



## Nitric Oxide-Mediated Maize Root Apex Responses to Nitrate are Regulated by Auxin and Strigolactones

Alessandro Manoli<sup>1</sup>, Sara Trevisan<sup>1</sup>, Boris Voigt<sup>2</sup>, Ken Yokawa<sup>2,3</sup>, František Baluška<sup>2</sup> and Silvia Quaggiotti<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Agronomy, Food, Natural Resources, Animals and Environment, University of Padua, Padua, Italy, <sup>2</sup> Department of Plant Cell Biology, Institute of Cellular and Molecular Botany, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany,

<sup>3</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo, Japan

**OPEN ACCESS** 

#### Edited by:

Stefan De Folter, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Mexico

#### Reviewed by:

Zhaojun Ding, Shandong University, China José López-Bucio, Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo, Mexico

> \*Correspondence: Silvia Quaggiotti silvia.quaggiotti@unipd.it

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Evolution and Development, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 27 October 2015 Accepted: 28 December 2015 Published: 22 January 2016

#### Citation:

Manoli A, Trevisan S, Voigt B, Yokawa K, Baluška F and Quaggiotti S (2016) Nitric Oxide-Mediated Maize Root Apex Responses to Nitrate are Regulated by Auxin and Strigolactones. Front. Plant Sci. 6:1269. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.01269 Nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>) is a key element for crop production but its levels in agricultural soils are limited. Plants have developed mechanisms to cope with these NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> fluctuations based on sensing nitrate at the root apex. Particularly, the transition zone (TZ) of root apex has been suggested as a signaling-response zone. This study dissects cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> resupply effects on primary root (PR) growth in maize, confirming nitric oxide (NO) as a putative modulator. Nitrate restoration induced PR elongation within the first 2 h, corresponding to a stimulation of cell elongation at the basal border of the TZ. Xyloglucans (XGs) immunolocalization together with Brefeldin A applications demonstrated that nitrate resupply induces XG accumulation. This effect was blocked by cPTIO (NO scavenger). Transcriptional analysis of ZmXET1 confirmed the stimulatory effect of nitrate on XGs accumulation in cells of the TZ. Immunolocalization analyses revealed a positive effect of nitrate resupply on auxin and PIN1 accumulation, but a transcriptional regulation of auxin biosynthesis/transport/signaling genes was excluded. Short-term nitrate treatment repressed the transcription of genes involved in strigolactones (SLs) biosynthesis and transport, mainly in the TZ. Enhancement of carotenoid cleavage dioxygenases (CCDs) transcription in presence of cPTIO indicated endogenous NO as a negative modulator of CCDs activity. Finally, treatment with the SLs-biosynthesis inhibitor (TIS108) restored the root growth in the nitrate-starved seedlings. Present report suggests that the NO-mediated root apex responses to nitrate are accomplished in cells of the TZ via integrative actions of auxin, NO and SLs.

Keywords: root, transition zone, nitrate, nitric oxide, auxin, strigolactones

## INTRODUCTION

Nitrogen (N) is one of the most important elements for plant life. In soil, N is present in different N-containing compounds. Under aerobic soil conditions, soluble nitrate  $(NO_3^-)$  is the major N source taken up by crop roots (Krouk et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2012). In well-aerated agricultural soils,  $NO_3^-$  concentrations are extremely variable in time and space (Miller et al., 2007) and current agricultural practices strongly depend on massive applications of synthetized N fertilizers

1

(Erisman et al., 2008; Robertson and Vitousek, 2009). Due to the low Nitrogen Use Efficiency (NUE) of crops (Raun and Johnson, 1999; Baligar et al., 2001; Robertson and Vitousek, 2009), more than 50% of the available N is lost from the plant-soil system. This leads to serious concerns about biosphere pollution and human health (Erisman et al., 2013; Fowler et al., 2013; Galloway et al., 2013, and references therein). Therefore the understanding of the molecular and physiological events underlying plant adaptation to  $NO_3^-$  fluctuations is crucial in order to improve plant NUE and to reduce negative impacts on environment and humans.

Besides being an essential nutrient,  $NO_3^-$  acts as a signal regulating metabolism and development in plants (López-Bucio et al., 2003; Vidal and Gutiérrez, 2008; Ho et al., 2009; Prinsi et al., 2009; Krouk et al., 2010; Bouguyon et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2012; Vidal et al., 2013; Ruiz Herrera et al., 2015). Considering that the root system architecture (RSA) determines the plasticity of plants to explore the soil for searching water and nutrients, investigation on the RSA and root morphology are very important. Despite a huge number of reports on  $NO_3^-$  effects on RSA in model plants, crop's studies are still fragmentary (Hirel et al., 2007).

Numerous papers report connections between auxin (IAA) and  $NO_3^-$  in the control of primary root (PR) growth and both lateral root (LR) development (reviewed in López-Arredondo et al., 2013). Long distance transport of IAA from shoot to root was proposed to be involved in the inhibition of early LR development by high rates of  $NO_3^-$  in Arabidopsis (Forde, 2002; Walch-Liu et al., 2006). A role of IAA was also evidenced in maize, in which high  $NO_3^-$  supply inhibited root growth by lowering the IAA levels in roots (Tian et al., 2008). More recently, the involvement of IAA in the LR growth regulation by  $NO_3^$ was reconsidered when the Arabidopsis NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> transporter NRT1.1 was demonstrated to be able to move IAA as well as  $NO_3^-$ (Krouk et al., 2010). Furthermore,  $NO_3^-$  was recently proposed to regulate downstream root architecture adjustments in maize through the fine-tuning control of nitric oxide (NO) production and scavenging dependent on the coordinated activities of nitrate reductase (NR) and non-symbiotic hemoglobins (nsHbs) (Trevisan et al., 2011, 2014; Manoli et al., 2014), which takes preferentially place in the transition zone (TZ) of root apex. TZ cells are highly sensitive to touch and extracellular calcium (Ishikawa and Evans, 1992; Baluška et al., 1996), aluminum (Marciano et al., 2010; Sivaguru et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2014), osmotic stress (Baluška and Mancuso, 2013), auxin (Mugnai et al., 2014) and gravity (Masi et al., 2015). This high TZ sensitivity to environmental signals make it a sort of information processing and control center, allowing the growing root apex to monitor the rhizosphere in real time and to elicit proper responses (Baluška et al., 2010; Baluška and Mancuso, 2013).

The involvement of NO in root development was postulated also in *Arabidopsis* (reviewed by Sanz et al., 2015), being at least in part associated with auxin actions (Correa-Aragunde et al., 2004). Fernández-Marcos et al. (2011) reported inhibitory effects of NO on rootward polar transport, due to a PIN1 depletion and decreased numbers of dividing cells in the PR meristem. They also demonstrated that during early root development endogenous NO accumulates mainly in a zone situated between the apical meristem and the elongation zone, namely the TZ. Recently Sanz et al. (2014) demonstrated that the auxin biosynthesis, transport, and signaling are perturbed in *noa1* and *nia1 nia2 noa1* NO-deficient mutant roots.

Other studies demonstrated that endogenous NO application affects PR growth by reducing the pool of dividing cells in the root apical meristem, causing a reduction in cell-division rates and an increase in cell lengths of the meristem (Méndez-Bravo et al., 2010; Fernández-Marcos et al., 2011). These results suggest that high levels of NO caused by environmental stimuli or elicitors could positively regulate the exit of cells from the PR meristem and the TZ into the elongation and differentiation zones, altering the PR growth.

Moreover NO was inferred to act as a master regulator of primary maize root growth by affecting the functioning of the actin cytoskeleton and actin-dependent mechanisms (Kasprowicz et al., 2009) and modulating cell wall biosynthesis contributing to modification of cell growth (Yu et al., 2014). The present study was aimed to gain new knowledge on the cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying the NO-mediated nitrate action on Zea mays L. PR growth. Confocal microscopy was applied, along with morphometric analysis, in order to evaluate the effect of nitrate supply on PR growth and any cell size modification. IAA, PIN1 and xyloglucan (XG) distributions were examined after immunostaining, and transcriptomic analyses under nitrate applications were also carried on. The results indicate that PR growth stimulation by short term nitrate provision could be attributed to a putative interference with the basipetal (shootward) auxin flow and the XG deposition, particularly affecting cell expansion in the TZ. Furthermore, strigolactones (SLs) and NO seem to act upstream of this signaling, via regulating the balance between cell division and expansion.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Maize Growth Conditions**

Seeds of the maize inbred line B73 were germinated in paper rolls soaked with distilled water and then transferred to hydroponic systems as described in Manoli et al. (2014). Seedlings were grown for 24 h in a nitrate depleted solution (Manoli et al., 2014) and then transferred in either a nitrate supplied (+N, 1 mM) or a nitrate depleted solution (-N). Root growth measurements were carried out at 2, 6, 24, and 48 h after the transfer. For gene expression analysis, immunolocalization and *in situ* hybridization, tissues were collected after 2 h and immediately frozen ( $-80^{\circ}$ C).

Treatments with 1 mM 2-(4-carboxyphenyl)- 4,4,5,5tetramethylimidazoline-1-oxyl-3-oxide (cPTIO) in combination with  $NO_3^-$  and with 0.01 mM sodium nitroprusside (SNP) (Manoli et al., 2014) were also set up to better evaluate the NO role. For the Brefeldin A (BFA) treatment, a solution diluted in a phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to achieve an effective working solution of 100  $\mu$ M was utilized.

