



Miniature Inverted Repeat Transposable Element Insertions Provide a Source of Intron Length Polymorphism Markers in the Carrot (Daucus carota L.)

Katarzyna Stelmach, Alicja Macko-Podgórni, Gabriela Machaj and Dariusz Grzebelus*

Faculty of Biotechnology and Horticulture, Institute of Plant Biology and Biotechnology, University of Agriculture in Krakow, Krakow, Poland

The prevalence of non-autonomous class II transposable elements (TEs) in plant genomes may serve as a tool for relatively rapid and low-cost development of gene-associated molecular markers. Miniature inverted-repeat transposable element (MITE) copies inserted within introns can be exploited as potential intron length polymorphism (ILP) markers. ILPs can be detected by PCR with primers anchored in exon sequences flanking the target introns. Here, we designed primers for 209 DcSto (Daucus carota Stowaway-like) MITE insertion sites within introns along the carrot genome and validated them as candidate ILP markers in order to develop a set of markers for genotyping the carrot. As a proof of concept, 90 biallelic DcS-ILP markers were selected and used to assess genetic diversity of 27 accessions comprising wild Daucus carota and cultivated carrot of different root shape. The number of effective alleles was 1.56, mean polymorphism informative content was 0.27, while the average observed and expected heterozygosity was 0.24 and 0.34, respectively. Sixty-seven loci showed positive values of Wright's fixation index. Using Bayesian approach, two clusters comprising four wild and 23 cultivated accessions, respectively, were distinguished. Within the cultivated carrot gene pool, four subclusters representing accessions from Chantenay, Danvers, Imperator, and Paris Market types were revealed. It is the first molecular evidence for root-type associated diversity structure in western cultivated carrot. DcS-ILPs detected substantial genetic diversity among the studied accessions and, showing considerable discrimination power, may be exploited as a tool for germplasm characterization and analysis of genome relationships. The developed set of DcS-ILP markers is an easily accessible molecular marker genotyping system based on TE insertion polymorphism.

Keywords: DcSto, genetic diversity structure, ILP, Stowaway-like MITEs, TEs

INTRODUCTION

Transposable elements (TEs) are segments of DNA that can move themselves to new chromosomal location. They are prevalent in the genomes of both prokaryotes and eukaryotes, and account for a great subsection of the genetic variation in plants and animals. Some plant genomes are composed of transposable elements in more than two thirds, as the 77% of the maize genome

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Fulvio Cruciani, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy

Reviewed by:

Christian Parisod, University of Neuchâtel, Switzerland Tina T. Hu, Princeton University, USA

> *Correspondence: Dariusz Grzebelus d.grzebelus@ogr.ur.krakow.pl

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Evolutionary and Population Genetics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

> Received: 20 February 2017 Accepted: 19 April 2017 Published: 09 May 2017

Citation:

Stelmach K, Macko-Podgórni A, Machaj G and Grzebelus D (2017) Miniature Inverted Repeat Transposable Element Insertions Provide a Source of Intron Length Polymorphism Markers in the Carrot (Daucus carota L.). Front. Plant Sci. 8:725. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00725

1

(Meyers et al., 2001). Miniature inverted-repeat transposable elements (MITEs) are a special type of class II non-autonomous elements with a maximum of a few hundred base pairs in size (Hua-Van et al., 2005). Although they were first discovered in plant genomes (Bureau and Wessler, 1992, 1994), they have been also identified in a wide range of animal, eubacteria and archea genomes (Brügger et al., 2002; Feschotte et al., 2002). The two largest MITE families, Stowaway and Tourist, were identified as members of the Tc1/Mariner and the PIF/Harbinger superfamilies, respectively (Jiang et al., 2004). Stowaway MITEs were first described in the maize genome (Bureau and Wessler, 1994) as less than 500 bp long, forming a 2 bp TA TSD upon insertion. MITEs are usually present in many thousand copies per genome. 22,000 identified Stowaway MITEs were classified into 34 families in the Oryza sativa genome (Feschotte et al., 2003), whereas 18,000 MITE insertions were classified into 18 families in the Triticum spp. genome (Yaakov et al., 2013).

The ubiquity, genome-wide distribution and high copy numbers have provided genetic markers from both class I and class II TEs (Kumar and Hirochika, 2001). The abundance of MITE copies makes them highly useful source of polymorphism. To date, MITE Transposon Display (MITE-TD) and Inter-MITE Polymorphism (IMP) techniques exploiting the TIR sequences in *Oryza sativa, Zea mays, Sorghum bicolor, Hordeum vulgare*, and *Daucus carota* MITEs, have been developed (Chang et al., 2001; Park et al., 2003; Casa et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2005; Grzebelus et al., 2007). Some *Stowaway* MITEs identified to date were described as being preferentially inserted or retained in genic regions (Casa et al., 2000; Jiang et al., 2003). However, even though 54% of *DcSto* insertion sites in the carrot genome were located less than 2 kb away from or inside the coding sequences, random distribution of *DcSto* rather than preferential insertions around genes was proposed (Iorizzo et al., 2016).

