



Pseudomonas fluorescens and Trichoderma asperellum Enhance Expression of Gα Subunits of the Pea Heterotrimeric G-protein during Erysiphe pisi Infection

Jai S. Patel^{1,2}, Birinchi K. Sarma^{2*}, Harikesh B. Singh², Ram S. Upadhyay¹, Ravindra N. Kharwar¹ and Mushtaq Ahmed¹

¹ Department of Botany, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India, ² Department of Mycology and Plant Pathology, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Abdul Latif Khan, University of Nizwa, Oman

Reviewed by:

Biswapriya Biswavas Misra, University of Florida, USA Vijai Kumar Gupta, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland

*Correspondence:

Birinchi K. Sarma birinchi_ks@yahoo.com; birinchi@bhu.ac.in

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Biotic Interactions, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 17 September 2015 Accepted: 15 December 2015 Published: 07 January 2016

Citation:

Patel JS, Sarma BK, Singh HB, Upadhyay RS, Kharwar RN and Ahmed M (2016) Pseudomonas fluorescens and Trichoderma asperellum Enhance Expression of Ga Subunits of the Pea Heterotrimeric G-protein during Erysiphe pisi Infection. Front. Plant Sci. 6:1206. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.01206 We investigated the transcript accumulation patterns of all three subunits of heterotrimeric G-proteins (G α 1 and 2, G β , and G γ) in pea under stimulation of two soil-inhabiting rhizosphere microbes Pseudomonas fluorescens OKC and Trichoderma asperellum T42. The microbes were either applied individually or co-inoculated and the transcript accumulation patterns were also investigated after challenging the same plants with a fungal biotrophic pathogen Erysiphe pisi. We observed that mostly the transcripts of $G\alpha$ 1 and 2 subunits were accumulated when the plants were treated with the microbes (OKC and T42) either individually or co-inoculated. However, transcript accumulations of $G\alpha$ subunits were highest in the T42 treatment particularly under the challenge of the biotroph. Transcript accumulations of the other two subunits GB and Gy were either basal or even lower than the basal level. There was an indication for involvement of JA-mediated pathway in the same situations as activation of LOX1 and COI1 were relatively enhanced in the microbe co-inoculated treatments. Non-increment of SA content as well as transcripts of SA-dependent PR1 suggested non-activation of the SA-mediated signal transduction in the interaction of pea with E. pisi under the stimuli of OKC and T42. G α 1 and 2 transcript accumulations were further correlated with peroxidases activities, H₂O₂ generation and accumulation in ABA in pea leaves under OKC and T42 stimulations and all these activities were positively correlated with stomata closure at early stage of the biotroph challenge. The microbe-induced physiological responses in pea leaves finally led to reduced E. pisi development particularly in OKC and T42 co-inoculated plants. We conclude that OKC and T42 pretreatment stimulate transcript accumulations of the $G\alpha 1$ and $G\alpha 2$ subunits of the heterotrimeric G protein, peroxidases activities and phenol accumulation in pea during infection by E. pisi. The signal transduction was possibly mediated through JA in pea under the stimulus of the microbes and the cumulative effect of the co-inoculated microbes had a suppressive effect on *E. pisi* conidial development on pea leaves.

Keywords: Pisum sativum, Erysiphe pisi, G-protein, hydrogen peroxide, stomata, jasmonic acid

INTRODUCTION

Understanding the plant immune system is a challenge to the plant biologists. However, a significant understanding had been developed with time in relation to plant's responses toward various pathogen challenges. Plants respond to biotroph, necrotroph, oomycete, and bacteria differently (Trusov et al., 2010). Involvement of the heterotrimeric G-proteins in plant defense system was first described by Legendre et al. (1992). Unlike in animals, the transmembrane G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) are not commonly found in plants and therefore the G-protein subunits (α , β , and γ) are believed to be autoregulated in plants (Urano et al., 2012). However, in a recent report Bommert et al. (2013) demonstrated existence of GPCR like transmembrane receptors in maize that have the ability to activate the Ga subunit for regulation of shoot meristem development. Some other studies in plants have demonstrated direct roles for G-proteins in plant defense against a variety of pathogens (Suharsono et al., 2002; Llorente et al., 2005; Trusov et al., 2006, 2010). But the signaling role and host-pathogen interaction may be specific to each hostpathogen pair and therefore needs thorough investigation to develop a comprehensive understanding on the interaction taking place.

Very few genes were reported which encodes G-protein and involved in different biological processes of plant cell (Jones and Assmann, 2004). While model plant Arabidopsis has only one gene for Ga subunit, one for GB subunit, and three for Gy-subunits (Thung et al., 2012), pea has two Ga subunits (Marsh and Kaufman, 1999), rice has two Gy subunits (Yadav et al., 2012) and Nicotiana benthamiana has two Gβ-subunit genes (Zhang et al., 2012). Different subunits of G-protein were involved in disease resistance against a variety of pathogens in different plants. The GB was involved in defense against necrotrophic fungi such as Botrytis cinerea, Alternaria brassisicola and Plectosphaerella cucumerina, vascular pathogen Fusarium oxysporum in Arabidopsis, the Ga-subunit was involved in defense against the hemibiotrophic rice blast pathogen Magnaporthe grisea in rice whereas no evidence was found regarding involvement of G-proteins against the oomycete Peronospora parasitica and bacterium Pseudomonas syringae in Arabidopsis (Suharsono et al., 2002; Llorente et al., 2005; Trusov et al., 2006, 2010). The interrelationship between G-proteins and Arabidopsis defense responses nevertheless been clearly concluded by GBy dimer studies (Urano et al., 2013). The results broadly conclude that the $G\alpha$ subunit is a negative regulator of defense response against the necrotrophic pathogens while GB and Gy are positive regulators. Demonstrable evidence showed that G-protein mediated defense response include production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) including H₂O₂, hypersensitive response (HR), activation of NADPH oxidases, ion channels and phospholipases (Suharsono et al., 2002; Torres et al., 2013). However, the phytohormonal signaling mechanisms in G-protein mediated defense responses have not yet been clearly understood. While Trusov et al. (2006) claimed that jasmonic acid (JA) was involved in heterotrimeric G-protein mediated resistance against necrotrophic pathogen in Arabidopsis, Trusov

et al. (2009) later demonstrated that G-protein mediated resistance to necrotrophic pathogens includes mechanisms independent of salicylic acid (SA), jasmonic acid/ethylene (JA/ET), and abscisic acid (ABA) signaling. Interestingly, no demonstrable evidence is available regarding involvement of G-protein in the defense response to an obligately surviving fungal biotrophic pathogen. Moreover, there is no report on the influence of phytohormonal signaling on G-protein mediated defense response against an obligately biotrophic fungus.

