

OPEN ACCESS

Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences (CAS). China

Biotechnology Research Institute. Chinese Academy of Agricultural

Huazhong Agricultural University,

Edited by:

Zuhua He.

Reviewed by:

Haiyang Wang,

Sciences, China

*Correspondence:

[†]Present address: Lei Wang

equally to this work.

Specialty section:

a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

bingwang@genetics.ac.cn

Agricultural Genome Institute,

Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Shenzhen, China

Max Planck Institute of Molecular

[‡]These authors have contributed

This article was submitted to Plant Physiology,

Received: 10 August 2017 Accepted: 26 October 2017 Published: 09 November 2017

Plant Physiology, Potsdam, Germany

Xuelu Wang,

China

Jiayang Li jyli@genetics.ac.cn

Ring Wang

Liang Jiang,



DWARF14, A Receptor Covalently Linked with the Active Form of Strigolactones, Undergoes Strigolactone-Dependent **Degradation in Rice**

Qingliang Hu^{1,2‡}, Yajun He^{1,2‡}, Lei Wang^{1†}, Simiao Liu¹, Xiangbing Meng¹, Guifu Liu¹, Yanhui Jing¹, Mingjiang Chen¹, Xiaoguang Song¹, Liang Jiang^{1†}, Hong Yu¹, Bing Wang^{1*} and Jiayang Li1,2*

¹ State Key Laboratory of Plant Genomics and National Center for Plant Gene Research (Beijing), Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, ² University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

Strigolactones (SLs) are the latest confirmed phytohormones that regulate shoot branching by inhibiting bud outgrowth in higher plants. Perception of SLs depends on a novel mechanism employing an enzyme-receptor DWARF14 (D14) that hydrolyzes SLs and becomes covalently modified. This stimulates the interaction between D14 and D3, leading to the ubiguitination and degradation of the transcriptional repressor protein D53. However, the regulation of SL perception in rice remains elusive. In this study, we provide evidences that D14 is ubiquitinated after SL treatment and degraded through the 26S proteasome system. The Lys280 site of the D14 amino acid sequence was important for SL-induced D14 degradation, but did not change the subcellular localization of D14 nor disturbed the interaction between D14 and D3, nor D53 degradation. Biochemical and genetic analysis indicated that the key amino acids in the catalytic center of D14 were essential for D14 degradation. We further showed that D14 degradation is dependent on D3 and is tightly correlated with protein levels of D53. These findings revealed that D14 degradation takes place following D53 degradation and functions as an important feedback regulation mechanism of SL perception in rice.

Keywords: Oryza sativa, plant hormones, strigolactones, hydrolase, DWARF14, proteolysis, signal transduction

INTRODUCTION

Citation:

Hu Q, He Y, Wang L, Liu S, Meng X, Liu G, Jing Y, Chen M, Song X, Jiang L, Yu H, Wang B and Li J (2017) DWARF14, A Receptor Covalently Linked with the Active Form of Strigolactones, Undergoes Strigolactone-Dependent Degradation in Rice. Front. Plant Sci. 8:1935. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01935

Strigolactones (SLs), a group of carotenoid-derived terpenoid lactones produced by plants, were initially characterized as signals that enable parasitic plants to detect their host (Cook et al., 1966), and also as signals recognized by arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi in the rhizosphere to build the symbiotic association with host plants (Akiyama et al., 2005). Besides the roles of SLs in the rhizosphere, SLs have been identified as endogenous phytohormones that are transported from roots to shoots and suppress shoot branching by inhibiting the outgrowth of axillary buds (Beveridge et al., 1996; Foo et al., 2001; Booker et al., 2005; Gomez-Roldan et al., 2008; Umehara et al., 2008). In addition, SLs have profound effects in many aspects of plant development, including internode elongation, leaf shape and senescence, shoot gravitropism, stem secondary thickening, root architecture, and the drought tolerance (Al-Babili and Bouwmeester, 2015; Waters et al., 2017).

The key components required for SL biosynthesis and signaling have been identified from genetic characterizations of highly branched mutants, including ramosus (rms) in pea (Pisum sativum), more axillary growth (max) in Arabidopsis thaliana, decreased apical dominance (dad) in petunia (Petunia hybrida), and dwarf (d) or high-tillering dwarf (htd) in rice (Orvza sativa). In the SL biosynthetic pathway, SLs are derived from all-trans-\beta-carotene, which is converted to 9cis-\beta-carotene by the isomerase DWARF27 (D27) (Lin et al., 2009; Alder et al., 2012), and subsequently catalyzed into carlactone by carotenoid cleavage oxygenase 7 (CCD7) and CCD8 (Beveridge et al., 1996; Sorefan et al., 2003; Booker et al., 2004; Seto et al., 2014). The subsequent catalytic reactions are diverse in different species. In Arabidopsis, the cytochrome P450 enzyme MAX1 converts carlactone to carlactonoic acid (CLA), which is further converted to a methyl carlactonoate (MeCLA) by an unknown enzyme (Abe et al., 2014). Subsequently, LATERAL BRANCHING OXIDOREDUCTASE (LBO) converts MeCLA to an unknown SL-like product (MeCLA+16 Da) (Brewer et al., 2016). In rice, the MAX1 homolog Os01g0700900 is responsible for the oxidation of carlactone to 4-deoxyorobanchol (4DO), while another MAX1 homolog, Os01g0701400, functions as an orobanchol synthase that converts 4DO to orobanchol (Zhang et al., 2014). In sorghum, a newly identified sulfotransferase LOW GERMINATION STIMULANT1 (LGS1) is responsible for a change of the dominant SL in root exudates from 5-deoxystrigol to orobanchol via an unknown mechanism and regulates the Striga resistance (Gobena et al., 2017).

In SL signaling, three key components have been identified from genetic screen of SL-insensitive mutants in rice, Arabidopsis, pea, and petunia, which include the α/β -fold hydrolase D14/AtD14/RMS3/DAD2, the F-box protein D3/MAX2/RMS4/PhMAX2A, and the repressor proteins D53/D53-Like SMXLs (Stirnberg et al., 2002, 2007; Ishikawa et al., 2005; Arite et al., 2009; Gao et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2009; Hamiaux et al., 2012; Waters et al., 2012; Jiang et al., 2013; Nakamura et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2013; Soundappan et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015). Perception of SLs depends on a novel mechanism involving the formation of a covalently linked intermediate molecule (CLIM) from the binding of a SL molecule to the receptor D14 and being hydrolyzed by D14. This reaction promotes a conformational change of D14, leading to the interaction between D14 and D3 and triggering the SL signal transduction (Yao et al., 2016). S Ls can also induce the interaction between D14 and D53, leading to ubiquitination and degradation of D53 in a D3and D14-dependent manner (Jiang et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2013). D53 contains three ethylene-responsive element binding factor-associated amphiphilic repression (EAR) motifs, which are essential to recruit the transcriptional co-repressor TOPLESS (TPL) and TPL-related proteins (TPRs) and could potentially repress the activities of target transcription factors (Jiang et al., 2013). Recently, Ideal Plant Architecture1 (IPA1), a key regulator of plant architecture in rice, has been identified as one of the long-speculated transcription factors involved in SL signaling. IPA1 can physically interact with D53 and plays an essential role in the feedback regulation of SL-induced *D53* expression (Song et al., 2017).

