



Transcriptome Analysis of Pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) Revealed a Role of 24-Epibrassinolide in Response to Chilling

Jie Li^{1†}, Ping Yang^{2†}, Jungen Kang³, Yantai Gan^{4,5}, Jihua Yu¹, Alejandro Calderón-Urrea⁶, Jian Lyu¹, Guobin Zhang¹, Zhi Feng¹ and Jianming Xie^{1*}

¹ Department of Facility Horticulture Science, College of Horticulture, Gansu Agricultural University, Lanzhou, China, ² Department of Crop Cultivation and Farming System, College of Agronomy, Gansu Agricultural University, Lanzhou, China, ³ Department of Vegetable Genetics and Breeding, Beijing Vegetable Research Center, Beijing Academy of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences, Beijing, China, ⁴ Semiarid Prairie Agricultural Research Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Swift Current, SK, Canada, ⁵ Gansu Provincial Key Lab of Aridland Crop Science, Gansu Agricultural University, Lanzhou, China, ⁶ Department of Biology, California State University Fresno, Fresno, CA, USA

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Claudio Bonghi, University of Padua, Italy

Reviewed by:

Antonio Ferrante, University of Milan, Italy Rui Fan, Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Science, China

> *Correspondence: Jianming Xie gsau23@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work.

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Crop Science and Horticulture, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 10 May 2016 Accepted: 11 August 2016 Published: 29 August 2016

Citation:

Li J, Yang P, Kang J, Gan Y, Yu J, Calderón-Urrea A, Lyu J, Zhang G, Feng Z and Xie J (2016) Transcriptome Analysis of Pepper (Capsicum annuum) Revealed a Role of 24-Epibrassinolide in Response to Chilling. Front. Plant Sci. 7:1281. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01281 Brassinosteroids (BRs) have positive effects on many processes during plant growth, development, and various abiotic stress responses. However, little information is available regarding the global gene expression of BRs in response to chilling stress in pepper. In this study, we used RNA sequencing to determine the molecular roles of 24-epibrassinolide (EBR) during a chilling stress response. There were 39,829 transcripts, and, among them, 656 were differently-expressed genes (DEGs) following EBR treatment (Chill+EBR) compared with the control (Chill only), including 335 upregulated and 321 down-regulated DEGs. We selected 20 genes out of the 656 DEGs for RT-gPCR analysis to confirm the RNA-Seq. Based on GO enrich and KEGG pathway analysis, we found that photosynthesis was significantly up-enriched in biological processes, accompanied by significant increases in the net photosynthetic rate (Pn), Fv/Fm, and chlorophyll content. Furthermore, the results indicate that EBR enhanced endogenous levels of salicylic acid (SA) and jasmonic acid (JA) while suppressing the ethylene (ETH) biosynthesis pathway, suggesting that BRs function via a synergistic cross-talk with SA, JA, and ETH signaling pathways in response to chilling stress. In addition, EBR induced cellulose synthase-like protein and UDP-glycosyltransferase, suggesting a contribution to the formation of cell wall and hormone metabolism. EBR also triggered the calcium signaling transduction in cytoplasm, and activated the expression of cellular redox homeostasis related genes, such as GSTX1, PER72, and CAT2. This work, therefor, identified the specific genes showed different expression patterns in EBR-treated pepper and associated with the processes of hormone metabolism, redox, signaling, transcription, and defense. Our study provides the first evidence of the potent roles of BRs, at the transcription level, to induce the tolerance to chilling stress in pepper as a function of the combination of the transcriptional activities, signaling transduction, and metabolic homeostasis.

Keywords: pepper, Brassinosteroid, chill-stress, transcriptome, RNA sequencing

INTRODUCTION

Chilling stress affects the geographical distribution of many important vegetables such as pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.; Sanghera et al., 2011). This abiotic stress adversely affects plant growth and development and the yield and quality of many crops (Janská et al., 2010; Ren et al., 2014). In Northern China, where greenhouse cultivation is used for vegetable production, it is very common for plants suffer a chilling injury during the fall-towinter transition period when a sudden temperature drops often leads to early frost damage. In vegetables, low temperatures lead to the arrest of growth or abortion of flower buds, resulting in significant yield and economic losses (Chinnusamy et al., 2007).

Plants have evolved pleiotropic and intricate regulatory functions to defend against environmental stresses (Xia et al., 2009). Under stress, plants produce a number of phytohormones, such as salicylic acid (SA), brassinosteroids (BRs), and abscisic acid (ABA), which play a critical role in the perception of external signals and the activation of defense mechanism within plants. Brassinosteroids, a group of naturally occurring plant steroids, have been shown to provide positive effects on the regulation of plant growth and a broad spectrum of physiological responses to abiotic stresses, such as high and low temperature stress (Mazorra et al., 2002; Bajguz, 2009), drought (Yuan et al., 2010), and salinity injury (Liu et al., 2014). For example, BRs increase thermotolerance of plants by inducing heat shock protein synthesis and gene expression for heat tolerance (Dhaubhadel et al., 2002; Dhaubhadel and Krishna, 2008). BRs induce cold-related gene expression in Brassica napus and Arabidopsis thaliana (Kagale et al., 2007). Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (UBC32) as a critical gene involved in BRI1 biosynthesis and ER-associated protein degradation (ERAD) pathway positively regulates BR-induced salt tolerance (Cui et al., 2011). A synergistic interaction among BR signaling and the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) induces the gene expression of respiratory burst oxidase homolog (RBOH), whereas encoding NADPH oxidase and NADPH oxidase trigger apoplastic ROS accumulation, activating MAPKs to increase plant tolerance to stress (Hao et al., 2013).

RNA sequencing techniques have been used to investigate global expression profiles and reveal the signal transduction pathways involved in the resistance network under various stresses (Liu et al., 2015; Wang J. et al., 2015). The genome of pepper has been recently sequenced (Qin et al., 2014), which provides a valuable resource for molecular-based investigations for stress tolerance in plants. In previous studies, we found that exogenous BRs alleviated low temperature stress in pepper by enhancing antioxidant capacity and maintenance of photosystem II (Li et al., 2015a,b). However, it is unknown regarding the specific gene expression profile of BRs-induced chilling tolerance in pepper, and the genomic characteristics of the BRs-induced tolerance were undefined.

Here, we reveal the genes associated with chilling stress and the associated signaling pathways mediated by BRs using RNAseq analysis. The goals of the present study were to (i) provide insights into the pepper leaf transcriptome response to BRs under chilling stress; and (ii) uncover the genes and pathways that are associated with BRs-induced stress tolerance in pepper.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material and Stress Treatment

Pepper seeds (cv. "Xiangyan NO.16") were germinated in the dark for 72 h at 28°C before being transplanted into plastic pots containing a mixture of vermiculite and peat (1:2, v: v) for subsequent growth. The seedlings were grown in an intelligent greenhouse with $25/15^{\circ}$ C (day/night), photon flux density of $350-400 \,\mu$ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, 12-h photoperiod, and relative humidity of 60–80%.

We used six different concentrations (0, 10, 1, 0.1, 0.01, and 0.001 μ M) to manipulate BRs levels in pepper plants as described by Li et al. (2015b). We used 0.1 μ M EBR as an optimum EBR concentration based on the results of the prior experiment where various concentrations were studied and the optimum level was identified.

At the 6–7 leaf stage (50 days after planting), the seedlings were divided into the following two groups: one was sprayed with 0.1 μ M EBR solution, and the other was sprayed with the same volume of double distilled water. Twenty-four hours later, seedlings in both groups were transferred to a controlled growth chamber with temperature at 15/5°C (day/night), photon flux density at 100 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, 12-h photoperiod, and relative humidity of 80%. Each treatment was replicated three times at the growth chamber, and each replicate had 20 plants. At day 7 of chilling, we collected two biological replicates of each treatment for sequencing, and each biological replicate had three plants. All samples were collected at the same time, ground into powder in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80° C for further use.

These samples were labeled Chill+EBR (EBR treatment under chilling stress) and Chill (control).

RNA Extraction and RNA-seq

Total RNA was extracted from the Chill and Chill+EBR samples (leaves) with Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) using method described by Hu et al. (2012). The total RNA extraction was divided into two aliquots; one aliquote was used for RNA-sequencing, and the other was used for real-time PCR. The RNA-sequencing was performed using an Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) at Novogene Bioinformatics Technology Co., China. Each sample generated more than 6 gigabyte of data. The clean reads were filtered from raw sequencing data and the low-quality reads containing unknown nucleotides or adaptor sequences were removed; this procedure was performed in accordance with the method of Chen et al. (2014). The filtered clean reads were aligned to *C. annuum* reference genome (http://peppersequence.genomics.cn/page/species/download.jsp).

Differential Expression Analysis

We performed differential expression analysis for both Chill and Chill+EBR treatments based on the DESeq R package, which allowed for statistical analysis using the negative binomial distribution model (Wang et al., 2010). To control the false discovery rate, we adjusted the resulting *p*-values according to Benjamini and Hochberg's approach (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995), where an adjusted p < 0.05 is accepted to represent differentially expressed genes (DEGs).

We performed gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of the DEGs according to the GOseq R package, and GO terms with q < 0.05 were regarded as significantly enriched (Young et al., 2010). We carried out the statistical enrichment of the differential expression genes in Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways using KOBAS software (Xie et al., 2011).

