



# A Genome-Wide Association Study of Wheat Spike Related Traits in China

Jing Liu, Zhibin Xu, Xiaoli Fan, Qiang Zhou, Jun Cao, Fang Wang, Guangsi Ji, Li Yang, Bo Feng\* and Tao Wang\*

Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu, China

Rapid detection of allelic variation and identification of advantage haplotypes responsible for spike related traits play a crucial role in wheat yield improvement. The released genome sequence of hexaploid wheat (Chinese Spring) provides an extraordinary opportunity for rapid detection of natural variation and promotes breeding application. Here, selection signals detection and genome-wide association study (GWAS) were conducted for spike related traits. Based on the genotyping results by 90K SNP chip, 192 common wheat samples from southwest China were analyzed. One hundred and forty-six selective windows and one hundred and eighty-four significant SNPs (51 for spike length, 28 for kernels per spike, 39 for spikelet number, 30 for thousand kernel weight, and 36 for spike number per plant) were detected. Furthermore, tightly linkage and environmental stability window clusters and SNP clusters were also obtained. As a result, four SNP clusters associated with spike length were detected on chromosome 2A, 2B, 2D, and 6A. Two SNP clusters correlated to kernels per spike were detected on 2A and 2B. One pleiotropy SNP cluster correlated to spikelet number and kernels per spike was detected on 7B. According to the genome sequence, these SNP clusters and their overlapped/flanking QTLs which have been reported previously were integrated to a physical map. The candidate genes responsible for spike length, kernels per spike and spikelet number were predicted. Based on the genotypes of cultivars in south China, two advantage haplotypes associated with spike length and one advantage haplotype associated with kernels per spike/spikelet number were detected which have not been effectively transited into cultivars. According to these haplotypes, KASP markers were developed and diagnosed across landraces and cultivars which were selected from south and north China. Consequently, KASP assay, consistent with the GWAS results, provides reliable haplotypes for MAS in wheat yield improvement.

Keywords: wheat, spike length, kernels per spike, spikelet number, artificial selection, GWAS, haplotype, KASP

#### INTRODUCTION

Bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is the most widely grown food crop and provides the main energy requirements for about one third of the global people (Guo et al., 2018). As the world population growing continuously, yield improvement is an on-going task for wheat breeding. Three key components, spike number, kernels per spike (KPS), and thousand kernel weight (TKW), collectively determine the wheat yield. Furthermore, spike length (SL) and spikelet number (SN) which affect KPS and spike number per plant (SNPP) also play important role in improving wheat

#### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Dragan Perovic, Julius Kühn-Institut, Germany

#### Reviewed by:

Ahmad M. Alqudah, Leibniz-Institut für Pflanzengenetik und Kulturpflanzenforschung (IPK), Germany Behnaz Soleimani, Institut für Resistenzforschung und Stresstoleranz (RS), Julius Kühn-Institute, Germany

\*Correspondence:

Bo Feng fengbo@cib.ac.cn Tao Wang wangtao@cib.ac.cn

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Breeding, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 01 August 2018 Accepted: 11 October 2018 Published: 31 October 2018

#### Citation:

Liu J, Xu Z, Fan X, Zhou Q, Cao J, Wang F, Ji G, Yang L, Feng B and Wang T (2018) A Genome-Wide Association Study of Wheat Spike Related Traits in China. Front. Plant Sci. 9:1584. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.01584

1

yield (Guo et al., 2017; Liu K. et al., 2018). Therefore, discovering the crucial SNPs/quantitative trait loci (QTLs) associated with spike related traits is the urgent task for further wheat breeding program.

Conventional wheat breeding (artificial selection) is mainly based on phenotypic selection which is one of the most important steps for genetic improvement. However, it is blindness, empirical, inefficiency and costs a long time. Fortunately, the hardworking and intelligence of the breeders would have kept signatures in the wheat genome during crop improvement, and this selection signal could be detected using different methods (Gao et al., 2017). Identification of selection signal has been reported in many plants, such as soybean (Zhou et al., 2015; Wang J. et al., 2016), rice (Xu et al., 2012), peach (Cao et al., 2016), tomato (Lin et al., 2014), and wheat (Cao et al., 2016). In consideration of selection signal could not associated with phenotype, GWAS would be conducted to annotate the signatures in depth (Zhou et al., 2015). Based on the linkage disequilibrium (LD), GWAS is effective method to explore complex quantitative trait loci and allelic variation for a particular trait. SNPs with more high association scores were more likely to be close to the candidate genes, which mean the possibility by using GWAS to detect candidate genes (Brachi et al., 2011). GWAS has been widely used in crops to predict phenotypic related candidate genes (Si et al., 2016; Wang X. et al., 2016; Liu J. et al., 2018).

Compared to the rice and Arabidopsis, geneticist and breeders have been looking forward to the wheat genome sequence for 14 years (Kaul et al., 2000; Goff et al., 2002). The annotated reference wheat genome, IWGSC RefSeq v1.0, released on the IWGSC website (http://www.wheatgenome.org/) opened a new avenue in exploring genome sequences, isolating novel genes and rapidly detecting natural variations. Consideration of the forward genetics, IWGSC RefSeq v1.0 with precise scaffold ordering and annotation, integrated assembly and complete gene models, could fully resolve problems (Appels et al., 2018). Take GWAS for example, candidate genes can be easily obtained around the leading SNP based on the LD decay. According to the annotation of the wheat reference genome, candidate genes could be further screened. Then, the function of targeted genes can be confirmed by gene editing, and the advantage genotype could be used for selection breeding directly (Appels et al., 2018).

Genes and QTLs associated with spike related traits spread all over the 21 chromosomes of wheat (Liu K. et al., 2018). The *Q* gene located on chromosome 5A confers a free threshing spike and pleiotropically influences many other domesticationrelated traits such as spike length, plant height, and spike emergence time (Simons et al., 2006). The *TaSnRK2* gene encoded sucrose non-fermenting 1-related protein kinase was

detected on chromosome 4A/4B/4D. It plays crucial roles in response to various environment stimuli and shows significant correlation to spike length and thousand kernel weight (Miao et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017). AGO1d gene mutant in a tetraploid durum wheat produced shorter spikes and fewer kernels per spike than wild-type controls (Feng et al., 2017). The compactum (C) gene locates on the long arm of chromosome 2D near the centromere and affects spike compactness, grain size, grain shape and grain number per spike (Johnson et al., 2008). TaCKX6-D1 was found to be significantly associated with TKW and KPS by controlling cytokinin levels (Zhang L. et al., 2012). Also, TaSAP1 was confirmed to be associated with TKW and KPS by involving in response to stresses (Chang et al., 2013). Ppd-1 on 2D was identified as an inhibitor of paired spikelet formation by regulating the expression of FT gene, consequently decreased the number of spikelet (Boden et al., 2015). TaMOCI-7A and TaTEF-7A also have been found to be stably associated with spikelet number per spike (Zheng et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015). Tiller inhibition gene (tin) mapped on the short arm of chromosome 1A and productive tiller number gene (PTN) were notedly associated with spike number per plant (Spielmeyer and Richards, 2004; Naruoka et al., 2011). The classical grain weight related genes, such as TaGW2-A1, TaTGW6-A1, TaCwi, TaGS5-A1, TaGS-D1, TaSus1 and TaSus2 were located on 6A, 3A, 2A/4A/5D, 3A, 7D, and homoeolouous groups 7 and 2 (Jiang et al., 2011, 2015; Su et al., 2011; Hou et al., 2014; Rasheed et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015; Hanif et al., 2016; Zhai et al., 2018). In addition, a lot of QTLs associated with spikerelated traits (Huang et al., 2006; Naruoka et al., 2011; Cui et al., 2014, 2017; Azadi et al., 2015; Fan et al., 2015; Gao et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016; Luo et al., 2016; Zhai et al., 2016; Guo et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2017; Lozada et al., 2017; Mwadzingeni et al., 2017; Ogbonnaya et al., 2017; Schulthess et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2017), have been reported in previous studies. However, few genes or QTLs associated with spike related traits has been used for wheat breeding.

In this study, 192 wheat lines were genotyped by using the 90K Illumina iSelect SNP Array (Wang et al., 2014). Based on multi-environmental trial data, GWAS were conducted to identify favorable SNP clusters for yield-related traits, such as spike length, spikelet number, kernels per spike, thousand kernel weight and spike number per spike. SNPs overlapping these haplotypes were used to develop KASP (Kompetitive Allele Specific PCR) markers, which were subsequently validated on a large sample panel. These KASP markers could ultimately used in genome selection for wheat yield improvement.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Plant Material and Phenotype Analysis**

The natural population used for GWAS including 25 synthetic hexaploid wheat lines, 80 landraces and 87 cultivars (**Supplementary Table 1**). They were planted in four environments: 2014–2015 in Shuangliu (E4); 2015–2016 in Shuangliu (E3); 2015–2016 Shifang with high nitrogen treatment (E2); 2015–2016 Shifang with low nitrogen treatment (E1). In the high nitrogen field, 60 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> were applied after

Abbreviations: ANOVA, Analysis of Variance; CTAB, Cetyl Trimethyl Ammonium Bromide; CMLM, Compressed Mixed Linear Model; GWAS, Genome-Wide Association Study; HWE, Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium; KASP, Kompetitive Allele Specific PCR; KPS, Kernels per Spike; LD, Linkage Disequilibrium; MAF, Minor Allele Frequency; MAS, Marker-Assisted Selection; QTL, Quantitative Trait Locus; SL, Spike Length; SN, Spikelet Number; SNP, Single Nucleotide Polymorphism; SNPP, Spike number per plant; TKW, Thousand kernel weight.

sowing. In the low nitrogen field, no nitrogen was applied during the whole growing period. The natural population used for KASP assay including 135 landraces and 141 cultivars were planted in the 2017–2018 growing seasons in Shuangliu (**Supplementary Table 2**). Wheat lines were planted in a randomized complete block with three replications per location. Every block had two rows, with a single row of 1.2 m long and 0.2 m apart. Twelve seeds were hand-planted in each row. Crop management followed local agricultural practice. After sowing, approximately 40 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> were applied except the high and low nitrogen fields. Fungicide was applied at seedling stage and heading period to control diseases and pests, but no irrigation was used.