Although the "de-repression activation" mechanism in SL signaling is similar to the signaling pathways of auxin, gibberellic acid (GA) and jasmonic acid (JA) (Dharmasiri et al., 2005; Kepinski and Levser, 2005; Ueguchi-Tanaka et al., 2005; Chini et al., 2007; Thines et al., 2007; Jiang et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2013), the SL receptor D14 functions as a non-canonical receptor (Yao et al., 2016). Therefore, the molecular mechanism underlying the inactivation of SL signaling triggered by the D14-SCF D3 -D53 complex becomes an important open question. The degradation of signal receptors by the 26S proteasome system is critical for fine-tuning on signal transduction of plant hormones and environmental signals, such as JA, abscisic acid (ABA), ethylene and the blue light (Chen et al., 2007; Kevany et al., 2007; Yan et al., 2013; Kong et al., 2015; Tao et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016). In Arabidopsis thaliana, AtD14 has been shown to undergo 26S proteasome-dependent degradation, but the molecular mechanism of AtD14 degradation remains elusive (Chevalier et al., 2014). In rice, whether D14 undergoes degradation and the regulatory mechanism underlies SL perception remain unknown. Due to the profound effects of SLs on tillering, a key agronomic trait in cereal crops, investigating the regulation of SL perception is important for improving plant architecture and grain yield of rice. In this study, we show that SLs stimulate the ubiquitination and degradation of D14 through 26S proteasome in rice. A point mutation at Lys280 of D14 could greatly impair D14 degradation, but has little effect on SL signal transduction. We also show that the hydrolase activity of D14 and the intact functions of D3 and D53 are both required for SL-induced D14 degradation. These discoveries have paved a way for elucidating of the inactivation mechanism of the SL perception in rice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L. spp. *japanica*) mutants used in this study were *d53* and *d14* from Nipponbare and *d3* from Zhonghua 11 (ZH11) as described previously (Jiang et al., 2013; Sang et al., 2014). Rice plants were cultivated in the experimental field of the Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology at Beijing in the summer and Hainan in the winter. For quantitative PCR with reverse transcription and transient expression analysis, the seedlings of wild-type and mutants were grown in a growth chamber with a 16-h light at 28°C and 8-h dark at 25°C photoperiod with approximately 200 μ M m⁻² s⁻¹ photon density and 70% humidity. For calli treatment assays, rice calli were cultured on selection medium (Gamborg et al., 1968; Chu et al., 1975) for 7 days at 28°C in a light-avoided environment in greenhouse.

Chemicals and Reagents

The synthetic SL analog GR24, a racemic mixture (*rac*-GR24) comprising amounts of GR24^{5DS} and GR24^{ent-5DS}, was the product from Chiralix, MG132 from Calbiochem, the complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Cat#04693132001) and anti-GFP antibody (Cat#11814460001) from Roche, anti-actin antibody (Cat#M20009L) from Abmart, anti-Flag antibody (Cat#M20008) from Abmart, GFP-Trap®A beads (Cat#120716001A) from Chromotek, TRIzol kit (Cat#15596018) and Superscript III RT kit (Cat#18080-051) from Invitrogen, TURBO DNA-freeTM Kit (Cat#AM1907) from Abmion, SsoFast EvaGreen supermix (Cat#172-5201AP) from Bio-Rad, and Glutathione Sepharose 4 Fast Flow (Cat#17-5132-01) from GE healthcare. The anti-ubiquitin and anti-D53 antibodies are generated as described previously (Jiang et al., 2013; Tian et al., 2015).

Plasmid Construction

The plasmids of Actin:D14-GFP, Actin:D14^{S147A}-GFP and Actin:D14 H297Y-GFP were generated in previous studies (Jiang et al., 2013). To construct the 35S:D14-GFP plasmid, the coding sequence of D14 was amplified with primers of pBI221-D14-F/R (Supplementary Table 1) and recombined into pBI221-GFP vector. To generate the 35S:D14K280E-GFP plasmid, the fulllength of D14^{K280E} coding sequences were derived from 35S:D14-GFP plasmid by site-directed mutagenesis using the primers of 35S-D14K280E-F/R (Supplementary Table 1), and subsequently cloned into the pBI221-GFP vector. To construct the plasmid of D14-GFP, the coding sequence of D14 was amplified with primers of Ubi-D14-F/R (Supplementary Table 1) and cloned into pTCK303. To generate the plasmids of D14K33E-GFP, D14^{K55E}-GFP, D14^{K166E}-GFP, D14^{K246E}-GFP and D14^{K280E}-GFP, the full-length of the coding sequences of $D14^{K33E}$, $D14^{K55E}$, $D14^{K166E}$, $D14^{K246E}$ and $D14^{K280E}$ were derived from 35S:D14-GFP by site-directed mutagenesis using primers of D14K33E-F/R, D14K55E-F/R, D14K166E-F/R, D14K246E-F/R and D14K280E-F/R (Supplementary Table 1), respectively, and subsequently recombined into the binary vector pTCK303.

Chemical Treatment of Rice Calli

To examine whether SLs could induce the degradation of D14-GFP, D14^{K33E}-GFP, D14^{K55E}-GFP, D14^{K166E}-GFP, D14^{K246E}-GFP, and D14^{K280E}-GFP, calli of these transgenic lines were cultured on the selection medium (Gamborg et al., 1968; Chu et al., 1975) for 7 days at 28°C and then transferred into a liquid medium. After the treatment with *rac*-GR24 at the indicated concentration for various hours, calli were collected and frozen at -80° C. Total proteins were extracted with the extraction buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, 150 mM NaCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 0.1% NP-40, and 1× complete protease inhibitor cocktail). The supernatant was boiled with 5× SDS buffer at 100°C for 10 min and immunoblotting was performed with anti-GFP and anti-Actin antibodies.

