doi: 10.3390/metabo1010064
- Engelberth, J., Alborn, H. T., Schmelz, E. A., and Tumlinson, J. H. (2004). Airborne signals prime plants against insect herbivore attack. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 101, 1781–1785. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0308037100
- Erb, M. (2012). "The role of roots in plant defence," in *Plant Defence: Biological Control*, eds J. M. Mérillon and K. G. Ramawat (Netherlands: Springer Press), 291–309.
- Erb, M., Flors, V., Karlen, D., De Lange, E., Planchamp, C., D'Alessandro, M., et al. (2009). Signal signature of aboveground-induced resistance upon belowground herbivory in maize. *Plant J.* 59, 292–302. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.03868.x
- Erb, M., Köllner, T. G., Degenhardt, J., Zwahlen, C., Hibbard, B. E., and Turlings, T. C. (2011). The role of abscisic acid and water stress in root herbivoreinduced leaf resistance. *New Phytol.* 189, 308–320. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03450.x
- Etzerodt, T., Nielsen, S. T., Mortensen, A. G., Christophersen, C., and Fomsgaard, I. S. (2006). Elucidating the transformation pattern of the cereal allelochemical 6-methoxy-2-benzoxazolinone (MBOA) and the trideuteriomethoxy analogue [D3]-MBOA in soil. J. Agric. Food Chem. 54, 1075–1085. doi: 10.1021/jf0509052
- Fan, P., Hostettmann, K., and Lou, H. (2010). Allelochemicals of the invasive neophyte *Polygonum cuspidatum* Sieb. & Zucc.(*Polygonaceae*). Chemoecology 20, 223–227. doi: 10.1007/s00049-010-0052-4
- Farag, M. A., Fokar, M., Zhang, H.A., Allen, R.D., and Pare, P.W. (2005). (Z)-3hexenol induces defense genes and downstream metabollites in maize. *Planta* 220, 900–909. doi: 10.1007/s00425-004-1404-5
- Frébortová, J. (2010). Function of plant defense secondary metabolite in cytokinin degradation. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 5, 523–525. doi: 10.4161/psb.10965
- Frey, M., Schullehner, K., Dick, R., Fiesselmann, A., and Gierl, A. (2009). Benzoxazinoid biosynthesis, a model for evolution of secondary metabolic pathways in plants. *Phytochemistry* 70, 1645–1651. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2009.05.012
- Friebe, A., Vilich, V., Hennig, L., Kluge, M., and Sicker, D. (1998). Detoxification of benzoxazolinone allelochemicals from wheat by *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. tritici, G. graminis var. graminis, G. graminis var. avenae, and Fusarium culmorum. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 64, 2386–2391.
- Gao, X., Wu, M., Xu, R., Wang, X., Pan, R., Kim, H. J., et al. (2014). Roots interactions in a maize/soybean intercropping system control soybean soil-borne disease, red crown rot. *PLoS ONE* 9:e95031. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0095031
- Gaulin, E., Jauneau, A., Villalba, F., Rickauer, M., Esquerré-Tugayé, M. T., and Bottin, A. (2002). The CBEL glycoprotein of *Phytophthora parasitica* varnicotianae is involved in cell wall deposition and adhesion to cellulosic substrates. *J. Cell Sci.* 115, 4565–4575. doi: 10.1242/jcs.00138
- Giraud, T., Gladieux, P., and Gavrilets, S. (2010). Linking the emergence of fungal plant diseases with ecological speciation. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 25, 387–395. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2010.03.006
- Gómez-Rodriguez, O., Zavaleta-Mejia, E., Gonzalez-Hernandez, V. A., Livera-Munoz, M., and Cárdenas-Soriano, E. (2003). Allelopathy and microclimatic modification of intercropping with marigold on tomato early blight disease development. *Field Crops Res.* 83, 27–34. doi: 10.1016/S0378-4290(03)00053-4

- Goodwin, P. H., and Hsiang, T. (2010). "Quantification of fungal infection of leaves with digital images and scion image software," in *Molecular and Cell Biology Methods for Fungi*, ed A. Sharon (New York, NY: Springer Press), 125–135.