Main spikes of six plants were randomly selected for phenotype analysis. Spike length was measured from the base of the rachis to the topmost spikelet, excluding the awns. Spikelet number was counted from the basal sterile spikelet to the top fertile spikelet. Kernels per spike were estimated by hand-threshing the maturity spike. Thousand kernel weight was measured by SC-E software (Handzhou Wanshen Detection Technology Co., Ltd., Hangzhou, China) from weighting more than 200 random kernels with two technical repeats. Spike number per plant was counted the spike with more than five kernels in one plant. Statistical data were analyzed using SPSS 19.0 software (https://www.ibm.com/analytics/cn/zh/ technology/spss/). Outliers were deleted before analysis. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to test the differences caused by the influence of genotype and environment for each spike trait. Pearson's correlation analyses were conducted to pinpoint the relationships among the spike related traits.

#### **SNP Calling and LD Estimation**

Genomic DNA was extracted from the fresh leaves of seedling wheat using the modified cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Murray and Thompson, 1980). At least 5 µg genomic DNA of each line was used for genotyping by the wheat 90K Illumina iSelect SNP Array at the Compass Biotechnology Co., Ltd. After quality control (filter criteria: sample call rate > 0.8, MAF > 0.05, SNP call rate > 0.9, HWE < 0.000001), 13,154 polymorphic SNPs were selected for follow-up analysis.

Based on the pruned data, linkage disequilibrium (LD) was calculated using PLINK60 (Version 1.90) software and the LD decay graphs were plotted using an R script. The pairwise  $r^2$  (squared allele frequency correlation) values were calculated using SNPs within 200 Mb for cultivars, landraces and all samples, respectively. The distance that the LD decays to half of its maximum value was estimated.

#### **Detection of Artificial Selection Signals**

The genetic differentiation ( $F_{\rm ST}$ ) and reduction of nucleotide diversity ( $ROD = 1-\pi_{cultivar}/\pi_{landrance}$ ) were calculated for non-overlapping 100-kb sliding windows across the genome using VCFtools v0.1.14 (https://github.com/vcftools/vcftools). The windows in the upper 99% of the pool's empirical distribution for both  $F_{\rm ST}$  statistics and ROD values were selected as candidate regions. These genes, located in the windows detected by two methods or existed in the window clusters according to the distance less than 1 Mb based on the LD, were chosen as candidate genes. The annotation information of these candidate genes were extracted from the IWGSC website (http://www.arabidopsis.org/) and rice (http:// rapdb.dna.affrc.go.jp/) functional gene databases were used for annotation.

#### **Genome-Wide Association Study**

GWAS for spike related traits were performed in 192 wheat lines using the compressed mixed linear model (CMLM) by the GAPIT package, which took the results of population stratification and kinship as covariate to minimize false positives (Lipka et al., 2012). A threshold *P*-value of 0.001 ( $-\log_{10}P =$  3) was used to declare significant SNPs for GWAS results. To uncover the candidate clusters, stable SNPs (based on LD decay) existed in more than two environments underlying association signals were selected.

# An Integrated Map Based on the Wheat Genome Sequence

The stable SNP clusters were mapped on the wheat genome. Together with previous report QTLs, regions of interest (spike length, spikelet number, kernels per spike) were positioned onto the newly released reference genome sequence of Chinese Spring by blasting their flanking or peaking marker sequences against the IWGSC RefSeq v1.0 (https://urgi.versailles.inra. fr/blast\_iwgsc/blast.php). MapChart Ver. 2.3 was used for map drawing (https://www.wageningenur.nl/en/show/Mapchart. htm). (Voorrips, 2002)

#### **KASP** Assay

Based on LD decay, KASP markers were developed by using the SNPs overlapping these candidate haplotypes. A total of 276 wheat lines were genotyped, including 146 landraces (60 southern lines and 86 northern lines) and 130 cultivars (67 southern lines and 73 northern lines), collected from diverse wheat zoning in China (Supplementary Table 2). In the southern wheat lines, 39 landraces and 49 cultivars have been used for GWAS. Four pairs of KASP markers were developed for detection three haplotypes (Table 1). The KASP assay was carried out according to the manufacturer's recommendation (LGC Genomics, Beverly, MA, USA) and the reference Patterson et al. (2017). Amplification was carried out starting with 15 min at 94°C, followed by 10 touchdown cycles of 20s at 94°C and 60s at 65-57°C, and 26-35 cycles of 94°C for 20s and 60°C for 1 min. End point genotyping was done using the CFX Manager 3.1 software. The specificity and sensitivity of all tested markers were listed on Supplementary Table 3.

### RESULTS

#### Phenotypic Assessment

One hundred and ninety-two bread wheat lines including synthetic hexaploid, landraces and cultivars were tested in the present study. The phenotypic performance of the investigated traits for the wheat natural population in four environments