Insertions within introns may provide a significant polymorphism. Intron polymorphisms, particularly intron length polymorphisms (ILPs), can be exploited as genetic markers used for gene mapping (Wydner et al., 1994) and population genetic surveys (Lessa, 1992). ILP takes advantage of the different rate of evolution of exons and introns that can result in conserved exon nucleotide sequences adjoined to more variable intron sequences. ILP can be detected by the polymerase chain reaction with a pair of primers anchored in the exons flanking the intron of interest (Wang et al., 2005). ILP markers are unique due to their gene-specifity, codominancy, conveniency, reliability and cost-efficiency. Furthermore, ILPs are characterized by high transferability among related plant species (Yang et al., 2007; Gupta et al., 2011). To date, studies on the development of ILP markers in plants have been restricted

Number	Accession	Species	Cultivar name	Root type	Origin	Source	e
1	RS33	Daucus carota subsp. sativus	Chantenay Royal	Chantenay	FRA	HRIGRU	8860
2	RS34	Daucus carota subsp. sativus	Chantenay Red Cored	Chantenay	GBR	HRIGRU	8847
3	RS35	Daucus carota subsp. sativus	Royal Chantenay	Chantenay	USA	HRIGRU	3882
4	RS37	Daucus carota subsp. sativus	Gold King	Chantenay	USA	HRIGRU	5127
5	RS39	Daucus carota subsp. sativus	Chantenay Long Type	Chantenay	USA	HRIGRU	5090
6	RS41	Daucus carota subsp. sativus	Chantenay Rex RS	Chantenay	NLD	HRIGRU	5589
7	RS43	Daucus carota subsp. sativus	Danvers 126	Danvers	GBR	HRIGRU	6487
8	RS44	Daucus carota subsp. sativus	Danvers Danro RS	Danvers	NLD	HRIGRU	5595
9	RS45	Daucus carota subsp. sativus	Danvers Red Cored	Danvers	USA	HRIGRU	5128
10	RS49	Daucus carota subsp. sativus	Danvers	Danvers	NLD	HRIGRU	11144
11	RS50	Daucus carota subsp. sativus	Danvers Pride	Danvers	USA	HRIGRU	8098
12	RS51	Daucus carota subsp. sativus	Danvers Half Long	Danvers	USA	HRIGRU	8109
13	RS56	Daucus carota subsp. sativus	Paris Market	Paris Market	NLD	HRIGRU	5596
14	RS57	Daucus carota subsp. sativus	Paris Forcing	Paris Market	GBR	HRIGRU	3966
15	RS59	Daucus carota subsp. sativus	French Forcing Horn	Paris Market	GBR	HRIGRU	6489
16	RS60	Daucus carota subsp. sativus	Parijse Market	Paris Market	_	HRIGRU	9294
17	RS62	Daucus carota subsp. sativus	Parijse Market (Rubin)	Paris Market	_	HRIGRU	9296
18	RS71	Daucus carota subsp. sativus	Gold Pak	Imperator	USA	HRIGRU	3885
19	RS72	Daucus carota subsp. sativus	Imperator 408	Imperator	USA	HRIGRU	3907
20	RS73	Daucus carota subsp. sativus	Imperator	Imperator	NLD	HRIGRU	11145
21	RS74	Daucus carota subsp. sativus	Imperator 407	Imperator	USA	HRIGRU	3891
22	RS75	Daucus carota subsp. sativus	Long Imperator 58	Imperator	USA	HRIGRU	3917
23	RS76	Daucus carota subsp. sativus	Imperator 58	Imperator	USA	HRIGRU	3892
24	CDS15	Daucus carota subsp. azoricus	-	-	ESP	HRIGRU	6667
25	CDS39	Daucus carota subsp. carota	-	-	CHE	HRIGRU	9226
26	CDS93	Daucus carota subsp. carota	-	-	USA	USDA	-
27	CDS40	Daucus carota subsp. carota	-	_	POL	HRIGRU	9270

to few species (Wang et al., 2005; Huang et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2010; Gupta et al., 2011, 2012; Li et al., 2013; Muthamilarasan et al., 2014).

Carrot is the most widely grown member of Apiaceae family. Its progenitor, wild Daucus carota L., is a plant commonly occurring in the temperate climatic zones. To date, a range molecular tools facilitating genome analysis in context of evolutionary history of wild and cultivated carrot have been developed, i.e., DArT, SSR, and SNP markers (Cavagnaro et al., 2011; Iorizzo et al., 2013; Grzebelus et al., 2014) and a set of ca. 30 resequenced genomes (Iorizzo et al., 2016). The analyses showed clear evidence for the carrot germplasm separation into three distinct groups of wild, western cultivated (European and American germplasm) and eastern cultivated (Asian germplasm) carrot. The majority of modern cultivars belong to the western group. Several varietal types were distinguished within western carrots, based primarily on the storage root shape and size (Prohens and Nuez, 2008). Despite apparent phenotypic differences, previous studies have indicated absence of any apparent population structure in western carrots, suggesting no significant genetic separation among these varietal types (Bradeen et al., 2002; Iorizzo et al., 2013).

In this study, we performed (1) a genome-wide search for *DcSto* (*Daucus carota Stowaway*-like) MITE insertion-based intron length polymorphism markers, and (2) validation of candidate ILP markers in order to develop a panel for genotyping the carrot by means of applying a simple, cost- and time-efficient polymerase chain reaction.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials

Twenty eight carrot accessions comprising four wild carrots of different origin, 23 western type carrot cultivars representing four types of root shape and a DH1 plant (Iorizzo et al., 2016) as the reference, were used for ILP validation (**Table 1**). Total genomic DNA was isolated from fresh young leaves using commercial DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) and used as the template for PCR amplification.

Development of ILP Markers

Coordinates of 4028 *DcSto* insertions belonging to 14 families were compared to coordinates of ca. 32 thousand genes annotated in the carrot reference DH1 genome assembly (Iorizzo et al., 2016; NCBI accession LNRQ01000000). 609 gene-associated *DcSto* insertion sites localized in introns were identified, of which 209 were manually selected for development of ILP markers. The criteria for initial selection were as followed: insertion sites were (1) free from any other annotated repetitive sequences, (2) present in introns not longer than 3.7 Kb, and (3) evenly distributed over each chromosome. Primer3 (Untergasser et al., 2012) and Primer-BLAST (Ye et al., 2012) were used to design PCR primer pairs anchored in exons flanking introns harboring the selected *DcSto* insertions. Primer pairs were designed to amplify fragments in a 400–3,700-bp range. The optimal annealing temperature was set to 58°C; and the size

and GC content ranged from 18 to 23 bases and 40 to 60%, respectively.