6-phenoxy-1-phenyl-2-(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl) hexan-1-one (TIS108) (Strigolab, Torino, Italy) was used at a 2  $\mu$ M concentration as inhibitor of SL biosynthesis (Ito et al., 2011).

Unless stated otherwise, all chemicals were obtained from Sigma Chemicals (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA). The zonation correspond to: meristem (0.5–2 mm from the root cap tip), the TZ (2–4 mm from the root cap tip), the rapid elongation zone (4–8 mm from the root cap tip) and the maturation zone (the residual portion).

#### **RNA Extraction and cDNA Synthesis**

Primary root portions were harvested from 15 to 20 pooled seedlings, in three independent biological repetitions. Tissues were ground in liquid nitrogen and RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, San Giuliano Milanese, Italy) as previously described by Trevisan et al. (2011). An aliquot of total RNA was treated with RQ1 RNAse-free DNAse (Promega, Milano, Italy) as described by Trevisan et al. (2011). RNA was quantified with a Nanodrop1000 (Thermo Scientific, Nanodrop Products, Wilmington, DE, USA) and cDNA was synthesized from 500 ng of total RNA mixed with 1  $\mu$ l of 10  $\mu$ M oligo-dT, as described by Manoli et al. (2012).

#### **Real-Time Quantitative PCR**

To confirm gene expression levels detected by RNA-Seq and to further investigate gene expression in other root portions, qRT-PCR was performed using the StepOne Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Monza, Italy) as described by Nonis et al. (2008), using SYBR Green reagent (Applied Biosystems, Monza, Italy), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Target gene relative expression was determined according to the Livak and Schmittgen (2001) method, using LUG (leunig primers, forward 5'-TCCAGTGCTACAGGGAAGGT-3' and reverse 5'-GTTAGTTCTTGAGCCCACGC-3') and MEP (membrane protein PB1A10.07c, primers: forward 5'-TGTACTCGGCAATG CTCTTG-3' and reverse 5'-TTTGATGCTCCAGGCTTACC-3'), as reference genes, according to Manoli et al. (2012). Primers used in qRT-PCR were designed using Primer3 web tool (version 0.4.0; http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/primer3/; Rozen and Skaletsky, 2000) and further verified with the PRATO web tool (Nonis et al., 2011) and are listed in Supplementary Table S1. See Forestan and Varotto, 2010; Forestan et al., 2012 for primers used in RT-PCR PINs amplification). Three technical replicates were performed on three independent biological repetitions.

#### RNA In Situ Hybridization

In situ hybridization of maize PR with digoxigenin-labeled probes was performed as described by Trevisan et al. (2011). GRMZM2G145008 was amplified as probe in PCR using the primers listed in Supplementary Table S1. The fragment was cloned into the T-easy vector (Madison, WI, USA) for labeling. The sense and antisense probes were synthesized *in vitro* using T7 and SP6 RNA polymerases (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and labeled with digoxygenin (DIG) RNA labeling mix (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) following the manufacturer's protocol. Root tissues were fixed overnight in RNase-free 4% formaldehyde. Samples were dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, embedded in Paraplast Plus (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) and sectioned (7  $\mu$ m) as described previously (Trevisan et al.,

2011). After hybridization and staining, slides were observed with an Olympus BX50 microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Images were captured with an Axiocam Zeiss MRc5 color camera (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany), and processed with Adobe Photoshop 6.0.

#### Primary Root Length Analysis, Cell Length Measurement and Confocal Microscopy

To monitor the influence of different nitrate availabilities on root length, maize seedlings grown for 24 h in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> depleted solution were scanned (T0) and then transferred to either a nitrate supplied or depleted solution. The root length of each seedlings was monitored at several time points (2, 6, 24, 48 h). Images were taken at each determined time points of the experimental plan using a flatbed scanner. This allowed the root systems of 10 seedlings to be imaged simultaneously. The PR length was measured using the Image J Image Analysis Software and the increment in root length was calculated. Three biological replicates were performed for each treatment (n = 30).

To measure cortex cell length and density, whole roots were first stained with propidium iodide (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) and then washed in sterile water twice. Pictures were taken with a Zeiss LSM 510 confocal microscope. Cortex cells were numbered in a region of 100  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>, and their length was averaged from at least 10 cells per snapshot at a distance of 0.5 mm from the root tips from at least ten roots examined for each treatment. Cell length was measured using Zeiss LSM 510 software.

#### Indirect Immunofluorescence Labeling

Apical root segments (8–10 mm) were excised into 3.7% formaldehyde prepared in stabilizing buffer (MTSB) (50 mM piperazine-N,N'-bis (2-ethanesulfonic acid), 5 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 5mM EGTA; pH 6.9) and vacuum infiltrated for 1 h at room temperature (RT). After an overnight rinse in MTSB at 4°C, the root apices were dehydrated in a graded ethanol series diluted with PBS (pH 7.3), embedded in Steedman's wax and processed for immunofluorescence (for details, see Baluška et al., 1990).

Sections were then incubated with the following primary antibodies (diluted in PBS and supplemented with 1% BSA): anti-XG antibodies diluted 1:200 (Sonobe et al., 2000), anti-IAA monoclonal antibodies diluted 1:20 and anti-PIN1 polyclonal antibodies diluted 1:40 for 1 h at RT. After rinsing in PBS, the sections were incubated for 1 h with FITC-conjugated antirabbit IgGs (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA), each raised in goat and diluted 1:100 in buffer containing 1% BSA. A further wash with PBS (10 min) preceded both a 10 min staining with 4',6-diamino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI; 100 µM in PBS) and a 10 min treatment with 0.01% Toluidine Blue. The sections were then mounted using an anti-fade mounting medium containing *p*-phenylenediamine (Baluška et al., 1990) and examined with an Axiovert 405M inverted microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) equipped with epifluorescence and standard fluorescein isothiocyanate excitation and barrier filters.

## RESULTS

# Primary Root Elongation is Stimulated by Short-Term Nitrate Supply

The effects of nitrate supply on PR growth were tested on maize seedlings grown for 1 day in a nitrate depleted solution  $(T_0)$ , before either being transferred into a similar solution, or to a nitrate supplied solution. The root length was monitored at different time points (2, 6, 24 and 48 h) and the increments ( $\Delta$  length) were then calculated (**Figure 1A**).

Root growth responded to nitrate provision, generating significantly elongated PRs compared to seedlings grown on the nitrate depleted solution (**Figure 1A**), already after 2 h of nitrate provision. The protracted exposure (6 h) to nitrate induced a



gradual decline of PR growth respect to the negative control, with a significant inhibition after longer periods of starvation (24 and 48 h). The 2 h treatment was chosen to further characterize the earlier effects of nitrate on root growth.

#### Nitrate-Mediated Increase in Primary Root Length Reflects Increase in Transition Zone Size

Cell division and elongation contributes to PR growth. To interpret the increased growth exhibited early after nitrate provision, the number and the length of cortex cells were determined in the root TZ (the region between meristem and elongation zone) by staining with propidium iodide and observation under a confocal microscope. Application of 1 mM nitrate resulted in a significant (40%) decrease in the number of cells in this region compared to the negative control (**Figures 1B–D**). In contrast, the length of cortical cells was increased by 2 fold in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-fed roots compared with seedlings grown on N-free medium (**Figures 1B,C,E**), indicating that nitrate availability controls the onset of rapid cell elongation, rather than cell division, giving rise to an increased size of the TZ.

To determine whether the increase of PR growth after nitrate treatment might be related to differences in root meristem length, the size and number of cells were determined in the meristem root zone (**Supplementary Figure S1**). We found that both the number and the length of cells in the meristem region were not significantly affected by nitrate provision, straightening the hypothesis that the nitrate-mediated increase in PR length is dependent on an increase in TZ size.

#### Xyloglucans Modification and ZmXET1 Expression are Induced Concomitantly in Response to Nitrate Provision

Beside cytoskeletal organization, several genes related to cell wall structure and composition, including those encoding XGs, endotransglycosylases, polygalacturonases, and glycosyltransferases, have been previously identified as being involved in the regulation of early nitrate response in root TZ (Trevisan et al., 2015). Moreover, root TZ also shows the highest rate of endocytic vesicle recycling activity in recycling XGs from cell walls into BFA-induced compartments, as demonstrated by Baluška et al. (2005). To further investigate the cell wall rearrangements induced by nitrate supply, the distribution of XGs were analyzed. XGs visualized by labeling with antibodies were abundant, especially at the cell walls that resulted as the main site of XG accumulation. This pattern of tissue-dependent immunofluorescence was observed both in nitrate-depleted (Figure 2A) and nitrate-supplied maize roots (Figure 2B); however, immunofluorescence signal was generally significantly stronger in the root subjected to nitrate treatment (Figure 2B), and this was more evident at the cross-wall (end-poles) domains. Moreover, to test XGs recycling within cells, BFA was supplied for 2 h to both nitrate-supplied (Figure 2E) and nitrate-depleted roots (Figure 2D). In -N roots, almost all XGs were removed from cell walls into BFA-compartments and, in particular, cross walls



(end-poles) of root TZ cells showed very weak signal after BFA-treatment, in comparison to TZ cells of nitrate-supplied roots (**Figure 2D**). Indeed in the latter case, BFA-treatment partially failed in removing all XGs from cell walls and a marked signal was still visible, especially at the cross wall domains. To better understand the role of NO in nitrate signaling the effect of a NO scavenger (cPTIO) was evaluated. Nitrate-fed roots supplied with cPTIO (**Figure 2C**) showed a behavior similar to that observed for nitrate-depleted cells (**Figure 2A**).

