



OsWRKY67 Plays a Positive Role in Basal and XA21-Mediated Resistance in Rice

Kieu T. X. Vo^{1†}, Chi-Yeol Kim^{1†}, Trung V. Hoang¹, Sang-Kyu Lee¹, Gautam Shirsekar², Young-Su Seo³, Sang-Won Lee¹, Guo-Liang Wang² and Jong-Seong Jeon^{1*}

¹ Graduate School of Biotechnology and Crop Biotech Institute, Kyung Hee University, Yongin, South Korea, ² Department of Plant Pathology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, United States, ³ Department of Microbiology, Pusan National University, Busan, South Korea

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Andrea Chini, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Spain

Reviewed by:

Byung-Wook Yun, Kyungpook National University, South Korea Marta Berrocal-Lobo, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid (UPM), Spain

> *Correspondence: Jong-Seong Jeon jjeon@khu.ac.kr

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work.

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Microbe Interactions, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 01 November 2017 Accepted: 18 December 2017 Published: 11 January 2018

Citation:

Vo KTX, Kim C-Y, Hoang TV, Lee S-K, Shirsekar G, Seo Y-S, Lee S-W, Wang G-L and Jeon J-S (2018) OsWRKY67 Plays a Positive Role in Basal and XA21-Mediated Resistance in Rice. Front. Plant Sci. 8:2220. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.02220 WRKY proteins play important roles in transcriptional reprogramming in plants in response to various stresses including pathogen attack. In this study, we functionally characterized a rice WRKY gene, OsWRKY67, whose expression is upregulated against pathogen challenges. Activation of OsWRKY67 by T-DNA tagging significantly improved the resistance against two rice pathogens, Magnaporthe oryzae and Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae (Xoo). Reactive oxygen species (ROS) rapidly accumulated in OsWRKY67 activation mutant lines in response to elicitor treatment, compared with the controls. Overexpression of OsWRKY67 in rice confirmed enhanced disease resistance, but led to a restriction of plant growth in transgenic lines with high levels of OsWRKY67 protein. OsWRKY67 RNAi lines significantly reduced resistance to M. oryzae and Xoo isolates tested, and abolished XA21-mediated resistance, implying the possibility of broad-spectrum resistance from OsWRKY67. Transcriptional activity and subcellular localization assays indicated that OsWRKY67 is present in the nucleus where it functions as a transcriptional activator. Quantitative PCR revealed that the pathogenesis-related genes, PR1a, PR1b, PR4, PR10a, and PR10b, are upregulated in OsWRKY67 overexpression lines. Therefore, these results suggest that OsWRKY67 positively regulates basal and XA21-mediated resistance, and is a promising candidate for genetic improvement of disease resistance in rice.

Keywords: disease resistance, Magnaporthe oryzae, OsWRKY67, rice, Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae

INTRODUCTION

The WRKY proteins possess a unique conserved domain, WRKYGQK, and can bind to the DNA sequence (T)(T)TGAC(C/T) called the W-box (Eulgem et al., 2000). A number of studies suggest that WRKYs play a key role in transcriptional reprogramming upon pathogen perception, as either an activator or a repressor (Pandey and Somssich, 2009; Rushton et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2012). WRKYs regulate expression of pathogenesis-related (PR) genes (Jimmy and Babu, 2015) and induce defense metabolism pathways (Jiang et al., 2017) directly or indirectly; thus, they are a key family for molecular studies, as well as breeding programs. Since its first cloning in sweet potato, WRKY genes have been identified in numerous plant species, including the cereal model crop rice (Wu et al., 2005; Huang et al., 2012; Rinerson et al., 2015). In rice, for instance, data accumulated from microarray analysis and RNA sequencing revealed that OsWRKYs dynamically respond to

1

various stimuli, including biotic and abiotic stresses (Ramamoorthy et al., 2008). A number of OsWRKY genes are induced by *Magnaporthe oryzae* and *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* (*Xoo*), the causal agents of rice blast and bacterial leaf blight disease, respectively (Ryu et al., 2006).

Plants have complicated innate immune systems, including both PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI) and effector-triggered immunity (ETI) (Dangl and Jones, 2001; Jones and Dangl, 2006). The defense mechanisms activate a series of physiological and biochemical processes, including modulation of phytohormones, accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and expression of PR genes (Schwessinger and Zipfel, 2008; Tena et al., 2011). In rice, the reverse genetics approach has deciphered the involvement of many OsWRKYs in defense mechanisms. For instance, OsWRKY45, which is activated by benzothiadiazole (BTH), plays a crucial role in salicylic acid (SA)-inducible resistance to rice blast and bacterial leaf blight diseases by priming diterpenoid phytoalexin biosynthesis (Shimono et al., 2007, 2012; Akagi et al., 2014). Regulation of OsWRKY45 is controlled by mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK)dependent phosphorylation, and the ubiquitin-proteasome system (Matsushita et al., 2013; Ueno et al., 2013). OsWRKY42 is a negative regulator of the defense response to M. oryzae, and works by suppressing jasmonic acid (JA) signaling; OsWRKY42, in turn, is suppressed by OsWRKY13, a target of OsWRKY45 (Cheng et al., 2015). OsWRKY33 directly binds to the promoter of a number of defense-related genes (Koo et al., 2009). The rice homolog of Arabidopsis Nonexpressor of PR genes 1 (AtNPR1), NH1, positively controls blast resistance (Chern et al., 2005) and was found to be downstream of OsWRKY12 (Liu et al., 2005). PR genes and defense-related genes are upregulated by enhanced expression of several OsWRKYs-OsWRKY6, OsWRKY12, OsWRKY22, OsWRKY31, OsWRKY53, OsWRKY71, and OsWRKY77 (Jimmy and Babu, 2015).

WRKY genes have been examined for genetic improvement of defense mechanisms of crops against pathogens via either overexpression or suppression. For instance, in rice, resistance against diseases caused by *M. oryzae* and *Xoo* is gained by overexpression of *OsWRKY13*, *OsWRKY30*, and *OsWRKY45*. While the overexpression of *OsWRKY31*, *OsWRKY22*, and *OsWRKY53* increases resistance against fungal rice blast, the ubiquitous expression of *OsWRKY51* and *OsWRKY71* has a beneficial effect on resistance to bacterial leaf blight disease (Pandey and Somssich, 2009; Peng et al., 2012; Hwang et al., 2016). Recently, the positive regulation of resistance to *Rhizoctonia solani* by the module of *OsWRKY80* and *OsWRKY4* was observed by the ectopic expression of *OsWRKY80* (Peng et al., 2016).