- Huang, X. F., Chaparro, J. M., Reardon, K. F., Zhang, R., Shen, Q., and Vivanco, J. M. (2014). Rhizosphere interactions: root exudates, microbes, and microbial communities. *Botany* 92, 267–275. doi: 10.1139/cjb-2013-0225
- Janda, T., Szalai, G., Tari, I., and Paldi, E. (1999). Hydroponic treatment with salicylic acid decreases the effects of chilling injury in maize (*Zea mays L.*) plants. *Planta* 208, 175–180. doi: 10.1007/s004250050547
- Jasiński, M., Kachlicki, P., Rodziewicz, P., Figlerowicz, M., and Stobiecki, M. (2009). Changes in the profile of flavonoid accumulation in *Medicago* truncatula leaves during infection with fungal pathogen *Phoma medicaginis*. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 47, 847–853. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2009.05.004
- Kishimoto, K., Matsui, K., Ozawa, R., and Takabayashi, J. (2005). Volatile C6aldehydes and allo-ocimene activate defense genes and induce resistance against *Botrytis cinerea* in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 46, 1093–1102. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pci122
- Knops, J. M., Tilman, D., Haddad, D. N., Naeem, S., Mitchell, C. E., Haarstad, J., et al. (1999). Effects of plant species richness on invasion dynamics, disease outbreaks, insect abundances and diversity. *Ecol. Lett.* 2, 286–293. doi: 10.1046/j.1461-0248.1999.00083.x
- Kumar, M., Lee, S. C., Kim, J. Y., Kim, S. J., and Kim, S. R. (2014). Over-expression of dehydrin gene, *OsDhn1*, improves drought and salt stress tolerance through scavenging of reactive oxygen species in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J. Plant Biol.* 57, 383–393. doi: 10.1007/s12374-014-0487-1
- Lanubile, A., Bernardi, J., Marocco, A., Logrieco, A., and Paciolla, C. (2012). Differential activation of defense genes and enzymes in maize genotypes with contrasting levels of resistance to *Fusarium verticillioides*. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 78, 39–46. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2011.12.006
- Lee, S. C., and Luan, S. (2012). ABA signal transduction at the crossroad of biotic and abiotic stress responses. *Plant Cell Environ.* 35, 53–60. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02426.x
- Li, C., He, X., Zhu, S., Zhou, H., Wang, Y., Li, Y., et al. (2009). Crop diversity for yield increase. *PLoS ONE* 4:e8049. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0008049
- Li, L., Li, S. M., Sun, J. H., Zhou, L. L., Bao, X. G., Zhang, H. G., et al. (2007). Diversity enhances agricultural productivity via rhizosphere phosphorus facilitation on phosphorus-deficient soils. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 11192–11196. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0704591104
- Ma, C. J. (2008). Cellulase elicitor induced accumulation of capsidiol in *Capsicum annumm* L. suspension cultures. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 30, 961–965. doi: 10.1007/s10529-007-9624-y
- Maag, D., Dalvit, C., Thevenet, D., Köhler, A., Wouters, F. C., Vassão, D. G., et al. (2014). 3- β -d-Glucopyranosyl-6-methoxy-2-benzoxazolinone (MBOA-N-Glc) is an insect detoxification product of maize 1, 4-benzoxazin-3-ones. *Phytochemistry* 102, 97–105. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2014.03.018
- Maldonado-Bonilla, L. D., Betancourt-Jiménez, M., and Lozoya-Gloria, E. (2008). Local and systemic gene expression of sesquiterpene phytoalexin biosynthetic enzymes in plant leaves. *Eur.J. Plant Pathol.* 121, 439–449. doi: 10.1007/s10658-007-9262-1
- Mattoo, S., Lee, Y. M., and Dixon, J. E. (2007). Interactions of bacterial effector proteins with host proteins. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 19, 392–401. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2007.06.005
- Mei, X., Yang, M., Ding, X., Bi, Y., and Chen, L., Deng, W., et al. (2014). Proteomic analysis of zoxamide-induced changes in *Phytophthora cactorum*. *Pestic. Biochem. Phys.* 113, 31–39. doi: 10.1016/j.pestbp.2014.06.004
- Mialoundama, A. S., Heintz, D., Debayle, D., Rahier, A., Camara, B., and Bouvier, F. (2009). Abscisic acid negatively regulates elicitor-induced synthesis of capsidiol in wild tobacco. *Plant Physiol.* 150, 1556–1566. doi: 10.1104/pp.109.138420
- Montillet, J. L., and Hirt, H. (2013). New checkpoints in stomatal defense. *Trends Plant Sci.* 18, 295–297. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2013.03.007
- Mozzetti, C., Ferraris, L., Tamietti, G., and Matta, A. (1995). Variation in enzyme activities in leaves and cell suspensions as markers of incompatibility in different *Phytophthora*-pepper interactions. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* 46, 95–107. doi: 10.1006/pmpp.1995.1008
- Naoumkina, M., Farag, M. A., Sumner, L. W., Tang, Y., Liu, C. J., and Dixon, R. A. (2007). Different mechanisms for phytoalexin induction by pathogen

and wound signals in *Medicago truncatula*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 104, 17909–17915. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0708697104

- Nawrocka, J., and Małolepsza, U. (2013). Diversity in plant systemic resistance induced by *Trichoderma*. *Biol. Control.* 67, 149–156. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2013.07.005
- Naznin, H. A., Kiyohara, D., Kimura, M., Miyazawa, M., Shimizu, M., and Hyakumachi, M. (2014). Systemic resistance induced by volatile organic compounds emitted by plant growth-promoting fungi in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *PLoS ONE* 9:e86882. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0086882
- Neal, A. L., Ahmad, S., Gordon-Weeks, R., and Ton, J. (2012). Benzoxazinoids in roots exudates of maize attract *Pseudomonas putida* to the rhizosphere. *PLoS ONE* 7:e35498. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0035498
- Newton, A. C., and Guy, D. C. (2009). The effects of uneven, patchy cultivar mixtures on disease control and yield in winter barley. *Field Crops Res.* 110, 225–228. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2008.09.002
- Niemeyer, H. M. (2009). Hydroxamic acids derived from 2-hydroxy-2 H-1, 4benzoxazin-3 (4 H)-one: key defense chemicals of cereals. J. Agric. Food Chem. 57, 1677–1696. doi: 10.1021/jf8034034
- Okubara, P. A., and Paulitz, T. C. (2005). "Root defense responses to fungal pathogens: a molecular perspective," in *Root Physiology: From Gene to Function*, eds A. J. Miller, M. D. Cramer, H. Lambers, and T. D. Colmer (Netherlands: Springer Press), 215–226.
