



Development of a Critical Nitrogen Dilution Curve of Double Cropping Rice in South China

Zhiyuan He¹, Xiaolei Qiu¹, Syed Tahir Ata-Ul-Karim¹, Yanda Li², Xiaojun Liu¹, Qiang Cao¹, Yan Zhu¹, Weixing Cao¹ and Liang Tang^{1*}

¹ Jiangsu Key Laboratory for Information Agriculture, National Engineering and Technology Center for Information Agriculture, Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center for Modern Crop Production, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, China, ² Institute of Agricultural Engineering, Jiangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Nanchang, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Pan Kaiwen, Chengdu Institute of Biology (CAS), China

Reviewed by:

Zunfu Lv, Zhejiang A&F University, China Yinghua Zhang, China Agricultural University, China

> *Correspondence: Liang Tang tangl@njau.edu.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Agroecology and Land Use Systems, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

> Received: 22 November 2016 Accepted: 10 April 2017 Published: 28 April 2017

Citation:

He Z, Qiu X, Ata-Ul-Karim ST, Li Y, Liu X, Cao Q, Zhu Y, Cao W and Tang L (2017) Development of a Critical Nitrogen Dilution Curve of Double Cropping Rice in South China. Front. Plant Sci. 8:638. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00638

The concept of critical nitrogen (N_c) concentration can be implemented to diagnose in-season plant nitrogen (N) status for optimizing N fertilizer management. The $N_{\rm c}$ dilution curves have been established for rice (Oryza sativa L.) grown in different climatic regions, yet no attempt has been made to develop the $N_{\rm c}$ dilution curve for double cropping rice regions. This study was undertaken to develop the $N_{\rm c}$ dilution curves for double cropping rice in south China for assessment of in-season N status and to establish the relationships N nutrition index (NNI) and relative yield (RY) for in-season prediction of rice grain yield. Three different N application rate field experiments using six Indica rice varieties, including two early rice hybrids and four late rice hybrids were carried out in east China. The $N_{\rm c}$ dilution curves based on whole plant N concentration were determined and described as, $N_c = 3.37 \text{ W}^{-0.44}$ for early rice and $N_c = 3.69 \text{ W}^{-0.34}$ for late rice. The constant N concentration at early growth stage was 3.31 and 3.15% DM for early and late rice, respectively. Late rice showed a higher capacity of N accumulation and a lower rate of N decline per unit shoot biomass as compared to early rice. The curves for present study were different from the existing reference curves for Indica and Japonica rice grown in different rice growing regions. Integrated N nutrition index (NNI_{int}) based on N_c was used to estimate RY at different growth periods using linear regression functions. The results showed that the critical curves and relationship between NNI_{int} and RY could be used as a reliable indicator of N status diagnosis, grain yield prediction as well as to provide technical support in N management for double cropping rice in south China.

Keywords: late rice, early rice, critical nitrogen dilution curve, nitrogen nutrition index, shoot biomass, yield

Abbreviations: N, nitrogen; N_c, critical nitrogen; NNI, nitrogen nutrition index; RY, relative yield; NNI_{int}, integrated nitrogen nutrition index; N_{actual}, actual shoot nitrogen concentration; DM, dry matter; W, dry weight; GY_{treatment}, actual yield of each N treatment; GY_{max}, the mean of the yield for the group of treatments giving the highest yield value; LSD, least significant difference; GLM, generalized linear model; ANOVA, analysis of variance; ANOCOVA, analysis of covariance; N_{max}, nitrogen maximum curve; N_{min}, nitrogen minimum curve; UAV, unmanned aerial vehicle; ZJZ-17, zhongjiazao 17; TLY-83, tanliangyou 83; TYHZ, tianyouhuazhan; YY-9113, yueyou 9113; XY-186, xiangyou 186; WFY-788, wufengyou 788.

INTRODUCTION

Rice is one of the most important crops in China, and as the largest rice producer, China accounts for 28.1% of the global rice production (FAOSTAT., 2014). Approximately 34.6% of China's total rice production comes from the double cropping rice regions situated in south China (National Data, 2012)¹. Excessive nitrogen (N) fertilizer application for optimizing crop production has resulted in a series of environmental problems such as soil acidification, eutrophication and greenhouse gas emissions (Ju et al., 2009). Moreover, excessive N application has also resulted in a low N use efficiency of 30–35% (Peng et al., 2006; Guo et al., 2010). Therefore, optimizing N fertilizer management to increase yields and reduce environmental problems has recently become a major research focus (Ata-Ul-Karim et al., 2014a; Yao et al., 2014).

Nitrogen status diagnosis during vegetative growth is a key technique for optimizing N fertilizer management (Ata-Ul-Karim et al., 2014b). There is an analytical method to diagnose N status based on the concept of critical nitrogen (N_c), the minimum N concentration necessary to achieve maximum growth (Ulrich, 1952). The N_c represents the optimum N status in plants. If plant N concentration is higher than the N_c then N is in excess, if it is less, then N is insufficient. The N_c curvebased N nutrition index (NNI), the ratio of actual shoot N concentration (Nactual) to Nc, can also be used to diagnose the excess or deficiency of N in plants (Bélanger et al., 2001). It has been shown that plant N concentration within dense canopies decreases with increasing plant biomass, even when ample N is supplied. This phenomenon can be explained by plant aging and compartmentalization of metabolic and structural tissues (Lemaire and Gastal, 1997). Lemaire and Salette (1984) described this decline of N concentration as a negative power function based on the accumulated aerial biomass of lucerne:

$$N_c = aW^{-b} \tag{1}$$

where W is aboveground biomass t ha⁻¹, N_c is the N concentration in shoots expressed in % DM (dry matter), a is the N accumulation when W = 1 t ha⁻¹ which is related to the amount of N supply and the intrinsic N absorption capacity of the crop during early growth stage. Parameter b is the decrease in the rate of N uptake with crop dry weight increase (Lemaire et al., 2007).

This concept has been established for over decades and N_c dilution curves based on shoot biomass have been developed in various crop species, including wheat (Justes et al., 1994; Ziadi et al., 2010; Yue et al., 2012) and rice (Sheehy et al., 1998; Ata-Ul-Karim et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2015). However, N_c dilution curves have not yet been developed or validated for double cropping rice areas of south China. The double cropping rice region dominated by Indica rice is one of the most important rice production regions in China, and contributes 34.6% of the national rice production. The region has a subtropical humid monsoon climate and produces several varieties using different

management practices. Compared to single rice, the shorter growth period of early and late rice in the region results in faster crop growth rate, and early rice grows in a relative colder climatic condition (Huang et al., 2013). Moreover, the previous reports pointed out the interspecies and intraspecies dissimilarities in the N_c curve as well as between experimental sites (Justes et al., 1994; Bélanger et al., 2001), due to different morphological and histological characteristics (Lemaire and Gastal, 1997). Therefore, it is imperative to develop the appropriate N_c dilution curves for early and late rice for precise N diagnosis and yield optimization in the region.

This concept can potentially be implemented for guiding N dressing recommendation, predicting grain yield and N requirement (NR) in rice production, using quantitative relationships between relative yield (RY) and Nc dilution curve based N parameters (NNI, accumulated N deficit (AND) and NR) (Ata-Ul-Karim et al., 2016a, 2017a). Successful attempts have been also made for predicting grain yield in spring wheat, corn, sunflower (Ziadi et al., 2008, 2010; Debaeke et al., 2012). However, the relationships derived in previous studies were based on instantaneous NNI, either using the averaged NNI data at different crop growth stages or at particular crop growth stage, less attempts have been made to establish these relationships for RY and (Integrated NNI, NNI_{int}) obtained by the weighted mean of NNI during the vegetative period. Previous reports indicated a linear relationship between NNI_{int} and relative biomass (actual dry weight divided by the maximum dry weight) (Lemaire and Gastal, 1997). Meanwhile, some researchers indicated that NNI_{int} can make a better estimation for grain yield during vegetative growth phase (Lemaire et al., 2008) and maize grain number per unit area is also highly correlated with NNIint estimated during the period from seedling to 20 days after silking (Plénet and Cruz, 1997). We hypothesized that the relationship of RY with NNI_{int} for double cropping rice can better predict the grain yield being derived from actual in-season dry weight of rice crop.

Therefore, the present study was conducted to develop the N_c dilution curves based on shoot biomass for early and late rice in double cropping rice region of south China, to compare these curves with existing N_c dilution curves for Indica and Japonica rice and to determine the relationships for in-season estimation of rice grain yield. The projected results will provide technical support in precise diagnosing of in-season N status, fertilization guidance and yield forecasting for double cropping rice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Design

Six different N fertilization treatments using 6 Indica rice varieties were conducted in Nanchang (28°33'N, 115°57'E), Jiangxi province of south China. Two early rice hybrids, including Zhongjiazao-17 (ZJZ-17) and Tanliangyou-83 (TLY-83) and four late rice hybrids, Tianyouhuazhan (TYHZ), Yueyou-9113 (YY-9113), Xiangyou-186 (XY-186), and Wufengyou-788 (WFY-788) were used. Detailed information about soil characteristics, cropping practices, climatic conditions, and N treatments in field experiments are summarized in **Table 1**. Experiments were arranged using a completely randomized

¹National Bureau of Statistics of China (2012). Available at http://data.stats.gov.cn/english/easyquery.htm?cn=C01

Experiment no. and locations	Rice type and variety	Transplanting and harvest date	N rate (kg∙ha ^{−1})	Sampling date	Growth stage	Soil characteristics	Average temperature (transplanting to flowering)
Exp. 1 2013 Nanchang 28°33'N, 115°57'E	Early rice ZJZ-17 TLY-83	Early rice 26-April ZJZ-17 11-July TLY-83	N0 (0) N1 (75) N2 (150) N3 (225)	14-May 21-May 04-June 09-June 24-June	MT SE PI BT HD	$OM = 16.6 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$ Total $N = 1.3 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$ Available $P = 9.1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ Available $K = 77 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$	24.58°C
	Late rice TYHZ YY-9113	29-July 28-Oct.	N0 (0) N1 (90) N2 (180) N3 (270)	19-Aug 28-Aug 02-Sep. 09-Sep. 16-Sep.	MT SE PI BT HD	OM = 18.5 g kg-1 Total $N = 1.3 \text{ g kg-1}$ Available $P = 8.4 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ Available $K = 56 \text{ mg kg-1}$	30.48°C
Exp. 2 2014 Nanchang 28°33'N, 115°57'E	Early rice ZJZ-17 TLY-83	24-April 13-July	N0 (0) N1 (75) N2 (150) N3 (225) N4 (300)	15-May 21-May 27-May 03-June 11-June 16-June	AT MT SE PI BT HD	$OM = 20.6 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$ Total N = 1.9 g kg ⁻¹ Available P = 7.9 mg kg ⁻¹ Available K = 42 mg kg ⁻¹	24.21°C
	Late rice TYHZ YY-9113	27-July 22-Oct.	N0 (0) N1 (90) N2 (180) N3 (270) N4 (360)	12-Aug 20-Aug 27-Aug 04-Sep. 11-Sep. 23-Sep.	AT MT SE PI BT HD	$OM = 20.7 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$ Total $N = 1.7 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$ Available $P = 6.9 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ Available $K = 34 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$	28.87°C
Exp. 3 2015 Nanchang 28°33'N, 115°57'E	Early rice ZJZ-17 TLY-83	30-April 13-July	N0 (0) N1 (75) N2 (150) N3 (225) N4 (300)	17-May 22-May 27-May 05-June 11-June 16-June	AT MT SE PI BT HD	$OM = 21.7 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$ Total $N = 1.4 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$ Available $P = 7.12 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ Available $K = 46 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$	23.99°C
	Late rice XY-186 WFY-788	20-July 26-Oct.	N0 (0) N1 (90) N2 (180) N3 (270) N4 (360)	12-Aug 24-Aug 27-Aug 04-Sep. 11-Sep. 16-Sep.	AT MT SE PI BT HD	$OM = 28.8 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$ Total $N = 1.5 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$ Available $P = 6.24 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ Available $K = 65 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$	29.52°C

