



Analysis of MADS-Box Gene Family Reveals Conservation in Floral Organ ABCDE Model of Moso Bamboo (Phyllostachys edulis)

Zhanchao Cheng[†], Wei Ge[†], Long Li[†], Dan Hou, Yanjun Ma, Jun Liu, Qingsong Bai, Xueping Li, Shaohua Mu and Jian Gao*

Key Laboratory of Bamboo and Rattan Science and Technology of the State Forestry Administration, International Centre for Bamboo and Rattan, Beijing, China

Mini chromosome maintenance 1, agamous, deficiens, and serum response factor (MADS)-box genes are transcription factors which play fundamental roles in flower development and regulation of floral organ identity. However, till date, identification and functions of MADS-box genes remain largely unclear in *Phyllostachys edulis*. In view of this, we performed a whole-genome survey and identified 34 MADS-box genes in *P. edulis*, and based on phylogeny, they were classified as MIKC^C, MIKC^{*}, Ma, and M β . The detailed analysis about gene structure and motifs, phylogenetic classification, comparison of gene divergence and duplication are provided. Interestingly, expression patterns for most genes were found similar to those of *Arabidopsis* and rice, indicating that the well-established ABCDE model can be applied to *P. edulis*. Moreover, we overexpressed *PheMADS15*, an *AP1*-like gene, in *Arabidopsis*, and found that the transgenic plants have early flowering phenotype, suggesting that *PheMADS15* might be a regulator of flowering transition in *P. edulis*. Taken together, this study provides not only insightful comprehension but also useful information for understanding the functions of MADS-box genes in *P. edulis*.

Keywords: Phyllostachys edulis, MADS-box, floral organ, ABCDE model, PheMADS15

INTRODUCTION

Phyllostachys edulis is one of the most important non-timber forest products in the world. They flower at the end of very long vegetative growth phases, often followed by the death of large areas of *P. edulis*. They show a cyclic recurrence of flowering, the intervals of which are basically definite varying from a few years to 120 years or longer. In this case, studying the mechanism of *P. edulis* flowering time is very challenging, and it is quite difficult to determine the key regulatory genes involved in floral formation and transition in *P. edulis*.

The mini chromosome maintenance 1, agamous, deficiens, and serum response factor (MADS)box family members, identified originally as floral homeotic genes, are important transcription factors for plant development (Purugganan, 1997; Theissen et al., 2000; Jack, 2001; Nam et al., 2003; Pařenicová et al., 2003). In plants, the type I comprises M-type genes and type II group includes most well-known MIKC-type genes (Arora et al., 2007), named after the four basic components of the MADS (M) domain: the Intervening (I) domain, the Keratin (K) domain, and the C-terminal (C) domain (Kramer et al., 1998). MIKC-type genes have been further divided into two subgroups, MIKC^C- and MIKC*-types, due to different exon/intron structures (Henschel et al., 2002; Kofuji et al., 2003). Type I MADS-box genes have been categorized into M α , M β , M γ , and M δ

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Borja Cascales-Miñana, University of Liège, Belgium

Reviewed by: Chengcai Chu,

Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology (CAS), China Veronica Gregis, Università degli Studi di Milano, Italy

*Correspondence:

Jian Gao gaojianicbr@163.com [†]These authors have contributed equally to this work.

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Evolution and Development, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

> Received: 13 January 2017 Accepted: 10 April 2017 Published: 03 May 2017

Citation:

Cheng Z, Ge W, Li L, Hou D, Ma Y, Liu J, Bai Q, Li X, Mu S and Gao J (2017) Analysis of MADS-Box Gene Family Reveals Conservation in Floral Organ ABCDE Model of Moso Bamboo (Phyllostachys edulis). Front. Plant Sci. 8:656. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00656

1

clades based on the phylogenetic relationships of conserved MADS-box domain (Pařenicová et al., 2003). In most plants, type I genes experience a higher number of births and deaths than type II genes, due to more frequent segmental gene duplications and weaker purifying selection (Nam et al., 2004).

The genetic ABCDE model of floral organ development can be applied to dicot plants, mainly in Arabidopsis, snapdragon and petunia (Coen and Meyerowitz, 1991; Angenent and Colombo, 1996; Theißen and Saedler, 2001). Generally, A and B class genes together are required for petal development, B and C class genes cooperate to control stamen development. A and C class genes are, respectively, involved in sepal and carpel development. D class genes function in ovule development, while E class proteins are expressed in all four whorls of floral organs by forming MADS-box protein complexes with proteins of other classes (Pelaz et al., 2000; Favaro et al., 2003; Pinyopich et al., 2003). In Arabidopsis, APETALA1 (AP1) and APETALA2 (AP2) belong to the A-function genes; B-function genes include APETALA3 (AP3), PISTILLATA (PI); the C-function gene is AGAMOUS (AG); SEPALLATA1, 2, 3 and 4 (SEP1, 2, 3, 4/AGL2, 4, 9, 3) form the E-function genes (Yanofsky et al., 1990; Jack et al., 1992; Mandel et al., 1992; Goto and Meyerowitz, 1994; Jofuku et al., 1994; Huang et al., 1995; Savidge et al., 1995; Mandel and Yanofsky, 1998; Pelaz et al., 2000; Ditta et al., 2004).

Poaceae family is generally known for monocot crops such as rice (Oryza sativa), maize (Zea mays), wheat (Triticum spp.) and barley (Hordeum vulgare) (Grass Phylogeny Working Group et al., 2001). However, Bambusoideae is quite distinct from other members of Poaceae and is known for its unique floral organization and morphology (Grass Phylogeny Working Group et al., 2001; Rudall et al., 2005; Whipple et al., 2007). In rice and bamboo, each grass spikelet is the structural unit of grass flowers, which consists of a number of florets. In addition, the floret contains four whorls, such as lemma and palea (whorl 1), two lodicules (whorl 2), six stamens (whorl 3), and gynoecium (whorl 4) (Nagasawa et al., 2003). Like eudicots, MADS-box genes in rice and maize are divided into ABCDE gene classes. (Ambrose et al., 2000; Nagasawa et al., 2003; Kater et al., 2006; Yamaguchi et al., 2006; Preston and Kellogg, 2007; Yao et al., 2008; Cui et al., 2010; Li et al., 2011). Few of the MADS-box genes are functionally characterized in different plants. For instance, three AP1/FUL-like genes (OsMADS14, OsMADS15, and OsMADS18) coordinately act in the shoot apical meristem in rice (Kobayashi et al., 2012). Maize Silky1 and rice SPW1 or OsMADS16, orthologs of the Arabidopsis AP3, cause homeotic transformations of stamens to carpels and lodicules to lemma- or palea-like structures (Ambrose et al., 2000; Nagasawa et al., 2003; Whipple et al., 2004). Rice OsMADS3, belonging to the AG homolog gene, is, respectively, involved in stamen, ovule and late anther development (Kramer et al., 2004; Yamaguchi et al., 2006; Hu et al., 2011; Li et al., 2011). In rice, OsMADS13 and OsMADS21, two D class genes, are involved in ovule identity specification and floral meristem termination (Dreni et al., 2007; Li et al., 2011). Simultaneous four rice SEP-like genes, LHS1, OsMADS5, OsMADS7, and OsMADS8, play a key role in all floral organs development (Cui et al., 2010). However, for bamboo, especially P. edulis, fewer genes were reported to play roles in specifying flower development, their genetic interactions remained to be answered (Tian et al., 2005, 2006; Li et al., 2009; Shih et al., 2014). Therefore, it is necessary to systematically study MADS-box genes related to flower development in bamboo.