The rice XA21 confers robust resistance to bacterial blight disease by recognizing the extracellular signal molecule from *Xoo* (Song et al., 1995). Functional XA21 requires a number of association proteins, such as an endoplasmic reticulum chaperone (OsBiP3), the rice somatic embryogenesis receptor kinase 2 (OsSERK2), and other XA21-binding proteins (XBs) such as XB3 (an E3 ubiquitin ligase), XB10 (OsWRKY62), XB15 (a PP2C phosphatase), and XB24 (an ATPase) (Liu et al., 2014). Previously, OsWRKY62, OsWRKY76, and OsWRKY28 were reported to be negative regulators of XA21-mediated resistance

by suppressing the activation of defense-related genes *PR1* and *PR10* (Peng et al., 2008; Yokotani et al., 2013).

In this study, we functionally characterized a rice WRKY gene, *OsWRKY67*, whose expression is upregulated against *M. oryzae* and *Xoo* challenges. Through analysis of its mutant and transgenic rice lines, we demonstrated that a modulated expression of *OsWRKY67* alters the defense response to rice blast fungus and bacterial blight, as well as plant growth. Moreover, we also showed that OsWRKY67 is required for XA21-mediated resistance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Growth

The *OsWRKY67* T-DNA activation tagging mutant lines (*OsW67-D*) and *OsWRKY67* overexpression lines (*OsW67-OX*) with a background wild type japonica cultivar, Dongjin (DJ), and *OsWRKY67* RNAi lines (*OsW67-RNAi*) with a background transformation cultivar, Kitaake, expressing *Xa21* (Kit-XA21) (Park et al., 2010), were used in this study. Rice plants were grown in a greenhouse at 30°C during the day and at 20°C at night, under a light/dark cycle of 14/10 h with a photosynthetic photon flux density of 1,800 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, and at a relative humidity of 60%.

Pathogen Inoculation

To examine the defense response to pathogen infection, *M. oryzae* isolates PO6-6 and RO1-1 were cultured on V8 juice agar plates [80 mL V8 Juice (Campbell's Soup Company, Camden, NJ) 1^{-1} , 15 g agar 1^{-1} , pH 6.8] for 2 weeks under fluorescent light. Subsequently, spores were collected and suspended in water to reach a concentration of 5×10^6 ml⁻¹. Fully expanded leaves of 6-week-old plants were inoculated following the spot inoculation method described previously (Kanzaki et al., 2002). Leaf samples were collected to evaluate the lengths of disease lesions, which were characterized by browned areas, and were photographed 9 days post-inoculation (DPI).

For bacterial inoculation, *Xoo* isolates PXO99 and KXO85 (Strain number KACC10331) were first cultured on peptone sucrose agar plates (sucrose $10 \text{ g } \text{l}^{-1}$, peptone $10 \text{ g } \text{l}^{-1}$, Naglutamate $1 \text{ g } \text{l}^{-1}$, agar $15 \text{ g } \text{l}^{-1}$, pH 6.8), and then suspended in water using the leaf clipping method (Han et al., 2013). Sixweek-old plants were used for inoculation. The lesion length at 12 DPI was defined by the water-soak lesion, indicating successful infection by *Xoo* (Han et al., 2013). Three biological replicates were tested in each experiment for pathogen inoculations. Student's *t*-test was used to show statistical differences.

Identification of Activation Tagging T-DNA Mutants

Two OsWRKY67 activation mutants, OsW67-D1 (Line number 3A-51109) and OsW67-D2 (Line number 3A-02704), with the 4x CaMV35S (35S) promoter enhancer element insertion approximately 7 and 4 kb upstream of the OsWRKY67 coding sequence, respectively, were isolated from the T-DNA insertion sequence database (Jeong et al., 2002). Homozygous mutants for T-DNA insertion were identified by genomic DNA PCR with OsW67-D1/D2-F/R and T-DNA-specific (2715LB) primers

(Table S1). PCR amplification was performed in a final volume of 40 μ l [100 pmol each primer, 20 μ M each dNTPs, 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 9.0), 2 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM KCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, and 0.5 U Taq polymerase] including 50 ng genomic DNA as a template. The amplification conditions were: 94°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 1 min; 58°C for 1 min; and 72°C for 1 min, with a final extension at 72°C for 5 min.

Measurement of ROS

ROS generation after elicitor (100 nM flg22, 8 nM hexa-N-acetylchitohexaose, or water as a control) treatment was measured using the luminol chemiluminescence assay with leaf disks from 4-week-old plants, as described previously (Park et al., 2012). Following the treatment, luminescence was measured at 10 s intervals with a Glomax 20/20 luminometer (Promega, Madison, WI). Three replications were carried out for each sample. Student's *t*-test was used to show statistical differences.

Sheath Inoculation Assay

Penetration assays were performed using rice leaf sheaths. For the leaf sheath assay, a conidial suspension $(2 \times 10^4$ conidia ml⁻¹) of *M. oryzae* PO6-6 was injected into excised rice sheaths and incubated in a moistened box at room temperature for 48 h (Koga et al., 2004). The infected rice sheaths were then trimmed to remove chlorophyll-enriched parts. Epidermal layers of the mid vein (three to four cell layers thick) were used for clear microscopic observation of penetration and infectious growth.

Production of *35S:OsWRKY67* and RNAi Transgenic Rice Plants

The full-length *OsWRKY67* cDNA was amplified from total RNA of the leaves of 3-week-old rice plants by RT-PCR, using the OsW67-F/R primers encompassing the translation start and stop codons (**Table S1**). The PCR product was subcloned into the pGEM T-easy vector (Promega) and confirmed by sequencing. The *OsWRKY67* cDNA was digested with *Bam*HI and *XhoI* and then cloned between the 35S promoter and four sequences of c-Myc tags, followed by Nos terminator of the plant expression vector pJJ1754 containing the hygromycin resistance gene as a selection marker. To construct the plasmid for RNAi, a unique 359 bp sequence of *OsWRKY67* was amplified by PCR with OsW67-RNAi-F/R primers, and inserted into the pANDA vector with the antisense sequence upstream of the sense sequence as described (Miki and Shimamoto, 2004).