Validation of DEGs by Real-Time Quantitative PCR

Twenty transcript genes were selected for the qRT-PCR assay; the genes and gene-specific primers used are summarized in **Table S1**. Actin was used as an internal reference. qRT-PCR was performed using SYBR-Green (ABI-Invitrogen, California, USA) on an ABI 7900 Fast Real-Time PCR Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, USA). A real-time RT-PCR reaction (20 µl) included 10 µl of 2× SuperReal PreMix Plus, 2 µl cDNA, 1 µl of each primer and 6 µl ddH₂O, and it proceeded for 40 cycles. The relative expression levels of the selected 20 genes normalized to the expression level of *actin* (internal reference control) were calculated from cycle threshold values using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

Chlorophyll Content and Net Photosynthetic Rate

The chlorophyll a and b concentrations were determined according to the method of Arnon (1949). Net photosynthetic rate (Pn) in the fully expanded leaves of pepper plants was measured by Ciras-2 portable photosynthesis system (PP Systems, USA).

Chlorophyll Fluorescence Determination

TABLE 1 Summary of sequence assembly after illumina sequencing

The chlorophyll fluorescence parameters in pepper leaves were measured using a pulse-modulated fluorometer (FMS-2,

Hansatech, Norfolk, UK). Pepper seedlings were placed in the dark for 30 min and were prepared for the determination of the Fv/Fm.

Analysis of Hormones and Hormone Metabolites

Pepper leaf tissues treated with DDH₂O or 0.1 μ M EBR under chilling stress were collected in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80° C. For analysis of IAA and ETH metabolites, SA and JA, leaf tissues were lyophilized using a freeze dryer; these procedures were in accordance with the methods of published research (Chiwocha et al., 2003; Peng and Zhou, 2009). Indole acetic acid oxidase (IAAO) activity was measured on acetone extract leaves by measuring residual IAA following incubation and agitation in the dark at 30°C. One unit of IAAO activity was expressed as 1 mg of IAA destroyed per milligram of protein per minute. ACC content and ACC synthase (ACS) activity was determined according to the method of Prasad and Cline (Prasad and Cline, 1987).

RESULTS

Mapping and Quantitative Assessment of liiumina Sequence

We constructed two libraries from Chill and Chill+EBR for RNA-Seq. A total of 32.49 million (Chill) and 39.86 million (Chill+EBR) reads were generated. After removing low-quality regions, adapters, and possible contamination, we obtained more than 3 giga base clean bases with a Q20 percentage over 92%, Q30 percentage over 86%, and a GC percentage between 42.9 and 43.7% (Table 1).

Each library that produced the clean reads was aligned to the recently released *C. annuum* reference genome, release_2.0 (Qin et al., 2014). The proportion of clean reads in the two pepper transcriptome libraries that mapped to *C. annuum* reference genome ranged from 85.34 to 87.60% (**Table 2**). A total of 39,829 genes were confirmed from the mapped libraries, including the

| TABLE 1 outminuty of sequence assertibly after manima sequencing. | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Sample name ^a | Raw reads | Clean reads | Clean bases Gb | Error rate (%) | Q20 ^b (%) | Q30 ^c (%) | GC content ^d (%) |
| Chill1-1 | 33,040,705 | 32,108,541 | 4.01 | 0.04 | 94.63 | 89.54 | 42.91 |
| Chill1-2 | 33,040,705 | 32,108,541 | 4.01 | 0.04 | 93.08 | 87.4 | 42.92 |
| Chill2-1 | 31,938,987 | 30,969,153 | 3.87 | 0.04 | 94.44 | 89.22 | 43.38 |
| Chill2-2 | 31,938,987 | 30,969,153 | 3.87 | 0.04 | 92.48 | 86.51 | 43.41 |
| Chill+EBR1-1 | 41,024,790 | 40,319,076 | 5.04 | 0.04 | 94.22 | 88.8 | 43.63 |
| Chill+EBR1-2 | 41,024,790 | 40,319,076 | 5.04 | 0.04 | 92.22 | 86.11 | 43.71 |
| Chill+EBR2-1 | 38,701,387 | 37,690,638 | 4.71 | 0.04 | 95.02 | 90.2 | 42.95 |
| Chill+EBR2-2 | 38,701,387 | 37,690,638 | 4.71 | 0.04 | 92.44 | 86.35 | 42.97 |
| | | | | | | | |

^a The numbers 1 and 2 at the end of the sample name represent left and right ends (pair-end sequencing), respectively. Chill-samples treated with water; Chill+EBR-samples treated with 0.1 μM EBR.

^bPercentage of bases with a Phred value of at least 20.

^cPercentage of bases with a Phred value of at least 30.

^d Proportion of guanidine and cytosine nucleotides among total nucleotides.

| TABLE 2 | Number of | f reads sequenced | and mapped to | the pepper | genome. |
|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------------|------------|---------|
|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------------|------------|---------|

| Sample name | Chill1 | Chill2 | Chill+EBR1 | Chill+EBR2 |
|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Total reads | 64,217,082 | 61,938,306 | 80,638,152 | 75,381,276 |
| Total mapped | 56,251,137 (87.6%) | 53,309,676 (86.07%) | 68,819,267 (85.34%) | 65,985,060 (87.54%) |
| Multiple mapped | 2,129,037 (3.32%) | 2,064,848 (3.33%) | 2,861,761 (3.55%) | 28,11,891 (3.73%) |
| Uniquely mapped | 54,122,100 (84.28%) | 51,244,828 (82.74%) | 65,957,506 (81.79%) | 63173169 (83.8%) |
| Read-1 | 27,305,549 (42.52%) | 25,918,892 (41.85%) | 33,351,826 (41.36%) | 32,030,587 (42.49%) |
| Read-2 | 26,816,551 (41.76%) | 25,325,936 (40.89%) | 32605680 (40.43%) | 31,142,582 (41.31%) |
| Reads map to "+" | 27,008,832 (42.06%) | 25,526,323 (41.21%) | 32,830,110 (40.71%) | 31,512,085 (41.8%) |
| Reads map to "" | 27,113,268 (42.22%) | 25,718,505 (41.52%) | 33127396 (41.08%) | 31,661,084 (42%) |
| Non-splice reads | 36,188,485 (56.35%) | 33853641 (54.66%) | 43,682,428 (54.17%) | 41,602,031 (55.19%) |
| Splice reads | 17,933,615 (27.93%) | 17,391,187 (28.08%) | 22,275,078 (27.62%) | 21,571,138 (28.62%) |

| TABLE 3 | Statistics of | aenes in | different | expression-level | interval |
|----------|---------------|----------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| IT OLL V | 01010000 | goneo m | annononic | 0.0001011 10101 | micor van |

| RPKM ^a interval | Chill | Chill+EBR |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| 0~1 | 17,437 (43.78%) ^b | 17,267 (43.35%) |
| $1 \sim 3$ | 3859 (9.69%) | 3925 (9.85%) |
| 3~15 | 8283 (20.80%) | 8415 (21.13%) |
| $15 \sim 60$ | 6932 (17.41%) | 6954 (17.46%) |
| >60 | 3319 (8.33%) | 3269 (8.21%) |
| | | |

^aReads per kilo bases per million reads.

^bRatios of gene number to total gene number are presented in parentheses.

locations of exons and introns (**Table S2**). All of the RNAsequence data in this article have been deposited in the NCBI-SRA database and are accessible in SRX1959970.

Transcriptome Profiles of the Leaves from the Two Groups of Pepper Seedlings

The 39,829 genes from the mapped libraries were normalized (**Table S3**) using the reads per kilo bases per million reads (RPKMs) method (Mortazavi et al., 2008). With RPKMs in 0 to 1, the genes were regarded as having a low expression level; genes with RPKMs of 3–15 were regarded as having a medium expression level; and genes with RPKM beyond 60 were regarded as having a very high expression level (**Table 3**).

Differentially Expressed Genes in the Pepper Leaves

We identified 656 DEGs between Chill and Chill+EBR treatments (**Table S4**; **Figure 1A**). We used hierarchical clustering of all the DEGs to observe the gene expression patterns, and it was evaluated by log_{10} RPKMs for the two groups (**Figure 1B**). Compared to Chill treatment, genes in Chill+EBR treatment contained 335 up-regulated genes and 321 down-regulated genes. These results suggest that EBR had a markedly effect on the transcription of a subset of genes response for chilling stress.



FIGURE 1 | Transcriptome analysis of differentially expressed genes in Chill and Chill+EBR treatment of pepper leaves. (A) Volcano plot showed the DEGs between two different libraries. The q < 0.05 was used as thresholds to determine the significance of DEGs. Red dots represent up-regulated genes, green dots show down-regulated genes, and blue dots indicate transcripts that did not change significantly in the Chill+EBR library compared Chill. (B) Hierarchical clustering of all the DEGs based on \log_{10} RPKM values. The color (from blue to red) represent gene expression intensity from low to high. Chill and Chill+EBR represent two treatments under alone chilling stress and chilling stress with 0.1 μ M EBR.

Real-Time qPCR Analysis

To validate the DEG data from RNA-sequencing, we randomly selected 20 DEG for qRT-PCR assay in EBR-mediated chilling stress. The qRT-PCR results showed a strong positive correlation with the RNA-seq ($R^2 = 0.947$), indicating that the RNA-seq data were validated (**Figure 2**).

We evaluated the gene functions of DEGs using GO enrichment analysis, and it revealed the biological process, molecular function and cellular component categories for the 656 DEGs of the two groups tested (**Table S5**). A total of 335 up-regulated DEGs were enriched significantly in 10 functional terms. Among these, six terms were significantly enriched in cellular component, there was one term significantly enriched in



molecular function, and two terms were significantly enriched in biological process. Within in the cellular component domain, the terms that were significantly enriched Photosystem I reaction center (GO: 0009538; 8 genes), photosystem (GO: 0009521; 15 genes), photosynthetic membrane (GO: 0034357; 15 genes), thylakoid (GO: 0009579;15 genes), thylakoid part (GO: 0044436; 15 genes), and photosystem I (GO: 0009522; 8 genes). Within the molecular function domain, the term that was significantly enriched intransferring hexosyl groups (GO: 0016758) with 16 genes. Within the biological process domain, the terms that were significantly enriched included Photosynthesis (GO: 0015979) and DNA-dependent transcription (GO: 0006352) with 19 and 9 genes, respectively. For down-regulated DEGs, three terms were markedly enriched in molecular function, and 41 terms were markedly enriched in biological process (**Figure 3**).