B. Combination (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	Trait	SNP	SNP ID	Chr.	Pos. (Mb)	-Log <sub>10</sub> P	Alleles	Effect alleles	MAF	R <sup>2</sup>	Environment
Monuticue <t< td=""><td>SL</td><td>BobWhite_c17476_149</td><td>IWB1047</td><td>2A</td><td>77.94</td><td>3.80</td><td>T/C</td><td>Т</td><td>0.204</td><td>0.068</td><td>Ē</td></t<>	SL	BobWhite_c17476_149	IWB1047	2A	77.94	3.80	T/C	Т	0.204	0.068	Ē
work work 33 330 40 1 334 330 1		Kukri_rep_c71876_521	IWB50153	2A	78.33	3.03	A/G	A	0.194	0.052	E1
Mean.Chillenge Mean.Ch		wsnp_Ex_c15325_23565935	IWA2007	2A	78.33	3.76	A/G	U	0.202	0.067	E1
Mur, Ex, (1338), 2389, 380 Not 7.3 7.34 3.00 A 0.04 0.05 1.3   1 ExPr. (331), 300 Metods 28 2337 3.063.42 176 7 0.004.000 0.051.0001 254   1 Berolesse, J. Metods 28 233.27 3.103.34 176 7 0.030.0000 0.051.0001 254   1 Exblaur, Geldes, J. Metods 28 233.27 3.1303.34 176 7 0.030.0000 0.051.0001 254   1 Exblaur, Geldes, J. Metods 28 3.1303.34 176 7 0.030.0000 0.051.0001 254   1 Metods 233 3.1303.34 176 7 0.032.0000 0.051.0001 254   1 Metods 233 3.1303.34 176 7 0.032.0000 0.051.0001 254   1 Metods 233 3.1303.34 176 7 0.032.000 0.051.0001 254		Kukri_c7818_2581	IWB47747	2A	78.34	3.80	T/C	T	0.204	0.068	E1
81. OPPT_45814_500 MR14056 56 28.3.7 3.08.3.4.8 17.0 17		wsnp_Ex_c15325_23564654	IWA2005	2A	78.34	3.80	A/G	A	0.204	0.068	E1
Exercise Media 22 2221 300436 1 0.004036 0.050006 0 2021 0.0010 Edia   Vel.32 Weilzele/Cold Weilzele/Cold Weilzele/Cold Weilzele/Cold 0.00100 0.0000 Edia   Vel.32 Weilzele/Cold Weilzele/Cold 0.00100 0.00100 Edia   Vel.32 Weilzele/Cold Weilzele/Cold 0.00100 Edia 0.00100 Edia   Vel.32 Weilzele/Cold Weilzele/Cold Weilzele/Cold 0.00100 Edia Edia   Vel.32 Weilzele/Cold Weilzele/Cold Weilzele/Cold 0.00100 Edia Edia   Vel.32 Weilzele/Cold Weilzele/Cold	SL	CAP7_c3814_303	IWB14055	2B	233.27	3.09/3.42	T/C	O	0.304/0.309	0.051/0.061	E3/E4
Holicital Metrolicital Bit		BS00066546_51	IWB9834	2B	233.27	3.09/3.42	T/G	Т	0.304/0.309	0.051/0.061	E3/E4
resc.e8 besides total Moltonial Biolization (Moltonial) Moltonial Biolization (Moltonial) Moltonial </td <td></td> <td>CAP11_c2947_204</td> <td>IWB12818</td> <td>2B</td> <td>233.27</td> <td>3.13/3/38</td> <td>T/G</td> <td>Г</td> <td>0.301/0.306</td> <td>0.052/0.060</td> <td>E3/E4</td>		CAP11_c2947_204	IWB12818	2B	233.27	3.13/3/38	T/G	Г	0.301/0.306	0.052/0.060	E3/E4
Tununcenticonst, 1077 WBT2289 283 2303.3.2 NC A 0.030/0.030 00570.061 EEde   Founduccienciency (2606) MBC1763 MBC1763 MBC1763 203.35 170 17		*kSL-2B	IWB12818-A010074	2B	233.27		A/C	A			
Image: Matrix and the section of the sectin of the section		Tdurum_contig52063_1077	IWB72239	2B	233.27	3.09/3.42	A/C	A	0.304/0.309	0.051/0.061	E3/E4
0.1 Caraburu-M432-568 Medent 20 68.2 3.763.45 170 17 0.220 0.051 155   RADFS_GERFY_GER MEGNIM 20 68.67 3.763.45 170 2 0.010 0.050 655   RADFS_GERFY_GER MEGNIM 20 68.67 3.763.45 170 2 0.010 0.005 0.055 55   RADFS_GERFY_GER MEGNIM MEGNIM MEGNIM 20 0.010 0.010 0.005 55   RADFS_GERF_GER MEGNIM MEGNIM MEGNIM MEGNIM 0.010 0.005 55   RADFS_GERF_GER MEGNIM MEGNIM MEGNIM 0.01 0.000 0.005 55   RADFS_GERF_GER MEGNIM MEGNIM MEGNIM 0.000 0.000 55   RADFS_GERF_GER MEGNIM MEGNIM MEGNIM MEGNIM 0.000 0.000 55   RADFS_GERF_GER MEGNIM MEGNIM MEGNIM MEGNIM 0.000 0.000		Excalibur_c58566_284	IWB27823	2B	233.55	3.25	T/C	Т	0.324	0.057	E4
PACSTS_LEGRO_206 Websolut 20 06057 378.345 T/C C 0.2120.210 0.064.0061 Eick   PACSTS_LEGRO_206 Websolut Websolut Websolut 378.345 AG C 0.017 0.067 Eick   PACSTS_LEGRO_2066 Websolut Websolut Websolut 337 375 AG C 0.017 0.067 Eick Eick   PACSTS_Lefraction Websolut Websolut Websolut AG C 0.107 0.067 Eick Eick Eick Eick D	SL	Excalibur_c44325_638	IWB26541	2D	608.20	3.10	T/C	F	0.220	0.051	E3
PAGRTG_16176 MESSABC ZD 600.11 3.87 AG C 0.107 0.067 E   PHODE/rad_2117 MET-4078 MET-40788 MET-40788 MET		RAC875_c26979_266	IWB56014	2D	608.57	3.76/3.45	T/C	O	0.212/0.210	0.064/0.061	E3/E4
ipplication Minitation Minita		RAC875_c14766_1063	IWB53992	2D	608.77	3.87	A/G	Ű	0.107	0.067	E3
No. FMCGF75-Golder5-140 MBG6680 64 60.17 3.51 AG G 0.161 0.071 E2   PACGF75-Golde5-112 MBG6680 64 60.11 3.53.33.93.29.24.8 AG C 0.1161 0.071 E2   PGL5A.1 MB68622-A010058 6A 60.24 3.53.33.93.29.24.8 AG C 0.1161 0.050 E1 2   PGL5A.1 MB68622-A010058 6A 61.12 3.28 3.28 AG C 0.157 0.057 E2 2   VGL5A.2 MB1102 M1100 6A 61.21 3.42 AG C 0.155 0.050 E2 2   WM10.60 M1104 6A 61.21 3.42 AG C 0.155 0.050 E2 2		tplb0021a02_817	IWB74078	2D	608.92	3.16	T/C	0	0.209	0.052	E3
PACB75_60695_112 MB5941 64 60.1 3.533.38/3.224.86 AG C 0.110.11220.1860.0184 0.06370 E12E354   YeL-6A.1 MB68532.A010056 6A 60.74 3.533.38/3.224.86 AG C	SL	RAC875_c49875_405	IWB58592	6A	60.17	3.91	AG	U	0.161	0.071	E2
HGL 6A.1 WIGB652-A01008 6A 60.74 AC C   BS00079942.51 WH1102 6A 61.02 3.26 AG 6 7 2 2   WmD_CAP7_c1539.906003 WM1600 6A 61.21 3.42 AG 6 0.057 E2   WmD_CAP7_c1539.906013 WM1600 6A 61.21 3.42 AG 6 0.155 0.0060 E2   WmD_CAP7_c1539.906013 WM1600 6A 61.29 3.42 7/C C 0.155 0.0060 E2   KuM_C4588_147 WM4559 6A 61.39 3.42 7/C C 0.155 0.0060 E2   KuM_C4588_147 WM4559 6A 61.39 3.42 7/C C 0.155 0.0060 E2   KuM_C4588_147 WM4559 6A 61.39 7/C C 0.155 0.056 E2   KuM_C45897_15048149 W77399 2A 691.22 3.17 AC C 0.155		RAC875_c60695_112	IWB59541	6A	60.41	3.53/3.38/3.92/4.98	A/G	Ű	0.191/0.192/0.186/0.184	0.063/0.059/0.068/0.095	E1/E2/E3/E4
BS0007994.51 MB1102 64 61.02 3.26 AG A 0.137 0.057 E2   wsnp.CAP7_c1839_090031 WA1050 6A 6121 3.42 AG 6 0.153 0.060 E2   wsnp.CAP7_c1839_090031 WA1040 6A 6121 3.42 AG 6 0.153 0.060 E2   wsnp.CAP7_c1839_07001 WA1040 6A 61.21 3.42 AG 6 0.153 0.060 E2   KebCAP7_c1839_07001 WA1040 6A 61.39 3.42 T/C C 0.153 0.060 E2   KebCAP7_c1839_0701 WA1040 6A 61.39 3.42 T/C C 0.153 0.060 E2   KebCAP7_c1639_147 WB4554 MB4554 MB4552 2A A/C C 0.153 0.060 E2   KebCAP7_c1639_147 WB4552 2A 61.22 3.14 A/G C 0.153 0.060 E2   KebC_193_176		*kSL-6A.1	IWB68523-A010085	6A	60.74		A/C	0			
wenc_CAP7_c1839_08003 MA1050 64 6121 3.42 A/G G 0.153 0.060 E2   wenc_CAP7_c1839_080011 MA1049 6A 61.21 3.42 A/G G 0.153 0.060 E2   wenc_CAP7_c1839_07030 MA1049 6A 61.21 3.42 A/G C 0.153 0.060 E2   wenc_CAP7_c1839_07780 MA1048 6A 61.21 3.42 A/G C 0.153 0.060 E2   Kulc_c45890_147 MA1048 6A 61.22 3.42 7/G C 0.153 0.060 E2   Kulc_c16393_07780 MA105 6A 61.22 3.43 7/G C 0.153 0.060 E2   Wenc/c46392_16660_7466 MA7339 2A 661.22 3.44 A/G C 0.153 0.076 E4   Well_c7193_3176 MB4755 2A 661.22 3.44 A/G C 0.255 0.046 E4   Well_c161_231		BS00079942_51	IWB11102	6A	61.02	3.26	A/G	A	0.137	0.057	E2
wmp_CAP7_c1639_00011 Ma1049 6A 61.21 3.42 NG C 0.153 0.060 E2   wmp_CAP7_c1639_00011 MA1048 6A 61.21 3.42 T/C C 0.153 0.060 E2   wmp_CAP7_c1639_07789 MA1048 6A 61.39 3.42 T/C C 0.153 0.060 E2   Kelt-GA2 MB42599-A010081 6A 61.39 3.42 T/C C 0.153 0.060 E2   Kelt-C422 MA7339 MA7339 2A 691.22 3.43 T/C C 0.153 0.060 E2   Kelt-C7193_3176 MB47527 E 691.22 3.44 A/C C 0.255 0.060 E4   Kelt-C7193_3176 MB47527 E 691.22 3.44 A/C C 0.255 0.0760 E4   Kelt-C7133_3176 MB47527 E 691.22 3.44 A/G C 0.255 0.056 E4   Kult-C71		wsnp_CAP7_c1839_908093	IWA1050	6A	61.21	3.42	A/G	U	0.153	0.060	E2
wsnp_CAP7_c1839_07899 Wande 64 61.21 3.42 1/0 C 0.153 0.060 E2   Ksl_bA.2 Wang-CAP7_c1839_07899 Wande 64 61.39 3.42 1/0 C 0.050 E2   Ksl_bA.2 Wande Ksl_bA.2 Wande 64 61.39 3.42 1/0 C 0.050 E2   Kraibur_c6660.746 Wandes Kalebur_c6660.746 Wandes 24 61.2 3.19 1/0 C 0.050 E2   Kraip_Luce660.746 Wandes 24 691.2 3.19 1/0 7 0.061 E2   Kund-c1193_3176 War339 2A 691.2 3.14 AG C 0.225 0.061 E4   Kund-c1193_3176 War325 2A 691.2 3.17 AG C 0.225 0.061 E4   Kund-c1193_3176 War325 2A 691.2 7.4 AG C 0.225 0.056 E4   Kund		wsnp_CAP7_c1839_908011	IWA1049	6A	61.21	3.42	A/G	Ű	0.153	0.060	E2
Kell-6A.2 WB42599-0010081 6A 61.39 A/G G   Kukri.245898_147 WB45584 6A 61.39 3.42 T/C C 0.153 0.060 E2   Klwri.245898_147 WB45584 6A 61.39 3.42 T/C C 0.153 0.060 E2   Klwri.2458927.15048149 WB23454 2A 691.22 3.19 T/C C 0.223 0.046 E4   Kukri.27193.3176 WB47525 2A 691.22 3.19 T/C C 0.223 0.047 E4   Kukri.27193.3176 WB47525 2A 691.22 3.19 T/C C 0.223 0.047 E4   Kukri.27193.3176 WB47525 2A 691.22 3.14 A/G C 0.223 0.056 E4   Kukri.27193.3176 WB47522 2A 691.22 3.17 A/G C 0.223 0.056 E4   Kukri.27193.3176 WB47522 2B 776.33 4.36		wsnp_CAP7_c1839_907899	IWA1048	6A	61.21	3.42	T/C	0	0.153	0.060	E2
Kinki-G6808_147 WB4584 64 61.39 3.42 T/C C 0.153 0.060 E2   KP Excalibur_G680_746 WB28454 2A 61.22 3.19 T/C C 0.153 0.060 E4   wsnp_Ku_G680_746 WB28454 2A 691.22 3.19 T/C C 0.225 0.047 E4   wsnp_Ku_G680_7165 WB47525 2A 691.22 3.44 A/C C 0.214 0.047 E4   Kukr_C1193_3176 WB47525 2A 691.22 3.44 A/C C 0.225 0.047 E4   Kukr_C1133_15992 WB4752 2A 691.22 3.43 A/C C 0.255 0.056 E4   Kukr_C161_231 WB41522 2B 776.33 A/36 7/6 C 0.255 0.056 E4   Kukr_C16161_231 WB41522 2B 776.33 A/36 7/7 C 0.255 0.056 E4   Kukr_C1615		kSL-6A.2	IWB42599-A010081	6A	61.39		A/G	IJ			
KPSExcalable conditionedNB2844ZA691.223.19T/CC0.2230.046E4wsnp_ful_c0807_15048149NMA7339ZA691.223.21A/CC0.2140.047E4Kukri_c7193_3176NB4755ZA691.223.41A/CC0.2140.047E4Kukri_c7193_3176NB4755ZA691.223.44A/GG0.2250.051E4Kukri_c7193_5892NB4752ZA691.233.73A/GG0.2530.056E4Kukri_c7193_5892NB2752ZB775.833.74A/GG0.2530.056E4Kukri_c16161_231NB41532ZB776.704.40T/CT0.1260.058E1Kukri_c16161_231NB41532ZB776.704.40T/CT0.1260.058E1Kukri_c26097_366NB41532ZB776.702.41T/CT0.1260.058E1Kukri_c26097_366NB43329ZB776.702.41T/CT0.1260.058E1Kukri_c26097_366NB43329ZB776.983.41T/CT0.1260.058E1Fa_c1597_2503NB43329ZB776.983.41T/CT0.1260.058E1Fa_c1597_2503NB43329ZB776.98777.310.1260.0170.058E1Fa_c1597_2503NB23278NB2327ZB777.51 <td></td> <td>Kukri_c45898_147</td> <td>IWB45584</td> <td>6A</td> <td>61.39</td> <td>3.42</td> <td>T/C</td> <td>O</td> <td>0.153</td> <td>0.060</td> <td>E2</td>		Kukri_c45898_147	IWB45584	6A	61.39	3.42	T/C	O	0.153	0.060	E2
wsnp_ku_c6827_15048149 WM7339 2A 691.22 3.21 AC C 0.214 0.047 E4   Kuki_c7193_3176 WB47525 2A 691.22 3.44 A/G G 0.225 0.051 E4   Kuki_c7193_5892 WB47525 2A 691.23 3.44 A/G G 0.225 0.051 E4   Kuki_c7193_5892 WB4752 2A 691.23 3.44 A/G G 0.255 0.056 E4   Kuki_c16161_231 WB4752 2B 776.70 4.40 T/C T 0.069 0.056 E1   Kuki_c16161_231 WB41532 2B 776.70 4.40 T/C T 0.126 0.058 E1   Kuki_c16161_231 WB41532 2B 776.70 4.40 T/C T 0.126 0.058 E1   Kuki_c16161_231 WB41532 2B 776.70 4.40 T/C T 0.126 0.058 E1   Kuki_c16161_231	KPS	Excalibur_c6660_746	IWB28454	2A	691.22	3.19	T/C	0	0.223	0.046	E4
Kukri_c7193_3176 WB47525 2A 691.22 3.44 A/G G 0.225 0.051 E4   Kukri_c7193_5892 WB47527 2A 691.23 3.73 A/G G 0.225 0.051 E4   Kukri_c7193_5892 WB47527 2A 691.23 3.73 A/G G 0.255 0.056 E4   Kukri_c16161_231 WB28725 2B 776.70 4.40 T/C T 0.059 E1   Kukri_c16161_231 WB41532 2B 776.70 4.40 T/C T 0.126 0.059 E1   Kukri_c16161_231 WB43329 2B 776.76 4.40 T/C T 0.126 0.058 E1   Kukri_c26697_366 NB43329 2B 776.76 4.96 T/C T 0.126 0.058 E1   Ruc1526637_366 NB43329 2B 776.36 4.96 T/C T 0.126 0.058 E1   Ruc1526637_367 NB43329		wsnp_Ku_c8927_15048149	IWA7339	2A	691.22	3.21	A/C	0	0.214	0.047	E4
Kukri_c7193_5892 WB4757 2A 691.23 3.73 A/G G 0.253 0.056 E4   Kukri_c7193_5892 WB47527 2B 775.83 4.35 7 6 0.0559 E1   Kukri_c16161_231 WB41532 2B 776.76 4.40 7/7 7 0.059 E1   Kukri_c26697_366 WB43329 2B 776.75 4.46 7/7 7 0.125 0.056 E1   Kukri_c26697_366 WB43329 2B 776.76 4.46 7/7 7 0.125 0.068 E1   Kukri_c26697_366 WB43329 2B 776.38 3.11 7/7 7 0.125 0.066 E1   Ra_c1597_2603 WB43329 2B 776.38 3.11 7/7 C 0.186 0.036 E1   Kukri_c26697_366 WB43329 2B 776.38 3.11 7/7 C 0.186 0.066 E1   Kukri_c26697_367 WB43329 2B		Kukri_c7193_3176	IWB47525	2A	691.22	3.44	A/G	Ű	0.225	0.051	E4
KPs Excalibur_c74622_265 WB28725 2B 775.83 4.35 T/G T 0.069 0.058 E1   Kukri_c16161_231 WB41532 2B 776.70 4.40 T/C T 0.128 0.059 E1   Excalibur_c40335_454 WB26132 2B 776.76 4.40 T/C T 0.126 0.059 E1   Kukri_c26697_366 WB43329 2B 776.98 3.11 T/C C 0.126 0.058 E1   Ruc1597_2503 WB43329 2B 776.98 3.11 T/C C 0.117 0.056 E1   Ruc1597_2503 WB43329 2B 776.98 3.11 T/C C 0.117 0.056 E1   Excalibur_c16332_370 WB23772 2B 777.33 4.96 T/C T 0.117 0.056 E1   Excalibur_c16332_3778 WB20376 2B 777.31 4.96 T/C T 0.125 0.0568 E1		Kukri_c7193_5892	IWB47527	2A	691.23	3.73	A/G	IJ	0.253	0.056	E4
Kukri_c16161_231WB415322B776.704.40T/CT0.1280.059E1Excalibur_c40335_454WB261322B776.754.96T/CT0.1250.068E1Kukri_c26697_366WB433292B776.983.11T/CC0.1860.039E1Ra_c1597_2603WB433292B776.983.11T/CC0.1860.039E1Ra_c1597_2503WB433292B776.984.76A/GG0.1170.065E1Excalibur_c16329_370WB237722B777.334.96T/CT0.1250.068E1Ex_c27573_778WB203762B777.514.08T/CT0.1250.058E1	KPS	Excalibur_c74622_265	IWB28725	2B	775.83	4.35	T/G	L L	0.069	0.058	Ē
Excalibur_c40335_454 WB26132 2B 776.75 4.96 T/C T 0.125 0.068 E1   Kukri_c26697_366 IWB43329 2B 776.98 3.11 T/C C 0.186 0.058 E1   Ra_c1597_2603 IWB43329 2B 776.98 3.11 T/C C 0.186 0.039 E1   Fxcalibur_c16329_370 IWB2372 2B 777.33 4.96 T/C T 0.177 0.068 E1   Excalibur_c16329_370 IWB22772 2B 777.33 4.96 T/C T 0.125 0.068 E1		Kukri_c16161_231	IWB41532	2B	776.70	4.40	T/C	Т	0.128	0.059	E1
Kukri_226697_366 WB43329 2B 776.98 3.11 T/C C 0.186 0.039 E1   Ra_c1597_2603 IWB43329 2B 776.98 4.76 A/G G 0.117 0.056 E1   Excalibur_c16329_370 IWB22772 2B 777.33 4.96 T/C T 0.125 0.068 E1   Exc27573_778 IWB20376 2B 777.51 4.08 T/C T 0.125 0.058 E1		Excalibur_c40335_454	IWB26132	2B	776.75	4.96	T/C	Т	0.125	0.068	E1
Ra_c1597_2503 WB43329 2B 776.98 4.76 A/G G 0.117 0.065 E1   Excalibur_c16329_370 IWB22772 2B 777.33 4.96 T/C T 0.125 0.068 E1   Exc2f573_778 IWB20376 2B 777.51 4.08 T/C T 0.125 0.054 E1		Kukri_c26697_366	IWB43329	2B	776.98	3.11	T/C	0	0.186	0.039	E1
Excalibur_c16329_370 IWB22772 2B 777.33 4.96 T/C T 0.125 0.068 E1   Ex_c27573_778 IWB20376 2B 777.51 4.08 T/C T 0.125 0.054 E1		Ra_c1597_2503	IWB43329	2B	776.98	4.76	A/G	U	0.117	0.065	E1
Ex_c27573_778 IWB20376 2B 777.51 4.08 T/C T 0.125 0.054 E1		Excalibur_c16329_370	IWB22772	2B	777.33	4.96	T/C	Т	0.125	0.068	E1
		Ex_c27573_778	IWB20376	2B	777.51	4.08	T/C	Т	0.125	0.054	E1