In Arabidopsis, class A genes are represented by AP1 (Mandel et al., 1992) and AP2 (Jofuku et al., 1994). AP1 and LEAFY (LFY) are floral meristem-identity genes that confer identity on developing floral primordia (Weigel et al., 1992). The LFY, AP1, CAULFLOWER (CAL) and AP2 genes appear to mutually reinforce each other, leading to a sharp transition from vegetative to reproductive development (Ferrándiz et al., 2000). In addition, AP1, AGAMOUS LIKE24 (AGL24) and SHORT VEGETATIVE PHASE (SVP) act redundantly to control the identity of the floral meristem and to repress expression of class B, C, and E genes in Arabidopsis (Gregis et al., 2009). Genetic evidence suggests that SUPPRESSOR OF CONSTANS 1 (SOC1) and FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) function are closely associated with the activation of AP1 (Teper-Bamnolker and Samach, 2005; Lee and Lee, 2010). Some results strongly show that not only SOC1, but also AP1 can activate LFY (Liljegren et al., 1999; Lee and Lee, 2010). TERMINAL FLOWER 1 (TFL1) is involved in the maintenance of the inflorescence meristem by preventing the expression of floral meristem identity genes such as AP1 and LFY in the shoot apical meristem, which in turn is negatively regulated by LFY (Irish and Sussex, 1990; Schultz and Haughn, 1991; Weigel et al., 1992; Bowman et al., 1993). Moreover, TFL1 function is compromised by constitutive AP1 activity (Liljegren et al., 1999).

Extensive duplications in Poaceae resulted in the expansion and diversification of gene families. Duplications of MADS-box genes have contributed to understanding of the origin and evolution of developmental mechanisms in plant (Alvarez-Buylla et al., 2000a; Shan et al., 2009). Variance in gene family sizes occurred in a number of families in bamboo. P. edulis underwent a whole-genome duplication (WGD) event, which resulted in 5,370 gene losses (28% of the total genes in the collinear regions) in comparison to rice (Peng et al., 2013). In addition, some genes displayed expression subfunctionalization; for example, the genes in flowering promotion pathways (the photoperiod, gibberellin, ambient-temperature pathways) and floral pathway integrator (FPI) genes (Ehrenreich et al., 2009; Fornara et al., 2010) were not highly expressed in bamboo floral tissues. Low expression of FPI genes, which are involved in floral meristem identity, could signify that the flowering promotion pathways in bamboo may be different.

In this study, we performed a comprehensive identification and phylogenetic analysis of the MADS-box gene family in *P. edulis*. A total of 34 MADS-box genes were identified and subjected to phylogenetic, gene structure, and domain analyses. We also studied the expression patterns of *P. edulis* MADS-box genes under normal and abiotic stress conditions. Furthermore, expression profiles and anatomical expression were generated to screen candidate genes involved in flower development and the floral transition. The function of one of these genes, *PheMADS15*, an *AP1*-like gene, was also characterized in transgenic *Arabidopsis*. This work provides useful information on the function of this important family of transcription factors in *P. edulis*, and with both a genome sequence and a transcriptome, future systematic studies can evaluate structure-function relationships.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Database Searches for the Identification of MADS-Box Family Members in *P. edulis*

MADS-box protein sequences of *Arabidopsis* and *O. sativa* have been obtained from TAIR (The *Arabidopsis* Information Resource¹) and Rice Genome Annotation Project², respectively. *P. edulis* MADS-box protein sequences were collected from Bamboo Genome Database³ and the accession numbers are shown in Supplementary Table S1.

The MADS-box domains were predicted through Hidden Markov Model (HMM) and redundant sequences were removed using the protein alignments with ClustalX 1.83 (Thompson et al., 1997). Information of ID accession numbers, ORF length, amino acids number, molecular weight, and isoelectric point of each protein is provided in Supplementary Table S1. For all MADS-box genes, ExPASY⁴ was employed to find the molecular weight and PI of each protein, as they were not available in the Bamboo Genome Database.

Phylogenetic Analysis

Multiple sequence alignments of MADS-box full-length proteins were performed using ClustalX 1.83⁵ (Thompson et al., 1997). The un-rooted neighbor-joining method (Saitou and Nei, 1987) was used to construct the phylogenetic tree in MEGA 6.0⁶ (Tamura et al., 2013) software with 1000 bootstrap replicates.

Conserved Motif and Gene Structure Analysis

Multiple EM for Motif Elicitation (MEME) version 4.9.1⁷ (Bailey and Elkan, 1995) was used to identify conserved motifs in candidate sequences with following parameters: number of repetitions = any, maximum number of motifs = 20, minimum width \geq 6, and maximum width \leq 200.

The MADS-box full-length cDNA sequences and the corresponding genomic DNA were collected from Bamboo Genome Database⁸. The Gene Structure Display Server (GSDS⁹) (Guo et al., 2007) was employed to identify information on intron/exon structure of the MADS-box genes.

Calculation of K_a/K_s Values and Divergence Times Estimation

Alignment of nucleotide sequences of *P. edulis* MADS-box gene pairs were aligned with ClustalX 1.83, respectively. The DNAsp5 software was used to calculate the synonymous substitution (K_s) and non-synonymous substitution (K_a) rates. K_a/K_s values were used to estimate the two types of substitutions events. The K_s value was calculated for each of the MADS-box gene pairs and then used to calculate the divergence time of the duplication event ($T = K_s/2\lambda$) using the formula: $T = K_s/2\lambda$ (Lynch and Conery, 2000), with the divergence rate $\lambda = 6.5 \times 10^{-9}$ (Peng et al., 2013).

Plant Material

Arabidopsis plants were grown under long daylight exposure (16 h light/8 h dark) in light growth incubator maintained at 23°C with 40 to 50% humidity, and an irradiance of approximately 118 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹.

The flower buds and flower of *P. edulis* at different flowering developmental stages were collected in Dajing County, Guilin (E $110^{\circ}17'-110^{\circ}47'$; N $25^{\circ}04'-25^{\circ}48'$) in Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region from April to August, 2012. Flower development was distinguished by four phases: the floral bud formation stage, the inflorescence growing stage, the bloom stage, the embryo formation stage (Gao et al., 2014).

Expression Profile Analysis

Reads per kilobase of exon model per million mapped reads (RPKM) values of flowering tissues at floral organ development and shoot growth were imported into Cluster 3.0 (de Hoon et al., 2004) for windows and Java TreeView (Saldanha, 2004) to generate the heat maps. RPKM values were shown in Supplementary Table S4.

Quantitative Real-Time PCR (QPCR)

Total RNA was extracted using the Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, USA). The quality and purified RNA was initially assessed on an agarose gel and NanoDrop 8000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop, Thermo Scientific, Germany), and then the integrity of RNA samples was further evaluated using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, USA). For qPCR, Primer 3 Input (version 4.0) was used to design the specific primers according to the MADS-box gene sequences. Detailed descriptions were provided in Supplementary Table S5. Data acquisition and analyses were performed by the Roche Light Cycler software.

Subcellular Localization and Transcriptional Activation

The subcellular localization of PheMADS15 was performed by transfecting GFP-tagged PheMADS15 into rice stem and sheath protoplasts (Zhang et al., 2011). The full-length cDNA of *PheMADS15* was fused in frame with the GFP cDNA and ligated between the CaMV 35S promoter and the nopaline synthase terminator. The fluorescence signals in transfected protoplasts were examined using a confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica Microsystems).

¹http://www.arabidopsis.org/

²http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu/

³http://202.127.18.221/bamboo/down.php

⁴http://web.expasy.org/compute_pi/

⁵http://www.clustal.org/

⁶http://www.megasoftware.net/mega.html

⁷http://alternate.meme-suite.org/tools/meme

⁸http://www.bamboogdb.com/

⁹http://gsds.cbi.pku.edu.cn/



The transcriptional activation activity of PheMADS15 was tested by transforming the pGBKT7 construct containing a fusion of PheMADS15 and the GAL4 DNA-binding domain into the yeast strain PJ69-4a. The yeast strain contains the *His-3* and *LacZ* reporter genes. The transformed yeast cells were grown on synthetic defined plates with or without *His* and assayed for β -galactosidase activity.

In Situ Hybridization

RNA hybridization and immunological detection of the hybridized probes were performed based on the protocol described previously (de Almeida Engler et al., 2001). The specific probes of *PheMADS15*, *PheMADS4-1*, *PheMADS3*, *PheMADS21*, and *PheMADS5* were designed and synthesized by GENEWIZ (Supplementary Table S6). Images were obtained using the Olympus Nikon E600 microscope.