Among DGEs of the two groups tested the following 9 pathways, with a KEGG pathway annotation, were affected: carbon fixation in photosynthetic organisms, photosynthesis, photosynthesis-antenna proteins, carbon metabolism, pentose phosphate pathway, glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism, metabolic pathways, zeatin biosynthesis, glycine, serine, and threonine metabolism pathway (q < 0.05; **Table S6**; **Figure 4**).

Effect of EBR on Chlorophyll Content and Net Photosynthetic Rate

The exposure to chilling stress treatment influenced the morphological traits in pepper seedlings, and EBR application significantly alleviated the inhibited growth (**Figure 5A**). The net photosynthetic rate (Pn) was increased significantly 7 days after EBR-treatment compared to without EBR; this was accompanied by a remarkable increase in chlorophyll content (**Figures 5D,E**). EBR (Chill+EBR) attenuated the inhibitory effect of chilling on photosynthesis. Low temperature treatments resulted in the reduction of Fv/Fm in pepper seedlings, while the application of EBR significantly increased Fv/Fm (**Figure 5C**).

Transcriptome Profiles of Photosynthesis-Related Genes

The genes involved in the photosynthesis in the EBR-treated chilling responses were all up-regulated, and among them 8 genes encoded photosystem I reaction center subunit. It is known that the chlorophyll a/b-binding protein is an important component of light-harvesting complex II (Jansson, 1999, 1994). We found that EBR induced the expression of 10 genes encoding chlorophyll a/b-binding protein. ATP-dependent zinc metalloproteases, known to take part in chloroplast protein modification and the metabolism of extracellular matrix, were also up-regulated by EBR. Additionally, the genes involved in ATP synthase chain, oxygen-evolving enhancer protein, ABC transporter I family member, thylakoid lumenal protein, and PsbP domain-containing protein were all up-regulated, suggesting that BRs might play a significant and positive role in the photosynthesis processes under chilling stress (**Table 4**).

Effect of EBR on the Endogenous Levels of Other Hormones and Their Metabolites

To determine whether BR influenced the endogenous levels of other hormones, we assessed the concentrations of IAA, ABA, JA, and SA and ETH metabolites in the leaves of pepper seedlings grown in the absence or presence of 0.1 μ M EBR under chilling stress. The concentrations of ABA, SA and JA were significantly increased by 37.5, 189.1, and 132.3%, respectively, in the EBR-treated seedlings compared to the untreated seedlings (**Figure 6A**). There was an increase in the level of IAA, but a significant decrease in the activity of acetic acid oxidase (IAAO) in the EBR-treated tissue (**Figure 6B**). There was a significant increase in the activity of ACC synthase (ACS) in the EBR-treated seedlings compared to chilling stress without EBR (**Figure 6B**).

Transcriptome Profiles of Hormone Metabolism and Signaling-Related Genes

EBR treatment under chilling stress induced changes in the expression of some genes involved in auxin signaling pathways, including auxin-induced protein, auxin-responsive protein, and auxin-binding protein (Table 5). Genes involved in cytokinin dehydrogenase, which plays a significant role in maintaining the well-organized cytokinin functions (Werner et al., 2003), were down-regulated by EBR in pepper. Protein phosphatase type 2C24 (P2C24) was identified as the second component of the ABA signaling pathway, which was also down-regulated by EBR. JA biosynthesis gene, linoleate 13S-lipoxygenase 2-1, and SA-related isochorismate synthase gene were all up-regulated, while four gibberellin-responsive genes were down-regulated. EBR treatment under chilling stress down-regulated all of the genes in the ETH signaling pathways. These genes included the ETH biosynthesis gene ACC synthase (ACS1), the induction of the ETH receptor (ETR), 8 ETH responsive transcription factors and one ETH insensitive 3-like 1 protein.

Late embryogenesis abundant protein D-29, which is involved in the tolerance to water stress resulting from desiccation or chilling injury was down-regulated by EBR. The genes involved in



FIGURE 3 | GO enrichment analysis of DEGs between Chill and Chill+EBR treatment. (A) up-regulation; (B) down-regulation. The 30 most enriched GO terms are shown. Asterisks indicate significantly enriched GO terms (q < 0.05).



proline responses including proline dehydrogenase and prolinerich receptor-like protein kinase PERK1 (*PERK1*) were downregulated, while *PERK10* was up-regulated. *RBOHC* which is associated with ROS production in plants, was down-regulated by EBR. There are three genes related to cysteine-rich receptor-like protein kinase, among them two genes were down-regulated, and the other gene was up-regulated. The RING-H2 finger protein ATL72 was up-regulated, while the RING-H2 finger protein ATL78 and RING-H2 finger protein 2 were down-regulated. The genes may be involved in the early steps of the plant defense signaling pathway. Dehydration-responsive elementbinding protein (*DREB2C*) and protein dehydration-induced 19 homolog (*3DI19-3*) which mediate cold-inducible transcription, were down-regulated by BRs (**Table 5**).

Transcriptome Profiles of Calcium Signaling and Redox-Related Genes

We found that EBR treatment under chilling stress up-regulated calcium-dependent protein kinase (*CAS*, Capana00g001365), while CBL-interacting serine/threonine-protein kinase, calcium uniporter protein, probable calcium-binding protein CML15,

calcium-binding protein CML38, and calmodulin-related protein were down-regulated (**Table 6**).

All of the genes involved in redox homeostasis were up-regulated by EBR (**Table 6**). *GSTX1*, *PER72*, and *CAT2* inactivate endogenous epoxides and hydroperoxides, and are associated with secondary metabolites during oxidative stress. *CDSP32*, which encodes thioredoxin as a physiological electron donor to the BAS1 peroxiredoxin, participated in the defense against lipid peroxidation in photosynthetic membranes (Broin and Rey, 2003). *FAO4A* was involved in the omega-oxidation pathway of lipid degradation. *At1g06690* and NAD(P)H quinone oxidoreductase played a positive role in the antioxidant defense by generating vitamin E and ubiquinone.

Transcriptome Profiles of Transcription Factors and Post Transcription

We analyzed the function of transcription factors (TFs) in pepper based on their annotations in NCBI database. The majority of WRKY family TFs were down-regulated, such as *WRKY11* [a negative regulator of resistance to *Pst* (Journot-Catalino et al.,



2006)], WRKY41, WRKY6, WRKY40 [a transcriptional repressor in plant cells response to abscisic acid and abiotic stress (Chen et al., 2010)], WRKY33, WRKY28, and WRKY20. Only WRKY51 (Capana12g001826), which positively mediated the signaling transduction of SA and JA (Gao et al., 2011), was up-regulated by EBR under chilling stress. The MYB family, which is involved in a diversity of gene regulation (Jackson et al., 1991), and NAC family members (NAC100, NAC002, and NAC072) were all downregulated by EBR under chilling stress. bHLH family members were up-regulated except bHLH130. bHLH transcription factors positively regulated ABA-responsive kinase substrate (AKS) and facilitated stomatal opening by triggering the phosphorylation of AKS family transcription factors (Takahashi et al., 2013). Heatstress transcription factor A-2/B-1, the key regulator alleviating oxidative damage caused by heat stress negatively regulated by cold stress in Arabidopsis (Zhang et al., 2009), was downregulated by EBR in pepper. COL2, a circadian clock that controls many rhythmic processes (Kim et al., 2013), was up-regulated. MGP, which regulated tissue boundaries and asymmetric cell division and controlling SHORT-ROOT activity in a transcriptional and protein interaction network (Welch et al., 2007), was down-regulated by EBR. Additionally, ATHB-7 mediates a drought response via transcriptional regulation in an ABA-dependent manner. ATHB-52 and ATHB-21, which responded to auxin, ETH, and ABA or water deficits (Henriksson et al., 2005), were down-regulated by EBR. TCP19, involved in the orchestrated regulation of ICS1 expression (Wang X. et al., 2015), was down-regulated by EBR. GATA22, which participates in the GA-mediated signaling pathway (Richter et al., 2010) and chlorophyll biosynthetic process (Hudson et al., 2011), was up-regulated by EBR in pepper under chilling stress (**Table 7**).

EBR treatment induced *CSLE6* and *CSLH1* up-regulation, but down-regulated *CSLG1*, and it is known that they encode various non-cellulosic β -linked polysaccharides synthesis enzymes involved in the backbone of the cell wall (Doblin et al., 2009). Four genes encoding glycosyltransferase, which catalyzed the receptor substrates of cytokinin, auxin and ABA, were up-regulated. Similarly, three genes encoding UDP-glucose flavonoid 3-O-glucosyltransferase, *GT6*, *GT7*, and *GT3*, were upregulated by EBR (**Table 7**), and it is known that they are also involved in the detoxification of xenobiotics.