In Vivo Ubiquitination Assay

The seedlings of wild-type were cultured in greenhouse for 2 weeks. Protoplasts were prepared from shoot tissues and



FIGURE 1 | Strigolactones (SLs) promote ubiquitination and degradation of D14 in rice. (A) Protein levels of D14-GFP in calli of the Act:D14-GFP/d14 transgenic line at different time points after 20 μ M rac-GR24 treatment with DMSO as a control. D14-GFP was detected by immunoblotting with an anti-GFP monoclonal antibody. Relative protein levels were determined by densitometry and normalized to loadings determined by Ponceau staining (red) in the immunoblotting analyses. (B) Relative expression levels of D14 and D53 in 2-week-old seedlings after 5 µM rac-GR24 treatment. Values represent means \pm SEM, n = 3. (C) D14-GFP protein levels in calli of the D14-GFP/d14 transgenic line at different time points after rac-GR24 treatment in the presence or absence of MG132. Calli are pretreated with 50 μM MG132 for 1 h and then treated with 20 µM rac-GR24 or DMSO for 3 h. D14-GFP was detected by immunoblotting with an anti-GFP monoclonal antibody. Actin1 were used as loading control in the immunoblotting analyses. (D) Ubiquitination analysis of D14-GFP in rice protoplasts. Rice (Nipponbare) protoplasts were transformed with 35S:D14-GFP plasmids and incubated for 12 h, then pretreated with 50 μ M MG132 for 1 h and immediately treated with 20 µM rac-GR24 or DMSO for 3 h. Proteins were extracted for affinity purification with an agarose-immobolized anti-GFP monoclonal antibody and followed by immunoblotting analysis with an anti-ubiquitin (upper panel) or anti-GFP (lower panel) monoclonal antibody.

transformed with 35S:D14-GFP as described (Bart et al., 2006). After incubation at 28°C for 12 h in W5 solution (154 mM NaCl, 125 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM KCl, 2 mM MES pH 5.7), the protoplasts were collected and pretreated with 50 μ M MG132 for

1 h and then treated with 20 μ M *rac*-GR24 or DMSO at 28°C for 3 h. Total proteins were extracted with the extraction buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, 150 mM NaCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 0.1% NP-40, 50 mM MG132, and 1× complete protease inhibitor cocktail). The lysates were centrifuged at 18,000 *g* for 20 min at 4°C. The supernatant was then taken for immunoprecipitation and subsequent immunoblot analysis using anti-ubiquitin and anti-GFP antibodies. Under the guidance of the supplier's instruction, 20 μ L of GFP-Trap®A beads were added into 1.2 mL totally extracted proteins and incubated at 4°C for 3 h with gentle rotation. The beads were washed three times with the washing buffer without NP-40 and then boiled with 50 μ L SDS-PAGE sample buffer for protein blotting. Mouse anti-ubiquitin monoclonal antibody was used at a 1:2,000 dilution and mouse anti-GFP polyclonal antibodies at a 1:3,000 dilution.

Gene Expression Analysis

The seedlings of wild-type were hydroponic-cultured (pH 5.5) in greenhouse for 2 weeks. After 5 μ M *rac*-GR24 treatment, the shoot base (0.5 cm) of the seedlings were harvested at different time points, total RNAs were extracted using a TRIzol kit according to the manufacturer's manual and then treated with the TURBO DNA-freeTM Kit and used for complementary DNA synthesis with the Superscript III RT kit. About 12.5 μ g total RNA was added into a 20- μ L TURBO DNase mixture reaction system and incubated at 37°C for 30 min, and then added 2 μ L DNase inactivation reagent and centrifuged at 12,000 g for 10 min, and

finally 4 μ L of the supernatants was used for complementary DNA synthesis. The quantitative PCR with reverse transcription experiments were performed with gene-specific primers of D14-RT-F/R and D53-RT-F/R (Supplementary Table 1) on a CFX 96 real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad). Each reaction volume was set as 10 μ L, consisting of 5 μ L SsoFast EvaGreen supermix, 0.5 μ L sense primer (5 μ M), 0.5 μ L antisense primer (5 μ M), 2.0 μ L diluted cDNA, and 2 μ L ddH₂O. Rice *UBIQUITIN* (LOC_Os03g13170) gene was used as the internal control.

Co-IP Assay

The seedlings of the wild type were hydroponic-cultured (pH 5.5) in greenhouse for 2 weeks. Protoplasts generated from shoot tissues were transformed with 35S:D14-Flag, 35S:D3-GFP, or 35S-GFP (Bart et al., 2006). After incubation at 28°C for 12 h in W5 solution (154 mM NaCl, 125 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM KCl, 2 mM MES, pH 5.7), proteins were extracted from the collected protoplasts using the extraction buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, 150 mM NaCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 0.1% NP-40, 50 μ M MG132, 1× complete protease inhibitor cocktail) by centrifugation at 20,000 g for 20 min at 4°C and then the supernatant was taken out for Co-IP experiments. Then 20 µL of GFP-Trap®A beads was added into 1.2 mL extracted total proteins and incubated at 4°C for 3 h with gentle rotation in the presence or absence of 10 μ M rac-GR24. The beads were washed three times with the washing buffer lack of NP-40 and then boiled with 50 µL SDS-PAGE sample buffer for protein blot.





The proteins of D3-GFP and GFP were detected by mouse anti-GFP antibody at a 1:3,000 dilution, and the D14-Flag proteins by mouse anti-FLAG antibody at a 1:2,000 dilution.

Microscopy Analyses

Protoplasts were prepared from shoot tissues of 2-week-old seedlings and transformed with the plasmid of *35S:GFP*, *35S:D14-GFP* or *35S:D14^{K280E}-GFP*. The SV40NLS-mCherry plasmid, which bears a strong nucleus localization signal peptide, was co-transformed into the protoplasts to label the nucleus (Ye et al., 2012). After incubation for 14 h at 28°C in the dark, the protoplasts were collected to observe the GFP and mCherry signals with confocal microscope at the excitation wavelengths of 488 and 559 nm, respectively (FluoView FV1000; Olympus).

RESULTS

SLs Stimulate the Ubiquitination and Degradation of D14

To explore whether the SL receptor undergoes a feedback regulation in rice, we first investigated the D14 protein levels after SL treatment. In *Actin:D14-GFP* transgenic calli treated with 20 μ M *rac*-GR24, the D14-GFP fusion protein amount begun to decrease at 1 h, and dramatically reduced at 4 h (**Figure 1A**). We then examined the expression levels of *D14* and *D53* upon 5 μ M *rac*-GR24 treatment in 2-week-old seedlings, and found that *D14* transcripts were unaffected within 6 h treatment, while *D53* transcripts were strongly induced after 2 h treatment (**Figure 1B**). These results indicate that SLs could induce the degradation of the D14 protein but have no effect on *D14* transcription.

To investigate whether D14 is degraded through the ubiquitin-26S proteasome system, we detected D14 protein levels in the Actin:D14-GFP transgenic calli treated with 20 µM rac-GR24 in the presence or absence of MG132, and found that the SL-induced D14 degradation was strongly inhibited by MG132, indicating that the 26S proteasome pathway is involved in the degradation of D14 (Figure 1C). We further examined the polyubiquitination of D14 upon rac-GR24 treatment in rice protoplasts transformed with 35S:D14-GFP. After 3 h treatment, the transiently expressed D14-GFP recombinant protein was polyubiquitinated, but no polyubiquitination signal was detected in any other negative control (Figure 1D). Collectively, these data demonstrate that SLs stimulate the ubiquitination and degradation of D14 via the ubiquitin-26S proteasome system in rice.