	Basic information about the field or	porimonts conducted duri	ng the study period
TADLE I	basic information about the field ex	periments conducted dun	ng me sluuy penou.

block design with three replications. The banks between the individual plots were covered with plastic film to prevent fertilizer penetration across treatments. Two seedlings per hill were transplanted manually in all plots and the hill spacing was $0.24 \text{ m} \times 0.14 \text{ m}$ and each plot area was $4 \text{ m} \times 5.4 \text{ m}$. The N fertilizer was applied as 60% before transplanting and 40% at tilling for early rice. For late rice it was 50% before transplanting, 30% at tilling and 20% at booting. Urea was used as the N fertilizer. Phosphorus $(Ca(H_2PO_4)^2)$ and potassium (KCl) fertilizers were added to the soil with the application rates of 60 kg ha⁻¹ (P₂O₅) and 120 kg ha⁻¹ (K₂O) before transplanting.

Sample Collection and Analysis

Five hills were sampled from each plot at active tillering (AT), mid tillering (MT), stem elongation (SE), panicle initiation (PI), booting (BT), and heading (HD) stages during the vegetative phase for growth analysis. The sampling dates are detailed in **Table 1**. Fresh samples were separated into leaves and stems. All samples were oven-dried at 105° C for 30 min to stop metabolism and then at 80°C until constant weight was reached to determine shoot biomass (t ha⁻¹). All samples were milled and analyzed for total shoot N concentration by the micro-Kjeldahl method.

Data Analysis

Establishment and Validation of Critical N Dilution Curve

Data from Experiments 1 and 2 (**Table 1**) were used to develop the N_c dilution curve following the computation method of Justes et al. (1994). The data points for which N did not limit growth (non-N-limiting growth) or was not in excess (N-limiting growth) were identified from Experiments 1 and 2. In order to calculate the critical values, defined as the intersection of a vertical line and an oblique line, the differences between treatment means were assessed using least significant difference (LSD 0.10) test, instead of classically using 0.1 in order to reduce the occurrence of Type II errors (i.e., the error of incorrectly retaining a false null hypothesis) (Ata-Ul-Karim et al., 2016b). The results were used to classify N-limiting and non-N-limiting growth treatments. A non-N-limiting growth treatment was defined as a treatment for which N application did not lead to an increase in shoot biomass but did significantly increase shoot N concentration. If at the same measurement date, statistical analysis distinguished at least one set of N limiting growth and non-N-limiting growth data points, these data were used to define the N dilution curve. The constant N_c concentration at early growth stages in early and late rice was determined by calculating the mean value between the minimum N concentration of non-limiting N points and the maximum N concentration of limiting N points. Data collected from the independent experiments (Exp. 3) were used to validate the N_c dilution curves.

Statistical Analysis

For each sampling date, experiment, rice type, the amount of shoot biomass produced with the varied N treatments and the corresponding N concentrations were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using GLM procedures in SPSS-16 (SPSS Inc., Chicago. IL, USA). Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) at the 90% confidence interval was used to define the significance of N_c dilution curves of early rice and late rice. Differences were considered significant at *Sig* < 0.1 at the 90% confidence interval. The coefficient of determination (R²), relative root mean-squared error (RRMSE) and accuracy (the slope of the linear regression equation between the estimated and intercepted values at zero intercept) were used to evaluate the model estimated effect.

Determination of NNI, Integrated NNI, and Relative Yield

The NNI at each sampling date was calculated according to Justes et al. (1994) using Equation (2).

$$NNI = N_{actual}/N_c$$
 (2)

Where N_{actual} and N_c are the actual N concentration and critical N concentration in the shoot, respectively. If NNI = 1, it represents optimum N nutrition, while NNI > 1 and NNI < 1 indicates excess and deficient N nutrition, respectively.

An integrated NNI can be obtained by the weighted mean of NNI during the vegetative period (Lemaire et al., 2008) using Equation (3):

$$NNI_{int} = 1/T \sum NNI_i \times t_i$$
(3)

Where NNI_{int} is the integrated NNI, T is time (days or GDD), NNI_i is instantaneous NNI values for different sampling periods and t_i is the interval time.

The relative yield (RY) for each experimental site was calculated using Equation (4).

Relative yield =
$$GY_{treatment}/GY_{max} \times 100$$
 (4)

Where $GY_{treatment}$ is the actual yield of each N treatment; GY_{max} is the mean of the yield for the group of treatments giving the highest yield value (LSD < 0.1).

The regressions were used to estimate relationships between shoot biomass and N concentration and between NNI_{int} and RY using IBM SPSS version 16.0 software.

RESULTS

Shoot Biomass and N Concentration

The production of shoot biomass of both early and late rice varieties was significantly affected by N application. The increase in shoot biomass followed a gradually increasing trend after transplanting for early and late rice varieties in each experiment with increasing N application rates. However, non-significant differences were observed between N application rates of 225 and 300 kg ha^{-1} for early rice and late rice. The shoot biomass for early rice ranged from 0.21 to 10.86 t ha^{-1} (ZJZ-17) and 0.23 to 10.50 t ha⁻¹ (TLY-83) in 2013, and 0.34 to 10.16 t ha⁻¹ (ZJZ-17) and 0.34 to 8.78 t ha^{-1} (TLY-83) in 2014. For late rice, the shoot biomass of TYHZ ranged from 1.64 to 14.84 t ha⁻¹ in 2013 and 0.83 to 14.5 t ha⁻¹ in 2014. For YY-9113 shoot biomass ranged from 1.13 to 13.9 t ha^{-1} in 2013 and 1.02 to 14.2 t ha^{-1} in 2014 (Table 2). Overall, the shoot biomass of early rice varieties (ZJZ-17, TLY-83) was slightly lower than late rice varieties (TYHZ, YY-9113).

The response of plant N concentration to N application rates was generally linear and a higher rate of N application generally resulted in a higher plant N concentrations. A decline in plant N concentrations was observed with increasing shoot biomass. The **Figure 1** showed that the plant N concentrations in early rice varieties ranged from 0.85 to 4.11 (ZJZ-17) and 0.85 to 4.00% (TLY-83) in 2013 and 0.70 to 3.51 (ZJZ-17) and 0.73 to 3.68% (TLY-83) in 2014. For late rice varieties, the N concentration ranged from 0.56 to 3.16 (TYHZ) and 0.56 to 2.95% (YY-9113) in 2013 and 0.82 to 3.40 (TYHZ) and 0.84 to 3.18% (YY-9113) in 2014. The early rice varieties showed higher plant N concentrations than the late rice varieties in the early growth stages and similar trends were observed in later stages.

Determination of N_c Dilution Curve

A total of 18 data points of early rice and 24 data points of late rice obtained from experiment 1 and 2 were used to define the N_c dilution curves. The shoot biomass data for developing the N_c dilution curves ranged from 0.8 to 10.65 t ha⁻¹ for early rice and 1.37–14.48 t ha⁻¹ for late rice. The N_c dilution curves of early and late rice varieties established during present study were shown in **Figure 2**.

Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) at the 90% confidence interval was used to define the significance of N_c dilution curves of early rice and late rice. The values *Sig.* of slope and intercept in **Table 3** for early rice (ZJZ-17 and TLY-83) are greater than 0.1 showed that there is no statistical difference between the two varieties for early rice and late rice.

The results indicated that growth rate and varieties don't significantly affect N_c for each rice type. However, significant differences were observed between early and late rice. The data of different varieties for each rice type were pooled, and the unified dilution curves for early and late rice were determined (**Figure 3**).

