



# Bamboo Flowering from the Perspective of Comparative Genomics and Transcriptomics

Prasun Biswas<sup>1†</sup>, Sukanya Chakraborty<sup>1†</sup>, Smritikana Dutta<sup>1†</sup>, Amita Pal<sup>2</sup> and Malay Das<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Plant Genomics Laboratory, Department of Life Sciences, Presidency University, Kolkata, India, <sup>2</sup> Division of Plant Biology, Bose Institute, Kolkata, India

Bamboos are an important member of the subfamily Bambusoideae, family Poaceae. The plant group exhibits wide variation with respect to the timing (1-120 years) and nature (sporadic vs. gregarious) of flowering among species. Usually flowering in woody bamboos is synchronous across culms growing over a large area, known as gregarious flowering. In many monocarpic bamboos this is followed by mass death and seed setting. While in sporadic flowering an isolated wild clump may flower, set little or no seed and remain alive. Such wide variation in flowering time and extent means that the plant group serves as repositories for genes and expression patterns that are unique to bamboo. Due to the dearth of available genomic and transcriptomic resources, limited studies have been undertaken to identify the potential molecular players in bamboo flowering. The public release of the first bamboo genome sequence Phyllostachys heterocycla, availability of related genomes Brachypodium distachyon and Oryza sativa provide us the opportunity to study this long-standing biological problem in a comparative and functional genomics framework. We identified bamboo genes homologous to those of Oryza and Brachypodium that are involved in established pathways such as vernalization, photoperiod, autonomous, and hormonal regulation of flowering. Additionally, we investigated triggers like stress (drought), physiological maturity and micro RNAs that may play crucial roles in flowering. We also analyzed available transcriptome datasets of different bamboo species to identify genes and their involvement in bamboo flowering. Finally, we summarize potential research hurdles that need to be addressed in future research.

#### Keywords: bamboo, flowering pathways, genes, drought, plant age, future research

## **INTRODUCTION**

Flowering is one of the most important adaptations in the evolution of land plants. Numerous studies have been performed on annual, herbaceous model plants from dicotyledonous (*Arabidopsis, Antirrhinum*) and monocotyledonous (*Oryza*) groups to identify and characterize important floral pathway genes (Putterill et al., 2004; Colasanti and Coneva, 2009). However, the majority of commercially important plants are perennial and there remains a gap in translating knowledge gained from annual, model plants to perennial plants. Therefore, increasing research attention is being paid to perennial plants. While poplar (Jansson and Douglas, 2007) and white spurge have emerged as model perennial dicotyledonous plants (Anderson et al., 2007), research on perennialism remains elusive in monocots.

## OPEN ACCESS

### Edited by:

Stefan A. Rensing, University of Marburg, Germany

### Reviewed by:

Annette Becker, University of Giessen, Germany Marcelo Carnier Dornelas, State University of Campinas, Brazil Arnanda E. Fisher, California State University, Long Beach, USA

\*Correspondence:

Malay Das malay.dbs@presiuniv.ac.in

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work.

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Evolution and Development, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 28 July 2016 Accepted: 01 December 2016 Published: 15 December 2016

### Citation:

Biswas P, Chakraborty S, Dutta S, Pal A and Das M (2016) Bamboo Flowering from the Perspective of Comparative Genomics and Transcriptomics. Front. Plant Sci. 7:1900. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01900

1

Bamboos are an important member of subfamily Bambusoideae, family Poaceae (Kellogg, 2015). Wide variations exist across bamboo species with respect to the flowering time, ranging from annual flowering to flowering after 120 years of vegetative growth (Janzen, 1976). There are even species for which the flowering time is not yet known. Variations in flowering time are not only diverse among species, but also at the population level. For instance, in the case of gregarious flowering all the individuals of a species growing over a wide geographical area bloom within a brief interval of time, and then all die after flowering (Nadgauda et al., 1997; Bhattacharya et al., 2009; Marchesini et al., 2009; Austin and Marchesini, 2012; Chaubey et al., 2013; Xie et al., 2016). In contrast, for sporadic flowering only a few culms of a population flower at a time (Ramanayake and Yakandawala, 1998; Bhattacharya et al., 2006; Xie et al., 2016). Such a wide variation in flowering time and extent indicates that the plant group serves as a repository for a wide range of genes and expression patterns that support such a life style. The ecological consequences of bamboo flowering, such as changes in dynamics of neighboring plant populations (Sertse et al., 2011), and impacts on endangered animals that depend on bamboo shoots (Reid et al., 1991; Azad-Thakur and Firake, 2014) have been topics of active research over decades. In comparison, the molecular aspects of bamboo flowering remain at a nascent stage. Studies have been conducted to characterize a limited number of flowering genes in different bamboo species such as MADS18 from Dendrocalamus latiflorus (Bo et al., 2005), FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) from P. meyeri (Hisamoto et al., 2008), TERMINAL FLOWER 1 (TFL1) like gene from Bambusa oldhamii (Zeng et al., 2015), FRIGIDA (FRI) from P. violascens (Liu et al., 2015), MADS1 and MADS2 from P. praecox (Lin et al., 2009), 10 genes related to floral transition and meristem identity in D. latiflorus (Wang et al., 2014) and 16 MADS box genes from B. edulis (Shih et al., 2014). Such targeted approaches are being complemented by high-throughput approaches, namely, de novo transcriptome sequencing and suppression subtractive hybridization (Lin et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012; Peng et al., 2013; Gao et al., 2014; Ge et al., 2016; Wysocki et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2016).

The main aim of this article is to consider the current status of molecular understanding of bamboo flowering from the perspective of comparative genomics and transcriptomics. We queried the only sequenced genome of a temperate bamboo, P. heterocycla syn. P. edulis, to identify marker genes in established floral pathways (e.g., photoperiodic, vernalization, hormonal, and autonomous) and the influence of additional factors such as drought stress and physiological maturity. P. edulis is a diploid, temperate bamboo with chromosome number 2n = 48 and having a genome size of 2.075 Gb (Gui et al., 2007; Peng et al., 2013). In addition, we also explored transcriptome datasets of available bamboo taxa to assess their possible role in bamboo flowering. Finally, we have identified challenges that need to be overcome to understand what triggers bamboo flowering, the genetic controls of flowering, and the effects of gregarious monocarpic flowering cycles on bamboo evolution.