4

Trait	SNP	CI ANS	Chr.	Pos. (Mb)	-Log <sub>10</sub> P	Alleles	Effect alleles	MAF	R <sup>2</sup>	Environment
KPS	Excalibur_c36221_1031	IWB25689	7B	716.59	4.18(4.00/3.61)	T/C	F	0.184(0.181/0.183)	0.056(0.054/0.057)	E1 (E1 /E4)
(SN)	IACX5767	IWB36018	7B	716.96	3.63(3.81/3.67)	A/G	Ű	0.207(0.204/0.208)	0.047(0.051/0.058)	E1 (E1/E4)
	BS00003630_51	IWB5853	7B	716.96	3.63(3.81/3.67)	T/C	0	0.207(0.204/0.208)	0.047(0.051/0.058)	E1(E1/E4)
	BS00009879_51	IWB6129	7B	716.97	3.45(3.76/3.18)	A/G	A	0.186(0.183/0.186)	0.044(0.050/0.049)	E1 (E1/E4)
	BS00089322_51	IWB11608	7B	716.97	3.61(3.92/3.23)	T/G	Т	0.184(0.181/0.183)	0.047(0.053/0.050)	E1 (E1/E4)
	*kkps/sn-7b	IWB10891-A010071	7B	717.20		A/G	Ű			
*SNP I	oci used for KASP assay.									

was shown in Supplementary Figure 1, Supplementary Table 4. The coefficients of variation for these traits in each environment ranged from 10.63 to 41.07%, indicating broad phenotypic variation and a large improvement potential. Significant differences were detected among environments for these traits by ANOVA. (Supplementary Tables 4, 5). The variation of SL, SN KPS and SNPP was prominently impacted by environments, which explained 19.35, 6.96, 7.18, and 32.18% of the phenotypic variation, respectively (Supplementary Table 5). Significant Person's correlation coefficients were found among these traits in entire wheat lines (All) and different groups (SH, L, and C) (P < 0.05, Supplementary Table 6). A significant negative correlation was observed between TKW and SNPP in the entire wheat lines. However, TKW and SL were found significant positive correlated in the entire wheat lines. Interestingly, the significant negative correlations were observed between TKW and SN, TKW and KPS in the entire wheat lines, but significant positive correlations were found in cultivars. Here, the selective pressure may play an important role for the change of correlation from landraces to cultivars (Zhang D. et al., 2012). Significant positive correlation was detected between SN and KPS in all the groups. However, significant positive correlations were only observed between SL and SN, SL and KPS in cultivars.

#### Artificial Selection Signals During Wheat Improvement

Wheat lines used in this study include synthetic hexaploid, landraces and cultivars. No obvious population structure among these samples was detected which has been proved by the previous study (Liu K. et al., 2018). Linkage disequilibrium (LD) analysis was performed in cultivars, landraces and all samples, respectively. Compared to a higher LD dropped to half of its maximum value of cultivars (1,053 kb), the value of landraces was lower (785 kb) (**Supplementary Figure 2**). The higher LD value in cultivated wheat is consistent with the fact that the effect of artificial selection exists in this population.

To identify potential artificial selection signals at the genomic level, genetic differentiation ( $F_{ST}$ ) and polymorphism levels (*ROD*) between cultivars and landraces were calculated (**Figure 1**). The results show that there were 75 and 71 non-overlapping windows detected to be potential selective sweep regions, respectively (**Supplementary Table 7**). These selective windows accounted for only 0.8% of the whole wheat genome and were not covered all the 21 chromosomes. Fortunately, 10 windows were detected by the two methods. In addition, 19 window clusters were obtained according to the distance less than 1 Mb based on the LD which might be genetic linkage regions to some loci affecting important agronomic traits.