0 75 150 25 300 2,9-17,2013 14-May 0.576 0.686 0.729 1.620a 0.716 2,1-May 0.576 0.686 0.320a 1.240a 0.716 0.6-June 4.34b 6.60a 5.56a 5.56a 1.252 22-June 4.34b 6.70a 0.230a 0.42b - 0.016 0.6-June 2.636a 5.56a 5.56a - 0.016 0.12b 0.60a 0.42b - 0.016 0.6-June 2.636 0.50a 0.75b 0	Early rice	Sampling date	Applied N Kg ha ⁻¹					F prob.	LSD
ZZ-17 2013 14-May 0.21b 0.28a 0.30a 0.32a - 0.05 04-Line 2.44 0.57c 0.06b 0.72b 1.02a - 0.21 04-Line 2.44 0.36b 0.33b 4.32a - 0.25 04-Line 7.67c 10.02b 9.97b 10.62a - 0.25 12-452013 14-May 0.23a 0.26a 0.30a 0.43b - 0.26 12-452013 14-May 0.23a 0.26a 0.30a 0.43b - 0.05 14-May 0.24a 0.26a 0.30a 0.43b - 0.16 14-May 0.25a 0.74b 0.72b 0.75a 0.76a 0.17a 16-May 0.34c 0.82b 1.05b 1.147a 0.37a 0.37a 0.37a 17-17 2014 15-May 0.34c 0.82b 0.77a 0.75a 0.76a 0.73a 0.37a 127-17 2014 15-May 0.34b			0	75	150	225	300		
21 May 0.57c 0.00b 0.72b 1.02a * 0.21 04 June 24d4 9.86 3.3b 4.20a * 1.76 21 June 7.67c 10.02b 9.37b 10.80a * 1.55 12 June 7.67c 10.02b 9.37b 10.80a * 0.15 12 May 0.86c 0.74b 0.72b 0.80c * 0.15 14 May 0.86c 0.74b 0.72b 0.80c * 0.15 04 June 2.80d 2.00c 3.78b 4.23a * 1.11 09-June 4.83d 0.65c 5.21b 6.12a 1.24a 1.30a * 0.073 2.17 2014 16-May 0.34c 0.82b 0.72a 0.75a 0.76a * 0.073 1.1.June 3.52c 5.77b 6.53a 6.77a * 0.30a * 0.30a 1.1.June 3.35c 6.77b 6.53a 6.77a	ZJZ-17 2013	14-May	0.21b	0.28a	0.30a	0.32a		*	0.05
Ot.Juma 2.4dd 3.98c 3.33b 4.32a * 0.75 09-Juma 7.87c 10.02b 9.97b 10.88a * 1.58 12/483 2013 14-May 0.23a 0.28a 0.30a 0.43b * 0.05b 12/483 2013 14-May 0.68c 0.74b 0.72b 0.80c * 0.11b 04-June 2.68d 2.90b 3.76b 0.72b 0.80c * 0.11b 04-June 4.83d 5.65c 5.21b 6.12a * 0.27b 21-17 2014 15-May 0.34c 0.62b 0.72a 0.75a 0.76a 0.75a		21-May	0.57c	0.69b	0.72b	1.02a		*	0.21
09-June 4.34b 5.40a 5.95a * 1.25 124-83 2013 14-May 0.25a 0.025a 0.03a 0.43b * 0.55a 124-83 2013 14-May 0.03b 0.74b 0.72b 0.80c * 0.05b 21-May 0.06b 0.74b 0.72b 0.80c * 1.11 09-June 4.33d 6.50c 5.27b 6.12a * 2.17 24-June 8.12d 0.27c 10.50b 11.17e * 1.38 2.17.7 2014 15-May 0.55c 1.03b 1.20a 1.24a 1.30a 0.35a 11-June 3.52c 5.7b 6.55a 0.75a 7.75a 0.75a 0.75a 11-June 3.52c 5.7b 6.55a 0.75a 1.07a 0.25a 0.35a 1.01 16-June 4.12d 6.86e 8.47b 9.28ab 1.01 0.57a 0.05a 0.75a 0.75a 0.75a 0.75a 0.75a<		04-June	2.44d	3.98c	3.33b	4.32a		*	0.76
24-June 7.67c 10.02b 9.97b 10.88a * 1.68 Tb*63 2013 14.May 0.63c 0.74b 0.72b 0.80c 0.74b 0.10 04-June 2.63d 2.90c 3.78b 4.23c 1.11 09-June 4.83d 5.05c 5.21b 1.12a 2.274 24-June 8.12d 9.27c 10.50b 11.97a 1.78a 0.76a 0.77a 21.4yay 0.55c 1.03b 1.20a 1.24a 1.30a 0.37a 0.76a 0.77a 0.77a<		09-June	4.34b	5.40a	5.96a	5.55a		*	1.25
Thress 2013 14-May 0.23a 0.26a 0.30a 0.43b · 0.05 21-May 0.68c 0.74b 0.72b 0.80c · 0.11 04-June 2.680 2.900 3.76b 4.23a · 1.11 09-June 4.83d 6.05c 5.27b 6.12a · 2.77 24-June 8.12d 9.27c 10.50b 11.97a · 1.89 2.17-17 2014 15-May 0.35c 1.05b 1.20a 1.24a 1.30a · 0.313 2.17-17 2014 15-May 0.35c 1.57b 6.53a 6.78a 7.13a · 1.01 2.17-18 2014 15-May 0.35b 0.59a 1.01a 0.97a 1.50a 0.52a 0.53a 6.78a 7.13a 1.001 0.97a 0.56a 0.57a 0.56a 0.57a 0.56a 0.57a 0.55a 0.52a 0.53a 0.75a 0.56a 0.57a 0.52a 0.53a 0.53a <t< td=""><td></td><td>24-June</td><td>7.67c</td><td>10.02b</td><td>9.97b</td><td>10.86a</td><td></td><td>*</td><td>1.56</td></t<>		24-June	7.67c	10.02b	9.97b	10.86a		*	1.56
21-May 0.680 0.74b 0.72b 0.80c • 0.11 04-June 2.83d 2.90c 3.78b 4.23a • 1.11 05-June 4.83d 5.0cc 5.21b 6.12a • 2.74 24-June 8.12d 9.27c 10.50b 11.37a • 0.76a 21-May 0.34c 0.62b 0.72a 0.75a 0.76a • 0.07 21-May 0.34c 0.62b 1.04b 1.20a 1.24a 1.30a • 0.13 27-May 1.34c 2.22b 2.96a 3.11a 3.06a • 0.43 11-June 3.32c 6.17b 6.53a 6.78a 7.13a * 0.16 11-May 0.34b 0.59a 0.74a 0.72a 0.59a • 0.16 2-May 0.55b 0.57a 1.00a • 0.16 2-May 0.55b 0.59a 6.77a 2.82a • 0.66 <td>TLY-83 2013</td> <td>14-May</td> <td>0.23a</td> <td>0.26a</td> <td>0.30a</td> <td>0.43b</td> <td></td> <td>*</td> <td>0.05</td>	TLY-83 2013	14-May	0.23a	0.26a	0.30a	0.43b		*	0.05
04-June 2.63d 2.90c 3.78b 4.23a * 1.11 09-June 4.83d 5.08c 5.21b 6.12a * 2.74 24-June 8.12d 9.27c 10.5bb 11.57a * 0.07ea * 0.07ea 21-May 0.55c 1.08b 1.20a 1.24a 1.30a * 0.013 21-May 0.55c 1.08b 1.20a 1.24a 1.30a * 0.013 05-June 2.21c 3.7bb 4.43a 4.96a 4.96a * 0.13a 11-June 3.82c 5.17b 6.53a 6.78a 7.13a * 0.02a 114-83 2014 16.May 0.34b 0.59a 0.74a 0.72a 0.59a * 0.16a 27.May 1.21c 1.87b 2.68a 0.77a 1.00a * 0.60 11-June 3.30c 4.78b 4.08b 4.87a 5.28a * 0.60 11-June		21-May	0.68c	0.74b	0.72b	0.80c		*	0.10
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		04-June	2.63d	2.90c	3.78b	4.23a		*	1.11
24-June 8.2d 9.27c 10.50b 11.97a • 1.89 ZLZ-17 Z014 15-May 0.36c 0.62b 0.72a 0.76a 0.76a 0.07a 21-May 0.36c 1.03b 1.20a 1.24a 1.30a 0.07a 27-May 1.34a 2.2bb 2.2bb 2.2ba 1.34a 0.65a 0.07a 11-June 2.50c 5.17b 6.55a 6.78a 7.13a 1.01 16-June 4.14d 6.86c 8.47b 9.28ab 10.16a 0.72a 15-May 0.34b 0.59a 0.74a 0.72a 0.69a 0.74a 0.72a 15-May 0.55b 0.90a 1.01a 0.97a 1.00a 0.74a 0.72a 0.69a 0.76a 0.77a		09-June	4.83d	5.05c	5.21b	6.12a		*	2.74
Z/Z-17 2014 15-May 0.34c 0.62b 0.72a 0.79a 0.76a * 0.07a 21-May 0.55c 1.00b 1.20a 1.24a 1.30a * 0.13 27-May 1.34c 2.22b 2.96a 3.11a 3.05a * 0.35 03-June 2.21c 3.70b 4.43a 4.09a 4.966 * 0.43a 11-Juna 3.52c 5.17b 6.53a 6.76a 7.13a * 1.01 16-June 4.14d 6.86c 8.47b 9.28ab 10.16a * 0.922 TX+83 2014 15-May 0.34b 0.59a 0.74a 0.72a 0.00a * 0.16a 21-May 0.55b 0.90a 1.01a 0.97a 1.00a * 0.66a 11-June 3.33c 4.7bb 5.87a 6.86a 6.71a 0.83a 12014 2.17c 3.42b 4.06b 4.67a 5.29a * 0.60a		24-June	8.12d	9.27c	10.50b	11.97a		*	1.89
21-May 0.55c 1.03b 1.20a 1.24a 1.30a * 0.13 27-May 1.34c 2.22b 2.96a 3.11a 3.05a * 0.33 03-June 2.21c 3.70b 4.43a 4.69a 4.96a * 0.43 11-June 3.52c 5.17b 6.53a 6.78a 7.13a * 0.02 TLY-83 2014 16-May 0.55b 0.90a 1.01a 0.97a 1.00a * 0.15 27-May 1.21c 1.87b 2.56a 2.57a 2.82a * 0.60 03-June 2.17c 3.42b 4.08b 4.87a 5.29a * 0.60 11-June 3.30c 4.70b 5.57a 2.82a * 0.60 11-June 3.30c 4.70b 4.57a 5.29a * 0.60 11-June 3.30c 4.70b 5.37c 7.18b 8.18a 8.7a 0.71 122013 19-Aug	ZJZ-17 2014	15-May	0.34c	0.62b	0.72a	0.75a	0.76a	*	0.07
27-May 1.34c 2.22b 2.96a 3.11a 3.05a * 0.35 0.03-Une 11-June 2.21c 3.70b 4.43a 4.69a 4.96a * 0.43 0.92a 11-June 4.14d 6.86c 8.47b 9.28ab 10.16a * 0.92 TLY-83 2014 15-May 0.34b 0.59a 0.74a 0.72a 0.59a * 0.16 21-May 0.59b 0.90a 1.01a 0.97a 1.00a * 0.92a 03-June 2.17c 3.42b 4.08b 4.87a 5.29a * 0.60 11-June 3.33c 4.79b 5.97a 6.86a 6.71a * 0.81 16-June 3.40d 5.37c 7.18b 8.19a 8.78a * 0.60 17H2 2013 19-Aug. 1.64c 2.21b 2.81a 2.69a * 0.71 28-Aug. 2.77d 3.98c 4.42b 6.42a * 0.68 <t< td=""><td></td><td>21-May</td><td>0.55c</td><td>1.03b</td><td>1.20a</td><td>1.24a</td><td>1.30a</td><td>*</td><td>0.13</td></t<>		21-May	0.55c	1.03b	1.20a	1.24a	1.30a	*	0.13
03-June 2.21c 3.70b 4.43a 4.68a 4.96a * 0.43 11-June 3.82c 5.17b 6.53a 6.78a 7.13a * 1.01 16-June 4.14d 6.88c 8.47b 9.28b 10.16a * 0.92c TLY-83 2014 15-May 0.34b 0.59a 0.74a 0.72a 0.59a * 0.16a 21-May 0.56b 0.90a 1.01a 0.97a 1.00a * 0.66b 27-May 1.21c 1.87b 2.56a 2.57a 2.82a * 0.93a 03-June 2.37c 3.42b 4.08b 4.87a 5.59a * 0.66 16-June 3.30c 1.7c 3.42b 4.08b 4.87a * 0.87a 16-June 3.40d 5.37c 7.18b 8.19a 8.78a 0.66 16-June 1.64c 2.21b 2.81a 2.69a * 0.71 22-Aug 2.539d <td></td> <td>27-May</td> <td>1.34c</td> <td>2.22b</td> <td>2.96a</td> <td>3.11a</td> <td>3.05a</td> <td>*</td> <td>0.35</td>		27-May	1.34c	2.22b	2.96a	3.11a	3.05a	*	0.35