Overexpression

The 35S:PheMADS15 sequence was amplified using specific primers (forward, 5'-GGTACCATGGGGCGCGGGAAG GTG-3'; reverse, 5'-CCCAAGCTTTCATGAAGGACGAGGA

AGAGTCTG-3') by RT-PCR with 2 μ l cDNA from leaves of *P. edulis*. The product was initially cloned into pGEM-T Easy vector and then 35S:pCAMBIA2300 vector. The 35S:PheMADS15 construct was introduced into wild-type *Arabidopsis* plants (Columbia-0) through *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation (Feldmann and Marks, 1987).

RESULTS

Identification and Phylogenetic Analysis of *P. edulis* MADS-Box Genes

A total of 34 non-redundant MADS-box genes were identified in the *P. edulis* genome using rice MADS-box domain sequences as queries. To determine the evolutionary relationship of these genes in *P. edulis* and other species, we constructed a Neighbor-Joining phylogenetic tree of MADS-box proteins from *P. edulis*, rice and *Arabidopsis*. According to the previously reports in rice and *Arabidopsis*, the proteins can be classified into five functional groups (Pařenicová et al., 2003; Arora et al., 2007).



the alignment of full-length amino acid sequences using the MEGA5 package. Branches with less than 50% bootstrap values were collapsed. Lengths of exons and introns of each MADS-box gene were displayed proportionally. The green solid boxes represent exons; black lines represent introns.

Of the 34 identified *P. edulis* MADS-box genes, 31 grouped into the type II clade subdividing into 25 $MIKC^{C}$ -type genes and six $MIKC^*$ -type genes (**Figure 1**). $MIKC^{C}$ -type genes were further divided into nine classic clades: *SOC1*-like (four genes), E (three genes), *SVP*-like (three genes), Bs (two genes), C/D (two genes), B (four genes), A (six genes), and *OsMADS32*-like (one gene). In this study, genes belonging to *FLC*-clade were absent in *P. edulis* and rice, which may be specific to *Arabidopsis*. Interestingly, *PheMADS64* was grouped with *OsMADS32*-like which is a novel monocot MADS-box gene (Sang et al., 2012). However, in contrast to previous research, the *OsMADS64* was found to cluster with *OsMADS32* to form a *OsMADS32*-like group instead of the MIKC* group (Arora et al., 2007). In the case of type I genes, including M α and M β , *PheMADS90* and *PheMADS91* were identified as M β and *PheMADS72* grouped with M α , but nothing grouped with the M γ clade. M γ , *FLC*-like and *ANR1*-like MADS-box genes were absent from *P. edulis*, indicating that these genes might have been lost after the



divergence of monocots and dicots. In addition, a phylogenetic tree with bootstrap values was constructed to identify putative orthologs in *Arabidopsis*, rice and *P. edulis* using the complete protein sequences (Supplementary Table S1).

Gene Structure and Conserved Motif Distribution Analysis

To better understand the structural diversity of *P. edulis* MADS-box genes, intron/exon arrangements and conserved motifs were compared with phylogenetics. The MEME motif search tool and GSDS were employed to identify conserved motifs and gene structures in MADS-box genes. Intron/exon arrangements in *P. edulis* MADS-box genes were different among

MIKC^C and MIKC^{*} genes (**Figure 2**), similar to reports in *Arabidopsis* and rice. Nearly half of MIKC^C genes lacked introns, but only one MIKC^{*} gene lacked an intron (*PheMADS50-2*). The number of introns in remaining MADS-box genes ranged from 1 to 8. The length of MADS-box proteins varied from 62 to 376 amino acids (Supplementary Table S1).

The MEME program was used to analyze conserved motifs in MADS-box proteins followed by SMART annotation, resulting in the identification of 20 conserved motifs (**Figure 3** and Supplementary Table S3). In all 34 *P. edulis* MADS-box proteins, excluding M γ , most of MIKC^C and MIKC^{*} groups had motif 1-type MADS domain. Motifs 2, 8, 9, and 10 were localized in the K domain. Motif 4 was also conserved across many of the MADS-box proteins, excluding M β , which was found in the I



expression levels in during floral organ development (CK, F1, F2, F3, and F4). Color bar at the base represents RPKM expression values, thereby green color representing low level expression, black shows medium level expression and red signifies high level expression.

domain. Most of the unconserved motifs (3, 5–7, 11–20) were located in C-terminus, which is typically the most diverse region in MADS-box proteins (Kramer et al., 1998). The sequences and lengths of all the motifs were given in Supplementary Table S3.

The Analysis of Expression Patterns of PheMADS-Box Genes during Floral Organ Development

MADS-box gene expression was tested at five broad categories in flowers described by Gao et al. (2014). The expression profiles were expanded by including transcriptomes from the Transcriptome Sequencing Bamboo Genome Database, including: leaves from non-flowering (CK) and four flowering developmental stages (F) of *P. edulis* (Supplementary Table S4). MADS-box genes were classified into 11 groups based on phylogenetic analysis during flowering developmental stages (**Figure 4**). The expression levels of the A and B class PheMADS genes were high in F1 and F2 and decreased through floral maturity. In contrast, the expressions of C, D, and E class PheMADS genes were reduced at the floral bud formation stage, increased at the third flowering developmental stage and embryo formation stage. Besides, *PheMADS26* (Bs-class), *PheMADS68* (MIKC*-type) and *PheMADS72* (Mβ-class) were expressed predominantly at the floral formation stage.



From the outer to the inner whorl within the floral organ, the P. edulis flower consisted of four concentric whorls comprising lemma (whorl 1), palea (whorl 1), three lodicules (whorl 2), three stamens (whorl 3) and in the center, pistil (whorl 4) in which the ovule develops (Figures 5F,L,R and Supplementary Figure S3). These organs together formed a floret. Our results indicated that A-class genes, PheMADS14, PheMADS15, and PheMADS18-1 were expressed throughout, and higher at the floral bud formation stage, while PheMADS29 and PheMADS30 were preferentially expressed from F2 to F4 (Figure 4). Based on in situ hybridization analysis, PheMADS15 was expressed in the early spikelet meristem, the primordia of flower organs, and the reproductive organs (Figures 5A,G,M). Based on the phylogenetic tree analysis, the C and D class contain PheMADS3 and PheMADS21 (Figure 1). PheMADS3 and PheMADS21 were mainly expressed in stamen and pistils formation stage (Figure 4). In addition, the *in situ* hybridization data showed that PheMADS3 and PheMADS21 mRNA were highly expressed in the stamen and developing embryo (Figures 5C,D,I,J,O,P). These data were consistent with those of PheMADS3 and PheMADS21 from RNA-seq. The E class genes in the SEP lineage in P. edulis were PheMADS1, PheMADS5, and PheMADS34. PheMADS1 and PheMADS5 were highly expressed in the third flowering developmental stage and embryo formation stage (Figure 4). The spatial and temporal expression patterns PheMADS5 were detected from the early floral bud to the maturely floral organ by in situ hybridization in P. edulis (Figures 5E,K,Q). However, PheMADS34 was expressed predominantly at the floral bud

formation stage and declined during floral development. In addition, the functionally characterized MADS-box genes of rice and *Arabidopsis* are listed in Supplementary Table S2 which provided support toward ABCDE model. Sense controls for five MADS-box genes are in **Figure 6**.

On the contrary, the expression of the remaining genes of *PheMADSs* was lower than that of ABCDE PheMADS genes in *P. edulis* floral development. However, *PheMADS26* (Bs-class), *PheMADS68* (MIKC*-type) and *PheMADS72* (Mβ-class) were expressed predominantly at the floral formation stage (**Figure 4**). Perhaps these genes might be also involved in the development of flower organs.

To confirm that *PheMADS* genes from RNA-seq are expressed, eight genes were selected for validation by qPCR (**Figure** 7). The expression of two *PheMADS* genes (*PheMADS3* and 15) were upregulated in floral tissues 10-fold more than non-flowering leaves. However, the expression of *PheMADS56-1* decreased significantly during flower development. According to the qPCR results, the expression patterns for all eight genes from qPCR were similar to that obtained from the Illumina analysis, thus strengthening the reliability of the RNA-seq data.