In our analysis, 146 putative selection sweeps were compared with previously reported spike related QTLs or markers based on the LD decay. As a result, 81 selective sweeps were located within the known spike related QTLs or markers (**Figure 1**, **Supplementary Table 8**). Primarily, 26 TKW related QTLs and one gene were found in the overlapped regions of 37 selective sweeps. Then, 35, 23, and 22 selective windows overlapped with 18 SL related QTLs, 15 KPS related QTLs and 9 SN related

TABLE 1 | Continued



QTLs, respectively. Only 8 sweeps overlapped with 5 SNPP related QTLs. Notably, 2 window clusters on chromosome 2A and 6B were also discovered by the two methods. The window cluster on 2A overlapped with the previously reported *Rht* gene *TaUBP24* (Liu J. et al., 2018). Meanwhile, the window cluster on 6B located in the confidence interval of previously reported QTLs for thousand kernel weight and spike length (Wang et al., 2011; Mir et al., 2012).

#### GWAS

The five spike related traits in four environments were used to perform GWAS. QQ-plots and Manhattan plots of the GWAS results are shown in **Figures 2A–G**, **Supplementary Figures 3–** 7. Fifty-one, Twenty-eight, Thirty-nine, Thirty, and Thirty-six significant SNPs were detected for SL, SN, KPS, TKW, and SNPP in all environments, respectively (**Supplementary Tables 9–13**).

Among these significant SNPs, 18 loci were detected in two or more environments. Meanwhile, 31 SNP clusters (two more SNP in one LD decay distance) were found, and five SNPs in one cluster were multi-trait loci. Clusters contained four more SNPs or multi-environment loci as candidates were further studied. Therefore, four SNP clusters correlated to spike length located on chromosome 2A, 2B, 2D, 6A, and three SNP clusters associated with kernels per spike (including one multi-trait loci which also correlated to spikelet number) located on chromosome 2A, 2B, 7B were analyzed (**Table 1**).

According to the types of these SNP clusters, haplotypes associated with spike related traits were detected. Meanwhile, the frequency of haplotypes distributed in germplasm was analyzed (**Figure 3**, **Supplementary Figure 8**). Two haplotypes associated with SL were identified for each cluster on chromosome 2A,



FIGURE 2 | GWAS results for spike length and kernels per spike. (A–D) Q-Q plot and Manhattan plot of SNPs associated with spike length and kernels per spike in two environments. (E–G) Manhattan plots of the SNP clusters on chromosomes 2B, 6A, and 7B. The SNP clusters on 7B represented cKPS/SN-7B. The dashed horizontal line depicted a significant threshold level. (H–J) The integrated physical map of SNP clusters and reported QTLs. The short arms of the chromosomes are located at the top. The physical positions of the marker loci are listed on the left side of the corresponding chromosomes. The names of the marker loci and QTLs are listed on the right side of the corresponding chromosomes. Red bar: SNP clusters; green bar: selection regions; black bar: reported QTLs.

7



spike length; SN, spikelet number; KPS, kernels per spike; L, landrace; C, cultivar.

2B, and 2D, respectively. Hsl-2A-2 and Hsl-2B-2, the advantage haplotypes, show significant longer spike than that of Hsl-2A-1 and Hsl-2B-1. However, the spike length of the two haplotypes Hsl-2D-1 and Hsl-2D-2 did not display statistically difference. Hsl-2A-1 contained 42 landraces and 86 cultivars, while the advantage haplotype Hsl-2A-2 included 38 landraces and only one cultivar. Similarly, 46 landraces and 79 cultivars belonged to Hsl-2B-1, while the advantage haplotype Hsl-2A-2 included 38 landraces and only one cultivar. Similarly, 46 landraces and 79 cultivars belonged to Hsl-2B-1, while the advantage haplotype Hsl-2B-2 contained 34 landraces and 8 cultivars. Four associated haplotypes were found for spike length related cluster on 6A (Hsl-6A-1 $\sim$ 4). Hsl-6A-4 showed significant longer spike than that of Hsl-6A-1/2/3. The advantage haplotype (Hsl-6A-4) included all the cultivars (87) and more than half of the landraces (57). Meanwhile, 15, 3, and 5 landraces

belong to Hsl-6A-1, Hsl-6A-2, and Hsl-6A-3, respectively. Three haplotypes associated with KPS were detected for clusters on 2A and 2B, respectively. Hkps-2A-3 shows significant more kernels per spike than that of Hkps-2A-1. However, the three haplotypes of Hkps-2B did not show significant difference. Hkps-2A-1 contained 9 landraces and 18 cultivars. Only 2 landraces and 3 cultivars belonged to Hkps-2A-2. The advantage haplotype, Hkps-2A-3, contained 69 landraces and 66 cultivars. The multi-trait cluster including two associated haplotypes with KPS/SN was detected on 7B (Hkps/sn-7B-1, Hkps/sn-7B-2). Forty-seven landraces and 83 cultivars were observed with hapoltype Hkps/sn-7B-1. None cultivars, but 33 landraces were found contains the advantage haplotype Hkps/sn-7B-2.

# SNP Clusters and Their Overlapped QTLs Were Integrated

The significantly associated SNPs detected in this research were compared with the previously reported QTLs, markers or genes based on the physical positions. Five clusters and one SNP related to SL found in this study were located within the known QTL regions. Two multienvironment loci associated with SN overlapped with the reported QTLs. Three related clusters and one related SNP to KPS were mapped on the reported QTL regions. Two clusters and five SNPs related to TKW overlapped with the previously reported QTLs. One cluster, one multi-environment loci and one SNP related to SNPP were located within reported QTL regions, respectively (Supplementary Tables 9–13). In addition, the TaGW2 homoeologues on 6D and 6A were physically covered one KPS and one TKW related SNP, respectively. Meanwhile, the Vrn-A1 gene was physically located to one SNPP related SNP (Supplementary Tables 11-13).

The SNP clusters mentioned above (located on the chromosome 2A, 2B, 2D, 6A, and 7B) and spike related QTLs reported previously were integrated on the physical maps (Figures 2H,J, Supplementary Figure 9, Supplementary Table 14). The results showed that SNP cluster qSL-2B (SNP cluster associated with spike length on 2B) was covered by the reported QTL *qSL-2B.7* (Figure 2H). *qKPS/SN-7B* (SNP cluster associated with KPS/SN on 7B) was covered by the reported QTLs qKPS-7B.5 and qKPS-7B.6 (Figure 2J). qSL-2A and qKPS-2A detected in this research overlapped with the reported QTL qSL-2A.2 and qKPS-2A.8, respectively. qKPS-2B found in this study was covered by the reported QTL qKPS-2B.12. the SNP cluster qSL-2D overlapped with the reported QTL qSL-2D.9 (Supplementary Figure 9). Meanwhile, the SNP cluster qSL-6A was close to the reported QTL qSL-6A.1, and qTKW-2D reported herein was close to the reported QTLs *qTKW-2D.23* and *qTKW-2D.25* (Supplementary Figure 9, Figure 2I). In addition, the artificial selection regions (on 2A, 2B, 2D, 6A, and 7B) covered by the reported QTLs were also integrated on the maps. Notably, the selection region Selection-2A.7 was covered by the cluster qSL-2A reported herein and the QTL qSL-2A.2 reported previously. Similarly, the selection-2D.2 and selection-2D.3 overlapped with the reported QTLs (qSL-2D.9 and qTKW-2D.25). Meanwhile, they were physically closed to the SNP clusters, qSL-2D and qTKW-2D.

### Candidate Genes for Artificial Selection and GWAS

Genes in the selected window clusters or windows detected by two methods were considered as candidate genes for artificial selection during wheat improvement. To annotate these genes, the wheat, rice and *Arabidopsis* functional gene databases were used. The result revealed that some of these genes were involved in selection-related agronomic traits such as stress response, development (seed size, seed number, seed maturation, seed dormancy, and flowering time) (Supplementary Figure 10A, Supplementary Table 15).

One Mb flanking regions of the above-mentioned SNP clusters that detected by GWAS were defined as candidate regions based on LD decay. Genes located in the candidate regions were identified as candidate genes. These genes were annotated by using the same methods mentioned above. The results showed that these genes were mainly involved in the function such as metabolism, transcription, stress response, development and so on (**Supplementary Figure 10B**, **Supplementary Table 16**).

#### KASP Assay

SNPs from these hapoltypes were used to develop KASP markers (Supplementary Table 3). A total of 276 wheat lines across south and north China were genotyped by these KASP markers (Supplementary Figure 11). The results demonstrated that genotypes from KASP test were identical to the chip assay. The frequency and significant difference of these haplotypes in germplasm were analyzed (Figure 4). Compared to Hsl-2B-1  $(9.41 \pm 1.83 \text{ cm})$  which contains 89 landraces and 139 cultivars, Hsl-2B-2 contains 45 landraces and shows significantly longer spike (11.034  $\pm$  1.906 cm). The average kernels per spike (53.554  $\pm$  11.77) and spikelet number (22.747  $\pm$  2.431) of Hkps/sn-7B-2, the advantage allele, showed significantly higher than that of Hkps/sn-7B-1 (kernels per spike: 50.054  $\pm$  10.12; spikelet number: 21.82 ± 2.218). Hkps/sn-7B-2 included 56 landraces and 16 cultivars, while 76 landraces and 124 cultivars were identified to Hkps/sn-7B-1. In addition, the spike length of south China lines was significantly longer than that of north China for Hsl-2B-1. The similar result was found in Hkps-7B-1, but the spikelet number of south China lines was significantly higher than that of north China for both haplotypes of Hsn-7B.

### DISCUSSION

Conventional breeding, mostly based on the phenotypic selection, has undergone several centuries. Despite the huge improvement of artificial selection, blindness, empirical, inefficiency and long time costs of conventional breeding blocked the wheat yield increasing. The wheat genome sequence, released on the IWGSC website, could promote the rapid improvement of cultivars by efficient using genetic resources and genomic breeding. Molecular breeding would lead the trend of technological development from SSR to SNP level (Liu et al., 2017). In consideration of the modularity of biological process, rational design modules resulting in predictable functions might become the key step for molecular breeding (Gavin et al., 2006; Silver et al., 2014). For example, 14 engineered CLV3 promoter alleles targeted editing by CRISPR/Cas9 causes a continuum of locule number variation in tomato (Somssich et al., 2016; Rodríguez-Leal et al., 2017).



**FIGURE 4** | Frequency of haplotypes detected by KASP markers in wheat germplasm (A–C) Frequency of haplotypes related to SL, SN/KPS on chromosome 2B and 7B, respectively. Extermun value and mean value of traits are displayed by the box plot. Statistical significance was determined by LSD test: \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01. *N* denoted the number of genotypes belonging to each haplotype. SL, spike length; SN, spikelet number; KPS, kernels per spike; L, landrace; C, cultivar; S, south China samples; N, north China samples; T, total samples.