Identification of Key Amino Acids Responsible for SL-Induced D14 Degradation

To identify the potential ubiquitination sites of D14, we analyzed the protein sequence of D14 using BDM-PUB¹. Five lysine sites, K33, K55, K166, K246, and K280, were



FIGURE 3 | Effect of D14^{K280E} mutation on SL signaling. (A) Subcellular localizations of GFP, D14-GFP and D14K280E-GFP in rice protoplasts. The 35S:SV40NLS-mCherry plasmid was cotransformed to label the nucleus. BF, bright field. Bar = $10 \,\mu$ m. (B) *In vivo* interaction between D14-Flag and D3-GFP revealed by co-IP assay in rice protoplasts. After transformation and incubation for 12 h, protoplasts were treated with 10 µM rac-GR24 for 1 h, and then the supernatant extracted from the protoplasts was incubated with an agarose-conjugated anti-GFP monoclonal antibody at 4°C for 3 h in the presence or absence of 10 μ M rac-GR24, following which the D14 recombinant protein was detected with an anti-Flag monoclonal antibody, while D3-GFP and GFP were detected with an anti-GFP monoclonal antibody. Input refers to the total protein lysate before immunoprecipitation. (C) D53 protein levels in calli of Act:D14-GFP/d14, Act:D14^{K280E}-GFP/d14 and d14 treated with 10 μ M GR24. D53 was detected by immunoblotting with anti-D53 polyclonal antibodies. Rice Actin1 contents were used as loading controls in the immunoblotting analyses.

predicted as potential candidate ubiquitination sites of D14, of which K280 has the highest score (**Figure 2A**). We then tested whether the degradation of D14 is affected when each ubiquitination site was mutated through creating the point-mutation constructs containing $D14^{K33E}$ -*GFP*, $D14^{K55E}$ -*GFP*, $D14^{K266E}$ -*GFP*, $D14^{K266E}$ -*GFP* or $D14^{K280E}$ -*GFP* and expressed them in rice protoplasts, respectively (**Figure 2B**). After

¹http://bdmpub.biocuckoo.org/results.php

treatment with 10 μ M *rac*-GR24 for 2 h, these recombinant proteins showed degradation at different extents. Compared with the wild-type D14-GFP, the degradation of D14^{K280E}-GFP was severely impaired and the degradation of D14^{K33E}-GFP was moderately decreased upon SL treatment, but the degradation of D14^{K55E}-GFP, D14^{K166E}-GFP or D14^{K246E}-GFP was relatively unaffected (**Figure 2C**), suggesting that K280 might be the key amino acid for SL-induced D14 degradation.

AtD14 has been reported to be degraded after SL treatment in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Chevalier et al., 2014). We then compared the amino acid sequences of D14 with its orthologues including AtD14 from Arabidopsis, RMS3 from pea and DAD2 from petunia, and found that the K280 site of D14 is conserved in pea and petunia, but changes to Arginine in Arabidopsis (Supplementary Figure 1), suggesting that the mechanism of D14 degradation might be different between rice and Arabidopsis. Further analysis on the destabilizing effects of the K280E mutation indicate that no obvious conformational change occurs in D14^{K280E} based on structural prediction and comparison (Supplementary Figure 2). Taken together, these results indicate that the ubiquitination and degradation of D14 may involve multiple amino acids, of which K280 appears to play a major role.

D14^{K280E} Mutation Does Not Affect SL-Induced D53 Degradation

We then evaluated whether the K280 mutation influence the function of D14 in SL signaling. It has been reported that AtD14 is localized in the nucleus and cytoplasm (Chevalier et al., 2014). We therefore investigated the subcellular localization of D14-GFP and D14^{K280E}-GFP in a transient expression system using the SV40NLS-mCherry as a nuclear marker (Wang et al., 2015). As shown in Figure 3A, both D14-GFP and D14K280E-GFP proteins were localized in cytoplasm and nucleus, suggesting that K280 mutation does not affect the subcellular localization of D14. We then tested the interaction between D14K280E and D3 in wildtype protoplasts using the co-IP assay and found that $\mathrm{D14}^{\mathrm{K280E}}$ could interact with D3 after rac-GR24 treatment (Figure 3B). Furthermore, we compared the SL-induced degradation of D53 in calli of d14, D14-GFP/d14 and D14^{K280E}-GFP/d14. The protein levels of D14 and D14K280E were comparable in different transgenic lines and displayed no obvious decrease after rac-GR24 treatment for 30 min (Supplementary Figure 3). Consistent with our previous study (Jiang et al., 2013), D53 degradation upon rac-GR24 treatment is dramatically impaired in d14 mutant. Importantly, both D14-GFP and D14K280E-GFP rescue the defect of D53 degradation in *d14*, indicating that D14^{K280E}-GFP could trigger SL-induced D53 degradation and potential SL signaling (Figure 3C). Collectively, the D14^{K280E} mutation doses not disturb the process of SL perception, which requires D14-D3 interaction and D53 degradation.

SL-Induced D14 Degradation Requires the Hydrolase Activity of D14

D14 encodes a member of the α/β hydrolase superfamily, which features the canonical triad catalytic center (Hamiaux

et al., 2012; Kagiyama et al., 2013). The 147th serine and the 297th histidine sites are essential for the hydrolase activity and SL perception of D14 (Figure 4A) (Zhao et al., 2013; Yao et al., 2016). To address whether the hydrolase activity of D14 is required for its degradation, we mutated these key amino acids and generated the D14S147A-GFP and D14H297Y-GFP overexpression transgenic lines in the d14 background. Compared to the Actin:D14-GFP/d14 transgenic calli, the SL-stimulated D14 degradation was severely inhibited in Actin:D14^{S147A}-GFP/d14 and Actin:D14^{H297Y}-GFP/d14 calli (Figure 4B), indicating that the hydrolase activity of D14 is essential for the SL-induced D14 degradation. More importantly, the Actin:D14^{S147A}-GFP/d14 and Actin:D14^{H297Y}-GFP/d14 transgenic plants exhibited dwarf and high tillering phenotypes as the *d14* mutant (Figure 4C), demonstrating that the S147 and H297 sites are both indispensable for the signal perception of SLs in vivo.

SL-Induced D14 Degradation Is Dependent on D3 and Coupled to D53 Degradation

D3 encodes an F-box protein, which is a subunit of the Skp-Cullin-F-box (SCF) complex and is responsible for substrate recognition (Smith and Li, 2014). SLs trigger the interaction between D14 and D3, which in turn leads to the ubiquitination and degradation of D53, and probably relieves the repression on gene expression (Jiang et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2013). To check whether the degradation of D14 is depended on D3, we first identified a loss-of-function mutant of d3 in the ZH11 background, which contains a premature translation mutation due to a 1-bp (base pair) deletion in the first exon and displayed dwarf and high-tillering phenotypes (Supplementary Figure 4). We then treated the Actin:D14-GFP transgenic calli in the wildtype or d3 background with 20 µM rac-GR24. Consistent with the role of D3 in targeting D53 for ubiquitination and degradation, we found that D53 degradation upon SL treatment was strongly inhibited in the d3 mutant. More importantly, D14 degradation was also blocked in the d3 mutant (Figure 5A), suggesting that D3 may directly involves in the proteolysis of D14 or D3 regulates the expression of unknown SL-responsive genes and consequently control D14 degradation.