Previous works have shown as XG endotransglycosylase, a key enzyme in cleaving XGs chains, had the most prominent activity in the TZ in both maize and *Arabidopsis* roots (Pritchard et al., 1993 and Vissenberg et al., 2000, respectively). Transcriptional analysis of the XG endotransglucosylase *ZmXET1* (*GRMZM2G026980*) was performed by quantitative real-time PCR method to monitor differences between expression levels after 2 h of nitrate supply or deprivation in the four different root portions (**Figure 3**). The expression profile of *ZmXET1*, encoding the XG endotransglucosylase (GRMZM2G026980) previously identified (Trevisan et al., 2015) evidenced an up-regulation upon short-term nitrate provision (**Figure 3B**). Real Time analysis here carried out confirmed this result and showed an induction of more than 10 times of its expression after 2 h of nitrate treatment in cells of TZ (**Figure 3A**). On the contrary, not significant differences of expression were measured in the other three root portions.

#### Auxin-Related Gene Expression is Not Significantly Changed Under Short-Term Nitrate Starvation

Both localization and size of the TZ are largely controlled by the local establishment of an auxin gradient that regulates the balance between cell proliferation and cell elongation (Blilou et al., 2005). Meta-analysis of a published RNA-seq data that investigated the transcriptome changes in response to 2 h of nitrate provision after 24 h of nitrate starvation in maize root TZ (Trevisan et al., 2015) has been used to investigate the auxinmediated nature of nitrate action on PR growth. To focus on relevant auxin-related responses, the expression values of a set of genes involved in auxin biosynthesis, signaling and transport were searched. The results showed that in the TZ the IAArelated genes expression is not significantly altered in response to nitrate (**Figure 4A**). Furthermore, the expression of a set of *Zea mays* auxin efflux carriers PIN family members (PIN1a, PIN1b,



PIN1c, PIN2, PIN5c, and PIN9) was measured in all the four root portions, but only slight differences were evidenced between nitrate supplied and nitrate deprived plants (**Figure 4B**).

#### Nitrate Provision Induces Auxin Accumulation at Cross Wall in Root Apex Transition Zone

To better investigate the putative involvement of auxin in nitrate action on maize root apex, auxin immunolocalization were performed on roots nitrate supplied or deprived. Nitrate deprived roots showed a prevalent IAA accumulation in the cytoplasm, while weaker signal was detected around nuclei (**Figure 5A**). A slight increase of signal around nuclei and a strong immunofluorescence at the cross walls (end-poles) were, instead, observed after exposure of root apices to 1 mM nitrate for 2 h (**Figure 5B**).

Immunostaining experiments using an anti-PIN1 antibody evidenced a prominent PIN1 signal within nuclei in TZ cells of nitrate depleted roots (**Figure 5C**), which slightly vanished after nitrate provision (5D). On the contrary, almost all endpoles were strongly enriched with PIN1 in nitrate treated roots (**Figure 5D**), co-localized with the auxin immunofluorescence signal (**Figure 5B**).

#### Nitrate Provision Affects Transcription of Genes Involved in Cytoskeletal Organization

Eleven transcripts putatively involved in cytoskeletal organization showed a significant regulation by short-term nitrate provision (Trevisan et al., 2015; **Table 1**). A large part of them (8) were up-regulated by 2 h of nitrate supply. The gene ontology classification of their biological process grouped them as cytoskeletal protein binding, microtubule cytoskeleton

organization, tubulin binding, vesicle-mediated transport, ARF GTPase activator activity (**Table 1**). According to its putative relevance, *GRMZM2G145008* was selected among all the DEGs analyzed to be further studied and the spatial expression patterns of its transcript was precisely mapped by means of *in situ* hybridizations on longitudinal sections of PR apices of maize seedlings. The transcript *GRMZM2G145008* encodes an unknown protein containing a GTPase activating protein domain for Arf (ARFGAP domain), with predicted orthology with the *Arabidopsis* ARF GAP-like zinc finger-containing protein *AGD14* gene.

The sense probe served as negative control and did not generate a detectable hybridization signal (**Figure 6G**). In contrast, the purple/blue signal arising from the antisense probe revealed the strongest expression of this gene in epidermal cells of the apical root zone, mainly expressed in the apical meristem and in the TZ, above the root cap (**Figures 6C–F**). Moreover, the transcript signal was also abundant in the stele of roots and particularly in the pericycle and endodermis layers along the central cylinder/cortex boundary of the meristem and the TZ (**Figures 6B,E**). Regarding its longitudinal distribution, expression was highest in the root tips, but, interestingly, a signal was also detected in epidermal cells of the elongation zone (**Figure 6A**).

#### Nitrate Provision Represses Transcription of Genes Involved in SL Biosynthesis and Transport in Cells of Root Apex Transition Zone

The expression of a number of genes involved in SL biosynthesis (ZmCCD7, ZmCCD8, ZmMAX1A, ZmMAX1B and the rice ortholog d27) showed a significant down-regulation after 2 h of nitrate supply (Trevisan et al., 2015). Their transcript levels, together with that of other genes putatively implicated in SL signaling (Arabidopsis MAX2, SMAX1-LIKE 3, SMAX1-LIKE 4 and rice D53 orthologs respectively) and transport (ZmPDR1, *ZmPDR3*, *ZmWBC33* and *ZmPDR2*) were here measured in the four zones of the PR apices of seedlings grown for 24 h in a nitrate-depleted solution and then transferred for additional 2 h in a nitrate supplied or depleted solution. As observed for other genes (Trevisan et al., 2015), SL related genes also showed zone-specific responses. Genes involved in SL biosynthesis and transport showed all a clear inhibition of transcription in response to nitrate supply (Figure 7), with the maximum effect in cells of the TZ.

The strongest inhibitions exerted by 2 h of nitrate provision were detected on the transcription of *ZmCCD7* and *ZmCCD8* in the root TZ (0.2 and 0.03 fold changes, respectively). TZ was thus selected as target site to dissect the role of NO in the SL-mediated response. The addition of cPTIO to the nitrate supplied seedlings restrained or prevented the inhibition of expression produced by the presence of nitrate for *ZmCCD7*, *ZmPDR3*, *ZmWBC33* and for the *ZmPDR2*, ortholog of *PhPDR1* (**Figure 8A**). Accordingly, the application of a NO donor (SNP) to nitrate depleted seedlings clearly inhibited the transcription of a selection of SL-related genes (**Figure 8B**) which was similar

			Tr				
GRMZM2G005024	TSB1			GRMZM2G149481		LAX1	
	TSB2			GRMZM2G129413		LAX2	
GRMZM2G127308	VT2			GRMZM2G127949		LAX3	
GRMZM2G141535	AO1			GRMZM2G045057		LAX4	
GRMZM2G025222	SPI1			GRMZM2G067022		LAX5	
GRMZM2G178517	NIT1			GRMZM2G032936		ABCB3	
GRMZM2G141810		e genes in maize		GRMZM2G084181		ABCB5	
GRMZM2G066345		e genes in maize		GRMZM2G072850		ABCB5	
GRMZM2G054155		e genes in maize		GRMZM2G032218		ABCB0 ABCB7	
GRMZM2G181135		e genes in maize					
	1743-118	genes in maize		GRMZM2G388539 GRMZM2G365957		ABCB8 ABCB9	
Signal transduction				GRMZM2G365957		ABCB9 ABCB10	
				GRMZM2G107050		ABCB10	
GRMZM2G037368		ZmIAA10		GRMZM2G049351	-	ABCB12	
GRMZM2G155849	TIR1			GRMZM2G025860		ABCB12 ABCB13	
GRMZM5G872204	DSC1			GRMZM2G025000		ABCB13	
GRMZM2G169820	ARF1						
GRMZM2G153233	ARF2			GRMZM2G441722		ABCB15	
GRMZM2G078274	ARF3			GRMZM2G004748		ABCB16	
GRMZM2G034840	ARF4			GRMZM2G146034		ABCB17	
GRMZM5G808366	ARF5			GRMZM2G072071		ABCB18	
GRMZM2G122614	ARF6			GRMZM5G843192		ABCB19	
GRMZM2G475263	ARF7			GRMZM2G142870		ABCB21	
GRMZM2G073750	ARF9			GRMZM2G082385		ABCB22	
GRMZM2G338259	ARF10			GRMZM2G153961		ABCB23	
GRMZM2G056120	ARF11			GRMZM5G843537		ABCB24	
GRMZM2G437460	ARF12			GRMZM2G014089		ABCB25	
GRMZM2G378580	ARF13			GRMZM5G874756		ABCB26	
GRMZM2G081406	ARF15			GRMZM2G081573		ABCB27	
GRMZM2G028980	ARF16			GRMZM5G891159		ABCB30	
GRMZM2G159399	ARF17			GRMZM2G361256		ABCB31	
GRMZM2G035405	ARF18			GRMZM2G333183		ABCB32	
AC207656.3_FG002	ARF19			GRMZM2G111462		ABCB33	
GRMZM2G102845				GRMZM2G413774		ABCB34	
GRMZM2G441325		ARF20				ABCB35	
GRMZM2G030710		ARF23				PIN1a	
GRMZM2G116557	ARF25	ARF24				PIN1b	
GRMZM5G874163	ARF25 ARF26			GRMZM2G074267 GRMZM2G149184		PIN1c	
				GRMZM2G171702		PIN1d	
GRMZM2G160005	ARF27			GRMZM2G025742		PIN5a	
GRMZM2G006042	ARF28			GRMZM2G148648		PIN5b	
GRMZM2G086949	ARF29					PIN5D PIN5C	
GRMZM2G023813	ARF31			GRMZM2G040911		PINSC PIN8	
GRMZM2G317900	ARF35			GRMZM5G839411		PINO PIN9	
GRMZM2G134045		ARF GTPase activating domain		GRMZM5G859099 GRMZM2G126260	-	PIN9 PIN10a	
GRMZM2G117329		ARF GTPase activating domain		GRMZM2G160496		PIN10a PIN10b	
GRMZM2G038820		ARF GTPase activating domain	protoni	GRMZM2G064941		PIN10D PIN13	
GRMZM2G083546		ARF GTPase activating domain	. p. otom.			PIN13 PIN14	
GRMZM2G176612		ARF GTPase activating domain		GRMZM2G471745		PIN14 PIN5D	
GRMZM2G145008		ARF GTPase activating domain		GRMZM2G175983	_		
GRMZM2G130675	SAUR1			GRMZM2G021364		PIN15	
GRMZM2G456644	SAUR2			GRMZM2G070563		PILS1	
GRMZM2G343360	SAUR5			GRMZM2G331322		PILS2	
GRMZM2G429254	SAUR1			GRMZM2G112598		PILS3	
GRMZM2G462760	SAUR2	5		GRMZM2G030125		PILS5	
				GRMZM2G475148		PILS6	
-3 0	2.5			GRMZM2G043254		PILS7	
og <sub>10</sub>				GRMZM2G072632		PILS8	
- 510				GRMZM2G007481		PILS9	
	_						
3							
•							
r		٦٢					
PI	11a	PIN1b			N1c		
<sup>3.5</sup> 3		3.5		3.5 ]			
3		3 1		3 -			
2.5		2.5		2.5 - 2 -			
3.5 3 2.5 2 1.5	-I-			1.5			
					6	T	
					L.		
M TZ	EZ MZ	M TZ EZ	MZ	M TZ	EZ	MZ	
$\begin{array}{c c} & \text{PIN2} \\ \hline 3.5 \\ 2.5 \\ 2.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $							
3.5 3 2.5 2 1.5		3.5		3.5 3			
2.5 -		3 - 2.5 -		2.5		T	
2 -		2.5		2 -		<b>_</b>	
1.5 - T		1.5 -		1.5 · T			
11 1	- T		-				
M TZ	EZ MZ	M TZ EZ	MZ	M TZ	EZ	MZ	
12				L			