To produce transgenic rice plants expressing 35S:OsWRKY67 in the background cultivar, Dongjin, and OsWRKY67 RNAi in the background Kitaake carrying Xa21 (Park et al., 2010), Agrobacterium tumefaciens LBA4404 strains harboring these vectors were grown on AB media (K₂HPO₄ 3 g l⁻¹, NaH₂PO₄ 1 g l⁻¹, NH₄Cl 1 g l⁻¹, MgSO₄ 0.3 g l⁻¹, KCl 0.15 g l⁻¹, CaCl₂ 7.5 mg l⁻¹, FeSO₄ 2.5 mg l⁻¹) supplemented with 10 mg l⁻¹ kanamycin for 3 days at 28°C; transgenic calli were obtained via the Agrobacterium-mediated co-cultivation method as described previously (Jeon et al., 2000). Transgenic rice plants were selected on a medium containing 50 mg l⁻¹ hygromycin and 250 mg l⁻¹

Quantitative PCR, Western Blot Analysis, and Phosphatase Treatment

In order to analyze the level of transcriptional expression of OsWRKY67 and PR genes in the OsWRKY67 activation mutants, RNAi transgenic plants, and overexpression lines, total RNA was extracted using RNAiso Plus according to the manufacturer's protocol (Takara Bio, Kyoto, Japan). Reverse transcription was performed according to the manufacturer's protocol using ReverTra Ace[®] qPCR RT Master Mix with gDNA Remover (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan). Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed using Qiagen 154 Rotor-Gene Q real-time PCR cycler with thermal cycling procedure: 95°C for 40 s, 57°C for 40 s, and 72°C for 40 s. The rice gene Ubiquitin5 (OsUbi5; LOC_Os01g22490) (Jain et al., 2006) was used as an endogenous control to normalize variance in the quality of RNA and the amount of cDNA. All of the primers for qPCR analyses are summarized in Table S1.

To quantify protein levels of OsWRKY67 in *OsW67-OX* transgenic rice lines, total protein from leaf samples was extracted in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40, loaded onto a 12% SDS-PAGE gel, and blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was incubated overnight at 1:5,000 anti-c-Myc antibody (Bethyl Laboratories, Montgomery, TX), washed three times with tris-buffered saline (TBST; pH 7.4, 0.1% Tween 20), incubated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody (Bethyl Laboratories), and washed three times with TBST. The membrane was then developed with an enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) detection system (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE).

To examine the phosphorylation of OsWRKY67, 40 μ g of total protein extracted from *OsWRKY67* overexpression lines was incubated with 1 μ l of lambda phosphatase for 30 min at 30°C (Matsushita et al., 2013), in accordance with the manufacturer's protocol (400 U μ l⁻¹, P0753S, New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA). After adding 5x SDS sample buffer, the samples were boiled for 5 min at 95°C.

Subcellular Localization of OsWRKY67-GFP Fusion Protein

The full-length cDNA lacking the stop codon of *OsWRKY67* was cloned into the pENTRTM/D-TOPO vector (Invitrogen, Gaithersburg, MD). The insert as confirmed by sequencing was cloned into the destination vector p2GWF7 (Karimi et al., 2002) for C-terminal GFP (Green Fluorescent Protein) fusion using the Gateway LR clonase (Invitrogen, Gaithersburg, MD). The construct was introduced into the protoplasts of maize mesophyll, using the PEG-calcium mediated transformation method (Cho et al., 2009). OsABF1-RFP (Red Fluorescent Protein) was used as a nuclear marker (Hossain et al., 2010). Expression of the OsWRKY67-GFP was monitored at 12–24 h incubation to allow transient expression using a confocal microscope (LSM510 META, Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany). The GFP fluorescence was excited at 488 nm and detected in the emission range of 500–525 nm.

Transcriptional Activation Assay

The effector vector for the transcriptional activation assay was constructed by ligating the *OsWRKY67* cDNA containing *Sma*I and *Sal*I restriction sites that were obtained by PCR using OsW67-Smal-F/SalI-R primers (**Table S1**); the resulting vector contained the 35S promoter, the tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) translation enhancer (Ω) sequence, and the *OsWRKY67* cDNA insert fused to the GAL4 DNA binding domain (BD) in frame. The GAL4-responsive reporter vector contained 5X GAL4, minimal TATA, the Ω sequence, and the *Luciferase* (*LUC*) gene (Ohta et al., 2000). The maize *Ubiquitin1* promoter: β *glucuronidase* (*ZmUBQ1:GUS*) construct was used as an internal control. Maize mesophyll protoplasts isolated from the second leaves of dark grown plants were cotransfected with the effector, reporter, and internal control vectors as described previously (Cho et al., 2009).

To test transcriptional activation ability in yeast, full-length cDNA of OsWRKY67 with EcoRI/BamHI sites amplified by PCR with OsW67-YF/YR primers (Table S1), was cloned into pGBKT7. The junction between the GAL4 DNA binding domain and OsWRKY67 was confirmed by DNA sequencing. The bait plasmid was introduced with an empty prey vector into a yeast strain PBN204. The negative control prey vector was pACT2, which contained a GAL4 transcriptional activation domain. PBN204 had ADE2, URA3, and lacZ genes as reporters. Yeast transformants were selected on an SD minimal medium lacking leucine and tryptophan (SD-LW). The colonies were then replica-plated on various SD selection media, such as a medium lacking leucine, tryptophan, and uracil (SD-LWU), and a medium lacking leucine, tryptophan, and adenine (SD-LWA), and used to assess β-galactosidase activity in an X-gal filter assav.





RESULTS

Evaluation of Disease Resistance of *OsWRKY67* Activation Mutants to Rice Pathogens

Our previous observation of upregulation of OsWRKY67 in response to invading *M. oryzae* and *Xoo* pathogens (Ryu et al.,

2006) suggests its involvement in disease defense. To elucidate OsWRKY67 function, two T-DNA activation tagging mutant plants with enhanced expression of *OsWRKY67* were isolated from our T-DNA activation library and named *OsW67-D1* and *OsW67-D2* (Figure 1A, Top) (Jeon et al., 2000; Jeong et al., 2002). The level of the *OsWRKY67* transcript was examined by qPCR in the two activation mutant alleles (Figure 1A, Bottom).