Earlier we demonstrated that phytohormonal signaling can be influenced by plant associated rhizospheric microbes. We reported higher accumulation of phenolics in pea (Pisum sativum) leaves against infection by the obligate pathogen Erysiphe pisi in fluorescent Pseudomonas treated plants (Singh et al., 2002) and antioxidant activities against Sclerotinia sclerotiorum challenge in fluorescent Pseudomonas and Trichoderma treated pea (Jain et al., 2012). Under natural environmental conditions such interactions are common and therefore needs to pay attention while evaluating plantpathogen interactions. To address the issues (i) whether G-protein signaling is involved in plant-fungal biotrophic pathogen interactions and (ii) if so, how it is influenced by common rhizosphere microbial occupants, in the present study we used pea and E. pisi as model system and observed the activation patterns of all three subunits of G-proteins in pea upon inoculation with the biotroph. Further, to get an idea whether soil inhabiting rhizosphere microbes influence the host G-protein activation during the interaction, we used two compatible strains one each of fluorescent Pseudomonas and Trichoderma for treating the pea seeds. Results from the present study thus believed to add a new dimension to our understanding regarding the plant-fungal biotrophic pathogen interaction and the role of heterotrimeric G-proteins in this interaction particularly in an environment partially mimicking the natural soil conditions with the use of two soil inhabiting rhizosphere microbes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Setup and Conidial Germination Test

Seeds of pea (cv. AP3) were bio-primed with *Trichoderma* asperellum T42 and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* OKC according to Singh et al. (2013) individually as well as in combination. ITS region of both the microbes were sequenced and the sequences were submitted in the NCBI GenBank with the accession numbers JN128894 (*T. asperellum*) and JN128891 (*P. fluorescens*). Cell suspension of OKC was adjusted to 1.6×10^8 cfu mL⁻¹ whereas T42 to 2×10^6 cfu mL⁻¹. Primed seeds were sown in sterilized soil with vermiculite in ratio of 2:1 and ten replicates of each treatment were placed. Plants were kept under 16 h light/8 h dark in a greenhouse at 21°C. After 21 days of sowing, plants were inoculated with conidia of *E. pisi* by dusting with the help of fine brush from earlier diseased plants (Singh et al., 2002). After pathogen inoculation leaf samples were collected after 24 h for conidial germination test and for gene expression analysis by quantitative RT-PCR. Disease severity (DS) of *E. pisi* was calculated after 2 weeks of inoculation according to a 0–4 scale (Fondevilla et al., 2006), where 0 = no visible sign of disease and 4 = well developed, freely sporulating colonies. The DS was scored as the percentage of leaf coverage by the mycelium using the formula:

$$DS(\%) = \frac{\text{Sum of ratings } (0-4) \times 100}{\text{Maximum possible score } \times \text{Total no. of leaves examined}}$$

Conidial and Stomata Behavior Study

Ethanol, acetic acid solution in the ratio of 3:1 was used for removal of chlorophyll from the leaves and after clearing the leaves staining was done with Coomassie blue (0.01% in methanol; Schlicht and Kombrink, 2013) for visualization of *E. pisi* conidia on leaves. After staining, leaves were kept on glass slide and observed under compound light microscope for conidial germination. Three replicates were taken from each treatment and 50 conidia were observed for germination, number of appressoria/conidia produced, number of germ tubes/conidia produced and length of the longest germ tube. Stomata behavior (50 stomata from each treatment) was also observed under a light microscope of the same leaves after 24 h of pathogen inoculation.

Extraction and Measurement of Total Phenolics

Total phenol content (TPC) was estimated according to the Graham (1992). Briefly, fresh leaves (1 g) were separately extracted (50% aqueous methanol, thrice from each treatment), supernatant was evaporated to dryness, dissolved in distilled water (1 ml), and analyzed with a spectrophotometer (Bausch and Lomb, USA) at 700 nm by the Prussian Blue method. A standard curve was prepared from gallic acid and TPC was calculated in terms of gallic acid equivalents.

Qualitative and Quantitative Estimation H₂O₂

Histochemical staining for visual analysis of H_2O_2 accumulation in leaves was done by 3,3-diaminobenzidine (DAB) according to Thordal-Christensen et al. (1997). H_2O_2 reacts with DAB to form a reddish-brown color on leaves. All leaves (treated and untreated) were incubated at room temperature in dark for 20 h with 1 mg ml⁻¹ DAB solution (pH 7.5). After incubation leaves were boiled in a solution containing alcohol and lactophenol (2:1) for 5–10 min and rinsed thrice with 50% ethanol for chlorophyll removal. For quantitative analysis of H_2O_2 0.1 g leaf sample from each of the treatments was crushed in an ice bath with 2.0 ml of 0.1% (w/v) of trichloroacetic acid (TCA). The crushed material was centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 10 min and 0.5 ml of the supernatant was taken for further process. 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and 1 ml of 1 M potassium iodide solution was added to previous solution and incubated at room temperature for 5 min. The oxidation product formed was measured spectrophotometrically at 390 nm (Velikova, 2000). The amount of H_2O_2 formed was determined by correlating with the standard curve made with known concentrations of H_2O_2 and expressed as nmol H_2O_2 g⁻¹ fresh weight (FW).

Peroxidase (PO) Activity Assay

One gram of leaf sample from all treatments was homogenized in 4 ml of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.0; 4°C) and centrifuged at 12000 × g at 4°C for 15 min. Supernatant was taken as enzyme source. The reaction mixture contained 2.8 ml of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7), 0.05 ml of 0.018 M Guaiacol, enzyme extract 0.1 ml, lastly 1% of H₂O₂ added and change in absorbance was measured immediately at 420 nm at interval of 30 s for 3 min. The enzyme activity was expressed as change in the OD (optical density) per min per gram of fresh weight. The experiment was conducted according to Bergmeyer and Bernt (1974).