# BAMBOO GENES RELATED TO ESTABLISHED FLOWRING PATHWAYS

Depending on the nature of environmental or endogenous cues, flowering pathways can be broadly classified into vernalization (cold responsive), photoperiodic (day length responsive), autonomous (endogenous factors) and hormonal pathways.

# **VERNALIZATION PATHWAY**

In the model monocot Oryza the important vernalization genes are VERNALIZATION 1 (VRN1), VERNALIZATION INSENSITIVE LIKE 2, and 3 (VIL 2, 3). An additional vernalization sensitive gene VRN2 was isolated from Triticum (Dubcovsky et al., 2006), while its Brachypodium homolog BdVRN2L is vernalization insensitive (Ream et al., 2014). BLAST analyses have identified multiple copies of OsVRN1, OsVIL2, and OsVIL3 homologs in P. heterocycla genome, but the homolog of VRN2 remained undetected (Table 1). In order to understand their possible involvement in bamboo flowering, all available floral transcriptomes were searched. VRN1 was detected in the shoot tissue specific EST library of B. oldhamii (Lin et al., 2010), while VIN3 was identified from the floral transcriptomes of P. heterocycla (Peng et al., 2013) and D. latiflorus (Zhang et al., 2012). Another important vernalization gene, At.FLC, performs cold-mediated suppression of the floral activator At.FT during the seasonal transition from fall to winter (Michaels and Amasino, 1999). However, during prolonged cold exposure in winter, FLC activity is gradually down-regulated by VRN1, VRN2, and VIN3 so that flowering is delayed until spring (Levy et al., 2002; Sung and Amasino, 2004). It was believed that FLC-like genes are absent in monocot plants (Choi et al., 2011), but recently two major FLC clades, namely, MADS37 and MADS51 genes, were identified in the temperate grass Brachypodium distachyon (Ruelens et al., 2013). Our BLAST analyses, however, could not detect MADS37 or MADS51 homologs in P. heterocycla at the set criterion of  $e^{-40}$ , identity  $\geq 50\%$  and length coverage  $\geq$ 60% of the query sequence (**Table 1**).

# PHOTOPERIODIC PATHWAY

In the photoperiodic pathway, the circadian rhythm of light and dark periods plays a major role in flower initiation. In *Oryza* a series of genes that include *PHYTOCHROMES A* and *B* (*PHYA* and *PHYB*), *CRYPTOCHROMES 1* and *2* (*CRY1* and *CRY2*), *CIRCADIAN CLOCK ASSOCIATED 1* (*CCA1*), *EARLY FLOWERING 4* (*ELF4*), *TIMING OF CAB EXPRESSION 1* (*TOC1*), *CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENIC 1* (*COP1*), *EARLY FLOWERING 3* (*ELF3*), *GIGANTEA* (*GI*), *FLAVIN-BINDING KELCH REPEAT F BOX 1*(*FKF1*) and *ZEITLUPE* (*ZTL*) receive the circadian signal and transfer it to *CONSTANS* (*CO*) for further downstream regulation. Our BLAST analyses identified at least one one homologous copy of each of these genes in the queried *P. heterocycla* genome (**Table 1**). ESTs homologous to *CRY1*, *CRY2*, *PHY*, *FKF1*, *COP1*, *ELF3*, *ELF4*, *GI*, *CCA1*, and *CO* were found in the floral transcriptomes of *P. edulis*,

Flowering pathways/ regulator	Genes	O. sativa identifiers used as query	BLAST hits in <i>B. distachyon</i>	BLAST hits in <i>P. heterocycl</i>
Vernalization	VRN1	Os03g54160	Bradi1g08340 Bradi1g59250	PH01000606G0250 PH01000222G1190
	VIL2	Os12g34850	Bradi4g05950	PH0100006G3670
			Bradi2g36237	PH01000674G0720
				PH01000258G0590 PH01001556G0190
	VIL3	Os02g05840	Bradi3g04140	PH01000836G0140
			Bradi1g33450	PH01000114G1300 PH01002795G0050
	FLC/MADS37	n.f.c	Bradi3g41297	No hit
	FLC/MADS51	Os01g69850	Bradi2g59191	No hit
		n.f.c	Bradi2g59119	No hit
Photoperiod	ΡΗΥΑ	Os03g51030	Bradi1g10520	PH01000222G1330
			Bradi1g10510 Bradi1g08400	PH01000606G0390
		0-00-10500	Bradi1g08400	RU0100001000040
	PHY B	Os03g19590	Bradi1g64360 Bradi1g08400	PH01000013G2240 PH01000013G2230
			Diadrigo0400	PH01000222G1330
				PH01000606G0390
	CRY 1	Os02g36380	Bradi3g46590	PH01000349G1020
			Bradi5g11990	PH01000968G0540
			Bradi3g49204	PH01002373G0140
				PH01000263G1210
				PH01002304G0120
	CRY2	Os02g41550	Bradi3g49204	PH01000968G0540
			Bradi5g11990	PH01000349G1020
			Bradi3g46590	PH01002304G0120 PH01002373G0140
				PH01002304G0180
	CCA1	Os08g06110	Bradi3g16515	PH01001283G0510
	00/11	0000300770	Diadiogradia	PH01000383G0300
	ELF 3	Os01g38530	Bradi2g14290	PH01000391G0450 PH01000410G0960
	ELF 4	Os11g40610	Bradi4g13227	PH01002557G0050
			Bradi1g60090	
	TOC 1	Os02g40510	Bradi3g48880	PH01003618G0130
				PH01000345G0790
	COP 1	Os02g53140	Bradi3g57667	PH01000928G0310 PH01000311G0870
	FKF 1	Os11g34460	Bradi4g16630	PH01002958G0010
			Bradi1g33610	PH01000114G1110
			Bradi3g04040	PH01000836G0340
				PH01002213G0250
				PH01007024G0030
	ZTL	Os06g47890	Bradi1g33610	PH01007024G0030
			Bradi3g04040 Bradi4g16630	PH01002213G0250 PH01000836G0340
			2.2017910000	PH01000114G1110
				PH01002958G0010
	СО	Os06g16370	Bradi1g43670	PH01005551G0030
			Bradi3g56260	
	GI	Os01g08700	Bradi2g05226	PH01002142G0290
		-	-	PH01001722G0270