The result revealed a substantial increase in gene expression by the enhancer element that was present in the introduced T-DNA. The homozygous mutant plants were isolated by genomic DNA PCR (using T-DNA- and gene-specific primers) from segregating progeny plants, and challenged with the pathogens, *M. oryzae* and *Xoo*. A severe disease symptom featured by brown lesions arose from the compatible interactions in wild type control, Dongjin (**Figures 1B–E**). In contrast, *OsW67-D1* and *OsW67-D2* plants exhibited significantly reduced disease lesions when challenged with virulent pathogens *M. oryzae* PO6-6 (**Figures 1B,C**) and *Xoo* PXO99 (**Figures 1D,E**). The enhanced disease resistance phenotype was confirmed in the activation mutant lines in the following generations, which supported stable inheritance of the trait in *OsW67-D1* and *OsW67-D2* lines.

ROS Production in OsWRKY67 Activation Mutants in Response to Elicitor Treatment

ROS are potent signal molecules that are rapidly generated in response to stress, and are a common feature of the plant immune response (Stael et al., 2015). In *Arabidopsis* and rice, flg22 and chitin have been shown to trigger ROS production (Mersmann et al., 2010; Petutschnig et al., 2010; Park et al., 2012). In our experiments, *OsW67-D* activation mutant plants significantly induced ROS just 3 min after treatment with flg22 and chitin elicitation, compared to the wild type control and water treatment. ROS production kept increasing and reached a peak that was more than 2-fold greater than the control at 8 min in the case of chitin (**Figure 2A**), and at 6 min in the case of flg22 (**Figure 2B**). Meanwhile, the wild type control and all water-treated samples displayed a steady level of ROS. Together, these data suggest an enhanced basal resistance capacity of the *OsW67-D* mutant lines.

Disease Resistance of OsWRKY67 Overexpression Lines

Defense response is a sudden process that consumes a lot of energy and results in many changes within the cell and the whole plant. Thus, employing resistance regulators in breeding usually causes autoimmune-like fitness penalties (Delteil et al., 2010). To validate the effect of an enhanced level of *OsWRKY67* expression in rice, the overexpression construct that produces OsWRKY67 fused with the c-Myc peptide at the C-terminus driven by



FIGURE 3 | Disease resistance phenotype of *OsWRKY67* overexpression lines. (A) Schematic representation of the *OsWRKY67* overexpression construct (Top). Western blot analysis using the anti-c-Myc antibody to examine OsWRKY67 protein (Bottom). Ponceau S staining was used as the loading control. (B) Growth phenotype of *OsWRKY67* overexpression lines. Rice plants were grown in a paddy field and photographed at the ripening stage. WT is a wild type control cultivar, Dongjin. (C) Representative leaves 9 days after inoculation with *M. oryzae* PO6-6. (D) Disease lesion lengths measured 9 days after inoculation with *M. oryzae* PO6-6. Data are represented as means \pm SD. Asterisks represent statistical significance with Student's t-test, *p* < 0.0001.

the 35S promoter, was introduced into rice by *Agrobacterium* mediation (**Figure 3A**, Top). The expression of OsWRKY67 protein in each individual plant was examined by Western blot analysis using the anti-c-Myc antibody. Two c-Myc fusion bands were detected in the three independent lines with different expression levels, in which the lower reflects the predicted size of 21 kD of the OsWRKY67-Myc protein (**Figure 3A**, Bottom). OsWRKY67 is classified into the group Ib, in which many of these proteins undergo phosphorylation as a post-translational modification process (Wu et al., 2005). We treated the proteins extracted from *OsWRKY67*-overexpressing leaves with a lambda phosphatase to test whether OsWRKY67 was phosphorylated. However, the two bands detected were retained after the treatment (**Figure S1**), suggesting that OsWRKY67 is not likely a target of phosphorylation.

Among the independent overexpression lines obtained, strong expressors (for example, *OsW67-OX3*) with a very high accumulation of OsWRKY67 exhibited severe suppression of plant growth and sterility (**Figure 3B**). In contrast, the weak expressors (for example, *OsW67-OX1*) displayed little or no growth penalty, which indicates that the exaggerated level of OsWRKY67 caused growth retardation. Two lines with normal seed sets, *OsW67-OX1* and *OsW67-OX2*, were challenged with

M. oryzae PO6-6. *OsW67-OX* lines displayed a significantly reduced disease phenotype, while the wild type control developed severe disease (**Figures 3C,D**). This result clearly confirmed the enhanced resistance of activation tagging mutants of *OsWRKY67*, *OsW67-D1*, and *OsW67-D2*, to pathogens.

Analysis of Disease Resistance in Leaf Sheath Cells with Increased Expression of *OsWRKY67*

A rice leaf sheath infiltration assay was performed to further investigate the role of OsWRKY67 in defense. The OsW67-D1 and OsW67-OX1 lines were tested in this experiment for resistance to *M. oryzae* PO6-6. Infection hyphae of the controls, wild type and segregated nontransgene-bearing wild type (NT), filled the first-invaded cells and moved to the adjacent cells within 48 h after inoculation, indicating typical susceptible disease symptoms (**Figures 4A,B**). In contrast, in the OsW67-D1 and OsW67-OX1 lines, hypersensitive response (HR)-like cell deaths were observed around the attacked cells. Massive HR-like cell deaths were observed as browning in the penetrated host cells with little or no fungal growth in OsW67-D1 and OsW67-OX1 lines (**Figures 4C,D**). This finding suggests that OsWRKY67 plays a positive role in the defense response.





Evaluation of Disease Resistance of *OsWRKY67* RNAi Lines

OsWRKY67 was identified as a component in our XA21 protein interaction network study (Seo et al., 2011). This prompted us to test the role of OsWRKY67 in XA21mediated resistance, as well as in basal resistance. Therefore, we introduced the *OsWRKY67* RNAi construct (**Figure 5A**, Top) into the Kitaake line expressing *Xa21* (Kit-XA21) (Park et al., 2010). A significantly reduced expression of *OsWRKY67* was validated by qPCR in two RNAi lines, *OsW67-RNAi1* and *OsW67-RNAi2* (**Figure 5A**, Bottom). The two independent lines obtained were inoculated with the incompatible *Xoo* PXO99, using the leaf clipping method (Han et al., 2013). The OsW67-RNAi lines with Xa21 exhibited a remarkable increase of disease lesion length (Figures 5B,C). This suggests that OsWRKY67 function is necessary for XA21 resistance. To examine any effect of OsWRKY67 in basal resistance to Xoo, we challenged the OsWRKY67 RNAi lines with a compatible Xoo isolate, KXO85. The isolates caused susceptible lesions of 9 and 11 cm in the controls, the segregated nontransgene-bearing plants lacking the RNAi construct (NT) and Kit-XA21, respectively. In this test, OsW67-RNAi1 and OsW67-RNAi2 lines developed significantly longer lesions against the Xoo isolate, KXO85 (Figures 5D,E). The result indicates that OsWRKY67 function is also required for basal resistance.





