



### A Vacuolar Membrane Ferric-Chelate Reductase, OsFRO1, Alleviates Fe Toxicity in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

Lin Li<sup>1</sup>, Lingxiao Ye<sup>1,2</sup>, Qihui Kong<sup>1</sup> and Huixia Shou<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory of Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, College of Life Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China, <sup>2</sup> The Zhejiang University Affiliated 15<sup>st</sup> Middle School in Hangzhou, Hangzhou, China

Ferric reductase oxidase (FRO), the enzyme that reduced ferric iron [Fe (III)] into ferrous iron [Fe (II)], is known to play important roles in Fe absorption and homeostasis in plants that utilize a strategy I mechanism to obtain iron. Rice can use both strategies I and II for Fe uptake depending on the growth conditions. FRO is encoded by two genes in rice genome. Amino acid sequence alignment shows that OsFRO1 contains all necessary predicted motifs for a functional FRO enzyme, whereas OsFRO2 lacks a complete transmembrane domain at the N-terminal. Transient expression of OsFRO1: GFP protein fusion revealed that OsFRO1 is localized to the vacuolar membrane in rice protoplast. OsFRO1 is primarily expressed in leaves and transcript abundance was decreased under excess Fe conditions. Transgenic plants overexpressing OsFRO1 were more sensitive to Fe toxicity, in contrast RNA interference lines showed more tolerance to Fe excess stress. Furthermore, RNAi lines showed decreased Fe concentrations compared to wild type plants under Fe excess condition. Together these data show that OsFRO1 is involved in reducing ferric Fe into ferrous Fe in the vacuole, and makes the vacuolar stored Fe available to the cytoplasm through Fe (II) or chelated Fe (II) transporters. Under Fe excess condition, the downregulation of OsFRO1 in the RNAi plants reduced the amount of Fe (II) available for cytoplasm, to alleviate Fe excess toxicity. This indicates that OsFRO1 plays an important role to maintain Fe homeostasis between the cytoplasm and vacuole in rice.

### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Thomas J. Buckhout, Humboldt University of Berlin, Germany

#### Reviewed by:

Khurram Bashir, RIKEN, Japan Christian Dubos, Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA), France

> \*Correspondence: Huixia Shou huixia@zju.edu.cn

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Nutrition, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 16 February 2019 Accepted: 13 May 2019 Published: 04 June 2019

#### Citation:

Li L, Ye L, Kong Q and Shou H (2019) A Vacuolar Membrane Ferric-Chelate Reductase, OsFRO1, Alleviates Fe Toxicity in Rice (Oryza sativa L.). Front. Plant Sci. 10:700. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00700 Keywords: rice, ferric reductase oxidase, iron excess, vacuole, iron homeostasis

### INTRODUCTION

Iron (Fe) is an essential micronutrient for most living organisms. The transition between two oxidation states, the Fe (II) and Fe (III), makes it an indispensable element for multiple biological processes for plant growth (Connorton et al., 2017). Fe participates in various metabolic pathways including photosynthesis, respiration, chlorophyll biosynthesis, and nitrogen fixation (Kobayashi and Nishizawa, 2012; Briat et al., 2015). The redox properties also allow Fe to function as the catalytic component, in the form of heme or Fe–S clusters, in a wide variety of proteins (Guerinot and Yi, 1994).

Although abundant in the Earth's crust Fe bioavailability is strongly restricted by the insolubility of ferric oxide present in well-aerated or alkaline soils, which is not easily accessible for uptake by

1

plants (Mori, 1999). Fe deficiency retards plant growth and reduces crop yields, but excess Fe also cause toxicity to the plants (Halliwell and Gutteridge, 1992). Plants have two distinct Fe acquisition strategies to obtain Fe from the soil (Römheld and Marschner, 1986; Connorton et al., 2017). Non-grass plants, such as the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana, utilize strategy I, which is also known as the reducing strategy. When exposed to Fe-limiting conditions, plants secrete protons and phenolic compounds into the rhizosphere that lower the pH and enhance Fe (III) solubility (Santi and Schmidt, 2009). Fe (III) is reduced to Fe (II) by Ferric Reduction Oxidase 2 (FRO2) localized on the plasma membrane (Robinson et al., 1999). Afterward, Fe (II) is transported across the plasma membrane into the root epidermal cells by the iron-regulated transporter 1 (IRT1) (Eide et al., 1996; Varotto et al., 2002; Vert et al., 2002). Grass plants, such as barley and maize, utilize strategy II which is also called chelating strategy to take up Fe from the soil. In strategy II plants phytosiderophores (PS) are released into the rhizosphere (Kobayashi et al., 2010). After binding Fe (III) in the soil, Fe (III)-PS complexes are taken up by specific transporters belonging to the yellow stripe (YS) or YS like (YSL) family of proteins (Curie et al., 2001; Inoue et al., 2009). Rice has functional IRT1 and IRT2 that allow it to take up Fe (II) directly under submerge conditions (Ishimaru et al., 2006). In addition, rice can secrete phenolic compounds to chelate Fe (III) and solubilize apoplasmic Fe (Bashir et al., 2011; Ishimaru et al., 2011). Thus, rice is considered to use a mixed model for Fe uptake.

Under anaerobic conditions and low pH in flooded soils Fe is present mainly as soluble Fe (II) due to the low redox potential (Becker and Asch, 2005). Fe (II) can be taken up excessively by plant roots, resulting in cellular Fe overloaded, which can be toxic for plants. Fe toxicity has become a widespread nutrient stress affecting the growth of wetland rice, especially in Asia and West Africa (Sahrawat, 2004; Audebert and Fofana, 2009). In plant cells excess amount of Fe (II) contributes to the formation of hydroxyl radicals (·OH) and other reactive oxygen species (ROS) through the Fenton reaction (Fenton, 1984; Becana et al., 1998). These hydroxyl radicals can react with lipids, DNA and proteins, causing irreversible damage and oxidative stress (Briat et al., 1995; Fang et al., 2001). In rice the most obvious symptoms of Feoverloading stress are leaf bronzing (Asch et al., 2005; Becker and Asch, 2005). Moreover, excess Fe in the soil can damage the root uptake system and adversely affect the acquisition of other nutrients, such as phosphorus, zinc and magnesium, leading to reduced growth and yield loss and even death of plants (Sahrawat, 2004). Thus, the cellular Fe level must be tightly controlled to maintain Fe homeostasis in plants.

The mechanisms for Fe excess tolerance can be divided into three aspects: (1) Avoiding excess Fe accumulation – Fe deposits at the root surface as the Fe plaque and forms a physical barrier to exclude excess Fe uptake (Deng et al., 2010). Reduced Fe translocation from roots to shoots could be important to prevent oxidative stress in leaves. (2) Storing high Fe levels – Fe partitioning into different sub-cellular compartments, mainly apoplast and vacuoles, are crucial to alleviate Fe toxicity (Moore et al., 2014). Ferritins can store up to 4,000 Fe atoms and are considered essential for tolerance to excess Fe (Briat et al., 2010). (3) Scavenging of ROS by antioxidants – Plants may also utilize antioxidants such as ascorbate, glutathione, phenolics or antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), and catalase (CAT) to detoxify oxidative molecules (Fang et al., 2001; Wu et al., 2017).

A significantly amount of work has been done on Fedeficiency in plants. In contrast, the molecular aspects of Fe excess responses remain largely unknown. Several quantitative trait loci (OTL) co-localized on chromosome 1 were reported to be associated with tolerance to Fe excess stress in rice (Wu et al., 2014; Dufey et al., 2015). These results were also confirmed by genome-wide association study (GWAS) (Matthus et al., 2015). A transcriptomic study compared the expression profiling of rice seedlings after short- and long-term exposure to Fe excess (Quinet et al., 2012). It was found that more genes were up- or down-regulated after 3 days than after 3 weeks of Fe excess treatment. Transcriptomic analysis of rice in response to Fe deficiency and excess revealed that there is crosstalk between the two treatments and small open reading frames might play an important role in the response of plants to Fe deficiency and excess (Bashir et al., 2014). OsWRKY80 is the first reported transcription factor induced by Fe excess in plants (Ricachenevsky et al., 2010). However, the biological function of this gene is still under investigation.

Ferric reductase oxidase (FRO), the enzyme to reduce Fe (III) into Fe (II), is known to play important roles in Fe absorption and homeostasis in strategy I plants. There are two *FRO* genes in rice genome, but their function has not yet been characterized. In this study, the predicted amino acid sequence alignment shows that OsFRO1 contains all necessary motifs for a functional FRO enzyme. OsFRO1 is localized in the vacuolar membrane, which is different from other plant FRO proteins previously reported. Under Fe excess conditions, the transcript abundance of Os*FRO1* was decreased in abundance. Knockdown of *OsFRO1* by RNAi alleviated Fe toxicity in transgenic rice. This work indicates that OsFRO1 plays an important role to maintain Fe homeostasis in rice.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### **Plant Materials and Growth Conditions**

The rice cultivar (*Oryza sativa L.* ssp. *japonica* cv. *Dongjin*) was used for physiological experiments and rice transformations. Seeds were germinated in water for 3 days. After germination uniform seedlings were transferred to nutrient solution containing 1.425 mM NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, 0.323 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.513 mM K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 0.998 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 1.643 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.009 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.075 mM (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>6</sub>Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub>, 0.019 mM H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, 0.155 mM CuSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.152 mM ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, 125  $\mu$ M Fe (II)-EDTA, with pH 5.5 (Yoshida et al., 1976). For gene expression assay, 2-week old seedlings were transferred to normal, Fe deficient or excess nutrient solutions. The solution contains 0, 0.125 mM Fe or 1 mM Fe (II)-EDTA, respectively. Plants were harvested at 7 days after Fe treatment. For phenotypic analysis, 2-week-old

seedlings were grown in nutrient solution with 7 or 0.125 mM Fe (II)-EDTA for 3 days.

All the experiments were carried out in green house with a day/night temperature of 30°C/28°C and a 12 h photoperiod. The nutrient solution was changed every 3 days.

# Transient Expression of OsFRO1-GFP and OsFRO2-GFP Fusion Protein

To investigate the subcellular localization of OsFRO1 and OsFRO2, the coding sequences of *OsFRO1* and *OsFRO2* without a stop codon was amplified and cloned into pDEST/CGFP using the gateway system. Rice protoplasts were isolated from 2-week-old seedlings using cellulose R10 and macerozyme R10 as previously described (Ying et al., 2017). Two hundred micro liter of protoplast suspension was transformed with  $8\sim10~\mu$ g plasmid DNA using the PEG (polyethylene glycol)-mediated transformation method (Chen et al., 2011). After incubation at 25°C in the dark for 12 to 14 h, fluorescence images were captured using confocal microscopy.

