



# Characterization and Relative Quantitation of Wheat, Rye, and Barley Gluten Protein Types by Liquid Chromatography–Tandem Mass Spectrometry

#### Barbara Lexhaller<sup>1</sup>, Michelle L. Colgrave<sup>2,3</sup> and Katharina A. Scherf<sup>1,4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Leibniz-Institute for Food Systems Biology at the Technical University of Munich, Freising, Germany, <sup>2</sup> CSIRO Agriculture and Food, St Lucia, QLD, Australia, <sup>3</sup> School of Science, Edith Cowan University, Joondalup, WA, Australia, <sup>4</sup> Department of Bioactive and Functional Food Chemistry, Institute of Applied Biosciences, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Karlsruhe, Germany

### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Nicolas L. Taylor, University of Western Australia, Australia

#### Reviewed by:

Robert Winkler, Center for Research and Advanced Studies (CINVESTAV), Mexico Barbara Prandi, University of Parma, Italy

\*Correspondence:

Katharina A. Scherf k.scherf.leibniz-lsb@tum.de

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Proteomics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 02 August 2019 Accepted: 01 November 2019 Published: 13 December 2019

#### Citation:

Lexhaller B, Colgrave ML and Scherf KA (2019) Characterization and Relative Quantitation of Wheat, Rye, and Barley Gluten Protein Types by Liquid Chromatography–Tandem Mass Spectrometry. Front. Plant Sci. 10:1530. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.01530 The consumption of wheat, rye, and barley may cause adverse reactions to wheat such as celiac disease, non-celiac gluten/wheat sensitivity, or wheat allergy. The storage proteins (gluten) are known as major triggers, but also other functional protein groups such as  $\alpha$ -amylase/trypsin-inhibitors or enzymes are possibly harmful for people suffering of adverse reactions to wheat. Gluten is widely used as a collective term for the complex protein mixture of wheat, rye or barley and can be subdivided into the following gluten protein types (GPTs): α-gliadins, γ-gliadins, ω5-gliadins, ω1,2-gliadins, high- and lowmolecular-weight glutenin subunits of wheat,  $\omega$ -secalins, high-molecular-weight secalins, γ-75k-secalins and γ-40k-secalins of rye, and C-hordeins, γ-hordeins, B-hordeins, and D-hordeins of barley. GPTs isolated from the flours are useful as reference materials for clinical studies, diagnostics or in food analyses and to elucidate disease mechanisms. A combined strategy of protein separation according to solubility followed by preparative reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography was employed to purify the GPTs according to hydrophobicity. Due to the heterogeneity of gluten proteins and their partly polymeric nature, it is a challenge to obtain highly purified GPTs with only one protein group. Therefore, it is essential to characterize and identify the proteins and their proportions in each GPT. In this study, the complexity of gluten from wheat, rye, and barley was demonstrated by identification of the individual proteins employing an undirected proteomics strategy involving liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry of tryptic and chymotryptic hydrolysates of the GPTs. Different protein groups were obtained and the relative composition of the GPTs was revealed. Multiple reaction monitoring liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry was used for the relative quantitation of the most abundant gluten proteins. These analyses also allowed the identification of known wheat allergens and celiac disease-active peptides. Combined with functional assays,

1

these findings may shed light on the mechanisms of gluten/wheat-related disorders and may be useful to characterize reference materials for analytical or diagnostic assays more precisely.

Keywords: allergy, amylase/trypsin-inhibitor, celiac disease, gliadin, gluten, mass spectrometry, non-celiac gluten sensitivity, proteomics

## INTRODUCTION

Cereals including wheat, rice, and maize are the most important staple foods for mankind worldwide. However, the consumption of wheat and the closely related cereals rye and barley may cause adverse reactions to wheat such as celiac disease (CD), nonceliac gluten sensitivity (NCGS), or wheat allergy (Sapone et al., 2012; Ludvigsson et al., 2013; Catassi et al., 2017, for review). The triggers are mainly the storage proteins (gluten), but non-gluten proteins like α-amylase/trypsin-inhibitors (ATIs), lipid transfer proteins, puroindolines, or β-amylases are also immunoreactive (Tatham and Shewry, 2008; Scherf, 2019, for review). Gluten is widely used as a collective term for the complex protein mixture of wheat, rye, or barley, which is not soluble in water or salt solution (Codex Alimentarius Commission, 2015). Traditionally, cereal proteins are classified into the so-called Osborne fractions that can be obtained with salt solution (albumins/globulins), 60% aqueous ethanol (prolamins), and a reducing solution of 50% propanol and Tris-hydrochloride buffer (Tris-HCl) (glutelins).