## TABLE 1 | Identification of important flowering gene homologs in the model temperate grass- *Brachypodium distachyon* and temperate bamboo-*Phyllostachys heterocycla* using *Oryza sativa* amino acid sequences as query in BLAST-P analyses.

(Continued)

## TABLE 1 | Continued

Flowering pathways/ regulator	Genes	O. sativa identifiers used as query	BLAST hits in <i>B. distachyon</i>	BLAST hits in P. heterocycla
Autonomous	FCA	Os09g03610	Bradi4g08727	PH01002230G0270
	FY	Os01g72220	Bradi2g60817	PH01001355G0380 PH01002367G0110 PH01002367G0090
	FLD	Os04g0560300	Bradi5g18210 Bradi3g58720	PH01000272G0440
	FPA	Os09g0516300	Bradi4g35250	PH01000191G0930
	FVE	Os01g0710000	Bradi2g47940	PH01000048G0850 PH01000241G0710
	LD	Os01g70810	Bradi2g59937	PH01006816G0010
	FLK	Os12g40560	Bradi4g02690 Bradi1g14320	PH01000025G1210
Gibberellic acid	GA1	Os02g17780	Bradi2g33686	PH01000557G0660 PH01002827G0080 PH01004049G0170
	KAO	Os06g02019	Bradi1g51780 Bradi1g30807 Bradi5g00467 Bradi4g05240	PH01000083G0900 PH01003454G0070 PH01000246G0620
	GA2ox1	Os05g06670	Bradi2g34837 Bradi2g12440	PH01000685G0370
	GA2ox2	Os01g22910	Bradi2g12440 Bradi2g34837	PH01000685G0370
	GA2ox3	Os01g55240	Bradi2g50280 Bradi2g19900 Bradi2g16750 Bradi2g16727 Bradi2g32577 Bradi2g06670	PH01000018G1890 PH01001124G0470 PH01001567G0040 PH01000273G0650 PH01000274G0980
	GA3ox1	Os05g08540	Bradi2g04840 Bradi4g23570	PH01002274G0400
	GA3ox2	Os01g08220	Bradi2g04840 Bradi4g23570	PH01002274G0400
	GID1	Os05g33730	Bradi2g25600	PH01001316G0350 PH01002734G0310
	GID2	Os02g36974	Bradi3g46950	No hit
	GAMYB	Os01g59660	Bradi2g53010	PH0100009G0060 PH01000029G1950
Integrator	FT	Os06g06320/Hd3a	Bradi1g48830 Bradi2g07070 Bradi5g14010 Bradi3g48036 Bradi2g49795 Bradi1g38150 Bradi4g39730 Bradi4g39760 Bradi4g39760 Bradi4g39750 Bradi4g39750 Bradi4g42400 Bradi3g04880 Bradi5g09270 Bradi1g42510	PH01002288G0050 PH01001134G0390 PH01003363G0220 PH01002570G0010

(Continued)

Flowering pathways/ regulator	Genes	O. sativa identifiers used as query	BLAST hits in <i>B. distachyon</i>	BLAST hits in P. heterocycla
		Os06g06300/RFT1	Bradi1g48830 Bradi2g07070 Bradi3g48036 Bradi5g14010 Bradi2g49795 Bradi2g19670 Bradi4g39730 Bradi4g39760 Bradi4g39760 Bradi4g39750 Bradi4g35040 Bradi3g44860 Bradi3g44860 Bradi5g09270 Bradi2g27860 Bradi2g01020	PH01002288G0050 PH01001134G0390 PH01003363G0220 PH01002570G0010 PH01007086G0020
	SOC1 /MADS50	Os03g03070	Bradi3g32090 Bradi1g77020 Bradi3g51800	PH01000759G0450 PH01000059G1270 PH01000107G0570 PH01002152G0120
Drought	Dof12	Os03g07360	Bradi1g73710 Bradi3g25670	PH01000113G0300 PH01000188G0230 PH01000219G0080 PH01001264G0440
Physiological maturity	LFY TFL1	Os04g51000 Os11g05470/RCN1	Bradi5g20340 Bradi4g42400 Bradi5g09270 Bradi3g44860 Bradi1g48830 Bradi2g07070 Bradi3g48036 Bradi2g07070 Bradi5g14010 Bradi2g19670 Bradi3g08890 Bradi2g01020 Bradi1g38150 Bradi4g39730	No hit PH01001134G0390 PH01003363G0220 PH01002570G0010 PH01007086G0020 PH01002288G0050
		Os12g05590/RCN3	Bradi4g42400 Bradi5g09270 Bradi5g09270 Bradi2g07070 Bradi1g48830 Bradi2g07070 Bradi3g48036 Bradi2g49795 Bradi5g14010 Bradi2g19670 Bradi3g08890 Bradi2g01020 Bradi1g38150 Bradi4g39730	PH01001134G0390 PH01003363G0220 PH01002570G0010 PH01007086G0020 PH01002288G0050

#### TABLE 1 | Continued

The criteria used were:  $e^{-40}$ , identity = 50% and length coverage = 60% of the query sequence. If the O. sativa gene is yet to be functionally characterized (no functional characterization, n.f.c), B. distachyon gene sequences were used as query. When no homologous sequences were identified in our set criteria, it is mentioned as no hit.