Duplication and Functional Divergence of MADS-Box Gene Pairs in *P. edulis*

A significant role for gene duplication in the proliferation and evolution of biological complexity of MADS-box genes has been postulated in many divergent plant species (Alvarez-Buylla et al.,



2000b; Shan et al., 2009). Most duplicated genes diverge to compartmentalize function (sub-functionalization) or gain novel function (neo-functionalization), and can increase biological complexity (Lynch and Conery, 2000; Ohno, 2013). A rate of 6.5×10^{-9} substitution per synonymous site per year was used to calculate the divergence time between 13 pairs of closely related MADS-box genes in a phylogenetic tree (Gaut et al., 1996). The divergence for most PheMADS-box gene pairs is around 10 to 30 MYA (Million Years Ago) (Table 1), which is a similar time fram as the *P. edulis* WGD event (Peng et al., 2013), which occurred later than *Brachypodium* at ~70 MYA (Wei et al., 2014). In contrast, six gene pairs (*PheMADS50-1/50-2, 1/5, 14/15, 64/65, 90/91,* and 26/33) diverged 31 to 119 MYA, which does not correlate with the *P. edulis* WGD.

A K_a/K_s ratio less than 1 is indicative of purifying selection and a ratio greater than 1 is indicative of diversifying selection. With pairwise comparisons we found that for all 13 PheMADS-box gene pairs evolved under purifying selection (**Table 1**). Interestingly, further analyses indicated that some closely related gene pairs had different expression patterns and subtle functional divergence. Most notably, for MIKC*-type, *PheMADS37-1* expressed predominantly during floral development, whereas *PheMADS37-2* expression was

detectable in leaves from non-flowering plants. These results indicated that PheMADS-box genes diverged in function whilst also undergoing strong purifying selection.

Identification and Sequence Analysis of the *PheMADS15* Gene

To elucidate the role of *PheMADS15* in flower formation in *P. edulis*, we identified the *PheMADS15* cDNA encoding a highly conserved MADS domain. *PheMADS15* appeared to be a full-length cDNA of 630 bp encoding a protein of 209 amino acids residues (Supplementary Figure S2).

While green fluorescent protein (GFP) alone exhibited a dispersed cytoplasmic distribution, GFP tagged *PheMADS15* was indeed located in the nucleus, in accordance with its function as a transcription factor (**Figure 8A**). In addition, we fused PheMADS15 with the GAL4 DNA-binding domain (GAL4DB) and tested its ability in a yeast reporter construct. PheMADS15 was able to activate the expression of the *His-3* and β -*Gal* reporter gene (**Figure 8B**). *PheMADS15* was highly expressed in early blooming stages (**Figure 5A**), closely followed by later blooming stages, but just above detection in leaf samples. These results indicated that *PheMADS15* might play an important role in flower formation at an early stage, as a transcription factor.





Analysis of MADS-Box Gene Family

TABLE 1 | Estimated divergence period of MADS-box gene pairs in *Phyllostachys edulis.*

Gene pairs			Ks	Ka	K _a /K _s	MYA
PheMADS37-1	VS.	PheMADS37-2	0.1375	0.0499	0.3629	10.58
PheMADS4-1	VS.	PheMADS4-2	0.143	0.0176	0.1230	11
PheMADS56-3	VS.	PheMADS56-4	0.2129	0.1504	0.7064	16.38
PheMADS3	VS.	PheMADS21	0.2468	0.192	0.7779	18.98
PheMADS18-1	VS.	PheMADS18-2	0.2528	0.0839	0.3319	19.45
PheMADS56-1	VS.	PheMADS56-2	0.2794	0.2123	0.7598	21.49
PheMADS22	VS.	PheMADS55	0.3963	0.2558	0.6455	30.48
PheMADS50-1	VS.	PheMADS50-2	0.4059	0.1858	0.4578	31.22
PheMADS1	VS.	PheMADS5	0.4651	0.0442	0.0950	35.78
PheMADS14	VS.	PheMADS15	0.4727	0.2265	0.4792	36.36
PheMADS64	VS.	PheMADS65	0.5772	0.3373	0.5844	44.4
PheMADS90	VS.	PheMADS91	1.1226	0.9097	0.8104	86.35
PheMADS26	VS.	PheMADS33	1.5552	0.4713	0.3030	119.63

 $K_{\rm s,}$ synonymous substitution rate; $K_{\rm a}$, non-synonymous substitution rate; MYA, million years ago.

Overexpression of *PheMADS15* in *Arabidopsis* Plants (Wild-Type) Promotes Flowering Time

To further investigate the role of *PheMADS15* in the transcriptional regulation of flowering time, *PheMADS15* was overexpressed in *Arabidopsis* (WT). At least 54 transgenic plants expressing *PheMADS15* were generated and examined for their morphology in the T₁ generation (Supplementary Figure S1). The overexpressed plants showed an early flowering phenotype (**Figures 9A,B**). We further investigated the expression of *SOC1*, *LFY*, and *TFL1* in the T₃ generation to ascertain the downstream effects of this construct (**Figure 9C**). *SOC1* and *LFY* had a dramatic expression increases, while *TFL1* expression was rather low in compared to wild type (**Figure 9C**), which was a similar phenomenon exhibited by overexpression of *Arabidopsis AP1* (Liljegren et al., 1999).

DISCUSSION

The Slow Birth and Death Rate for MADS-Box Genes of *P. edulis*

The MADS-box gene family in plants has expanded though gene duplication events owing to multiple whole genome duplication events in many plants (Gaut, 2002; Paterson et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2005). Most of the Type II MADS-box genes that mainly control flower development were generally associated with some whole genome duplication events (Causier et al., 2005). On the contrary, the duplications inducing more Type I MADS-box genes can be attributed to smaller scale local duplication events (Nam et al., 2004). We found that *P. edulis* had a comparable number of MADS-box genes in type II group, but significantly fewer of Ma and M β genes than rice and *Arabidopsis*, indicating that *P. edulis* genome experienced tandem duplications (Vogel et al., 1992; Guo et al., 2004). Six pairs (*PheMADS37-1/37-2, PheMADS18-1/18-2*, and

PheMADS56-1/56-2) in *P. edulis* had very consistent divergence times, suggesting that these gene pairs followed the WGD event of *P. edulis*. However, for M β , *PheMADS90/91* divergence was estimated at about 86 MYA and represented a anciently duplicated gene pair, indicating a smaller scale local duplication event. Thus, for *P. edulis*, fewer duplication events led to a slower birth and death rate after bamboo diverged from other grasses (Peng et al., 2013).

ABCDE Genes Have Important Functional Conservation and Diversification among *P. edulis*, Rice and *Arabidopsis*

MADS-box genes have been found to evolve through neofunctionalization or subfunctionalization after gene duplication events (Irish and Litt, 2005). Moreover, we found that homologous MADS-box genes had different expression profiles, which offered some evidence about functional divergence occurring after the divergence of *P. edulis*, rice and *Arabidopsis* (Vogel et al., 1992).

In Arabidopsis, AP1 played an important role in the determination of the identity of sepals and petals and furthermore specifies floral meristem identity (Kater et al., 2006). The AP1 homolog OsMADS14 was highly expressed in inflorescence and caryopses through transcript analysis (Pelucchi et al., 2002). Besides, OsMADS15 and OsMADS18 were activated in the meristem at phase transition in rice (Kobayashi et al., 2012). In Bambusa edulis, as the A class gene, BeMADS14 was expressed throughout, but higher in the lemma and pistil, BeMADS15 was detected in the lemma and palea (Shih et al., 2014). Based on RNA-seq analysis, PheMADS14 showed a similar expression pattern, but very low expression in floral organs differentiation stage (Figure 4). Meanwhile PheMADS15 mRNA obviously accumulated in the meristem at phase transition by in situ hybridization. These data showed that PheMADS15 was involved in flower bud differentiation. The expression pattern of PheMADS18-1 and PheMADS18-2 which were detected in flower bud formation, was different from OsMADS18 with high expression in leaves following germination (Fornara et al., 2004). In P. edulis, PheMADS29 and PheMADS31 were mainly expressed in mature organs and developing caryopses. These data were consistent with that of OsMADS29, which was expressed in seed development of rice (Yang et al., 2012). Our results showed that five AP1-like genes were uniformly expressed in P. edulis floral organs. This similar expression pattern in floral organs was also shown for AP1-like genes in Arabidopsis (Mandel et al., 1992) and rice (Arora et al., 2007).