Validation and Evaluation of *DcS*-ILP Markers

Candidate ILP markers were selected for experimental evaluation. Amplification was carried out in a 10 μL total

	Chromosome 1	Chromosome 2	Chromosome 3
C WP	DcS_ILP101-DcS_ILP102*	DcS_ILP201*- DcS_ILP202	DcS_ILP301*
- 5 Mb	DcS_ILP103		DcS_ILP303 DcS_ILP302 DcS_ILP304*
- 10 Mb		DcS_ILP204	DcS_ILP305 DcS_ILP306
- 15 Mb	DcS_ILP105 DcS_ILP104*	DcS_ILP205 DcS_ILP207* DcS_ILP208	DcS_ILP307 DcS_ILP308
- 20 Mb	DcS_ILP107	DcS_ILP209*	
-25 Mb	DcS_ILP109 DcS_ILP110*	DcS_ILP211DcS_ILP210 DcS_ILP213DcS_ILP212	DcS_ILP309 DcS_ILP311 DcS_ILP313* DcS_ILP312*
- 30 Mb	DcS_ILP111DcS_ILP112*	DCS_ILP215 DCS_ILP216 DCS_ILP217 DCS_ILP219 DCS_ILP218 DCS_ILP219 DCS_ILP218	DcS_ILP315* DcS_ILP314*
- 35 Mb	DcS_ILP113	DcS_ILP223* DcS_ILP223* DcS_ILP224* DcS_ILP225* DcS_ILP226	Des_ILP317
- 40 Mb	DcS_ILP115*	DcS_ILP229 DcS_ILP228 DcS_ILP229 DcS_ILP230*	DcS_ILP319*DcS_ILP318*
- 45 Mb	DcS_ILP117* DcS_ILP118	000_ici 201	DcS_ILP321* DcS_ILP323 DcS_ILP322*
50 Mb	DcS_ILP119 DcS_ILP120		
,			
	Chromosome 4	Chromosome 5	Chromosome 6
Гомь	\cap	Dcs ILP501*	Dcs ILP601
- 4 Mb	DcS_ILP401-	DcS_ILP503* DcS_ILP502	DcS_ILP603 DcS_ILP602*
4 110	DcS_ILP403 DcS_ILP402	DcS_ILP505*	DcS_ILP605
- 8 Mb		DcS_ILP507* DcS_ILP508	Des Il Deset
- 12 Mb	DcS_ILP405*		DcS_ILP607
- 16 Mb	DcS_ILP407*DcS_ILP406*	DcS_ILP509* DcS_ILP510	DcS_ILP608*
10 MD	DcS_ILP409*		DcS_ILP609*
-20 Mb	DcS_ILP411 DcS_ILP410* DcS_ILP413* DcS_ILP412	DcS_ILP511 DcS_ILP513* DcS_ILP512*	DcS_ILP611 DcS_ILP612*
- 24 Mb	DcS_ILP415*DcS_ILP416	DcS_ILP514	DcS_ILP614*
- 28 Mb	DcS_ILP417* DcS_ILP418 DcS_ILP419 DcS_ILP420*		DcS_ILP615 DcS_ILP616*
- 32 Mb	DcS_ILP421 DcS_ILP422 DcS_ILP423* DcS_ILP422	DcS_ILP515 DcS_ILP517* DcS_ILP516*	DcS_ILP619*
	DcS_ILP425* DcS_ILP424*	DcS_ILP519 DcS_ILP518* DcS_ILP519 DcS_ILP520*	DcS_ILP621* DcS_ILP620
- 36 Mb		DcS_ILP521* DcS_ILP522	
-40 Mb		U Des_ILP524	
	Chromosome 7	Chromosome 8	Chromosome 9
Гомь	DcS_ILP701*- DcS_ILP702	DcS_ILP801*- DcS_ILP802*	DcS_ILP901
- 3 Mb	DcS_ILP703*		DcS_ILP903
6 Mb	DcS_ILP705* DcS_ILP704 DcS_ILP705* DcS_ILP706	DcS_ILP803* DcS_ILP805* DcS_ILP805*	DCS_ILP905
- 9 Mb	DcS ILP709 DcS ILP708*	DcS_ILP806*	DcS_ILP906*
U MU	DcS_ILP711	DcS_ILP807*	
- 12 Mb		DcS_ILP809 DcS_ILP811 DcS_ILP811	DcS_ILP907
- 15 Mb		Dos II P812*	DcS_ILP908
- 18 Mb	DcS_ILP713*	DCS_ILP815 DCS_ILP814*	DcS_ILP909*
- 21 Mb	DcS_ILP715* DcS_ILP714*	DcS_ILP819*	DcS_ILP911
- 24 Mb		DcS_ILP821 DcS_ILP820	DcS_ILP913 DcS_ILP912 DcS_ILP915 DcS_ILP914
- 27 Mb	DcS_ILP717* DcS_ILP718	DcS_ILP823*DcS_ILP822	
27 WD	DcS_ILP719 DcS_ILP720		DcS_ILP918
-30 Mb	- DcS_ILP722*		

FIGURE 1 | Physical genomic distribution of the 209 developed *DcS*-ILP markers on nine chromosomes of the carrot genome. The vertical bars correspond to the position of introns harboring *DcSto* insertions, selected for a development of ILP markers. Positively validated markers are marked by asterisk.

