



Rational Water and Nitrogen Management Improves Root Growth, Increases Yield and Maintains Water Use Efficiency of Cotton under Mulch Drip Irrigation

Hongzhi Zhang^{1,2†}, Aziz Khan^{1†}, Daniel K. Y. Tan³ and Honghai Luo^{1*}

¹ The Key Laboratory of Oasis Eco-agriculture, Xinjiang Production and Construction Group, Shihezi University, Shihezi, China, ² Research Institute of Nuclear and Biological Technologies, Xinjiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Urumqi, China, ³ Faculty of Science, Plant Breeding Institute, Sydney Institute of Agriculture, School of Life and Environmental Sciences, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Pan Kaiwen, Chengdu Institute of Biology (CAS), China

Reviewed by:

Chunying Yin, Chengdu Institute of Biology (CAS), China Amarendra Narayan Misra, Central University of Jharkhand, India

*Correspondence:

Honghai Luo luohonghai79@163.com [†] These authors have contributed equally to this work.

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Agroecology and Land Use Systems, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

> Received: 19 November 2016 Accepted: 15 May 2017 Published: 30 May 2017

Citation:

Zhang H, Khan A, Tan DKY and Luo H (2017) Rational Water and Nitrogen Management Improves Root Growth, Increases Yield and Maintains Water Use Efficiency of Cotton under Mulch Drip Irrigation. Front. Plant Sci. 8:912. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00912

There is a need to optimize water-nitrogen (N) applications to increase seed cotton vield and water use efficiency (WUE) under a mulch drip irrigation system. This study evaluated the effects of four water regimes [moderate drip irrigation from the third-leaf to the boll-opening stage (W1), deficit drip irrigation from the third-leaf to the flowering stage and sufficient drip irrigation thereafter (W_2), pre-sowing and moderate drip irrigation from the third-leaf to the boll-opening stage (W₃), pre-sowing and deficit drip irrigation from the third-leaf to the flowering stage and sufficient drip irrigation thereafter (W_4) and N fertilizer at a rate of 520 kg ha⁻¹ in two dressing ratios [7:3 (N₁), 2:8 (N₂)] on cotton root morpho-physiological attributes, yield, WUE and the relationship between root distribution and dry matter production. Previous investigations have shown a strong correlation between root activity and water consumption in the 40-120 cm soil layer. The W_3 and especially W_4 treatments significantly increased root length density (RLD), root volume density (RVD), root mass density (RMD), and root activity in the 40-120 cm soil layer. Cotton RLD, RVD, RMD was decreased by 13.1, 13.3, and 20.8%, respectively, in N₂ compared with N₁ at 70 days after planting (DAP) in the 0–40 cm soil layer. However, root activity in the 40–120 cm soil layer at 140 DAP was 31.6% higher in N₂ than that in N1. Total RMD, RLD and root activity in the 40-120 cm soil were significantly and positively correlated with shoot dry weight. RLD and root activity in the 40-120 cm soil layer was highest in the W₄N₂ treatments. Therefore increased water consumption in the deep soil layers resulted in increased shoot dry weight, seed cotton yield and WUE. Our data can be used to develop a water-N management strategy for optimal cotton yield and high WUE.

Keywords: cotton yield, irrigation methods, N regime, root architecture, water use efficiency

Abbreviations: DAP, days after planting; RLD, root length density; RMD, root mass density; RVD, root volume density; WHC, water-holding capacity; WUE, water use efficiency.

INTRODUCTION

Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) is a subtropical crop that is mainly grown for natural fiber and oil seed production worldwide (Constable and Bange, 2015). Limitations in soil water levels and low nitrogen (N) concentrations in soil are increasingly becoming a serious problem, especially in arid regions. Soil water and nutrition acquisition is associated with root growth and distribution (Proffitt et al., 1985). To establish a better irrigation system, it is essential to acquire a good understanding of cotton root growth, its spatial distribution in the soil and its relation with yield under different water and N regimes.

Irrigation is crucial to increase crop growth and productivity. However, water supply is often inadequate because of the increased human demand (Wu and Cosgrove, 2000). With increasing concerns over the utilization of scarce water resources, there has been a renewed interest in improving WUE (Tennakoon and Milroy, 2003; Tang et al., 2005). Enhancing irrigation efficiency would improve water management (Hou et al., 2007). Drip irrigation systems are becoming more popular worldwide due to the controlled irrigation rate that fulfills the requirements of a crop during all growth stages (Papadopoulos, 1988; Mmolawa and Or, 2000). Irrigation technology has been improved by linking drip irrigation and plastic film mulching in north-western China (Zhang et al., 2003). Compared with other irrigation methods, a mulch drip irrigation system could conserve 50% of the irrigation water, resulting in a 30% increase in cotton yield. The mulch drip irrigation technology also has the potential for water-conservation and increased yields (Luo et al., 2013). Among the macro nutrients, N is one of the most crucial elements for sustaining crop growth and productivity and its deficiency adversely affects physiological and biochemical processes in plants (Khan et al., 2014, 2017). Nitrogen application to plants subjected to water deficit stress may improve cotton drought tolerance and recovery growth (Zhou and Derrick, 2012). Previous studies have found that application of N fertilizer increase biomass, grain production and WUE in water deficit conditions by increasing the leaf area index (LAI) and sustaining the duration (Latiri-Souki et al., 1998).