FIGURE 4 | Nitrate does not affect accumulation of auxin related transcripts in root TZ. (A) RNA-Seq analysis of transcript levels of transcripts involved in auxin biosynthesis, signaling and transport in the roots of 2-day-old plants grown in a nitrate-depleted solution for 24 h and treated without (–N) or with (+N) 1 mM NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> for 2 h. Colors indicate the range of each gene expression, with least expression shown in black and highest expression shown in red. Transcripts abundance represented by the heat map are the average of transcript abundance values from three independent. Data shown are expressed as log<sub>10</sub> of RPKM values of the RNA-seq analysis (Trevisan et al., 2015) and are means of two independent experiments. (B) Quantitative RT-PCR validation of RNA-seq expression profiling of six *PINs* transcripts (*ZmPIN1a, ZmPIN1b, ZmPIN1c, ZmPIN2, ZmPIN5c, ZmPIN9*) in various root portions. The levels of *PINs* transcripts were measured in total RNAs from: meristem-enriched zone (<3 mm from the root tip); TZ-enriched portion (the next 0.8 cm); elongation zone-enriched portion (the next 0.8 cm); and maturation zone (the residual portion). Expression levels are expressed as +N/–N fold change in the four zones of nitrate-supplied seedlings (+N) relative to nitrate deprived seedlings (–N).

7



apices to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> resulted in a strong immunofluorescence at the cross walls (end-poles). (**C**) A prominent PIN1 signal was scored within nuclei in  $-NO_3^-$  root cells. (**D**) In the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> treated roots, PIN1 labeling within nuclei slightly vanished while end-poles was clearly enriched with PIN1. Bar in (**C**): for (**A**,**C**) 20  $\mu$ m; for (**D**) 18  $\mu$ m; for (**B**) 16  $\mu$ m.

to that observed for N-supplied roots. The recovery of genes transcription in presence of nitrate and cPTIO and its inhibition in presence of SNP in N-starved seedlings suggest a critical role of endogenous NO as a negative modulator of SL action.

## SL Actions are Involved in Early Regulation of Root Apex by Nitrate

To deepen our understanding of the SL involvement in nitrate regulation of root response, the growth of PR was monitored

also in the presence of an inhibitor of SL biosynthesis (TIS108). Seedlings were grown in a nitrate-depleted solution for 24 h and then transferred to a nitrate-deprived solution (negative control), to a nitrate-supplied one (positive control) and to a nitrate-free solution supplied with TIS108 (**Figure 9**).

When the SL inhibitor was provided for 2 h to nitratedeprived seedlings the PR showed a growth increase similar to that observed after 2 h of nitrate provision and significantly higher than that measured for nitrate-depleted roots. This result suggests that the early induction of PR growth by nitrate is at least in part mediated by a down-regulation of SL production.

#### DISCUSSION

Roots represent the interface between plants and the surrounding soil environment and their plasticity is crucial for plants adaptation to a continuously changing environment. They are essential for mining water and nutrients from soil, thus strongly contributing to outline water and nutrients use efficiencies. For this reason, the study of roots provides critical knowledge to develop tools for sustainable agriculture.

The root apex functions as a dynamic sensory organ (Darwin, 1880) perceiving external stimuli, which are then processed into a root growth and developmental responses to environment (Sivaguru and Horst, 1998; Sivaguru et al., 1999; Kollmeier et al., 2000; Illés et al., 2006; Baluška et al., 2010; Mugnai et al., 2012; Baluška and Mancuso, 2013). The ability to re-orientate growth in response to environmental stimuli mainly derives from a unique feature of cells of the TZ which releases cells into the elongation region plastically, providing the growing root apices with an effective mechanisms to re-orientate root growth direction in response to environmental stimuli (Baluška et al., 2010).

Recently, Manoli et al. (2014) suggested that the NO production peaks at the TZ of nitrate-deprived roots immediately after the *de novo* nitrate supply stimulate root apex elongation, even though the downstream events triggering the root to elongate have still to be identified. Cytoskeletal proteins seem

TABLE 1 | RNA seq expression profile of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) significantly responsive to 1 mM NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> exposure (*P*-values  $\leq$ 0.01) concerned with cytoskeletal organization (Trevisan et al., 2015).

Accession	-N	+N	Description	Gene ontology annotation (BP)		
GRMZM2G140455	60.2	24	Profilin	Cytoskeletal protein binding		
GRMZM5G860469	4.7	1.3	ATP binding	Microtubule motor activity		
GRMZM2G112782	29.3	10.5	Uncharacterized protein	Vesicle-mediated transport		
GRMZM2G318849	0.8	2.3	Uncharacterized protein	Microtubule-based process		
GRMZM2G082484	46	19	Putative actin	ATP binding		
GRMZM2G045808	0.1	0.8	Uncharacterized protein, Microtubule-associated protein RP/EB protein domain	Cytoskeletal protein binding		
GRMZM2G166082	1.1	2.8	Uncharacterized protein	Small GTPase mediated signal transduction		
GRMZM2G015886	1.6	4.1	Putative cellulose synthase-like	Microtubule cytoskeleton organization		
GRMZM2G145008	0.02	0.4	Uncharacterized protein	ARF GTPase activator activity		
GRMZM2G147942	6.3	14	Subtilisin-like serine protease 2	Cytoskeleton organization		
GRMZM2G045808	0.1	0.8	Microtubule-associated protein RP/EB family member 3	Tubulin binding		

Differentially expressed genes are reported together with their gene ontology classification.



Longitudinal sections through a primary maize root hybridized with *GRMZM2G145008* antisense transcript showing the hybridization signal in root TZ (**A,B,E**), meristem (**C–F**), elongation zone (**E**). (**C**) Portrays a magnification of the root cap and (**D**) represents a higher magnification of (**C**) showing the purple staining in the cells of the root meristem. Bars, 200 µm.

to represent a highly probable molecular target for NO signal and increasing evidences place NO among the key elements in the control of several cytoskeleton-mediated processes in plants (Kasprowicz et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2009; Yao et al., 2012). The effect of nitrate on PR growth seems to be more complex than expected and appears to be strongly variable depending on the  $NO_3^-$  concentration, on the exposure times and on the species (Linkohr et al., 2002; Zhao et al., 2007; Gifford et al., 2008; Tian et al., 2008; Walch-Liu and Forde, 2008; Andrews et al., 2013). In this paper, by monitoring the growth of PRs during the first 48 h of nitrate provision (**Figure 1A**), a dual effect is reported. Nitrate supplied to N-starved roots significantly stimulates growth during the first 2 h of treatment, as already indicated by Manoli et al. (2014), with no relevant effects after 6 h. However, an inhibitory effect was observed thereafter (24 and 48 h). These results support the hypothesis that growing root apices are able to differentially respond to nitrate depending on its availability in time and space.