Next, we infected the *OsWRKY67* RNAi lines with the compatible and incompatible *M. oryzae* isolates, RO1-1 and PO6-6, respectively, to the Kitaake cultivar. The *OsWRKY67* RNAi lines displayed wider and longer lesions at 9 DPI to the compatible *M. oryzae* RO1-1, while the controls, Kit-XA21 and nontransgene-bearing plants, developed a limited infected area around the pressed spot (**Figures 6A,B**). In contrast, the incompatible isolate PO6-6 did not cause any difference in disease reaction among *OsWRKY67* RNAi and controls (**Figures 6C,D**). These results indicate that OsWRKY67 function is required for basal resistance, but not for resistance to *M. oryzae* in Kitaake mediated by the R gene, a yet-unknown gene.

Subcellular Localization and Transcriptional Activation Ability of OsWRKY67

To determine subcellular localization of OsWRKY67, the *OsWRKY67-GFP* fusion construct under the control of the 35S promoter was generated. In a transient expression assay using maize mesophyll protoplasts, the signal of OsWRKY67-GFP was detected exclusively in the nucleus (**Figure 7A**), as confirmed by their co-localization with the nuclear marker, OsABF1-RFP

(Hossain et al., 2010), suggesting that OsWRKY67 is a nuclear localized protein and may thus function as a transcription factor to regulate defense response genes.

We conducted a transcriptional activity assay by transiently expressing *OsWRKY67* in maize protoplasts to determine whether OsWRKY67 is an activator or a suppressor. The reporter plasmid carrying 5X GAL4, a minimal TATA, the Ω sequence, and the firefly *LUC* gene was co-introduced into maize protoplasts with an effector plasmid carrying *OsWRKY67* and the GAL4 BD domain driven by 35S promoter and the Ω sequence (**Figure 7B**). The significant induction of LUC activity compared to 35S-driven GAL4-DB reveals that OsWRKY67 is a transcriptional activator (**Figure 7C**). A yeast transformant containing the OsWRKY67-BD bait construct grew consistently well on SD selection media, and showed β -galactosidase activity in an X-gal filter assay (**Figure S2**).

Expression of PR Genes in OsWRKY67 Overexpression Lines

To obtain molecular understanding of *OsWRKY67*-mediated resistance, we examined expression of PR genes in two *OsWRKY67* overexpression lines, *OsW67-OX1* and *OsW67-OX2*,



FIGURE 6 Disease resistance phenotype of *OsWRKY67* RNAi lines to *M. oryzae* isolates. (A) Representative leaves 9 days after inoculation with a virulent *M. oryzae*, RO1-1. Kit-XA21 is a transformation background genotype; NT is a segregant nontransgene-bearing wild type. (B) Disease lesion lengths measured 9 days after inoculation with a virulent *M. oryzae*, RO1-1. (C) Representative leaves 9 days after inoculation with an avirulent *M. oryzae*, RO4-6. Data are represented as means \pm SD. Asterisks represent statistical significance with Student's *t*-test, $\rho < 0.0001$.



and their respective controls, the segregated nontransgenebearing wild types in their next generation. In qPCR analysis, all tested PR genes, *PR1a*, *PR1b*, *PR4*, *PR10a*, and *PR10b* (Wang et al., 2015), were upregulated in the *OsWRKY67* overexpression lines compared with their control lines (**Figure 8**), which is consistent with their enhanced disease resistance.

DISCUSSION

OsWRKY67 Is a Positive Regulator of the Defense Response in Rice

On the basis of the previous finding of upregulation of *OsWRKY67* in response to pathogens (Ryu et al., 2006), we characterized here, a function of OsWRKY67 in the defense response using its gain- and loss-of-function rice lines. The T-DNA activation tagging lines, *OsW67-D1* and *OsW67-D2*, and the *OsWRKY67* overexpression lines, all enhanced disease resistance to pathogens *M. oryzae* and *Xoo* (Figures 1, 3, 4). The rapid production of ROS plays a pivotal role in disease resistance response (Fujita et al., 2006; Park et al., 2012). In this regard, we found that the *OsW67-D1* and *OsW67-D2* lines accumulated ROS rapidly and highly in response to elicitor treatments

(Figure 2). In contrast, the *OsWRKY67* RNAi lines created in the background carrying the *Xa21* gene reduced both basal and XA21-mediated disease resistance to pathogens (Figures 5, 6). These results all clearly demonstrated that OsWRKY67 positively regulates disease resistance to the rice pathogens tested (*M. oryzae* and *Xoo*). OsWRKY67 is present in the nucleus and appeared to function as an activator (Figure 7), which suggests that it is a transcriptional activator. Consistently, we found an upregulation of PR genes in *OsWRKY67* overexpression lines (Figure 8). Therefore, it is likely that OsWRKY67 can activate certain downstream target genes, which subsequently upregulates the defense response.

OsWRKY67 Is Necessary for XA21-Mediated Resistance

Previously, in order to elucidate stress response signaling networks, an interactome of 100 proteins was established by yeast-two-hybrid assays around key regulators of the rice biotic and abiotic stress responses (Seo et al., 2011); XA21 stands as a key hub protein tested to construct the XA21 interactome network. Eight unique XA21 binding proteins (XBs) were identified and further used to screen XB interacting proteins



FIGURE 8 Expression of PR genes in *OsWRKY67* overexpression lines, *OsW67-OX1* and *OsW67-OX2*. The y-axis on the graphs indicates the relative expression. *OsUbq5* served as an internal control. NT1 is a segregant nontransgene-bearing wild type of *OsW67-OX1*; NT2 is a segregant nontransgene-bearing wild type of *OsW67-OX2*. Asterisks represent statistical significance with Student's *t*-test, $\rho < 0.0001$.