DISCUSSION

BRs, a group of naturally occurring plant steroids, are involved in many important cellular and physiological processes of plants in response to environmental stresses (Xia et al., 2009). Additionally, BRs mediate the response and signal transduction pathways of multiple hormones such as salicylic acid (SA), ethylene (ETH), jasmonic acid (JA), or abscisic acid (ABA) to abiotic stresses (U. K. Divi et al., 2010). In a previous study, we demonstrated that EBR treatment increased the basal chill tolerance of pepper via physiological and biochemical methods (Li et al., 2015a). To identify BR-mediated changes in gene expression, in the present study, we revealed the potent role of BRs in the response to chilling stress at the transcriptome level, and the findings provide the groundwork for elucidating the

| | Dhotocynth | ocic related | gonos ovprossion | in nonnor loovo | s as influenced by | cohilling along | or in combination | EBD treatment |
|---------|------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| IADLE 4 | Photosynth | lesis relateu | genes expression | in pepper leave | s as innuenced by | y chilling alone | e or in compination | EDR treatment. |

| Gene ID | Log ₂ FC | q-value | Symbol | Description |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------|-----------|--|
| Capana06g001915 | 0.786 | 6.73E-03 | psaD | Photosystem I reaction center subunit II |
| Capana02g001321 | 1.198 | 2.76E-02 | PSAF | Photosystem I reaction center subunit III |
| Capana06g000155 | 1.229 | 3.90E-02 | PSAEA | Photosystem I reaction center subunit IV A |
| Capana04g000192 | 0.798 | 6.55E-03 | PSAEA | Photosystem I reaction center subunit IV A |
| Capana06g001274 | 1.170 | 1.58E-02 | PSAH | Photosystem I reaction center subunit VI |
| Capana03g000290 | 0.700 | 2.66E-02 | PSAH | Photosystem I reaction center subunit VI |
| Capana06g000231 | 0.733 | 1.60E-02 | PSAL | Photosystem I reaction center subunit XI |
| Capana06g000232 | 1.184 | 2.77E-06 | PSAL | Photosystem I reaction center subunit XI |
| Capana10g002492 | 1.216 | 2.83E-03 | PSBY | Photosystem II core complex proteins psbY |
| Capana09g002353 | 1.130 | 2.36E-02 | psbW | Photosystem II reaction center W protein |
| Capana09g000146 | 1.218 | 4.15E-02 | CB12 | Chlorophyll a/b binding protein |
| Capana07g001245 | 1.480 | 2.70E-10 | CAB4 | Chlorophyll a/b binding protein 4 |
| Capana08g000250 | 1.106 | 1.82E-03 | CAB7 | Chlorophyll a/b binding protein 7 |
| Capana08g001648 | 1.626 | 9.29E-08 | CAB8 | Chlorophyll a/b binding protein 8 |
| Capana08g001647 | 1.834 | 4.99E-05 | CAB8 | Chlorophyll a/b binding protein 8 |
| Capana00g002799 | 3.279 | 3.52E-02 | CAB21 | Chlorophyll a/b binding protein 21 |
| Capana00g002801 | 2.960 | 1.58E-02 | CAB21 | Chlorophyll a/b binding protein 21 |
| Capana09g000473 | 2.058 | 4.26E-03 | CAB37 | Chlorophyll a/b binding protein 37 |
| Capana09g001520 | 0.956 | 2.74E-04 | LHCB4.2 | Chlorophyll a/b binding protein CP29.2 |
| Capana01g002398 | 1.342 | 1.11E-03 | LHCB5 | Chlorophyll a/b binding protein CP26 |
| Capana03g002052 | 0.915 | 1.06E-02 | FTSH11 | ATP-dependent zinc metalloprotease FTSH 11 |
| Capana02g002193 | 0.690 | 2.66E-02 | ATPC | ATP synthase gamma chain |
| Capana05g001562 | 0.768 | 2.19E-02 | ATPD | ATP synthase delta chain |
| Capana00g001213 | 0.908 | 7.37E-04 | PSBP | Oxygen-evolving enhancer protein 2 |
| Capana02g002133 | 1.140 | 7.25E-04 | PSBQ2 | Oxygen-evolving enhancer protein 3-2 |
| Capana06g001078 | 1.313 | 1.42E-02 | ABCI17 | ABC transporter I family member 17 |
| Capana00g004571 | 1.295 | 1.83E-04 | At1g03600 | Thylakoid lumenal protein At1g03610 |
| Capana04g000971 | 1.510 | 4.25E-03 | CLEB3J9 | Thylakoid lumenal 29 kDa protein |
| Capana06g001397 | 1.348 | 8.68E-05 | PPD6 | PsbP domain-containing protein 6 |

Shown are the q-value (<0.05) for genes expression (comparison between EBR+Chill and Chill).

mechanism of BRs-induced chilling tolerance in pepper plants. We identified 656 DEGs, including 335 up-regulated and 321 down-regulated genes in the EBR-treated tissues compared to the chilling stress without EBR.

Photosynthesis is among the primary processes in plants that are often affected by chilling stress (Allen and Ort, 2001). In the present study, we used GO enrichment analysis and found that the 29 genes involved in photosynthesis were up-regulated by EBR under chilling stress conditions. Indeed, EBR-treated seedlings under chilling stress significantly enhanced net photosynthetic rate (Pn) and chlorophyll content when compared to controls (Figure 5). This suggests that BRs induction of chlorophyll and the net photosynthetic rate is a major factor contributing to the distinct photosynthetic characteristics in the BRs transcriptome. We identified several up-regulated genes associated with chloroplast organization (Capana02g002385) and the photosynthetic apparatus including the chloroplast and thylakoid luminal. In addition, we found that BRs also significantly increased Fv/Fm under chilling stress. These results suggest that EBR treatment contributes to the pepper leaves increased ability to absorb and transfer light energy in chilling conditions. Indeed, the 5 up-regulated genes were associated with redox regulation of photosystem II (Capana06g001397), transport activity (Capana06g001078, Capana03g002052), and photosystem II reaction center (Capana09g002353, Capana10g002492). KEGG pathway analysis also showed that the photosynthesis (ko00195) and photosynthesis-antenna proteins (ko00196) were significantly enriched up-regulated pathway terms (**Figure 4**).

As small molecules, plant hormones mediate many cellular processes in plants, including plant morphogenesis, and responses to changing environmental conditions (Kang et al., 2005; Pantin et al., 2013; Colebrook et al., 2014). Signal transduction components perceive plant hormone signals and transmit to the nuclear to induce gene expression synergistically with other signals to induce gene expression synergistically with other signals to influence response to environmental stress via a series of physiological processes (Lu et al., 2014). In our study, some unigenes were markedly enriched in the hormone biosynthesis and signaling components processes.



These hormones including auxin, JA, ETH, ABA, and SA, and their signaling components were involved in BR-induced plant defense. Our results showed that the auxin, JA, and SA pathways appeared to act synergistically with BRs in mediating the response to chilling stress (**Table 5**). It is known that BRs can induce the SA-perceptive pathway or ABA-dependent pathways for resistance to heat and salt stress in *A. thaliana* or *Chlorella vulgaris* (Bajguz, 2009; Divi et al., 2010).

We demonstrated the effects of BRs on chilling tolerance via the transcriptome profiles of hormone metabolism and signalingrelated components in pepper. Accordingly, the transcription involved in hormone signaling components may function as important mediators of BR-induced resistance to chilling stress. We found that four DEGs were associated with auxin biosynthesis and signaling pathways, and the BRs mediated the down-regulation of auxin-induced protein B6 and *IAA17*. It

is known that auxin-induced protein B6 induced the auxinactivated signaling pathway, whereas IAA17 functioned as a repressor of early auxin response genes (Liscum and Reed, 2002). Furthermore, we found that EBR-treated seedlings had significantly enhanced IAA content, but decreased the activity of acetic acid oxidase (IAAO). It was speculated that BRs reduced sensitivity to IAA by down-regulating genes encoding IAA conjugates. We found the down-regulation of genes involved in cytokinin; EBR treatment did not affect the endogenous levels of cytokinins (data not shown), but it induced cytokinin signaling in pepper. We speculated that BRs have a negative feedback loop to ensure the proper regulation of cytokinin functions, supported by previous observations (Werner et al., 2003). In addition, we found that ABA levels were increased by 37.5% in EBR-treated seedlings (Figure 6). Intriguingly, the gene P2C24, encoding the second component of the ABA signaling pathway, was down regulated. Indeed, Yu et al. (2011) demonstrated some ABA response genes as the direct targets of BES1 in ChIP-chip analysis. Additionally, BRs can inhibit ABA effects. For example, BRs acted as an opposing factor, providing inhibitory effects of ABA on seed germination (Divi and Krishna, 2010). The molecular mechanism is that BRs inhibit BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE2 (BIN2), thus, repressing the BIN2-ABI5 (ABSCISIC ACID INSENSITIVE5) cascade and antagonizing ABA inhibitory effect on germination (Hu and Yu, 2014).

We found that JA and SA levels were significantly higher in the EBR-treated seedlings (Figure 6). Likewise, the JA biosynthesis gene, linoleate 13S-lipoxygenase 2-1, and SA-related gene, isochorismate synthase (ICS), were up-regulated. These results suggest that the possible cross-talk of BRs with IA and SA signaling pathways plays a positive role of mediating chilling stress responses in pepper. In the present study, we found that EBR treatment decreased ETH levels, reduced the content of ACC which is an ETH synthetic substance, and increased ACS activity. We also identified genes encoding ETH signaling components (ACS1) and encoding ETH-insensitiive 3like protein and ethylene-responsive transcription factors (ERF), which were down-regulated by EBR. This finding indicates that BRs and ETH have an antagonistic relationship under chilling stress and that ETH contributes to the activation of the BR signaling pathway and increased chill tolerance.