To better understand the biological nature of events involved in the early boost of apex growth triggered by nitrate supply, the time-point corresponding to 2 h of nitrate supply was chosen and further experiments were conducted. The extents of cell division and cell expansion affect overall architecture of the root system (Benfey et al., 1993; Scheres et al., 2002; Jiang et al., 2006). Confocal analyses showed a lower number of cortical cells in TZ of roots supplied with nitrate for 2 h, but a clear increase of the cell size was also recorded, thus leading us to hypothesize that nitrate could stimulate the apex to grow by triggering cellular expansion, more than by accelerating cell division. The enzyme xyloglucan endotransglycosylase (XET) seems to play a predominant role in leading root apex growth, being involved in cell wall loosening during cell expansion (Pritchard et al., 1993; Vissenberg et al., 2000). XGs are hemicellulosic polysaccharides located in primary cell walls and firmly associated with cellulose microfibrils through hydrogen bonds to maintain the cell wall architecture (Fry, 1989; Hayashi, 1989; Sonobe et al., 2000). They have been recently demonstrated to affect both the stability of the microtubule cytoskeleton and the production and patterning of cellulose in primary cell walls, altering cell growth (Xiao et al., 2015). In our data, XET gene expression was significantly induced in the TZ upon short-time NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> provision and also XG immunofluorescence signal was stronger in the sample subjected to nitrate treatment, when compared to the negative control, suggesting a higher rate of XGs synthesis in response to the anion in maize root TZ (Figures 2 and 3).

In contrast to cellulose, which is synthesized on the plasma membrane, XGs are synthesized in the Golgi apparatus (Moore and Staehelin, 1988) and they can be actively internalized in root apex cells since, after BFA treatment, almost all XGs were removed from cell walls into BFA-compartments, revealing a high rate of XGs recycling in the root apex cells (Baluška et al., 2005). According to these authors in fact, internalization of cell wall macromolecules such as cross-linked pectins and XGs can be related to tight control of the mechanical properties of cell walls in the TZ, trough loosening wall structure thus enabling extensive elongation after ceasing mitotic divisions. Endocytosis of pectin-XG complexes and subsequent recycling would fulfill this requirement without loss of molecules and expending energy. In this context, endocytic vesicles filled with ready-to-use cell wall macromolecules would be ideally suited to provide "building blocks" for rapid formation of cell walls in cells that have ceased mitotic division and start to elongate. Data obtained in this work by supplying BFA to nitrate treated roots evidence the presence of a clear signal into BFAinduced compartments, even if a clear immunofluorescence was still visible also at cross walls (Figure 2). According to BFA action (reviewed by Nebenführ et al., 2002; Geldner et al., 2003; Šamaj et al., 2004), these results point out the hypothesis that nitrate might regulate root elongation, by modulating cytoskeleton-mediated cell wall deposition and recycling specifically in the TZ cells. Furthermore, the XG immunofluorescence was inhibited when cPTIO was supplied together with nitrate, suggesting a fascinating scenario in which nitrate might promote rapid cell elongation of root apex by regulating the synthesis and/or the turn-over of XGs within root transition cells, through the fine-tuning production of NO.

As far as auxin immunolabeling was concerned (Figure 5), in nitrate supplied roots IAA signal was strongly localized at the cross wall (end-poles) of TZ cells. In contrast, no such cross wall labeling was detected in the nitrate depleted roots, thus suggesting that IAA end-poles labeling was probably due to increased IAA fluxes triggered specifically by nitrate. Since it has been proposed that in the TZ auxin is transported via endocytosis of IAA molecules embedded within cell wall material like pectins and XGs (Baluška et al., 2005; Schlicht et al., 2006), the actin-dependent endocytosis could be implicated in regulating nitrate effects on root elongation, by targeting both XGs and auxin to plasma membrane. The identification of a number of transcripts involved in cytoskeletal organization (Trevisan et al., 2015; Table 1) and regulated by early nitrate supply, supports this suggestion.

Moreover, the polar localization of PINs also depends on vesicle trafficking between plasma membrane and endosomes which is dependent on F-actin (Geldner et al., 2001; Nagawa et al., 2012) and further studies indicate that PIN1 is co-transported with IAA via vesicular system (Šamaj et al., 2004; Schlicht et al., 2006). In  $NO_3^-$ -treated roots, PIN1 co-localizes with auxin at the cross walls (**Figure 5**). Interestingly in this respect, NO is known to inhibit *Arabidopsis* PR growth via reducing the acroptetal (rootward) transport of auxin



mediated by PIN1, PIN3, and PIN7 (Fernández-Marcos et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2015). Our findings suggest that nitrate is able to increase IAA-fluxes involving at least in part PIN1 re-localization, likely by affecting endocytosis and vesicular trafficking. Consistent with this hypothesis, Tian et al. (2008) found that PR length showed a positive correlation with IAA content in maize roots. Moreover, XGs turnover is correlated with auxin-induced elongation and the gene expression of XETs and XET-related proteins (XTP) are also regulated by auxin (Vissenberg et al., 2000 and references therein). In this scenario, it is not surprising that the IAA and PIN-related genes expression is not significantly altered in response to nitrate, as showed in our data (**Figure 4**).

Among transcripts putatively involved in cytoskeletal organization (Trevisan et al., 2015) (**Table 1**) an unknown protein with an ArfGAP-like domain, with predicted orthology with the *Arabidopsis* ARF GAP-like zinc finger-containing protein AGD14 gene, has been chosen because its putative function is unprecedented in the nitrate network. Adenosine diphosphate Ribosylation Factors (ARFs) are members of the ARF family of the GTP-binding proteins and the ARF-GTPase

activating proteins (GAPs) are a family of regulator proteins that induce hydrolysis of GTP-bound ARF, thus switching off the ARF cycle (Randazzo and Hirsch, 2004). ARF act as regulators of vesicular trafficking and actin remodeling (Du and Chong, 2011), but also interfere with auxin transport. The ectopic expression of OsAGAP in Arabidopsis alters the localization of AUX1 (Auxin Influx Carrier 1), which in turn controls auxin-dependent root growth in plants by regulating the vesicle trafficking and the cytoskeleton reorganization (Zhuang et al., 2006; Du and Chong, 2011). The transcription of ArfGAP-like of maize in meristem and TZ is strongly induced by nitrate (Trevisan et al., 2015) and mRNAs, here localized by ISH, are mainly expressed in epidermal cells, but also in pericycle and endodermis (Figure 6). These results allow us to hypothesize a contribution of this protein to the signaling pathway involving cytoskeleton modifications and auxin polar localization in controlling root adaptation to nitrate fluctuations.

Furthermore, the analysis of the transcriptional responses displayed by cells of the TZ in response to 2 h of nitrate provision (Trevisan et al., 2015) highlights also a putative role for SLs in triggering the root apex responses to nitrate. In the present work,



was calculated. Data are means  $\pm$  SE of three biological replicates. Asterisk indicates significant differences between the treatments, P < 0.01, based on ANOVA.



the expression of a number of genes involved in SL biosynthesis, signaling and transport was measured in all the four zones of PR; revealing a significant inhibition of transcription for genes involved in the biosynthesis and transport after nitrate supply. This was particularly evident in the TZ, but not exclusively localized to this portion (see **Figure 7**).

Strigolactones have been initially identified as seed germination stimulants for parasitic plants (Cook et al., 1966) and lately described as branching factors for symbiotic arbuscular mycorrhizal (Akiyama et al., 2005). Nowadays, SLs are universally recognized as a novel class of plant hormones with numerous functions in plant development (Alder et al., 2012; Guan et al., 2012). They seem also to be involved in plant responses to several environment cues and nutrient availability. In particular phosphorus (P) and nitrogen (N) deficiency

promote SLs production and exudation (Yoneyama et al., 2007a,b, 2013; López-Ráez and Bouwmeester, 2008; Umehara et al., 2010; Jamil et al., 2012; Ito et al., 2015). Considering their prominent role in regulating RSA (Kapulnik and Koltai, 2014), they seem to represent optimal candidates for signaling the nutrient status and transduce it in proper developmental responses, playing thus a crucial role in root adaptation to nutrient fluctuations.

Moreover, in roots, SLs increase cell number in the PR meristem (Ruyter-Spira et al., 2011; Koren et al., 2013), which is coherent with the higher number of cells scored in the TZ of maize nitrate starved roots. SLs exert their action on auxin transport through regulating PINs localization at the plasma membrane (Crawford et al., 2010; Koltai, 2015). In roots, the SL dependent PIN2 localization to plasma membrane was accompanied by an increase of PIN2 endocytosis and endosome trafficking in epidermal cells, and changes in actin-filament architecture and dynamics (Pandya-Kumar et al., 2014).

Based on our results, the auxin re-localization observed after nitrate supply in the TZ cells of maize root apex could, therefore, depend on the down-regulation of the SL biosynthesis occurring early in responses to nitrate provision. Moreover, the observation that by inhibiting SL biosynthesis for 2 h, the nitrate-depleted root presented a phenotype identical to that exhibited by 2 h nitrate fed seedlings, supports this hypothesis (**Figure 9**).

cPTIO scavenges NO and it restored, at least partially, the induction of expression of genes involved in biosynthesis and transport of SL in root apices of nitrate supplied seedlings (**Figure 8**), allowing us to hypothesize an involvement of NO upstream of SL in this pathway. This hypothesis is also supported by the down-regulation of the SL-related gene expression exerted by the NO donor SNP on nitrate deprived seedlings. A recent paper (Bharti and Bhatla, 2015) provided evidence of the role of endogenous NO as a negative modulator of CCD activity and therefore of SL biosynthesis during LR development in sunflower, giving more strength to our results. To conclude, our results highlight a complex effect of nitrate on the maize root apex development, with an earlier stimulating action and a later inhibiting one.