Roots play an important role in the uptake of nutrients and water (Hulugalle et al., 2015b), plant hormone production, and organic acid and amino acid synthesis (Yang et al., 2004). Hulugalle et al. (2009) found that cotton root number and root length contributed to soil carbon stocks through intraseasonal root death. Root morpho-physiological characteristics are positively associated with growth and development (Yang et al., 2008; Chu et al., 2014). Previous research has indicated that fine roots (<2 mm diameter) drive soil processes such as nutrients changes, carbon cycling, sequestration and the activity of soil organisms (Hulugalle et al., 2015a). More roots develop under a drip irrigation system than with furrow irrigation at the early boll filling stage (Hodgson et al., 1990). Wei et al. (2002) reported that mulch drip irrigation systems significantly promote root growth in the upper soil profile. Our previous research found that the water content in the rhizosphere was 50-55% of the WHC prior to drip irrigation and 70-75% of WHC after drip irrigation blocked root growth and decreased root weight (Luo et al., 2010). In summary, under a mulch drip irrigation system, cotton is relatively shallow-rooted, presumably because of the lack of water percolating into the deep subsoil under drip irrigation and the increase in subsoil temperature due to the use of mulch. Yan et al. (2009) reported that root densities of mulch drip irrigation systems may be lower compared with furrow-irrigated and drip irrigated cotton.

The conservation of irrigation water and fertilizer use efficiency are becoming more important in cotton production to achieve optimal yields due to water scarcity and environmental pollution caused by the excessive application of N fertilizer (Haefele et al., 2008). Studies have been conducted on the effects of different water conservation management systems and N fertilization in cotton production (Haefele et al., 2008; Bueno et al., 2010). However, the effects of different water management regimes in combination with different N dressing ratios and the spatial distribution of N in the soil on cotton root growth, yield and WUE are not well documented. Therefore, this study; explored the impact of water and N management regimes on (1) soil water content, root morpho-physiological traits, seed cotton yield and WUE; and (2) determined the relationship between root distribution and dry matter production of a cotton crop.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Description of Experimental Site

The experiment was conducted on the experimental farm of Shihezi University, Xinjiang, China ($45^{\circ}19'$ N, $74^{\circ}56'$ E) in 2009. The average mean temperatures of the 7 months during the growing season (April–October) were 16.1, 12.2, 22.9, 26.2, 22.3, 17.4, and 10.2°C, respectively. The mean rainfall of the 7 months from April to October was 26.1, 46.2, 46.1, 34.0, 33.0, 24.1, and 16.4 mm, respectively. Total evapotranspiration was 1,425 mm during the growing season. The soil at the experimental site was a clay loam with a pH of 7.6, bulk density of 1.41 g m⁻³, organic matter of 11.4 g kg⁻¹, available N content of 1.1 g kg⁻¹, P₂O₅ content of 14.1 mg kg⁻¹ and K₂O content of 182 mg kg⁻¹.

Experimental Design and Field Management

Eight treatment combinations were tested in a split-plot arrangement with four replicates where irrigation was assigned to the main plot and N was applied to the sub-plot (Table 1). According to our earlier study (Luo et al., 2013), four irrigation levels were established: (1) moderate drip irrigation (70 \pm 5% WHC) from the third leaf to the boll opening stage (W_1) ; (2) deficit drip irrigation (55 \pm 5% WHC) from the third leaf to the flowering stage and sufficient drip irrigation (80 \pm 5% WHC) thereafter (W_2) ; (3) pre-sowing irrigation and moderate drip irrigation from the third leaf to the boll opening stage (W₃); and (4) pre-sowing irrigation and deficit drip irrigation from the third leaf to the flowering stage and sufficient drip irrigation thereafter (W₄). Nitrogen fertilization in the form of urea (46% N) was applied at the 520 kg ha^{-1} at two different dressing ratios: (1) 7:3 (70% of the N applied at planting, 15% at full budding, and 15% at full flowering: N1), 2:8 (20% of the N at planting, 20% at

TABLE 1 | Experimental design.

| Growth stage | Soil | water | Proportion of N fertilizer (%) | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | W ₁ | W ₂ | W ₃ | W ₄ | N ₁ | N ₂ |
| Pre-sowing | _ | _ | Irrigation | Irrigation | 70 | 20 |
| Three leaf | 70 | 55 | 70 | 55 | - | - |
| Full budding | 70 | 55 | 70 | 55 | 15 | - |
| Full flowering | 70 | 55 | 70 | 55 | 15 | 20 |
| Full boll formation | 70 | 80 | 70 | 80 | - | 50 |
| Boll opening | 70 | 80 | 70 | 80 | - | 10 |
| Boll opening | 70 | 80 | 70 | 80 | - | |

 W_3 and W_4 were irrigated before sowing. The total amount of N fertilizer was 520 kg ha⁻¹.

full flowering, 50% at full boll set, and 10% at boll opening: N₂). The third leaf, full budding stage, full flowering, full boll set, and boll opening stage were 30, 58, 74, 111, and 130 DAP, respectively. Irrigation was supplied using an emitter (release rate = $2.7 L h^{-1}$) on the top of each soil column every 2 or 3 days after the third leaf had fully expanded.