As secondary signaling molecules, ROS and calcium are crucial for plant defense against abiotic stresses. Here, we found that EBR up-regulated genes associated with cellular redox homeostasis, including glutathione S-transferase (GSTX1), peroxidase, catalase isozyme, and ferredoxin related genes (**Table 6**). It was reported that RBOHs are associated with ROS production in plants (Marino et al., 2012). We found that BRs triggered *RBOHC* down-regulation in pepper, which suggested that BRs reduced apoplastic ROS accumulation generated by NADPH oxidase so that the plants increased stress tolerance. Calcium is a key element in many cellular processes in plants, and calcium signaling is crucial for plant defense against abiotic stresses (Yuan et al., 2007; Boudsocq and Sheen, 2013). In our study, EBR up-regulated *CAS*, which modulated cytoplasmic Ca²⁺ concentrations for the TABLE 5 | Hormone metabolism and signaling-related gene expression in pepper leaves as influenced by chilling alone or in combination EBR treatment.

| Gene ID | Log ₂ FC | q-value | Symbol | Description |
|---------------------|---------------------|----------|-----------|--|
| AUXIN | | | | |
| Capana09g001771 | -1.705 | 9.66E-03 | Unknown | Auxin-induced protein 6B |
| Capana03g004288 | 1.079 | 6.65E-03 | Unknown | Auxin-induced protein PCNT115 |
| Capana03g000310 | -0.888 | 1.67E-03 | IAA17 | Auxin-responsive protein IAA17 |
| Capana07g000961 | 1.786 | 2.76E-02 | ABP19A | Auxin-binding protein ABP19a |
| CYTOKININ | | | | |
| Capana00q003808 | -1.856 | 1.99E-02 | CKX6 | Cytokinin dehydrogenase 6 |
| ABSCISIC ACID | | | | , , , , |
| Capana03q002801 | -1.084 | 2.66E-02 | P2C24 | Probable protein phosphatase 2C 24 |
| JASMONIC ACID | | | | entry to the set of |
| Capana01q001577 | 6.419 | 2.41E-76 | LOX2.1 | Linoleate 13S-lipoxygenase 2-1 |
| SALICYLIC ACID | | - | - | |
| Capana06q001004 | 1.021 | 4.65E-02 | ICS | Isochorismate synthase |
| GIBBERELLIN | | | | |
| Capana03q001010 | -2.357 | 5.88E-11 | GASA14 | Gibberellin-regulated protein 14 |
| Capana010002809 | -1.463 | 0.011357 | GA2OX2 | Gibberellin 2-beta-dioxygenase 2 |
| Capana03q001010 | -2.357 | 5.88E-11 | GASA1A | Gibberellin-regulated protein 14 |
| Capana05g000709 | -2.415 | 7 10E-05 | G420X7 | Gibberellin 2-beta-dioxygenase 8 |
| | -2.410 | 1.102-00 | UNZONI | CIDDereillin z-Deta-CiOxygenase 0 |
| | 1.590 | 1 72E 02 | 1001 | 1 aminopyolopropapo 1 parboyylato synthaso |
| Capana03q004532 | -1.636 | 1.75E-02 | ETR2 | Ethylene recentor 2 |
| Capana06g0004002 | - 1.000 | 4.03E-02 | | Ethylono inconsitivo 2 liko 1 protoin |
| | -0.005 | 7.97E-03 | | Ethylene responsive transcription factor EDE061 |
| | -0.945 | 2.40E-03 | ERFUUI | |
| | -1.770 | 2.09E-02 | | Ethylene responsive transcription factor 5 |
| Capana04g001107 | -0.718 | 2.TTE-02 | NAF2-12 | |
| Capana04g001107 | -0.941 | 8.75E-04 | RAP2-4 | Ethylene-responsive transcription factor RAP2-4 |
| | -1.143 | 2.5TE-05 | ERFIB | Ethylene responsive transcription factor TB |
| Capana05g001951 | -1.478 | 6.45E-08 | ERFIUD | Ethylene-responsive transcription factor ERF106 |
| Capana07g001714 | -1.603 | 4.93E-03 | ERF4 | Ethylene-responsive transcription factor 4 |
| Capana10g000499 | -1.353 | 3.63E-08 | ERF4 | Ethylene-responsive transcription factor 4 |
| OTHER SIGNALING-REL | | 0.505.04 | 15400 | |
| Capana02g002718 | -3.045 | 2.50E-04 | LEA29 | Late embryogenesis abundant protein D-29 |
| Capana00g002896 | -0.777 | 1.00E-02 | POX2 | Proline dehydrogenase 2, mitochondrial |
| Capana01g002720 | -0.997 | 3.08E-04 | PERK1 | Proline-rich receptor-like protein kinase PERK1 |
| Capana04g000460 | 1.357 | 1.36E-04 | PERK10 | Proline-rich receptor-like protein kinase PERK10 |
| Capana01g004123 | -2.109 | 3.57E-03 | Unknown | 14 kDa proline-rich protein DC2.15 |
| Capana03g000595 | -1.306 | 7.23E-05 | RBOHC | Respiratory burst oxidase homolog protein C |
| Capana12g000877 | -1.355 | 3.59E-02 | CRK25 | Cysteine-rich receptor-like protein kinase 25 |
| Capana12g000878 | -0.936 | 4.87E-02 | CRK10 | Cysteine-rich receptor-like protein kinase 10 |
| Capana00g004816 | 2.524 | 2.82E-06 | CRK25 | Cysteine-rich receptor-like protein kinase 25 |
| Capana06g002351 | 0.851 | 4.51E-03 | ATL72 | RING-H2 finger protein ATL72 |
| Capana00g003879 | -1.036 | 4.84E-02 | ATL78 | RING-H2 finger protein ATL78 |
| Capana01g002369 | -1.149 | 5.76E-05 | ATL2 | RING-H2 finger protein ATL2 |
| Capana10g001323 | -1.6687 | 9.52E-06 | HSP18.1 | 18.1 kDa class I heat shock protein (Fragment) |
| Capana05g002063 | -0.9636 | 0.013016 | DREB2C | Dehydration-responsive element-binding protein |
| Capana01g002345 | -0.89067 | 0.02905 | DI19-3 | Protein Dehydration-induced 19 homolog 3 |
| Capana00g003859 | -1.3668 | 0.02239 | At5g39030 | Probable receptor-like protein kinase At5g39030 |
| Capana08g002140 | -0.8267 | 0.01623 | IKU2 | Receptor-like protein kinase HAIKU2 |
| Capana12g002105 | 0.941 | 5.94E-06 | TMK1 | Probable receptor protein kinase TMK1 |

Shown are the q-value (<0.05) for genes expression (comparison between EBR+Chill and Chill).

| Gene ID | Log ₂ FC | q-value | Symbol | Description |
|-----------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| CALCIUM | | | | |
| Capana00g001365 | 0.727 | 1.88E-02 | CAS | Calcium sensing receptor |
| Capana06g000284 | -1.279 | 1.77E-06 | CIPK11 | CBL-interacting serine/threonine-protein kinase 11 |
| Capana02g000787 | -1.128 | 1.06E-05 | MCU | Calcium uniporter protein |
| Capana03g000955 | -1.945 | 4.74E-04 | CML15 | Probable calcium-binding protein CML15 |
| Capana11g000436 | -1.920 | 3.75E-07 | CML38 | Calcium-binding protein CML38 |
| Capana10g002124 | -1.084 | 8.67E-05 | CAM53 | Calmodulin-related protein |
| REDOX | | | | |
| Capana09g001740 | 1.765 | 8.55E-03 | GSTX1 | Probable glutathione S-transferase |
| Capana02g002747 | 2.8381 | 5.52E-06 | PER72 | Peroxidase 72 |
| Capana02g002452 | 1.149 | 4.89E-02 | CAT2 | Catalase isozyme 2 |
| Capana04g000138 | 0.884 | 1.36E-03 | CDSP32 | Thioredoxin-like protein CDSP32 |
| Capana01g004227 | 2.526 | 6.43 E-05 | FAO4A | Long-chain-alcohol oxidase FAO4A |
| Capana00g002845 | 3.276 | 4.01E-02 | Unknown | NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase |
| Capana03g001927 | 1.616 | 1.49E-04 | At1g06690 | Uncharacterized oxidoreductase, chloroplastic |

TABLE 6 | Calcium signaling and redox-related genes expression in pepper leaves as influenced by chilling alone or in combination EBR treatment.

Shown are the q-value (<0.05) for genes expression (comparison between EBR+Chill and Chill).

induction of a series of biochemical reactions to chilling stress (**Table 6**). In addition, EBR-treated down-regulated the genes that encoded CBL-interacting serine/threonine-protein kinase, calcium-binding protein CML, and calmodulin-related protein. It was most likely that BR induced calmodulin-related signaling transduction, and Ca²⁺ was pumped quickly out of the cells and then returned to the Ca²⁺ pools through intracellular Ca²⁺-ATPase.

Transcriptional facts (TFs) are very important for the combination of cis acting element in gene promoter elements and the mediation of the signaling pathway in response to stresses, such as WRKYs, MYBs, NACs, BHLHs, and ZFPs (Liu et al., 2008; Fujita et al., 2011; Shi and Chan, 2014; Shi et al., 2014). Several studies have shown that the expressions of C-repeat binding factors (CBFs) as master molecular switches are positively regulated by MYB56, ZFP1/182, and CAMTA1/2/3 and are negatively regulated by MYB15, MYBS3, WRKY34, and EIN3. The TFs specifically bind to the DRE/CRT (dehydration-responsive element/C-repeat element) cis-acting regulatory element of the promoter region of the coldresponsive genes, such as DHN (dehydrin) and RD (responsive to dehydration). In the present study, we found that BRs downregulated WRKY11 and WRKY40 which mediated the metabolic pathway of ABA, whereas WRKY51, which positively mediated JA- and SA-signaling was the up-regulated by EBR under chilling stress. Additionally, BRs induced up-regulation of TFs bHLH involved in AKS, which facilitated stomatal opening by triggering the phosphorylation of AKS family transcription factors. We also found that BRs positively mediated TCP19 TF, which was associated with the orchestrated regulation of ICS1 expression and the GA-mediated signaling pathway (GATA22). A study on blueberry demonstrated that the genes encoding zinc finger proteins are associated with cold acclimation (Die and Rowland, 2014). In pepper, we found that EBR up-regulated COL2 and down-regulated *MGP* under chilling stress. These results indicate that BRs induced different expressions of zinc finger proteins in response to chilling stress. Although it was is unknown why some TFs are up-regulated while the others are down-regulated in DEGs, we assume that TFs coordinated the network regulation of transcriptional activities in multiple pathways to increase chilling tolerance in EBR-treated pepper.