In Arabidopsis, AP3 and PI, two class B floral organ identity genes, belonged to the DEF-like and GLO-like gene groups, respectively (Jack et al., 1992; Goto and Meyerowitz, 1994). Rice *in situ* hybridization data showed that two PI homologs, OsMADS2 and OsMADS4 played important roles in lodicule and stamen development (Yao et al., 2008). Whether of PI or AP3 lineage, the mRNA of B class genes (*PheMADS2, PheMADS4-1* and *PheMADS4-2*) showed a similar expression pattern: mainly in stamen development (F3) (Figure 4). Rice OsMADS16/SPW1 and maize SILKY1 (SL) mRNA were detected mainly in the lodicules and stamen primordia during floral development, but not in developing carpels (Ambrose et al., 2000; Nagasawa et al., 2003). In wheat, the expression of TaAP3 was obviously accumulated in mature female organs, but the function of TaAP3 was unknown (Paollacci et al., 2007). To further explore the spatial and temporal expression pattern of B class genes, a stronger expression of PheMADS4-1 was observed in stamen by *in situ* hybridization (Figures 5B,H,N). For B. edulis, BeMADS2, the PI/GLO-like gene, also displayed similar expression patterns with PheMADS4-1, was highly expressed in anthers (Shih et al., 2014). This result correlated with that of PI and AP3. However, only PheMADS20 was strongly expressed in the spikelet primordia before lemma and palea initiation (F1) (Figure 4). In Arabidopsis, AG was a typical class C gene (Yanofsky et al., 1990). As proposed by the ABC model, the AG gene was essential to specify stamen and carpel identity and floral determinacy. In rice, analysis of osmads3 osmads58 double mutant revealed the fact that OsMADS3 and OsMADS58 were involved in reproductive organ identity and accumulation of lodicules in the whorl 3 and whorl 4 (Dreni et al., 2011). Besides, in wheat, TaAG-1 and TaAG-2 transcripts were highly expressed in the stamens and pistils (Paollacci et al., 2007). PheMADS3, was also detected in stamens, carpels and ovule primordial by *in situ* hybridization (Figure 5O). PheMADS21 was also part of the AG-lineage and mainly expressed in anthers and pistils, with especially high levels in anthers by *in situ* hybridization (Figure 5P). In Arabidopsis, the class D gene, STK, was exclusively expressed in ovules (Pinyopich et al., 2003). In rice, two class D





genes have been identified, namely *OsMADS13* (Lopez-Dee et al., 1999) and *OsMADS21* (Lee et al., 2003) based on phylogenetic reconstruction. The expression pattern of *OsMADS13* which was specifically expressed in the ovule was very similar to maize *ZAG2* and *Arabidopsis STK* (Schmidt et al., 1993; Lopez-Dee et al., 1999; Dreni et al., 2007). Moreover, the expression region of *OsMADS21* which was highly expressed in the inner cell layers of the ovary and in the ovule integuments, overlapped with that

of *OsMADS13* (Dreni et al., 2007). The expression pattern of *PheMADS21* was slightly different from the *Arabidopsis* ortholog *STK* and the rice ortholog *OsMADS21*. Thus, much deeper investigations are needed to further substantiate the classification and functioning of *PheMADS3* and *PheMADS21*.

In *Arabidopsis*, the E class genes, such as *Arabidopsis SEP* genes were involved in the specification of sepal, petal, stamen, carpel, and ovule identity and interact with the class A, B,

C, and D genes to form higher order MADS-box protein complexes (Honma and Goto, 2001; Pelaz et al., 2001; Favaro et al., 2003). In P. edulis, three E class genes, such as PheMADS1, PheMADS5, and PheMADS34 belonged to the SEP lineage. On in situ hybridization analysis, PheMADS5 was highly expressed throughout the floral meristem and subsequently detected in palea, lemma, and anthers in the mature flower (Figures 5E,K,Q). In rice, OsMADS5, a SEP-like gene, caused homeotic transformation of all floral organs except the lemma into leaf-like organs (Cui et al., 2010). The expression of PheMADS34 which was high in flower bud formation, was similar to OsMADS34. However, OsMADS34 played a key role in lemma/palea, lodicules, stamens, and carpel (Gao et al., 2010). These findings show many significant differences can be observed between rice and moso bamboo. Future functional studies will have to explore biological function of these PheMADS genes.

Overexpression of *PheMADS15* Promotes Flowering Time

In rice, OsMADS14 and OsMADS15 were previously identified as flowering regulators (Kim et al., 2007; Lu et al., 2012). Here, we report the identification and characterization of a MADS-box gene from P. edulis, PheMADS15, which through ectopic overexpression triggered earlier flowering time in Arabidopsis. PheMADS15, an AP1-like gene, is highly expressed during flower bud morphological differentiation (Figure 5A) and it is located in the nucleus (Figure 8B). LFY and AP1 which were expressed in the converted floral meristems primarily control Arabidopsis flower meristems (Shannon and Meeks-Wagner, 1991; Weigel et al., 1992; Ferrándiz et al., 2000). In this study, early flowering time was also observed in 35S: PheMADS15 transgenic Arabidopsis. Meanwhile, the expression level of LFY and SOC1 was up-regulated in 35S:PheMADS15 transgenic Arabidopsis compared with wild type Arabidopsis. SOC1 promoted floral transitions and is considered as one of the core regulators of flowering in Arabidopsis (Moon et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2008; Lee and Lee, 2010; Dorca-Fornell et al., 2011). AP1 is another positive regulator of LFY and is highly expressed in converted floral meristems (Liljegren et al., 1999). In addition, OsMADS14, OsMADS15, and OsMADS18, three AP1/FUL-like genes, were

REFERENCES

- Alvarez-Buylla, E. R., Liljegren, S. J., Pelaz, S., Gold, S. E., Burgeff, C., Ditta, G. S., et al. (2000a). MADS-box gene evolution beyond flowers: expression in pollen, endosperm, guard cells, roots and trichomes. *Plant J.* 24, 457–466.
- Alvarez-Buylla, E. R., Pelaz, S., Liljegren, S. J., Gold, S. E., Burgeff, C., Ditta, G. S., et al. (2000b). An ancestral MADS-box gene duplication occurred before the divergence of plants and animals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 97, 5328–5333.
- Ambrose, B. A., Lerner, D. R., Ciceri, P., Padilla, C. M., Yanofsky, M. F., and Schmidt, R. J. (2000). Molecular and genetic analyses of the *Silky1* gene reveal conservation in floral organ specification between eudicots and monocots. *Mol. Cell* 5, 569–579. doi: 10.1016/S1097-2765(00)80450-5
- Angenent, G. C., and Colombo, L. (1996). Molecular control of ovule development. *Trends Plant Sci.* 1, 228–232. doi: 10.1016/S1360-1385(96)86900-0
- Arora, R., Agarwal, P., Ray, S., Singh, A. K., Singh, V. P., Tyagi, A. K., et al. (2007). MADS-box gene family in rice: genome-wide identification, organization and expression profiling during reproductive development and stress. *BMC Genomics* 8:242. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-8-242

involved in the regulation of flowering time (Kobayashi et al., 2012). This leads us to suspect that *PheMADS15* promotes flowering time by regulating *LFY* and *SOC1* directly or indirectly. This is consistent with the previous reports about some *AP1*-like genes (Weigel et al., 1992), suggesting that *PheMADS15* is a functional ortholog of *Arabidopsis AP1*. Further research on the transcriptional regulatory network mediated by PheMADSs will increase knowledge surrounding the transcriptional regulation of flowering time in *P. edulis*.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ZC, WG, and LL performed all the experiments, data analysis and wrote the paper. XL and SM analyzed data. DH, YM, JL, and QB revised the manuscript. JG designed and supervised experiments.