RNA Extraction and Quantitative RT-PCR Analysis

Relative expression levels of G protein subunits, LOX1, COI1, PAL, and PR1 were determined by quantitative real-time PCR (Q-RT-PCR) according to Webling and Panstruga (2012). Total RNA was extracted from 200 mg leaf tissue thrice from each treatment by using RNeasy plant mini kit (Qiagen). Amount of RNA was analyzed by NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo). Approximately 3 µg total RNA was digested using RNase-free DNase I at 37°C to remove remaining genomic DNA. cDNA was prepared by following the protocol (Sambrook and Russell, 2001) with help of oligo (dT) primers and reverse transcriptase enzyme. cDNAs were used as templates for semi-quantitative and quantitative RT-PCR. Eva Green SYBR® Green Supermix Kit (Bio-Rad) on the iQ5 Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Munchen, Germany) was used for qRT-PCR. We used gene-specific primers at a final concentration of 0.1 µM and transcript levels of each mRNA were determined and normalized with the level of ubiquitin. Following primers were used: Ga1 (forward: 5'-TGCCCGTGGTAATGAGCTCCA-3', reverse: 5'-TCTCATTTCTCTGGCCGCCGA-3'), Ga2 (forward: 5'-CCGATGAGAGCAAGGACGTT-3', reverse: 5'-GGAGTT CATTACCACGGGCA-3'), Gβ (forward: 5'-CGATGCGGACGT CAAAGAACGTC-3', reverse: 5'-CCTTTCCGGTATGACCTTG GAGCG-3'), Gy (forward: 5'-CGCCTGCGCCATAGACATC GT-3', reverse: 5'-TCCAAAGTCCCCGTCGCGC-3'), LOX1 (forward: 5'-GCTGCAGGCATGGTGGACAGA-3', reverse: 5'-GCCCAAAGTTCACAGCTGCATGG-3'), PR1 (forward: 5'-GC TGCCTTGTCCTTCCTCC-3', reverse: 5'-AGTGCGCTTT GTTCTTGCAGTG-3'), COI1 (forward: 5'-AGATGTGGATGG TGTTGTTTCCCA-3', reverse: 5'-CGGTTATCTTCTCCTCA CGGTCG-3'), PAL (forward: 5'-ATGGTGTGAAGGTGGAGC TGTCA-3', reverse: 5'-CGCCTTGTTTGGTTCGACGGT-3'), and Ubiquitin (forward: 5'-CCCCCAGACCAGCAAAGG TTGA-3', reverse: 5'-TGTGTCTGAGCTCTCCACCTCCA-3').



The primers were designed by using online software Primer-3 with parameters according to Thornton and Basu (2011). qRT-PCR assays were carried out according to the modified protocol of Marone et al. (2001) in three technical replicates per sample following the conditions: denaturation at 95°C for 2 min, 40 repeats at 95°C for 20 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 25 s. The data from different PCR runs or cDNA samples were normalized with mean CT value of the endogenous gene ubiquitin using the $2^{-\Delta \Delta CT}$ method (Schmittgen and Livak, 2008). Fold accumulation of transcripts was compared by using the mean of the CT values of the three biological replicates with treatment C (control).

HPLC Analysis of Salicylic Acid (SA) and Abscisic Acid (ABA)

HPLC (high performance liquid chromatography) was done from leaf samples collected after 24 h of pathogen inoculation. The samples were prepared according to method of Basha et al. (2006). 1 g of sample was homogenized in 10 ml of ethanol water (4:1) and centrifuged at 13000 rpm for 15 min at room temperature. Greenish supernatant was taken and the green pigments were removed by adding small amount of charcoal step by step. After 3 h, clear transparent solution was filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter paper and collected in glass tube, the process is repeated two times. The supernatant was evaporated and dried samples were resuspended in HPLC grade methanol for HPLC analysis. Shimadzu LC-10A (Japan) was used that was equipped with dual pump LC-10A binary system, UV detector SPD-10A, Phenomenex (Torrance, USA) C18 column (RP-Hydro, 4 μ m, 250 mm \times 4.6 mm). Shimadzu Class VP series software was used to integrate the data. Separation of SA was achieved with acetonitrile/water (1:1 v/v) containing 1% acetic acid in a linear gradient program (Singh et al., 2009). The solvent flow rate was 1.0 ml min⁻¹. Results (μ g/g) fresh weight FW were obtained by comparing the peak areas of the samples with standards. For ABA, solvent system and running conditions were set according to Poschenrieder et al. (1989).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was done by using SPSS version 16. Experiments were repeated two times using a completely randomized design. The data are expressed as the mean of three independent replications \pm standard deviation. The treatment



mean values were compared by Duncan's multiple range test at $P \leq 0.05$ significance level.

RESULTS

Transcript Accumulation of Subunits of Pea G-protein Pre-treated with OKC and T42 and Challenged with *Erysiphe pisi*

Differential responses of the heterotrimeric G-protein subunits are reported against a variety of pathogens including necrotrophic fungi, vascular pathogens, oomycete, and bacterial pathogens (Trusov et al., 2010). In the present study we observed responses of all three subunits of the pea heterotrimeric G-protein pre-treated with *P. fluorescens* OKC and *T. asperellum* T42 followed by challenge with the biotrophic pathogen *E. pisi.* Quantitative real time PCR analyses proved that it was mostly transcripts of G α -subunits (G α 1 and 2) of the G-protein accumulated after the biotroph challenge particularly in treatment T42 (**Figures 1A,B**). The results authenticate a positive role of the microbes in stimulating G α (1, 2) transcript accumulation. The G α 1 transcript accumulation



different treatments: P- Pathogen *E. pisi*, O- *P. fluorescens* OKC, T-*T. asperellum* T42, OT- combination of OKC and T42. Error bars represent SDs from means of three measurements. Different superscript letters indicate data significantly different from the other treatments ($P \le 0.05$; Duncan's multiple range test).



after the pathogen challenge was approximately threefold more over unchallenged control in the T42 treatment followed by nearly 2.8 folds more in the co-inoculated treatment and 2.5 folds in treatment OKC. The transcript level of G α 1 was lowest in the unchallenged control compared to the pathogen challenged plants. A similar trend was observed in G α 2 transcript accumulation where its transcript accumulation was nearly 3.5 folds in T42, 2.5 folds in OKC and 2.2 folds in the co-inoculated treatment. Between the two introduced microbes *T. asperellum* T42 performed better compared to *P. fluorescens* OKC. In contrast, the transcript levels of the G β and G γ -subunits were either basal or lower in most of the treatments compared to control plants (**Figures 1C,D**). However, weak expressions of G γ were observed in the pathogen challenged plants pre-treated either with OKC or co-inoculated with OKC and T42.