Glycosylation in plants is crucial not only for the regulation of cellular metabolism including plant hormones, secondary metabolites, and xenobiotics (Li et al., 2001), but also for the activity of several signaling molecules and defense compounds (Vogt and Jones, 2000). Sun et al. (2013) reported that glycosyltransferase could protect tobacco against salt stress, and the corresponding glycosyltransferase gene is essential for modifying cellular redox homeostasis under abiotic stress. In the present study, many glycosyltransferase genes were up-regulated by EBR (**Table 7**), which suggested that the up-regulation of the genes may confer cold tolerance in EBR-treated pepper. Cellulose synthase was also up-regulated, providing primary interface for plant environment interactions, and was associated the formation of cell wall for performing sophisticated strategies to respond to different environmental stresses.

Taken together, the BR-induced cold stress signals are perceived by several receptors at the cell membrane, followed by calcium and hormones molecules transduction to activate downstream stress-responsive genes in response to chilling stress. Among these, BR-induced signaling pathways might regulate transcription or directly/indirectly interact with several other signaling networks. BR promoted the change of Ca^{2+} in the cytoplasm- and induced a series of biochemical reactions to regulate cellular redox homeostasis related genes, such as *GSTX1*, *PER72*, and *CAT2*. The key transcriptional factor bHLH of the JA signaling pathway interacted with MaICE1, and then activated the expression of downstream CBF related genes in response to TABLE 7 | Transcription factors and post-transcription related genes expression in pepper leaves as influenced by chilling alone or in combination EBR treatment.

| Gene ID | Log ₂ FC | q-value | Symbol | Description |
|---------------------|---------------------|----------|---------|---|
| TRANSCRIPTION FACTO | DRS | | | |
| Capana00g003083 | -1.152 | 4.21E-04 | WRKY11 | Probable WRKY transcription factor 11 |
| Capana01g004472 | -1.627 | 5.85E-07 | WRKY41 | Probable WRKY transcription factor 41 |
| Capana02g002230 | -1.123 | 9.79E-05 | WRKY6 | WRKY transcription factor 6 |
| Capana03g000473 | -1.909 | 7.33E-10 | WRKY40 | Probable WRKY transcription factor 40 |
| Capana06g001110 | -1.726 | 2.04E-12 | WRKY40 | Probable WRKY transcription factor 40 |
| Capana06g001506 | -1.139 | 5.55E-03 | WRKY33 | Probable WRKY transcription factor 33 |
| Capana09g001251 | -1.221 | 2.94E-05 | WRKY33 | Probable WRKY transcription factor 33 |
| Capana07g001968 | -1.071 | 8.10E-03 | WRKY28 | Probable WRKY transcription factor 28 |
| Capana07g002350 | -1.064 | 8.71E-05 | WRKY20 | Probable WRKY transcription factor 20 |
| Capana12g001826 | 1.562 | 3.98E-02 | WRKY51 | Probable WRKY transcription factor 51 |
| Capana02g002068 | -1.643 | 8.43E-05 | MYB39 | Transcription factor MYB 39 |
| Capana02g003369 | -1.485 | 2.37E-05 | MYB306 | Myb-related protein 306 |
| Capana03g000766 | -1.099 | 4.99E-05 | MYB306 | Myb-related protein 306 |
| Capana01g000650 | -1.645 | 8.28E-05 | NAC100 | NAC domain-containing protein 100 |
| Capana03g000802 | -0.837 | 2.61E-02 | NAC100 | NAC domain-containing protein 100 |
| Capana05g000569 | -1.148 | 1.47E-05 | NAC002 | NAC domain-containing protein 2 |
| Capana07g002219 | -0.891 | 1.24E-03 | NAC072 | NAC domain-containing protein 72 |
| Capana09g000936 | -0.804 | 4.78E-03 | NAC072 | NAC domain-containing protein 72 |
| Capana01g002561 | -0.695 | 4.11E-02 | bHLH130 | Transcription factor bHLH130 |
| Capana02g000436 | 1.652 | 1.35E-02 | bHLH64 | Transcription factor bHLH64 |
| Capana03g002644 | 1.181 | 7.40E-03 | bHLH51 | Transcription factor bHLH51 |
| Capana10g000647 | 1.036 | 1.36E-03 | bHLH14 | Transcription factor bHLH14 |
| Capana02g001490 | -1.427 | 1.75E-02 | HSFB1 | Heat stress transcription factor B-1 |
| Capana07g000898 | -1.210 | 6.80E-05 | HSFA2 | Heat stress transcription factor A-2 |
| Capana02g003199 | 1.028 | 3.06E-02 | COL2 | Zinc finger protein CONSTANS-LIKE 2 |
| Capana04g000388 | -0.939 | 1.23E-02 | MGP | Zinc finger protein MAGPIE |
| Capana01g000046 | -1.858 | 2.34E-08 | ATHB-7 | Homeobox-leucine zipper protein ATHB-7 |
| Capana03q001926 | -0.701 | 3.71E-02 | ATHB-7 | Homeobox-leucine zipper protein ATHB-7 |
| Capana03q002675 | -0.865 | 2.69E-02 | ATHB-52 | Homeobox-leucine zipper protein ATHB-52 |
| Capana04q002305 | -1.170 | 1.44E-05 | ATHB-21 | Homeobox-leucine zipper protein ATHB-21 |
| Capana01g000353 | -0.935 | 2.89E-02 | TCP19 | Transcription factor TCP19 |
| Capana09q000221 | 2.084 | 6.49E-04 | GATA22 | Putative GATA transcription factor 22 |
| POST- TRANSCRIPTION | | | | |
| Capana05g002235 | 1.140 | 3.19E-03 | CSLE6 | Cellulose synthase-like protein E6 |
| Capana07g001101 | -0.727 | 2.42E-02 | CSLG1 | Cellulose synthase-like protein G1 |
| Capana07g001384 | 0.906 | 1.45E-02 | CSLH1 | Cellulose synthase-like protein H1 |
| Capana02g001405 | -1.297 | 1.03E-04 | UGT91C1 | UDP-glycosyltransferase 91C1 |
| Capana06q000316 | 1.161 | 3.07E-02 | UGT87A2 | UDP-glycosyltransferase 87A2 |
| Capana10g001522 | 1.364 | 1.56E-07 | UGT73C3 | UDP-glycosyltransferase 73C3 |
| Capana10g001627 | 1.965 | 5.76E-05 | UGT76E1 | UDP-glycosyltransferase 76E1 |
| Capana11g001875 | 1.222 | 2.33E-02 | GT6 | UDP-glucose flavonoid 3-Q-glucosyltransferase 6 |
| Capana12g000737 | 1.058 | 3.71E-02 | GT7 | UDP-glucose flavonoid 3-O-glucosyltransferase 7 |
| Capana12g002768 | 0.968 | 1,53E-03 | GT3 | UDP-glucose flavonoid 3-O-glucosv/transferase 3 |
| Capana06g002960 | 1 187 | 1.08E-05 | GT4 | Putative UDP-rhamnose:rhamnosvltransferase 1 |
| Capana10g000708 | -0.976 | 6.90E-04 | UPTG2 | Alpha-1.4-glucan-protein synthase [UDP-forming] 2 |

Shown are the q-value (<0.05) for genes expression (comparison between EBR+Chill and Chill).



FIGURE 7 | Model depicting BR-mediated chilling tolerance via Ca²⁺, JA, SA, ETH signaling. *LOX, ICS,* and *ACS* are jasmonic acid biosynthesis gene, salicylic acid-related gene isochorismate synthase, and ethylene biosynthesis genes ACC synthase, respectively. WRKY51, bHLH, and AP2/ERF are all transcriptional facts. CSL is cellulose synthase-like protein; UGT is UDP-glycosyltransferase. Cellular redox homeostasis related genes: *GSTX1, PER72*, and *CAT2*. CAMTA: CaM-binding transcription activator.

chilling stress. JA, as the key upstream signal of ICE-CBF/DREB1 pathways, positively regulated cold-related gene expression in EBR-treated pepper and regulated GSTX1, PER72, and CAT2 expression. We also found that BR induced SA signaling for antistress effects positively regulating the expression of the CBF upstream gene ICE and that WRKY51 may be a potential point of cross-talking among JA, SA, and BR, where BR induces a subset of SA- or JA-responsive genes (Figure 7). BR negatively regulated the ETH signaling components and the TFs AP2/ERF, which indicated that BR induces the expression of cold related genes and the expression depends on the ETH signaling pathway. In addition, BR activated cellulose synthase-like protein (CSLE6 and CSLH1), which regulated the formation of a cell wall, and BR also activated UDP-glycosyltransferase genes associated with hormone metabolism, such BR glucoside, and other metabolic enzymes (e.g., PERK10, HSP, and LEA29).