- Park, S., Takano, Y., Matsuura, H., and Yoshihara, T. (2004). Antifungal compounds from the root and root exudate of *Zea mays. Biosci. Biotech. Biochem.* 68, 1366–1368. doi: 10.1271/bbb.68.1366
- Planchamp, C., Glauser, G., and Mauch-Mani, B. (2015). Root inoculation with *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 induces transcriptional and metabolic changes and systemic resistance in maize plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5:719. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00719
- Pozo, M. J., Cordier, C., Dumas–Gaudot, E., Gianinazzi, S., Barea, J. M., and Azcón–Aguilar, C. (2002). Localized versus systemic effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on defence responses to *Phytophthora* infection in tomato plants. J. Exp. Bot. 53, 525–534. doi: 10.1093/jexbot/53.368.525
- Ratnadass, A., Fernandes, P., Avelino, J., and Habib, R. (2012). Plant species diversity for sustainable management of crop pests and diseases in agroecosystems: a review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 32, 273–303. doi: 10.1007/s13593-011-0022-4
- Ren, L., Su, S., Yang, X., Xu, Y., Huang, Q., and Shen, Q. (2008). Intercropping with aerobic rice suppressed *Fusarium wilt* in watermelon. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 40, 834–844. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2007.11.003
- Robert, C. A., Veyrat, N., Glauser, G., Marti, G., Doyen, G. R., Villard, N., et al. (2012). A specialist roots herbivore exploits defensive metabolites to locate nutritious tissues. *Ecol. Lett.* 15, 55–64. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01708.x
- Robert-Seilaniantz, A., Navarro, L., Bari, R., and Jones, J. D. (2007). Pathological hormone imbalances. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 10, 372–379. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2007.06.003
- Ryals, J. A., Neuenschwander, U. H., Willits, M. G., Molina, A., Steiner, H. Y., and Hunt, M. D. (1996). Systemic acquired resistance. *Plant Cell* 8:1809. doi: 10.1105/tpc.8.10.1809
- Schnee, C., Köllner, T. G., Held, M., Turlings, T. C., Gershenzon, J., and Degenhardt, J. (2006). The products of a single maize sesquiterpene synthase form a volatile defense signal that attracts natural enemies of maize herbivores. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103, 1129–1134. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0508027103
- Shoresh, M., Harman, G. E., and Mastouri, F. (2010). Induced systemic resistance and plant responses to fungal biocontrol agents. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 48, 21–43. doi: 10.1146/annurev-phyto-073009-114450
- Shukla, O. P., Singh, P. K., and Deshbhratar, P. B. (2010). Impact of phosphorous on biochemical changes in *Hordeum vulgare* L. in mixed cropping with Chickpea. J. Environ. Biol. 31, 575–580.
- Sillero, J. C., Rojas-Molina, M. M., Ávila, C. M., and Rubiales, D. (2012). Induction of systemic acquired resistance against rust, ascochyta blight and broomrape in faba bean by exogenous application of salicylic acid and benzothiadiazole. *Crop Protect.* 34, 65–69. doi: 10.1016/j.cropro.2011.12.001
- Sommer, R., Exner, T. E., and Titz, A. (2014). A biophysical study with carbohydrate derivatives explains the molecular basis of monosaccharide selectivity of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Lectin LecB. *PLoS ONE* 9:e112822. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0112822
- Song, Y. Y., Cao, M., Xie, L. J., Liang, X. T., Zeng, R. S., Su, Y. J., et al. (2011). Induction of DIMBOA accumulation and systemic defense responses as a

mechanism of enhanced resistance of mycorrhizal corn (*Zea mays* L.) to sheath blight. *Mycorrhiza* 21, 721–731. doi: 10.1007/s00572-011-0380-4

- Ton, J., D'Alessandro, M., Jourdie, V., Jakab, G., Karlen, D., Held, M., et al. (2007).