volume containing 20 ng of genomic DNA, 0.5 µM each of forward and reverse primer, 0.25 mM of each dNTP (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 0.5 U Taq DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 1x Taq buffer. The PCR amplifications were performed in an Eppendorf MasterCycler Gradient using the following thermal profile: 94°C (120 s), 30 cycles of 94°C (30 s), 56°C (30 s), 68°C (120 s) and final step of 68°C (600 s). For primers generating ambiguous profiles, the annealing temperature was adjusted to 58, 59, or 60°C. PCR products were separated in 1% agarose gels run in 1x Tris-borate-EDTA buffer (pH 8.0) at a constant current of 5V/cm for about 2 h, stained with Midori Green (Nippon Genetics) and analyzed using GelDoc-It imaging system (UVP). GeneRuler 1 kb and 100 bp⁺ DNA Ladders (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were used to determine product sizes for each locus. The amplicons representing additional local rearrangements within introns were excised, purified using GenJETTM Gel Extraction Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), cloned into T/A cloning vector (Promega Corporation) and transformed into Escherichia coli, strain DH10B. Up to five recombinant colonies were selected and cultured overnight at 37°C in culture tubes containing 5 mL of Luria-Bertani medium and ampicillin (100 mg/L). Plasmids were purified using Wizard SV Minipreps KIT (Promega Corporation). Sequencing reactions were set up with universal primers sp6 and T7 using Big Dye terminator chemistry (Applied Biosystems), as recommended by manufacturer. Sequencing was carried out on ABI 3700 capillary sequencer (Applied Biosystems). The sequences were manually edited using BioEdit (Hall, 1999) and aligned to the sequences of predicted genes for which ILP primers were designed.

Recording of Electrophoretic Bands and Statistical Data Analysis

The ILP marker profiles were scored manually. Each allele was scored as: 1 (empty insertion site), 2 (occupied insertion site) or 0 (lack of amplification). The codominant marker matrix with diploid individuals was created (Supplementary Table 1) and used in GenAlEx 6.5 (Peakall and Smouse, 2006) for creating genetic distance matrix and analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA). Expected and observed heterozygosity (H_e and H_o), and fixation index (F_{IS}) were computed using POPGENE 1.32 (Yeh et al., 2000). Polymorphism informative content (PIC) of *n*-allele locus, an indicator of a genetic marker's usefulness introduced by Botstein et al. (1980), was calculated as: $PIC = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^2$

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} 2p_i^2 p_j^2$, where p_i and p_j are the population frequency

of the *i*th and *j*th allele. Genetic structure was inferred using Bayesian model-based software STRUCTURE 2.2.3 (Pritchard et al., 2008) without information on the accession origin. Ten independent iterations with an admixture and correlated allele frequencies model were performed. The length of the burn-in period and the number of Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) replications after the burn-in were assigned at 10^5 for each number of clusters (K) set from 1 to 27 and 1 to 23 for further subclustering. The estimation of K was provided by joining the

log probability of data [LnP(D)] from STRUCTURE output and an *ad hoc* statistics ΔK (Evanno et al., 2005) based on the second rate of change of the log probability of data with respect to the number of clusters. In addition, CLUMPAK software (Kopelman et al., 2015) was used to confirm the selection of the best K. Based on the chosen K, each carrot accession was assigned to a subpopulation for which its membership value (Q) was higher than 0.6. AMOVA was performed using GenAlEx 6.5 to evaluate differentiation among the subpopulations. Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) was conducted to visualize genetic diversity of the studied accessions.

RESULTS

Development and Validation of the Candidate ILP Markers

Insertion sites of 209 *DcSto* MITEs within introns of annotated genes were chosen to develop *Daucus carota Stowaway*-like Intron Length Polymorphism (*DcS*-ILP) markers evenly distributed throughout the genome (**Figure 1**). The number of *DcSto* insertion sites evaluated per chromosome varied from 18 (chromosome 9) to 32 (chromosome 2), with an average of 23.22. Their density ranged from 1.37 (chromosome 2) to 2.57 per Mb (chromosome 1), with an average of 1.76.

Upon PCR amplification, 100 of the 209 sites showed the expected *DcSto* insertion-based polymorphism, however, in case of 10 sites at least one additional amplicon was present in at least one accession (**Figure 2**). Sequencing of those amplicons revealed that none of the additional variants was related to the activity of the *DcSto* copy present in the reference genome (data not shown). Of the remaining 109 sites, six did not amplify efficiently; 32 were monomorphic for all tested plants; 13 showed a complex pattern resulting from nonspecific amplification, whereas 58 yielded polymorphic products not associated with *DcSto* insertions (i.e.,



		Validated insertion sites					
Chromosome	Number of insertion sites	Polymorphic with two allelic variants resulting from <i>DcSto</i> insertion	Polymorphic with two allelic variants resulting from <i>DcSto</i> insertion and an additional variant	Polymorphic with many allelic variants not associated with DcSto insertion	Complex amplification pattern	Monomorphic	No amplification
1	20	9	-	7	1	2	1
2	32	11	-	12	2	6	1
3	24	8	4	4	3	4	1
4	25	11	2	6	1	4	1
5	24	10	3	6	1	3	1
6	21	10	1	6	1	3	_
7	22	11	-	5	1	5	-
8	23	15	-	7	-	1	-
9	18	5	-	5	3	4	1
Total	209	90	10	58	13	32	6

TABLE 2 | Results of the experimental validation of developed candidate DcS-ILP markers.

TABLE 3 | The intron length-based classification of candidate *DcS*-ILP markers.

Marker class	The range of intron lengths [bp]	Number of candidate DcS-ILP markers	Number of positively validated <i>DcS</i> -ILP markers
	400-1,000	75	34
11	1,001-1,600	80	34
111	1,601-2,200	27	15
IV	2,201–2,800	22	7
V	2,801–3,400	4	0
VI	>3,401	1	0

sizes of PCR products did not correspond to the expected sizes of empty or occupied variants) (Table 2).