CONCLUSION

In the study, we analyzed the gene expression profiles of BRs induced chilling tolerance in pepper using RNA-seq analysis. Our results showed that EBR induced 656 differently expressed genes, including 335 up-regulated and 321 down-regulated DEGs. Using GO and KEGG pathway analysis, we found that EBR application

under chilling stress positively regulated photosynthesis-related genes, cellulose synthase-like protein, UDP-glycosyltransferase, and cellular redox homeostasis-related genes (*GSTX1, PER72*, and *CAT2*). Moreover, we present a model to explain the possible cross-talk of BR with SA, ETH, and JA signaling pathways under BR-induced cold tolerance. Our study provides the first evidence of the potent roles of exogenous EBR at the transcriptional level, and the response to chilling stress in pepper involved the activation of extensive transcriptional activities, signaling transduction, and modulation of metabolic homeostasis.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JK and JX conceived and designed the experiments. Jie L and PY performed the experiments; Jie L, ZF, and Jia L analyzed the data. GZ and JY contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools. YG and AC helped perform the analysis with constructive discussions and language polished. JX and YG approved the final version. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the funding provided by National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (project # 31260493). We

also thank Mr. Dawuda M. M. and Dr. John Constable for critically reading the manuscript.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpls.2016. 01281

Table S1 | Gene primers used for qPCR validation in this study.

REFERENCES

- Allen, D. J., and Ort, D. R. (2001). Impacts of chilling temperatures on photosynthesis in warm-climate plants. *Trends Plant Sci.* 6, 36–42. doi: 10.1016/S1360-1385(00)01808-2
- Arnon, D. I. (1949). Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts. Polyphenoloxidase in Beta vulgaris. Plant Physiol. 24, 1–15. doi: 10.1104/pp.24.1.1
- Bajguz, A. (2009). Brassinosteroid enhanced the level of abscisic acid in Chlorella vulgaris subjected to short-term heat stress. J. Plant Physiol. 166, 882–886. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2008.10.004
- Benjamini, Y., and Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach of multiple testing. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B (Methodological)* 57, 289–300.
- Boudsocq, M., and Sheen, J. (2013). CDPKs in immune and stress signaling. *Trends Plant Sci.* 18, 30–40. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2012.08.008
- Broin, M., and Rey, P. (2003). Potato plants lacking the CDSP32 plastidic thioredoxin exhibit overoxidation of the BAS1 2-cysteine peroxiredoxin and increased lipid Peroxidation in thylakoids under photooxidative stress. *Plant Physiol.* 132, 1335–1343. doi: 10.1104/pp.103. 021626
- Chen, H., Lai, Z., Shi, J., Xiao, Y., Chen, Z., and Xu, X. (2010). Roles of arabidopsis WRKY18, WRKY40 and WRKY60 transcription factors in plant responses to abscisic acid and abiotic stress. *BMC Plant Biol.* 10:281. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-10-281
- Chen, J., Hou, K., Qin, P., Liu, H., Yi, B., Yang, W., et al. (2014). RNA-Seq for gene identification and transcript profiling of three Stevia rebaudiana genotypes. *BMC Genomics* 15:571. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-571
- Chinnusamy, V., Zhu, J., and Zhu, J. K. (2007). Cold stress regulation of gene expression in plants. *Trends Plant Sci.* 12, 444–451. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2007.07.002
- Chiwocha, S. D. S., Abrams, S. R., Ambrose, S. J., Cutler, A. J., Mary, L., Ross, A. R. S., et al. (2003). A method for profiling classes of plant hormones and their metabolites using liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry: an analysis of hormone regulation of thermodormancy of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) seeds. *Plant J.* 35, 405–417. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.2003.01800.x
- Colebrook, E. H., Thomas, S. G., Phillips, A. L., and Hedden, P. (2014). The role of gibberellin signalling in plant responses to abiotic stress. J. Exp. Biol. 217(Pt 1), 67–75. doi: 10.1242/jeb.089938
- Cui, J. X., Zhou, Y. H., Ding, J. G., Xia, X. J., Shi, K., Chen, S. C., et al. (2011). Role of nitric oxide in hydrogen peroxide-dependent induction of abiotic stress tolerance by brassinosteroids in cucumber. *Plant Cell Environ.* 34, 347–358. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2010.02248.x
- Dhaubhadel, S., Browning, K. S., Gallie, D. R., and Krishna, P. (2002). Brassinosteroid functions to protect the translational machinery and heatshock protein synthesis following thermal stress. *Plant J.* 29, 681–691. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.2002.01257.x
- Dhaubhadel, S., and Krishna, P. (2008). Identification of differentially expressed genes in brassinosteroid-treated *Brassica napus* Seedlings. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* 27, 297–308. doi: 10.1007/s00344-008-9056-5

Table S2 | Genes information.

Table S3 | RPKM of all genes.

Table S4 | Differential expressed genes in chilling stress with EBR-treated plant compared to only chilling treated plant.

Table S5 | Gene ontology analyses of differential expressed genes regulated by the EBR + chilling and only chilling stress.

Table S6 | KEGG pathway enrichment of differential expressed genes regulated by the EBR + chilling and only chilling stress.

- Die, J. V., and Rowland, L. J. (2014). Elucidating cold acclimation pathway in blueberry by transcriptome profiling. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 106, 87–98. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2013.12.017
- Divi, U. K., and Krishna, P. (2010). Overexpression of the brassinosteroid biosynthetic gene AtDWF4 in arabidopsis seeds overcomes abscisic acidinduced inhibition of germination and increases cold tolerance in transgenic seedlings. J. Plant Growth Regul. 29, 385–393. doi: 10.1007/s00344-010-9150-3
- Divi, U. K., Rahman, T., and Krishna, P. (2010). Brassinosteroid-mediated stress tolerance in Arabidopsis shows interactions with abscisic acid, ethylene and salicylic acid pathways. *BMC Plant Biol.* 10:151. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-10-151
- Doblin, M. S., Pettolino, F. A., Wilson, S. M., Campbell, R., Burton, R. A., Fincher, G. B., et al. (2009). A barley cellulose synthase-like CSLH gene mediates (1,3;1,4)-β-d-glucan synthesis in transgenic Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 5996–6001. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0902 019106
- Fujita, Y., Fujita, M., Shinozaki, K., and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. (2011). ABAmediated transcriptional regulation in response to osmotic stress in plants. J. Plant Res. 124, 509–525. doi: 10.1007/s10265-011-0412-3
- Gao, Q. M., Venugopal, S., Navarre, D., and Kachroo, A. (2011). Low oleic acid-derived repression of jasmonic acid-inducible defense responses requires the WRKY50 and WRKY51 proteins. *Plant Physiol.* 155, 464–476. doi: 10.1104/pp.110.166876
- Hao, J., Yin, Y., and Fei, S. Z. (2013). Brassinosteroid signaling network: implications on yield and stress tolerance. *Plant Cell Rep.* 32, 1017–1030. doi: 10.1007/s00299-013-1438-x
- Henriksson, E., Olsson, A. S., Johannesson, H., Johansson, H., Hanson, J., Engström, P., et al. (2005). Homeodomain leucine zipper class I genes in Arabidopsis. Expression patterns and phylogenetic relationships. Plant Physiol. 139, 509–518. doi: 10.1104/pp.105.063461
- Hu, L., Huang, Z., Liu, S., and Fu, J. (2012). Growth response and gene expression in antioxidant-related enzymes in two bermudagrass genotypes differing in salt tolerance. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 137, 134–143.
- Hu, Y., and Yu, D. (2014). BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE2 interacts with ABSCISIC ACID INSENSITIVE5 to mediate the antagonism of brassinosteroids to abscisic acid during seed germination in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 26, 4394–4408. doi: 10.1105/tpc.114.130849
- Hudson, D., Guevara, D., Yaish, M. W., Hannam, C., Long, N., Clarke, J. D., et al. (2011). GNC and CGA1 modulate chlorophyll biosynthesis and glutamate synthase (GLU1/Fd-GOGAT) expression in Arabidopsis. *PLoS ONE* 6:e26765. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0026765
- Jackson, D., Culianez-Macia, F., Prescott, A. G., Roberts, K., and Martin, C. (1991). Expression patterns of myb genes from Antirrhinum flowers. *Plant Cell* 3, 115–125. doi: 10.1105/tpc.3.2.115
- Janská, A., Marsík, P., Zelenková, S., and Ovesná, J. (2010). Cold stress and acclimation - what is important for metabolic adjustment? *Plant Biol. (Stuttg)*. 12, 395–405. doi: 10.1111/j.1438-8677.2009.00299.x
- Jansson, S. (1994). The light-harvesting chlorophyll a/b-binding proteins. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1184, 1–19. doi: 10.1016/0005-2728(94)90148-1
- Jansson, S. (1999). A guide to the Lhc genes and their relatives in Arabidopsis. *Trends Plant Sci.* 4, 236–240. doi: 10.1016/S1360-1385(99)01419-3