Furthermore, we compared the amounts of D14-GFP and D53 in *Actin:D14-GFP* transgenic calli in the wild-type and *d53* background (**Figure 5B**). The *d53* mutant shows typical SL-deficient phenotypes including dwarf and high tillering, and is insensitive to exogenous SL treatment. These developmental defects are caused by a significant attenuation of D53 degradation due to an in-frame deletion of amino acid 813-817 and an amino acid substitution at 812 of D53 protein (Jiang et al., 2013). Thus the SL signaling is impaired in the *d53* mutant. After *rac*-GR24 treatment for 1 h, endogenous D53 protein almost disappeared in wild type (left) but remained stable in the *d53* mutant (right), consistent with results in **Figure 3C** and our previous observation that D53 was almost disappeared within 30 min but remained stable in *d53* (Jiang et al., 2013). Meanwhile, the protein levels of D14-GFP in wild type began to decrease



(left) but remained stable in *d53* (right). With extended SL treatment for 3 and 6 h, endogenous D53 in the *d53* mutant began to degrade and reached about half of the value at zero time. The D14-GFP also degraded in *d53* (right) but the degradation degree was significantly impaired compared to that of wild type (left) (**Figure 5B**). These results indicate that D14 degradation is coupled to D53 degradation, which is closely related to the status of SL signaling.

DISCUSSION

The degradation of receptors exists as a fine-tune mechanism in the signaling of hormones, such as ABA, JA, brassinolide and ethylene (Kevany et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2011; Yan et al., 2013; Kong et al., 2015). In this study, we found that D14 degradation was observed from 1 h and reached maximum level at about 3–4 h (**Figures 1A,C, 4B, 5**), while D53 protein is degraded at 10 min and almost disappeared at 30 min after GR24 treatment (**Figure 3C**), indicating that the SL receptor D14 is degraded through the 26S proteasome following the degradation of D53 in rice. SLs are recognized and hydrolyzed into a D-ring-derived molecule by D14 and form a covalently link bridge with the catalytic sites of D14 (Yao et al., 2016). This process stimulates a conformational change of D14 and the formation of a SCF^{D3}-D14-D53 complex, leading to the ubiquitination and degradation of D53 (Jiang et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2013). Subsequently, the repression of D53 on SL signaling is relieved, and the downstream components are activated to regulate plant development. Meanwhile, SLs could in turn trigger the degradation of D14 through the 26S proteasome pathway, leading to the inactivation of SL perception (**Figure 6**).

The molecular mechanisms by which D14 was ubiquitinated and degraded are still open questions in higher plants (Chevalier et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2017). We found that D14 degradation was blocked in the loss-of-function mutant of d3 that displays completed obstructed D53 degradation, and was impaired in the dominant mutant d53 that displays significantly attenuated D53 degradation (Figure 5). These phenomena raised two possibilities for D14 degradation in vivo. The F-box protein D3 may target D53 and then D14 for ubiquitination and degradation. In this case, the over-accumulated D53 protein in the d53 mutant may hold more D3 protein and disturb the ubiquitination of D14. But another scenario also fit the available information. An unknown E3 ligase downstream of SL signaling may involve in the ubiquitination and degradation of D14. When SL perception is blocked (as in d3 mutant) or attenuated (as in d53 mutant), the expression or modification of this E3 ligase may change accordingly and thus influence D14 degradation (Figure 6). Crucially, further analysis of D14 ubiquitination and degradation







based on *in vitro* reconstitution of SCF^{D3} E3 ligase activity and the structural analysis of D14-D3-D53 complex will give direct evidence of whether D3 direct target D14 for ubiquitination and degradation.

Feedback regulation is an important and universal mechanism to maintain the homeostasis of a signaling pathway

(Schwechheimer, 2008). In plants, the biosynthesis and signal transduction of hormones are adjusted by several transcriptional and post-translational feedback regulation (Benjamins and Scheres, 2008; Kendrick and Chang, 2008; To and Kieber, 2008; Vlot et al., 2009; Jaillais and Chory, 2010; Jaillais and Vert, 2016; Yu et al., 2016; Carvalhais et al., 2017). For examples, auxins

trigger the degradation of repressor proteins AXIN/INDOLE-3-ACETIC ACIDs (Aux/IAAs) and subsequently release the AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR (ARF) transcription factors to regulate signal transduction. However, ARF could also promote the expression of Aux/IAA genes to repress the auxin signaling (Benjamins and Scheres, 2008). In the SL biosynthetic pathway, SLs repress the transcription of MAX3 and MAX4, which are key biosynthetic genes in Arabidopsis (Mashiguchi et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2015). In addition, in max and d mutants, the expression levels of MAX4 and its rice orthologous gene D10 were up-regulated (Jiang et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2015). In the SL signaling pathway, SLs trigger rapid degradation of D53 to promote SL signaling in rice, but in turn activate the transcription of the D53 gene to limit SL signaling (Jiang et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2013). This feedback regulation is mediated by the transcription factor IPA1, which could interact with D53 and activate D53 expression through directly binding the D53 promoter (Song et al., 2017). In Arabidopsis, AtD14 has been reported to undergo SL-induced and MAX2-dependent degradation through the proteasome system (Chevalier et al., 2014). In this work, we showed that SLs also promote the D14 degradation in rice, which would form a negative feedback loop of SL signaling. Intriguingly, SLs could trigger D53 degradation from 5 min, then downregulate MAX3 and MAX4 expression levels while upregulate the D53 expression from about 1-2 h. The SL-induced D14 degradation was observed from 1 h and reached maximum level at about 3-4 h, suggesting that the precise feedback regulation loops in different time dimension would effectively modulate the duration and intensity of SL signaling.