JA-Mediated Defense Responses in Pea Pre-treated with OKC and T42 followed by *E. pisi* Challenge

Jasmonic acid-mediated signal transduction has traditionally been linked to infection by necrotrophic pathogens (Thomma et al., 1998; van Wees et al., 2003; Glazebrook, 2005; Kachroo and Kachroo, 2009) whereas SA to biotrophic pathogens (Spoel et al., 2007). In contrast, non-activation of the SA pathway is also reported to infection by some biotrophic pathogens (Murray et al., 2007; Fabro et al., 2008) and instead there are reports where regulation of the JA pathway was demonstrated upon infection by biotrophic pathogens (Ellis et al., 2002). In the present study we also found that combined application of OKC and T42 increased the transcript level of one of the JA-biosynthetic pathways genes LOX1 in pea during infection by the biotrophic pathogen E. pisi (Figure 2). Interestingly its accumulation was not significantly high compared to control when OKC and T42 applied individually. Rather the co-inoculation effect was nearly at par with the only pathogen challenged plants without any microbial inoculations. A similar trend was also observed with the transcript accumulation pattern of the JA receptor COI1 (Yan et al., 2009). Its accumulation was also high in combined application of OKC and T42 in presence of the pathogen as well as in only pathogen challenged plants (Figure 2). Therefore, relatively higher activations of LOX1 and COI1 in E. pisi challenged pea plants co-inoculated with OKC and T42 and only E. pisi challenge may mainly be due to the pathogen



activities. However, the role of T42 in strengthening transcription of the two genes also cannot be ignored and between the two microbes certainly the role of T42 was more compared to OKC. Further, basal level activation of the *PR1* (**Figure 2**), a marker gene in the SA-mediated signaling pathway, as well as basal level or lower accumulation of SA (**Figure 3**) in all treatments confirms non-regulation of the SA-signal transduction pathway in any of the treatments (**Figure 2**). Although, transcripts of *PAL* were higher in co-inoculation of OKC and T42, its accumulation may be attributed to activation of the phenylpropanoid pathway (Singh et al., 2013) leading to biosynthesis of phenolics (**Figure 6** rather than SA, **Figure 3**).

H₂O₂ Generation and Peroxidase Activities in Pea Pre-treated with OKC and T42 followed by *E. pisi* Challenge

In plant cells the heterotrimeric G-proteins also regulate ROS metabolism (Zhao et al., 2010). Accumulation of H_2O_2 takes place due to mediation by G-proteins during stomata movement and the phytohormone ABA is involved in the process (Zhang

et al., 2011). Among the three subunits of G-proteins the role of Ga-subunit had been established in mediating the process of ROS accumulation (He et al., 2013). We found that H₂O₂ production was significantly high in E. pisi challenged leaves but low in microbial treatments even after pathogen challenge (Figure 4A). DAB staining also confirmed the same observation (Figure 4B). Interestingly, the pattern of H_2O_2 production also coincides with ABA accumulation in the same treatments (Figure 3). Production of H_2O_2 targeting the fungal hypha of Colletotricum graminicola in maize during the early biotrophic growth of the pathogen was demonstrated (Vargas et al., 2012) and the phenomenon is confirmed in the present study. Results of the present study clearly establish an interrelationship between activation of G α (1, 2)-subunits of the G-protein with H₂O₂ and ABA accumulation in pea pre-treated with OKC and T42 and under the challenge of E. pisi. Lower manifestations of H₂O₂ levels in microbial treatments can be explained through the antioxidant mechanism of the host which was highly active in those treatments. PO activities in the microbial treatments were very high particularly in the co-inoculated treatment. The trends of H2O2 accumulation and PO activities were the same and



correlated with each other. The observation indicates a positive correlation between G α (1,2)-mediated signal transduction, ROS generation and PO activities in pea particularly under the influences of OKC and T42 after challenge with *E. pisi*.

Stomata Behavior in Pea Leaves Pre-treated with OKC and T42 followed by *E. pisi* Challenge

Stomata behavior in hosts infected by biotrophic pathogens had been recorded in several instances. Stomata opened more widely in barley and pea leaves infected by the biotroph *E. graminis* f.sp. hordei and E. pisi, respectively in the early stages of infection (Graf-Marin, 1934; Ayres, 1976). In the present study also we found that stomata remained open in the early period of infection, i.e., after 24 h of inoculation with the biotroph conidia (Figure 5). However, the stomata remained nearly closed in the microbe treated plants after 24 h. The nearly closed stomata in microbes treated pea leaves opposed to open stomata in only pathogen challenged pea closely reflect the $G\alpha$ (1 and 2) subunits - activation pattern in the same treatments (Figures 1 and 5). External stimuli induced and Ga-mediated stomata closure was reported earlier by Chen et al. (2004) and recently by He et al. (2013). Interestingly in both the cases $G\alpha$ -regulated stomata closure was mediated by H2O2. A similar relationship of H₂O₂ generation and stomata behavior was also observed in the present investigation. PO activities in the microbial treatments must also be considered while considering H₂O₂ generation in the same treatments. Partial or complete closure of stomata in the present investigation after inoculation with the biotrophic pathogen thus can be clearly correlated with H₂O₂ generation and G α (1, 2) transcript accumulation. Moreover, stomata closure was also linked to phenolics accumulation (Plumbe and Willmer, 1986). In the present study we also observed that a

positive correlation exists between phenolics accumulation and stomata closure. We observed that in leaves where phenolics accumulation was higher stomata closure was also high (**Figure 6**). The activation pattern of G α subunits, accumulation of H₂O₂ and phenolics and stomata behavior positively correlates with each other in the present study and all three activities was enhanced in plants pre-treated with the soil inhabiting rhizosphere microbial strains. The contrasting behavior of stomata after pathogen inoculation in the microbe co-inoculated plants may thus be postulated through G α -regulated responses.