11-June 3.52c 5.17b 6.53a 6.78a 7.13a * 1.01 15-June 4.14d 6.86c 8.47b 9.28ab 10.16a 0.22 TLY-83 2014 15-May 0.36b 0.99a 0.74a 0.72a 0.59a 0.16 21-May 0.56b 0.90a 1.01a 0.97a 1.00a 0.616 27-May 1.21c 1.87b 2.66a 2.57a 2.82a 0.60 03-June 2.17c 3.42b 4.08b 4.87a 5.29a 0.60 11-June 3.33c 4.79b 5.97a 6.66a 6.71a 0.60 11-June 3.40d 5.37c 7.18b 8.19a 8.78a 0.60 11-June 3.40d 5.37c 7.18b 8.19a 7.78a 6.66a 6.71a 0.60 11-Z2013 19-Aug. 1.64c 2.21b 2.81a 2.69a 0.77 0.77 128-Aug. 2.77d 3.38c 4.42b <td< td=""><td></td><td>03-June</td><td>2.21c</td><td>3.70b</td><td>4.43a</td><td>4.69a</td><td>4.96a</td><td>*</td><td>0.43</td></td<>		03-June	2.21c	3.70b	4.43a	4.69a	4.96a	*	0.43
16-June 4.14d 6.86c 8.47b 9.28ab 10.16a • 0.92 TLY-83 2014 15-May 0.34b 0.59a 0.74a 0.72a 0.59a • 0.61a 21-May 0.55b 0.90a 1.01a 0.97a 1.00a • 0.15a 27-May 1.21c 1.87b 2.56a 2.57a 2.82a • 0.93a 03-June 2.17c 3.42b 4.08b 4.57a 5.29a • 0.60a 11-June 3.30c 4.79b 5.57a 6.66a 6.71a • 0.60a Lete rice Sampling dates Applied N Kg ha ⁻¹ F prob. LSD T/HZ 2013 19-Aug. 1.64c 2.21b 2.81a 2.69a • 0.71 28-Aug. 2.77d 3.38c 4.42b 6.42a • 0.68e 02-Sep. 4.33d 5.82c 8.94b 10.98a • 1.08e 02-Sep. 8.49d 9.		11-June	3.52c	5.17b	6.53a	6.78a	7.13a	*	1.01
TLY-83 2014 15-May 0.34b 0.59a 0.74a 0.72a 0.59a * 0.16 21-May 0.55b 0.90a 1.01a 0.97a 1.00a * 0.15 27-May 1.21c 1.87b 2.56a 2.57a 2.82a * 0.39 03-June 2.17c 3.42b 4.08b 4.87a 5.29a * 0.60 11-June 3.33c 4.79b 5.97a 6.68a 6.71a * 0.60 16-June 3.40d 5.37c 7.18b 8.19a 8.78a * 0.60 TMEZ 2013 19-Aug. 1.64c 2.21b 2.81a 2.69a * 0.71 28-Aug. 2.77d 3.38c 4.42b 6.42a * 0.68 02-Sep. 5.39d 7.64c 8.94b 10.98a * 1.08 02-Sep. 5.39d 7.64c 8.94b 10.98a * 0.70 24-Sep. 9.93c		16-June	4.14d	6.86c	8.47b	9.28ab	10.16a	*	0.92
21-May 0.55b 0.90a 1.01a 0.97a 1.00a * 0.15 27-May 1.21c 1.37b 2.56a 2.57a 2.82a * 0.30 03-June 2.17c 3.42b 4.08b 4.87a 5.29a * 0.60 11-June 3.30d 5.37c 7.18b 8.19a 8.78a * 0.60 Late rice Sampling dates Applied N Kg ha ⁻¹ F prob. LSD Control 19-Aug. 1.64c 2.21b 2.61a 2.69a * 0.60 7VHZ 2013 19-Aug. 2.77d 3.38c 4.42b 6.42a * 0.68 02-Sep. 4.23d 5.82c 6.30b 8.42a * 0.63 09-Sep. 5.39d 7.64c 8.94b 10.98a * 1.08 16-Sep. 8.49d 9.32c 11.45b 13.45a * 0.72 24-Sep. 9.93c 11.96b 13.59a 14.84a * <td>TLY-83 2014</td> <td>15-May</td> <td>0.34b</td> <td>0.59a</td> <td>0.74a</td> <td>0.72a</td> <td>0.59a</td> <td>*</td> <td>0.16</td>	TLY-83 2014	15-May	0.34b	0.59a	0.74a	0.72a	0.59a	*	0.16
27-May 1.21c 1.87b 2.56a 2.57a 2.82a . 0.39 03-June 2.17c 3.42b 4.08b 4.87a 5.29a . 0.60 11-June 3.33c 4.79b 5.97a 6.86a 6.71a . 0.80 Late rice Sempling dates Applied N Kg ha ⁻¹ F prob. LSD 7VHZ 2013 19-Aug. 1.64c 2.21b 2.81a 2.69a . 0.63 28-Aug. 2.77d 3.38c 4.42b 6.42a . 0.63 09-Sep. 4.23d 5.82c 6.30b 8.42a . 0.63 09-Sep. 5.39d 7.64c 8.94b 10.98a . 1.08 16-Sep. 8.49d 9.32c 11.45b 13.45a . 0.77 28-Aug. 2.18d 3.13c 3.46b 4.53a . 0.66a 02-Sep. 3.41c 4.71b 6.23a 6.69a . 0.77		21-May	0.55b	0.90a	1.01a	0.97a	1.00a	*	0.15
03-June 2.17c 3.42b 4.08b 4.87a 5.29a . 0.80 11-June 3.33c 4.79b 5.97a 6.86a 6.71a . 0.80 Late rice Sampling dates Applied N Kg ha ⁻¹ F prob. LSD 7.18b 8.19a 8.78a . 0.80 TYHZ 2013 19-Aug. 1.64c 2.21b 2.81a 2.69a . 0.71 28-Aug. 2.77d 3.38c 4.42b 6.42a . 0.63 02-Sep. 4.23d 5.82c 6.30b 8.42a . 0.63 02-Sep. 8.39d 7.64c 8.94b 10.98a . 1.08 16-Sep. 8.49d 3.32c 11.48b 13.45a . 1.88 24-Sep. 9.93c 11.96b 13.59a 14.84a . 1.78 Y+9113 2013 19-Aug. 1.13d 1.79c 1.82b 2.14a . 0.72 24-Sep. 3.41c </td <td></td> <td>27-May</td> <td>1.21c</td> <td>1.87b</td> <td>2.56a</td> <td>2.57a</td> <td>2.82a</td> <td>*</td> <td>0.39</td>		27-May	1.21c	1.87b	2.56a	2.57a	2.82a	*	0.39
11-June 3.33c 4.79b 5.97a 6.86a 6.71a 0.81 16-June 3.40d 5.37c 7.18b 8.19a 8.78a • 0.60 Late rice Sampling dates Applied N Kg ha ⁻¹ F prob. LSD 7H-Z 2013 19-Aug. 1.64c 2.21b 2.81a 2.69a • 0.71 28-Aug. 2.77d 3.38c 4.42b 6.42a • 0.63 02-Sep. 4.23d 5.82c 6.30b 8.42a • 0.63 09-Sep. 5.39d 7.64c 8.94b 10.98a • 1.08 16-Sep. 8.49d 9.32c 11.46b 13.45a • 0.70 24-Sep. 9.93c 11.96b 13.59a 14.84a • 0.70 28-Aug. 2.18d 3.13c 3.45b 4.53a • 0.72 02-Sep. 3.41c 4.71b 6.23a 6.69a • 0.72 02-Sep. 3.41c </td <td></td> <td>03-June</td> <td>2.17c</td> <td>3.42b</td> <td>4.08b</td> <td>4.87a</td> <td>5.29a</td> <td>*</td> <td>0.60</td>		03-June	2.17c	3.42b	4.08b	4.87a	5.29a	*	0.60
In case 3.40d 5.37c 7.18b 8.19a 8.78a * 0.66 Late rice Sampling dates Applied N Kg ha ⁻¹ F prob. LSD TYHZ 2013 19-Aug. 1.64c 2.21b 2.81a 2.69a * 0.71 28-Aug. 2.77d 3.38c 4.42b 6.42a * 0.63 09-Sep. 4.23d 5.82c 6.30b 8.42a * 0.63 09-Sep. 5.39d 7.64c 8.94b 10.98a * 1.68 16-Sep. 8.49d 9.32c 11.45b 13.45a * 0.72 YY-9113 2013 19-Aug. 1.13d 1.79c 1.82b 2.14a * 0.70 28-Aug. 2.18d 3.13c 3.45b 4.53a * 0.72 02-Sep. 3.41c 4.71b 6.28a 6.69a * 0.99 09-Sap. 4.81c 7.10b 7.42b 8.20a * 1.16 16-Sep. </td <td></td> <td>11-June</td> <td>3,330</td> <td>4 79b</td> <td>5.97a</td> <td>6.86a</td> <td>6.71a</td> <td>*</td> <td>0.81</td>		11-June	3,330	4 79b	5.97a	6.86a	6.71a	*	0.81
Late rice Sampling dates Applied N Kg he ⁻¹ F prob. LSD TYHZ 2013 19-Aug. 1.64c 2.21b 2.81a 2.69a * 0.71 28-Aug. 2.77d 3.38c 4.42b 6.42a * 0.68a 02-Sep. 4.23d 5.82c 6.30b 8.42a * 0.63a 09-Sep. 5.39d 7.64c 8.94b 10.98a * 1.68a 16-Sep. 8.49d 9.32c 11.45b 13.45a * 1.78a 24-Sep. 9.93c 11.96b 13.59a 14.84a * 1.78a YY-9113 2013 19-Aug. 1.13d 1.79c 1.82b 2.14a * 0.70a Q2-Sep. 3.41c 4.71b 6.23a 6.69a * 0.99a 09-Sep. 4.81c 7.10b 7.42b 8.20a * 1.13a 177 24-Sep. 6.01d 9.44c 11.51b 12.40a * 1.73a <		16-June	3.40d	5.37c	7.18b	8.19a	8.78a	*	0.60
Image: constraint of the sep. Image: constraint of the sep. <thimage: constraint="" of="" sep.<="" th="" the=""> <thimag< td=""><td>Late rice</td><td>Sampling dates</td><td></td><td colspan="4">Applied N Kg ha⁻¹</td><td>F prob.</td><td>LSD</td></thimag<></thimage:>	Late rice	Sampling dates		Applied N Kg ha ⁻¹				F prob.	LSD
TYHZ 2013 19-Aug. 1.64c 2.21b 2.81a 2.69a • 0.71 28-Aug. 2.77d 3.38c 4.42b 6.42a • 0.68 02-Sep. 4.23d 5.82c 6.30b 8.42a • 0.63 09-Sep. 5.39d 7.64c 8.94b 10.98a • 1.08 16-Sep. 8.49d 9.32c 11.45b 13.45a • 1.68 24-Sep. 9.93c 11.96b 13.59a 14.84a • 0.70 28-Aug. 2.18d 3.13c 3.45b 4.53a • 0.70 28-Aug. 2.18d 3.13c 3.45b 4.53a • 0.72 02-Sep. 3.41c 4.71b 6.23a 6.69a • 0.99 09-Sep. 4.81c 7.10b 7.42b 8.20a • 1.16 16-Sep. 6.01d 9.44c 11.51b 12.40a • 1.73 24-Sep. 7.46d 11.13c 12.52b 13.90a • 1.03 TYHZ 2014 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td><td>90</td><td>180</td><td>270</td><td>360</td><td></td><td></td></t<>			0	90	180	270	360		
28-Aug. 2.77d 3.38c 4.42b 6.42a * 0.68 02-Sep. 4.23d 5.82c 6.30b 8.42a * 0.63 09-Sep. 5.39d 7.64c 8.94b 10.98a * 1.08 16-Sep. 8.49d 9.32c 11.45b 13.45a * 1.68 24-Sep. 9.93c 11.96b 13.59a 14.84a * 0.70 28-Aug. 2.18d 3.13c 3.45b 4.53a * 0.72 28-Aug. 2.18d 3.13c 3.45b 4.53a * 0.72 02-Sep. 3.41c 4.71b 6.23a 6.69a * 0.99 09-Sep. 4.81c 7.10b 7.42b 8.20a * 1.16 16-Sep. 6.01d 9.44c 11.51b 12.40a * 1.73 24-Sep. 7.46d 11.13c 12.52b 13.90a * 0.16 7HZ 2014 12-Aug. 0.83c	TYHZ 2013	19-Aug.	1.64c	2.21b	2.81a	2.69a		*	0.71