Parasitic plants are the largest biotic cause of reduced crop yields throughout the Africa (Rodenburg et al., 2016; Gobena et al., 2017). The germination of parasitic plants, mainly including Striga and Orobanche, is triggered by SLs secreted from crop roots (Waters et al., 2017). Currently, several strategies have been proposed to control parasitic plants, including induction of Striga germination through treating the unplanted fields with SL-like compounds and kill the parasitic plants before planting crops, and breeding of new crop varieties with altered SL biosynthesis or secretion without harming their normal growth (Nakamura and Asami, 2014; Toh et al., 2015; Fernández-Aparicio et al., 2016; Holbrook-Smith et al., 2016). Recent studies on highly Strigaresistant sorghum lines indicated that the SL profiles of root exudates from the lgs1 variants displayed reduced 5-deoxystrigol, a highly active Striga germination stimulant, but enhanced orobanchol, an SL required for normal growth. This change did not bring disadvantageous effect on plant development, but could inhibit the stimulation of Striga germination effectively (Gobena et al., 2017). In this study, we found that the mutation of $D14^{K280E}$ did not affect the normal function of D14 and SL signaling, but inhibited the D14 ubiquitination and degradation following SL treatment (Figures 2, 3). It is speculated that overexpressing the $D14^{K280E}$ may lead to the hypersensitivity to SLs but this may also need other amino acids working cooperatively with K280, for example K33, which moderately regulate D14 degradation (**Figure 2C**). Furthermore, when the mutated D14 protein resistant to ubiquitination and degradation is overexpressed, tiller number in rice is speculated to decrease due to an enhanced SL perception. Overexpression of this kind of D14 protein may suppress the high tillering phenotypes d27 and d17 that display impaired SL biosynthesis. This may present an alternative approach to cultivate rice varieties that perform decreased SL biosynthesis and reduced germination of *Striga* without harming the internal SL signaling pathway and regular plant development. It is rational that genetic modifications on the biosynthesis and perception of SLs are applicable to control the parasitic plant growth in crops in the future.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

QH, YH, BW, and JL conceived this project and designed all experiments. QH, YH, LW, SL, XM, GL, YJ, MC, XS, and LJ performed some of the experiments. BW, QH, YH, HY, and JL analyzed the data and wrote the paper. All authors commented on the article.

FUNDING

This work was supported by grants from the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant 2016YFD0100901), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant 91635301), and the Strategic Priority Research Program "Molecular Mechanism of Plant Growth and Development" (Grant XDPB0401).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Prof. Yonghong Wang and Linzhou Huang (Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences) for providing the rice *d3* mutant, Prof. Yijun Qi (Tsinghua University) for providing the plasmid of SV40NLS-mCherry, Prof. Qi Xie (Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences) for technical assistance in ubiquitination analysis, and lab members (Dr. Jingbo Duan, Huihui Liu) for their suggestions and technical assistance.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2017.01935/ full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

- Abe, S., Sado, A., Tanaka, K., Kisugi, T., Asami, K., Ota, S., et al. (2014). Carlactone is converted to carlactonoic acid by MAX1 in *Arabidopsis* and its methyl ester can directly interact with AtD14 in vitro. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, 18084–18089. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1410801111
- Akiyama, K., Matsuzaki, K., and Hayashi, H. (2005). Plant sesquiterpenes induce hyphal branching in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Nature* 435, 824–827. doi: 10.1038/nature03608
- Al-Babili, S., and Bouwmeester, H. J. (2015). Strigolactones, a novel carotenoidderived plant hormone. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 66, 161–186. doi: 10.1146/ annurev-arplant-043014-114759
- Alder, A., Jamil, M., Marzorati, M., Bruno, M., Vermathen, M., Bigler, P., et al. (2012). The path from beta-carotene to carlactone, a strigolactone-like plant hormone. *Science* 335, 1348–1351. doi: 10.1126/science.1218094
- Arite, T., Umehara, M., Ishikawa, S., Hanada, A., Maekawa, M., Yamaguchi, S., et al. (2009). d14, a strigolactone-insensitive mutant of rice, shows an accelerated outgrowth of tillers. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 50, 1416–1424. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcp091
- Bart, R., Chern, M., Park, C. J., Bartley, L., and Ronald, P. C. (2006). A novel system for gene silencing using siRNAs in rice leaf and stem-derived protoplasts. *Plant Methods* 2:13. doi: 10.1186/1746-4811-2-13
- Benjamins, R., and Scheres, B. (2008). Auxin: the looping star in plant development. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 59, 443–465. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant. 58.032806.103805
- Beveridge, C. A., Ross, J. J., and Murfet, I. C. (1996). Branching in pea (action of genes *Rms3* and *Rms4*). *Plant Physiol*. 110, 859–865. doi: 10.1104/pp.110.3.859
- Booker, J., Auldridge, M., Wills, S., McCarty, D., Klee, H., and Leyser, O. (2004). MAX3/CCD7 is a carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase required for the synthesis of a novel plant signaling molecule. *Curr. Biol.* 14, 1232–1238. doi: 10.1016/j.cub. 2004.06.061
- Booker, J., Sieberer, T., Wright, W., Williamson, L., Willett, B., Stirnberg, P., et al. (2005). MAX1 encodes a cytochrome P450 family member that acts downstream of MAX3/4 to produce a carotenoid-derived branch-inhibiting hormone. Dev. Cell 8, 443–449. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2005.01.009
- Brewer, P. B., Yoneyama, K., Filardo, F., Meyers, E., Scaffidi, A., Frickey, T., et al. (2016). LATERAL BRANCHING OXIDOREDUCTASE acts in the final stages of strigolactone biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 113, 6301–6306. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1601729113
- Carvalhais, L. C., Schenk, P. M., and Dennis, P. G. (2017). Jasmonic acid signalling and the plant holobiont. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 21, 42–47. doi: 10.1016/j.mib. 2017.03.009
- Chen, Y. F., Shakeel, S. N., Bowers, J., Zhao, X. C., Etheridge, N., and Schaller, G. E. (2007). Ligand-induced degradation of the ethylene receptor ETR2 through a proteasome-dependent pathway in *Arabidopsis. Cell Res.* 282, 24752–24758.
- Chevalier, F., Nieminen, K., Sanchez-Ferrero, J. C., Rodriguez, M. L., Chagoyen, M., Hardtke, C. S., et al. (2014). Strigolactone promotes degradation of DWARF14, an α/β hydrolase essential for strigolactone signaling in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell* 26, 1134–1150. doi: 10.1105/tpc.114.122903
- Chini, A., Fonseca, S., Fernández, G., Adie, B., Chico, J. M., Lorenzo, O., et al. (2007). The JAZ family of repressors is the missing link in jasmonate signalling. *Nature* 448, 666–671. doi: 10.1038/nature06006
- Chu, C., Wang, C., Sun, C., Chen, H., Yin, K., Chu, C., et al. (1975). Establishment of an efficient medium for anther culture of rice through comparative experiments on nitrogen-sources. *Sci. China* 18, 659–668.
- Cook, C. E., Whichard, L. P., Turner, B., and Wall, M. E. (1966). Germination of witchweed (*Striga lutea* Lour.) isolation and properties of a potent stimulant. *Science* 154, 1189–1190. doi: 10.1126/science.154.3753.1189
- Dharmasiri, N., Dharmasiri, S., and Estelle, M. (2005). The F-box protein TIR1 is an auxin receptor. *Nature* 435, 441–445. doi: 10.1038/nature03543
- Fernández-Aparicio, M., Reboud, X., and Gibot-Leclerc, S. (2016). Broomrape weeds. Underground mechanisms of parasitism and associated strategies for their control: a review. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7:135. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00135
- Foo, E., Turnbull, C. G., and Beveridge, C. A. (2001). Long-distance signaling and the control of branching in the *rms1* mutant of pea. *Plant Physiol.* 126, 203–209. doi: 10.1104/pp.126.1.203
- Gamborg, O. L., Miller, R. A., and Ojima, K. (1968). Nutrient requirements of suspension cultures of soybean root cells. *Exp. Cell Res.* 50, 151–158. doi: 10.1016/0014-4827(68)90403-5