A cotton cultivar (cv. Xinluzao 43) was grown using polyvinyl chloride (PVC) tubes of 30 cm diameter and 120 cm height. Before sowing, each PVC tube was split vertically into three equal sections. The sections were re-assembled and held in place with a metal sheet. This arrangement allowed the soil and roots to be removed intact from the tubes by separating the three sections. The bottom of each tube was covered with wire mesh to hold the soil. Clay loam soil was taken from the field station, passed through a 2 mm sieve and then packed into the PVC tubes. A pressure compensating drip-irrigation emitter (Beijing Lvyuan Inc., Beijing, China) was fixed in the center of each column. Four cotton seeds were hand sown at 3 cm depth in each column on April 25th. Seeds were placed at a spacing of 10 cm (intra-row \times 20 cm inter-row spacing). The soil surface was covered with polyethylene film to reduce evaporation and maintain soil moisture. Four liters of water was supplied to each individual column to enhance the germination rate and seedling development. Weeds and pests were controlled using standard management practices (i.e., application of weedicides and pesticides).

Data Collection and Observations

Soil Moisture and Evapotranspiration

Soil moisture was measured in 10 cm increments between 10 and 100 cm using time domain reflectometry (TDR). The water that was supplied was defined as: $A = (W_p - W_a) \times H$, where A is the volume of water (mm), W_p is the field capacity in the 0–40 cm soil layer, W_a indicates the average relative soil moisture in the 0–40 cm soil layer, and H is the thickness of the soil layer. The volume of drip irrigation was managed using a water meter. The consumption ratio of soil water preserved at sowing (R_C) was assessed according to Luo et al. (2014).

Root Distribution and Vigor

Root growth and distribution within each soil columns was determined at 70 and 140 DAP. Six tubes from each treatment

were carefully dug out at ground level on each sampling date. The soil columns were cut into 20 cm slices starting from the top of each column. The slices were dipped in water at approximately 60 min and rinsed with tap water. Roots were collected in a 0.5 mm sieve using a water jet. Debris, weeds, and dead roots were separated from 'live' roots by hand according to a method used by Gwenzi et al. (2011). There were few dead roots and the live roots were stored in deionized water for further analysis.

Live roots from three of the columns were spread out on a plastic tray contained deionized water and scanned using a flatbed scanner (300 dpi). Root images were analyzed using WinRhizo image analysis software (Regent Instruments, Quebec, QC, Canada). The software was configured to measure root length and root volume. After scanning, the roots were oven-dried at 60°C for 48 h and weighed. The root vigor was measured using the triphenyltetrazolium chloride (TTC) method (Luo et al., 2014).

Dry Matter, Yield and WUE

Plants were sampled at ground level and separated into leaves, stems, bolls and seed cotton. Samples were dried to a constant weight at 80°C. Seed cotton was harvested from each column for the determination of total yield. The WUE (kg m⁻² mm⁻¹) for each treatment was calculated by dividing the seed cotton yield m^{-2} by the crop water use. The crop water use was defined as the sum of the total irrigation water and profile water utilized during the whole season.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed by an analysis of variance (ANOVA) test at a significance level P = 0.05 using Statistical Analysis System SPSS v. 11.0 software (SPSS Inc., 1996).

RESULTS

Soil Water Content

Temporal data regarding the soil moisture content in the 0–40 cm soil layer under the different irrigation water and N fertilizer application regimes are shown in **Figure 1**. The soil moisture content remained at 65–75% WHC in the W₁ and W₃ treatments throughout the whole growing season. However, it was higher (75–80%) in the W₂ and W₄ at 90 DAP. The soil water content decreased in W₁ and W₂ at 65 DAP, although the soil water content in W₃ from 90 to 150 DAP gradually decreased in the 40–120 cm soil layer.

Root Growth, Distribution and Activity in Different Soil Profiles

Cotton plant root growth, distribution and activity in different soil layers were significantly affected by irrigation water and N application. Cotton RLD increased by 13.0% in N₁ compared with N₂ (**Figures 2A,B**). Across the water regimes, RLD progressively increased in W₁ compared with the other treatments in the 0–40 cm soil layer at 70 DAP under both N₁ and N₂. Data regarding RLD under the influence of irrigation water and N at 140 DAP in the 0–120 cm soil layer are presented



in **Figures 2C,D**. The RLD was 4.6% greater in N_2 than N_1 treatment. Among the irrigation water treatments, W_1 resulted in a similar RLD to W_2 under both N_1 and N_2 , but was significantly higher than that of W_3 and W_4 , respectively.

No significant differences were observed for cotton RVD under both N regimes in the 0–120 cm soil layer at 70 DAP (**Figures 2E,F**). W₁ produced a higher RVD in the 0–120 cm soil profile relative to that produced by each of W₂, W₃, and W₄, respectively. There was significant interaction between N and irrigation water. A higher RVD was obtained in the W₁N₁ and W₂N₁ combinations than in the W₁N₂ and W₂N₁ combinations. At the same soil depth, cotton RVD was significantly altered in the later growth stage at 140 DAP. No significant differences were observed between N₁ and N₂ in terms of RVD in the 0–120 cm soil layer. However, the W₁N₁ and W₂N₁ and W₁N₂ and W₂N₁ combinations resulted in a higher RVD compared with the respective W₃ and W₄ combinations (**Figures 2G,H**).

Cotton plant RMD was increased by 15.2% in N_2 compared with N_1 in the earlier growth stage (70 DAP). Compared with

 W_2 and W_4 , W_1 and W_3 produced a higher RMD later in the season (**Figures 2I,J**). Cotton RMD was progressively increased in N_2 compared with N_1 at 140 DAP (**Figures 2K,L**). W_3 and W_4 were more effective treatments for enhancing cotton RMD than W_1 and W_2 under both N_1 and N_2 .