### Vector Construction and Rice Transformation

For over-expression the full-length cDNA of Os*FRO1* was cloned into entry vector pENTR-D-TOPO. After sequencing the LR recombination reaction was performed between an attL-containing entry clone and an attR-containing destination vector pEarlyGate 303 to generate the overexpression (Os*FRO1*-Oe) vector. For RNA-interference vector, a 300 bp fragment from the Os*FRO1* coding region was amplified and subcloned into entry vector pDONR201 via BR reaction. Then the fragment was inserted into the destination vector pH7GWIWG2(I) in both a sense and anti-sense orientation to get the interference (Os*FRO1*-Ri) vector (Karimi et al., 2002). For expression pattern analysis, the 1800 bp upstream of the ATG start codon of Os*FRO1* was amplified and cloned into pBI101.3 to generate the P<sub>OsFRO1</sub>: GUS vector. All the corresponding primers are listed in **Supplementary Table S1**.

The Os*FRO1*-Oe, Os*FRO1*-Ri and P<sub>OsFRO1</sub>: GUS vectors were transformed into *Agrobacterium* strain EHA101 or EHA105. Transgenic rice plants for the three constructs were regenerated via *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation as described (Chen et al., 2003).

# Histochemical β-Glucuronidase (GUS) Staining

T1 transgenic seeds were germinated and grown in nutrient solution with 125  $\mu$ M Fe (II)-EDTA. Tissues were immersed immediately in staining solution with 1 mM X-Gluc (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-b-D-glucuronidase) overnight at 37°C. Afterward, roots were imbedded in 3% (w/v) agarose (Biowest, Spain). Samples were sectioned to a thickness of 30  $\mu$ m by vibrating microtome (VT 1000 S, Leica, Bensheim, Germany). The Spur's resin was used to imbed leaves. Sections of 10  $\mu$ m were cut and the images were observed under a microscope (Eclipse 90i, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan).

### Yeast Fe (III) Chelate Reductase Assay

For assaying Fe (III) reduction activity of OsFRO1 and OsFRO2, the full-length cDNA of OsFRO1, OsFRO2 and AtFRO2 were subcloned into pYES2.0 vector to generate pYES2.0-OsFRO1, pYES2.0-OsFRO2 and pYES2.0-AtFRO2, respectively. Constructs were transformed into *S. cerevisiae* wild-type strain BJ2168 using the lithium acetate method according to the manufacturer's manual. Yeast transformants were grown following the protocol described previously (Li et al., 2004). Cells were harvested in the mid-log phase, and Fe (III) chelate reductase activity was quantified based on the absorbance at 562 nm (Yi and Guerinot, 1996).

## Total RNA Isolation and Quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from the roots and shoots using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Shanghai, China), according to the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was synthesized from 2  $\mu$ g of total RNA using the PrimeScript<sup>TM</sup> RT reagent Kit (Takara Bio, Inc., Dalian, China). qRT-PCR were conducted using LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master Kit (Roche Diagnostics) on a LightCycler480 machine. The relative expression levels were normalized to the housekeeping gene *OsACTIN1* by the formula  $2^{-\Delta \Delta Ct}$ . The primers for qRT-PCR analysis are given in **Supplementary Table S1**.

### **Measurement of Element Concentration**

To determine the metal concentration in plants, root or shoot samples were dried for 3 days at 80°C. About 0.1 g dry weight was used for digestion. Metal concentration analysis was performed using inductively coupled plasma spectroscopy (ICP-MS, Agilent 7500ce, Santa Clara, CA, United States).

# Histochemical Staining for ROS Production

In situ detection of superoxide  $(O^{2-})$  in leaves was conducted using NBT staining as described (Höller et al., 2014). In brief, the expanded leaves were excised and immersed in staining solution containing 0.1% (w/v) NBT, 10 mM sodium azide, 50 mM potassium phosphate (pH 6.4). Vacuum infiltrate the leaves for 30 min until they were completely infiltrated. Afterward, incubate the leaves in 10 mL of staining solution (0.1% NBT) for 15 min. ROS formation was visualized by a scanner (CanoScan 9000F MarkII, Tokyo, Japan).

### RESULTS

# Identification of OsFRO1 From the Rice Genome

In *Arabidopsis thaliana* there are predicted to be eight *FRO* genes in the genome based on high sequence similarity with *AtFRO2* (Ling et al., 2005). In order to identify *FRO* genes of rice, BLAST searches against the rice genome database using the amino acid sequences of AtFROs were performed. Two putative proteins, named OsFRO1 (LOC\_Os04g36720)

and OsFRO2 (LOC\_Os04g48930) were found as ortyhologs of AtFROs. Phylogenic analysis of FROs in higher plants showed that OsFRO1 displayed higher similarity to AtFRO7, while OsFRO2 clustered together with three characterized FROs, PsFRO1 (Waters et al., 2002), LeFRO1 (Li et al., 2004), CsFRO1 (Waters et al., 2007) in a small group (Figure 1A). OsFRO1 and OsFRO2 have the highest similarity with AtFRO6 (60.9%) and AtFRO2 (58.5%) among the Arabidopsis FROs, respectively (Figure 1B).

Plant FROs were identified based on its sequence similarity to human phagocytic NADPH oxidase gp91phox and yeast ferricchelate reductases such as FREs (Dancis et al., 1990; Babior, 1995). Similar to FREs and gp91phox, AtFRO2 contains the two conserved motifs HPFT and GPYG that are associated with FAD and NADPH binding sites of the cofactor (Robinson et al., 1999). Amino acid sequence alignment of OsFROs with the AtFROs revealed that both OsFRO1 and OsFRO2 contained the two conservative motifs. The FAD binding site of OsFRO1 shared 100% identity with AtFRO6/7 (HPFS), whereas the FAD motif of OsFRO2 is "HPFT," identical to AtFRO2. The NADPH binding site of OsFRO1 is GPYS, identical to the other FROs, whereas that of OsFRO2 is GPYT, different from the functional Arabidopsis FROs analyzed (**Figure 1C**).

The THTMM program was used to predict the membrane topology of two OsFRO proteins, resulting in predictions of 10 transmembrane domains in OsFRO1 and 6 transmembrane domains in OsFRO2 (**Supplementary Figure S1**). OsFRO2 lacks complete transmembrane domains in its N-terminal and might not be functional. Thus, the study is focused on OsFRO1 for further investigation.

### **OsFRO1 Is Localized on the Tonoplast Membrane**

To determine the subcellular localization of OsFRO1 and OsFRO2 GFP was fused to the C terminus of OsFRO1 and OsFRO2 coding regions. The GFP-fusion constructs were transformed into rice protoplasts isolated from stems and leaves of etiolated seedlings. The green fluorescence signal of OsFRO1 was detected in the vacuolar membrane, whereas that of OsFRO2 located mainly in the cytoplasm (Figure 2). To further confirm the subcellular localization of OsFRO1, a vacuolar membrane marker, OsSPX-MFS3 (Wang et al., 2015), was coexpressed with OsFRO1 in rice protoplasts. The GFP signal mostly overlapped with the mCherry signal of the vacuolar membrane marker (Supplementary Figure S2). Noticeably, the subcellular localization of OsFRO1 was completely different with that of other FROs in plants, since it is the only FRO family member localized to tonoplast to our knowledge. This result indicated that OsFRO1 may play a novel role in Fe homeostasis.

### Expression Profile of OsFRO1

To investigate the spatial expression of *OsFRO1*, transgenic plants carrying the promoter region of *OsFRO1* fused to the *GUS* gene (P<sub>OsFRO1</sub>: GUS) were generated. GUS expression was observed in all tissues, including roots, stems, leaves, flowers and seeds

(Figure 3A). Cross-sections of leaves showed that no staining was observed in bulliform cells and part of epidermal cells (Figure 3B). In roots, GUS expression was mainly observed in the mature roots, but not at the young roots. Little staining was detected in root tips (Figure 3C). Cross-sections of roots exhibited that GUS expression was observed throughout root except the epidermal cells (Figure 3D). In the floral organs, GUS was expressed in stigma and pollen (Figures 3E–G). In mature seeds, GUS expression was detected in the glumes (Figure 3H). One day after germination, GUS expression was also detected in the endosperm (Figures 3I,J).

To gain further insight into the expression pattern of Os*FRO1* the transcript abundance of Os*FRO1* was analyzed in leaves and roots. Results showed that the basal level of Os*FRO1* in leaves was 1000 times as high as that in roots (**Figure 4A**). Similar spatial expression pattern can be found from the microarray data retrieved from GENEVESTIGATOR (**Supplementary Figure S3**). While the mRNA abundance of Os*FRO1* was not affected by Fe deficiency, it is greatly inhibited when plants exposed to solution culture containing excess Fe (**Figure 4B**). In addition, GUS staining of the P<sub>FRO1</sub>: GUS transgenic plants showed that excess Fe supply significantly suppressed the GUS activity in old leaves, but not in the young leaves (**Figure 4C**).

### Knock Down of *OsFRO1* Resulted in More Tolerance to Fe Toxicity in Rice Plants

To determine the biological function of OsFRO1 in Fe homeostasis, *OsFRO1* overexpression (Oe) or the RNA interference (Ri) transgenic lines were generated and the transcript abundance of Os*FRO1* in the Oe and Ri plants was determined by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analyses. The *OsFRO1* transcript abundance were 10–40 times higher in the Oe plants, or 2–5 times lower in the Ri plants, compared to that in the wild type (WT) plants (**Supplementary Figure S4**). Two independent transgenic lines of each construct were selected for further experimental analysis, i.e., Oe-1, Oe-3, Ri-1, and Ri-3.

To evaluate the effect of OsFRO1 on Fe homeostasis, the transgenic plants were grown under Fe deficiency or Fe excess conditions. Under normal or Fe deficient conditions, there was no significant difference in growth performance and Fe concentrations between the transgenic plants and WT control (**Supplementary Figure S5**). When treated with excess Fe for 3 days, all the plants showed bronzing in the leaves, and Fe plaques in roots, which are common symptoms of Fe overaccumulation. The 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> leaves of the WT and transgenic plants were detached and compared. As shown in **Figure 5A**, *OsFRO1*-Ri lines displayed less bronzing symptom in the leaves compared to WT and Oe plants. No significant differences in plant length or fresh weight were observed between the transgenic plants and WT control (**Figure 5B**).