02-Sep. 4.23d 5.82c 6.30b 8.42a * 0.63 09-Sep. 5.39d 7.64c 8.94b 10.98a * 1.08 16-Sep. 8.49d 9.32c 11.45b 13.45a * 1.68 24-Sep. 9.93c 11.96b 13.59a 14.84a * 1.78 YY-9113 2013 19-Aug. 1.13d 1.79c 1.82b 2.14a * 0.70 28-Aug. 2.18d 3.13c 3.45b 4.53a * 0.72 02-Sep. 3.41c 4.71b 6.23a 6.69a * 0.99 09-Sep. 4.81c 7.10b 7.42b 8.20a * 1.16 16-Sep. 6.01d 9.44c 11.51b 12.40a * 1.03 THZ 2014 12-Aug. 0.83c 0.98c 1.20b 1.32ab 1.47a 0.18 20-Aug. 1.47b 2.03a 2.21a 2.19a 2.19a 0.25 27-		28-Aug.	2.77d	3.38c	4.42b	6.42a		*	0.68
09-Sep. 5.39d 7.64c 8.94b 10.98a • 1.08 16-Sep. 8.49d 9.32c 11.45b 13.45a • 1.68 24-Sep. 9.93c 11.96b 13.59a 14.84a • 1.78 Y-9113 2013 19-Aug. 1.13d 1.79c 1.82b 2.14a • 0.70 28-Aug. 2.18d 3.13c 3.45b 4.53a • 0.72 02-Sep. 3.41c 4.71b 6.23a 6.69a • 0.99 09-Sep. 4.81c 7.10b 7.42b 8.20a • 1.16 16-Sep. 6.01d 9.44c 11.51b 12.40a • 1.73 24-Sep. 7.46d 11.13c 12.52b 13.90a • 0.18 20-Aug. 1.47b 2.03a 2.21a 2.19a 2.19a 0.25 27-Aug. 2.99b 4.17a 4.31a 4.57a 4.56a 0.666 04-Sep. 5.		02-Sep.	4.23d	5.82c	6.30b	8.42a		*	0.63
16-Sep. 8.49d 9.32c 11.45b 13.45a * 1.68 24-Sep. 9.93c 11.96b 13.59a 14.84a * 1.78 YY-9113 2013 19-Aug. 1.13d 1.79c 1.82b 2.14a * 0.70 28-Aug. 2.18d 3.13c 3.45b 4.53a * 0.72 02-Sep. 3.41c 4.71b 6.23a 6.69a * 0.99 09-Sep. 4.81c 7.10b 7.42b 8.20a * 1.16 16-Sep. 6.01d 9.44c 11.51b 12.40a * 1.73 24-Sep. 7.46d 11.13c 12.52b 13.90a * 0.18 24-Sep. 7.46d 11.13c 12.52b 1.47a 0.18 0.25 27-Aug. 0.83c 0.98c 1.20b 1.32ab 1.47a 0.18 20-Aug. 1.47b 2.03a 2.21a 2.19a 2.025 27-Aug. 0.25 <		09-Sep.	5.39d	7.64c	8.94b	10.98a		*	1.08
24-Sep. 9.93c 11.96b 13.59a 14.84a * 1.78 YY-9113 2013 19-Aug. 1.13d 1.79c 1.82b 2.14a * 0.70 28-Aug. 2.18d 3.13c 3.45b 4.53a * 0.72 02-Sep. 3.41c 4.71b 6.23a 6.69a * 0.99 09-Sep. 4.81c 7.10b 7.42b 8.20a * 1.16 16-Sep. 6.01d 9.44c 11.51b 12.40a * 1.73 24-Sep. 7.46d 11.13c 12.52b 13.90a * 1.03 TYHZ 2014 12-Aug. 0.83c 0.98c 1.20b 1.32ab 1.47a * 0.18 20-Aug. 1.47b 2.03a 2.21a 2.19a 2.19a 0.25 27-Aug. 2.99b 4.17a 4.31a 4.57a 4.56a 0.666 04-Sep. 5.74d 7.31c 8.62b 9.28a 9.57a 1.27 <		16-Sep.	8.49d	9.32c	11.45b	13.45a		*	1.68
YY-9113 2013 19-Aug. 1.13d 1.79c 1.82b 2.14a * 0.70 28-Aug. 2.18d 3.13c 3.45b 4.53a * 0.72 02-Sep. 3.41c 4.71b 6.23a 6.69a * 0.99 09-Sep. 4.81c 7.10b 7.42b 8.20a * 1.16 16-Sep. 6.01d 9.44c 11.51b 12.40a * 1.73 24-Sep. 7.46d 11.13c 12.52b 13.90a * 1.03 TYHZ 2014 12-Aug. 0.83c 0.98c 1.20b 1.32ab 1.47a * 0.18 20-Aug. 1.47b 2.03a 2.21a 2.19a 2.19a 0.25 27-Aug. 2.99b 4.17a 4.31a 4.57a 4.56a 0.66 04-Sep. 5.74d 7.31c 8.62b 9.28a 9.57a * 1.27 11-Sep. 7.44d 9.29c 9.90b 10.73a 11.01a 0.44 16-Sep. 7.81c 10.21b 10.93b 11.85a <		24-Sep.	9.93c	11.96b	13.59a	14.84a		*	1.78
28-Aug. 2.18d 3.13c 3.45b 4.53a * 0.72 02-Sep. 3.41c 4.71b 6.23a 6.69a * 0.99 09-Sep. 4.81c 7.10b 7.42b 8.20a * 1.16 16-Sep. 6.01d 9.44c 11.51b 12.40a * 1.73 24-Sep. 7.46d 11.13c 12.52b 13.90a * 1.03 TYHZ 2014 12-Aug. 0.83c 0.98c 1.20b 1.32ab 1.47a * 0.18 20-Aug. 1.47b 2.03a 2.21a 2.19a 2.19a 0.25 0.25 27-Aug. 2.99b 4.17a 4.31a 4.57a 4.56a * 0.66 04-Sep. 5.74d 7.31c 8.62b 9.28a 9.57a * 1.27 11-Sep. 7.44d 9.29c 9.90b 10.73a 11.01a 0.44 16-Sep. 7.81c 10.21b 10.93b 11.85a 12.30a * 1.26 23-Sep. 8.78c 11.29b 12.62b<	YY-9113 2013	19-Aug.	1.13d	1.79c	1.82b	2.14a		*	0.70
02-Sep. 3.41c 4.71b 6.23a 6.69a * 0.99 09-Sep. 4.81c 7.10b 7.42b 8.20a * 1.16 16-Sep. 6.01d 9.44c 11.51b 12.40a * 1.73 24-Sep. 7.46d 11.13c 12.52b 13.90a * 1.03 TYHZ 2014 12-Aug. 0.83c 0.98c 1.20b 1.32ab 1.47a * 0.18 20-Aug. 1.47b 2.03a 2.21a 2.19a 2.19a 0.25 27-Aug. 2.99b 4.17a 4.31a 4.57a 4.56a * 0.66 04-Sep. 5.74d 7.31c 8.62b 9.28a 9.57a * 1.27 11-Sep. 7.44d 9.29c 9.90b 10.73a 11.01a * 0.44 16-Sep. 7.81c 10.21b 10.93b 11.85a 12.30a * 1.26 23-Sep. 8.78c 11.29b 12.62b <td< td=""><td></td><td>28-Aug.</td><td>2.18d</td><td>3.13c</td><td>3.45b</td><td>4.53a</td><td></td><td>*</td><td>0.72</td></td<>		28-Aug.	2.18d	3.13c	3.45b	4.53a		*	0.72
09-Sep. 4.81c 7.10b 7.42b 8.20a * 1.16 16-Sep. 6.01d 9.44c 11.51b 12.40a * 1.73 24-Sep. 7.46d 11.13c 12.52b 13.90a * 1.03 TYHZ 2014 12-Aug. 0.83c 0.98c 1.20b 1.32ab 1.47a * 0.18 20-Aug. 1.47b 2.03a 2.21a 2.19a 2.19a * 0.25 27-Aug. 2.99b 4.17a 4.31a 4.57a 4.56a * 0.66 04-Sep. 5.74d 7.31c 8.62b 9.28a 9.57a * 1.27 11-Sep. 7.44d 9.29c 9.90b 10.73a 11.01a * 0.44 16-Sep. 7.81c 10.21b 10.93b 11.85a 12.30a * 1.26 23-Sep. 8.78c 11.29b 12.62b 14.47a 14.50a * 1.28		02-Sep.	3.41c	4.71b	6.23a	6.69a		*	0.99
16-Sep. 6.01d 9.44c 11.51b 12.40a * 1.73 24-Sep. 7.46d 11.13c 12.52b 13.90a * 1.03 TYHZ 2014 12-Aug. 0.83c 0.98c 1.20b 1.32ab 1.47a * 0.18 20-Aug. 1.47b 2.03a 2.21a 2.19a 2.19a * 0.25 27-Aug. 2.99b 4.17a 4.31a 4.57a 4.56a * 0.66 04-Sep. 5.74d 7.31c 8.62b 9.28a 9.57a * 1.27 11-Sep. 7.44d 9.29c 9.90b 10.73a 11.01a * 0.44 16-Sep. 7.81c 10.21b 10.93b 11.85a 12.30a * 1.26 23-Sep. 8.78c 11.29b 12.62b 14.47a 14.50a * 1.28		09-Sep.	4.81c	7.10b	7.42b	8.20a		*	1.16
24-Sep.7.46d11.13c12.52b13.90a*1.03TYHZ 201412-Aug.0.83c0.98c1.20b1.32ab1.47a*0.1820-Aug.1.47b2.03a2.21a2.19a2.19a*0.2527-Aug.2.99b4.17a4.31a4.57a4.56a*0.6604-Sep.5.74d7.31c8.62b9.28a9.57a*1.2711-Sep.7.44d9.29c9.90b10.73a11.01a*0.4416-Sep.7.81c10.21b10.93b11.85a12.30a*1.2623-Sep.8.78c11.29b12.62b14.47a14.50a*1.28		16-Sep.	6.01d	9.44c	11.51b	12.40a		*	1.73
TYHZ 2014 12-Aug. 0.83c 0.98c 1.20b 1.32ab 1.47a * 0.18 20-Aug. 1.47b 2.03a 2.21a 2.19a 2.19a * 0.25 27-Aug. 2.99b 4.17a 4.31a 4.57a 4.56a * 0.66 04-Sep. 5.74d 7.31c 8.62b 9.28a 9.57a * 1.27 11-Sep. 7.44d 9.29c 9.90b 10.73a 11.01a * 0.44 16-Sep. 7.81c 10.21b 10.93b 11.85a 12.30a * 1.26 23-Sep. 8.78c 11.29b 12.62b 14.47a 14.50a * 1.28		24-Sep.	7.46d	11.13c	12.52b	13.90a		*	1.03
20-Aug.1.47b2.03a2.21a2.19a2.19a*0.2527-Aug.2.99b4.17a4.31a4.57a4.56a*0.6604-Sep.5.74d7.31c8.62b9.28a9.57a*1.2711-Sep.7.44d9.29c9.90b10.73a11.01a*0.4416-Sep.7.81c10.21b10.93b11.85a12.30a*1.2623-Sep.8.78c11.29b12.62b14.47a14.50a*1.28	TYHZ 2014	12-Aug.	0.83c	0.98c	1.20b	1.32 <i>a</i> b	1.47a	*	0.18
27-Aug.2.99b4.17a4.31a4.57a4.56a*0.6604-Sep.5.74d7.31c8.62b9.28a9.57a*1.2711-Sep.7.44d9.29c9.90b10.73a11.01a*0.4416-Sep.7.81c10.21b10.93b11.85a12.30a*1.2623-Sep.8.78c11.29b12.62b14.47a14.50a*1.28		20-Aug.	1.47b	2.03a	2.21a	2.19a	2.19a	*	0.25
04-Sep. 5.74d 7.31c 8.62b 9.28a 9.57a * 1.27 11-Sep. 7.44d 9.29c 9.90b 10.73a 11.01a * 0.44 16-Sep. 7.81c 10.21b 10.93b 11.85a 12.30a * 1.26 23-Sep. 8.78c 11.29b 12.62b 14.47a 14.50a * 1.28		27-Aug.	2.99b	4.17a	4.31a	4.57a	4.56a	*	0.66
11-Sep.7.44d9.29c9.90b10.73a11.01a*0.4416-Sep.7.81c10.21b10.93b11.85a12.30a*1.2623-Sep.8.78c11.29b12.62b14.47a14.50a*1.28		04-Sep.	5.74d	7.31c	8.62b	9.28a	9.57a	*	1.27
16-Sep. 7.81c 10.21b 10.93b 11.85a 12.30a * 1.26 23-Sep. 8.78c 11.29b 12.62b 14.47a 14.50a * 1.28		11-Sep.	7.44d	9.29c	9.90b	10.73a	11.01a	*	0.44
23-Sep. 8.78c 11.29b 12.62b 14.47a 14.50a * 1.28		16-Sep.	7.81c	10.21b	10.93b	11.85a	12.30a	*	1.26
		23-Sep.	8.78c	11.29b	12.62b	14.47a	14.50a	*	1.28