**Figure 10** shows an hypothetical scenario of how NO, auxin and SLs may cooperate in regulating the early response of maize root apex to nitrate. The NO burst, occurring specifically in the TZ of root apex immediately after the nitrate supply (Manoli et al., 2014) seems to operate by temporarily lowering, or turning off, the biosynthesis and transport of SL; thus indirectly affecting auxin polar transport and localization. This succession of events would trigger cell expansion and the early root apex growth observed after nitrate supply. Further and more specific evidences will be needed to better outline and complete this preliminary and partial picture.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

AM and ST performed the experiments and wrote the manuscript. SQ conceived and designed the project, analyzed

data, wrote the manuscript and obtained funds to support the project. BV and KY helped in performing immunolocalization experiments and in manuscript writing. FB contributed to concept the idea, helped in conducting various experiments and wrote the manuscript.

#### FUNDING

This project was supported by the University of Padua ('ex 60%' 2013, 2014). AM was supported by a Ph.D. grant of the Italian Ministry of Education, Research and University. KY was supported by the JSPS (Japanese Society for the Promotion of Science) Postdoctoral Fellowship. This work was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI, Grant-in-Aid for JSPS fellows, No. 261654.

#### REFERENCES

- Akiyama, K., Matsuzaki, K., and Hayashi, H. (2005). Plant sesquiterpenes induce hyphal branching in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Nature* 435, 824–827. doi: 10.1038/nature03608
- Alder, A., Jamil, M., Marzorati, M., Bruno, M., Vermathen, M., Bigler, P., et al. (2012). The path from β-carotene to carlactone, a strigolactone-like plant hormone. *Science* 335, 1348–1351. doi: 10.1126/science.1218094
- Andrews, M., Raven, J. A., and Lea, P. J. (2013). Do plants need nitrate? The mechanisms by which nitrogen form affects plants. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 163, 174–199. doi: 10.1111/aab.12045
- Baligar, V. C., Fageria, N. K., and He, Z. L. (2001). Nutrient use efficiency in plants. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 32, 921–950. doi: 10.1081/CSS-100104098
- Baluška, F., Barlow, P. W., and Volkmann, D. (1996). Complete disintegration of the microtubular cytoskeleton precedes its auxin-mediated reconstruction in postmitotic maize root cells. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 37, 1013–1021. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.pcp.a029032
- Baluška, F., Kubica, S., and Hauskrecht, M. (1990). Postmitotic 'isodiametric' cell growth in the maize root apex. *Planta* 181, 269–274. doi: 10.1007/BF00195876
- Baluška, F., Liners, F., Hlavacka, A., Schlicht, M., Van Cutsem, P., McCurdy, D. W., et al. (2005). Cell wall pectins and xyloglucans are internalized into dividing root cells and accumulate within cell plates during cytokinesis. *Protoplasma* 225, 141–155. doi: 10.1007/s00709-005-0095-5
- Baluška, F., and Mancuso, S. (2013). Root apex transition zone as oscillatory zone. Front. Plant Sci. 4:354. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00354
- Baluška, F., Mancuso, S., Volkmann, D., and Barlow, P. W. (2010). Root apex transition zone: a signalling-response nexus in the root. *Trends Plant Sci.* 15, 402–408. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2010.04.007
- Benfey, P. N., Linstead, P. J., Roberts, K., Schiefelbein, J. W., Hauser, M.-T., and Aeschbacher, R. A. (1993). Root development in *Arabidopsis*: four mutants with dramatically altered root morphogenesis. *Development* 119, 57–70.
- Bharti, N., and Bhatla, S. C. (2015). Nitric oxide mediates strigolactone signaling in auxin and ethylene-sensitive lateral root formation in sunflower seedlings. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 10:e1054087. doi: 10.1080/15592324.2015.1054087
- Blilou, I., Xu, J., Wildwater, M., Willemsen, V., Paponov, I., Friml, J., et al. (2005). The PIN auxin efflux facilitator network controls growth and patterning in *Arabidopsis* roots. *Nature* 433, 39–44. doi: 10.1038/nature03184
- Bouguyon, E., Gojon, A., and Nacry, P. (2012). Nitrate sensing and signaling in plants. Sem. Cell. Dev. Biol. 23, 648–654. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2012.01.004
- Cook, C. E., Whichard, L. P., Turner, B., Wall, M. E., and Egley, G. H. (1966). Germination of witchweed (*Striga lutea* Lour.): isolation and properties of a potent stimulant. *Science* 154, 1189–1190. doi: 10.1126/science.154.3753.1189
- Correa-Aragunde, N., Graziano, M., and Lamattina, L. (2004). Nitric oxide plays a central role in determining lateral root development in tomato. *Planta* 218, 900–905. doi: 10.1007/s00425-003-1172-7
- Crawford, S., Shinohara, N., Sieberer, T., Williamson, L., George, G., Hepworth, J., et al. (2010). Strigolactones enhance competition between shoot branches

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Klaus Palme (University of Freiburg, Germany) for kindly providing the Zm-PIN1 antibody, Miroslav Strnad (University of Olomouc, Czech Republic) for providing the auxin antibody and Laura Ravazzolo (University of Padova, Italy) for critically reading the manuscript.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpls.2015.01269

FIGURE S1 | Quantification of cortical cell number and calculation of the average cortex cell length in meristem of maize primary root.

by dampening auxin transport. *Development* 137, 2905–2913. doi: 10.1242/dev.051987

- Darwin, C. R. (1880). *The Power of Movements in Plants*. London: John Murray. Available at: http://darwin-online.org.uk/
- Du, C., and Chong, K. (2011). ARF-GTPase activating protein mediates auxin influx carrier AUX1 early endosome trafficking to regulate auxin dependent plant development. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 6, 1644–1646. doi: 10.4161/psb.6.11.17755
- Erisman, J. W., Galloway, J. N., Seitzinger, S., Bleeker, A., Dise, N. B., Petrescu, A. M. R., et al. (2013). Consequences of human modification of the global nitrogen cycle. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B.* 368:20130116. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2013.011
- Erisman, J. W., Sutton, M. A., Galloway, J., Klimont, Z., and Winiwarter, W. (2008). How a century of ammonia synthesis changed the world. *Nat. Geosci.* 1, 636–639. doi: 10.1038/ngeo325
- Fernández-Marcos, M., Sanz, L., Lewis, D. R., Muday, G. K., and Lorenzo, O. (2011). Nitric oxide causes root apical meristem defects and growth inhibition while reducing PIN-FORMED 1 (PIN1)-dependent acropetal auxin transport. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 18506–18511. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1108644108
- Forde, B. G. (2002). Local and long-range signaling pathways regulating plant responses to nitrate. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 53, 203–224. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.53.100301.135256
- Forestan, C., Farinati, S., and Varotto, S. (2012). The maize PIN gene family of auxin transporters. *Front. Plant Sci.* 3:16. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2012.00016
- Forestan, C., and Varotto, S. (2010). PIN1 auxin efflux carriers localization studies in Zea mays. Plant Signal. Behav. 5, 436–439. doi: 10.4161/psb.5.4.11339
- Fowler, D., Coyle, M., Skiba, U., Sutton, M. A., Cape, J. N., Reis, S., et al. (2013). The global nitrogen cycle in the twenty-first century. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B.* 368:20130164. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2013.0164
- Fry, S. (1989). The structure and functions of xyloglucan. J. Exp. Bot. 40, 1–11. doi: 10.1093/jxb/40.1.1
- Galloway, J. N., Leach, A. M., Bleeker, A., and Erisman, J. W. (2013). A chronology of human understanding of the nitrogen cycle. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B.* 368:20130120. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2013.0120
- Geldner, N., Anders, N., Wolters, H., Keicher, J., Kornberger, W., Muller, P., et al. (2003). The *Arabidopsis* GNOM ARF-GEF mediates endosomal recycling, auxin transport, and auxin-dependent plant growth. *Cell* 112, 219–230. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(03)00003-5
- Geldner, N., Friml, J., Stierhof, Y. D., Jürgens, G., and Palme, K. (2001). Auxin transport inhibitors block PIN1 cycling and vesicle trafficking. *Nature* 413, 425–428. doi: 10.1038/35096571
- Gifford, M. L., Dean, A., Gutiérrez, R. A., Coruzzi, G. M., and Birnbaum, K. D. (2008). Cell-specific nitrogen responses mediate developmental plasticity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 803–808. doi: 10.1073/pnas.07095 59105
- Guan, J. C., Koch, K. E., Suzuki, M., Wu, S., Latshaw, S., Petruff, T., et al. (2012). Diverse roles of strigolactone signaling in maize architecture and the

uncoupling of a branching-specific subnetwork. *Plant Physiol.* 160, 1303–1317. doi: 10.1104/pp.112.204503