No significant difference was found in leaf and root Fe concentrations among the WT, Ri, and Oe plants when grown in normal Fe supply condition (**Figure 6A**). Under excess Fe supply condition, the leaf Fe concentrations of the Ri-1 and Ri-3 plants

A								
C       AFRO1 OFFRO1 OFRO1	Α	B	3					
OPEROZ       ALFROZ		PsFRO1 CsFRO1		OsFRO1	]			
AFRO2 AFRO2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.		OsFRO2 AtFRO8	OsFRO2	52.8%	OsFRO2			
ALFROG       ALFROG         0.2       ALFROG         0.3       ALFROG         0.4       ALFROG         0.3       ALFROG         0.4       ALFROG         0.4       ALFROG         0.4       ALFROG         0.5       ALFROG         0.6       ALFROG         0.7       ALFROG         0.8       ALFROG         0.7       ALFROG         0.8       ALFROG         0.7       ALFROG         0.7       ALFROG         0.7       ALFROG         0.7       MEDIATE HALFSLOGG         0.7       MEDIATE HALFSLOGG <t< th=""><th></th><th>AtFRO7 OsFRO1</th><th>AtFRO2</th><th>41.6%</th><th>58.5%</th><th>AtFRO2</th><th>]</th><th></th></t<>		AtFRO7 OsFRO1	AtFRO2	41.6%	58.5%	AtFRO2	]	
O.2         AFRO2         AFRO3         A		AtFRO6	AtFRO6	60.9%	49.1%	55.3%	AtFRO6	]
C ACERCS ACERCS ACERC AC			AtFR07	59.6%	49.7%	56.7%	95.9%	AtFR07
C		AFRO1						1
C       ALEBOO       MIELENSINGGSNESAG.LEEREDNIKGVIFIAMU ILLCTURIN INNYTTIARTWOLPHARKVETSY FAANTTHEING ALEDNIKU AND ALEDNIK ALEDNI	_							
AFFOG WIENTEHLSKLESSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	C	AtFRO2MEIEKSNNGGSNPSAGEEFKDMIKGVTKFLMMV	IFLGTIMLWIM	MPTLT <mark>Y</mark> RTKWLE	PHLRIKFGT	STYFGATGTTL	MYMFPMMVVA	C 87
ALTROO IMUCHETELISKELSSESSSSSSSVUVSLEMENTER/VSLEME		AtFRO6NDDYETPLLSKDSSSSSSSVITSSLKWILKV	VMSMIFVTWVV	FLMMMYPEQLGI	DFLTFVSS	KTFLGT <mark>TG</mark> TMFI	IFSCPILVIS	V 84
OBJECTION       DEPENDENCE CONCERNMENT OF ALL STATEMENT OF ALL STATE		AtFRO7MDDHETPLLSKDLSSSSSSSSSSSVVVSSLKWILKVV	VMSVIFVT <mark>W</mark> VVI	FL.MMYPGSLGI	QILTNWRAISS	NTLFGLTGSMFI	LIFSG <mark>P</mark> ILVIA	I 93
Consensus       I       II         ALTROC       MCVMUNTHENESS		OSFRO1 MTPSMMAQERGE <mark>PLL</mark> QSGNGAAGGGAKGWPPPALA <mark>R</mark> TVLK <mark>W</mark> I OSFRO2	LMWAVFLTWAA	AI.FF <mark>YP</mark> TKPAC	DAFEGWMAATK	QSLFGITGSIFI	LAFSA <mark>P</mark> ILIVA	A 97
AFFOC LICENTIFICATION DEFINITION OF A CONTRACT AND		Consensus	т				······	
A PROC LICOVELEPENENSSEMILTEETINGGVUS GENERALMA PELEVING TELEAN VALLE CITIC PESSAANTERSA AAFFOO LICOVERSAANTERSAAN					_	_	ш	_
A TERO MESSALTISELAVIAL ALVANSALTANA ALVANSA AL		AtFRO2 LGCVYLHFKNRKSPHHIDRETKGGVWSKLRKFMLVKGFLGIVSV	VTDITFLAMFV	ALLLWCFITYLF	RNSFATITPKSA	AAHDESLWQAKI	ESAALRIGLI	G 187
GerRol BAYWESAFESDHIMVEMALTICENLED STORE STAND TOTOL STYLE STYLE STUDIES (STREET, STEREST INTERSTITEST STEREST INTERSTITEST STATEST ST		ATTROS LASLYLIISGEDKVFIKKKISKFPRFRLWIFPVLVLGEFCVVS	AAPFLGIMVES	VEELWSIIAIII VEELWSIIAIII	RNLDLLEKEHV	LP. NNRSILL		G 182 G 191
OPFROI		OSFRO1 LAYVYISAFPSDHIHVEKNKLKTLCFRLWTFEVLVDGFFGVVSA	AVEFIGIVLEI	VYVVYSMTYYAV	ESVSLISKEGO	ISLTYSELL	YIIGLREGSI	G 195
Consensust       p lv gp v c       I       I       I c g d         ARFROC       NIGLAFELE VARGE STIPATION WALL THE STIPATION WALL THE STAPATON W		OsfRO2MAAWRREVLVRGFLGIVT(	GIÐLAFFLLFL	ALLV <mark>W</mark> SYSA <mark>F</mark> IN	NLDFSKIHVKP.	VEKMWQAKI	DRAALRIGH <mark>V</mark>	G 71
ALFROC IN CLARENE VARGE SEP PANGLES DESIGNATION OF ALE THEORY WE ALE THEORY WE ALE THEORY WE ALE THEORY OF ALE		Consensus plv gp g v	e I			1	l Irg	g
AFROG ILSVERIGE TERS THE TOP BATTLE WITH THE TOP STREET WORK IN TO STREET WITH THE ALGORIZATION WE TO COLLETT STATES WE STREET WITH THE STREET WITH STREET		AT FRO2 NTO LAFLET EVARGEST PAMOLTSESS IN YHTWLCHWWAT FO		ASM. HETSOMIN	WDTKGVSNLAG	FTAT AAGT MMM	TYPE	F 286
ALFRO7       LLEWINDIGUESSE FUNCTIONED ATTREE WIGHTIGTE STELECTVVGTTCOCULLIEDERATIGAVERCYTEUN STELTVENNER       25         OFFO1       LFCNLE VYSGE VALUE INTERATIONE WIGHTIGTE STELECTVVGTTCALLIEDERATIGAVERCYTEUN STELEVENDESTE       25         OSFRO3       SECCHER VALGESEN ENGLIGHE ATTREE WIGHTIGTE STELECTVVGTTCALSTERULIEDERATIGAVERCYTEUN STELEVENDESTE       25         OSFRO3       SECCHER VALGESEN ENGLIGHE ATTREE WIGHTIGTE STELECTVVGTTERATION STELEVENDESTE       25         Consensus       CILE PYSGE VALUE VSGE VALUE TO THE ATTREE WIGHTIGTE STELEVENDESTE       21         ACFRO3       SECCHER VALUE STERATIONE VALUE TO THE ATTREE VALUE TO TH		AtFRO6 LLCMVFLFLFISRGSILLRLIDIPFEHATRYHVWLGHITMAFF	SLHGLCYVVGW	IIQGQLLELIFS	WNAIGIAILFO	VISLVAGLLMW	TSLHTVERNY	F 282
OGFRO1       EFENALETELEVERGE VERKINGTIGETERATIVE VERKINGALETELEOUVERUNGAST. DOTHEMILETERATIONAL FUNCTIONAL PERKENCET       25         OGFRO2       SECALITY VARASS VERKINGTIGETERASINE VERKING LIESTANDEN VERKINGERAST. DOTHEMILETERAST. DOTHEMILETAST. DOTHEMICUST. DOTHEMILETAST. DOTHEMICUST. DOTHEMICUST. DOTHEMICUST. DOTHEMICUST. DOTHEMICUST. DOTHEMICUST. DOTHEMILETAST. DOTHEMICUST. DOTHE		AtFRO7 LLCMVFLF <mark>LFISRGS</mark> ILL <mark>RLI</mark> DIPFEHATRYH <mark>V</mark> WLG <mark>H</mark> ITMTFFS	SLHGLCYVVGW	TIQGQLLELLFE	WKAT <mark>GI</mark> AVLFG	VISLVAGLLMW	/TS <mark>LHTVR</mark> KNY	F 291
OPERO1       SINCARADALIVATION OF THE ADDART AND ADDARDALIVATION OF THE ADDARDALIVATION OF THE ADDARDALIVATION OF THE ADDARDALISM AND ADDED ADDARDALISM AND ADDARDALIS		OSFRO1 LFCMAFLFLFVSRGSVLLRLIDIPFEHATRYHVWLGHLTMALF1	TLHGLCY <mark>VIAW</mark>	SLE <mark>GNLL</mark> GELAA	WKEI <mark>GVANLF</mark> G	VISLAAGLLMW	TSLHPVRKTY	F 295
ALERO2       EVENT MATTERNET VEVET SET STALLPERT BLACKEN ALLAR BLACKEN ALL		OSFRO2 SFCCARDEREVARESSON PLIGITSPASIKWEVNICHING	TAHGLCY IV FW	AST.DQIHEMLF	WTRTKVANVFO	ELANLSCHVMM	ATAL PR <mark>VRROM</mark>	F 170 f
ALFRO2       EMERGENDERVLEVELVELSESSTALLPERVELVELDENDERVELVERVELVELSENEVELVELSENEVELVELSENEVELVELSENEVERVELVELSENEVERVELVE		IV V	ngroy w		" y	VI	· ·	-
AFRO6       ELEMATECHALMATIVELALHODIMESTVAGETELETIDENERSICAE RETURNERSEDENTEINUS EPENNENNALE FEELOVRELEAMONEDESS       33         AFRO7       SEFENE (LAURAWALLAHODIMESTVAGETELETIDENERSICAE RETURNERSEDENTEINUS EPENNENNALE FEELOVRELEAMONEDESS       33         OSFRO1       EFENERALETINUS VELAHODIMESTVAGETELETIDENERSICAE VENUS RELECTEINUS EPENNENNALE FEELOVRELEAMONEDESS       33         OSFRO1       EFENERALETINUS VELAHODIFIESE SAGPIELEMIDE ARRAGE REVUENTS RESERCETINAL EFELOVRELEAMONEDESS       33         OSFRO1       EFENERALETINUS VELAHODIFIESE SAGPIELEMIDE REVUENCES REVERTINUS RELECTIONICS ENDITATS (REPORTS)       33         Consensuse für nig       nvg       rd       lr f q r v a       el k s f s l whpf         AFERO1       SEXILEPEKLEIVIEKEENSTKE HOLSNITERENDOLITIESYRKTTICVE EPENERNUS VARE GETEE ISVIRDIATS (REFO       46         AFERO1       SEXILEPEKLEIVIEKEENSTKE HOLSNITERENDOLITIESYRKTTICVE EPENERNUS VARE GETEE ISVIRDIATS (REFO       46         AFERO1       SEXILEPEKLEIVIEKEENSTKE NOLSNITERENDOLITIESENKETTIOVIE EPENERNUS VARE GETEE ISVIRDIATERENDOLATIERENDOLITIESENKETTIOVIE EPENERNUS VARE GETEE ISVIRDIATS (REFO       46         AFERO1       SEXILEPEKLENTIENUS VARE GETEEL STUTIENUS EPENERNUS VARE GETEELISTENDIE HEKENERNE (REFO       46         AFERO1       SEXILEPERLEVENSENSENSENSENSENSENSENSENSENSENSENSENSE		AtFRO2 DVFFYTHYLYIVEMLFFVLHVGISFSFIALPGFYIFLVDRFLRI	FLO <mark>SR</mark> ENVRLL	AARILPS <mark>D</mark> TMEI	TF <mark>S</mark> KNSKLV <mark>Y</mark> S	PTSIMFVNIPSI	SKLOVHPFT	T 386