*B. oldhamii*, and *D. latiflorus*, suggesting their role in bamboo flower induction (Lin et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2012; Peng et al., 2013; Gao et al., 2014). The transcriptional expression level of *CO* varied across libraries. For instance, it was low in *P. edulis* and correlated with the presence of *L1* and *GYPSY* transposable elements in the regulatory region of the gene (Peng et al., 2013). On the other hand, a high level of *CO* expression was obtained in the floral tissues of *D. latiflorus* (Zhang et al., 2012). *CO*, along with the *CCAAT* box binding factor (*NFY*), bind to the

CCAAT box of FT promoter and result in flowering (Ben-Naim et al., 2006). Therefore, the co-expression of CO and FT (i.e., CO-FT regulon) plays a crucial role in the regulation of flowering time. Our BLAST analyses identified 5 FT-like and 1 CO-like homologs in P. heterocycla (Table 1). Similarly, single or multiple FT copies have been identified and characterized in D. latiflorus, P. meyeri, and P. violascens (Hisamoto and Kobayashi, 2007, 2013; Hisamoto et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2014; Guo et al., 2015). Detailed expression analysis of PmFT revealed that its

The Uncertainty behind Bamboo Flowering

expression is primarily restricted to leaves, but highest during full bloom (Hisamoto and Kobayashi, 2013). Expression of the two *FT* genes and their functional diversification was reported in *P. violascens* (Guo et al., 2015). *PvFT1* is expressed in leaves and induces flowering, while *PvFT2* possibly plays a role in floral organogenesis. Another important floral integrator, *SUPRESSOR OF OVEREXPRESSION OF CONSTANS 1* (*SOC1*), was identified by our BLAST analyses (**Table 1**) and was also expressed in the floral transcriptomes of *P. edulis, Guadua inermis, Otatea acuminate, Lithachne pauciflora,* and *P. aurea* (Peng et al., 2013; Wysocki et al., 2016).

# AUTONOMOUS AND HORMONAL PATHWAY

In addition to environmental cues, additional flower inducing factors are present within a plant itself and are called endogenous or autonomous signals. This pathway is well studied in Arabidopsis, but is less characterized in monocot plants (Lee et al., 2005; Abou-Elwafa et al., 2011). The important genes are FLOWERING LOCUS CA (FCA), FLOWERING LOCUS D (FLD), FLOWERING LOCUS KH DOMAIN (FLK), FLOWERING LOCUS PA (FPA), FLOWERING LOCUS VE (FVE), FLOWERING LOCUS Y (FY), and LUMINIDEPENDENS (LD, Simpson, 2004). These genes promote flowering by suppressing FLC expression (Simpson, 2004; Quesada et al., 2005). Our BLAST analyses identified one or more P. heterocycla homologs for the majority of these genes (Table 1), which were reported in the floral transcriptomes of B. oldhamii (Lin et al., 2010), D. latiflorus (Zhang et al., 2012), and P. heterocycla (Peng et al., 2013) and suggest possible roles in bamboo flowering.

The role of gibberellic acid (GA) in the induction of flowering is well established in *Oryza* (Kwon and Paek, 2016). Many important genes related to GA biosynthesis (*ent-KAURENE SYNTHETASE A- GA1, ent-KAURENOIC ACID OXIDASE-KAO*, *GA 2-OXIDASE-GA2ox*, *GA3ox*) and receptors (*GIBBERELLIN INSENSITIVE DWARF1- GID1*, *GID2*) have been characterized (Sakamoto et al., 2004). *GID1* and *GID2* are responsible for proteasome mediated *DELLA* degradation and promote flowering through upregulation of *GAMYB* (Kwon and Paek, 2016). At least one *P. heterocycla* homolog has been detected for the majority of these genes in our BLAST analyses (**Table 1**). The possible involvement of GA in bamboo flowering is supported by the identification of *GA1*, *SLY*, *GID1*, *GID2*, *GAMYB* ESTs in the floral transcriptome of *P. heterocycla* (Gao et al., 2014) and *D. latiflorus* (Zhang et al., 2012).

# POSSIBLE PHYSIOLOGICAL AND GENETIC FACTORS REGULATING BAMBOO FLOWERING

## **Stress**

Increasing evidence suggests a link between stress and bamboo flowering (Rai and Dey, 2012; Peng et al., 2013; Ge et al., 2016). Overall expression level of general stress responsive genes involved in ABA, ethylene, sugar metabolism and  $Ca^{+2}$  dependent signaling pathway were 11.1-fold higher than that of the flowering genes in *P. heterocycla* (Peng et al., 2013). Particularly, a few members of the DNA binding with one finger (*Dof*) transcription factor family were highly up-regulated in the floral transcriptome (Imaizumi et al., 2005). For instance, *Ph.Dof12* was about 16-fold up-regulated in the flowering tissues of *P. heterocycla* collected from a drought affected area (Peng et al., 2013). Similarly, 28 unigenes related to *Dof3*, *Dof4*, *Dof5*, *Dof12*, and *Cycling Dof Factors* (*CDF*) were detected in the floral transcriptome of *P. edulis* (Gao et al., 2014). The *Dof* family is composed of 15 genes in *Phyllostachys* and a comprehensive functional characterization of these genes may provide new insights. Particularly, analyzing the enrichment of the droughtresponsive cis-elements in their promoter regions could identify candidate genes that are induced under drought conditions.

## **Physiological Maturity and Micro RNAs**

Scientific evidence emerging from research on various perennial plants suggests an important role of *TERMINAL FLOWER* 1 (*TFL1*) and microRNAs (*miRNAs*) in maintaining a long vegetative phase (Huijser and Schmid, 2011). Our BLAST analyses identified five copies of *Ph.TFL1* genes in *P. heterocycla* (**Table 1**). A functional *TFL1* gene was isolated from *B. oldhamii* and was overexpressed in *Arabidopsis* (Zeng et al., 2015). The overexpressed lines showed delayed flowering, suggesting that *TFL1* may have a role in maintaining vegetative growth. In addition, *TFL1* may have an important function in differentiation of bamboo floral organs, as indicated by higher expression of *TFL1* in late floral developmental stages relative to early stages in *B. oldhamii* and *D. latiflorus* (Wang et al., 2014).