- Hayashi, T. (1989). Xyloglucans in the primary cell wall. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* 40, 139–168. doi: 10.1146/annurev.pp.40.060189.001035
- Hirel, B., Le Gouis, J., Ney, B., and Gallais, A. (2007). The challenge of improving nitrogen use efficiency in crop plants: towards a more central role for genetic variability and quantitative genetics within integrated approaches. J. Exp. Bot. 58, 2369–2387. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erm097
- Ho, C. H., Lin, S. H., Hu, H. C., and Tsay, Y. F. (2009). CHL1 functions as a nitrate sensor in plants. *Cell* 138, 1184–1194. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009.07.004
- Illés, P., Schlicht, M., Pavlovkin, J., Lichtscheidl, I., Baluška, F., and Ovečka, M. (2006). Aluminium toxicity in plants: internalization of aluminium into cells of the transition zone in *Arabidopsis* root apices related to changes in plasma membrane potential, endosomal behaviour, and nitric oxide production. *J. Exp. Bot.* 57, 4201–4213. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erl197
- Ishikawa, H., and Evans, M. L. (1992). Induction of curvature in maize roots by calcium or by thigmostimulation: role of the postmitotic isodiametric growth zone. *Plant Physiol.* 100, 762–768. doi: 10.1104/pp.100.2.762
- Ito, S., Nozoye, T., Sasaki, E., Imai, M., Shiwa, Y., Shibata-Hatta, M., et al. (2015). Strigolactone regulates anthocyanin accumulation, acid phosphatases production and plant growth under low phosphate condition in *Arabidopsis*. *PLoS ONE* 10:e0119724. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0119724
- Ito, S., Umehara, M., Hanada, A., Kitahata, N., Hayase, H., Yamaguchi, S., et al. (2011). Effects of triazole derivatives on strigolactone levels and growth retardation in rice. *PLoS ONE* 6:e21723. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0021723
- Jamil, M., Charnikhova, T., Houshyani, B., van Ast, A., and Bouwmeester, H. J. (2012). Genetic variation in strigolactone production and tillering in rice and its effect on Striga hermonthica infection. *Planta* 235, 473–484. doi: 10.1007/s00425-011-1520-y
- Jiang, K., Ballinger, T., Li, D., Zhang, S., and Feldman, L. (2006). Role for mitochondria in the establishment and maintenance of the maize root quiescent center. *Plant Physiol*. 140, 1118–1125. doi: 10.1104/pp.105.071977
- Kapulnik, Y., and Koltai, H. (2014). Strigolactone involvement in root development, response to abiotic stress, and interactions with the biotic soil environment. *Plant Physiol.* 166, 560–569. doi: 10.1104/pp.114.244939
- Kasprowicz, A., Szuba, A., Volkmann, D., Baluška, F., and Wojtaszek, P. (2009). Nitric oxide modulates dynamic actin cytoskeleton and vesicle trafficking in a cell type-specific manner in root apices. J. Exp. Bot. 60, 1605–1617. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erp033
- Kollmeier, M., Felle, H. H., and Horst, W. J. (2000). Genotypical differences in aluminum resistance of maize are expressed in the distal part of the transition zone. Is reduced basipetal auxin flow involved in inhibition of root elongation by aluminum? *Plant Physiol.* 122, 945–956. doi: 10.1104/pp.122. 3.945
- Koltai, H. (2015). Cellular events of strigolactone signalling and their crosstalk with auxin in roots. J. Exp. Bot. 66, 4855–4861. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv178
- Koren, D., Resnick, N., Gati, E., Belausov, E., Weininger, S., Kapulnik, Y., et al. (2013). Strigolactone signaling in the endodermis is sufficient to restore root responses and involves SHORT HYPOCOTYL 2 (SHY2) activity. *New Phytol.* 198, 866–874. doi: 10.1111/nph.12189
- Krouk, G., Crawford, N. M., Coruzzi, G. M., and Tsay, Y. F. (2010). Nitrate signaling: adaptation to fluctuating environments. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 13, 265–272. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2009.12.003
- Linkohr, B. I., Williamson, L. C., Fitter, A. H., and Leyser, H. M. (2002). Nitrate and phosphate availability and distribution have different effects on root system architecture of *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J.* 29, 751–760. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.2002.01251.x
- Liu, W., Li, R. J., Han, T. T., Cai, W., Fu, Z. W., and Lu, Y. T. (2015). Salt stress reduces root meristem size by nitric oxide-mediated modulation of auxin accumulation and signaling in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol*. 168, 343–356. doi: 10.1104/pp.15.00030
- Livak, K. J., and Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(–Delta Delta C(T)) method. *Methods* 25, 402–408. doi: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262
- López-Arredondo, D. L., Leyva-González, M. A., Alatorre-Cobos, F., and Herrera-Estrella, L. (2013). Biotechnology of nutrient uptake and assimilation in plants. *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* 57, 595–610. doi: 10.1387/ijdb.130268lh

- López-Bucio, J., Cruz-Ramírez, A., and Herrera-Estrella, L. (2003). The role of nutrient availability in regulating root architecture. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 6, 280–287. doi: 10.1016/S1369-5266(03)00035-9
- López-Ráez, J. A., and Bouwmeester, H. (2008). Fine-tuning regulation of strigolactone biosynthesis under phosphate starvation. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 3, 963–965. doi: 10.4161/psb.6126
- Manoli, A., Begheldo, M., Genre, A., Lanfranco, L., Trevisan, S., and Quaggiotti, S. (2014). NO homeostasis is a key regulator of early nitrate perception and root elongation in maize. J. Exp. Bot. 65, 185–200. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert358
- Manoli, A., Sturaro, A., Trevisan, S., Quaggiotti, S., and Nonis, A. (2012). Evaluation of candidate reference genes for qPCR in maize. J. Plant Physiol. 169, 807–815. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2012.01.019
- Marciano, D. P. R. O., Toledo-Ramos, F., Neiva-Alvim, M., Magalhaes, J. R., and Costa França, M. G. (2010). Nitric oxide reduces the stress effects of aluminum on the process of germination and early root growth of rice. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* 173, 885–891. doi: 10.1002/jpln.200900312
- Masi, E., Ciszak, M., Comparini, D., Monetti, E., Pandolfi, C., Azzarello, E., et al. (2015). The electrical network of maize root apex is gravity dependent. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 7730. doi: 10.1038/srep07730
- Méndez-Bravo, A., Raya-González, J., Herrera-Estrella, L., and López-Bucio, J. (2010). Nitric oxide is involved in alkamide-induced lateral root development in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell Physiol. 51, 1612–1626. doi: 10.1093/pcp/ pcq117
- Miller, A. J., Fan, X., Orsel, M., Smith, S. J., and Wells, D. M. (2007). Nitrate transport and signalling. J. Exp. Bot. 58, 2297–2306. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erm066
- Moore, P. J., and Staehelin, L. A. (1988). Immunogold localization of the cellwall-matrix polysaccharides rhamnogalacturonan I and xyloglucan during cell expansion and cytokinesis in *Trifolium pratense* L.; implication for secretory pathways. *Planta* 174, 433–445. doi: 10.1007/BF00634471
- Mugnai, S., Azzarello, E., Baluška, F., and Mancuso, S. (2012). Local root apex hypoxia induces NO-mediated hypoxic acclimation of the entire root. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 53, 912–920. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcs034
- Mugnai, S., Pandolfi, C., Masi, E., Azzarello, E., Monetti, E., Comparini, D., et al. (2014). Oxidative stress and NO signalling in the root apex as an early response to changes in gravity conditions. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2014:834134. doi: 10.1155/2014/834134
- Nagawa, S., Xu, T., Lin, D., Dhonukshe, P., Zhang, X., Friml, J., et al. (2012). ROP GTPase-dependent actin microfilaments promote PIN1 polarization by localized inhibition of clathrin-dependent endocytosis. *PLoS Biol.* 10:e1001299. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001299
- Nebenführ, A., Ritzenthaler, C., and Robinson, D. G. (2002). Brefeldin A: deciphering an enigmatic inhibitor of secretion. *Plant Physiol.* 130, 1102–1108. doi: 10.1104/pp.011569
- Nonis, A., Ruperti, B., Pierasco, A., Canaguier, A., Adam-Blondon, A. F., Di Gaspero, G., et al. (2008). Neutral invertases in grapevine and comparative analysis with *Arabidopsis*, poplar and rice. *Planta* 229, 129–142. doi: 10.1007/s00425-008-0815-0
- Nonis, A., Scortegagna, M., Nonis, A., and Ruperti, B. (2011). PRaTo: a web-tool to select optimal primer pairs for qPCR. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 415, 707–708. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2011.10.148
- Pandya-Kumar, N., Shema, R., Kumar, M., Mayzlish-Gati, E., Levy, D., Zemach, H., et al. (2014). Strigolactone analog GR24 triggers changes in PIN2 polarity, vesicle trafficking and actin filament architecture. *New Phytol.* 202, 1184–1196. doi: 10.1111/nph.12744
- Prinsi, B., Negri, A. S., Pesaresi, P., Cocucci, M., and Espen, L. (2009). Evaluation of protein pattern changes in roots and leaves of *Zea mays* plants in response to nitrate availability by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis analysis. *BMC Plant Biol.* 9:113. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-9-113
- Pritchard, J., Hetherington, P. R., Fry, S. C., and Tomos A. D. (1993). Xyloglucan endotransglycosylase activity, microfibril orientation and the profiles of cell wall properties along growing regions of maize roots. *J. Exp. Bot.* 44, 1281–1289. doi: 10.1093/jxb/44.8.1281
- Randazzo, P. A., and Hirsch, D. S. (2004). Arf GAPs: multifunctional proteins that regulate membrane traffic and actin remodelling. *Cell. Signal.* 16, 401–413. doi: 10.1016/j.cellsig.2003.09.012
- Raun, W. R., and Johnson, G. V. (1999). Improving nitrogen use efficiency for cereal production. *Agron. J.* 91, 357–363. doi: 10.2134/agronj1999.00021962009100030001x