# Wheat Yield Improvement Is the Main Aim of Artificial Selection

During the past several centuries, crop has undergone the domestication and selection in order to increase the vield. As a result, lots of advantage genes/hapoltypes were picked up and inherited. In this research, artificial selection signal analysis was conducted in the wheat natural population which contained landraces and cultivars. As the result, a lot of window clusters were detected for the selective sweep candidates that tended to occur in clusters (Gao et al., 2017). Fortunately, lots of candidate genes involved in improvement-related agronomic traits such as stress response, seed size, seed number, seed maturation, seed dormancy and flowering time were obtained in the selective sweep regions. The results suggest that increasing wheat yield, as the most powerful evolutionary force, has created superior genotypes by phenotype selection and fixed in cultivars such as bigger seed size, longer spike, shorter plant height, and more kernels number per spike (Yan et al., 2018).

To testify the usefulness of the selection analysis in the worldwide collection, the candidate sweep regions were compared with the previously reported QTLs, markers and genes related with the investigated traits. In total, 81 windows were overlapped with the known improvement agricultural related traits in different wheat populations from all over the world (**Figure 1, Supplementary Table 8**). Fortunately, two window clusters on 2A and 6B overlapped with the reported yield related gene *TaUBP24* (Liu K. et al., 2018) and QTL for thousand kernel weight and spike length (Wang et al., 2011; Mir et al., 2012), respectively. This would be the strong evidence for the general applicability of this method.

# SNP Clusters Related to Spike Traits and Overlapped QTLs Were Integrated

A lot of QTLs associated with spike related traits have been detected from genetic populations. These QTLs spread all over the 21 chromosomes (Liu K. et al., 2018). However, because of the genetic background and lack of genomic information, few of these QTLs have been used in wheat improvement. In this study, interested SNP clusters related to spike traits on chromosome 2A, 2B, 2D, 6A, and 7B detected by GWAS which were analyzed based on a broad genetic background. According to the genome sequence information, these SNP clusters were located on wheat genome. Meanwhile, at these regions, several QTLs associated with the same phenotype were found.

KPS is the key component of wheat yield and spikelet number is the main factor affected the kernels per spike (Liu K. et al., 2018). *qKPS/SN-7B*, the multi-trait locus located on 7B, significantly associated with both KPS and SN. Pleiotropy, a single gene or QTL associated with multi-trait, has been proved in many previous reports (Neumann et al., 2011). The haplotype Hkps/sn-7B-2 only contained landraces, which indicated the advantage haplotype has not been transit into cultivars and the necessary usage of the haplotype in breeding. The multitrait locus *qKPS/SN-7B* has been confirmed in previous studies and overlapped with *qKPS-7B.1*, *qKPS-7B.5*, and *qKPS-7B.6* (Wang et al., 2011; Cui et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2018). However, the QTL regions were too wide  $(270 \sim 571 \text{ Mb})$  to predict candidate genes. SNP cluster *qKPS/SN-7B* reported herein covered a very narrow region (0.37 Mb). Based on the LD decay, the candidate region contained only 55 candidate genes (**Supplementary Tables 14, 16**). The candidate gene, TraesCS7B01G456300, overlapped with the leading SNP encoded a BURP domain-containing protein. BURP genes broadly exist in plants, which have been proved to be the contributors for seed development, seed size, seed mass and seed number (Van Son et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2013). According to the results, TraesCS7B01G456300 which encoded the BURP domain-containing protein might be the candidate gene to control KPS and SN.

By the same way, advantage haplotype Hkps-2A-3 for the SNP cluster associated with KPS on 2A contained the majority landraces and cultivars, which indicated that the advantage haplotype has been effectively transit into modern cultivars. Compared with the reported QTLs associated with KPS on chromosome 2A, qKPS-2A.8 overlapped with the cluster (Jia et al., 2013). The QTL region defined by the flanking markers for qKPS-2A.8 was relatively narrow (42.9 Mb), but it was also difficult to detect the candidate genes. By contrast, the SNP cluster qKPS-2A covered region (0.01 Mb) together with the LD region only including 33 candidate genes (**Supplementary Tables 14, 16**).

Spike length as an indirect factor also affects KPS and plays important role in improving wheat yield (Guo et al., 2017). The advantage haplotypes of Hsl-2A and Hsl-2B contained only few cultivars, which mean the invalid transit into cultivars and the necessary usage of the two haplotypes in breeding. All modern cultivars contained this advantage haplotype Hsl-6A-4 which suggested that this haplotype has been effectively used in artificial selection. Spike length related QTLs on chromosome 2A, 2B, and 6A were integrated on a physical map. Interestingly, the SNP cluster qSL-2A was overlapped with reported QTL qSL-2A.2 (Liu et al., 2017) and annotated the selection signal Selection-2A.7. Among the candidate genes, there were two genes, TraesCS2A01G130200 and TraesCS2A01G130600, overlapped with the leading SNPs (Supplementary Table 16). The homologous genes of rice were OsHAD1 and OsGS1, respectively. Overexpresion of OsHAD1 in rice resulted in enhanced phosphatase activity and biomass (Pandey et al., 2017). Co-overexpression of OsGSA1 and OsGSA2 in rice could increase tiller number, panicle number, and grain filling, and result in yield improvement (James et al., 2018). According the results of rice, these two genes may be both important for wheat to improve the SL trait.

# Two Advantage Haplotypes for Wheat Yield Improvement

Detection of allelic variations is the first step to crop improvement and identification of advantage haplotype is crucial for breeding (Hou et al., 2014). Based on the GWAS results, several SNP clusters related to spike traits were detected and haplotypes were found in a 192 wheat collections. In order to use the advantage haplotypes, four pairs of KASP markers around Hsl-2B, Hsl-6A Hkps/sn-7B were successfully developed based on the SNPs. Larger wheat population including partial of the GWAS accessions and some northern China wheat germplasm was tested. The genotypes identified by KASP platform were consistent with the 90 K SNP chip which indicates KASP markers could be used for haplotypes detection (Tan et al., 2017).

According to the history of artificial selection, north China breeding paid more attention to spike number and south China is mainly on kernels per spike. This selection strategy is adapted to the humid environment as well as wheat disease which not usually occurred in north China (Kang et al., 2011). As a result, cultivars in south China show a larger spike (longer SL, higher SN, and KPS) than that of north China after the artificial selection. Hsl-2B-2, the advantage haplotype for increasing spike length on chromosome 2B, has not been detected in modern cultivars. Wheat lines contain this advantage haplotype show significant longer spike both in south and north China. After transition of this haplotype into the modern cultivars by using the KASP marker in breeding program, the spike length would be significantly increased in both south and north China. Hsn-7B-2, the advantage haplotype for increasing the spikelet number, has been partially transited into lines in north China but none into lines in south China. Meanwhile, both in south and north China, lines contain this advantage haplotype show significant higher spikelet number. Furthermore, for lines contain this advantage haplotype, the spikelet number in south China is significant more than that in north China. This result suggested transition of this haplotype in south cultivars could significantly increase their spikelet number, which similar to north China. Similar to Hsn-7B-2, the transition of Hkps-7B-2 into south cultivars, the advantage haplotype for increasing the kernels per spike, would significantly increase its KPS in our breeding program.

Hkps/sn-7B, multi-trait locus, detected in this research could both increase the spikelet number and kernels per spike. This result could be proved by the significantly correlation between kernels per spike and spikelet number (coevolution) during selection (Michel et al., 2018). The advantage haplotype of Hkps/sn-7B could be introduced into modern cultivar to increase kernels per spike and spikelet number once by KASP marker.

### CONCLUSION

In summary, seven SNP clusters involved in wheat spike traits were detected by selection signal analysis and GWAS. Based on the released wheat genome sequence, an integrated map which contains the SNP clusters and their overlapped/flanking QTLs was constructed. KASP markers to identify two advantage haplotypes were developed for increasing SL, KPS and SN in further breeding program.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

JL, BF, and ZX designed the research. JL, ZX, BF, XF, QZ, JC, FW, GJ, and LY conducted phenotype of the wheat population. JL analyzed the data and wrote the

manuscript; Funding was acquired by TW. BF and TW had primary responsibility for final content. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read and approved the submitted version.

#### FUNDING

This work was supported by grants from The National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2016YFD0102000) and the National Key Project of Transgenic Biologic Varieties Breeding of China (Grant No. 2016ZX08009003-004) and Youth Innovation Promotion Association, CAS.

#### REFERENCES

- Appels, R., Eversole, K., Feuillet, C., Keller, B., Rogers, J., Stein, N., et al. (2018). Shifting the limits in wheat research and breeding using a fully annotated reference genome. *Science* 361:eaar7191. doi: 10.1126/science.aar7191
- Azadi, A., Mardi, M., Hervan, E. M., Mohammadi, S. A., Moradi, F., Tabatabaee, M. T., et al. (2015). QTL mapping of yield and yield components under normal and salt-stress conditions in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* 33, 102–120. doi: 10.1007/s11105-014-0726-0
- Boden, S. A., Cavanagh, C., Cullis, B. R., Ramm, K., Greenwood, J., Finnegan, E. J., et al. (2015). *Ppd-1* is a key regulator of inflorescence architecture and paired spikelet development in wheat. *Nat. Plants* 1, 1–6. doi: 10.1038/nplants.2014.16
- Brachi, B., Morris, G. P., and Borevitz, J. O. (2011). Genome-wide association studies in plants: the missing heritability is in the field. *Genome Biol.* 12:232. doi: 10.1186/gb-2011-12-10-232
- Cao, K., Zhou, Z., Wang, Q., Guo, J., Zhao, P., Zhu, G., et al. (2016). Genomewide association study of 12 agronomic traits in peach. *Nat. Commun.* 7:13246. doi: 10.1038/ncomms13246
- Chang, J. Z., Zhang, J. A., Mao, X. G., Li, A., Jia, J. Z., and Jing, R. L. (2013). Polymorphism of TaSAP1-A1 and its association with agronomic traits in wheat. *Planta* 237, 1495–1508. doi: 10.1007/s00425-013-1860-x
- Cui, F., Zhang, N., Fan, X. L., Zhang, W., Zhao, C. H., Yang, L. J., et al. (2017). Utilization of a Wheat 660K SNP array-derived high-density genetic map for high-resolution mapping of a major QTL for kernel number. *Sci. Rep.* 7:3788. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-04028-6
- Cui, F., Zhao, C. H., Ding, A. M., Li, J., Wang, L., Li, X. F., et al. (2014). Construction of an integrative linkage map and QTL mapping of grain yieldrelated traits using three related wheat RIL populations. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 127, 659–675. doi: 10.1007/s00122-013-2249-8
- Fan, X. L., Cui, F., Zhao, C. H., Zhang, W., Yang, L. J., Zhao, X. Q., et al. (2015). QTLs for flag leaf size and their influence on yield-related traits in wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *Mol. Breed.* 35:24. doi: 10.1007/s11032-015-0205-9
- Feng, N., Song, G. Y., Guan, J. T., Chen, K., Jia, M. L., Huang, D. H., et al. (2017). Transcriptome profiling of wheat inflorescence development from spikelet initiation to floral patterning identified stage-specific regulatory genes. *Plant Physiol.* 174, 1779–1794. doi: 10.1104/pp.17.00310
- Gao, F. M., Wen, W. E., Liu, J. D., Rasheed, A., Yin, G. H., Xia, X. C., et al. (2015). Genome-wide linkage mapping of QTL for yield components, plant height and yield-related physiological traits in the chinese wheat cross Zhou 8425B/Chinese Spring. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6:1099. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.01099
- Gao, L., Zhao, G., Huang, D., and Jia, J. (2017). Candidate loci involved in domestication and improvement detected by a published 90K wheat SNP array. *Sci. Rep.* 7:44530. doi: 10.1038/srep44530
- Gavin, A. C., Aloy, P., Grandi, P., Krause, R., Boesche, M., Marzioch, M., et al. (2006). Proteome survey reveals modularity of the yeast cell machinery. *Nature* 440, 631–636. doi: 10.1038/nature04532
- Goff, S. A., Ricke, D., Lan, T. H., Presting, G., Wang, R. L., Dunn, M., et al. (2002). A draft sequence of the rice genome (*Oryza sativa* L. ssp japonica). *Science* 296, 92–100. doi: 10.1126/science.1068275