Long maintenance of the vegetative phase in the majority of bamboos can also be regulated at the post-transcriptional level, such as by miRNAs. In rice miR156 is known to repress flowering by targeting SQUAMOSA PROMOTER BINDING PROTEIN-LIKE (SBP/SPL) transcription factor (SPLs, Xiong et al., 2006). Expression of miR156 showed significant downregulation through the transition from vegetative to flowering stages in P. edulis (Gao et al., 2015). Additional candidates that may have roles are miR164a, miR166a, miR167a, miR535a, miR159a.1, miR164a, and miR168-3-p (Gao et al., 2015; Ge et al., 2016). In contrast, some micro RNAs may play positive roles in bamboo flowering. One such candidate is miR172, which controls flowering time and the formation of floral organs through the regulation of the AP2-like transcription factor (Lee et al., 2014). miR172a showed an increase in expression level during progression from vegetative to the flowering phase in P. edulis (Gao et al., 2015). The expression of other miRNAs such as miR169b, miR395h-5p, and miR529-3p were higher in floral tissues than in vegetative tissues.

# **FUTURE CHALLENGES**

## **Appropriate Tissue Sampling**

Identification of proper tissue stages is critical since the majority of flowering genes are transiently expressed soon before or after floral induction. Unlike *Arabidopsis* or *Oryza*, wild bamboo floral tissue stages are not easily traceable. Therefore, tissue culture



methods have been tried to induce flowering and to study defined stages of induced floral transcriptomes of B. oldhamii in vitro (Lin et al., 2010). However, this study raised doubt about comparability of the transcription patterns under in vitro conditions vs. naturally occurring flowering. A large unigene set (146,395) generated from the floral transcriptomes of naturally grown D. latiflorus could not detect the important integrator gene FT, although it was detected in the transcriptome of P. edulis. This emphasizes the need to define in vivo floral stages with higher accuracy in order to make data generated by different research groups more comparable. Therefore, we studied the microscopic histology of different flowering stages of wild B. tulda plants and compared them with the external morphology of buds to identify phenotypic markers for specific growth stages (Figure 1). The external morphological features of nodal vegetative buds are indistinguishable from those of early stage inflorescence bud. However, this is one of the most crucial tissue stages with respect to the identification of genes involved in flower induction. Close observation of the early inflorescence bud revealed that it is slightly smaller in size, pale yellow in color, and bulged in the middle (Figures 1A,C). Histological analyses reveal that the shoot apical meristem of the nodal vegetative bud is dome shaped and covered with compactly arranged leaf primordia (Figure 1B). But the early staged inflorescence meristem is slightly smaller in size and triangular in shape (**Figure 1D**). The middle stage floral bud could be differentiated from the early stage by its elongated shape and bright green color (**Figure 1E**). Histological analysis revealed that it is composed of one or two floral primordia at the base of the rachis and an undifferentiated inflorescence meristem at the apex (**Figure 1F**). The late inflorescence bud is easily identifiable from all the other stages by its long and slender shape (**Figure 1G**). It is composed of three to four visible florets having differentiated anther primordia at the base of the rachis and an undifferentiated apical inflorescence meristem (**Figure 1H**).

## Gene Family Expansion, High Sequence Homology and Associated Challenges

Bamboos are highly polyploid plants with big genomes (2075 Mb for *P. heterocycla* compared to 125 Mb for *A. thaliana*). Consequently, the majority of genes are present in multiple copies. It would be important to dissect their evolutionary origin (orthologs-functional, paralogs-old/recent vs. tandem duplicates) and deduce their functional conservation or divergence by studying detailed transcriptional expression patterns (Das et al., 2016). However, the majority of these

genes are very similar in sequence, which creates challenges in maintaining specificity in gene expression analyses. Example of this are FT and TFL1 genes, which are members of the Phosphatidylethanolamine-binding protein (PEBP) family and share high sequence similarity (>60%). However, they are functionally antagonistic to each other. There are diagnostic amino acids, which are crucial to maintain either FT (Tyr-85) or TFL1 (His-88) function (Hanzawa et al., 2005). Our BLAST analyses identified five P. heterocycla homologs each for FT and TFL1 and they are completely overlapping with each other (Table 1). Follow-up analysis indicated PH01002288G0050 as the predicted FT gene, while the other four, PH01001134G0390, PH01003363G0220, PH01002570G0010, PH01007086G0020 are TFL1. Therefore, in addition to large-scale sequence analyses such as BLAST, individual gene sequences should be checked for correct gene function annotation.

## **Genetic Tools for functional Validation**

With the completion of gene sequencing and expression pattern characterization, the next challenge would be to confirm gene functions using loss- or gain-of-function mutants. This is especially important for multi copy genes for which expression data is not indicative of functional differentiation among copies. Therefore, a model plant is needed in which tissue culture and genetic transformation are easy to perform. Woody bamboos are generally recalcitrant and present several challenges (Das and Pal, 2005a). Since loss-of-function mutation analyses would be challenging, other model plants could be exploited to perform genetic complementation analyses by ectopically expressing bamboo flowering genes. Rice could be useful for such purposes due to its close evolutionary relationship, related floral biology and availability of mutant lines for several genes. However, many rice genes and associated mutant phenotypes have yet to be characterized.