Temporal data regarding the root activity of cotton at 70 DAP under the influence of N and irrigation water in the 0–120 cm soil layer are presented in **Figures 2M,N**. the root activity of the cotton crop in N₁ was significantly increased (by 7.3%) compared with N₂. Across the irrigation treatments, root activity progressively increased in W₃ and W₄ compared with W₁ and W₂, respectively. Cotton plant root activity was significantly affected by N and irrigation water later in the season (140 DAP). Compared with N₁ cotton root activity was significantly increased in N₂ in the 0–120 cm soil layer (**Figures 20,P**). Compared with W₂, cotton root activity in W₄ was significantly increased under both N₁ and N₂.

Relationship between Cotton Root Growth and Soil Moisture

Data regarding the root growth of cotton and its relationship with the soil moisture content are presented in **Figure 3**. Cotton root activity was positively correlated with water consumption at 70 and 140 DAP in the 40–120 cm soil layer. Root activity and soil water consumption in the 40–80 and 80–120 cm layers were significantly higher in W₃ and W₄ compared with W₁ and W₂ (**Figures 1, 2**). Cotton root activity was higher in the W₄N₂ combined treatment than in W₁N₂, W₂N₂ and W₃N₂ combined treatments in the 80–120 cm soil layer.

Relationship between Cotton Root and Shoot Growth

Both irrigation water and N dressing ratios significantly altered the cotton root to shoot ratio at 70 and 140 DAP as shown in **Figure 4**. N₁ had a higher root to shoot ratio compared with N₂ during the early growth stage of the crop. Across the irrigation treatments, the root to shoot ratio was significantly higher in W₃ and W₄ than in W₁ and W₂ (**Figure 4A**). The cotton root to shoot ratio was increased by 18.3% in N₁ at 140 DAP compared with N₂ (**Figure 4B**). There was a greater root to shoot ratio in W₃ than each of W₁, W₂, and W₄.

Relationship between Cotton Root Growth and Dry Matter Accumulation

The relationship between root growth and above ground dry matter production was determined in different soil layers (**Figure 5**). Total RMD, RLD and root activity were positively correlated with shoot dry matter production in the 40–120 cm soil layer.

Water Consumption, Yield and WUE

Data regarding water consumption, yield and WUE under the influence of irrigation water and N regimes are presented in **Table 2**. The total water consumption was greater in N_1 than N_2 during the whole growing season. Across the irrigation treatment, the total water consumption was higher in W_4 than in each of







 W_1 , W_2 and W_3 . However, the volume of irrigation water in W_3 was 5.8 and 10.8% less than in W_1 and W_2 , respectively. In addition, the volume of water consumed by W_4 was more than the volume of irrigation water. N_2 was more effective than N_1 in the production of both biologic and economic yields. Among the irrigation treatments, the biologic and economic yields for W_3

and W_4 were 77 and 50% more than the equivalent yields for W_1 and W_2 . The WUE of the biologic and economic yields for the W_3 and W_4 treatments were progressively increased by 26.0 and 3.6%, 30.5 and 13.1% compared to the equivalent yields for W_1 and W_2 . In addition, the N_2 WUE of the biologic and economic yields was 13.4 and 28.8% higher than the equivalent yields of the N_1 treatment. The W_4N_2 combined treatment increased WUE by 28% compared with the W_4N_1 combined treatment.

DISCUSSION

Cotton crops that can endure and recover from drought are needed to minimize yield loss especially in arid regions, and to decrease the water demand of irrigated production. Roots play a significant role in the extraction of water and nutrients from deeper soil layers under water deficit conditions (Luo et al., 2011, 2013; Hassan et al., 2015). A limited supply of water from soil to plants results in a decrease in cooling due to transpiration. This in turn causes an increase in plant temperature (Fuchs, 1990). An inefficient irrigation management leads to a risk that plants will be unable to maintain in vivo temperatures at the optimum level for metabolic function and yield losses (Singh et al., 2007). The impact of water deficit stress depends on the severity and duration of water stress and the crop growth stage and genotype (Hassan et al., 2015). Little information has been reported describing root morphology and the physiology of mulch drip irrigation systems and their relationships with cotton yield and WUE under different water and N management. Deficit irrigation results in deeper root penetration of the cotton plant (Sampathkumar et al., 2013), which can conserve 22% of the soil







water (Mustafa et al., 2011). Nitrogen application to a cotton crop especially in a water deficit is essential to recover growth and development from drought stress (Khan et al., 2017).

In this study, the W₄N₂ combined treatment had a higher root biomass, RLD, root absorption area and root activity than any other treatment. Improvements in the root morphology under W₄N₂ contributed to the greater shoot biomass and the optimal cotton yield resulted in an increased WUE. Our data is consistent with the previous literature. Luo et al. (2013) reported that N application and irrigation had significant interactive effects on cotton photosynthesis. Root penetration and proliferation into deeper soil layers could increase soil moisture capture and sustain plant water content especially under water scarce conditions (Luo, 2010; Luo et al., 2011). We found that the W_4N_2 combined treatment resulted in a greater root biomass in the 40-120 cm soil layer than the other treatments, with a deeper distribution of roots in the soil, which may contribute to a higher leaf water potential and rate of photosynthesis (Luo et al., 2014). This phenomenon led to an increase in shoot biomass and cotton yield. Our results are consistent with those of Conaty et al. (2015)

who showed that a sufficient water supply increased the cotton biomass production at crop maturity.