FUNDING

This work was supported by National High Technology Research and Development Program of China "Moso Bamboo Functional Genomics Research" (Grant No.2013AA102607-4), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31570673) and Fundamental Research Funds of ICBR [grant No.1632015009].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank CC (Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China) for providing rice protoplast transformation technology platform and Prof. Xiaoting Qi (Capital Normal University, Beijing, China) for providing the yeast strain PJ69-4a.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpls.2017.00656/ full#supplementary-material

- Bailey, T. L., and Elkan, C. (1995). "The value of prior knowledge in discovering motifs with MEME," in *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Intelligent Systems for Molecular Biology*, Vol. 3, Menlo Park, CA, 21–29.
- Bowman, J. L., Alvarez, J., Weigel, D., Meyerowitz, E. M., and Smyth, D. R. (1993). Control of flower development in *Arabidopsis thaliana* by *APETALA1* and interacting genes. *Development* 119, 721–721.
- Causier, B., Castillo, R., Zhou, J., Ingram, R., Xue, Y., Schwarz-Sommer, Z., et al. (2005). Evolution in action: following function in duplicated floral homeotic genes. *Curr. Biol.* 15, 1508–1512. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2005.07.063
- Coen, E. S., and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1991). The war of the whorls: genetic interactions controlling flower development. *Nature* 353, 31–37. doi: 10.1038/ 353031a0
- Cui, R., Han, J., Zhao, S., Su, K., Wu, F., Du, X., et al. (2010). Functional conservation and diversification of class E floral homeotic genes in rice (*Oryza* sativa). Plant J. 61, 767–781. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.04101.x
- de Almeida Engler, J., De Groodt, R., Van Montagu, M., and Engler, G. (2001). *In situ* hybridization to mRNA of *Arabidopsis* tissue sections. *Methods* 23, 325–334. doi: 10.1006/meth.2000.1144

- de Hoon, M. J., Imoto, S., Nolan, J., and Miyano, S. (2004). Open source clustering software. *Bioinformatics* 20, 1453–1454. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bth078
- Ditta, G., Pinyopich, A., and Robles, P. (2004). The SEP4 gene of Arabidopsis thaliana functions in floral organ and meristem identity. Curr. Biol. 14, 1935–1940. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2004.10.028
- Dorca-Fornell, C., Gregis, V., Grandi, V., Coupland, G., Colombo, L., and Kater, M. M. (2011). The Arabidopsis SOC1-like genes AGL42, AGL71 and AGL72 promote flowering in the shoot apical and axillary meristems. Plant J. 67, 1006–1017. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04653.x
- Dreni, L., Jacchia, S., Fornara, F., Fornari, M., Ouwerkerk, P. B., An, G., et al. (2007). The D-lineage MADS-box gene OsMADS13 controls ovule identity in rice. Plant J. 52, 690–699. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03272.x
- Dreni, L., Pilatone, A., Yun, D., Erreni, S., Pajoro, A., Caporali, E., et al. (2011). Functional analysis of all AGAMOUS subfamily members in rice reveals their roles in reproductive organ identity determination and meristem determinacy. *Plant Cell* 23, 2850–2863. doi: 10.1105/tpc.111.087007
- Ehrenreich, I. M., Hanzawa, Y., Chou, L., Roe, J. L., Kover, P. X., and Purugganan, M. D. (2009). Candidate gene association mapping of *Arabidopsis* flowering time. *Genetics* 183, 325–335. doi: 10.1534/genetics.109.105189
- Fan, C., Ma, J., Guo, Q., Li, X., Wang, H., and Lu, M. (2013). Selection of reference genes for quantitative real-time PCR in bamboo (*Phyllostachys edulis*). *PLoS ONE* 8:e56573. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0056573
- Favaro, R., Pinyopich, A., Battaglia, R., Kooiker, M., Borghi, L., Ditta, G., et al. (2003). MADS-box protein complexes control carpel and ovule development in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 15, 2603–2611. doi: 10.1105/tpc.015123
- Feldmann, K. A., and Marks, M. D. (1987). Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of germinating seeds of *Arabidopsis thaliana*: a non-tissue culture approach. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 208, 1–9. doi: 10.1007/BF00330414
- Ferrándiz, C., Gu, Q., Martienssen, R., and Yanofsky, M. F. (2000). Redundant regulation of meristem identity and plant architecture by FRUITFULL, APETALA1 and CAULIFLOWER. Development 127, 725–734.
- Fornara, F., de Montaigu, A., and Coupland, G. (2010). SnapShot: control of flowering in Arabidopsis. Cell 141, 550. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2010.04.024
- Fornara, F., Paøenicová, L., Falasca, G., Pelucchi, N., Masiero, S., Ciannamea, S., et al. (2004). Functional characterization of OsMADS18, a member of the API/SQUA subfamily of MADS-box genes. Plant Physiol. 135, 2207–2219. doi: 10.1104/pp.104.045039
- Gao, J., Zhang, Y., Zhang, C., Qi, F., Li, X., Mu, S., et al. (2014). Characterization of the floral Transcriptome of moso bamboo (*Phyllostachys edulis*). at Different flowering developmental stages by transcriptome sequencing and RNA-Seq analysis. *PLoS ONE* 9:e98910. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0098910
- Gao, X., Liang, W., Yin, C., Ji, S., Wang, H., Su, X., et al. (2010). The SEPALLATA-like gene OsMADS34 is required for rice inflorescence and spikelet development. Plant Physiol. 153, 728–740. doi: 10.1104/pp.110.156711
- Gaut, B. S. (2002). Evolutionary dynamics of grass genomes. *New Phytol.* 154, 15–28. doi: 10.1046/j.1469-8137.2002.00352.x
- Gaut, B. S., Morton, B. R., McCaig, B. C., and Clegg, M. T. (1996). Substitution rate comparisons between grasses and palms: synonymous rate differences at the nuclear gene *Adh* parallel rate differences at the plastid gene *rbcL*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 93, 10274–10279. doi: 10.1073/pnas.93.19. 10274
- Goto, K., and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1994). Function and regulation of the Arabidopsis floral homeotic gene PISTILLATA. Gene. Dev. 8, 1548–1560. doi: 10.1101/gad. 8.13.1548
- Grass Phylogeny Working Group, Barker, N. P., Clark, L. G., Davis, J. I, Duvall, M. R., Guala, G. F., et al. (2001). Phylogeny and subfamilial classification of the grasses (Poaceae). Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard. 88, 373–457. doi: 10.2307/3298585
- Gregis, V., Sessa, A., Dorca-Fornell, C., and Kater, M. M. (2009). The *Arabidopsis* floral meristem identity genes *AP1*, *AGL24* and *SVP* directly repress class B and C floral homeotic genes. *Plant J.* 60, 626–637. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009. 03985.x
- Guo, A. Y., Zhu, Q. H., Chen, X., and Luo, J. C. (2007). GSDS: a gene structure display server. Yi Chuan 29, 1023–1026. doi: 10.1360/yc-007-1023
- Guo, X. Y., Xu, G. H., Zhang, Y., Hu, W. M., and Fan, L. J. (2004). Small-scale duplications play a significant role in rice genome evolution. *Rice Sci.* 12, 173–178.
- Henschel, K., Kofuji, R., Hasebe, M., Saedler, H., Munster, T., and Theissen, G. (2002). Two ancient classes of MIKC-type MADS-box genes are present in

the Moss Physcomitrella patens. Mol. Biol. Evol. 19, 801-814. doi: 10.1093/ oxfordjournals.molbev.a004137