- Gao, Z., Qian, Q., Liu, X., Yan, M., Feng, Q., Dong, G., et al. (2009). Dwarf 88, a novel putative esterase gene affecting architecture of rice plant. Plant Mol. Biol. 71, 265–276. doi: 10.1007/s11103-009-9522-x
- Gobena, D., Shimels, M., Rich, P. J., Ruyter-Spira, C., Bouwmeester, H., Kanuganti, S., et al. (2017). Mutation in sorghum LOW GERMINATION STIMULANT 1 alters strigolactones and causes Striga resistance. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 114, 4471–4476. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1618965114
- Gomez-Roldan, V., Fermas, S., Brewer, P. B., Puech-Pages, V., Dun, E. A., Pillot, J. P., et al. (2008). Strigolactone inhibition of shoot branching. *Nature* 455, 189–U122. doi: 10.1038/nature07271
- Hamiaux, C., Drummond, R. S., Janssen, B. J., Ledger, S. E., Cooney, J. M., Newcomb, R. D., et al. (2012). DAD2 is an α/β hydrolase likely to be involved in the perception of the plant branching hormone, strigolactone. *Curr. Biol.* 22, 2032–2036. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2012.08.007
- Holbrook-Smith, D., Toh, S., Tsuchiya, Y., and McCourt, P. (2016). Small-molecule antagonists of germination of the parasitic plant *Striga hermonthica*. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 12, 724–729. doi: 10.1038/nchembio.2129
- Ishikawa, S., Maekawa, M., Arite, T., Onishi, K., Takamure, I., and Kyozuka, J. (2005). Suppression of tiller bud activity in tillering dwarf mutants of rice. *Plant Cell Physiol* 46, 79–86. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pci022
- Jaillais, Y., and Chory, J. (2010). Unraveling the paradoxes of plant hormone signaling integration. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 17, 642–645. doi: 10.1038/ nsmb0610-642
- Jaillais, Y., and Vert, G. (2016). Brassinosteroid signaling and BRI1 dynamics went underground. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 33, 92–100. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2016. 06.014
- Jiang, L., Liu, X., Xiong, G., Liu, H., Chen, F., Wang, L., et al. (2013). DWARF 53 acts as a repressor of strigolactone signalling in rice. *Nature* 504, 401–405. doi: 10.1038/nature12870
- Kagiyama, M., Hirano, Y., Mori, T., Kim, S. Y., Kyozuka, J., Seto, Y., et al. (2013). Structures of D14 and D14L in the strigolactone and karrikin signaling pathways. *Genes Cells* 18, 147–160. doi: 10.1111/gtc.12025
- Kendrick, M. D., and Chang, C. (2008). Ethylene signaling: new levels of complexity and regulation. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 11, 479–485. doi: 10.1016/ j.pbi.2008.06.011
- Kepinski, S., and Leyser, O. (2005). The Arabidopsis F-box protein TIR1 is an auxin receptor. Nature 435, 446–451. doi: 10.1038/nature03542
- Kevany, B. M., Tieman, D. M., Taylor, M. G., Cin, V. D., and Klee, H. J. (2007). Ethylene receptor degradation controls the timing of ripening in tomato fruit. *Plant J.* 51, 458–467. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03170.x
- Kong, L., Cheng, J., Zhu, Y., Ding, Y., Meng, J., Chen, Z., et al. (2015). Degradation of the ABA co-receptor ABI1 by PUB12/13 U-box E3 ligases. *Nat. Commun.* 20:8630. doi: 10.1038/ncomms9630
- Lin, H., Wang, R., Qian, Q., Yan, M., Meng, X., Fu, Z., et al. (2009). DWARF27, an iron-containing protein required for the biosynthesis of strigolactones, regulates rice tiller bud outgrowth. *Plant Cell* 21, 1512–1525. doi: 10.1105/tpc. 109.065987
- Liu, Q., Wang, Q., Liu, B., Wang, W., Wang, X., Park, J., et al. (2016). The blue lightdependent polyubiquitination and degradation of Arabidopsis cryptochrome2 requires multiple E3 ubiquitin ligases. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 57, 2175–2186. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcw134
- Liu, W., Wu, C., Fu, Y., Hu, G., Si, H., Zhu, L., et al. (2009). Identification and characterization of *HTD2*: a novel gene negatively regulating tiller bud outgrowth in rice. *Planta* 230, 649–658. doi: 10.1007/s00425-009-0975-6
- Mashiguchi, K., Sasaki, E., Shimada, Y., Nagae, M., Ueno, K., Nakani, T., et al. (2009). Feedback-regulation of strigolactone biosynthetic genes and strigolactone-regulated genes in *Arabidopsis. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 73, 2460–2465. doi: 10.1271/bbb.90443
- Nakamura, H., and Asami, T. (2014). Target sites for chemical regulation of strigolactone signaling. Front. Plant Sci. 5:623. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00623
- Nakamura, H., Xue, Y. L., Miyakawa, T., Hou, F., Qin, H. M., Fukui, K., et al. (2013). Molecular mechanism of strigolactone perception by DWARF14. *Nat. Commun.* 4:2613. doi: 10.1038/ncomms3613
- Rodenburg, J., Demont, M., Zwart, S. J., and Bastiaans, L. (2016). Parasitic weed incidence and related economic losses in rice in Africa. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 235, 306–317. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2016.10.020
- Sang, D., Chen, D., Liu, G., Liang, Y., Huang, L., Meng, X., et al. (2014). Strigolactones regulate rice tiller angle by attenuating shoot gravitropism

through inhibiting auxin biosynthesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 111, 11199–11204. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1411859111