ACRO       STATE CAT IS WITH A PAY OF LAP WORT BALL AND A CRAVELING CRAVELING CALL IN A CRAVELING CALL IN A CRAVELING CALL AND CRAVEL AND CRAVELING CALL AND CRAVELING CALL AND CRAVEL		AtFRO6 DIFFYTHOLYIVFIVFIALHVGDYMFSIVAGGIFLFILDRFLR	FCCSRRTVDVI	SAKSLPCGTLEI	VLSKPPNMRYN VLSKPPNMRYN	ALSFIFLOVREI	SWLOWHPFS	S 382
OSFRO2       DIFERAL HALE LULFAILING VAFIC SILPOVEL MYDETHER LOS VENELUSE RILACIA VENEL SPHILTHSPETTY INPOUR ROTHER TT       27         Consensuse ffy       n y       i       d lrfg y v       a       el k       s f       s l whpf         ALFRO2       SESKLEPEKLSIVIE KEERSTEHHORLS       SSDQIDRLAVSUEGYPERAS. ADE RHEAP VAN GE SETHE ISVIRULIATS. OKETO       47         ALFRO3       SESKLEPEKLSIVIE KEERSTEHHORLS       SSDQIDRLAVSUEGYPERANDULISPOSYPEKTITO VIEGPEGES. SVEHAVINULAGE TGHEFFAILSDILHERKROCKAG       46         ALFRO3       SESKLEPEKLSIVIE KEERSTEHHORLS       SSDQIDRLAVSUEGYPERANDULISPOSYPEKTITO VIEGPEGES. SVEHAVINULAGE TGHEFFAILSDILHERKROCKAG       46         ALFRO3       SEPLOGNHAVALTEVIEGENTAKERODUSTIPESYPEKTITO VIEGPEGES. SVEHAVINULAGE TGHEFFAILSDILHERKROCKAG       46         OSFRO1       SEPLOGNHAVALTEVIEGENTAKERODUSTIPESYPEKTITO VIEGPEGES. SVEHAVINULAGE TGHEFFAILSDILHERKROCKAG       46         OSFRO1       SESLEPERLSUVVERARENDUSTIPES.       LPESQFERHIDOVIEGES. SPEEDENTAVINULAGE TGHEFFAILSDILHERKROCKAG       46         OSFRO1       SSSLEPERLSUVVERARENDELSUNARIENTETS.       LPESQFERHIDOVIEGES.       SETHESSENTETSVERTHERES       47         ALFRO7       KIPKITILGA FRESSEISHDUVELSESTISSUUTES.       LPESQFERHIDOVIEGENES KEININGHERVERSUUTESCH SUUTESCH SUU		OSFRO1 PLFFYTHOLYIIRVVFLAFHVGDFIFSFSAGPIFLFMIDREIR	FWCCRAKVDII	SASCRECGILLEI	VESKPPNMRIN	ALSFIEVOVREI	SELEVHEESV	5 391 S 395
Consensuse ffy h ly i       Ng       f dr lrf q r v a       el k s f s l whpf         AtFRO2       SSKLEPEKLSTVEKEGKSTKHQRLSSSDQIDRLAVSVEGEVGAS.ADER RHEAFVMVCGGSGITEEISVIRDIIATS.QKETC       47         AtFRO3       SSLDGNHHVAVLEVUGGTAKURDCLSNLYEAENDDLISPCSYFKITTOVEGEVGES.PYHAPENVLVAGGEGITEEFAILSDILHEKRDGKAC       48         AtFRO3       SSLDGNHHVAVLEVUGGTAKURDCLSNLYEAENDDLISPCSYFKITTOVEGEVGES.PYHAPENVLVAGGEGITEEFAILSDILHEKRDGKAC       48         AtFRO3       SSLDGNHHVAVLEVUGGTAKURDCLSTLYEAENDDLISPCSYFKITTOVEGEVGES.PYHAPENVLVAGGEGITEEFAILSDILHEKRDGKAC       49         OSFRO1       SSLDGNHHVAVLEVUGGTAKURDCLSTLYEAENDDLISPCSYFKITTOVEGEVGES.PYHAPENVLVAGGEGITEEFAILSDILHEKRDGKAC       49         OSFRO1       SSLDGNHVMALIKVUGGTAKURDCLSTLYEAENDDLISPCSYFKITTOVEGEVGEKS.PYHAPENVLVAGGEGITEEFAILSDILHEKRDGKAC       49         OSFRO1       SSLDGNHVMALIKVUGSTLKERT       ADEFGRUESESTERTIOVEGEVGEVS.PYHAPENVLVAGGEGEGEITEEFAILSDILHEKRDGKAC       49         OSFRO1       SSLDEPALSVVWERAENCERTETES       ADEFGRUESESTERTIOVEGEVGEVGEVGEVGEVGEVGEVGEVGEVGEVGEVGEVGE		OsfRO2 ELFFYAHHLYALFLVLFALHVGVAFFCSILPGVFLFMVDRYLR	FLOSRVRVRLV	SARL <mark>LACD</mark> AVEI	NFCKSPHLTHS	PMSTVFINVPCV	SRLOWHPFT	T 270
ALFRO2       SSKLEPERLISTVIKELE ØSTKEH ORLS		Consensuse ffy h ly t hvg f dr lr:	fqr v	a el	l k	s f	s l whpf	
ALTROG       SSPLIGNHHVAVLEVICGUTAKURDULSNLYFAENQDULSVGSYFKITTOVIGYG HES. FYHAYEN FULVAGG IGITEBFAILSULHRKRDCRAC       44         ALTROG       SSPLIGNHHVAVLEVICGUTAKURDULSVLYFAENQDULSVGSYFKITTOVIGYG HES. FYHAYEN FULVAGG IGITEBFAILSULHRKRDCRAC       45         ALTROG       SSPLIGNHHVAVLEVICGUTAKURDULSVLYFAENQDULSVESTERTITOVIGYG HES. FYHAYEN FULVAGG IGITEBFAILSULHRKRDCRAC       45         OSFRO1       SSPLIGNHHVAVLEVICGUTAKURDULSTERTER       ALTROG       11       00       11       00       11       00       11       00       11       00       11       00       11       00       11       00       11       00       11       00       11       00       11       00       11       10       01       11       10       01       11       10       01       11       10       01       11       10       01       11       10       01       11       10       01       11       10       01       11       10       10       11       10       10       11       10       10       11       10       10       11       10       10       11       10       10       11       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10			DOTORTAVS		PHENTYMUCCO	SCITERIS	TTATS OFFT	C 472
AtFRO7       SSPLDGNHHVAVLEKVLEGUTAKIRDOLSTLYEAENCDOLISPESYFKITTOVEGFUGES.PYHAYENUVLAGE IGT FFALLSDILHRKRDGKDC       499         OSFRO1       SSPMDGRYHMSILLKVLEGUTAKIRDOLSTLYEAENCDOLISPESYFKITTOVEGFUGES.PYHAMEN TLVAGE IGT FFALLSDILHRKRDGKDC       499         OSFRO1       SSENDERSKYMSILLKVLEGUTAKIRDOLSTLYEAENCDOLISPESYFKITTOVEGFUSES.PYHAMEN TLVAGE IGT FFALLSDILHRKRDGKDC       499         OSFRO1       SSENDERSKYMSILLKVLEGUTAKIRDOLSTLYEAENCDOLISPESYFKITTOVEGFUS LATPASFUCURS VINTSGE GUT FFISURELVERSGTAAEA       360         Consensuss       k g w kl       vegpy       1 g g if         AtFRO2       KIFKITLICAFEKSSEISKIDLVLPLSGLETELSSINIKTEAFINGONSUKTEALASSFLIFM       57         AtFRO3       LESKVLVWAIARSDELSI SAIDIPS.ICPFFSKINLBHIYTMRQSEPELEDEMVHKVVHPSVKLPRTNGCMSVLVETGDNINSGLVILIGTIEFI       58         OSFRO1       MEKNVLVLMAINSDELSI SAIDIPS.ICPFFSKINLBHIYTMRQSEPELEDEMVHKVVHPSVKLPRTNGCMSVLVETGDNINSGLVILIGTIEFI       58         OSFRO1       MEKNVLVLMSVERNELSI SAVDAGF.ISSSVSDKIHLDHARVERSES.VPSAGDVALAFFNESKTPWINGCMSVLVETGDNINSGLVILIGTISFI       58         OSFRO1       MEKNVLVLMSVERNELSI SAVDAGF.ISSSVSDKIHLDHARVERSES       VESAGDVALAFFNESKSLSD.SSSRSKSKILSISS       58         OSFRO2       ATFSILLAVETSADIAHUDLVPASGESDIS.RELEFTSVERVERVERVERVESKOVONVERPSVESKRENSVLEGUNGUNFNAGMYLAASTLEFT       58       58         OSFRO1       MEKNVLVINSVERNELSI SAVDAGF.ISSSVSDKIHLDHARVERVERS       1 t       58       1 t          ALFRO6		AtFRO6 SSPLDGNHHVAVLIKVLGGNTAKLRDQLSNLYEAENQDQLISP(	QSYPKITTOVI (	SPYGHES.PYHI	AYENIVLVAGO	IGITPFFAILSI	IL HRKRDGKA	C 481
OsFRO1       SEMDGRYHMSILTEVTESTER GITTDACEGGRNGSESETGRITACH GENCES.PYERMYENTILVAGEIGESELALISDIIHRIEGEREC       49         OsFRO2       SESSLEPDRLSVVVRAGENTERNYETISSLPPSQFGHLDVSVEGPSCATPASPECTISUVMISGEGETEDISVNREGENELVERSGTAAEA       36         Consensuss       k g w kl       vegpy       1       gd gi pf         AtFRO2       KIPKITLICAFERESEISM DLVLPLSGLETELSSDINIKTEAFINHUND.AGDEAKAGKIKTINFKESLSI.GSISSLEPNSUNIGATLASSFLIFM       57         AtFRO6       LPSKVLVWAIGNEDELSLISAIDIPS.ICPFFEKKINIEHHIVUR OSEPELEDGM/HKVVHPSVKLPRINGCSMSVLVGTGDNIRSGLVLISTIGFI       58         OSFRO1       LPSKVLVWAIGNEDELSLISAIDIPS.ICPFFEKKINIEHHIVUR OSEPELEDGM/HKVVHPSVKLPRINGCSMSVLVGTGDNIRSGLVLISTIGFI       58         OSFRO1       LPSKVLVWAIGNEDELSLISAIDIPS.ICHFFEKKINIEHHIVUR OSEPELEDGM/HKVVHPSVKLPRINGCSMSVLVGTGDNIRSGLVLISTIGFI       58         OSFRO1       MEKNVLVINSKKENELSLISAVDAGE.ISSSVDKLHLDHOAFVUR OSEPELEDGM/HKVVHPSVKLPRINGCSMSVLVGTGDNIRSGLVLISTIGFI       58         OSFRO2       ATPSLLIAVFETADLAMULTYPASGEFSDIS.RLEIREAFVURES.VPSAGDVVALATKCFRESCSS.RRRAHREG		AtFRO7 SSPLDGNHHVAVL <mark>IXVLCGWTAKL</mark> RDQLSTLYEAENQDQLISP	ESYPKITTO <mark>VE</mark> (	GPY <mark>G.HE</mark> S.PYHI	AYENI <mark>VIVA</mark> GG	IGI <mark>T</mark> PFFAILS <mark>I</mark>	DIL <mark>HR</mark> KRDGKD	C 490
OSFRO2       SESSEPTIRESVIRAGENTED VEILSS		OsFRO1 SSPMDGRYHMSILTAVIGSWTEKIRGIITDAQEQGRNGSES	SETGRITACVI	GPY <mark>GHE</mark> S.PYHI	MYENIILVAGG	IGISPELAILS	IIHRIEEGKP	C 491