TABLE 2 | Shoot biomass on different sampling dates under different N treatments.

(Continued)

TABLE 2 | Continued

Late rice	Sampling dates		Applied N Kg ha ⁻¹					LSD
		0	90	180	270	360		
YY-9113 2014	12-Aug.	1.02c	1.20b	1.28a	1.32a	1.31a	*	0.20
	20-Aug.	1.45d	1.84c	2.19b	2.51 <i>a</i> b	3.01a	*	0.53
	27-Aug.	2.73c	3.72b	3.87 <i>a</i> b	4.20ab	4.37a	*	0.48
	04-Sep.	4.78c	6.50b	7.68a	7.90a	8.29a	*	0.79
	11-Sep.	7.60c	8.99b	10.08a	10.59a	11.92a	*	2.19
	16-Sep.	8.69d	9.70c	10.96b	12.52a	12.22a	*	1.97
	23-Sep.	10.47c	12.10b	12.72b	14.20a	13.70a	*	1.72
	20 0cp.	10.470	12.100	12.120	14.200	10.704		1.7

*F statistic significant at the 0.10 probability level.

LSD, least significant difference.

Different letter exhibit the significance differences between the treatments at the 0.10 probability level.

 $N_{cEarly} = 3.37 W^{-0.44}$ (Early rice; $W \ge 0.8 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$, $R^2 = 0.8259$) (5)

$$N_{cLate} = 3.69 W^{-0.34}$$
 (Late rice; $W \ge 1.37 \text{ t ha}^{-1}, R^2 = 0.7834$)
(6)

Where N_{cEarly} and N_{cLate} are the N_c concentration in shoot biomass expressed in % DM for early and late rice, respectively, *W* is the shoot biomass (t ha⁻¹). Parameter *a* for early rice (3.37) was lower than late rice (3.69). In contrast, parameter *b* of early rice (0.44) was higher than that of late rice (0.34).

The 95% confidence interval of the plant N concentration was 2.92–4.74 and 2.92–3.78% DM for a shoot biomass of 0.8 and 1.37 t ha⁻¹, for early and late rice, respectively. For shoot biomass 9.72 (early rice) and 14.79 (late rice) t ha⁻¹, the 95% confidence interval of the N_c concentration in aerial tissues was 1.06–1.32 and 1.37–1.64% DM for early and late rice, respectively (**Figure 3**). Eight data points ranging from 0.51 to 1.2 t ha⁻¹ and 12 data points ranging from 0.26 to 0.80 t ha⁻¹ for early and late rice were used to determine the constant N concentration for early and late rice respectively. The constant N_c concentrations, 3.31%DM (1.04 t ha⁻¹) and 3.15%DM (1.6 t ha⁻¹), respectively for early and late rice were calculated as the mean value between the minimum N concentration of N limiting points.

Validation of the N_c Dilution Curve

The N_c dilution curves of early and late rice were validated with a dataset obtained from independent experiments (Exp. 3). The data points under different N treatments from the independent experiments were categorized into N limiting or non-N-limiting growth conditions based on significant ($P \leq 0.1$) differences in shoot biomass for each sampling date, site, and year. Treatments were considered N-limiting when shoot biomass significantly increased with increasing N supply, while non-N-limiting treatments had no significant increase in biomass with increasing N supply (LSD < 0.1). Data points acquired from N limiting treatments were positioned approximately below the critical curves while those of non-N-limiting treatments were positioned close to or above the critical curves (**Figure 4**). The N_c dilution curves of early and late rice differentiate well between the N limiting and non-N-limiting conditions.

Due to an obvious variation in plant N concentration for a given shoot biomass, N maximum (N_{max}) and minimum (N_{min}) curves were determined for both rice types.

Early rice : $N_{max} = 4.01 W^{-0.43}$ (7)

 $N_{\rm min} = 1.72 W^{-0.48} \tag{8}$

Late rice : $N_{max} = 4.01 W^{-0.31}$ (9)

 $N_{\rm min} = 2.29 W^{-0.52} \tag{10}$

The data points from the highest N treatments represent the maximum N dilution curve (N_{max}), and the zero N application represents the minimum N dilution curve (N_{min}) (**Figure 4**).

Plant N concentration varies between 1.96% below and 1.19% above the N_c dilution curve for early rice, 1.61% below and 1.09% above the N_c dilution curve for late rice.

Estimation of Nitrogen Nutrition Index

The results showed significant differences across the N treatments, growing seasons, crop growth stages, and early and late rice. The NNI values ranged from 0.49 to 1.51 and 0.45 to 1.53 for early and late rice, respectively (**Figure 5**). The NNI values of early and late rice varieties increased under different N_c treatments till 35 days after transplanting and then gradually decreased. The NNI values for early rice under N0 were generally below 1. For N2 to N4 values were generally above 1 and fluctuated near 1 for N1. In contrast, the NNI values for late rice showed differences between the two experiments. NNIs of N3 were closed to 1 in Exp. 1, but in Exp. 2, N2 was the optimal treatment.

Establishment and Validation of the Relationship between Integrated NNI and RY

The RY was expressed as a function of NNI_{int} at different growth periods tillering (T), tillering to jointing (T-J), tillering to booting (T-B), and tillering to harvest (T-H) of early and late rice, and the relationships between NNI_{int} and RY of different periods indicated a close linkage between two parameters for early and late rice. The results showed that NNI_{int} and RY had a significant linear relationship and RY increased with increasing NNI_{int}



(Figure 6). \mathbb{R}^2 of early and late rice models were between 0.62–0.73 and 0.77–0.89. Slight differences were observed between the slopes and intercepts of the linear functions at different stages and between the early and late rice models.

The robust relationships at different crop growth periods accurately explained the variation in RY both under N-limiting and non-N-limiting growth conditions. Therefore, the present relationships between RY and NNI_{int} are of practical meaning for in-season estimation of grain yield in double cropping rice varieties.

The results in **Table 4** show that models at each growth period offer a good prediction of yield in the independent experiments. The R^2 , RRMSE and accuracy ranged from 0.8451 to 0.9004, 6.896 to 8.811, and 0.8174 to 1.0163%, respectively, for early



TABLE 3 | Validation NNI_{int} and RY models at different stages for early and late rice.

Sig.	ZJZ-17 TLY-83	TYHZ YY-9113	Early rice Late rice
Slope	0.551	0.720	0.000
Intercept	0.974	0.843	0.000

rice. For late rice R², RRMSE and accuracy ranged from 0.818 to 0.9332, 4.848 to 9.421%, and 1.0131 to 1.251, respectively.

DISCUSSION

Differences of N Concentration in Aerial Biomass for Early Rice and Late Rice

In this study, N concentration decreased with increasing shoot biomass. Results of N concentration and shoot biomass were in consensus with previous reports (Lemaire and Gastal, 1997; Ziadi et al., 2010; Ata-Ul-Karim et al., 2013). This phenomenon of decreasing N is mainly attributed to plant aging and phenology (Lemaire et al., 2007). Sheehy et al. (1998) suggested that the internal cycling of N from old to young developing tissues and an aging root system may play an important role in the rate of N accumulation and N concentration. However, in this study a sudden change in plant N concentration was observed at the jointing stage in 2014 for late rice (Figure 1). This is likely because sampling was conducted 1 week after N addition resulting in increases in N concentration. This is similar to the report of Justes et al. (1994) that N supplying resulted in an increase in the N concentration. The constant N concentration for both early and late rice was likely the result of shoot biomass increasing and the lack of competition for light among isolated plants in initial growth stage as reported by Lemaire and Gastal (1997).

There was a larger variability of N concentration for early rice than that of late rice. However, the lower shoot biomass in early rice was attributed to lower accumulated temperatures and less sunshine in the early rice season (Huang et al., 2013). The difference in N concentration in biomass can be explained by the specific value for the two rice types. The proportionality coefficient k linking N uptake and growth rate using mathematical method (Justes et al., 1994) as follows:

$$\frac{dN_a}{dt} = k\left(\frac{dW}{dt}\right) \tag{11}$$

where N_a represents the amount of N uptake in the shoot expressed in kg ha⁻¹ and W is the shoot biomass expressed in t ha⁻¹. The k values for early rice (k_{early}) and late rice (k_{late}) calculated according to the Equations (4) and (5) were, $k_{early} = 18.9 \text{ W}^{-0.44}$ and $k_{late} = 24.4 \text{ W}^{-0.34}$, respectively. The results showed that $k_{early} < k_{late}$, validated from 0.8 to 10.65 t ha⁻¹ for early rice and from 1.37 to 14.48 t ha⁻¹ for late rice. Coefficient k depends on the shoot biomass accumulation and the N absorption rate is directly related to the growth rate and biomass (Justes et al., 1994), $k_{early} < k_{late}$ was validated in whole vegetable growth stage, thus the biomass accumulation of early rice is lower than late rice, and we can infer that N absorption rate of early rice is lower than late rice. Thus, the results from the coefficient k imply that early rice has a lower N accumulation capacity in shoots than late rice for the same aerial biomass.