- Journot-Catalino, N., Somssich, I. E., Roby, D., and Kroj, T. (2006). The transcription factors WRKY11 and WRKY17 act as negative regulators of basal resistance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell* 18, 3289–3302. doi: 10.1105/tpc.106.044149
- Kagale, S., Divi, U. K., Krochko, J. E., Keller, W. A., and Krishna, P. (2007). Brassinosteroid confers tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Brassica napus* to a range of abiotic stresses. *Planta* 225, 353–364. doi: 10.1007/s00425-00 6-0361-6
- Kang, D. J., Seo, Y. J., Lee, J. D., Ishii, R., Kim, K. U., Shin, D. H., et al. (2005). Jasmonic acid differentially affects growth, ion uptake and abscisic acid concentration in salt-tolerant and salt-sensitive rice cultivars. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* 191, 273–282. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-037X.2005.00153.x
- Kim, S. K., Park, H. Y., Jang, Y. H., Lee, J. H., and Kim, J. K. (2013). The sequence variation responsible for the functional difference between the CONSTANS protein, and the CONSTANS-like (COL) 1 and COL2 proteins, resides mostly in the region encoded by their first exons. *Plant Sci.* 199–200, 71–78. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2012.09.019
- Li, J., Yang, P., Gan, Y., Yu, J., and Xie, J. (2015a). Brassinosteroid alleviates chilling-induced oxidative stress in pepper by enhancing antioxidation systems and maintenance of photosystem II. Acta Physiol. Plant. 37, 1–11. doi: 10.1007/s11738-015-1966-9
- Li, J., Yang, P., Xie, J., and Yu, J. (2015b). Effects of 24-epibrassinolide on growth and antioxidant enzymes system in pepper roots under chilling stress. J. Nucl. Agric. Sci. 29, 1001–1008. doi: 10.11869/j.issn.100-8551.2015.05.1001
- Li, Y., Baldauf, S., Lim, E. K., and Bowles, D. J. (2001). Phylogenetic analysis of the UDP-glycosyltransferase multigene family of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 4338–4343. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M007447200
- Liscum, E., and Reed, J. W. (2002). Genetics of Aux/IAA and ARF action in plant growth and development. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 49, 387–400. doi: 10.1023/A:1015255030047
- Liu, H., Zhang, H., Yang, Y., Li, G., Yang, Y., Wang, X., et al. (2008). Functional analysis reveals pleiotropic effects of rice RING-H2 finger protein gene OsBIRF1 on regulation of growth and defense responses against abiotic and biotic stresses. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 68, 17–30. doi: 10.1007/s11103-008-9349-x
- Liu, J., Gao, H., Wang, X., Zheng, Q., Wang, C., Wang, X., et al. (2014). Effects of 24-epibrassinolide on plant growth, osmotic regulation and ion homeostasis of salt-stressed canola. *Plant Biol. (Stuttg)*. 16, 440–450. doi: 10.1111/plb. 12052
- Liu, T., Zhu, S., Tang, Q., and Tang, S. (2015). Genome-wide transcriptomic profiling of ramie (*Boehmeria nivea* L. *Gaud*) in response to cadmium stress. *Gene* 558, 131–137. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2014.12.057
- Livak, K. J., and Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods* 25, 402–408. doi: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262
- Lu, X., Kim, H., Zhong, S., Chen, H., Hu, Z., and Zhou, B. (2014). *De novo* transcriptome assembly for rudimentary leaves in Litchi chinesis Sonn. and identification of differentially expressed genes in response to reactive oxygen species. *BMC Genomics* 15:805. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-805
- Marino, D., Dunand, C., Puppo, A., and Pauly, N. (2012). A burst of plant NADPH oxidases. *Trends Plant Sci.* 17, 9–15. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2011.10.001
- Mazorra, L. M., Nunez, M., Hechavarria, M., Coll, F., and Sanchez-Blanco, M. J. (2002). Influence of brassinosteroids on antioxidant enzymes activity in tomato under different temperatures. *Biol. Plant.* 45, 593–596. doi: 10.1023/A:1022390917656
- Mortazavi, A., Williams, B. A., McCue, K., Schaeffer, L., and Wold, B. (2008). Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. Nat. Methods 5, 621–628. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1226
- Pantin, F., Monnet, F., Jannaud, D., Costa, J. M., Renaud, J., Muller, B., et al. (2013). The dual effect of abscisic acid on stomata. *New Phytol.* 197, 65–72. doi: 10.1111/nph.12013
- Peng, Q., and Zhou, Q. (2009). The endogenous hormones in soybean seedlings under the joint actions of rare earth element La(III) and ultraviolet-B stress. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* 132, 270–277. doi: 10.1007/s12011-009-8404-z
- Prasad, T. K., and Cline, M. G. (1987). The role of gravity in apical dominance: effects of clinostating on shoot inversion-induced ethylene production, shoot elongation, and lateral bud growth. *Plant Physiol.* 83, 505–509. doi: 10.1104/pp.83.3.505

- Qin, C., Yu, C., Shen, Y., Fang, X., Chen, L., Min, J., et al. (2014). Whole-genome sequencing of cultivated and wild peppers provides insights into Capsicum domestication and specialization. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, 5135–5140. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1400975111
- Ren, L., Sun, J., Chen, S., Gao, J., Dong, B., Liu, Y., et al. (2014). A transcriptomic analysis of Chrysanthemum nankingense provides insights into the basis of low temperature tolerance. *BMC Genomics* 15:844. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-844
- Richter, R., Behringer, C., Muller, I. K., and Schwechheimer, C. (2010). The GATA-type transcription factors GNC and GNL/CGA1 repress gibberellin signaling downstream from DELLA proteins and PHYTOCHROME-INTERACTING FACTORS. *Genes Dev.* 24, 2093–2104. doi: 10.1101/gad. 594910
- Sanghera, G. S., Wani, S. H., Hussain, W., and Singh, N. B. (2011). Engineering cold stress tolerance in crop plants. *Curr. Genomics* 12, 30–43. doi: 10.2174/138920211794520178
- Shi, H., and Chan, Z. (2014). The cysteine2/histidine2-type transcription factor ZINC FINGER OF ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA 6-activated C-REPEAT-BINDING FACTOR pathway is essential for melatonin-mediated freezing stress resistance in Arabidopsis. J. Pineal Res. 57, 185–191. doi: 10.1111/jpi.12155
- Shi, H., Ye, T., and Chan, Z. (2014). Comparative proteomic responses of two bermudagrass (*Cynodon dactylon* (L). *Pers.*) varieties contrasting in drought stress resistance. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 82, 218–228. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2014.06.006
- Sun, Y. G., Wang, B., Jin, S. H., Qu, X. X., Li, Y. J., and Hou, B. K. (2013). Ectopic expression of Arabidopsis glycosyltransferase UGT85A5 enhances salt stress tolerance in tobacco. *PLoS ONE* 8:e59924. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0059924
- Takahashi, Y., Ebisu, Y., Kinoshita, T., Doi, M., Okuma, E., Murata, Y., et al. (2013). bHLH transcription factors that facilitate K(+) uptake during stomatal opening are repressed by abscisic acid through phosphorylation. *Sci. Signal.* 6, ra48. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.2003760
- Vogt, T., and Jones, P. (2000). Glycosyltransferases in plant natural product synthesis: characterization of a supergene family. *Trends Plant Sci.* 5, 380–386. doi: 10.1016/S1360-1385(00)01720-9
- Wang, J., Li, B., Meng, Y., Ma, X., Lai, Y., Si, E., et al. (2015). Transcriptomic profiling of the salt-stress response in the halophyte *Halogeton glomeratus*. BMC Genomics 16:169. doi: 10.1186/s12864-015-1373-z
- Wang, L., Feng, Z., Wang, X., Wang, X., and Zhang, X. (2010). DEGseq: an R package for identifying differentially expressed genes from RNA-seq data. *Bioinformatics* 26, 136–138. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp612
- Wang, X., Gao, J., Zhu, Z., Dong, X., Wang, X., Ren, G., et al. (2015). TCP transcription factors are critical for the coordinated regulation of isochorismate synthase 1 expression in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* 82, 151–162. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12803
- Welch, D., Hassan, H., Blilou, I., Immink, R., Heidstra, R., and Scheres, B. (2007). Arabidopsis JACKDAW and MAGPIE zinc finger proteins delimit asymmetric cell division and stabilize tissue boundaries by restricting SHORT-ROOT action. *Genes Dev.* 21, 2196–2204. doi: 10.1101/gad.440307
- Werner, T., Motyka, V., Laucou, V., Smets, R., Van Onckelen, H., and Schmülling, T. (2003). Cytokinin-deficient transgenic arabidopsis plants show multiple developmental alterations indicating opposite functions of cytokinins in the regulation of shoot and root meristem activity. *Plant Cell* 15, 2532–2550. doi: 10.1105/tpc.014928
- Xia, X. J., Wang, Y. J., Zhou, Y. H., Tao, Y., Mao, W. H., Shi, K., et al. (2009). Reactive oxygen species are involved in brassinosteroid-induced stress tolerance in cucumber. *Plant Physiol.* 150, 801–814. doi: 10.1104/pp.109.138230
- Xie, C., Mao, X., Huang, J., Ding, Y., Wu, J., Dong, S., et al. (2011). KOBAS 2.0: a web server for annotation and identification of enriched pathways and diseases. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39, W316–W322. doi: 10.1093/nar/ gkr483
- Young, M. D., Wakefield, M. J., Smyth, G. K., and Oshlack, A. (2010). Gene ontology analysis for RNA-seq: accounting for selection bias. *Genome Biol.* 11:R14. doi: 10.1186/gb-2010-11-2-r14
- Yu, X., Li, L., Zola, J., Aluru, M., Ye, H., Foudree, A., et al. (2011). A brassinosteroid transcriptional network revealed by genome-wide identification of BESI target genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* 65, 634–646. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04449.x

Yuan, G. F., Jia, C. G., Li, Z., Sun, B., Zhang, L. P., Liu, N., et al. (2010). Effect of brassinosteroids on drought resistance and abscisic acid concentration in tomato under water stress. *Sci. Hortic.* 126, 103–108. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2010.06.014

Yuan, X., Deng, K. Q., Zhao, X. Y., Wu, X. J., Qin, Y. Z., Tang, D. Y., et al. (2007). A calcium-dependent protein kinase is involved in plant hormone signal transduction in Arabidopsis. J. Plant Physiol. Mol. Biol. 33, 227–234.

Zhang, L., Li, Y., Xing, D., and Gao, C. (2009). Characterization of mitochondrial dynamics and subcellular localization of ROS reveal that HsfA2 alleviates oxidative damage caused by heat stress in Arabidopsis. J. Exp. Bot. 60, 2073–2091. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erp078 **Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Li, Yang, Kang, Gan, Yu, Calderón-Urrea, Lyu, Zhang, Feng and Xie. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.