## REFERENCES

- Abou-Elwafa, S. F., Büttner, B., Chia, T., Schulze-Buxloh, G., Hohmann, U., Mutasa-Gottgens, E., et al. (2011). Conservation and divergence of autonomous pathway genes in the flowering regulatory network of *Beta vulgaris. J. Exp. Bot.* 62, 3359–3374. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erq321
- Anderson, J. V., Horvath, D. P., Chao, W. S., Foley, M. E., Hernandez, A. G., Thimmapuram, J., et al. (2007). Characterization of an EST database for the perennial weed leafy spurge: an important resource for weed biology research. *Weed Sci.* 55, 193–203. doi: 10.1614/WS-06-138.1
- Austin, A. T., and Marchesini, V. A. (2012). Gregarious flowering and death of understorey bamboo slow litter decomposition and nitrogen turnover in a southern temperate forest in Patagonia, Argentina. *Funct. Ecol.* 26, 265–273. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2435.2011.01910.x
- Azad-Thakur, N. S., and Firake, D. M. (2014). Population dynamics of rodents during bamboo flowering in north east India. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 84, 6.
- Ben-Naim, O., Eshed, R., Parnis, A., Teper-Bamnolker, P., Shalit, A., Coupland, G., et al. (2006). The CCAAT binding factor can mediate interactions between CONSTANS-like proteins and DNA. *Plant J.* 46, 462–476. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.02706.x
- Bhattacharya, S., Das, M., Bar, R., and Pal, A. (2006). Morphological and molecular characterization of *Bambusa tulda* with a note on flowering. *Ann. Bot.* 98, 529–535. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcl143

# Development of a New Model System for Tropical Bamboo

The majority of available research reports are on the tetraploid bamboo *Phyllostachys*, predominantly found in the temperate regions of China and Japan. However, enormous biodiversity is found in the tropical regions and dominated by members of the genus *Bambusa*. Therefore, the genome/transcriptomes of a tropical bamboo should be characterized. These have enormous economic importance, a large population size, wide genetic diversity (Das et al., 2008), molecular methods for species level identification (Das et al., 2005), a standardized micropropagation protocol (Das and Pal, 2005b), incidents of both gregarious (Mohan Ram and Harigopal, 1981) and sporadic flowering (Bhattacharya et al., 2006), which taken together makes *B. tulda* a good choice as a model species of tropical bamboos.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

MD and AP collaborated in this study. PB, SC, and SD had done the bioinformatics and histological analyses. MD wrote the paper with input from all co-authors.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research results reported in this paper are funded by Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, India [38(1386)/14/EMR-II], Department of Biotechnology, India (BT/PR10778/PBD/16/1070/2014) and Faculty Research and Professional Development Fund (FRPDF) grant, Presidency University. PB acknowledges a JRF fellowship from University Grant Commission, India. We thank Prof. James Westwood, Virginia Tech for carefully editing the manuscript and the three reviewers and the editor for their critical comments to substantially improve the quality of the manuscript.

- Bhattacharya, S., Ghosh, J. S., Das, M., and Pal, A. (2009). Morphological and molecular characterization of *Thamnocalamus spathiflorus* subsp. spathiflorus at population level. *Plant Syst. Evol.* 282, 13–20. doi: 10.1007/s00606-008-0092-1
- Bo, T., Yongyan, C., Yuanxin, Y., and Dezhu, L. (2005). Isolation and ectopic expression of a bamboo MADS-box gene. *Chin. Sci. Bull.* 50, 217–224. doi: 10.1007/BF02897530
- Chaubey, O. P., Sharma, A., and Prakash, R. (2013). Impact of fires and grazing closure on rehabilitation of gregariously flowered bamboo (*Dendrocalamus strictus*. Roxb. Nees) forests. *Int. J. Bio-Sci. Bio-Tech.* 5, 207–220. doi: 10.14257/ijbsbt.2013.5.6.22
- Choi, K., Kim, J., Hwang, H. J., Kim, S., Park, C., Kim, S. Y., et al. (2011). The FRIGIDA complex activates transcription of FLC, a strong flowering repressor in *Arabidopsis*, by recruiting chromatin modification factors. *Plant Cell* 23, 289–303. doi: 10.1105/tpc.110. 075911
- Colasanti, J., and Coneva, V. (2009). Mechanisms of floral induction in grasses: something borrowed something new. *Plant Physiol.* 149, 56–62. doi: 10.1104/pp.108.130500
- Das, M., Bhattacharya, S., and Pal, A. (2005). Generation and characterization of SCARs by cloning and sequencing of RAPD products: a strategy for species-specific marker development in bamboo. *Ann. Bot.* 95, 835–841. doi: 10.1093/aob/mci088