- Schwechheimer, C. (2008). Understanding gibberellic acid signaling are we there yet? *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 11, 9–15. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2007.10.011
- Seto, Y., Sado, A., Asami, K., Hanada, A., Umehara, M., Akiyama, K., et al. (2014). Carlactone is an endogenous biosynthetic precursor for strigolactones. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, 1640–1645. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1314805111
- Smith, S. M., and Li, J. (2014). Signalling and responses to strigolactones and karrikins. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 21, 23–29. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2014.06.003
- Song, X., Lu, Z., Yu, H., Shao, G., Xiong, J., Meng, X., et al. (2017). IPA1 functions as a downstream transcription factor repressed by D53 in strigolactone signaling in rice. *Cell Res.* 27, 1128–1141. doi: 10.1038/cr.2017.102
- Sorefan, K., Booker, J., Haurogne, K., Goussot, M., Bainbridge, K., Foo, E., et al. (2003). MAX4 and RMS1 are orthologous dioxygenase-like genes that regulate shoot branching in Arabidopsis and pea. Genes. Dev. 17, 1469–1474. doi: 10.1101/gad.256603
- Soundappan, I., Bennett, T., Morffy, N., Liang, Y., Stanga, J. P., Abbas, A., et al. (2015). SMAX1-LIKE/D53 family members enable distinct MAX2-dependent responses to strigolactones and karrikins in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 27, 3143–3159. doi: 10.1105/tpc.15.00562
- Stirnberg, P., Furner, I. J., and Leyser, O. (2007) . MAX2 participates in an SCF complex which acts locally at the node to suppress shoot branching. *Plant J.* 50, 8094. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03032.x
- Stirnberg, P., van de Sande, K., and Leyser, H. M. O. (2002). *MAX1* and *MAX2* control shoot lateral branching in *Arabidopsis*. *Development* 129, 1131–1141.
- Tao, J. J., Cao, Y. R., Chen, H. W., Wei, W., Li, Q. T., Ma, B., et al. (2015). Tobacco translationally controlled tumor protein interacts with ethylene receptor tobacco histidine kinase1 and enhances plant growth through promotion of cell proliferation. *Plant Physiol.* 169, 96–114. doi: 10.1104/pp.15.00355
- Thines, B., Katsir, L., Melotto, M., Niu, Y., Mandaokar, A., Liu, G., et al. (2007). JAZ repressor proteins are targets of the SCFCOI1 complex during jasmonate signalling. *Nature* 448, 661–665. doi: 10.1038/nature05960
- Tian, M., Lou, L., Liu, L., Yu, F., Zhao, Q., Zhang, H., et al. (2015). The RING finger E3 ligase STRF1 is involved in membrane trafficking and modulates salt-stress response in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* 82, 81–92. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12797
- To, J. P., and Kieber, J. J. (2008). Cytokinin signaling: two-components and more. *Trends Plant Sci.* 13, 85–92. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2007.11.005
- Toh, S., Holbrook-Smith, D., Stogios, P. J., Onopriyenko, O., Lumba, S., Tsuchiya, Y., et al. (2015). Structure-function analysis identifies highly sensitive strigolactone receptors in *Striga. Science* 350, 203–207. doi: 10.1126/science. aac9476
- Ueguchi-Tanaka, M., Ashikari, M., Nakajima, M., Itoh, H., Katoh, E., Kobayashi, M., et al. (2005). *GIBBERELLIN INSENSITIVE DWARF1* encodes a soluble receptor for gibberellin. *Nature* 437, 693–698. doi: 10.1038/nature04028
- Umehara, M., Hanada, A., Yoshida, S., Akiyama, K., Arite, T., Takeda-Kamiya, N., et al. (2008). Inhibition of shoot branching by new terpenoid plant hormones. *Nature* 455, 195–200. doi: 10.1038/nature07272
- Vlot, A. C., Dempsey, D. A., and Klessig, D. F. (2009). Salicylic acid, a multifaceted hormone to combat disease. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 47, 177–206. doi: 10.1146/ annurev.phyto.050908.135202
- Wang, B., Wang, Y., and Li, J. (2017). "Strigolactones," in *Hormone Metabolism and Signaling in Plants*, eds J. Li, C. Li, and S. M. Smith (London: Academic Press Elsevier), 327–359. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-811562-6.00010-4

- Wang, L., Wang, B., Jiang, L., Liu, X., Li, X., Lu, Z., et al. (2015). Strigolactone signaling in Arabidopsis regulates shoot development by targeting D53-like SMXL repressor proteins for ubiquitination and degradation. *Plant Cell* 27, 3128–3142. doi: 10.1105/tpc.15. 00605
- Waters, M. T., Gutjahr, C., Bennett, T., and Nelson, D. C. (2017). Strigolactone signaling and evolution. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 68, 291–322. doi: 10.1146/ annurev-arplant-042916-040925
- Waters, M. T., Nelson, D. C., Scaffidi, A., Flematti, G. R., Sun, Y. K., Dixon, K. W., et al. (2012). Specialisation within the DWARF14 protein family confers distinct responses to karrikins and strigolactones in Arabidopsis. *Development* 139, 1285–1295. doi: 10.1242/dev.074567
- Wu, G., Wang, X., Li, X., Kamiya, Y., Otegui, M. S., and Chory, J. (2011). Methylation of a phosphatase specifies dephosphorylation and degradation of activated brassinosteroid receptors. *Sci. Signal.* 4, 29. doi: 10.1126/scisignal. 2001258
- Yan, J., Li, H., Li, S., Yao, R., Deng, H., Xie, Q., et al. (2013). The Arabidopsis F-box protein CORONATINE INSENSITIVE1 is stabilized by SCFCO11 and degraded via the 26S proteasome pathway. *Plant Cell* 25, 486–498. doi: 10.1105/ tpc.112.105486
- Yao, R., Ming, Z., Yan, L., Li, S., Wang, F., Ma, S., et al. (2016). DWARF14 is a non-canonical hormone receptor for strigolactone. *Nature* 536, 469–473. doi: 10.1038/nature19073
- Ye, R. Q., Wang, W., Iki, T., Liu, C., Wu, Y., Ishikawa, M., et al. (2012). Cytoplasmic assembly and selective nuclear import of *Arabidopsis* ARGONAUTE4/siRNA complexes. *Mol. Cell* 46, 859–870. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2012. 04.013
- Yu, F., Wu, Y., and Xie, Q. (2016). Ubiquitin-proteasome system in ABA signaling: from perception to action. *Mol. Plant* 9, 21–33. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2015. 09.015
- Zhang, Y. X., van Dijk, A. D. J., Scaffidi, A., Flematti, G. R., Hofmann, M., Charnikhova, T., et al. (2014). Rice cytochrome P450 MAX1 homologs catalyze distinct steps in strigolactone biosynthesis. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 10, 1028–1033. doi: 10.1038/nchembio.1660
- Zhao, L. H., Zhou, X. E., Wu, Z. S., Yi, W., Xu, Y., Li, S. L., et al. (2013). Crystal structures of two phytohormone signal-transducing α/β hydrolases: karrikin-signaling KAI2 and strigolactone-signaling DWARF14. *Cell Res.* 23, 436–439. doi: 10.1038/cr.2013.19
- Zhou, F., Lin, Q., Zhu, L., Ren, Y., Zhou, K., Shabek, N., et al. (2013). D14-SCF^{D3}dependent degradation of D53 regulates strigolactone signalling. *Nature* 504, 406–410. doi: 10.1038/nature12878

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Hu, He, Wang, Liu, Meng, Liu, Jing, Chen, Song, Jiang, Yu, Wang and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.