AtFRO2       KIPKITLICAFKKE SEISM DIVLPLSGLETELE SDINIK EAFIERDND.AGDEAKAGKIKTLWFKPSLSD.QSISSILGPNSWLWLGAILASSFLIFM       57         AtFRO6       LPSKVLVWAIKN DELSLESADDIFS.ICPFFKKKLNIEHTYTERQSEPRLEDCMVHKVVHPSVKLPRINGCSMSVLVGTGDNIWSGLYLIISTICFI       58         AtFRO7       LPGKVLVWAIKN DELSLESADDIFS.ICPFFKKKLNIEHTYTERQSEPRLEDCMVHKVVHPSVKLPRINGCSMSVLVGTGDNIWSGLYLIISTICFI       58         OSFRO1       MFKNVLVLWAVKKENELSLESADDIFS.ICHFFKKKLNIEHTYTERQSEPCLEDCMVHKVVHPSVKLPRINGCSMSVLVGTGDNIWSGLYLIISTICFI       58         OSFRO1       MFKNVLVLWAVKENELSLESADDAGF.ISSSVS DKLHLDHQAFVEQSVGDVQEPCLEDGVVHKVVHPSVKLPRINGCSMSVLVGTGDNIWSGLYLIISTICFI       58         OSFRO1       MFKNVLVLWAVKENELSLESADDAGF.ISSSVS DKLHLDHQAFVEQSVGDVQESQPPLEEGIVGDDQK.ATGMFVKNGTIMSGLVGTGNNFWAGMYFAASTLGFV       58         OSFRO1       ATFROF       ATS       1       5       1       66         AtFRO2       IIIGIITRYYIYPIDHNTNKIYSITSKTIIYILVISVSIMATCSAAMLWNKKKYGKVESKQVCNVDRPSPTS.SPTSSWGYNSLREIESTPOESLVQRTN       66         AtFRO2       IIIGIITRYYIYPIDHNTNKIYSITSKTIIYILVISVSIMATCSAAMLWNKKKYGKVESKQVCNVDRPSPTS.SPTSSWGYNSLREIESTPOESLVQRTN       66         AtFRO3       SMITLDUFYIKRYNITTWWYKGLLFVQCVVASVLIFGGLVVVFWHRWEHKTGEVEANGNDKVDLNGEETHNPSAAELKGLAIEEDVQNYTT       66         OSFRO1       LAYALAQAYYVRRINVFAWWHLGLVFVLCMAAGVALPGGLVVLWASVLIFGGLVVVFWHRWEHKTGEVEANGNDKVDLNGEETHNPSAAELKGLAIEEDVQNYTT       68         OSFRO1       LAYALAQAYYVRRINVFAWWHLGLVFVLCMAGVAEVECGSGLAENLHFESISF		Consensusss k a w kl	SQPGHLDVSVI Ve			GGIIPHISVIRI	LVHRSGIAAL	A 360
AtFR02       KIPKITLICAFKESEISM DLVLPLSGLETELSDINIK EAFIERDND.AGDERKAGKIKTLWFKPSLSD.QSISSILGPNSWLWLGAILASSELIEM       57         AtFR06       LPSKVLVVWAIRNEDELSLSADIDFS.ICPFFKKLNLPHTYTRKCSPRLEDGMVHKVVHPSVKLPRINGCSMSVLVGTGDNIWSGLYLLISTICFI       58         AtFR07       LPGKVLVVWAIRNEDELSLSADIDFS.ICPFFKKLNLPHTYTRKCSPRLEDGMVHKVVHPSVKLPRINGCSMSVLVGTGDNIWSGLYLLISTICFI       58         OsFR01       MPKNVLVLSVKRNELSLSAVDAGF.ISSSVDKLHLPHOVERCSPCLEDGMVHKVVHPSVKTPWINGCSMSVLVGTGDNIWSGLYLLISTICFI       58         OsFR02       ATFSLLLIAVFKIGADIAM DLIVPASGFSDE.RLEIKERFWERS.VPSAGDVALATKCFRESCSS.RRRRAHRPS				51-1		/ш		
AtFRO6       LPSKVLVVWAIANS DELSIASADIFS.ICFFFEKKLNLPHHYVHRSVELPELDEMVHKVVHPSVKLPRINGCSMSVLVGTGDNINSGLYLIISTIGFI       58         AtFRO7       LPGKVLVVWAIANS DELSIASADIFS.ICFFFEKKLNLPHHYVHRSVSEPCLEDEMVHKVVHPSVKLPRINGCSMSVLVGTGDNINSGLYLIISTIGFI       58         OsFRO1       MPKNVLVLNSVKÆNELSLISAVDAGF.ISSSVS DKLHLDPGAFVGESOPPLEEGIVGDDQK.ATGMFVKNGTIMSGLVGTGNNINAGMYFAASTLGFV       58         OsFRO2       ATPSLLIAVFRTSADLAMIDLIVPASGEFSDIS.REDIR REDIR FAFVERES.VPSAGDVVALATKCFRESCSS.RRRRAHRPG		AtFRO2 KIPKITLICAFKKSSEISMIDLVLPLSGLETELSSDINIKHEAN	FI <mark>TR</mark> DND.AGDI	E <mark>A</mark> KAG <mark>K</mark> IKTLWE	KPSLSD.QSI	SILGPNSWLWLC	AILASSFLIF	M 570
ACTNO       LCGNULVMALADA DESERVATATA       STATUSAN DESERVATION OF THE AND AND THE ANALYZED AND AND ACTION AND AND ACTION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN		AtFRO6 LPSKVLVVWAIKNSDELSLISAIDIPS.ICPFFSKKINLEHII	YITROSEPRLEI	DGMVHKVVHPSV	KLPRINGCSMS	VLVGTGDNIWSO	LYLIISTIGE	I 580
OsFR02       ATFSLLIAVFRTEADIAM DITVPASGEFSDIS.REDIR TAFVERES.VPSAGDVAIATKCFRESCSS.RRRRAHRPG		OSFRO1 MPKNVLVLWSVKKSNELSLISAVDAOF.ISSSVSDKIHIDDOA	FVICESOPPLE	EGIVGDDOK.AT	GMEVENGTIMS	GLVGTGNNFWAG	MYFAASTLGE	v 589
Consensus       k s       l       s       i       t         AtFR02       IIIGIITRYYIYPIDHNTNKIYSITSKTITYILVISVSIMATCSAAMLWNKKKYGKVESKQVQNVDRPSPTS.SPTSSWGYNSLREIESTPQESLVQRTN       660         AtFR06       SMITLDIFYIKRYNITTWWYKGLFVGCMVASULFGGLVVVFWHRWEHKTGEVEANGNDKVDLNGEETHNPSAAELKGLAIEEDVQNYTT       677         AtFR07       AMITLVDIFYINRYNITTWWYKGLFVGCMVASVLIFGGLVVVFWHRWEHKTGEVEANGNDKVDLNGEETHNPSAAELKGLAIEEDVQNYTT       678         OsFR01       LAYALAQAYYVRLNVFAWWHLGLVFVLCMAAGVALPGGLVVLWHLSEKRKAEDDRWDAAAAAVPRAQDGEEEAEQTTNGADAADGGVSLAAVKT       688         OsFR02      ARPQRLVCSPFSSPFHSSSSSSSSACSACTYTPSTATATACTEGRQGRC		OSFRO2 ATPSLILIAVEATSADLAMIDLIVPASGGFSDIS.RIELRIEAT	FVTRESVPS	AGDVVAIATKCE	RESCSS.RRRR	RAHRPG		. 439
AtfR02       IIIGIITRYYIYPIDHNTNKIYSITSKTIIYILVISVSIMATCSAAMLWNKKKYGKVESKQVQNVDRPSPTS.SPTSSWGYNSLREIESTQCSLVQRTN       66         AtfR06       SMITLLDIFYIKRYNITTWWYKGLLEVGCMVASVLIFGGLVVVFWHRWEHKTGEVEANGNDKVDLNGEETHNPSAAELKGLAIEEDVQNYTT       67         AtfR07       AMITLVDIFYINKYNITTWWYKGLLEVGCMVASVLIFGGLVVVFWHRWEHKTGEVEANGNDKVDLNGEETHNPSAAELKGLAIEEDVQNYTT       68         OsFR01       LAYALAQAYYVRRLNVFAWWHLGLVFVLCMAAGVALPGGLVVLLWHLSEKRKAEDDRWDAAAAAVPRAQDGEEEAEQTTNGADAADGGVSLAAVKT       68         OsFR02      ARPQRLVCSPFSSPFHSSSSSCSSARCSACTYTFSTATATACTRGRQGRC		Consensus ksl si	t					-
ALFROG       SMITLLDIFYIKRYNITTWWYKGLLEVGCUVASVLIFGGLVVVFWHRWEHKTGEVEANGNDKVDLNGEETHNPSAAELKGLAIEEDVQNYT       67         ALFRO7       AMITLVDIFYINKYNITTWWYKGLLEVGCUVASVLIFGGLVVVFWHRWEHKTGEVEANGNDKVDLNGEETHNPSAAELKGLAIEEDVQNYTT       68         OsFRO1       LAYALAQAYYVRRLNVFAWWHLGLVFVLCMAAGVALPGGLVVLWHLSEKRKAEDDRWDAAAAAVPRAQDGEEEAEQTTNGADAADGGVSLAAVKT       68         OsFRO1       LAYALAQAYYVRRLNVFAWWHLGLVFVLCMAAGVALPGGLVVLWHLSEKRKAEDDRWDAAAAAVPRAQDGEEEAEQTTNGADAADGGVSLAAVKT       68         OsFRO2      ARPQRLVCSPFSSPFHSSSSSCSSARCSACTYTFSTATATACTRGRQGRC		A+FDO2 IIICIITDYVIYDTDHNTNKIYSITSKTITVIIUISUSIMATO	SAAMT MNR RRV	RVESKOVONVI	DDSDTS SDTS	SWOVNSTDETES	STROFSIVOR	N 669
Atfro7       AMITLVDIFYINKYNITTWWYKGLLFVVCMVASVLIFGGLVVVFWHRWEHKTGEVEANGNDKVDLNGEETHNPSAAELKGLAIEEDVONYTT       68         OsFR01       LAYALAQAYYVRRLNVFAWWHLGLVFVLCMAAGVALPGGLVVLLWHLSEKRKAEDDRWDAAAAAVPRAQDGEEEAEQTTNGADAADGSVSLAAVKT       68         OsFR02      ARPQRLVCSPFSSPFHSSSSSCSSARCSACTYTFSTATATACTEGRQGRC		AtFRO6 SMITLLDIFYIKRYNITTWWYKGLLFVGCMVASVLIFGGI	LVVVFWHRWEH	KTGEVEANGNDE	KVDLNGEE	THNPSAAELKGI	LAIEEDVONYT	T 672
OSFRO1 LAYALAQAYYVRRLNVFAWWHLGLVFVLCMAAGVALPGGLVVLLWHLSEKRKAEDDRWDAAAAAVPRAQDGEEEAEQTTNGADAADGGVSLAAVKT 68 OSFRO2ARPQRLVCSPFSSPFHSSSSSCSSACCSACTYFFSTATATACTRGRQGRC		Atfro7 AMITLVDIF <mark>Y</mark> INKYNITTWWYKGLLFVVCMV <mark>A</mark> SVLIFGGI	LVVVF <mark>W</mark> HRWEHI	KTGEVEANGNDF	KVDLNGEE	THNPSAAELKGI	LAIEEDVQNYT	T 681
OSTROZ      AKPQRLVCSPFSSPFBSSSSSSACSACTITEPIATATACTEGERQERC		OSFRO1 LAYALAQAYYVRRLNVFAWWHLGLVFVLCMAAGVALPGGI	LVVLLWHLSEK	RKA <mark>E</mark> DDRWDAAA	AAAVPRAQD <mark>GEE</mark>	EAEQTTNGADA	ADGGVSLAAVK	T 685
IX         X           AtFR02         LHFGERPNLKKLLLDVEGSSVGVLVCGEKKMRCKVAEICSSGLAENLHFESISFS         72           AtFR06         IRVGTRPAFREIFESLNGKWGSVDVGVIVCGPATLGTTVAKEIRSHSIWRSANHPLFHENSHSFD         73           AtFR07         IRVGTRPAFREIFESLNGKWGSVDVGVIVCGPATLGTTVAKEIRSHSIWRSANHPLFHENSHSFD         74		USFRUZARPORLVCSPPSSPPHSSSSSCSSARCSACTYTPSTA Consensus	AIATACTRGRQ	экс				. 489
AtFR02       LHFGERPNLKKLLDVEGSSVGVLVCGEKKMRQKVAEICSSGLAENLHFESISES       72         AtFR06       IRYGTRPAFREIFESLNGKWGSVDVGVIVCGPATLCTTVAKEIRSHSIWRSANHPLFHENSHSFD       73         AtFR07       IRYGTRPAFREIFESLNGKWGSVDVGVIVCGPATLCTTVAKEIRSHSIWRSANHPLFHENSHSFD       74		IX X						
AtFRO6 IRYGTRPAFREIFESLNGKWGSVDVGVIVCGPATLCTTVAKEIRSHSIWRSANHPLFHFNSHSFD 73 AtFRO7 IRYGTRPAFREIFESLNGKWGSVDVGVIVCGPATLCTTVAKEIRSHSIWRSANHPLFHFNSHSFD 74		AtFRO2 LHFGERPNLKKLLLDVEGSSVGVLVCGPKKMRQKVAE	ICS <mark>S</mark> GLAE	NL <mark>H</mark> F	ESISES			724
ACENCY INTO INCALKE LEDINGRWGSVDVGVIVGGEALDULLVANE LEGISJWKSANRPLERNDESED 74		AtFRO6 IRYGTRPAFREIFESINGKWGSVDVGVIVCGPATLOTTVAK	EIRSHSIWRSA	NHPLFHE	NSHSED			737
OsFRO1 TRYGCREQFEAEFAAFAEKAGGGAADVGVLVCGEPGLQASVARECRSHNLGRRGGRRRRAGAVFHFNSHSFD 75		OSFROI TRYGCREQFEAEFAAFAEKAGGGAADVGVIVCGEPGLOASVAR	ECRSHNLGRRG	GRRRRAGAVEHE	NSHSED			757
OsFR02		OsFRO2						489
Consensus		Consensus						