The length of introns harboring the selected *DcSto* insertions varied from 449 to 3,637 bp. Based on the length of amplified introns, the developed markers were divided into six classes; I to V with intron size ranging from 400 to 3,400 bp, each at 600-bp interval, and class VI comprising introns longer than 3,400 bp (**Table 3**). Introns belonging to classes I to IV comprised 97.6% of all the developed markers. Class I and II markers were the most numerous, whereas class III markers showed the highest (55.6%) successful amplification rate indicating the most suitable length of introns considered for ILP markers. DcS-ILP markers of class V and VI were characterized by ambiguous amplification patterns, therefore not considered for further analyses.

Finally, 90 *DcS*-ILP (Supplementary Table 2) markers showing biallelic *DcSto* insertion polymorphism (**Figure 2**) were chosen for development of a panel for genotyping the carrot.

Assessment of Genetic Diversity

The utility of 90 biallelic *DcS*-ILP markers was verified by estimating the genetic diversity of the collection of 27 *D. carota* accessions comprising 23 cultivated and 4 wild populations. In total, 180 alleles were identified with an average of 2.0 per locus.

2.78% of the alleles were rare (frequency <0.05) and the mean effective number of alleles was 1.56. The observed heterozygosity for individual loci ranged from 0.04 to 0.56, with an average of 0.24, whereas the expected heterozygosity ranged from 0.04 to 0.51, with an average of 0.34. Shannon's index was from 0.09 to 0.69, with an average of 0.50. Among all the loci analyzed with the Wright's fixation index, 67 were positive. The PIC values ranged from 0.04 to 0.37, with an average of 0.27 (Supplementary Table 1).

STRUCTURE analysis based on 90 loci representing *DcSto* insertion-derived polymorphisms was performed to evaluate genetic structure of the 27 accessions. The value of ΔK statistics was the highest when two clusters were assumed [$\Delta K_{(2)} = 297.64$]. The increase in the number of assumed clusters resulted in low ΔK value [$\Delta K_{(>2)} = 0.01-52.35$]. Twenty three cultivated accessions were assigned to cluster 1 (C1) with membership coefficients (Q) ranging between 0.831 and 0.997, whereas cluster 2 (C2) comprised exclusively wild accessions with the *Q* value of 0.965–0.998 (**Figure 3A**). The level of genetic diversity within C1 (0.31) was slightly higher than within C2 (0.29).

To evaluate the genetic structure of the 23 cultivated accessions further subclustering was performed on the accessions assigned to C1. The highest ΔK was observed for K = 21 $[\Delta K_{(21)} = 22.77], K = 2 [\Delta K_{(2)} = 17.33] \text{ and } K = 4 [\Delta K_{(4)}]$ = 14.55]. ΔK values for K = 3, K = 5-20 and K = 22-23were not significant ($\Delta K = 0.164-4.16$). The mean value of log probability of the data was higher for K = 4 than for K = 21, and K = 2 [LnP(D)_{K=4} = -1891.7, LnP(D)_{K=2} = -1922.5, LnP(D)_{K=21} = -2703.2], therefore four subclusters were chosen as the most probable genetic structure of the studied cultivated accessions. With K = 4, three accessions were assigned to subcluster SC1 with Q ranging between 0.928 and 0.962, six to subcluster SC2 with Q between 0.746 and 0.908, five to subcluster SC3 with Q between 0.825 and 0.954 and five to subcluster SC4 with Q between 0.782 and 0.922 (Figure 3B). Four accessions, namely Chantenay Red Cored, Chentenay Rex RS, Danvers 126, and Danvers could not be assigned to any of the subclusters due



and wild (cluster 2) accessions, exclusively (A). The analysis of the genetic structure within first cluster resulted in forming four subclusters, generally comprising accessions representing each of described storage root shapes: C, Chantenay; D, Danvers; I, Imperator; P, Paris Market (B). Assumed four gene pools reflect their breeding history as proposed by Banga (1963) (C). The numbers of accessions correspond to those listed in Table 1.

TABLE 4 The proportion of membership coefficients (Q) of each
population defined by the type of root in each of the four subclusters

Population name	Q proportion for four assumed subclusters				Number of accessions assigned
	SC1	SC1 SC2 SC3	SC4		
Chantenay	0.605	0.253	0.031	0.111	6
Danvers	0.082	0.626	0.136	0.155	6
Imperator	0.014	0.175	0.786	0.024	6
Paris market	0.043	0.034	0.039	0.884	5

to high level of admixture (Q < 0.6). The overall Q proportion of each of the four types clearly distinguished (Q > 0.6) the membership of Chantenay root type in SC1 (Q = 0.605), Danvers root type in SC2 (Q = 0.626), Imperator root type in SC3 (Q =0.785), and Paris Market root type in SC4 (Q = 0.884) (**Table 4**).

AMOVA attributed 19% (P = 0.001) of the total genetic diversity to variation among the root types. The diversity of the 23 cultivated accessions was revealed by PCoA (**Figure 4**). Using

the first three axes 31.7% of the total variation could be explained, with the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd axes explaining 12.1, 10.4, and 9.2%, respectively.