- Das, M., Bhattacharya, S., Singh, P., Filgueiras, T., and Pal, A. (2008). Bamboo taxonomy and diversity in the era of molecular markers. Adv. Bot. Res. 47, 225–268. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2296(08)00005-0
- Das, M., Haberer, G., Panda, A., Das Laha, S., Ghosh, T. C., and Schäffner, A. R. (2016). Expression pattern similarities support the prediction of orthologs retaining common functions after gene duplication events. *Plant Physiol*. 171, 2343–2357. doi: 10.1104/pp.15.01207
- Das, M., and Pal, A. (2005a). In vitro regeneration of Bambusa balcooa Roxb: factors affecting changes of morphogenetic competence in the axillary buds. Plant Cell Tiss. Organ Cult. 81, 109–112. doi: 10.1007/s11240-004-3017-x
- Das, M., and Pal, A. (2005b). Clonal propagation and production of genetically uniform regenerants from axillary meristems of adult bamboo. J. Plant Biochem. Biotech. 14, 185–188. doi: 10.1007/BF03355956
- Dubcovsky, J., Loukoianov, A., Fu, D., Valarik, M., Sanchez, A., and Yan, L. (2006). Effect of photoperiod on the regulation of wheat vernalization genes VRN1 and VRN2. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 60, 469–480. doi: 10.1007/s11103-005-4814-2
- Gao, J., Ge, W., Zhang, Y., Cheng, Z., Li, L., Hou, D., et al. (2015). Identification and characterization of microRNAs at different flowering developmental stages in moso bamboo (*Phyllostachys edulis*) by high throughput sequencing. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 290, 2335–2353. doi: 10.1007/s00438-015-1069-8
- Gao, J., Zhang, Y., Zhang, C., Qi, F., Li, X., Mu, S., et al. (2014). Characterization of the floral transcriptome of moso bamboo (*Phyllostachys edulis*) at different flowering developmental stages by transcriptome sequencing and RNA-seq analysis. *PLoS ONE* 9:e98910. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0098910
- Ge, W., Zhang, Y., Cheng, Z., Hou, D., Li, X., and Gao, J. (2016). Main regulatory pathways, key genes, and microRNAs involved in flower formation and development of moso bamboo (*Phyllostachys edulis*). *Plant Biotechnol. J.* doi: 10.1111/pbi.12593. [Epub ahead of print].
- Gui, Y., Wang, S., Quan, L., Zhou, C., Long, S., Zheng, H., et al. (2007). Genome size and sequence composition of moso bamboo: a comparative study. *Sci. China C Life Sci.* 50, 700–705. doi: 10.1007/s11427-007-0081-6
- Guo, X., Wang, Y., Wang, Q., Xu, Z., and Lin, X. (2015). Molecular characterization of FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) genes from bamboo (*Phyllostachys violascens*). J. Plant Biochem. Biotechnol. 25, 168–178. doi: 10.1007/s13562-015-0322-x
- Hanzawa, H., Money, T., and Bradely, D. (2005). A single amino acid converts a repressor to an activator of flowering. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102, 7748–7753. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0500932102
- Hisamoto, Y., Kashiwagi, H., and Kobayashi, M. (2008). Use of flowering gene FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) homologs in the phylogenetic analysis of bambusoid and early diverging grasses. *J. Plant Res.* 121, 451–461. doi: 10.1007/s10265-008-0181-9
- Hisamoto, Y., and Kobayashi, M. (2007). Comparison of nucleotide sequences of fragments from rice FLOWERING LOCUS T (RFT1) homologues in *Phyllostachys* (Bambusoideae, Poaceae) with particular reference to flowering behaviour. *Kew Bull.* 62, 463–473.
- Hisamoto, Y., and Kobayashi, M. (2013). Flowering habit of two two bamboo species, *Phyllostachys meyeri* and *Shibataea chinensis*, analyzed with flowering gene expression. *Plant Species Biol.* 28, 109–117. doi: 10.1111/j.1442-1984.2012.00369.x
- Huijser, P., and Schmid, M. (2011). The control of developmental phase transitions in plants. *Development* 138, 4117–4129. doi: 10.1242/dev.063511
- Imaizumi, T., Schultz, T. F., Harmon, F. G., Ho, L. A., and Kay, S. A. (2005). FKF1 F-box protein mediates cyclic degradation of a repressor of CONSTANS in *Arabidopsis. Science* 309, 293–297. doi: 10.1126/science.1110586
- Jansson, S., and Douglas, C. J. (2007). Populus: a model system for plant biology. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 58, 435–458. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.58.032806.103956
- Janzen, D. H. (1976). Why bamboos wait so long to flower. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 7, 347–391. doi: 10.1146/annurev.es.07.110176.002023
- Kellogg, E. A. (2015). Flowering Plants. Monocots: Poaceae. New York, NY: Springer.
- Kwon, C. T., and Paek, N. C. (2016). Gibberellic acid: a key phytohormone for spikelet fertility in rice grain production. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 17:794. doi: 10.3390/ijms17050794
- Lee, J. H., Cho, Y. S., Yoon, H. S., Suh, M. C., Moon, J., Lee, I., et al. (2005). Conservation and divergence of FCA function between *Arabidopsis* and rice. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 58, 823–838. doi: 10.1007/s11103-005-8105-8