FIGURE 1 | Phylogenetic analysis and alignments of FROs in plants. (A) Phylogenetic analysis of FRO proteins in plants. Amino acid sequences were used and the evolutionary history was conducted in MEGA6 via neighbor-joining method. (B) The similarity matrix for FRO proteins from rice and Arabidopsis. (C) Amino acids sequences alignment of FRO proteins from rice and Arabidopsis. The FAD and NADPH binding site were highlighted by red and blue boxes, respectively. The locations of the 10 potential transmembrane domains were underlined and numbered I-X.



were significantly (p < 0.05) or marginally (p < 0.1) lower than WT (**Figure 6A**). In addition, the root Fe concentrations of the Ri-1 and Ri-3 plants were significantly lower than that of WT (**Figure 6B**). There was no significant difference in the Zn, Mn, Cu concentrations among the leaves and roots of *OsFRO1*-Oe and Ri lines compared to WT (**Supplementary Figure S6**).

### Knock Down of *OsFRO1* Reduced ROS Accumulation Caused by Excess Fe Stress

Excess Fe resulted an oxidative stress in plants through the formation of ROS by the Fenton reaction (Becana et al., 1998). In order to evaluate the Fe-induced oxidative stress, superoxide  $(\cdot O^{2-})$  contents representing the major ROS was estimated using NBT staining. Under Fe excess conditions, all the plants showed typical symptoms of Fe overaccumulation (**Figure 7A**). While the excess Fe supply resulted in significant accumulation of ROS in leaves of WT, Oe and Ri plants, the NBT staining in the *OsFRO1*-Oe leaves was at the highest level (**Figure 7B**). As contrast, the blue staining in Ri leaves were significantly lower than that in WT and Oe leaves. This NBT staining result is in accordance with the bronzing phenotypes described (**Figure 5A**).

### DISCUSSION

A rice vacuolar membrane ferric-chelate reductase, OsFRO1, which was down-regulated in shoots under Fe excess conditions

was identified in this study. Suppression of the expression of *OsFRO1* by RNAi resulted in tolerant to Fe excess stress in transgenic plants, suggesting that OsFRO1 might be involved in maintaining the Fe balance between the cytoplasm and vacuoles. We propose that OsFRO1 is involved in reducing ferric Fe into ferrous Fe in vacuole, and makes the vacuolar stored Fe available to cytoplasm through Fe (II) or chelated Fe (II) transporters. Under Fe excess condition, the decrease in transcript abundance of Os*FRO1* in the RNAi plants reduced the amount of Fe (II) available for cytoplasm, and therefore alleviates Fe excess toxicity.

Previously, rice FROs were predicted to be non-functional because OsFRO1 and OsFRO2 do not contain perfect conserved HPFT or GPYG motifs compared to Arabidopsis FRO2, which are essential for the binding to the FAD and NADPH in cofactors, respectively (Ishimaru et al., 2006). In the study, we performed a multiple sequence alignment of OsFRO1, OsFRO2, AtFRO2, AtFRO6, and AtFRO7. Results showed that both HPFT and GPYG motifs of OsFRO1 were identical to that of AtFRO6/7 (Figure 1), while the GPYG motif of OsFRO2 is not conserved with the four analyzed Arabidopsis FROs. AtFRO6 was demonstrated to be a functional ferric reductase, which could facilitate the reduction of Fe in tobacco leaves (Li et al., 2011). AtFRO7 was reported to be a chloroplast ferric chelate reductase (Jeong et al., 2008). In this regard, OsFRO1 is likely a functional ferric reductase. To test the reductase activity of OsFRO1 and OsFRO2, we performed a Fe (III)-chelate reductase assay in yeast. Unfortunately, we were not able to detect significant Fe (III)-chelate reductase activity in OsFRO1-expressing or OsFRO2-expressing cells, while AtFRO2-expressing cells showed up to fivefold more Fe (III)-chelate reductase activity than cells transformed with an empty vector (Supplementary Figure S7). The failure of detection of Fe (III)-chelate reductase activity could be caused by the non-plasma membrane localization of OsFRO1 and OsFRO2 in the yeast (data not shown). Because Fe (III)-chelate reductase activity assay requires the membrane impermeant property of bathophenanthroline disulfonic acid, it is difficult to measure the Fe (III) chelate reductase activity of a non-plasma membrane localized FRO. Similar observation was reported in AtFRO7 (Jeong et al., 2008). AtFRO7 is localized in chloroplasts, whose Fe (III) chelate reductase activity could not be detected in the yeast. Further ferric Fe reductase activity assay in yeast may first fuse the OsFRO1 to a protein targeting signal, for instance, the COOH-terminal domain of Ist2p (Jüschke et al., 2005), to facilitate the trafficking of OsFRO1 protein to the plasma membrane in yeast.