Development of *E. pisi* on Pea Pre-treated with OKC and T42

Pea plants pre-treated with the soil inhabiting rhizosphere microbes and challenged with E. pisi demonstrated genetic and physiological similarities with an enhanced degree of the Ga-regulated responses. Coinciding with the genetic and physiological outcome, development of E. pisi on pea leaves pre-treated with the microbes also showed similar responses. Conidial germination, appressoria formation, and germ tube development were reduced significantly in leaves of pea coinoculated with microbial strains OKC and T42 compared to their single applications and only pathogen challenged plants without pre-treatment with either OKC or T42 (Figures 7A,B). Further, disease severity was also reduced significantly in OKC and T42 pre-treated plants (Figure 7C). The reduced conidial development and disease severity of E. pisi in pea plants pretreated with OKC and T42 may be attributed to the stimulus generated by the microbes. Further, the pathogen suppressive effect was possibly achieved through enhanced activities of Ga (1 and 2), H_2O_2 and accumulation of antimicrobial phenolics.

DISCUSSION

Transcript Accumulations of G-protein Subunits

Heterotrimeric G-proteins are widely conserved in plants (Assmann, 2002). They have been implicated to be involved in diverse signaling processes related to growth, cell proliferation, defense, stomatal movements, channel regulation, sugar sensing, and responses to phytohormones (Urano et al., 2013). There is some evidence regarding involvement of plant G-proteins in stimulation of host defense mechanisms against necrotrophic and vascular pathogens. Recently, Lorek et al. (2013) demonstrated that genetic alterations of the mildew resistance locus O (MLO) or G\beta-subunit of the heterotrimeric G proteins in Arabidopsis thaliana increased susceptibility to the obligate bacterial pathogen P. syringae. However, there is lack of information regarding activation pattern of plant G-proteins when challenged with an obligately surviving fungal biotrophic pathogen and how the rhizosphere microbes influence the process. Thus, in the present study we have monitored activation patterns of all subunits $[\alpha(1,2), \beta, \alpha, \alpha, \gamma]$ of the pea G-protein complex under the challenge of a biotrophic pathogen E. pisi as well as influence of two soil inhabiting



test). The bars are equivalent to 100 μ m.

rhizosphere microbes *P. fluorescens* OKC and *T. asperellum* T42 mimicking the environmental conditions partially. We found that G-protein mediated signaling was active during pea-*E. pisi* interactions and a number of evidences suggested the same. To our surprise, among the three subunits of G-proteins we found that mostly the two subunits of Ga (1 and 2) participated in the regulation of pea-*E. pisi* interaction and their activations were significantly influenced by the two soil microbes under the pathogen challenged condition. Between the two microbial strains *T. asperellum* T42 had the highest influence on accumulation of Ga (1 and 2) transcripts. The observation clearly demonstrated that pea was benefited by association of the two compatible microbial strains (OKC and T42). Earlier studies have demonstrated that plants derive highest benefit from compatible rhizosphere microbes (Barea et al., 2005; Jain et al., 2012; Singh et al., 2014). Moreover, basal or reduced activation of G β and weak expression of G γ in few treatments clearly does not indicate any positive role of the G $\beta\gamma$ complex whereas strong expression of G α (1 and 2) indicate a positive role of G α (1 and 2) possibly leading to induction of defense responses during *E. pisi* challenge particularly in presence of the microbial strains OKC and T42. The results are in contrast to the results with the necrotrophs in *Arabidopsis* where G α was reported to be a negative regulator in the G-protein mediated signaling (Trusov et al., 2006) and G β



is a positive regulator (Llorente et al., 2005; Trusov et al., 2006). However, involvement of G α subunit in defense signaling was demonstrated against the rice blast pathogen *M. grisea* in rice (Suharsono et al., 2002) but the fact of the matter is that *M. grisea* is not an obligate biotroph and therefore, host responses toward it may not be considered to be universal as each host-pathogen pair may generate different signals. Further, defense responses in monocots may alter from the dicot plants as evident from some earlier studies (Tamaoki et al., 2013). Hence, the results obtained from the present study have a clear significance in relation to understanding the plant G-protein response to an obligate fungal pathogen.

H₂O₂ Generation, Phenolics Accumulation, and Stomata Behavior

The G α (1 and 2) mediated pea defense responses was also partially manifested by the reduced development of germinated *E. pisi* conidia, lowered disease severity and closure of stomata in pathogen challenged pea leaves under influence of the rhizosphere microbes. Foliar pathogens including biotrophs like E. pisi can reduce water use efficiency by host plants leading to closure of stomata (Ayres, 1976; Grimmer et al., 2012). However, stomatal closure can also be contributed by accumulation of phenolic compounds (Plumbe and Willmer, 1986) and ABA (Whenham and Fraser, 1981). In the present study also total phenolics and ABA contents increased in pea when challenged with the biotroph and the levels were even higher when treated with the soil inhabiting rhizosphere microbes. Phenolics accumulation in the combined microbial treatments was supported by high transcript accumulation of the first gene of the phenylpropanoid pathway PAL. The partially closed stomata in microbes treated pea leaves after challenge with the biotroph compared to non-closure of stomata in only biotroph challenged pea leaves without microbial treatment point out a correlation between the role of phenolics and ABA accumulation with stomata behavior. Similarly, it was reported that Ga transmit various signals leading to stomata closure through stimulation of H_2O_2 production (Chen et al., 2004; He et al., 2013). We also recorded a similar observation and found that H_2O_2 accumulation was more in pathogen challenged leaves. However, the manifested levels of H_2O_2 in the results are attributed to high PO activities. The observation further strengthened the possibility of linkages between G α (1 and 2) and H_2O_2 in regulating stomatal closure which was more prominent in OKC and T42 treatments.