Root activity has been shown to be an important indicator of the ability of plants to uptake water and nutrients (Liu et al., 2008). The present study identified a very significant correlation between root activity in the 40-120 cm depth and total water consumption. This implies that cotton cultivars with a high level of drought resistance probably have more roots in the middle and deeper soil layers. Previous studies have indicated that water and NO₃-N rapidly moves from the soil surface to deep layers; therefore, cultivars with deep root systems can rapidly exploit these resources (Lynch, 2013). Liu et al. (2008) suggested that drought stress decreased root activity, while an appropriate N application increased drought resistance in cotton crop. In this study, pre-sowing irrigation and the delayed application of both water and N increased root activity in deep soil layers; thus promoting to absorb water and N from deep layers. Plant root development under moisture stress helps with the sustainable translocation of assimilates to the above ground shoots. Roots are the main source for nutrients supply to shoots; thus, roots

| Treatment | Total drip irrigation | | Water used from soil | | Total water consumption | Biological yield (kg m ⁻²) | Economic yield (kg m ⁻²) | WUE of biological vield | WUE of Economic yield |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-------|----------------------|------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | mm | % | mm | % | (mm) | (kg m) | (kg m) | $(kg m^{-2} mm^{-1})$ | $(\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{ mm}^{-1})$ |
| W ₁ N ₁ | 476 | 114.0 | - | _ | 417 de | 3038 g | 1534 f | 7.28 c | 3.68 b |
| W_2N_1 | 504 | 132.1 | - | - | 381 e | 2566 h | 1121 g | 6.73 d | 2.94 d |
| W ₃ N ₁ | 460 | 84.3 | 86 | 15.7 | 546 c | 4252 d | 2044 d | 7.79 c | 3.74 b |
| W_4N_1 | 538 | 88.7 | 69 | 11.3 | 607 a | 5565 c | 1789 c | 9.17 b | 2.95 d |
| W_1N_2 | 488 | 114.8 | - | - | 425 d | 3313 f | 1563 f | 7.79 c | 3.78 b |
| W_2N_2 | 514 | 127.6 | - | - | 402 e | 3720 e | 1308 e | 9.25 b | 3.25 c |
| W_3N_2 | 448 | 83.1 | 91 | 16.9 | 539 c | 6088 b | 2151 b | 11.29 a | 3.99 a |
| W_4N_2 | 527 | 92.9 | 41 | 7.1 | 567 b | 6551 a | 2321 a | 11.54 a | 4.09 a |

Different letters within the same column indicate significant differences at the 0.05 level. W_1 , $70 \pm 5\%$ water-holding capacity (WHC) from the third leaf to boll opening stage; W_2 , $55 \pm 5\%$ WHC from the third leaf to flowering stage and $80 \pm 5\%$ WHC thereafter; W_3 , pre-sowing irrigation, $70 \pm 5\%$ WHC from the third leaf to boll opening stage; W_4 , pre-sowing irrigation, $55 \pm 5\%$ WHC from the third leaf to flowering stage, and $80 \pm 5\%$ WHC thereafter; W_3 , pre-sowing irrigation, $70 \pm 5\%$ WHC from the third leaf to boll opening stage; W_4 , pre-sowing irrigation, $55 \pm 5\%$ WHC from the third leaf to flowering stage, and $80 \pm 5\%$ WHC thereafter; N_1 , 70% of N applied at planting, 15% at full budding, and 15% at full flowering stage; N_2 , 20% of N at planting, 20% at full flowering, 50% at full boll formation, and 10% at boll opening stage.

TABLE 2 | Cotton water consumption, yield and water use efficiency as affected by irrigation and N practices.

and shoots are interdependent on each other. An adjustment in rooting pattern due to a water deficit in the soil will change shoot physiological processes (Hassan et al., 2015). In crop plants with drought resistance, a greater portion of assimilate is allocated toward roots resulting in an increased root to shoot ratio (Riaz et al., 2013; Jamal et al., 2014). However, the impact of a water deficit on the root to shoot ratio can differ from one crop to another, i.e., cotton and maize display a different response under drought stress in terms of the root to shoot ratio (Jamal et al., 2014).

Changes in the root to shoot ratio indicate the demandsupply balance in response to environmental stress (Lambers et al., 1998). Drought stress and nutrient limitation increase carbon translocation from leaves to roots resulting in a greater root to shoot ratio (Andrews et al., 1999; Rahimi et al., 2013). Similarly, we observed that the root to shoot ratio increased as the soil water content decreased at 70 DAP. Limitations in the soil water content can induce carbohydrate accumulation in plant roots (Rahimi et al., 2013). A similar observation was made in the present study, where the root to shoot ratio in waterstressed plants W₂ and W₄ was greater than in well-watered plants W1 and W3 at 140 DAP. These results are consistent with those of Hassan et al. (2015), who also reported that moisture stress significantly increased the cotton root to shoot ratio in a comparison with unstressed plants. In this study, N application under moisture deficit conditions did not significantly influence the root to shoot ratio of cotton. In cotton, a low N application under water deficit conditions can be helpful (Liu et al., 2008). An adequate N application under drought conditions has been shown to improve root growth, but decrease shoot growth (Maranov et al., 1998).

It was found that an inter-dependent relationship existed between the roots and shoot which ensured that adequate supply of carbohydrates to roots could develop and retain active root functions. The resulting high level of root activity improves shoot traits under an adequate supply of nutrients, water and phytohormones to shoots, leading to increased crop productivity (Yang et al., 2004; Chu et al., 2014). We found that root growth was positively related to shoot growth. Thicker root diameters were previously observed in deeper soil layer in high-yielding soybean (Jin et al., 2010). Therefore, we speculated that the improved root morphological and physiological performance for the W_4N_2 combined treatment would enhance shoot physiological processes, leading to a higher cotton yield and WUE.