The members of FRO family has been well-documented and characterized in fungi, plants, and mammals. Many of them, such as AtFRO2, AtFRO6 in Arabidopsis and FRE1 in yeast, localize to the plasma membrane (Jeong and Connolly, 2009). There are also a subset of FRO family members localizes to internal membranes, such as Arabidopsis AtFRO7 in chloroplast, AtFRO3 and AtFRO8 in mitochondria, and yeast FRE6 in vacuolar membrane, suggesting functional roles in several subcellular compartments (Singh et al., 2007; Jeong et al., 2008; Jain



et al., 2014). Vacuoles are considered as the main compartment for excess Fe storage in rice and Arabidopsis (Roschzttardtz et al., 2009). Since all known vacuolar transporters, including AtVIT1, AtNRAMP3 and AtNRAMP4, transport Fe across vacuolar membranes in the form of Fe(II) (Languar et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2006), it was postulated that Fe reduction might be involved in the vacuolar membrane (Jeong and Connolly, 2009). In yeast, reduction of Fe (III) in vacuoles is carried out by FRE6, which is critical for remobilization of Fe from vacuoles into the cytosol (Raguzzi et al., 1988; Singh et al., 2007). While none of the eight Arabidopsis FROs was reported or predicted to reside on the vacuolar membrane, OsFRO1 was located in the vacuolar membrane in this study. OsFRO1 is likely to reduce Fe (III) into Fe (II) in the vacuolar membrane similar to FRE6 in yeast. The reduced Fe (II) can be transported across the vacuolar membrane into the cytosol by other Fe (II) transporters. Knock down of OsFRO1 resulted in more tolerance to Fe toxicity in rice plants. The similar phenotype was observed in the rice mutant MuFRO1 that had a mutation in the OsFRO1 gene, isolated from a fast neutron mutant library (Ruengphayak et al., 2015). In the OsFRO1 mutant or knockdown plants, the vacuolar ferric reductase activities are reduced, which might lead to the reduction of the amount of Fe (II) being transported into the cytosol. When exposed to excess Fe, the mutant plants should have less Fe accumulation in the cytosol, and thus showed enhanced tolerance to the excess Fe. The NBT staining showed a significant reduction of ROS accumulation in the OsFRO1-Ri leaves, supports this working model for OsFRO1 (Figure 5A).

OsFRO1-Ri and OsFRO1 mutant had a similar decrease in root and shoot Fe concentrations (Figure 6; Ruengphayak et al., 2015). qRT-PCR was carried out to determine the transcript abundance of genes involving in Fe acquisition and translocation, including *IRT1*, *FRDL1*, *YSL15*, and no significant difference between WT and OsFRO1-Ri plants was detected. This indicates the mechanism by which the Fe concentrations were

reduced in the *OsFRO1*-Ri or mutant plants merits more investigation to give insight into Fe sensing and response in rice.











**FIGURE 7** NBT staining of superoxide ( $O^{2-}$ ) in leaves of WT and transgenic rice plants. Three-week-old seedlings cultured in normal nutrient solution were transferred to nutrient solution containing 1 mM Fe and grown for 7 days. Leaves were detached for visualization. (A) The leaf-bronzing symptom of WT and transgenic plants under Fe excess conditions. (B) The zoom-in region that correspond to rectangle in (A) after NBT staining.

control

In summary, rice FRO, OsFRO1 was shown to function in the vacuolar membrane to converting Fe (III) into Fe (II). Knockdown of Os*FRO1* could alleviate the Fe excess toxicity.

HFe

contro

### DATA AVAILABILITY

All datasets generated for this study are included in the manuscript and/or the **Supplementary Files**.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

LL carried out most experiments and drafted the manuscript. QK performed the phenotypic analyses and helped in results interpretation. LY helped to conceive the study and its design, and participated in the critical review of the manuscript. HS conceived the study, its design and coordination and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

HFe

### FUNDING

This work was supported by the 111 project (B14027) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 31401934).

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Shelong Zhang for the technical support on confocal microscope manipulation.

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2019.00700/ full#supplementary-material

FIGURE S1 | The predicted membrane topology of FROs in Rice and Arabidopsis.

FIGURE S2 | Subcellular localization of OsFRO1.

### REFERENCES

- Asch, F., Becker, M., and Kpongor, D. S. (2005). A quick and efficient screen for resistance to iron toxicity in lowland rice. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 168, 764–773. doi: 10.1002/jpln.200520540
- Audebert, A., and Fofana, M. (2009). Rice yield gap due to iron toxicity in West Africa. J. Agron. Crop Sci. 195, 66-76. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-037X.2008.00339

Babior, B. M. (1995). The respiratory burst oxidase. Curr. Opin. Hematol. 2, 55-60.

- Bashir, K., Hanada, K., Shimizu, M., Seki, M., Nakanishi, H., and Nishizawa, N. K. J. R. (2014). Transcriptomic analysis of rice in response to iron deficiency and excess. *Rice* 7:18. doi: 10.1186/s12284-014-0018-1
- Bashir, K., Ishimaru, Y., Shimo, H., Kakei, Y., Senoura, T., Takahashi, R., et al. (2011). Rice phenolics efflux transporter 2 (PEZ2) plays an important role in solubilizing apoplasmic iron. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 57, 803–812. doi: 10.1080/ 00380768.2011.637305
- Becana, M., Moran, J. F., and Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I. (1998). Iron-dependent oxygen free radical generation in plants subjected to environmental stress: toxicity and antioxidant protection. *Plant Soil* 201, 137–147. doi: 10.1023/a:1004375732137
- Becker, M., and Asch, F. (2005). Iron toxicity in rice—conditions and management concepts. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 168, 558–573. doi: 10.1002/jpln.200520504
- Briat, J.-F., Dubos, C., and Gaymard, F. (2015). Iron nutrition, biomass production, and plant product quality. *Trends Plant Sci.* 20, 33-40. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants. 2014.07.005
- Briat, J.-F., Duc, C., Ravet, K., and Gaymard, F. (2010). Ferritins and iron storage in plants. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1800, 806–814. doi: 10.1016/j.bbagen.2009. 12.003
- Briat, J.-F., Fobis-Loisy, I., Grignon, N., Lobréaux, S., Pascal, N., Savino, G., et al. (1995). Cellular and molecular aspects of iron metabolism in plants. *Biol. Cell* 84, 69–81. doi: 10.1016/0248-4900(96)81320-7
- Chen, J., Liu, Y., Ni, J., Wang, Y., Bai, Y., Shi, J., et al. (2011). OsPHF1 regulates the plasma membrane localization of low- and high-affinity inorganic phosphate transporters and determines inorganic phosphate uptake and translocation in rice. *Plant Physiol.* 157, 269–278. doi: 10.1104/pp.111.181669
- Chen, S. Y., Jin, W. Z., Wang, M. Y., Zhang, F., Zhou, J., Jia, Q. J., et al. (2003). Distribution and characterization of over 1000 T-DNA tags in rice genome. *Plant J.* 36, 105–113. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.2003.01860.x
- Connorton, J. M., Balk, J., and Rodríguez-Celma, J. (2017). Iron homeostasis in plants – a brief overview. *Metallomics* 9, 813–823. doi: 10.1039/c7mt00136c
- Curie, C., Panaviene, Z., Loulergue, C., Dellaporta, S. L., Briat, J.-F., and Walker, E. L. (2001). Maize yellow stripe1 encodes a membrane protein directly involved in Fe (III) uptake. *Nature* 409, 346–349. doi: 10.1038/35053080
- Dancis, A., Klausner, R. D., Hinnebusch, A. G., and Barriocanal, J. G. (1990). Genetic evidence that ferric reductase is required for iron uptake in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10, 2294–2301. doi: 10.1128/mcb.10. 5.2294
- Deng, D., Wu, S.-C., Wu, F.-Y., Deng, H., and Wong, M.-H. (2010). Effects of root anatomy and Fe plaque on arsenic uptake by rice seedlings grown in solution culture. *Environ. Pollut.* 158, 2589–2595. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2010.05.015
- Dufey, I., Mathieu, A.-S., Draye, X., Lutts, S., and Bertin, P. (2015). Construction of an integrated map through comparative studies allows the identification of candidate regions for resistance to ferrous iron toxicity in rice. *Euphytica* 203, 59–69. doi: 10.1007/s10681-014-1255-5
- Eide, D., Broderius, M., Fett, J., and Guerinot, M. L. (1996). A novel iron-regulated metal transporter from plants identified by functional expression in yeast. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 93, 5624–5628. doi: 10.1073/pnas.93.11.5624

**FIGURE S3** | Expression levels of OsFRO1 in different tissues (A) and different development stages (B) based on microarray data retrieved from GENEVESTIGATOR (https://genevestigator.com/gv/).

FIGURE S4 | Identification of OsFRO1 overexpression and RNAi lines.

FIGURE S5 | Growth performance of WT and transgenic plants.

FIGURE S6 | Analysis of Cu, Zn, Mn concentrations in WT and transgenic plants.

FIGURE S7 | Fe (III) chelate reductase assay in yeast cells.

TABLE S1 | Primers used in this work.