(XBIPs). Among the eight XBs, five play an important role in regulating the rice defense response against *Xoo*: an ATPase (XB24), an E3 ubiquitin ligase (XB3), a PP2C phosphatase (XB15), OsWRKY62 (XB10), and an ankyrin-repeat protein (XB25). In the branch of a negative regulator OsWRKY62, three other OsWRKYs were found; OsWRKY28 and OsWRKY66, three other OsWRKYs were found; OsWRKY28 and OsWRKY76 are negative regulators, whereas OsWRKY71 is a positive regulator in the defense response. The involvement of OsWRKY67, in addition to OsWRKY28, OsWRKY62, and OsWRKY76, in the XA21-interactome network raises the possibility that it may be necessary for XA21-mediated resistance. Indeed, the *OsWRKY67* RNAi lines compromised XA21-mediated resistance (**Figures 5B,C**), suggesting its important role in XA21-mediated resistance is similar to p23, a protein that modulates HSP90-mediated

folding of key molecules involved in various signal transduction pathways (Seo et al., 2011). It would be valuable in the future to elucidate mechanisms by which OsWRKY67 regulates XA21-mediated resistance.

Manipulation of OsWRKY67 Has the Potential to Enhance Broad-Spectrum Disease Resistance in Rice

In previous studies, overexpression of *OsWRKY45* elevated disease resistance to biotrophic and hemibiotrophic pathogens (Shimono et al., 2007, 2012). When *OsWRKY45* was introduced to rice under the control of several promoters to enhance its expression, defense responses were enhanced but plant growth and yield were compromised in strong expressors (Goto et al.,

2014). Similarly, we observed a dwarfed phenotype due to growth retardation from certain OsWRKY67 overexpression lines, in conjunction with increased levels of OsWRKY67 protein (Figure 3B). Indeed, there is a documented tradeoff between disease resistance and plant growth and fitness; more than 60 genes have been manipulated to increase crop resistance, such as those that code for protein receptors, signaling factors, transcription factors, and defense response genes, and detrimental effects on plant growth were found in approximately 80% of the cases (Delteil et al., 2010). For instance, the lesion mimic phenotype is a typical drawback related to the constitutive expression of PR genes to activate defense systems. Growth retardation and reduced fitness are frequently found when transcription factors involved in defense are overexpressed; overexpression of OsNAC6 limited plant height, and overexpression of OsWRKY31 attenuated root growth (Nakashima et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2008). Until now, at least 12 OsWRKY genes have been manipulated in an attempt to improve traits against pathogen infection in rice, but none have been declared optimal due to weak resistance or compromised agronomic traits (Delteil et al., 2010). However, our current work shows that T-DNA activation lines (OsW67-D1 and OsW67-D2), and weak overexpression lines (OsW67-OX1) enhanced resistance without significant growth retardation (Figures 1, 3). This suggests that OsWRKY67 is a promising target protein candidate for genetic manipulation in rice, in which well-regulated increases in the expression of OsWRKY67 can provide broad-spectrum resistance without a growth penalty.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

KV, C-YK, TH, S-KL, and GS performed the experiments; KV, C-YK, Y-SS, S-WL, G-LW, and J-SJ analyzed the data; and KV,

REFERENCES

- Akagi, A., Fukushima, S., Okada, K., Jiang, C.-J., Yoshida, R., Nakayama, A., et al. (2014). WRKY45-dependent priming of diterpenoid phytoalexin biosynthesis in rice and the role of cytokinin in triggering the reaction. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 86, 171–183. doi: 10.1007/s11103-014-0221-x
- Chen, L., Song, Y., Li, S., Zhang, L., Zou, C., and Yu, D. (2012). The role of WRKY transcription factors in plant abiotic stresses. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1819, 120–128. doi: 10.1016/j.bbagrm.2011.09.002
- Cheng, H., Li, H., Deng, Y., Xiao, J., Li, X., and Wang, S. (2015). The WRKY45-2—WRKY13—WRKY42 transcriptional regulatory cascade is required for rice resistance to fungal pathogen. *Plant Physiol.* 167, 1087–1099. doi: 10.1104/pp.114.256016
- Chern, M., Canlas, P. E., Fitzgerald, H. A., and Ronald, P. C. (2005). Rice NRR, a negative regulator of disease resistance, interacts with Arabidopsis NPR1 and rice NH1. *Plant J.* 43, 623–635. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02485.x
- Cho, J.-I., Ryoo, N., Eom, J.-S., Lee, D.-W., Kim, H.-B., Jeong, S.-W., et al. (2009). Role of the rice hexokinases OsHXK5 and OsHXK6 as glucose sensors. *Plant Physiol*. 149, 745–759. doi: 10.1104/pp.108.131227
- Dangl, J., and Jones, J. (2001). Plant pathogens and integrated defence responses to infections. *Nature* 411, 826–833. doi: 10.1038/35081161

C-YK, Y-SS, S-WL, G-LW, and J-SJ wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by grants from the Mid-Career Researcher Program (NRF-2017R1A2B4009687), National Research Foundation of Korea, and the Next Generation BioGreen 21 program (Project No. PJ013138), Rural Development Administration.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Professor Pamela Ronald, Department of Plant Pathology and the Genome Center, University of California, Davis, CA, for kindly providing us with the Kitaake transgenic rice line carrying *Xa21*.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2017. 02220/full#supplementary-material

Figure S1 | Evaluation of OsWRKY67 phosphorylation. Total protein extracted from *OsWRKY67* overexpressing lines were incubated with (+) or without (-) lambda phosphatase, and separated by SDS-PAGE. OsWRKY67-Myc protein was detected by Western blotting using anti-c-Myc antibody.

Figure S2 Transcriptional activity assay of OsWRKY67 in yeast PBN204 strain with *lacZ*, *URA3*, and *ADE2* as reporters. OsWRKY67 was fused to the GAL4 DNA-binding domain of pGBKT7, which was then introduced into yeast (#1). Transformed yeast cells were plated onto a selective medium lacking Leu and Trp (SD-LW) or a selective medium also lacking Ade (SD-LWA) or Ura (SD-LWU). Positive (+) control had yeast transformed with the P53 bait plasmid and the Tag prey plasmid. Negative (-) control had yeast transformed with the parental bait vector (pGBKT7) and the prey vector (pACT2).

 Table S1 | Primers used in this study.