Sufficient water storage in deep soil layers could promote the downward growth of cotton roots, and is essential for achieving water-saving and high-yielding cotton under mulch drip irrigation (Luo et al., 2013, 2014). In the present study, presowing irrigation in W_3 and W_4 significantly increased RLD, RVD, RMD, and root activity in 40–120 cm soil layers. This implies that under pre-sowing irrigation and soil water deficit conditions at 70 DAP enhanced root growth into the deeper soil to take up water and nutrients. The N application method should be adjusted according to different irrigation regimes to achieve the maximum yield (Wang et al., 2010). In the present study, RLD, RVD, RMD and root activity in the 0–40 cm soil layer at 70 DAP were significantly lower in N₂ relative to N₁. In contrast, root activity in the 40-120 cm soil layer at 140 DAP was significantly higher in N₂ than in N₁. These data confirm that the 80 \pm 5% WHC combined with 20% of N fertilizer at sowing +80% as topdressing, accelerated root growth and allowed roots to penetrate into the deeper soil layers. Cotton cultivars can access soil water reserves to offset the negative effects of a water deficit during the early growth stages. This allow plants to optimize the distribution of photosynthates between roots and shoots in order to increase dry matter accumulation in shoots with less soil water consumption as well as improving the WUE of the economic yield. Data from the soil column were obtained in the field in order to reflect the actual environmental conditions; however, the conditions were still considerably different than the exact field conditions. Further research is needed to identify the mechanism by which water and N management affects the growth productivity of cotton under a mulch-drip irrigation system.

Maximizing the WUE at all scales is essential in Chinese agricultural systems, especially during drought conditions when water for irrigation is scarce. In this study, the WUE of the biologic and economic yields were maximized in both the W₃ and W₄ irrigation regimes. This is probably due to their greater root growth and activity compared to the other regimes. WUE is also associated with daily canopy temperature and WUE was higher when the canopy temperatures were at the optimum of $28.9 \pm 1.5^{\circ}$ C and $30.8 \pm 2.17^{\circ}$ C (Conaty et al., 2015). The high WUE in this study might be due to extensive penetration of roots for water extraction and the precise control of N and irrigation water particularly in the mulch drip irrigation system. This data is similar to that of (Conaty et al., 2015), who also reported that good agronomic practices such as fertilizer and water supply can increase WUE. In the future, further research is required to determine the relationship among canopy temperature and cotton yield and WUE under different water and N management regimes especially under water deficit conditions.

CONCLUSION

In this experiment irrigation and N regimes significantly altered cotton root growth, root activity, biomass accumulation, WUE and yield. Root activity in the 40-120 cm soil layers depth was positively correlated with water consumption. Shoot dry weight was strongly correlated with RMD, RLD, and root activity. Cotton root growth and activity was increased in the 40-120 cm soil layer under conditions with pre-sowing irrigation and 55% soil WHC from the third-leaf to the flowering stage together with a N fertilizer application of 20% at sowing followed by the remaining 80%. Therefore increased water consumption in the deep soil layers resulted in increased shoot dry weight, seed cotton yield and WUE. Consequently, we suggest decreasing the water and N supply before full flower stage, but increasing the amount of water and N applied at the middle and later growth stages as a high-yield, high-efficiency cultivation technique in the arid area of Xinjiang, China.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