- Robertson, G. P., and Vitousek, P. M. (2009). Nitrogen in agriculture: balancing the cost of an essential resource. Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour. 34, 97–125. doi: 10.1146/annurev.environ.032108.105046
- Rozen, S., and Skaletsky, H. (2000). Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 132, 365–386. doi: 10.1385/1-59259-192-2:365
- Ruiz Herrera, L. F., Shane, M. W., and López-Bucio, J. (2015). Nutritional regulation of root development. Wiley Interdiscip Rev. Dev. Biol. 4, 431–443. doi: 10.1002/wdev.183
- Ruyter-Spira, C., Kohlen, W., Charnikhova, T., van Zeijl, A., van Bezouwen, L., de Ruijter, N., et al. (2011). Physiological effects of the synthetic strigolactone analog GR24 on root system architecture in *Arabidopsis*: another belowground role for strigolactones? *Plant Physiol.* 155, 721–734. doi: 10.1104/pp.110. 166645
- Šamaj, J., Baluška, F., Voigt, B., Schlicht, M., Volkmann, D., and Menzel, D. (2004). Endocytosis, actin cytoskeleton, and signaling. *Plant Physiol.* 135, 1150–1161. doi: 10.1104/pp.104.040683
- Sanz, L., Albertos, P., Mateos, I., Sánchez-Vicente, I., Lechón, T., Fernández-Marcos, M., et al. (2015). Nitric oxide (NO) and phytohormones crosstalk during early plant development. *J. Exp. Bot.* 66, 2857–2868. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv213
- Sanz, L., Fernández-Marcos, M., Modrego, A., Lewis, D. R., Muday, G. K., Pollmann, S., et al. (2014). Nitric oxide plays a role in stem cell niche homeostasis through its interaction with auxin. *Plant Physiol.* 166, 1972–1984. doi: 10.1104/pp.114.247445
- Scheres, B., Benfey, P., and Dolan, L. (2002). "Root development," in *The Arabidopsis Book*, eds C. R. Somerville and E. M. Meyerowitz (Rockville, MD: American Society of Plant Biologists). doi: 10.1199/tab.0101
- Schlicht, M., Strnad, M., Scanlon, M. J., Mancuso, S., Hochholdinger, F., Palme, K., et al. (2006). Auxin immunolocalization implicates vesicular neurotransmitterlike mode of polar auxin transport in root apices. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 1, 122–133. doi: 10.4161/psb.1.3.2759
- Sivaguru, M., Baluška, F., Volkmann, D., Felle, H. H., and Horst, W. J. (1999). Impacts of aluminum on the cytoskeleton of the maize root apex. short-term effects on the distal part of the transition zone. *Plant Physiol.* 119, 1073–1082. doi: 10.1104/pp.119.3.1073
- Sivaguru, M., and Horst, W. J. (1998). The distal part of the transition zone is the most aluminum-sensitive apical root zone of maize. *Plant Physiol.* 116, 155–163. doi: 10.1104/pp.116.1.155
- Sivaguru, M., Liu, J., and Kochian, L. V. (2013). Targeted expression of SbMATE in the root distal transition zone is responsible for sorghum aluminum resistance. *Plant J.* 76, 297–307. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12290
- Sonobe, S., Nakayama, N., Shimmen, T., and Sone, Y. (2000). Intercellular distribution of subcellular organelles revealed by antibody against xyloglucan during cell cycle in tobacco BY-2 cells. *Protoplasma* 213, 218–227. doi: 10.1007/BF01282159
- Tian, Q. Y., Chen, F. J., Liu, J., Zhang, F. S., and Mi, G. H. (2008). Inhibition of maize root growth by high nitrate supply is correlated with reduced IAA levels in roots. *J. Plant Physiol.* 165, 942–951. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2007.02.011
- Trevisan, S., Manoli, A., Begheldo, M., Nonis, A., Enna, M., Vaccaro, S., et al. (2011). Transcriptome analysis reveals coordinated spatiotemporal regulation of hemoglobin and nitrate reductase in response to nitrate in maize roots. *New Phytol.* 192, 338–352. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03822.x
- Trevisan, S., Manoli, A., and Quaggiotti, S. (2014). NO signaling is a key component of the root growth response to nitrate in *Zea mays L. Plant Signal*. *Behav.* 9:e28290. doi: 10.4161/psb.28290
- Trevisan, S., Manoli, A., Ravazzolo, L., Botton, A., Pivato, M., Masi, A., et al. (2015). Nitrate sensing by the maize root apex transition zone: a merged transcriptomic and proteomic survey. J. Exp. Bot. 66, 3699–3715. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv165
- Umehara, M., Hanada, A., Magome, H., Takeda-Kamiya, N., and Yamaguchi, S. (2010). Contribution of strigolactones to the inhibition of tiller bud outgrowth under phosphate deficiency in rice. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 51, 1118–1126. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcq084
- Vidal, E. A., and Gutiérrez, R. A. (2008). A systems view of nitrogen nutrient and metabolite responses in *Arabidopsis. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 5, 521–529. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2008.07.003

- Vidal, E. A., Moyano, T. C., Riveras, E., Contreras-López, O., and Gutiérrez, R. A. (2013). Systems approaches map regulatory networks downstream of the auxin receptor AFB3 in the nitrate response of *Arabidopsis thaliana* roots. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, 12840–12845. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1310937110
- Vissenberg, K., Martinez-Vilchez, I. M., Verbelen, J. P., Miller, J. G., and Fry, S. C. (2000). In vivo colocalization of xyloglucan endotransglycosylase activity and its donor substrate in the elongation zone of *Arabidopsis* roots. *Plant Cell* 12, 1229–1237. doi: 10.1105/tpc.12.7.1229
- Walch-Liu, P., and Forde, B. G. (2008). Nitrate signalling mediated by the NRT1.1 nitrate transporter antagonises L-glutamate-induced changes in root architecture. *Plant J.* 54, 820–828. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03443.x
- Walch-Liu, P., Ivanov, I. I., Filleur, S., Gan, Y., Remans, T., and Forde, B. G. (2006). Nitrogen regulation of root branching. Ann. Bot. 97, 875–881. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcj601
- Wang, Y., Chen, T., Zhang, C., Hao, H., Lui, P., Zheng, M., et al. (2009). Nitric oxide modulates the influx of extracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> and actin filament organization during cell wall construction in *Pinus bungeana* pollen tubes. *New Phytol.* 182, 851–862. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02820.x
- Wang, Y. Y., Hsu, P. K., and Tsay, Y. F. (2012). Uptake, allocation and signaling of nitrate. *Trends Plant Sci.* 17, 458–467. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2012.04.006
- Xiao, C., Zhang, T., Zheng, Y., Cosgrove, D. J., and Anderson, C. T. (2015). Xyloglucan deficiency disrupts microtubule stability and cellulose biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis*, altering cell growth and morphogenesis. *Plant Physiol.* 170, 234–249. doi: 10.1104/pp.15.01395
- Yang, Z. B., Geng, X., He, C., Zhang, F., Wang, R., Horst, W. J., et al. (2014). TAA1regulated local auxin biosynthesis in the root-apex transition zone mediates the aluminum-induced inhibition of root growth in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell* 26, 2889–2904. doi: 10.1105/tpc.114.127993
- Yao, L.-L., Pei, B.-L., Zhou, Q., and Li, Y.-Z. (2012). NO serves as a signaling intermediate downstream of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to modulate dynamic microtubule cytoskeleton during responses to VD-toxins in *Arabidopsis. Plant Signal. Behav.* 7, 174–177. doi: 10.4161/psb.18768
- Yoneyama, K., Kisugi, T., Xie, X., and Yoneyama, K. (2013). "Chemistry of strigolactones: why and how do plants produce so many strigolactones?," in *The Molecular Microbial Ecology of the Rhizosphere*, ed. F. J. de Bruijn (Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.).
- Yoneyama, K., Xie, X., Kusumoto, D., Sekimoto, H., Sugimoto, Y., Takeuchi, Y., et al. (2007a). Nitrogen deficiency as well as phosphorus deficiency in sorghum promotes the production and exudation of 5-deoxystrigol, the host recognition signal for arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and root parasites. *Planta* 227, 125–132. doi: 10.1007/s00425-007-0600-5
- Yoneyama, K., Yoneyama, K., Takeuchi, Y., and Sekimoto, H. (2007b). Phosphorus deficiency in red clover promotes exudation of orobanchol, the signal for mycorrhizal symbionts and germination stimulant for root parasites. *Planta* 225, 1031–1038. doi: 10.1007/s00425-006-0410-1
- Yu, M., Lamattina, L., Spoel, S. H., and Loake, G. J. (2014). Nitric oxide function in plant biology: a redox cue in deconvolution. *New Phytol.* 202, 1142–1156. doi: 10.1111/nph.12739
- Zhao, D. Y., Tian, Q. Y., Li, L. H., and Zhang, W. H. (2007). Nitric oxide is involved in nitrate-induced inhibition of root elongation in *Zea mays. Ann. Bot.* 100, 497–503. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcm142
- Zhuang, X., Jiang, J., Li, J., Ma, Q., Xu, Y., Xue, Y., et al. (2006). Over-expression of OsAGAP, an ARF-GAP, interferes with auxin influx, vesicle trafficking and root development. *Plant J.* 48, 581–591. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.02898.x

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Manoli, Trevisan, Voigt, Yokawa, Baluška and Quaggiotti. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.