The variability of the maximum and minimum N dilution curves from the critical curve was 0.77 and 0.52 for early and late rice, respectively. These values are very similar to those for Japonica rice 0.77 (1.8% below and 1.03% above) (Ata-Ul-Karim et al., 2013). Similar variability in plant N concentration for Indica and Japonica rice show that both rice ecotypes have similar capacity for N absorption from minimum to maximum N concentrations in their shoots. The N_{max} curve represents the maximum capacity of N accumulation in the shoot, while the N_{min} curve represents the lower limit at which metabolism would cease to function (Justes et al., 1994).



FIGURE 3 | Unified N_c dilution curves of early rice (N_c = $3.37 \text{ W}^{-0.44} R^2 = 0.8259$) and late rice (N_c = $3.69 \text{ W}^{-0.34} R^2 = 0.7834$) in experiments conducted during 2013 and 2014. The solid line denotes the power function regression and the dotted lines represent the confidence bands (p = 0.95). The solid lines parallel to x-axis represent the constant N concentration during early growth stage, the intersection between power function curve and linear line are (1.04 t ha⁻¹, 3.31%DM) and (1.6 t ha⁻¹, 3.15%DM) for early and late rice respectively.



FIGURE 4 | Validation of the N_c dilution curves for early rice and late rice. Data points (\Box) represent non-N-limiting condition, (Δ) represent N limiting condition. The solid line indicates the N_c curves (N_c = 3.37 W^{-0.44}; N_c = 3.69 W^{-0.34}) for early and late rice, respectively, while the dashed lines represent the minimum and maximum N curves, (early rice: N_{min} = 1.72 W^{-0.48}, N_{max} = 4.01 W^{-0.43}; late rice: N_{min} = 2.29 W^{-0.52}, N_{max} = 4.01 W^{-0.31}). The (\circ) points represent N concentration from highest N rate and the (\bullet) points are from zero N rate obtained from Experiments 2–3.

Comparison of Critical Nitrogen Dilution Curves

Critical N dilution curves have been previously developed for Japonica and Indica rice ecotypes under different climatic conditions (Sheehy et al., 1998; Ata-Ul-Karim et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2015). The existing N_c dilution curves were compared with the newly developed curves of early and late rice in the present study (**Figure 5**). The parameter information of these curves is presented in **Table 5**.

The coefficients of N_c dilution curves for Indica rice showed significant differences between the three Indica rice types. This indicates that Indica rice has different rates of N uptake per unit biomass accumulated, which may be due to temperature difference, day length and crop growth rate. In the early rice growing season (transplanting to flowering), the average temperature was about 6°C lower than that in the late rice

growing season. Previous studies have shown that temperature has a significant effect on the absorption of N in rice. Low temperatures result in reduced N uptake and relatively higher temperatures lead to higher N uptake (Shimono et al., 2012), which may explain the lower parameter a and higher parameter bfor early rice. The peculiarity of IR72 is that the N concentration at early growth stage is higher than that of late rice but at late growth period the N concentration is close to late rice, then faster N declined with increasing biomass and leading to a higher parameter b.

For Japonica rice dilution curves, parameter b is approximately 0.3 and obviously lower than that of early rice and IR72. Parameter *a* from a previous study conducted by Huang et al. (2015) was 2.77, nearly 35% less N accumulation at the early growth stage than Indica rice and Japonica rice of Ata-Ul-Karim et al. (2013) (**Figure 7**). This may due to the fact that



typical Indica varieties and Japonica varieties have significant differences in several factors such as photosynthesis (Ji and Jiao, 2001), metabolism (Hu et al., 2014). Previous studies have shown that plant N content in Indica rice is significantly higher than Japonica rice at the maximum tillering stage (Yoshida et al., 2006). However, early rice N content is particular lower than Japonica rice of Ata-Ul-Karim et al. (2013) due to lower temperatures and solar radiation. And Japonica rice in high latitudes has a slower growth rate than Indica rice owing to genotype and environmental conditions resulting in a slower decrease of N uptake per unit biomass accumulation in Japonica (Ata-Ul-Karim et al., 2013).

Nitrogen Nutrition Index and Relative Yield

Nitrogen nutrition index based on the N_c dilution curve is a useful tool to diagnose deficient and non-deficient N nutrition



status for crops (Lemaire et al., 2008). The NNI values observed in this study were similar to those obtained for corn (0.3-1.35) (Ziadi et al., 2008), durum wheat (0.25-1.5) (Debaeke et al., 2006) and for rice (0.6-1.05) (Ata-Ul-Karim et al., 2013). NNI values were at a maximum at jointing stage. This is due to N concentration increasing after topdressing at tillering, leading to a high value of NNI, which implies the NNI may cause volatility with fertilizer or change of soil N condition. This result is similar to Lemaire and Gastal (1997).

Nitrogen nutrition index can also be used to assess the effect of N on crop yield (Jeuffroy and Recous, 1999). Higher yields are always associated with high plant N, however, excessive N fertilizer cannot always increase crop yield (Ying et al., 1998). In this study, positive linear correlation between NNI_{int} and RY was estimated at different growth periods. Minor differences between

early and late rice imply the NNI_{int} are stable at each period. In Table 3, the RRMSE are under 10% and the accuracies are closed to 1.0 illustrating models of each period have good yield prediction accuracy across different years. Several attempts have been made for in-season estimation of RY on the basis of NNI in spring wheat, corn, sunflower, barley and rice (Ziadi et al., 2008, 2010; Debaeke et al., 2012; Yuan et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2016b; Ata-Ul-Karim et al., 2016a,b, 2017a,b), but the relationships derived in previous studies were based on instantaneous NNI, either using the averaged NNI data at different crop growth stages or at particular crop growth stage, which may lead to an over or underestimation of grain yield in N deficiency or luxury consumption nutrition conditions (Lemaire and Gastal, 1997). According to Lemaire et al. (2008), using NNI_{int} can avoid the drawback of instantaneous NNI and average values of NNI. Therefore, NNI_{int} may be more reliable for predicting grain yield.

Nitrogen nutrition index can be a good indicator for diagnosing and directing N fertilization during vegetative growth period to improve crop yields. Moreover, the relationship between NNI_{int} and RY further indicates the effectiveness of the Nc dilution curve as a diagnostic tool. NNI values can also be integrated into the growth models such as RiceGrow (Tang et al., 2009) and CERES-Rape (Gabrielle et al., 1998). However, real-time determination of shoot biomass and plant N concentration are the obstacle in directly using the NNI as a pre-diagnosis

TABLE 4 | Validation $\ensuremath{\mathsf{NNI}_{\text{int}}}$ and RY models at different stages for early and late rice.

Rice type	Growth stage/ period	Data(n)	R ²	RRMSE (%)	Accuracy
Early rice	Т	30	0.8732	8.811	0.9152
	T-J	30	0.8945	8.127	1.0158
	T-B	30	0.9004	8.134	1.0163
	T-H	30	0.8451	6.896	0.8174
Late rice	Т	30	0.818	8.168	1.1246
	T-J	30	0.9043	9.421	1.251
	T-B	30	0.9244	5.507	1.188
	T-H	30	0.9332	4.848	1.0131

tool. Modern real-time diagnostic techniques such as satellite imagery (Cohen et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2015), chlorophyll meter (Yuan et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2016a), and hyperspectral imaging based on UAV (Unmanned Aerial Vehicle) (Pölönen et al., 2006) and ground based canopy reflectance (Liu et al., 2015) can be used to determine plant biomass and plant N concentration non-destructively.

CONCLUSIONS

The shoot biomass increases with growth but at the same stage the increase is not significant with the excess N application treatment. The N concentration gradually declines during the growth period and a higher N application leads to a higher N concentration. The constant N concentration at early growth stage was 3.31 and 3.15% DM for early and late rice, respectively. N_c dilution curves based on shoot biomass for double cropping



line represents N_c curve of early rice in this study (N_c = 3.37 W^{-0.44}), the (___) line represents N_c curve of late rice in this study (N_c = 3.69 W^{-0.34}), the (.....) line represents N_c curve of Indica rice of Sheehy et al. (1998) (N_c = 5.20 W^{-0.45}), the (_____) line is for Japonica rice in Yangtze River reaches of Ata-UI-Karim et al. (2013) (N_c = 3.53 W^{-0.28}), the (_____) line is for Japonica rice in Heilongjiang (Huang et al., 2015) (N_c = 2.77 W^{-0.34}).

TABLE 5 The location, variety and parameter of critical N dilution curve for	or rice grown in different environments.
--	--

Туре	Variety	Location	Transplanting	Climate zone	Critical curve $N = aW^{-b}$		
			date		а	b	References
Indica rice	Early rice (ZJZ-17, TLY-83)	Jiangxi (28°33′N, 115°57′E,)	iangxi (28°33'N, 115°57'E,) 27-April		3.37	0.44	This study
	Late rice (TYHZ, YY-9113)	Jiangxi (28°33'N, 115°57'E,)	29-July		3.69	0.34	This study
	IR72	Philippines (IRRI),		Tropical	5.20	0.50	Sheehy et al., 1998
Japonica rice	WXJ-14, LXY-18	Yizheng (32°16'N, 119°10'E,)	20-June	Subtropical-temperate	3.53	0.28	Ata-Ul-Karim et al., 2013
	Kendao, Longjing	Heilongjian (47°14′N, 132°49′E,)	17-May	Cool-temperate sub-humid continental monsoon climate	2.77	0.34	Huang et al., 2015