 Priming by airborne signals boosts direct and indirect resistance in maize. *Plant J.* 49, 16–26. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.02935.x
- Uren, N. C. (2007). "The rhizosphere. Biochemistry and organic substances at the soil-plant interface," in *Types, Amounts, and Possible Functions of Compounds Released into the Rhizosphere by Soil-grown Plants*, eds R. Pinton, Z. Varanin, and P. Nannipieri (New York, NY: CPC Press), 1–21.
- Van Loon, L. C., Bakker, P. A. H. M., and Pieterse, C. M. J. (1998). Systemic resistance induced by rhizosphere bacteria. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 36, 453–483. doi: 10.1146/annurev.phyto.36.1.453
- Weller, D. M., Mavrodi, D. V., van Pelt, J. A., Pieterse, C. M., van Loon, L. C., and Bakker, P. A. (2012). Induced systemic resistance in Arabidopsis thaliana against *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* by 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinolproducing *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. *Phytopathology* 102, 403–412. doi: 10.1094/PHYTO-08-11-0222
- Wen, F., VanEtten, H. D., Tsaprailis, G., and Hawes, M. C. (2007). Extracellular proteins in pea roots tip and border cell exudates. *Plant Physiol.* 143, 773–783. doi: 10.1104/pp.106.091637
- Weston, L. A., and Mathesius, U. (2013). Flavonoids: their structure, biosynthesis and role in the rhizosphere, including allelopathy. J. Chem. Ecol. 39, 283–297. doi: 10.1007/s10886-013-0248-5
- Wolfe, M.S. (1985). The current status and prospects of multiline cultivars and variety mixtures for disease resistance. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 23, 251–273. doi: 10.1146/annurev.py.23.090185.001343
- Xu, J., Zhao, X., Wang, X., Zhao, Z., and Du, Y. (2007). Oligochitosan inhibits *Phytophthora capsici* by penetrating the cell membrane and putative binding to intracellular targets. *Pestic. Biochem. Phys.* 88, 167–175. doi: 10.1016/j.pestbp.2006.10.010
- Xu, L., and Du, L. (2006). Direct detection and quantification of Alternaria alternata lycopersici toxins using high-performance liquid chromatographyevaporative light-scattering detection. J. Microbiol. Meth. 64, 398–405. doi: 10.1016/j.mimet.2005.06.004
- Yang, M. H., Lin, Y. J., Kuo, C. H., and Ku, K. L. (2010). Medicinal mushroom Ganoderma lucidum as a potent elicitor in production of t-resveratrol and t-piceatannol in peanut calluses. J. Agr. Food Chem. 58, 9518–9522. doi: 10.1021/jf102107p
- Yang, M., Zhang, Y., Qi, L., Mei, X., Liao, J., Ding, X., et al. (2014). Plantplant-microbe mechanisms involved in soil-borne disease suppression on a maize and pepper intercropping system. *PLoS ONE* 9:e115052. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0115052
- Yang, Y., He, M., Zhu, Z., Li, S., Xu, Y., Zhang, C., et al. (2012). Identification of the dehydrin gene family from grapevine species and analysis of their responsiveness to various forms of abiotic and biotic stress. *BMC Plant Biol.* 12:140. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-12-140
- Yi, H. S., Heil, M., Adame-Álvarez, R. M., Ballhorn, D. J., and Ryu, C. M. (2009). Airborne induction and priming of plant defenses against a bacterial pathogen. *Plant Physiol.* 151, 2152–2161. doi: 10.1104/pp.109.144782
- Zhao, X., She, X., Du, Y., and Liang, X. (2007). Induction of antiviral resistance and stimulary effect by oligochitosan in tobacco. *Pestic. Biochem. Phys.* 87, 78–84. doi: 10.1016/j.pestbp.2006.06.006
- Zhu, Y., Chen, H., Fan, J., Wang, Y., Li, Y., Chen, J., et al. (2000). Genetic diversity and disease control in rice. *Nature* 406, 718–722. doi: 10.1038/35021046
- Zhu, Y. Y., Fang, H., Wang, Y. Y., Fan, J. X., Yang, S. S., Mew, T. W., et al. (2005). Panicle blast and canopy moisture in rice cultivar mixtures. *Phytopathology* 95, 433–438. doi: 10.1094/PHYTO-95-0433

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2015 Ding, Yang, Huang, Chuan, He, Li, Zhu and Zhu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.