- Lee, Y. S., Lee, D. Y., Cho, L. H., and An, G. (2014). Rice miR172 induces flowering by suppressing OsIDS1 and SNB, two two AP2 genes that negatively regulate expression of Ehd1 and florigens. *Rice J.* 7, 31. doi: 10.1186/s12284-014-0031-4
- Levy, Y. Y., Mesnage, S., Mylne, J. S., Gendall, A. R., and Dean, C. (2002). Multiple roles of *Arabidopsis VRN1* in vernalization and flowering time control. *Science* 297, 243–246. doi: 10.1126/science.1072147
- Lin, E. P., Peng, H. Z., Jin, Q. Y., Deng, M. J., Li, T., Xiao, X. C., et al. (2009). Identification and characterization of two two bamboo (*Phyllostachys praecox*) AP1/SQUA-like MADS-box genes during floral transition. *Planta* 231, 109–120. doi: 10.1007/s00425-009-1033-0
- Lin, X. C., Chow, T. Y., Chen, H. H., Liu, C. C., Chou, S. J., Huang, B. L., et al. (2010). Understanding bamboo flowering based on largescale analysis of expressed sequence tags. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 9, 1085–1093. doi: 10.4238/vol9-2gmr804
- Liu, M., Qiao, G., Jiang, J., Yang, H., Xie, L., Xie, J., et al. (2012). Transcriptome sequencing and de novo analysis for mabamboo (*Dendrocalamus latiflorus* Munro) using the illumina platform. *PLoS ONE* 7:e46766. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0046766
- Liu, S. N., Zhu, L. F., Lin, X. C., and Ma, L. Y. (2015). Overexpression of the repressor gene PvFRI-L from *Phyllostachys violascens* delays flowering time in transgenic *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Biol. Plant.* 60, 401–409. doi: 10.1007/s10535-016-0614-6
- Marchesini, V. A., Sala, O. E., and Austin, A. T. (2009). Ecological consequences of a massive flowering event of bamboo (*Chusquea culeou*) in a temperate forest of Patagonia, Argentina. J. Veg. Sci. 20, 424–432. doi: 10.1111/j.1654-1103.2009.05768.x
- Michaels, S. D., and Amasino, R. M. (1999). FLOWERING LOCUS C encodes a novel MADS domain protein that acts as a repressor of flowering. *Plant Cell* 11, 949–956. doi: 10.1105/tpc.11.5.949
- Mohan Ram, H. Y., and Harigopal, B. (1981). Some observations on the flowering of bamboos in Mizoram. *Curr. Sci.* 50, 708–710.
- Nadgauda, R. S., John, C. K., Parasharami, V. A., Joshi, M. S., and Mascarenhas, A. F. (1997). A comparison of *in vitro* and *in vivo* flowering in bamboo: *Bambusa arundinacea. Plant Cell Tiss. Org. Cult.* 48, 181–188. doi: 10.1023/A:1005800700024
- Peng, Z., Lu, Y., Li, L., Zhao, Q., Feng, Q., Gao, Z., et al. (2013). The draft genome of the fast-growing non-timber forest species moso bamboo (*Phyllostachys heterocycla*). Nat. Genet. 45, 456–461. doi: 10.1038/ng.2569
- Putterill, J., Laurie, R., and Macknight, R. (2004). It's time to flower: the genetic control of flowering time. *Bioessays* 26, 363–373. doi: 10.1002/bies.20021
- Quesada, V., Dean, C., and Simpson, G. G. (2005). Regulated RNA processing in the control of *Arabidopsis* flowering. *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* 49, 773–780. doi: 10.1387/ijdb.051995vq
- Rai, V., and Dey, N. (2012). Identification of programmed cell death related genes in bamboo. *Gene* 497, 243–248. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2012.01.018
- Ramanayake, S. M. S. D., and Yakandawala, K. (1998). Incidence of flowering, death and the phenology of the giant bamboo (*Dendrocalamus giganteus* Wall. Ex Munro). Ann. Bot. 82, 779–785. doi: 10.1006/anbo.1998.0754
- Ream, T. S., Woods, D. P., Schwartz, C. J., Sanabria, C. P., Mahoy, J. A., Walters, E. M., et al. (2014). Interaction of photoperiod and vernalization determines flowering time of *Brachypodium distachyon*. *Plant Physiol*. 164, 694–709. doi: 10.1104/pp.113.232678
- Reid, D. G., Alan, H., Taylor, A. H., Jinchui, H., and Zisheng, Q. (1991). Environmental influences on bamboo *Bashania fangiana* growth and implications for giant panda conservation. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 28, 855–868. doi: 10.2307/2404212
- Ruelens, P., Maagd, R., Proost, S., Theiben, G., Geuten, K., and Kaufmann, K. (2013). FLOWERING LOCUS C in monocots and the tandem origin of angiosperm-specific MADS-box genes. *Nat. Commun.* 4, 2280. doi: 10.1038/ncomms3280
- Sakamoto, T., Miura, K., Itoh, H., Tatsumi, T., Ueguchi-Tanaka, M., Ishiyama, K., et al. (2004). An overview of gibberellin metabolism enzyme genes andtheir related mutants in rice. *Plant Physiol.* 134, 1642–1653. doi: 10.1104/pp.103.033696
- Sertse, D., Disasa, T., Bekele, K., Alebachew, M., Kebede, Y., Eshete, N., et al. (2011). Mass flowering and death of bamboo: a potential threat to biodiversity and livelihoods in Ethiopia. J. Biol. Environ. Sci. 1, 16–25.

- Shih, M. C., Chou, M. L., Yue, J. J., Hsu, C. T., Chang, W. J., Ko, S. S., et al. (2014). BeMADS1 is a key to delivery MADSs into nucleus in reproductive tissues-*De novo* characterization of *Bambusa edulis* transcriptome and study of MADS genes in bamboo floral development. *BMC Plant Biol.* 14:179. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-14-179
- Simpson, G. G. (2004). The autonomous pathway: epigenetic and posttranscriptional gene regulation in the control of *Arabidopsis* flowering time. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 7, 570–574. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2004. 07.002
- Sung, S., and Amasino, R. (2004). Vernalization and epigenetics: how plants remember winter. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 7, 4–10. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2003. 11.010
- Wang, X., Zhang, X., Zhao, L., and Guo, Z. (2014). Morphology and quantitative monitoring of gene expression patterns during floral induction and early flower development in *Dendrocalamus latiflorus. Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 15, 12074–12093. doi: 10.3390/ijms150712074
- Wysocki, W. P., Ruiz-Sanchez, E., Yin, Y., and Duvall, M. R. (2016). The floral transcriptomes of four four bamboo species (Bambusoideae; Poaceae): support for common ancestry among woody bamboos. *BMC Genomics* 17:384. doi: 10.1186/s12864-016-2707-1
- Xie, N., Chen, L. N., Wong, K. M., Cui, Y. Z., and Yang, H. Q. (2016). Seed set and natural regeneration of *Dendrocalamus membranaceus* munro after mass and sporadic flowering in Yunnan, China. *one PLoS ONE* 11:e0153845. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0153845
- Xiong, L., Wu, C., and Xie, K. (2006). Genomic organization, differential expression, and interaction of SQUAMOSA promoter-Binding-Like

transcription factors and microRNA156 in rice. *Plant Physiol.* 142, 280–293. doi: 10.1104/pp.106.084475

- Zeng, H. Y., Lu, Y. T., Yang, X. M., Xu, Y. H., and Lin, X. C. (2015). Ectopic expression of the BoTFL1-like gene of *Bambusa oldhamii* delays blossoming in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and rescues the tfl1 mutant phenotype. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 14, 9306–9317. doi: 10.4238/2015.August.10.11
- Zhang, X. M., Zhao, L., Larson-Rabin, Z., Li, D. Z., and Guo, Z. H. (2012). De Novo sequencing and characterization of the floral transcriptome of *Dendrocalamus latiflorus* (Poaceae: Bambusoideae). *PLoS ONE* 7:e42082. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0042082
- Zhao, H., Dong, L., Sun, H., Li, L., Lou, Y., Wang, L., et al. (2016). Comprehensive analysis of multitissue transcriptome data and the genomewide investigation of GRAS family in *Phyllostachys edulis*. Sci. Rep. 6:27640. doi: 10.1038/srep27640

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Biswas, Chakraborty, Dutta, Pal and Das. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.