Phytohormonal Signaling and *E. pisi* Development

The role of phytohormones such as SA and JA/ET, normally associated with host defense responses, is not clearly understood in case of G-protein mediated signal transduction. Moreover, the phytohormonal signaling in monocots and dicots are not similar (Tamaoki et al., 2013). G-protein mediated defense responses in Arabidopsis when challenged with the necrotrophic pathogen A. brassisicola and vascular pathogen F. oxysporum was found to be independent of either SA or JA/ET mediation (Trusov et al., 2009). However, Okamoto et al. (2009) demonstrated that a set of JA regulated genes was also regulated by Ga subunit in Arabidopsis. Further, it was shown that certain biotrophic fungal species also triggers activation of JA-mediated responses which was earlier linked to suppression of JA-mediated responses (Antico et al., 2012). In the present study, relatively higher transcript accumulations of LOX1 and COI1 in pathogen challenged pea leaves reflect activation pattern of the Ga-subunits in the same treatments involving the rhizosphere microbes and thus a positive correlation could be established. Further, nonaccumulation of SA and simply basal expression of PR1, a marker of SA signaling pathway, in the same treatments also confirmed non-involvement of the SA. It is believed that JA

REFERENCES

- Antico, C. J., Colon, C., Banks, T., and Ramonell, K. M. (2012). Insights into the role of jasmonic acid-mediated defences against necrotrophic and biotrophic fungal pathogens. *Front. Biol.* 7:48. doi: 10.1007/s11515-011-1171-1
- Assmann, S. M. (2002). Heterotrimeric and unconventional GTP binding proteins in plant cell signaling. *Plant Cell* 14, S355–S373.
- Ayres, P. G. (1976). Patterns of stomatal behaviour, transpiration, and CO₂ exchange in pea following infection by powdery mildew (*Erysiphe pisi*). J. Exp. Bot. 27, 1196–1205. doi: 10.1093/jxb/27.6.1196
- Barea, J. M., Pozo, M. J., Azcon, R., and Azcon-Aguilar, C. (2005). Microbial cooperation in the rhizosphere. J. Exp. Bot. 56, 1761–1778. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eri197
- Basha, S. A., Sarma, B. K., Singh, K. P., and Singh, U. P. (2006). Differential methods of inoculation of plant growth – promoting rhizobacteria induce synthesis of phenylalanine ammonia- lyase and phenolic compounds diffrentially in chickpea. *Folia Microbiol.* 51, 463–468. doi: 10.1007/BF02931592
- Bergmeyer, H. U., and Bernt, E. (1974). "Lactate dehydrogenase UV-assay with pyruvate and NADH," in *Methods of Enzymatic Analysis*, Vol. II, 2nd Edn, ed. H. U. Bergmeyer (New York, NY: Academic Press), 574–579.
- Bommert, P., Je, B. I., Goldshmidt, A., and Jackson, D. (2013). The maize Gα gene COMPACT PLANT2 functions in CLAVATA signalling to control shoot meristem size. *Nature* 502, 555–558. doi: 10.1038/nature12583
- Chen, Y. L., Huang, R., Xiao, Y. M., Lu, P., Chen, J., and Wang, X. C. (2004). Extracellular calmodulin-induced stomatal closure is mediated by heterotrimeric G protein and H₂O₂. *Plant Physiol.* 136, 4096–4103. doi: 10.1104/pp.104.047837

and SA signal transduction pathways are mutually antagonistic in dicotyledonous plants in most instances (Niki et al., 1998; Koornneef and Pieterse, 2008). However, this observation needs further confirmation due to existence of conflicting evidences (Thaler et al., 2012).

From the results of present study, it can be concluded that the biotroph *E. pisi* elicited activation of the G α (1 and 2)-subunits in pea that were further influenced by the rhizosphere microbes particularly by the *Trichoderma* strain T42 (Figure 8). The microbes (OKC and T42) when co-inoculated also stimulated the JA-mediated signal transduction pathway relatively more compared to only *E. pisi* challenged plants that probably led to enhanced accumulation of phenolic compounds (Gadzovska et al., 2007) and stomata closure. In summary, significant suppressive effect of the co-inoculated microbes (OKC and T42) was observed on development of *E. pisi* in pea and there was a positive correlation between transcript accumulation patterns of G α subunits 1 and 2 with reduced *E. pisi* conidial development.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

BS conceived the study, JP and BS conducted the study, BS, HS, RU, RK, and MA supervised the project, BS and HS wrote the main paper, and JP conducted the statistical analyses.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Sandhya Mishra for technical help during the qPCR study. We acknowledge Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi for funding (SR/SO/PS-25/10) this work to BS and HS and providing fellowship to JP.

- Ellis, C., Karafyllidis, I., and Turner, J. G. (2002). Constitutive activation of jasmonate signaling in an *Arabidopsis* mutant correlates with enhanced resistance to *Erysiphe cichoracearum, Pseudomonas syringae*, and *Myzus persicae*. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact*. 15, 1025–1030. doi: 10.1094/MPMI.2002.15.10.1025
- Fabro, G., Rienzo, J. A., Voigt, C. A., Savchenko, T., Dehesh, K., Somerville, S., et al. (2008). Genome-wide expression profiling *Arabidopsis* at the stage of *Golovinomyces cichoracearum* haustorium formation. *Plant Physiol.* 146, 1421– 1439. doi: 10.1104/pp.107.111286
- Fondevilla, S., Moreno, M. T., Carver, T. L. W., and Rubiales, D. (2006). Macroscopic and histological characterisation of genes er1 and er2 for powdery mildew resistance in pea. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 15, 309–321. doi: 10.1007/s10658-006-9015-6
- Gadzovska, S., Maury, S., Delaunay, A., Spasenoski, M., Joseph, C., and Hagege, D. (2007). Jasmonic acid elicitation of *Hypericum perforatum* L. cell suspensions and effects on the production of phenylpropanoids and naphtodianthrones. *Plant Cell Tiss. Organ. Cult.* 89, 1–13. doi: 10.1007/s11240-007-9203-x
- Glazebrook, J. (2005). Contrasting mechanisms of defense against biotrophic and necrotrophic pathogens. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 43, 205–227. doi: 10.1146/annurev.phyto.43.040204.135923
- Graf-Marin, A. (1934). Studies on powdery mildew on cereals. Cornell. Univ. Agric. Exp. Stn. Memoir. 157:48.
- Graham, H. G. (1992). Stabilization of the Prussian blue color in the determination of polyphenols. J. Agric. Food Chem. 40, 801–805. doi: 10.1021/jf00017a018
- Grimmer, M. K., Foulkes, M. J., and Paveley, N. D. (2012). Foliar pathogenesis and plant water relations: a review. J. Exp. Bot. 63, 4321–4331. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ers143