HL initiated and designed the experiment. HZ performed the experiments and collected the data. HZ and AK analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. DT, AK, and HL revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Andrews, M., Sprent, J. I., Raven, J. A., and Eady, P. P. E. (1999). Relationships between shoot to root ratio, growth and leaf soluble protein concentration of *Pisum sativum*, *Phaseolus vulgaris* and *Triticum aestivum* under different nutrient deficiencies. *Plant Cell Environ*. 22, 949–958. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-3040. 1999.00452.x
- Bueno, C. S., Bucourt, M., Kobayashi, N., Inubushi, K., and Lafarge, T. (2010). Water productivity of contrasting rice genotypes grown under water-saving conditions in the tropics and investigation of morphological traits for adaptation. Agric. Water Manage. 98, 241–250. doi: 10.1016/j.agwat.2010.08.015
- Chu, G., Chen, T., Wang, Z., Yang, J., and Zhang, J. (2014). Reprint of morphological and physiological traits of roots and their relationships with water productivity in water-saving and drought-resistant rice. *Field Crops Res.* 165, 36–48. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2014.06.026
- Conaty, W. C., Mahan, J. R., Neilsen, J. E., Tan, D. K. Y., Yeates, S. J., and Sutton, B. G. (2015). The relationship between cotton canopy temperature and yield, fibre quality and water-use efficiency. *Field Crops Res.* 183, 329–341. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2015.08.010
- Constable, G. A., and Bange, M. P. (2015). The yield potential of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Field Crops Res. 182, 98–106. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2015.07.017
- Fuchs, M. (1990). Infrared measurement of canopy temperature and detection of plant water stress. *Theor. Appl. Climatol.* 42, 253–261. doi: 10.1007/BF00865986
- Gwenzi, W., Veneklaas, E. J., Holmes, K. W., Bleby, T. M., Philips, I. R., and Hinz, C. (2011). Spatial analysis of fine root distribution on a recently constructed ecosystem in a water-limited environment. *Plant Soil* 344, 255–272. doi: 10.1007/s11104-011-0744-8
- Haefele, S. M., Jabbar, S. M. A., Siopongco, J. D. L. C., Tirol-Padre, A., Amarante, S. T., Sta Cruz, P. C., et al. (2008). Nitrogen use efficiency in selected rice (*Oryza sativa L.*) genotypes under different water regimes and nitrogen levels. *Field Crops Res.* 107, 137–146. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2008.01.007
- Hassan, M. U., Maqsood, M., Wajid, S. A., and Ranjha, A. M. (2015). Rooting pattern and nitrogen use efficiency in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) under moisture stress conditions. J. Anim. Plant Sci. 25, 1429–1440.
- Hodgson, A. S., Constable, G. A., Duddy, G. R., and Daniells, I. G. (1990). A comparison of drip and furrow irrigated cotton on a cracking clay soil. *Irrigation Sci.* 11, 143–148. doi: 10.1007/BF00189451
- Hou, Z. A., Li, P. F., Li, B. G., Gong, J., and Wang, Y. N. (2007). Effects of fertigation scheme on N uptake and N use efficiency in cotton. *Plant Soil* 290, 115–126. doi: 10.1007/s11104-006-9140-1
- Hulugalle, N. R., Broughton, K. J., and Tan, D. K. Y. (2015a). Fine root production and mortality in irrigated cotton, maize and sorghum sown in vertisols of northern New South Wales, Australia. *Soil Till. Res.* 146, 313–322. doi: 10.1016/ j.still.2014.10.004
- Hulugalle, N. R., Broughton, K. J., and Tan, D. K. Y. (2015b). Root growth of irrigated summer crops in cotton-based farming systems sown in Vertisols of northern New South Wales. *Crop Pasture Sci.* 66, 158–167. doi: 10.1071/ CP14184
- Hulugalle, N. R., Weaver, T. B., Finlay, L. A., Luelf, N. W., and Tan, D. K. Y. (2009). Potential contribution by cotton roots to soil carbon stocks in irrigated Vertisols. *Aust. J. Soil Res.* 47, 243–252. doi: 10.1071/SR08180
- Jamal, A., Shahid, M. N., Aftab, B., Rashid, B., Sarwar, M. B., Mohamed, B. B., et al. (2014). Water stress mediated changes in morphology and physiology of *Gossypium arboreum* (var FDH-786). *J. Plant Sci.* 2, 179–186. doi: 10.11648/j. jps.20140205.15
- Jin, J., Wang, G. H., Liu, X. B., Mi, L., Li, Y. H., Xu, Y. X., et al. (2010). Genetic improvement of yield shapes the temporal and spatial root morphology of

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 31460325 and 31401321) and the Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of The Xinjiang Production and Construction Corps (2014BB009). We thank two reviewers for their insightful comments on the manuscript.

soybean (*Glycine max*) grown in north-east China. N. Zeal. J. Crop Hortic. Sci. 38, 177–188. doi: 10.1080/01140671.2010.495375

- Khan, A., Munsif, F., Akhtar, K., Afridi, M. Z., Ahmad, Z., Fahad, S., et al. (2014). Response of fodder maize to various levels of nitrogen and phosphorus. *Am. J. Plant Sci.* 5, 2323–2329. doi: 10.4236/ajps.2014. 515246
- Khan, A., Tan, D. K. Y., Afridi, M. Z., Luo, H. H., Tung, S. A., Ajab, M., et al. (2017). Nitrogen fertility and abiotic stresses management in cotton crop: a review. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* doi: 10.1007/s11356-017-8920-x [Epub ahead of print].
- Lambers, H., Chapin Chapin, F. S. I. I. I., and Pons Pons, T. (1998). Plant Physiology Ecology. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4757-2855-2
- Latiri-Souki, K., Nortcliff, S., and Lawlor, D. W. (1998). Nitrogen fertilizer can increase dry matter, grain production and radiation and water use efficiencies for durum wheat under semi-arid conditions. *Eur. J. Agron.* 9, 21–34. doi: 10.1016/S1161-0301(98)00022-7
- Liu, R. X., Zhou, Z. G., Guo, W. Q., Chen, B. L., and Oosterhuis, D. M. (2008). Effects of N fertilization on root development and activity of water-stressed cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum L.*) plants. *Agric. Water Manage*. 95, 1261–1270. doi: 10.1016/j.agwat.2008.05.002
- Luo, H. H., Zhang, H. H., Han, H. Y., Hu, Y. Y., Zhang, Y. L., and Zhang, W. F. (2014). Effects of water storage in deeper soil layers on growth, yield, and water productivity of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) in arid areas of northwestern China. *Irrigation Drain* 63, 59–70. doi: 10.1002/ird.1793
- Luo, H. H., Zhang, H. Z., Tao, X. P., Zhang, Y. L., and Zhang, W. F. (2013). Effects of water and nitrogen management modes on the leaf photosynthetic characters and yield formation of cotton with under-mulch drip irrigation. *Chin. J. Appl. Ecol.* 24, 407–415.
- Luo, H. H., Zhu, J. J., Zhao, R. H., Han, C. L., and Zhang, W. F. (2010). Effect of water content in root zone on root growth and yield of cotton under drip irrigation with film mulching. *Cotton Sci.* 22, 63–69.
- Luo, L. (2010). Breeding for water-saving and drought-resistance rice (WDR) in China. J. Exp. Bot. 61, 3509–3517. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erq185
- Luo, L., Mei, H., Yu, X., Liu, H., and Feng, F. (2011). Water-saving and droughtresistance rice and its development strategy. *Chin. Sci. Bull.* 56, 804–811. doi: 10.1360/972010-2365
- Lynch, J. P. (2013). Steep, cheap and deep: an ideotype to optimize water and N acquisition by maize root systems. Ann. Bot. 112, 347–357. doi: 10.1093/aob/ mcs293
- Maranov, A., Samedovam, A., and Shirvany, T. (1998). Root-shoot relationships in plant adaptation to nitrogen deficiency. *Plant Soil* 82, 147–154.
- Mmolawa, K., and Or, D. (2000). Root zone solute dynamics under drip irrigation: a review. *Plant Soil* 222, 163–190. doi: 10.1023/A:1004756832038
- Mustafa, U., Kanber, R., Levent Koc, D., Tekin, S., and Kapur, B. (2011). Effects of deficit irrigation on the yield and yield components of drip irrigated cotton in a mediterranean environment. *Agric. Water Manage*. 98, 597–605. doi: 10.1016/j. agwat.2010.10.020
- Papadopoulos, I. (1988). N fertigation of trickle-irrigated poto. Fertil. Res. 16, 157–167. doi: 10.1007/BF01049771
- Proffitt, A. P. B., Berliner, P. R., and Oosterhuis, D. M. (1985). A comparative study of root distribution and water extraction efficiency by wheat grown under highand low- frequency irrigation. *Agron. J.* 77, 655–662. doi: 10.2134/agronj1985. 00021962007700050001x
- Rahimi, A., Sayadi, F., Dashti, H., and Tajabadi, P. A. (2013). Effects of water and nitrogen supply on growth, water-use efficiency and mucilage yield of isabgol (*Plantago ovata* Forsk). *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 13, 341–354. doi: 10.4067/s0718-95162013005000028