- Honma, T., and Goto, K. (2001). Complexes of MADS-box proteins are sufficient to convert leaves into floral organs. *Nature* 409, 525–529. doi: 10.1038/35054083
- Hu, L. F., Liang, W. Q., Yin, C. S., Cui, X., Zong, J., Wang, X., et al. (2011). Rice MADS3 regulates ROS homeostasis during late anther development. *Plant Cell* 23, 515–533. doi: 10.1105/tpc.110.074369
- Huang, H., Tudor, M., and Weiss, C. A. (1995). The Arabidopsis MADS-box gene AGL3 is widely expressed and encodes a sequence-specific DNA-binding protein. Plant. Mol. Biol. 28, 549–567. doi: 10.1007/BF00020401
- Irish, V. F., and Litt, A. (2005). Flower development and evolution: gene duplication, diversification and redeployment. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 15, 454–460. doi: 10.1016/j.gde.2005.06.001
- Irish, V. F., and Sussex, I. M. (1990). Function of the apetala-1 gene during Arabidopsis floral development. Plant Cell 2, 741–753. doi: 10.1105/tpc.2.8.741
- Jack, T. (2001). Plant development going MADS. Plant Mol. Biol. 46, 515–520. doi: 10.1023/A:1010689126632
- Jack, T., Brockman, L. L., and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1992). The homeotic gene APETALA3 of Arabidopsis thaliana encodes a MADS-box and is expressed in petals and stamens. Cell 68, 683–697. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(92)90144-2
- Jofuku, K. D., Den Boer, B., Van Montagu, M., and Okamuro, J. K. (1994). Control of *Arabidopsis* flower and seed development by the homeotic gene *APETALA2*. *Plant Cell* 6, 1211–1225. doi: 10.1105/tpc.6.9.1211
- Kater, M. M., Dreni, L., and Colombo, L. (2006). Functional conservation of MADS-box factors controlling floral organ identity in rice and *Arabidopsis*. *J. Exp. Bot.* 57, 3433–3444. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erl097
- Kim, S. L., Lee, S., Kim, H. J., Nam, H. G., and An, G. (2007). OsMADS51 is a short-day flowering promoter that functions upstream of *Ehd1*, OsMADS14, and Hd3a. Plant Physiol. 145, 1484–1494. doi: 10.1104/pp.107.103291
- Kobayashi, K., Yasuno, N., and Sato, Y. (2012). Inflorescence meristem identity in rice is specified by overlapping functions of three *AP1/FUL*-like MADS-box genes and *PAP2*, a *SEPALLATA* MADS-box gene. *Plant Cell* 24, 1848–1859. doi: 10.1105/tpc.112.097105
- Kofuji, R., Sumikawa, N., Yamasaki, M., Kondo, K., Ueda, K., Ito, M., et al. (2003). Evolution and divergence of the MADS-box gene family based on genomewide expression analyses. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 20, 1963–1977. doi: 10.1093/molbev/ msg216
- Kramer, E. M., Dorit, R. L., and Irish, V. F. (1998). Molecular evolution of genes controlling petal and stamen development: duplication and divergence within the APETALA3 and PISTILLATA MADS-box gene lineages. Genetics 149, 765–783.
- Kramer, E. M., Jaramillo, M. A., and Di Stilio, V. S. (2004). Patterns of gene duplication and functional evolution during the diversification of the AGAMOUS subfamily of MADS-box genes in angiosperms. *Genetics* 166, 1011–1023. doi: 10.1534/genetics.166.2.1011
- Lee, J., and Lee, I. (2010). Regulation and function of SOC1, a flowering pathway integrator. J. Exp. Bot. 61, 2247–2254. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erq098
- Lee, S., Jeon, J. S., An, K., Moon, Y. H., Lee, S., Chung, Y. Y., et al. (2003). Alteration of floral organ identity in rice through ectopic expression of OsMADS16. Planta 217, 904–911. doi: 10.1007/s00425-003-1066-8
- Li, D., Yang, C., Li, X., Gan, Q., Zhao, X., and Zhu, L. (2009). Functional characterization of rice *OsDof12*. *Planta* 229, 1159–1169. doi: 10.1007/s00425-009-0893-7
- Li, H., Liang, W., Hu, Y., Zhu, L., Yin, C., Xu, J., et al. (2011). Rice *MADS6* interacts with the floral homeotic genes *SUPERWOMAN1*, *MADS3*, *MADS58*, *MADS13* and *DROOPING LEAF* in specifying floral organ identities and meristem fate. *Plant Cell* 23, 2536–2552. doi: 10.1105/tpc.111.087262
- Liljegren, S. J., Gustafson Brown, C., Pinyopich, A., Ditta, G. S., and Yanofsky, M. F. (1999). Interactions among APETALA1, LEAFY and TERMINAL FLOWER1 specify meristem fate. *Plant Cell* 11, 1007–1018. doi: 10.1105/tpc.11.6. 1007
- Liu, C., Chen, H., Er, H. L., Soo, H. M., Kumar, P. P., Han, J. H., et al. (2008). Direct interaction of AGL24 and SOC1 integrates flowering signals in Arabidopsis. Development 135, 1481–1491. doi: 10.1242/dev.020255
- Lopez-Dee, Z. P., Wittich, P., Enrico, P. M., Rigola, D., Del Buono, I., Gorla, M. S., et al. (1999). OsMADS13, a novel rice MADS-box gene expressed during ovule development. Dev. Genet. 25, 237–244. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1520-6408(1999)25: 3<237::AID-DVG6>3.0.CO;2-L

- Lu, S. J., Wei, H., Wang, Y., Wang, H. M., Yang, R. F., Zhang, X. B., et al. (2012). Overexpression of a transcription factor OsMADS15 modifies plant architecture and flowering time in rice (Oryza sativa L.). Plant Mol. Biol. Rep. 30, 1461–1469. doi: 10.1007/s11105-012-0468-9
- Lynch, M., and Conery, J. S. (2000). The evolutionary fate and consequences of duplicate genes. *Science* 290, 1151–1155. doi: 10.1126/science.290.5494.1151
- Mandel, M. A., Gustafson Brown, C., Savidge, B., and Yanofsky, M. F. (1992). Molecular characterization of the *Arabidopsis* floral homeotic gene *APETALA1*. *Nature* 360, 273–277. doi: 10.1038/360273a0
- Mandel, M. A., and Yanofsky, M. F. (1998). The Arabidopsis AGL 9 MADS-box gene is expressed in young flower primordia. Sex. Plant Reprod. 11, 22–28. doi: 10.1007/s004970050116
- Moon, J., Suh, S. S., Lee, H., Choi, K. R., Hong, C. B., Paek, N. C., et al. (2003). The SOC1 MADS-box gene integrates vernalization and gibberellin signals for flowering in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 35, 613–623. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.2003. 01833.x
- Nagasawa, N., Miyoshi, M., Sano, Y., Satoh, H., Hirano, H., Sakai, H., et al. (2003). SUPERWOMAN1 and DROOPING LEAF genes control floral organ identity in rice. Development 130, 705–718. doi: 10.1242/dev.00294
- Nam, J., dePamphilis, C. W., Ma, H., and Nei, M. (2003). Antiquity and evolution of the MADS-box gene family controlling flower development in plants. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 20, 1435–1447. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msg152
- Nam, J., Kim, J., Lee, S., An, G., Ma, H., and Nei, M. (2004). Type I MADSbox genes have experienced faster birth-and-death evolution than type II MADS-box genes in angiosperms. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 101, 1910–1915. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0308430100
- Ohno, S. (2013). Evolution by Gene Duplication. Berlin: Springer Science and Business Media.
- Paollacci, A. R., Tanzarella, O. A., Porceddu, E., Varotto, S., and Ciaffi, M. (2007). Molecular and phylogenetic analysis of MADS-box genes of MIKC type and chromosome location of SEP-like genes in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Mol. Genet. Genomics 278, 689–708. doi: 10.1007/s00438-007-0285-2
- Pařenicová, L., de Folter, S., Kieffer, M., Horner, D. S., Favalli, C., Busscher, J., et al. (2003). Molecular and phylogenetic analyses of the complete MADS-box transcription factor family in *Arabidopsis* new openings to the MADS world. *Plant Cell* 15, 1538–1551. doi: 10.1105/tpc.011544
- Paterson, A., Bowers, J., and Chapman, B. (2004). Ancient polyploidization predating divergence of the cereals, and its consequences for comparative genomics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 101, 9903–9908. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 0307901101
- Pelaz, S., Ditta, G. S., Baumann, E., Wisman, E., and Yanofsky, M. F. (2000). B and C floral organ identity functions require SEPALLATA MADS-box genes. Nature 405, 200–203. doi: 10.1038/35012103
- Pelaz, S., Tapia-López, R., Alvarez-Buylla, E. R., and Yanofsky, M. F. (2001). Conversion of leaves into petals in *Arabidopsis. Curr. Biol.* 11, 182–184. doi: 10.1016/S0960-9822(01)00024-0
- Pelucchi, N., Fornara, F., Favalli, C., Masiero, S., Lago, C., Pè, E. M., et al. (2002). Comparative analysis of rice MADS-box genes expressed during flower development. *Sex. Plant Reprod.* 15, 113–122. doi: 10.1007/s00497-002-0151-7
- Peng, Z., Lu, Y., Li, L., Zhao, Q., Feng, Q., Gao, Z., et al. (2013). The draft genome of the fast-growing non-timber forest species moso bamboo (*Phyllostachys heterocycla*). Nat. Genet. 45, 456–461. doi: 10.1038/ng.2569
- Pinyopich, A., Ditta, G. S., Savidge, B., Liljegren, S. J., Baumann, E., Wisman, E., et al. (2003). Assessing the redundancy of MADS-box genes during carpel and ovule development. *Nature* 424, 85–88. doi: 10.1038/nature01741
- Preston, J. C., and Kellogg, E. A. (2007). Conservation and divergence of APETALA1/FRUITFULL-like gene function in grasses: evidence from gene expression analyses. *Plant J.* 52, 69–81. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03209.x
- Purugganan, M. D. (1997). The MADS-box floral homeotic gene lineages predate the origin of seed plants: phylogenetic and molecular clock estimates. J. Mol. Evol. 45, 392–396. doi: 10.1007/PL00006244
- Rudall, P. J., Stuppy, W., Cunniff, J., Kellogg, E. A., and Briggs, B. G. (2005). Evolution of reproductive structures in grasses (Poaceae) inferred by sistergroup comparison with their putative closest living relatives, Ecdeiocoleaceae. *Am. J. Bot.* 92, 1432–1443. doi: 10.3732/ajb.92.9.1432
- Saitou, N., and Nei, M. (1987). The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 4, 406–425.