- Fang, W.-C., Wang, J.-W., Lin, C. C., and Kao, C. H. (2001). Iron induction of lipid peroxidation and effects on antioxidative enzyme activities in rice leaves. *Plant Growth Regul.* 35, 75–80. doi: 10.1023/a:1013879019368
- Fenton, M. A. (1984). Oxidation of tartaric acid in presence of iron. J. Chem. Soc. Trans. 65, 899–910. doi: 10.1039/ct8946500899
- Guerinot, M. L., and Yi, Y. (1994). Iron: nutritious, noxious, and not readily available. *Plant Physiol.* 104, 815–820. doi: 10.1104/pp.104.3.815
- Halliwell, B., and Gutteridge, J. M. C. (1992). Biologically relevant metal iondependent hydroxyl radical generation an update. *FEBS Lett.* 307, 108–112. doi: 10.1016/0014-5793(92)80911-Y
- Höller, S., Meyer, A., and Frei, M. (2014). Zinc deficiency differentially affects redox homeostasis of rice genotypes contrasting in ascorbate level. J. Plant Physiol. 171, 1748–1756. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2014.08.012
- Inoue, H., Kobayashi, T., Nozoye, T., Takahashi, M., Kakei, Y., Suzuki, K., et al. (2009). Rice OsYSL15 is an iron-regulated iron (III)-deoxymugineic acid transporter expressed in the roots and is essential for iron uptake in early growth of the seedlings. J. Biol. Chem. 284, 3470–3479. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M80604 2200
- Ishimaru, Y., Kakei, Y., Shimo, H., Bashir, K., Sato, Y., Sato, Y., et al. (2011). A rice phenolic efflux transporter is essential for solubilizing precipitated apoplasmic iron in the plant stele. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286, 24649–24655. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111. 221168
- Ishimaru, Y., Suzuki, M., Tsukamoto, T., Suzuki, K., Nakazono, M., Kobayashi, T., et al. (2006). Rice plants take up iron as an Fe<sup>3+</sup>-phytosiderophore and as Fe<sup>2+</sup>. *Plant J.* 45, 335–346. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02624.x
- Jain, A., Wilson, G., and Connolly, E. (2014). The diverse roles of FRO family metalloreductases in iron and copper homeostasis. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5:100. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00100
- Jeong, J., Cohu, C., Kerkeb, L., Pilon, M., Connolly, E. L., and Guerinot, M. L. (2008). Chloroplast Fe (III) chelate reductase activity is essential for seedling viability under iron limiting conditions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 10619–10624. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0708367105
- Jeong, J., and Connolly, E. L. (2009). Iron uptake mechanisms in plants: functions of the FRO family of ferric reductases. *Plant Sci.* 176, 709–714. doi: 10.1016/j. plantsci.2009.02.011
- Jüschke, C., Wächter, A., Schwappach, B., and Seedorf, M. (2005). SEC18/NSFindependent, protein-sorting pathway from the yeast cortical ER to the plasma membrane. J. Cell Biol. 169, 613–622. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200503033
- Karimi, M., Inzé, D., and Depicker, A. (2002). GATEWAY<sup>TM</sup> vectors for Agrobacterium-mediated plant transformation. Trends Plant Sci. 7, 193–195. doi: 10.1016/S1360-1385(02)02251-3
- Kim, S. A., Punshon, T., Lanzirotti, A., Li, L., Alonso, J. M., Ecker, J. R., et al. (2006). Localization of iron in *Arabidopsis* seed requires the vacuolar membrane transporter VIT1. *Science* 314, 1295–1298. doi: 10.1126/science.113 2563
- Kobayashi, T., Nakanishi, H., and Nishizawa, N. K. (2010). Recent insights into iron homeostasis and their application in graminaceous crops. *Proc. Jpn. Acad. Ser. B Phys. Biol. Sci.* 86, 900–913. doi: 10.2183/pjab.86.900
- Kobayashi, T., and Nishizawa, N. K. (2012). Iron uptake, translocation, and regulation in higher plants. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 63, 131–152. doi: 10.1146/ annurev-arplant-042811-105522
- Lanquar, V., Lelièvre, F., Bolte, S., Hamès, C., Alcon, C., Neumann, D., et al. (2005). Mobilization of vacuolar iron by AtNRAMP3 and AtNRAMP4 is essential for seed germination on low iron. *EMBO J.* 24, 4041–4051. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj. 7600864

- Li, L., Cheng, X., and Ling, H.-Q. (2004). Isolation and characterization of Fe (III)-chelate reductase gene LeFRO1 in tomato. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 54, 125–136. doi: 10.1023/b:plan.000028774.82782.16
- Li, L.-Y., Cai, Q.-Y., Yu, D.-S., and Guo, C.-H. (2011). Overexpression of AtFRO6 in transgenic tobacco enhances ferric chelate reductase activity in leaves and increases tolerance to iron-deficiency chlorosis. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 38, 3605–3613. doi: 10.1007/s11033-010-0472-9
- Ling, H.-Q., Wu, H., Li, L., Du, J., Cheng, X., and Yuan, Y. (2005). Molecular and biochemical characterization of the Fe (III) chelate reductase gene family in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 46, 1505–1514. doi: 10.1093/pcp/ pci163
- Matthus, E., Wu, L.-B., Ueda, Y., Höller, S., Becker, M., and Frei, M. (2015). Loci, genes, and mechanisms associated with tolerance to ferrous iron toxicity in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 128, 2085–2098. doi: 10.1007/s00122-015-2569-y
- Moore, K. L., Chen, Y., van de Meene, A. M. L., Hughes, L., Liu, W., Geraki, T., et al. (2014). Combined NanoSIMS and synchrotron X-ray fluorescence reveal distinct cellular and subcellular distribution patterns of trace elements in rice tissues. *New Phytol.* 201, 104–115. doi: 10.1111/nph.12497
- Mori, S. (1999). Iron acquisition by plants. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 2, 250–253. doi: 10.1016/S1369-5266(99)80043-0
- Quinet, M., Vromman, D., Clippe, A., Bertin, P., Lequeux, H., Dufey, I., et al. (2012). Combined transcriptomic and physiological approaches reveal strong differences between short- and long-term response of rice (*Oryza sativa*) to iron toxicity. *Plant Cell Environ.* 35, 1837–1859. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2012. 02521.x
- Raguzzi, F., Lesuisse, E., and Crichton, R. R. (1988). Iron storage in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. FEBS Lett. 231, 253–258. doi: 10.1016/0014-5793(88)80742-7
- Ricachenevsky, F. K., Sperotto, R. A., Menguer, P. K., and Fett, J. P. (2010). Identification of Fe-excess-induced genes in rice shoots reveals a WRKY transcription factor responsive to Fe, drought and senescence. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 37, 3735–3745. doi: 10.1007/s11033-010-0027-0
- Robinson, N. J., Procter, C. M., Connolly, E. L., and Guerinot, M. L. (1999). A ferric-chelate reductase for iron uptake from soils. *Nature* 397, 694–697. doi: 10.1038/17800
- Römheld, V., and Marschner, H. (1986). Evidence for a specific uptake system for iron phytosiderophores in roots of grasses. *Plant Physiol.* 80, 175–180. doi: 10.1104/pp.80.1.175
- Roschzttardtz, H., Conéjéro, G., Curie, C., and Mari, S. (2009). Identification of the endodermal vacuole as the iron storage compartment in the arabidopsis embryo. *Plant Physiol.* 151, 1329–1338. doi: 10.1104/pp.109.144444
- Ruengphayak, S., Ruanjaichon, V., Saensuk, C., Phromphan, S., Tragoonrung, S., Kongkachuichai, R., et al. (2015). Forward screening for seedling tolerance to Fe toxicity reveals a polymorphic mutation in ferric chelate reductase in rice. *Rice* 8:36. doi: 10.1186/s12284-014-0036-z
- Sahrawat, K. L. (2004). Iron toxicity in wetland rice and the role of other nutrients. *J. Plant Nutr.* 27, 1471–1504. doi: 10.1081/pln-200025869
- Santi, S., and Schmidt, W. (2009). Dissecting iron deficiency-induced proton extrusion in Arabidopsis roots. *New Phytol.* 183, 1072–1084. doi: 10.1111/j. 1469-8137.2009.02908.x

- Singh, A., Kaur, N., and Kosman, D. J. (2007). The Metalloreductase Fre6p in Feefflux from the yeast vacuole. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 28619–28626. doi: 10.1074/jbc. M703398200
- Varotto, C., Maiwald, D., Pesaresi, P., Jahns, P., Salamini, F., and Leister, D. (2002). The metal ion transporter IRT1 is necessary for iron homeostasis and efficient photosynthesis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* 31, 589–599. doi: 10.1046/j. 1365-313X.2002.01381
- Vert, G., Grotz, N., Dédaldéchamp, F., Gaymard, F., Guerinot, M. L., Briat, J.-F., et al. (2002). IRT1, an arabidopsis transporter essential for iron uptake from the soil and for plant growth. *Plant Cell* 14, 1223–1233. doi: 10.1105/tpc.001388
- Wang, C., Yue, W., Ying, Y., Wang, S., Secco, D., Liu, Y., et al. (2015). Rice SPXmajor facility superfamily3, a vacuolar phosphate efflux transporter, is involved in maintaining phosphate homeostasis in rice. *J. Plant Physiol.* 169, 2822–2831. doi: 10.1104/pp.15.01005
- Waters, B. M., Dlevins, D. G., and Eide, D. J. (2002). Characterization of FRO1, a pea ferric-chelate reductase involved in root iron acquisition. *Plant Physiol.* 129, 85–94. doi: 10.1104/pp.010829
- Waters, B. M., Lucena, C., Romera, F. J., Jester, G. G., Wynn, A. N., Rojas, C. L., et al. (2007). Ethylene involvement in the regulation of the H+-ATPase CsHA1 gene and of the new isolated ferric reductase CsFRO1 and iron transporter CsIRT1 genes in cucumber plants. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 45, 293–301. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2007.03.011
- Wu, L. B., Shhadi, M. Y., Gregorio, G., Matthus, E., Becker, M., and Frei, M. (2014). Genetic and physiological analysis of tolerance to acute iron toxicity in rice. *Rice* 7:8. doi: 10.1186/s12284-014-0008-3
- Wu, L. B., Ueda, Y., Lai, S.-K., and Frei, M. (2017). Shoot tolerance mechanisms to iron toxicity in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Plant Cell Environ*. 40, 570–584. doi: 10.1111/pce.12733
- Yi, Y., and Guerinot, M. L. (1996). Genetic evidence that induction of root Fe (III) chelate reductase activity is necessary for iron uptake under iron deficiency<sup>†</sup>. *Plant J.* 10, 835–844. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313x.1996.100 50835.x
- Ying, Y., Yue, W., Wang, S., Li, S., Wang, M., Zhao, Y., et al. (2017). Two h-Type thioredoxins interact with the E2 ubiquitin conjugase PHO2 to finetune phosphate homeostasis in rice. *Plant Physiol.* 173, 812–824. doi: 10.1104/ pp.16.01639
- Yoshida, S., Forno, D. A., and Cock, J. (1976). Laboratory Manual for Physiological Studies of Rice. Los Baños: IRRI.

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Li, Ye, Kong and Shou. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.