- He, J. M., Ma, X. G., Zhang, Y., Sun, T. F., Xu, F. F., Chen, Y. P., et al. (2013). Role and interrelationship of Gα protein, hydrogen peroxide, and nitric oxide in ultraviolet B-induced stomatal closure in *Arabidopsis* leaves. *Plant Physiol.* 161, 1570–1583. doi: 10.1104/pp.112.211623
- Jain, A., Singh, S., Sarma, B. K., and Singh, H. B. (2012). Microbial consortiummediated reprogramming of defence network in pea to enhance tolerance against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. J. Appl. Microbiol. 112, 537–550. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2011.05220.x
- Jones, A. M., and Assmann, S. M. (2004). Plants: the latest model system for G-protein research. EMBO Rep. 5, 572–578. doi: 10.1038/sj.embor. 7400174
- Kachroo, A., and Kachroo, P. (2009). Fatty acid-derived signals in plant defense. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 47, 153–176. doi: 10.1146/annurev-phyto-080508-081820
- Koornneef, A., and Pieterse, C. M. (2008). Cross talk in defense signaling. *Plant Physiol*. 146, 839–844. doi: 10.1104/pp.107.112029
- Legendre, L., Heinstein, P., and Low, P. (1992). Evidence for participation of GTPbinding proteins in elicitation of the rapid oxidative burst in cultured soybean cells. J. Biol. Chem. 267, 20140–20147.
- Llorente, F., Alonso-Blanco, C., Sánchez-Rodriguez, C., Jorda, L., and Molina, A. (2005). ERECTA receptor-like kinase and heterotrimeric G protein from *Arabidopsis* are required for resistance to the necrotrophic fungus *Plectosphaerella cucumerina*. *Plant J.* 43, 165–180. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02440.x
- Lorek, J., Griebel, T., Jones, A. M., Kuhn, H., and Panstruga, R. (2013). The role of *Arabidopsis* heterotrimeric G-Protein subunits in MLO2 function and MAMP-triggered immunity. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 26, 991–1003. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-03-13-0077-R
- Marone, M., Mozzetti, S., Ritis, D. D., Pierelli, L., and Scambia, G. (2001). Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis to assess the expression levels of multiple transcripts from the same sample. *Biol. Proced.* 3, 19–25. doi: 10.1251/bpo20
- Marsh, I. I. J. F., and Kaufman, L. S. (1999). Cloning and characterisation of PGA1 and PGA2: two G protein a-subunits from pea that promote growth in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Plant J. 19, 237–247. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.1999.00516.x
- Murray, S. L., Ingle, R. A., Petersen, L. N., and Denby, K. J. (2007). Basal resistance against *Pseudomonas syringae* in *Arabidopsis* involves WRKY53 and a protein with homology to a nematode resistance protein. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 20, 1431–1438. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-20-11-1431
- Niki, T., Mitsuhara, I., Seo, S., Ohtsubo, N., and Ohashi, Y. (1998). Antagonistic effect of salicylic acid and jasmonic acid on the expression of pathogenesisrelated (PR) protein genes in wounded mature tobacco leaves. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 39, 500–507. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.pcp.a029397
- Okamoto, H., Gobel, C., Capper, R. G., Saunders, N., Feussner, I., and Knight, M. R. (2009). The α-subunit of the heterotrimeric G-protein affects jasmonate responses in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J. Exp. Bot. 60, 1991–2003. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erp060
- Plumbe, A. M., and Willmer, C. M. (1986). Phytoalexins, water-stress and stomata. III. The effects of some phenolics, fatty acids and some other compounds on stomatal responses. *New Phytol.* 103, 17–22. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.1986.tb00592.x
- Poschenrieder, C., Gunse, B., and Barcelo, J. (1989). Influence of cadmium on water relations, stomatal resistance, and abscisic acid content in expanding bean leaves. *Plant Physiol.* 90, 1365–1371. doi: 10.1104/pp.90.4.1365
- Sambrook, J., and Russell, D. W. (2001). *Molecular Cloning, a Laboratory Manual*, 7th Edn. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Schlicht, M., and Kombrink, E. (2013). The role of nitric oxide in the interaction of Arabidopsis thaliana with the biotrophic fungi, Golovinomyces orontii and Erysiphe pisi. Front. Plant Sci. 4:351. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00351
- Schmittgen, T. D., and Livak, K. J. (2008). Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative CT method. *Nat. Protoc.* 3, 1101–1108. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2008.73
- Singh, A., Jain, A., Sarma, B. K., Upadhyay, R. S., and Singh, H. B. (2013). Rhizosphere microbes facilitate redox homeostasis in *Cicer arietinum* against biotic stress. *Ann. App. Biol.* 163, 33–46. doi: 10.1111/aab.12030
- Singh, A., Jain, A., Sarma, B. K., Upadhyay, R. S., and Singh, H. B. (2014). Rhizosphere competent microbial consortium mediates rapid changes in phenolic profiles in chickpea during *Sclerotium rolfsii* infection. *Microbiol. Res.* 169, 353–360. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2013.09.014