- Riaz, M., Farooq, J., Sakhawat, G., Mahmood, A., Sadiq, M. A., and Yaseen, M. (2013). Genotypic variability for root/shoot parameters under water stress in some advanced lines of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Genet. Mol. Res.* 12, 552–561. doi: 10.4238/2013.February.27.4
- Sampathkumar, T., Pandian, B. J., Rangaswamy, M. V., Manickasundaram, P., and Jeyakumar, P. (2013). Influence of deficit irrigation on growth, yield and yield parameters of cotton-maize cropping sequence. *Agric. Water Manage.* 130, 90–102. doi: 10.1016/j.agwat.2013.08.018
- Singh, R. P., Prasad, P. V. V., Sunita, K., Giri, S. N., and Reddy, K. R. (2007). Influence of high temperature and breeding for heat tolerance in cotton: a review. Adv. Agron. 93, 313–385. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2113(06)93006-5
- Tang, L., Li, Y., and Zhang, J. (2005). Physiological and yield responses of cotton under partial root zone irrigation. *Field Crops Res.* 94, 214–223. doi: 10.1016/j. fcr.2005.01.005
- Tennakoon, S. B., and Milroy, S. P. (2003). Crop water use and water use efficiency on irrigated farms in Australia. Agric. Water Manage. 61, 179–194. doi: 10.1002/ jsfa.6652
- Wang, Q., Li, F. R., Zhao, L., Zhang, E. H., Shi, S. L., Zhao, W. Z., et al. (2010). Effects of irrigation and nitrogen application rates on nitrate nitrogen distribution and fertilizer nitrogen loss, wheat yield and nitrogen uptake on a recently reclaimed sandy farmland. *Plant Soil* 337, 325–339. doi: 10.1007/ s11104-010-0530-z
- Wei, C. Z., Ma, F. Y., Lei, Y. W., Li, J. H., Ye, J., and Zhang, F. S. (2002). Study on cotton root development and spatial distribution under film mulch and drip irrigation. *Cotton Sci.* 14, 209–214.
- Wu, Y., and Cosgrove, D. J. (2000). Adaptation of roots to low water potentials by changes in cell wall extensibility and cell wall proteins. *J. Exp. Bot.* 51, 1543–1553. doi: 10.1093/jexbot/51.350.1543
- Yan, Y. Y., Zhao, C. Y., Sheng, Y., Li, J. Y., Peng, D. M., and Li, Z. L. (2009). Effects of drip irrigation under mulching on cotton root and shoot biomass and yield. *Chin. J. Appl. Ecol.* 20, 970–976.

- Yang, J., Zhang, J., Wang, Z., Xu, G., and Zhu, Q. (2004). Activities of key enzymes in sucrose-to-starch conversion in wheat grains subjected to water deficit during grain filling. *Plant Physiol.* 135, 1621–1629. doi: 10.1104/pp.104. 041038
- Yang, L., Wang, Y., Kobayashi, K., Zhu, J., Huang, J., Yang, H., et al. (2008). Seasonal changes in the effects of free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) on growth, morphology and physiology of rice root at three levels of nitrogen fertilization. *Glob. Change Biol.* 14, 1844–1853. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008. 01624.x
- Zhang, W. F., Wang, Z. L., Yu, S. L., Li, S. K., Cao, L. P., and Ren, L. T. (2003). Effect of under-mulch-drip irrigation on canopy apparent photosynthesis, canopy structure and yield formation in high-yield cotton of Xinjiang. *J. Exp. Bot.* 55, 38–44.
- Zhou, Z. G., and Derrick, M. O. (2012). Physiological mechanism of nitrogen mediating cotton seedlings growth under water-stress conditions. Am. J. Plant Sci. 3, 721–730. doi: 10.4236/ajps.2012.36087

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The reviewer CY and handling Editor declared their shared affiliation, and the handling Editor states that the process nevertheless met the standards of a fair and objective review.

Copyright © 2017 Zhang, Khan, Tan and Luo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.