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Wuyun Yang for providing some of the wheat landraces seeds. The KASP assay was conducted by China Golden Marker (Beijing) Biotech Co., Ltd. The physical position information of 90K SNP chip was provided by the Triticeae Multi-omics Center.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2018. 01584/full#supplementary-material

- Guo, J., Shi, W. P., Zhang, Z., Cheng, J. Y., Sun, D. Z., Yu, J., et al. (2018). Association of yield-related traits in founder genotypes and derivatives of common wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *BMC Plant Biol.* 18:38. doi: 10.1186/s12870-018-1234-4
- Guo, Z. F., Chen, D. J., Alqudah, A. M., Roder, M. S., Ganal, M. W., and Schnurbusch, T. (2017). Genome-wide association analyses of 54 traits identified multiple loci for the determination of floret fertility in wheat. *New Phytol.* 214, 257–270. doi: 10.1111/nph.14342
- Hanif, M., Gao, F. M., Liu, J. D., Wen, W. E., Zhang, Y. J., Rasheed, A., et al. (2016). *TaTGW6-A1*, an ortholog of rice *TGW6*, is associated with grain weight and yield in bread wheat. *Mol. Breed.* 36, 1–11. doi: 10.1007/s11032-015-0425-z
- Hou, J., Jiang, Q. Y., Hao, C. Y., Wang, Y. Q., Zhang, H. N., and Zhang, X. Y. (2014). Global selection on sucrose synthase haplotypes during a century of wheat breeding. *Plant Physiol.* 164, 1918–1929. doi: 10.1104/pp.113. 232454
- Huang, X. Q., Cloutier, S., Lycar, L., Radovanovic, N., Humphreys, D. G., Noll, J. S., et al. (2006). Molecular detection of QTLs for agronomic and quality traits in a doubled haploid population derived from two Canadian wheats (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 113, 753–766. doi: 10.1007/s00122-006-0346-7
- James, D., Borphukan, B., Fartyal, D., Ram, B., Singh, J., Manna, M., et al. (2018). Concurrent overexpression of OsGS1;1 and OsGS2 genes in transgenic rice (Oryza sativa L.): impact on tolerance to abiotic stresses. Front. Plant Sci. 9:786. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00786
- Jia, H. Y., Wan, H. S., Yang, S. H., Zhang, Z. Z., Kong, Z. X., Xue, S. L., et al. (2013). Genetic dissection of yield-related traits in a recombinant inbred line population created using a key breeding parent in China's wheat breeding. *Theor. Appl. Genetics* 126, 2123–2139. doi: 10.1007/s00122-013-2123-8
- Jiang, Q. Y., Hou, J., Hao, C. Y., Wang, L. F., Ge, H. M., Dong, Y. S., et al. (2011). The wheat (*T. aestivum*) sucrose synthase 2 gene (*TaSus2*) active in endosperm development is associated with yield traits. *Funct. Integr. Genomics* 11, 49–61. doi: 10.1007/s10142-010-0188-x
- Jiang, Y. M., Jiang, Q. Y., Hao, C. Y., Hou, J., Wang, L. F., Zhang, H. N., et al. (2015). A yield-associated gene *TaCWI*, in wheat: its function, selection and evolution in global breeding revealed by haplotype analysis. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 128, 131–143. doi: 10.1007/s00122-014-2417-5
- Johnson, E. B., Nalam, V. J., Zemetra, R. S., and Riera-Lizarazu, O. (2008). Mapping the compactum locus in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and its relationship to other spike morphology genes of the Triticeae. *Euphytica* 163, 193–201. doi: 10.1007/s10681-007-9628-7
- Kang, H., Wang, Y., Fedak, G., Cao, W., Zhang, H., Fan, X., et al. (2011). Introgression of chromosome 3Ns from *Psathyrostachys huashanica* into wheat specifying resistance to stripe rust. *PLoS ONE* 6:e21802. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0021802
- Kaul, S., Koo, H. L., Jenkins, J., Rizzo, M., Rooney, T., Tallon, L. J., et al. (2000). Analysis of the genome sequence of the flowering plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature* 408, 796–815. doi: 10.1038/35048692
- Lee, H. S., Jung, J. U., Kang, C. S., Heo, H. Y., and Park, C. S. (2014). Mapping of QTL for yield and its related traits in a doubled haploid population of Korean wheat. *Plant Biotechnol. Rep.* 8, 443–454. doi: 10.1007/s11816-014-0337-0

- Li, C., Bai, G., Carver, B. F., Chao, S., and Wang, Z. (2016). Mapping quantitative trait loci for plant adaptation and morphology traits in wheat using single nucleotide polymorphisms. *Euphytica* 208, 299–312. doi: 10.1007/s10681-015-1594-x
- Lin, T., Zhu, G. T., Zhang, J. H., Xu, X. Y., Yu, Q. H., Zheng, Z., et al. (2014). Genomic analyses provide insights into the history of tomato breeding. *Nature Genetics* 46, 1220–1226. doi: 10.1038/ng.3117
- Lipka, A. E., Tian, F., Wang, Q. S., Peiffer, J., Li, M., Bradbury, P. J., et al. (2012). GAPIT: genome association and prediction integrated tool. *Bioinformatics* 28, 2397–2399. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts444
- Liu, G., Jia, L. J., Lu, L. H., Qin, D. D., Zhang, J. P., Guan, P. F., et al. (2014). Mapping QTLs of yield-related traits using RIL population derived from common wheat and Tibetan semi-wild wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 127, 2415–2432. doi: 10.1007/s00122-014-2387-7
- Liu, J., Feng, B., Xu, Z. B., Fan, X. L., Jiang, F., Jin, X. F., et al. (2018). A genomewide association study of wheat yield and quality-related traits in southwest China. *Mol. Breed.* 38:1. doi: 10.1007/s11032-017-0759-9
- Liu, K., Sun, X. X., Ning, T. Y., Duan, X. X., Wang, Q. L., Liu, T. T., et al. (2018). Genetic dissection of wheat panicle traits using linkage analysis and a genome-wide association study. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 131, 1073–1090. doi: 10.1007/s00122-018-3059-9
- Liu, Y., Lin, Y., Gao, S., Li, Z., Ma, J., Deng, M., et al. (2017). A genome-wide association study of 23 agronomic traits in Chinese wheat landraces. *Plant J.* 91, 861–873. doi: 10.1111/tpj.13614
- Lozada, D. N., Mason, R. E., Babar, M. A., Carver, B. F., Guedira, G. B., Merrill, K., et al. (2017). Association mapping reveals loci associated with multiple traits that affect grain yield and adaptation in soft winter wheat. *Euphytica* 213:222. doi: 10.1007/s10681-017-2005-2
- Luo, W., Ma, J., Zhou, X. H., Sun, M., Kong, X. C., Wei, Y. M., et al. (2016). Identification of quantitative trait loci controlling agronomic traits indicates breeding potential of tibetan semiwild wheat (*Triticum aestivum* ssp *tibetanum*). Crop Sci. 56, 2410–2420. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2015.11.0700
- Miao, L., Mao, X., Wang, J., Liu, Z., Zhang, B., Li, W., et al. (2017). Elite haplotypes of a protein kinase gene *TaSnRK2.3* associated with important agronomic traits in common wheat. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8:368. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00368
- Michel, S., Kummer, C., Gallee, M., Hellinger, J., Ametz, C., Akgol, B., et al. (2018). Improving the baking quality of bread wheat by genomic selection in early generations. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 131, 477–493. doi: 10.1007/s00122-017-2998-x
- Mir, R. R., Kumar, N., Jaiswal, V., Girdharwal, N., Prasad, M., Balyan, H. S., et al. (2012). Genetic dissection of grain weight in bread wheat through quantitative trait locus interval and association mapping. *Mol. Breed.* 29, 963–972. doi: 10.1007/s11032-011-9693-4
- Murray, M. G., and Thompson, W. F. (1980). Rapid isolation of high molecularweight plant DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 8, 4321–4325. doi: 10.1093/nar/8.19.4321
- Mwadzingeni, L., Shimelis, H., Rees, D. J. G., and Tsilo, T. J. (2017). Genome-wide association analysis of agronomic traits in wheat under drought-stressed and non-stressed conditions. *PLoS ONE* 12:e171692. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0171692
- Naruoka, Y., Talbert, L. E., Lanning, S. P., Blake, N. K., Martin, J. M., and Sherman, J. D. (2011). Identification of quantitative trait loci for productive tiller number and its relationship to agronomic traits in spring wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 123, 1043–1053. doi: 10.1007/s00122-011-1646-0
- Neumann, K., Kobiljski, B., Dencic, S., Varshney, R. K., and Borner, A. (2011). Genome-wide association mapping: a case study in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *Mol. Breed.* 27, 37–58. doi: 10.1007/s11032-010-9411-7
- Ogbonnaya, F. C., Rasheed, A., Okechukwu, E. C., Jighly, A., Makdis, F., Wuletaw, T., et al. (2017). Genome-wide association study for agronomic and physiological traits in spring wheat evaluated in a range of heat prone environments. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 130, 1819–1835. doi: 10.1007/s00122-017-2927-z
- Pandey, B. K., Mehra, P., Verma, L., Bhadouria, J., and Giri, J. (2017). OsHAD1, a haloacid dehalogenase-like APase, enhances phosphate accumulation. Plant Physiol. 174, 2316–2332. doi: 10.1104/pp.17.00571
- Patterson, E. L., Fleming, M. B., Kessler, K. C., Nissen, S. J., and Gaines, T. A. (2017). A KASP genotyping method to identify northern watermilfoil, eurasian watermilfoil, and their interspecific hybrids. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8:752. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00752