- Singh, B. N., Singh, B. R., Singh, R. L., Prakash, D., Singh, D. P., Sarma, B. K., et al. (2009). Polyphenolics from various extracts/fractions of red onion (*Allium cepa*) peel with potent antioxidant and antimutagenic activities. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 47, 1161–1167. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2009.02.004
- Singh, U. P., Sarma, B. K., Singh, D. P., and Bahadur, A. (2002). Plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria-mediated induction of phenolics in pea (*Pisum* sativum) after infection with Erysiphe pisi. Curr. Microbiol. 44, 396–400. doi: 10.1007/s00284-001-0007-7
- Spoel, S. H., Johnson, J. S., and Dong, X. (2007). Regulation of tradeoffs between plant defenses against pathogens with different lifestyles. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 104, 18842–18847. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0708139104
- Suharsono, U., Fujisawa, Y., Kawasaki, T., Iwasaki, Y., Satoh, H., and Shimamoto, K. (2002). The heterotrimeric G-protein alpha subunit acts upstream of the small GTPase Rac in disease resistance of rice. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 99, 7–12. doi: 10.1073/pnas.192244099
- Tamaoki, D., Seo, S., Yamada, S., Kano, A., Miyamoto, A., Shishido, H., et al. (2013). Jasmonic acid and salicylic acid activate a common defense system in rice. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 8:e24260. doi: 10.4161/psb.24260
- Thaler, J. S., Humphrey, P. T., and Whiteman, N. K. (2012). Evolution of jasmonate and salicylate signal crosstalk. *Trends Plant Sci.* 17, 260–270. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2012.02.010
- Thomma, B. P., Eggermont, K., Penninckx, I. A., Mauch-Mani, B., Vogelsang, R., Cammue, B. P., et al. (1998). Separate jasmonate dependent and salicylatedependent defense-response pathways in *Arabidopsis* are essential for resistance to distinct microbial pathogens. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 95, 15107–15111. doi: 10.1073/pnas.95.25.15107
- Thordal-Christensen, H., Zhang, Z., Wei, Y., and Collinge, D. B. (1997). Subcellular localization of H₂O₂ in plants. H₂O₂ accumulation in papillae and hypersensitive response during the barley-powdery mildew interaction. *Plant J.* 11, 1187–1194. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.1997.110 61187.x
- Thornton, B., and Basu, C. (2011). Real-Time PCR (qPCR) primer design using free online software. *Biochem. Mol. Biol. Educ.* 39, 145–154. doi: 10.1002/bmb.20461
- Thung, L., Trusov, Y., Chakravorty, D., and Botella, J. R. G. (2012). $G\gamma 1+G\gamma 2+G\gamma 3=G\beta$: the search for heterotrimeric G-protein γ subunits in *Arabidopsis* is over. *J. Plant Physiol.* 169, 542–545. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2011.11.010
- Torres, M. A., Morales, J., Rodriguez, C. S., Molina, A., and Dangl, J. L. (2013). Functional interplay between *Arabidopsis* NADPH oxidases and heterotrimeric G protein. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 26, 686–694. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-10-12-0236-R
- Trusov, Y., Jorda, L., Molina, A., and Botella, J. R. (2010). "Signaling and communication in plants series, G proteins and plant innate immunity," in *Integrated G Proteins Signaling in Plants*, eds S. Yalovsky, F. Baluska, and A. Jones (Berlin: Springer), 221–250.
- Trusov, Y., Rookes, J. E., Chakravorty, D., Armour, D., Schenk, P. M., and Botella, J. R. (2006). Heterotrimeric G proteins facilitate *Arabidopsis* resistance to necrotrophic pathogens and are involved in jasmonate signaling. *Plant Physiol.* 140, 210–220. doi: 10.1104/pp.105.069625
- Trusov, Y., Sewelam, N., Rookes, J. E., Kunkel, M., Nowak, E., Schenk, P. M., et al. (2009). Heterotrimeric G proteins-mediated resistance to necrotrophic pathogens includes mechanisms independent of salicylic acid-, jasmonic acid/ethylene- and abscisic acid-mediated defense signalling. *Plant J.* 58, 69–81. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03755.x
- Urano, D., Chen, J. G., Botella, J. R., and Jones, A. M. (2013). Heterotrimeric G protein signalling in the plant kingdom. *Open Biol.* 3, 120–186. doi: 10.1098/rsob.120186
- Urano, D., Jones, J. C., Wang, H., Matthews, M., Bradford, W., Bennetzen, J. L., et al. (2012). G protein activation without a GEF in the plant kingdom. *PLoS Genet.* 8:e1002756. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002756
- van Wees, S. C. M., Chang, H. S., Zhu, T., and Glazebrook, J. (2003). Characterization of the early response of *Arabidopsis* to *Alternaria brassicicola* infection using expression profiling. *Plant Physiol.* 132, 606–617. doi: 10.1104/pp.103.022186
- Vargas, W. A., Martin, J. M., Rech, G. E., Rivera, L. P., Benito, E. P., Diaz-Minguez, J. M., et al. (2012). Plant defense mechanisms are activated during biotrophic and necrotrophic development of *Collectoricum graminicola* in maize. *Plant Physiol.* 158, 1342–1358. doi: 10.1104/pp.111.190397

- Velikova, V. (2000). Oxidative stress and some antioxidant systems in acid raintreated bean plants: protective role of exogenous polyamines. *Plant Sci.* 151, 59–66. doi: 10.1016/S0168-9452(99)00197-1
- Webling, R., and Panstruga, R. (2012). Rapid quantification of plant-powdery mildew interactions by qPCR and conidiospore counts. *Plant Methods* 8, 8–35. doi: 10.1186/1746-4811-8-35
- Whenham, R. J., and Fraser, R. S. S. (1981). Effect of systemic and local lesionforming strains of tobacco mosaic virus on abscisic acid concentration in tobacco leaves: consequences for the control of leaf growth. *Physiol. Plant Pathol.* 18, 267–278. doi: 10.1016/S0048-4059(81)80078-1
- Yadav, D. K., Islam, S. M. S., and Tuteja, N. (2012). Rice heterotrimeric G-protein Gamma subunits (RGG1 and RGG2) are differentially regulated under abiotic stress. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 7, 733–740. doi: 10.4161/psb.20356
- Yan, J., Zhang, C., Gu, M., Bai, Z., Zhang, W., Qi, T., et al. (2009). The Arabidopsis CORONATINE INSENSITIVE1 protein is a jasmonate receptor. *Plant Cell* 21, 2220–2236. doi: 10.1105/tpc.109.065730
- Zhang, H. J., Wang, M., Wang, W., Li, D. Q., Huang, Q., Wang, Y. C., et al. (2012). Silencing of G proteins uncovers diversified plant responses when challenged by three elicitors in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. *Plant Cell Environ*. 35, 72–85. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02417.x

- Zhang, W., Jeon, B. W., and Assmann, S. M. (2011). Heterotrimeric G-protein regulation of ROS signalling and calcium currents in *Arabidopsis* guard cells. *J. Exp. Bot.* 62, 2371–2379. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ erq424
- Zhao, Z., Stanley, B. A., Zhang, W., and Assmann, S. M. (2010). ABA-regulated G-protein signaling in *Arabidopsis* guard cells: a proteomic perspective. *J. Proteome Res.* 9, 1637–1647. doi: 10.1021/pr9 01011h

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Patel, Sarma, Singh, Upadhyay, Kharwar and Ahmed. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.