The above results suggested four separate groups in the collection of 23 cultivated carrots and the grouping generally corresponded with a postulated breeding history of western carrot types presented by Banga (1963), indicating that Chantenay and Danvers types originated from the Late Half Long Horn group, while Paris Market type descended from the Early Short Horn group. Both historical groups differ in terms of their storage root shape and earliness. In turn, the origin Imperator type was traced back to a cross between Chantenay and Nantes (**Figure 3C**).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we took advantage of intron length polymorphisms resulting from retained *DcSto* insertions in order to develop a set of ILP markers in the carrot. The *DcSto* elements used in the study comprised mostly two families, *DcSto6* and *DcSto1*, the most numerous in the carrot genome and showing



high percentage of insertions within coding regions (20 and 12%, respectively) (Iorizzo et al., 2016). The ubiquity of DcSto elements facilitated the selection of evenly distributed insertion sites for analysis, as well as equal coverage of the genome with the developed markers. 62.7% of the candidate markers were successfully amplified and 47.8% of them identified DcSto insertion polymorphisms. The success of amplification rate was lower in comparison with ILP markers in other plants, such as Vigna unguiculata (89%; Gupta et al., 2012), Glycine max (88.2%; Shu et al., 2010), Solanum lycopersicum (71%; Wang et al., 2010), probably as a result of high percentage of ambiguous amplification of introns longer than 2,200 bp. The length of intron is considered the main cause of PCR failure and generally, the successful amplification rate decreases with greater length of intron (Wang et al., 2010; Gupta et al., 2012). Polymorphism information content (PIC) has become the most widely used formula to measure the information content of molecular markers (Nagy et al., 2012). The mean PIC value of DcS-ILPs obtained for the studied Daucus carota accessions was higher compared to many of the developed ILP markers, e.g., Setaria italica (Gupta et al., 2011) and Hevea brasiliensis (Li et al., 2013), and comparable to study of Gupta et al. (2012) where 16 CILP loci were analyzed in 10 Vigna unguiculata accessions, with an average of 2.0 alleles per locus, and PIC value of 0.34. Differences in PIC values might be attributed to the various numbers of markers and accessions exploited in these studies. The average PIC value obtained in study of Huang et al. (2010), where 103 ILP loci were analyzed in 36 Oryza sativa accessions, was considerably higher (0.44) due to the higher number of alleles identified by rice ILPs (2.29 alleles per locus). As expected, the mean PIC value of the codominant DcS-ILPs was lower than the one obtained

for the genomic SSR markers developed for the carrot (Rong et al., 2010; Cavagnaro et al., 2011). Similar results were reported for the comparative analysis of genetic diversity in Oryza sativa using ILP and genomic SSR markers (Huang et al., 2010). The developed DcS-ILPs showed discriminatory power comparable to that of dominant markers, e.g., DArT (Grzebelus et al., 2014). The values of Wright's fixation index which were significantly higher than zero, as well as the lower mean value of observed heterozygosity, indicated an excess of homozygous allelic states expected in advanced cultivars. DcS-ILP-based analysis of genetic structure of the studied accessions showed clear differentiation of wild and cultivated carrot, supporting earlier observations based on DArT, SSR and SNP genotyping (Cavagnaro et al., 2011; Iorizzo et al., 2013; Grzebelus et al., 2014). Bayesian clustering, on both accession and pre-defined population levels, revealed the presence of four gene pools that generally could be attributed to the shape of the storage root, namely: (1) Chantenay, (2) Danvers, (3) Imperator, and (4) Paris Market, and corresponding to their breeding history, as proposed by Banga (1963) (Figures 3B,C). Having said that, a substantial level of admixture was apparent for few investigated cultivars, possibly resulting from inter-type crosses aiming to derive an intermediate root morphology, e.g., longer or shorter roots. On the other hand, clear separation between the Paris Market type cultivars and the remaining three types confirms the postulated origin of the former from the Early Short Horn gene pool, opposed to Danvers and Chantenay types originating from the Late Half Long Horn gene pool. It is the first molecular evidence for a possible root-type associated structure of genetic diversity in western cultivated carrot. Nonetheless, a more extensive study ought to be conducted in order to substantiate this hypothesis. The results of PCoA were mostly

consistent with Bayesian clustering indicating the presence of the above-mentioned genetic structure.

CONCLUSION

In this study, we showed that the abundance of class II transposable elements may serve as a tool for relatively rapid and low-cost development of gene-derived molecular markers for effective use in carrot genotyping studies. *DcSto* insertion-derived ILP markers detect substantial variation among carrot plants of different origin and can be exploited in germplasm characterization and analysis of genome relationships. In addition, *DcS*-ILP markers directly reflect the variation within the genes and could be potentially useful in gene tagging and genetic map construction. ILP markers share many advantages of SSR markers, i.e., codominant nature, locus specificity and high reproducibility, but provide more convenient and rapid detection. To our knowledge, the *DcS*-ILP markers developed in this study are a novel set of publicly available transposon-based markers in the carrot.