rice were developed (early rice: $N_c = 3.37 \text{ W}^{-0.44}$ and late rice: $N_c = 3.69 \text{ W}^{-0.34}$). Compared with existing reference curves for Indica and Japonica rice, the curves for early and late rice are different from other rice in different climates. The relationships between NNI_{int} and RY established at different crop growth periods showed reliable prediction of yield across years. The NNI calculated from the established N_c dilution curves could be used as a reliable indicator for diagnosing crop N status. Results in this study provide a technical support for N fertilization management in the area of rice double cropping in south China.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ZH and LT wrote the manuscript; ZH, SA, and LT analyzed the experiments data; SA, XQ, YZ, and WC provided advice and edited the manuscript; LT, WC, and YZ planned experiments and ZH, YL, XQ, XL, and QC performed experiments. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Ata-Ul-Karim, S. T., Cao, Q., Zhu, Y., Tang, L., Rehmani, M. I., and Cao, W. (2016b). Non-destructive assessment of plant nitrogen parameters using leaf chlorophyll measurements in rice. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7:1829. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01829
- Ata-Ul-Karim, S. T., Liu, X., Lu, Z., Yuan, Z., Zhu, Y., and Cao, W. (2016a). Inseason estimation of rice grain yield using critical nitrogen dilution curve. *Field Crops Res.* 201, 32–40. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2016.10.009
- Ata-Ul-Karim, S. T., Liu, X., Lu, Z., Zheng, H., Cao, W., and Zhu, Y. (2017a). Estimation of nitrogen fertilizer requirement for rice crop using critical nitrogen dilution curve. *Field Crops Res.* 201, 32–40. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2016.10.009
- Ata-Ul-Karim, S. T., Yao, X., Liu, X., Cao, W., and Zhu, Y. (2013). Development of critical nitrogen dilution curve of Japonica rice in Yangtze River Reaches. *Field Crops Res.* 149, 149–158. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2013.03.012
- Ata-Ul-Karim, S. T., Yao, X., Liu, X., Cao, W., and Zhu, Y. (2014a). Determination of critical nitrogen dilution curve based on stem dry matter. *PLoS ONE* 9:e104540. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0104540
- Ata-Ul-Karim, S. T., Zhu, Y., Liu, X., Cao, Q., Tian, Y., and Cao, W. (2017b). Comparison of different critical nitrogen dilution curves for nitrogen assessment in rice. *Sci. Rep.* 7:42679. doi: 10.1038/srep42679
- Ata-Ul-Karim, S. T., Zhu, Y., Yao, X., and Cao, W. (2014b). Determination of critical nitrogen dilution curve based on leaf area index in rice. *Field Crops Res.* 167, 76–85. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2014.07.010
- Bélanger, G., Walsh, J. R., Richards, J. E., Milburn, P. H., and Ziadi, N. (2001). Critical nitrogen curve and nitrogen nutrition index for potato in eastern Canada. Am. J. Potato Res. 78, 355–364. doi: 10.1007/BF028 84344
- Cohen, Y., Alchanatis, V., Zusman, Y., Dar, Z., Bonfil, D. J., Karnieli, A., et al. (2010). Leaf nitrogen estimation in potato based on spectral data and on simulated bands of the VENµS satellite. *Precis. Agric.* 11, 520–537. doi: 10.1007/s11119-009-9147-8
- Debaeke, P., Oosterom, E. J. V., Justes, E., Champolivier, L., Merrien, A., Aguirrezabal, L. A. N., et al. (2012). A species-specific critical nitrogen dilution curve for sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Field Crops Res.* 136, 76–84. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2012.07.024
- Debaeke, P., Rouet, P., and Justes, E. (2006). Relationship between the normalized SPAD index and the nitrogen nutrition index: application to durum wheat. J. *Plant. Nutr.* 29, 75–92. doi: 10.1080/01904160500416471
- FAOSTAT. (2014). Food and Agriculture Organiztion Statistical: Available online at http://faostat3.fao.org/browse/Q/QC/E.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the National High-Tech Research and Development Program of China (2013AA100404), the Special Program for Agriculture Science and Technology from the Ministry of Agriculture China (201303109), National Science in Foundation of China (31571566; 31201130), Jiangsu Agriculture Science and Technology Innovation Fund (CX[14]2116), the Three-new Agriculture Project of Jiangsu Province (SXGC[2014]304), and the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (PAPD) of China.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Chen Zhang, Shuisheng Zeng, Shifu Shu, Licai Chen, Chun Ye for their help during the experiment.

- Gabrielle, B., Denoroy, P., Gosse, G., Justes, E., and Andersen, M. N. (1998). Development and evaluation of a CERES-type model for winter oilseed rape. *Field Crops Res.* 57, 95–111. doi: 10.1016/S0378-4290(97)00120-2
- Guo, J. H., Liu, X. J., Zhang, Y., Shen, J. L., Han, W. X., Zhang, W. F., et al. (2010). Significant acidification in major Chinese croplands. *Science* 327, 1008–1010. doi: 10.1126/science.1182570
- Hu, C., Shi, J., Quan, S., Cui, B., Kleessen, S., Nikoloski, Z., et al. (2014). Metabolic variation between japonica and indica rice cultivars as revealed by non-targeted metabolomics. *Sci. Rep.* 4, 5067–5067. doi: 10.1038/srep05067
- Huang, M., Zhang, W., Jiang, L., and Zou, Y. (2013). Impact of temperature changes on early-rice productivity in a subtropical environment of China. *Field Crops Res.* 146, 10–15. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2013.03.007
- Huang, S., Miao, Y., Zhao, G., Yuan, F., Ma, X., Tan, C., et al. (2015). Satellite remote sensing-based in-season diagnosis of rice nitrogen status in northeast China. *Remote Sens.* 7, 10646–10667. doi: 10.3390/rs70810646
- Jeuffroy, M. H., and Recous, S. (1999). Azodyn: a simple model simulating the date of nitrogen deficiency for decision support in wheat fertilization. *Eur. J. Agron.* 10, 129–144. doi: 10.1016/S1161-0301(98)00059-8
- Ji, B., and Jiao, D. (2001). Photoinhibition and photooxidation in leaves of Indica and Japonica rice under different temperatures and light intensities. *Acta Bot. Sin.* 43, 714–720. doi: 10.3321/j.issn:1672-9072.2001.07.009
- Ju, X. T., Xing, G. X., Chen, X. P., Zhang, S. L., Zhang, L. J., Liu, X. J., et al. (2009). Reducing environmental risk by improving N management in intensive Chinese agricultural systems. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 3041–3046. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0813417106
- Justes, E., Mary, B., Meynard, J. M., Machet, J. M., and Thelier-Huche, L. (1994). Determination of a critical nitrogen dilution curve for winter wheat crops. *Ann. Bot.* 74, 397–407. doi: 10.1006/anbo.1994.1133
- Lemaire, G., and Gastal, F. (1997). "N uptake and distribution in plant canopies," in *Diagnosis of the Nitrogen Status in Crops*, ed G. Lemaire (Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag), 3–43.
- Lemaire, G., Jeuffroy, M. H., and Gastal, F. (2008). Diagnosis tool for plant and crop N status in vegetative stage. *Eur. J. Agron.* 28, 614–624. doi: 10.1016/j.eja.2008.01.005
- Lemaire, G., Oosterom, E. V., Sheehy, J., Jeuffroy, M. H., Massignam, A., and Rossato, L. (2007). Is crop N demand more closely related to dry matter accumulation or leaf area expansion during vegetative growth? *Field Crops Res.* 100, 91–106. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2006.05.009
- Lemaire, G., and Salette, J. (1984). Relation entre dynamique de croissance et dynamique de prelevement d'azote pour un peuplement de graminees fourrageres. I. Etude de l'effet du milieu. *Agronomie* 4, 423–430. doi: 10.1051/agro:19840503

- Liu, K., Li, H., Hu, H., Zhou, X., and Yu, P. (2015). Estimating rice yield based on normalized vegetation index at heading stage of different nitrogen application rates in southeast China. J. Environ. Agric. Sci. 2:13.
- Peng, S., Buresh, R. J., Huang, J., Yang, J., Zou, Y., Zhong, X., et al. (2006). Strategies for overcoming low agronomic nitrogen use efficiency in irrigated rice systems in China. *Field Crops Res.* 96, 37–47. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2005. 05.004
- Plénet, D., and Cruz, P. (1997). "The nitrogen requirement of major agricultural crops: maize and sorghum," in *Diagnosis of the Nitrogen Status in Crops*, ed G. Lemaire (Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag), 93–106.
- Pölönen, I., Saari, H., Kaivosoja, J., Honkavaara, E., and Pesonen, L. (2006). Hyperspectral imaging based biomass and nitrogen content estimations from light-weight UAV. J. Bone Joint Surg. 88, 521–525. doi: 10.1117/12.2028624
- Sheehy, J. E., Dionora, M. J. A., Mitchell, P. L., Peng, S., Cassman, K. G., Lemaire, G., et al. (1998). Critical nitrogen concentrations: implications for high-yielding rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivars in the tropics. *Field Crops Res.* 59, 31–41. doi: 10.1016/S0378-4290(98)00105-1
- Shimono, H., Fujimura, S., Nishimura, T., and Hasegawa, T. (2012). Nitrogen uptake by rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) exposed to low water temperatures at different growth stages. *J. Agron. Crop. Sci.* 198, 145–151. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-037X.2011.00503.x
- Tang, L., Zhu, Y., Hannaway, D., Meng, Y., Liu, L., Chen, L., et al. (2009). RiceGrow: a rice growth and productivity model. NJAS-Wagen. J. Life Sci. 57, 83–92. doi: 10.1016/j.njas.2009.12.003
- Ulrich, A. (1952). Physiological bases for assessing the nutritional requirements of plants. *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol.* 3, 207–228. doi: 10.1146/annurev.pp.03.060152.001231
- Yao, X., Ata-Ul-Karim, S. T., Zhu, Y., Tian, Y., Liu, X., and Cao, W. (2014). Development of critical nitrogen dilution curve in rice based on leaf dry matter. *Eur. J. Agron.* 55, 20–28. doi: 10.1016/j.eja.2013.12.004
- Ying, J., Peng, S., He, Q., Yang, H., Yang, C., Visperas, R. M., et al. (1998). Comparison of high-yield rice in tropical and subtropical environments: I. Determinants of grain and dry matter yields. *Field Crops Res.* 57, 71–84. doi: 10.1016/S0378-4290(98)00077-X
- Yoshida, H., Horie, T., and Shiraiwa, T. (2006). A model explaining genotypic and environmental variation of rice spikelet number per unit area measured

by cross-locational experiments in Asia. Field Crops Res. 97, 337-343. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2005.11.004

- Yuan, Z., Ata-Ul-Karim, S. T., Cao, Q., Lu, Z., Cao, W., Zhu, Y., et al. (2016). Indicators for diagnosing nitrogen status of rice based on chlorophyll meter readings. *Field Crops Res.* 185, 12–20. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2015. 10.003
- Yue, S., Meng, Q., Zhao, R., Li, F., Chen, X., Zhang, F., et al. (2012). Critical nitrogen dilution curve for optimizing nitrogen management of winter wheat production in the north China plain. *Agron. J.* 104, 523–529. doi: 10.2134/agronj2011.0258
- Zhao, B., Ata-Ul-Karim, S. T., Yao, X., Tian, Y., Cao, W., Zhu, Y., et al. (2016a). A new curve of critical nitrogen concentration based on spike dry matter for winter wheat in eastern china. *PLoS ONE* 11:e0164545. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0164545
- Zhao, B., Liu, Z., Ata-Ul-Karim, S. T., Xiao, J., Liu, Z., Qi, A., et al. (2016b). Rapidand nondestructive estimation of the nitrogen nutrition index in winter barleyusing chlorophyll measurements. *Field Crops Res.* 185, 59–68. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2015.10.021
- Ziadi, N., Bélanger, G., Claessens, A., Lefebvre, L., Cambouris, A. N., Tremblay, N., et al. (2010). Determination of a critical nitrogen dilution curve for spring wheat. *Agron. J.* 102, 241–250. doi: 10.2134/agronj2009.0266
- Ziadi, N., Brassard, M., Bélanger, G., Cambouris, A. N., Tremblay, N., Nolin, M. C., et al. (2008). Critical nitrogen curve and nitrogen nutrition index for corn in eastern Canada. *Agron. J.* 100, 271–276. doi: 10.2134/agrojnl2007.0059

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 He, Qiu, Ata-Ul-Karim, Li, Liu, Cao, Zhu, Cao and Tang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.