- Saldanha, A. J. (2004). Java Treeview-extensible visualization of microarray data. Bioinformatics 20, 3246–3248. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bth349
- Sang, X., Li, Y., and Luo, Z. (2012). CHIMERIC FLORAL ORGANS1, encoding a monocot-specific MADS-box protein, regulates floral organ identity in rice. *Plant Physiol.* 160, 788–807. doi: 10.1104/pp.112.200980
- Savidge, B., Rounsley, S. D., and Yanofsky, M. F. (1995). Temporal relationship between the transcription of two *Arabidopsis* MADS-box genes and the floral organ identity genes. *Plant Cell* 7, 721–733. doi: 10.1105/tpc.7.6.721
- Schmidt, R. J., Veit, B., Mandel, M. A., Mena, M., Hake, S., and Yanofsky, M. F. (1993). Identification and molecular characterization of ZAG1, the maize homolog of the Arabidopsis floral homeotic gene AGAMOUS. Plant Cell 5, 729–737. doi: 10.1105/tpc.5.7.729
- Schultz, E. A., and Haughn, G. W. (1991). *LEAFY*, a homeotic gene that regulates inflorescence development in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 3, 771–781. doi: 10.1105/ tpc.3.8.771
- Shan, H., Zahn, L., Guindon, S., Wall, P. K., Kong, H., Ma, H., et al. (2009). Evolution of plant MADS-box transcription factors: evidence for shifts in selection associated with early angiosperm diversification and concerted gene duplications. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 26, 2229–2244. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msp129
- Shannon, S., and Meeks-Wagner, D. R. (1991). A mutation in the Arabidopsis TFL1 gene affects inflorescence meristem development. Plant Cell 3, 877–892. doi: 10.1105/tpc.3.9.877
- Shih, M. C., Chou, M. L., Yue, J. J., Hsu, C. T., Chang, W. J., Ko, S. S., et al. (2014). *BeMADS1* is a key to delivery MADSs into nucleus in reproductive tissues-De novo characterization of *Bambusa edulis* transcriptome and study of MADS genes in bamboo floral development. *BMC Plant Biol.* 14:179. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-14-179
- Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A., and Kumar, S. (2013). MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30, 2725–2729. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst197
- Teper-Bamnolker, P., and Samach, A. (2005). The flowering integrator FT regulates SEPALLATA3 and FRUITFULL accumulation in Arabidopsis leaves. Plant Cell 17, 2661–2675. doi: 10.1105/tpc.105.035766
- Theissen, G., Becker, A., Di Rosa, A., Kanno, A., Kim, J. T., Munster, T., et al. (2000). A short history of MADS-box genes in plants. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 42, 115–149. doi: 10.1023/A:1006332105728
- Theißen, G., and Saedler, H. (2001). Plant biology: floral quartets. *Nature* 409, 469-471. doi: 10.1038/35054172
- Thompson, J. D., Gibson, T. J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F., and Higgins, D. G. (1997). The CLUSTAL_X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25, 4876–4882. doi: 10.1093/nar/25.24.4876
- Tian, B., Chen, Y., Li, D., and Yan, Y. (2006). Cloning and characterization of a bamboo Leafy Hull Sterile1 homologous gene: full length research paper. *Mitochondr. DNA* 17, 143–151.
- Tian, B., Chen, Y., Yan, Y., and Li, D. (2005). Isolation and ectopic expression of a bamboo MADS-box gene. *Chin. Sci. Bull.* 50, 217–224. doi: 10.1007/ BF02897530
- Vogel, J. P., Garvin, D. F., and Mockler, T. C. (1992). *LEAFY* controls floral meristem identity in *Arabidopsis*. *Cell* 69, 843–859. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(92) 90295-N
- Wei, B., Zhang, R.-Z., Guo, J.-J., Liu, D.-M., Li, A.-L., Fan, R.-C., et al. (2014). Genome-wide analysis of the MADS-box gene family in *Brachypodium distachyon*. *PLoS ONE* 9:e84781. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0084781
- Weigel, D., Alvarez, J., Smyth, D. R., Yanofsky, M. F., and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1992). LEAFY controls floral meristem identity in Arabidopsis. Cell 69, 843–859. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(92)90295-N
- Whipple, C. J., Ciceri, P., and Padilla, C. M. (2004). Conservation of B-class floral homeotic gene function between maize and *Arabidopsis. Development* 2004, 6083–6091. doi: 10.1242/dev.01523
- Whipple, C. J., Zanis, M. J., Kellogg, E. A., and Schmidt, R. J. (2007). Conservation of B class gene expression in the second whorl of a basal grass and outgroups links the origin of lodicules and petals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 1081–1086. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0606434104
- Yamaguchi, T., Lee, D. Y., Miyao, A., Hirochika, H., An, G. H., and Hirano, H.-Y. (2006). Functional diversification of the two C-class MADS box genes OsMADS3 and OsMADS58 in Oryza sativa. Plant Cell 18, 15–28. doi: 10.1105/ tpc.105.037200

- Yang, X., Wu, F., Lin, X., Du, X., Chong, K., Gramzow, L., et al. (2012). Live and let die - the B_{sister} MADS-box gene OsMADS29 controls the degeneration of cells in maternal tissues during seed development of rice (Oryza sativa). PLoS ONE 7:e51435. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0051435
- Yanofsky, M. F., Ma, H., Bowman, J. L., Drews, G. N., Feldmann, K. A., and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1990). The protein encoded by the *Arabidopsis* homeotic gene *agamous* resembles transcription factors. *Nature* 346, 35–39. doi: 10.1038/ 346035a0
- Yao, S.-G., Ohmori, S., Kimizu, M., and Yoshida, H. (2008). Unequal genetic redundancy of rice *PISTILLATA* orthologs, *OsMADS2* and *OsMADS4*, in lodicule and stamen development. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 49, 853–857. doi: 10.1093/ pcp/pcn050
- Yu, J., Wang, J., Lin, W., Li, S., Li, H., Zhou, J., et al. (2005). The genomes of Oryza sativa: a history of duplications. PLoS Biol. 3:e38. doi: 10.1371/journal. pbio.0030038

Zhang, Y., Su, J., Duan, S., Ao, Y., Dai, J., Liu, J., et al. (2011). A highly efficient rice green tissue protoplast system for transient gene expression and studying light/chloroplast-related processes. *Plant Methods* 7:30. doi: 10.1186/1746-4811-7-30

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Cheng, Ge, Li, Hou, Ma, Liu, Bai, Li, Mu and Gao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.