REFERENCES

- Banga, O. (1963). Main Types of the Western Carotene Carrot and Their Origin. Zwolle: N.V. Uitgevers-Maatschappij W.E.J. Tjeenk Willink.
- Botstein, D., White, R. L., Skolnick, M., and Davis, R. W. (1980). Construction of a genetic linkage map in man using restriction fragment length polymorphisms. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 32, 314–331.
- Bradeen, J. M., Bach, I. C., Briard, M., le Clerc, V., Grzebelus, D., Senalik, D. A., et al. (2002). Molecular diversity analysis of cultivated carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) and wild *Daucus* populations reveals a genetically nonstructured composition. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 127, 383–391.
- Brügger, K., Redder, P., She, Q., Confalonieri, F., Zivanovic, Y., and Garrett, R. A. (2002). Mobile elements in archaeal genomes. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 206, 131–141. doi: 10.1016/S0378-1097(01)00504-3
- Bureau, T. E., and Wessler, S. R. (1992). *Tourist*: a large family of small inverted repeat elements frequently associated with maize genes. *Plant Cell* 4, 1283–1294. doi: 10.1105/tpc.4.10.1283
- Bureau, T. E., and Wessler, S. R. (1994). Stowaway: a new family of inverted repeat elements associated with the genes of both monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants. Plant Cell Online 6, 907–916. doi: 10.1105/tpc. 6.6.907
- Casa, A. M., Brouwer, C., Nagel, A., Wang, L., Zhang, Q., Kresovich, S., et al. (2000). The MITE family *Heartbreaker* (*Hbr*): molecular markers in maize. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 97, 10083–10089. doi: 10.1073/pnas.97.18.10083
- Casa, A. M., Nagel, A., and Wessler, S. R. (2004). MITE display. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 260, 175–188. doi: 10.1385/1-59259-755-6:175
- Cavagnaro, P. F., Chung, S.-M., Manin, S., Yildiz, M., Ali, A., Alessandro, M. S., et al. (2011). Microsatellite isolation and marker development in carrot - genomic distribution, linkage mapping, genetic diversity analysis and marker transferability across Apiaceae. *BMC Genomics* 12:386. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-12-386
- Chang, R.-Y., O'Donoughue, L. S., and Bureau, T. E. (2001). Inter-MITE polymorphisms (IMP): a high throughput transposon-based genome mapping and fingerprinting approach. *TAG Theor. Appl. Genet.* 102, 773–781. doi: 10.1007/s001220051709
- Chen, X., Zhang, G., and Wu, W. (2010). Investigation and utilization of intron length polymorphisms in conifers. *New For.* 41, 379–388. doi: 10.1007/s11056-010-9229-5
- Evanno, G., Regnaut, S., and Goudet, J. (2005). Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Mol. Ecol.* 14, 2611–2620. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02553.x

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AM, DG, and KS designed the study; KS, AM, and GM developed *DcS*-ILP markers; KS performed the validation of candidate *DcS*-ILP markers and the assessment of genetic diversity; KS, DG, AM, and GM drafted sections of the manuscript; KS and DG prepared the final version of the paper. All authors read, reviewed and approved the manuscript.

FUNDING

The research was financed from funds for basic research on crop improvement granted by the Polish Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development in the years 2014–2016.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpls.2017. 00725/full#supplementary-material

- Feschotte, C., Swamy, L., and Wessler, S. R. (2003). Genome-wide analysis of mariner-like transposable elements in rice reveals complex relationships with *Stowaway* miniature inverted repeat transposable elements (MITEs). *Genetics* 163, 747–758.
- Feschotte, C., Zhang, X., and Wessler, S. R. (2002). "Minature inverted-repeat transposable elements (MITEs) and their relationship with established DNA transposons," in *Mobile DNA II*, eds L. Craig, R. Craigie, M. Gellert, and A. Lambowitz (Washington, DC: American Society for Microbiology Press), 1147–1158.
- Grzebelus, D., Iorizzo, M., Senalik, D., Ellison, S., Cavagnaro, P., Macko-Podgorni, A., et al. (2014). Diversity, genetic mapping, and signatures of domestication in the carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) genome, as revealed by Diversity Arrays Technology (DArT) markers. *Mol. Breed.* 33, 625–637. doi: 10.1007/s11032-013-9979-9
- Grzebelus, D., Jagosz, B., and Simon, P. W. (2007). The DcMaster transposon display maps polymorphic insertion sites in the carrot (Daucus carota L.) genome. Gene 390, 67–74. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2006.07.041
- Gupta, S., Bansal, R., and Gopalakrishna, T. (2012). Development of intron length polymorphism markers in cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.] and their transferability to other Vigna species. Mol. Breed. 30, 1363–1370. doi: 10.1007/s11032-012-9722-y
- Gupta, S., Kumari, K., Das, J., Lata, C., Puranik, S., and Prasad, M. (2011). Development and utilization of novel intron length polymorphic markers in foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* (L.) P. Beauv.). *Genome* 54, 586–602. doi: 10.1139/g11-020
- Hall, T. (1999). BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleid Acids Symp. Ser.* 41, 95–98.
- Huang, M., Xie, F., Chen, L., Zhao, X., Jojee, L., and Madonna, D. (2010). Comparative analysis of genetic diversity and structure in rice using ILP and SSR markers. *Rice Sci.* 17, 257–268. doi: 10.1016/S1672-6308(09) 60025-1
- Huang, X., Lu, G., Zhao, Q., Liu, X., and Han, B. (2008). Genome-wide analysis of transposon insertion polymorphisms reveals intraspecific variation in cultivated rice. *Plant Physiol.* 148, 25–40. doi: 10.1104/pp.108.121491
- Iorizzo, M., Ellison, S., Senalik, D., Zeng, P., Satapoomin, P., Huang, J., et al. (2016). A high-quality carrot genome assembly provides new insights into carotenoid accumulation and asterid genome evolution. *Nat. Genet.* 48, 657–666. doi: 10.1038/ng.3565
- Iorizzo, M., Senalik, D. A., Ellison, S. L., Grzebelus, D., Cavagnaro, P. F., Allender, C., et al. (2013). Genetic structure and domestication of carrot (*Daucus carota* subsp. *sativus*) (Apiaceae). Am. J. Bot. 100, 930–938. doi: 10.3732/ajb.1300055