- Delteil, A., Zhang, J., Lessard, P., and Morel, J.-B. (2010). Potential candidate genes for improving rice disease resistance. *Rice* 3, 56–71. doi: 10.1007/s12284-009-9035-x
- Eulgem, T., Rushton, P. J., Robatzek, S., and Somssich, I. E. (2000). The WRKY superfamily of plant transcription factors. *Trends Plant Sci.* 5, 199–206. doi: 10.1016/S1360-1385(00)01600-9
- Fujita, M., Fujita, Y., Noutoshi, Y., Takahashi, F., Narusaka, Y., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., et al. (2006). Crosstalk between abiotic and biotic stress responses: a current view from the points of convergence in the stress signaling networks. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 9, 436–442. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2006.05.014
- Goto, S., Sasakura-Shimoda, F., Suetsugu, M., Selvaraj, M. G., Hayashi, N., Yamazaki, M., et al. (2014). Development of disease-resistant rice by optimized expression of WRKY45. *Plant Biotech. J.* 13, 753–765. doi: 10.1111/pbi.12303
- Han, M., Ryu, H.-S., Kim, C.-Y., Park, D.-S., Ahn, Y.-K., and Jeon, J.-S. (2013). OsWRKY30 is a transcription activator that enhances rice resistance to the *Xanthomonas oryzae* pathovar *oryzae*. *J. Plant Biol.* 56, 258–265. doi: 10.1007/s12374-013-0160-0
- Hossain, M. A., Lee, Y., Cho, J.-I., Ahn, C.-H., Lee, S.-K., Jeon, J.-S., et al. (2010). The bZIP transcription factor OsABF1 is an ABA responsive element binding factor that enhances abiotic stress signaling in rice. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 72, 557–566. doi: 10.1007/s11103-009-9592-9

- Huang, S., Gao, Y., Liu, J., Peng, X., Niu, X., Fei, Z., et al. (2012). Genome-wide analysis of WRKY transcription factors in *Solanum lycopersicum*. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 287, 495–513. doi: 10.1007/s00438-012-0696-6
- Hwang, S.-H., Kwon, S. I., Jang, J.-Y., Fang, I. L., Lee, H., Choi, C., et al. (2016). OsWRKY51, a rice transcription factor, functions as a positive regulator in defense response against *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. oryzae. Plant Cell Rep. 35, 1975–1985. doi: 10.1007/s00299-016-2012-0
- Jain, M., Nijhawan, A., Tyagi, A. K., and Khurana, J. P. (2006). Validation of housekeeping genes as internal control for studying gene expression in rice by quantitative real-time PCR. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 345, 646–651. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2006.04.140
- Jeon, J. S., Lee, S., Jung, K. H., Jun, S. H., Jeong, D. H., Lee, J., et al. (2000). T-DNA insertional mutagenesis for functional genomics in rice. *Plant J.* 22, 561–570. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313x.2000.00767.x
- Jeong, D.-H., An, S., Kang, H.-G., Moon, S., Han, J.-J., Park, S., et al. (2002). T-DNA insertional mutagenesis for activation tagging in rice. *Plant Physiol.* 130, 1636–1644. doi: 10.1104/pp.014357
- Jiang, J., Ma, S., Ye, N., Jiang, M., Cao, J., and Zhang, J. (2017). WRKY transcription factors in plant responses to stresses. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 59, 86–101. doi: 10.1111/jipb.12513
- Jimmy, J. L., and Babu, S. (2015). Role of OsWRKY transcription factors in rice disease resistance. *Trop. Plant Pathol.* 40, 355–361. doi: 10.1007/s40858-015-0058-0
- Jones, J. D., and Dangl, J. L. (2006). The plant immune system. *Nature* 444, 323–329. doi: 10.1038/nature05286
- Kanzaki, H., Nirasawa, S., Saitoh, H., Ito, M., Nishihara, M., Terauchi, R., et al. (2002). Overexpression of the wasabi defensin gene confers enhanced resistance to blast fungus (*Magnaporthe grisea*) in transgenic rice. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 105, 809–814. doi: 10.1007/s00122-001-0817-9
- Karimi, M., Inzé, D., and Depicker, A. (2002). GATEWAYTM vectors for Agrobacterium-mediated plant transformation. *Trends Plant Sci.* 7, 193–195. doi: 10.1016/S1360-1385(02)02251-3
- Koga, H., Dohi, K., Nakayachi, O., and Mori, M. (2004). A novel inoculation method of *Magnaporthe grisea* for cytological observation of the infection process using intact leaf sheaths of rice plants. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* 64, 67–72. doi: 10.1016/j.pmpp.2004.07.002
- Koo, S. C., Moon, B. C., Kim, J. K., Kim, C. Y., Sung, S. J., Kim, M. C., et al. (2009). OsBWMK1 mediates SA-dependent defense responses by activating the transcription factor OsWRKY33. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 387, 365–370. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.07.026
- Liu, W., Triplett, L., Liu, J., Leach, J. E., and Wang, G.-L. (2014). Novel insights into rice innate immunity against bacterial and fungal pathogens. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 52, 213–241. doi: 10.1146/annurev-phyto-102313-045926
- Liu, X. Q., Bai, X. Q., Qian, Q., Wang, X. J., Chen, M. S., and Chu, C. C. (2005). OsWRKY03, a rice transcriptional activator that functions in defense signaling pathway upstream of OsNPR1. *Cell Res.* 15, 593–603. doi: 10.1038/sj.cr.7290329
- Matsushita, A., Inoue, H., Goto, S., Nakayama, A., Sugano, S., Hayashi, N., et al. (2013). Nuclear ubiquitin proteasome degradation affects WRKY45 function in the rice defense program. *Plant J.* 73, 302–313. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12035
- Mersmann, S., Bourdais, G., Rietz, S., and Robatzek, S. (2010). Ethylene signaling regulates accumulation of the FLS2 receptor and is required for the oxidative burst contributing to plant immunity. *Plant Physiol*. 154, 391–400. doi: 10.1104/pp.110.154567
- Miki, D., and Shimamoto, K. (2004). Simple RNAi vectors for stable and transient suppression of gene function in rice [Oryza sativa]. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 45, 490–495. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pch048
- Nakashima, K., Tran, L. S. P., Van Nguyen, D., Fujita, M., Maruyama, K., Todaka, D., et al. (2007). Functional analysis of a NAC-type transcription factor OsNAC6 involved in abiotic and biotic stress-responsive gene expression in rice. *Plant J.* 51, 617–630. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03168.x
- Ohta, M., Ohme-Takagi, M., and Shinshi, H. (2000). Three ethylene-responsive transcription factors in tobacco with distinct transactivation functions. *Plant J.* 22, 29–38. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313x.2000.00709.x
- Pandey, S. P., and Somssich, I. E. (2009). The role of WRKY transcription factors in plant immunity. *Plant Physiol*. 150, 1648–1655. doi: 10.1104/pp.109.138990
- Park, C.-H., Chen, S., Shirsekar, G., Zhou, B., Khang, C. H., Songkumarn, P., et al. (2012). The *Magnaporthe oryzae* effector AvrPiz-t targets the RING E3 Ubiquitin Ligase APIP6 to suppress pathogen-associated

molecular pattern-triggered immunity in rice. *Plant Cell* 24, 4748-4762. doi: 10.1105/tpc.112.105429