- Rasheed, A., Xia, X. C., Ogbonnaya, F., Mahmood, T., Zhang, Z. W., Mujeeb-Kazi, A., et al. (2014). Genome-wide association for grain morphology in synthetic hexaploid wheats using digital imaging analysis. *BMC Plant Biol.* 14:128. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-14-128
- Rodríguez-Leal, D., Lemmon, Z. H., Man, J., Bartlett, M. E., and Lippman, Z. B. (2017). Engineering quantitative trait variation for crop improvement by genome editing. *Cell* 171, 470–480.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.08.030
- Schulthess, A. W., Reif, J. C., Ling, J., Plieske, J., Kollers, S., Ebmeyer, E., et al. (2017). The roles of pleiotropy and close linkage as revealed by association mapping of yield and correlated traits of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *J. Exp. Botany* 68, 4089–4101. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erx214
- Shi, W. P., Hao, C. Y., Zhang, Y., Cheng, J. Y., Zhang, Z., Liu, J., et al. (2017). A combined association mapping and linkage analysis of kernel number per spike in common wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). Front. Plant Sci. 8:1412. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01412
- Si, L., Chen, J., Huang, X., Gong, H., Luo, J., Hou, Q., et al. (2016). OsSPL13 controls grain size in cultivated rice. Nat. Genet. 48, 447–456. doi: 10.1038/ng.3518
- Silver, P. A., Way, J. C., Arnold, F. H., and Meyerowitz, J. T. (2014). Synthetic biology: Engineering explored. *Nature* 509, 166–167. doi: 10.1038/509166a
- Simons, K. J., Fellers, J. P., Trick, H. N., Zhang, Z. C., Tai, Y. S., Gill, B. S., et al. (2006). Molecular characterization of the major wheat domestication gene Q. *Genetics* 172, 547–555. doi: 10.1534/genetics.105.044727
- Somssich, M., Je, B., Simon, R., and Jackson, D. (2016). CLAVATA-WUSCHEL signaling in the shoot meristem. *Development* 143, 3238–3248. doi: 10.1242/dev.133645
- Spielmeyer, W., and Richards, R. A. (2004). Comparative mapping of wheat chromosome 1AS which contains the tiller inhibition gene (*tin*) with rice chromosome 5S. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 109, 1303–1310. doi: 10.1007/s00122-004-1745-2
- Su, Z. Q., Hao, C. Y., Wang, L. F., Dong, Y. C., and Zhang, X. Y. (2011). Identification and development of a functional marker of *TaGW2* associated with grain weight in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 122, 211–223. doi: 10.1007/s00122-010-1437-z
- Suenaga, K., Khairallah, M., William, H. M., and Hoisington, D. A. (2005). A new intervarietal linkage map and its application for quantitative trait locus analysis of "gigas" features in bread wheat. *Genome* 48, 65–75. doi: 10.1139/g04-092
- Sun, C. W., Zhang, F. Y., Yan, X. F., Zhang, X. F., Dong, Z. D., Cui, D. Q., et al. (2017). Genome-wide association study for 13 agronomic traits reveals distribution of superior alleles in bread wheat from the Yellow and Huai Valley of China. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 15, 953–969. doi: 10.1111/pbi.12690
- Tan, C. T., Yu, H. J., Yang, Y., Xu, X. Y., Chen, M. S., Rudd, J. C., et al. (2017). Development and validation of KASP markers for the greenbug resistance gene *Gb7* and the Hessian fly resistance gene *H32* in wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 130, 1867–1884. doi: 10.1007/s00122-017-2930-4
- Van Son, L., Tiedemann, J., Rutten, T., Hillmer, S., Hinz, G., Zank, T., et al. (2009). The BURP domain protein AtUSPL1 of Arabidopsis thaliana is destined to the protein storage vacuoles and overexpression of the cognate gene distorts seed development. Plant Mol. Biol. 71, 319–329. doi: 10.1007/s11103-009-9526-6
- Voorrips, R. E. (2002). MapChart: software for the graphical presentation of linkage maps and QTLs. J. Heredity 93, 77–78. doi: 10.1093/jhered/93.1.77
- Wang, J., Chu, S. S., Zhang, H. R., Zhu, Y., Cheng, H., and Yu, D. Y. (2016). Development and application of a novel genome-wide SNP array reveals domestication history in soybean. *Sci. Rep.* 6:20728. doi: 10.1038/srep20728
- Wang, J. S., Liu, W. H., Wang, H., Li, L. H., Wu, J., Yang, X. M., et al. (2011). QTL mapping of yield-related traits in the wheat germplasm 3228. *Euphytica* 177, 277–292. doi: 10.1007/s10681-010-0267-z
- Wang, S. C., Wong, D. B., Forrest, K., Allen, A., Chao, S. M., Huang, B. E., et al. (2014). Characterization of polyploid wheat genomic diversity using a highdensity 90 000 single nucleotide polymorphism array. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 12, 787–796. doi: 10.1111/pbi.12183
- Wang, S. S., Zhang, X. F., Chen, F., and Cui, D. Q. (2015). A single-nucleotide polymorphism of *TaGS5* gene revealed its association with kernel weight in chinese bread wheat. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6:1166. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.01166
- Wang, X., Wang, H., Liu, S., Ferjani, A., Li, J., Yan, J., et al. (2016). Genetic variation in *ZmVPP1* contributes to drought tolerance in maize seedlings. *Nat. Genet.* 48:1233. doi: 10.1038/ng.3636

- Xu, B., Gou, J. Y., Li, F. G., Shangguan, X. X., Zhao, B., Yang, C. Q., et al. (2013). A cotton BURP domain protein interacts with alpha-expansin and their coexpression promotes plant growth and fruit production. *Mol. Plant* 6, 945–958. doi: 10.1093/mp/sss112
- Xu, X., Liu, X., Ge, S., Jensen, J. D., Hu, F. Y., Li, X., et al. (2012). Resequencing 50 accessions of cultivated and wild rice yields markers for identifying agronomically important genes. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 30, U105–U157. doi: 10.1038/nbt.2050
- Xu, Y. F., Li, S. S., Li, L. H., Ma, F. F., Fu, X. Y., Shi, Z. L., et al. (2017). QTL mapping for yield and photosynthetic related traits under different water regimes in wheat. *Mol. Breed.* 37:34. doi: 10.1007/s11032-016-0583-7
- Yan, J. K., Zhang, N. N., Wang, X. L., and Zhang, S. Q. (2018). Selection of yieldrelated traits for wheat breeding in semi-arid region. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* 20, 569–574. doi: 10.17957/IJAB/15.0523
- Yu, M., Mao, S. L., Hou, D. B., Chen, G. Y., Pu, Z. E., Li, W., et al. (2018). Analysis of contributors to grain yield in wheat at the individual quantitative trait locus level. *Plant Breed*. 137, 35–49. doi: 10.1111/pbr.12555
- Zhai, H. J., Feng, Z. Y., Du, X. F., Song, Y. E., Liu, X. Y., Qi, Z. Q., et al. (2018). A novel allele of TaGW2-A1 is located in a finely mapped QTL that increases grain weight but decreases grain number in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 131, 539–553. doi: 10.1007/s00122-017-3017-y
- Zhai, H. J., Feng, Z. Y., Li, J., Liu, X. Y., Xiao, S. H., Ni, Z. F., et al. (2016). QTL analysis of spike morphological traits and plant height in winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) using a high-density SNP and SSR-based linkage map. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7:1617. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01617
- Zhang, B., Liu, X., Xu, W., Chang, J., Li, A., Mao, X., et al. (2015). Novel function of a putative *MOC1* ortholog associated with spikelet number per spike in common wheat. *Sci. Rep.* 5:12211. doi: 10.1038/srep12211
- Zhang, D., Hao, C., Wang, L., and Zhang, X. (2012). Identifying loci influencing grain number by microsatellite screening in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Planta* 236, 1507–1517. doi: 10.1007/s00425-012-1708-9

- Zhang, L., Zhao, Y. L., Gao, L. F., Zhao, G. Y., Zhou, R. H., Zhang, B. S., et al. (2012). *TaCKX6-D1*, the ortholog of rice *OsCKX2*, is associated with grain weight in hexaploid wheat. *New Phytol.* 195, 574–584. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04194.x
- Zhang, Y. J., Liu, J. D., Xia, X. C., and He, Z. H. (2014). TaGS-D1, an ortholog of rice OsGS3, is associated with grain weight and grain length in common wheat. *Mol. Breed.* 34, 1097–1107. doi: 10.1007/s11032-014-0102-7
- Zhang, Z. G., Lv, G. D., Li, B., Wang, J. J., Zhao, Y., Kong, F. M., et al. (2017). Isolation and characterization of the *TaSnRK2.10* gene and its association with agronomic traits in wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *PLoS ONE* 12:e0174425. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0174425
- Zheng, J., Liu, H., Wang, Y., Wang, L., Chang, X., Jing, R., et al. (2014). TEF-7A, a transcript elongation factor gene, influences yield-related traits in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). J. Exp. Bot. 65, 5351–5365. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eru306
- Zhou, Y. P., Conway, B., Miller, D., Marshall, D., Cooper, A., Murphy, P., et al. (2017). Quantitative trait loci mapping for spike characteristics in hexaploid wheat. *Plant Genome* 10:2. doi: 10.3835/plantgenome2016.10.0101
- Zhou, Z., Jiang, Y., Wang, Z., Gou, Z., Lyu, J., Li, W., et al. (2015). Resequencing 302 wild and cultivated accessions identifies genes related to domestication and improvement in soybean. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 33, 408–414. doi: 10.1038/nbt.3096

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Liu, Xu, Fan, Zhou, Cao, Wang, Ji, Yang, Feng and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.