- Jiang, N., Bao, Z., Zhang, X., Hirochika, H., Eddy, S. R., McCouch, S. R., et al. (2003). An active DNA transposon family in rice. *Nature* 421, 163–167. doi: 10.1038/nature01214
- Jiang, N., Feschotte, C., Zhang, X., and Wessler, S. R. (2004). Using rice to understand the origin and amplification of miniature inverted repeat transposable elements (MITEs). *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 7, 115–119. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2004.01.004
- Kopelman, N. M., Mayzel, J., Jakobsson, M., Rosenberg, N. A., and Mayrose, I. (2015). Clumpak: a program for identifying clustering modes and packaging population structure inferences across K. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 15, 1179–1191. doi: 10.1111/1755-0998.12387
- Kumar, A., and Hirochika, H. (2001). Applications of retrotransposons as genetic tools in plant biology. *Trends Plant Sci.* 6, 127–134. doi: 10.1016/S1360-1385(00)01860-4
- Hua-Van, A., Le Rouzic, A., Maisonhaute, C., and Capy, P. (2005). Abundance, distribution and dynamics of retrotransposable elements and transposons: similarities and differences. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* 110, 426–440. doi: 10.1159/000084975
- Lee, J. K., Kwon, S.-J., Park, K.-C., and Kim, N.-S. (2005). Isaac-CACTA transposons: new genetic markers in maize and sorghum. *Genome* 48, 455–460. doi: 10.1139/g05-013
- Lessa, E. (1992). Rapid surveying of DNA sequence variation in natural populations. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 9, 323–330.
- Li, D., Xia, Z., Deng, Z., Liu, X., and Feng, F. (2013). Development, characterization, genetic diversity and cross-species/genera transferability of ILP markers in rubber tree (*Hevea brasiliensis*). *Genes Genomics* 35, 719–731. doi: 10.1007/s13258-013-0122-4
- Meyers, B. C., Tingey, S. V., and Morgante, M. (2001). Abundance, distribution, and transcriptional activity of repetitive elements in the maize genome. *Genome Res.* 11, 1660–1676. doi: 10.1101/gr.188201
- Muthamilarasan, M., Venkata Suresh, B., Pandey, G., Kumari, K., Parida, S. K., and Prasad, M. (2014). Development of 5123 intron-length polymorphic markers for large-scale genotyping applications in foxtail millet. *DNA Res.* 21, 41–52. doi: 10.1093/dnares/dst039
- Nagy, S., Poczai, P., Cernák, I., Gorji, A. M., Hegedűs, G., and Taller, J. (2012). PICcalc: an online program to calculate polymorphic information content for molecular genetic studies. *Biochem. Genet.* 50, 670–672. doi: 10.1007/s10528-012-9509-1
- Park, K.-C., Lee, J. K., Kim, N.-H., Shin, Y.-B., Lee, J.-H., and Kim, N.-S. (2003). Genetic variation in *Oryza* species detected by MITE-AFLP. *Genes Genet. Syst.* 78, 235–243. doi: 10.1266/ggs.78.235
- Peakall, R., and Smouse, P. E. (2006). GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Mol. Ecol. Notes* 6, 288–295. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-8286.2005.01155.x
- Pritchard, J. K., Wen, X., and Falush, D. (2008). *Structure software: version 2.2.3*. Chicago, IL: Univ. Chicago.
- Prohens, J., and Nuez, F. (2008). Vegetables: Fabaceae, Liliaceae, Solanaceae, and Umbelliferae. New York, NY: Springer New York. doi: 10.1007/978-0-387-30443-4

- Rong, J., Janson, S., Umehara, M., Ono, M., and Vrieling, K. (2010). Historical and contemporary gene dispersal in wild carrot (*Daucus carota ssp. carota*) populations. *Ann. Bot.* 106, 285–296. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcq108
- Shu, Y., Li, Y., Zhu, Y., Zhu, Z., Lv, D., Bai, X., et al. (2010). Genome-wide identification of intron fragment insertion mutations and their potential use as SCAR molecular markers in the soybean. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 121, 1–8. doi: 10.1007/s00122-010-1285-x
- Untergasser, A., Cutcutache, I., Koressaar, T., Ye, J., Faircloth, B. C., Remm, M., et al. (2012). Primer3–new capabilities and interfaces. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40:e115. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks596
- Wang, X., Zhao, X., Zhu, J., and Wu, W. (2005). Genome-wide investigation of intron length polymorphisms and their potential as molecular markers in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *DNA Res.* 12, 417–427. doi: 10.1093/dnares/ dsi019
- Wang, Y., Chen, J., Francis, D. M., Shen, H., Wu, T., and Yang, W. (2010). Discovery of intron polymorphisms in cultivated tomato using both tomato and *Arabidopsis* genomic information. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 121, 1199–1207. doi: 10.1007/s00122-010-1381-y
- Wydner, K. S., Sechler, J. L., Boyd, C. D., and Passmore, H. C. (1994). Use of an intron length polymorphism to localize the tropoelastin gene to mouse Chromosome 5 in a region of linkage conservation with human chromosome 7. Genomics 23, 125–131. doi: 10.1006/geno.1994.1467
- Yaakov, B., Ben-David, S., and Kashkush, K. (2013). Genome-wide analysis of *Stowaway*-like MITEs in wheat reveals high sequence conservation, gene association, and genomic diversification. *Plant Physiol.* 161, 486–496. doi: 10.1104/pp.112.204404
- Yang, L., Jin, G., Zhao, X., Zheng, Y., Xu, Z., and Wu, W. (2007). PIP: a database of potential intron polymorphism markers. *Bioinformatics* 23, 2174–2177. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btm296
- Ye, J., Coulouris, G., Zaretskaya, I., Cutcutache, I., Rozen, S., Madden, T. L., et al. (2012). Primer-BLAST: a tool to design target-specific primers for polymerase chain reaction. *BMC Bioinformatics* 13:134. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-13-134
- Yeh, F., Rongcal, Y., and Boyle, T. (2000). POPGENE-1.32: A Free Program for the Analysis of Genetic Variation Among and Within Populations Using Co-dominant and Dominant Markers. Edmonton: Department of Renewable Resources at the University of Alberta.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Stelmach, Macko-Podgórni, Machaj and Grzebelus. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.