- Park, C.-J., Bart, R., Chern, M., Canlas, P. E., Bai, W., and Ronald, P. C. (2010). Overexpression of the endoplasmic reticulum chaperone BiP3 regulates XA21-mediated innate immunity in rice. *PLoS ONE* 5:e9262. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0009262
- Peng, X., Hu, Y., Tang, X., Zhou, P., Deng, X., Wang, H., et al. (2012). Constitutive expression of rice WRKY30 gene increases the endogenous jasmonic acid accumulation, PR gene expression and resistance to fungal pathogens in rice. *Planta* 236, 1485–1498. doi: 10.1007/s00425-012-16 98-7
- Peng, X., Wang, H., Jang, J.-C., Xiao, T., He, H., Jiang, D., et al. (2016). OsWRKY80-OsWRKY4 module as a positive regulatory circuit in rice resistance against *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Rice* 9:63. doi: 10.1186/s12284-016-0137-y
- Peng, Y., Bartley, L. E., Chen, X., Dardick, C., Chern, M., Ruan, R., et al. (2008). OsWRKY62 is a negative regulator of basal and Xa21-mediated defense against *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae in rice. Mol. Plant* 1, 446–458. doi: 10.1093/mp/ssn024
- Petutschnig, E. K., Jones, A. M., Serazetdinova, L., Lipka, U., and Lipka, V. (2010). The lysin motif receptor-like kinase (LysM-RLK) CERK1 is a major chitin-binding protein in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and subject to chitin-induced phosphorylation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 28902–28911. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.116657
- Ramamoorthy, R., Jiang, S.-Y., Kumar, N., Venkatesh, P. N., and Ramachandran, S. (2008). A comprehensive transcriptional profiling of the WRKY gene family in rice under various abiotic and phytohormone treatments. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 49, 865–879. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcn061
- Rinerson, C. I., Rabara, R. C., Tripathi, P., Shen, Q. J., and Rushton, P. J. (2015). The evolution of WRKY transcription factors. *BMC Plant Biol.* 15:66. doi: 10.1186/s12870-015-0456-y
- Rushton, P. J., Somssich, I. E., Ringler, P., and Shen, Q. J. (2010). WRKY transcription factors. *Trends Plant Sci.* 15, 247–258. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2010.02.006
- Ryu, H.-S., Han, M., Lee, S.-K., Cho, J.-I., Ryoo, N., Heu, S., et al. (2006). A comprehensive expression analysis of the WRKY gene superfamily in rice plants during defense response. *Plant Cell Rep.* 25, 836–847. doi: 10.1007/s00299-006-0138-1
- Schwessinger, B., and Zipfel, C. (2008). News from the frontline: recent insights into PAMP-triggered immunity in plants. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 11, 389–395. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2008.06.001
- Seo, Y.-S., Chern, M., Bartley, L. E., Han, M., Jung, K.-H., Lee, I., et al. (2011). Towards establishment of a rice stress response interactome. *PLoS Genet*. 7:e1002020. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002020
- Shimono, M., Koga, H., Akagi, A., Hayashi, N., Goto, S., Sawada, M., et al. (2012). Rice WRKY45 plays important roles in fungal and bacterial disease resistance. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 13, 83–94. doi: 10.1111/j.1364-3703.2011.00 732.x
- Shimono, M., Sugano, S., Nakayama, A., Jiang, C.-J., Ono, K., Toki, S., et al. (2007). Rice WRKY45 plays a crucial role in benzothiadiazoleinducible blast resistance. *Plant Cell* 19, 2064–2076. doi: 10.1105/tpc.106.0 46250
- Song, W.-Y., Wang, G.-L., Chen, L.-L., and Kim, H.-S. (1995). A receptor kinaselike protein encoded by the rice disease resistance gene, Xa21. *Science* 270, 1804–1806 doi: 10.1126/science.270.5243.1804
- Stael, S., Kmiecik, P., Willems, P., Van Der Kelen, K., Coll, N. S., Teige, M., et al. (2015). Plant innate immunity-sunny side up? *Trends Plant Sci.* 20, 3–11. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2014.10.002
- Tena, G., Boudsocq, M., and Sheen, J. (2011). Protein kinase signaling networks in plant innate immunity. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 14, 519–529. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2011.05.006
- Ueno, Y., Yoshida, R., Kishi-Kaboshi, M., Matsushita, A., Jiang, C.-J., Goto, S., et al. (2013). MAP kinases phosphorylate rice WRKY45. *Plant Signal Behav*. 8:e24510. doi: 10.4161/psb.24510
- Wang, H., Meng, J., Peng, X., Tang, X., Zhou, P., Xiang, J., et al. (2015). Rice WRKY4 acts as a transcriptional activator mediating defense responses toward *Rhizoctonia solani*, the causing agent of rice sheath blight. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 89, 157–171. doi: 10.1007/s11103-015-0360-8

- Wu, K.-L., Guo, Z.-J., Wang, H.-H., and Li, J. (2005). The WRKY family of transcription factors in rice and Arabidopsis and their origins. DNA Res. 12, 9–26. doi: 10.1093/dnares/12.1.9
- Yokotani, N., Sato, Y., Tanabe, S., Chujo, T., Shimizu, T., Okada, K., et al. (2013). WRKY76 is a rice transcriptional repressor playing opposite roles in blast disease resistance and cold stress tolerance. J. Exp. Bot. 64, 5085–5097. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert298
- Zhang, J., Peng, Y., and Guo, Z. (2008). Constitutive expression of pathogeninducible OsWRKY31 enhances disease resistance and affects root growth and auxin response in transgenic rice plants. *Cell Res.* 18, 508–521. doi: 10.1038/cr.2007.104

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Vo, Kim, Hoang, Lee, Shirsekar, Seo, Lee, Wang and Jeon. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.