Albumins/globulins are mainly protective or metabolic proteins whereas prolamins and glutelins constitute the storage proteins called gluten. Gluten is composed of gliadins (prolamins) and glutenins (glutelins) in wheat, secalins in rye and hordeins in barley (Scherf et al., 2016). Each gluten fraction can be further subdivided into the respective gluten protein types (GPTs) by preparative reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) according to their characteristic retention times. The GPTs of wheat prolamins are α-gliadins,  $\gamma$ -gliadins,  $\omega$ 1,2-gliadins, and  $\omega$ 5-gliadins, and wheat glutelins are divided into high- (HMW-GS) and low-molecular-weight glutenin subunits (LMW-GS). The GPTs of rye are called  $\omega$ -secalins, HMW-secalins,  $\gamma$ -75k-secalins, and  $\gamma$ -40k-secalins and the barley GPTs are B-hordeins, C-hordeins, D-hordeins, and y-hordeins (Scherf et al., 2016). These GPTs can be classified into three different groups according to their homologous amino acid sequences and similar molecular weights: LMW group, medium-molecular-weight group and HMW group (Table 1). Each GPT contains numerous different proteins, which differ partly only by exchange, deletion or insertion of single amino acids in their sequences. Proteins of the HMW group occur in the glutelin fraction as polymers linked by interchain disulfide bonds. Previous studies revealed similar molecular weights (70-90 kDa) and homologous amino acid sequences of D-hordeins, HMW-secalins and HMW-GS (Field et al., 1982; Shewry et al., 1988; Gellrich et al., 2003). The amino acid sequences contain repetitive units such as QQPGQG, YYPTSP, or QQP and QPG. Differences between the proteins result from modifications of single amino acids or the arrangement and number of the repetitive units. The medium-molecular-weight group proteins mainly occur as monomers in the prolamin fraction and have molecular weights around 40–50 kDa, with the exception of  $\omega$ 5-gliadins (60–68 kDa) that are unique for wheat. The typical repetitive unit for  $\omega$ 5-gliadins is QQQPF, and QPQQPFP is characteristic for  $\omega$ 1,2-gliadins,  $\omega$ -secalins and C-hordeins. The LMW group consists of monomeric ( $\alpha$ -gliadins,  $\gamma$ -gliadins,  $\gamma$ -40k-secalins, and  $\gamma$ -hordeins) and polymeric proteins (LMW-GS,  $\gamma$ -75k-secalins, and B-hordeins). Their molecular weights range from 28 to 35 kDa, except for  $\gamma$ -75k-secalins with a molecular weight around 50 kDa. The proteins of the LMW group comprise unique repetitive units such as QPQPFPPQQPY ( $\alpha$ -gliadins), QQPQQPFP ( $\gamma$ -gliadins,  $\gamma$ -75k-secalins, and B-hordeins), and B-hordeins), and Repetitive units (LMW-GS).

These characteristic features of the GPTs are known to contribute to the CD-immunoreactivity of wheat, rye, and barley, because most CD-active peptides are derived from these repetitive units. For example, the T-cell epitopes QGYYPTSPQ (DO8.5-glut-H1), **QQPQQPFP**Q  $(DQ2.5-glia-\gamma 4c),$ or QQPQQPFPQ (DQ8-glia-y1a) contain typical repetitive units highlighted in bold (Sollid et al., 2012). Beside CD, a wide range of wheat, rye, and barley proteins are potential allergens or triggers of innate immunity in NCGS. The recently published reference sequence RefSeq v1.0 of the hexaploid common wheat genome (International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium (IWGSC), 2018) provides further insights as the first reference to which known immunoreactive gluten and non-gluten proteins can be annotated (Juhasz et al., 2018).

Numerous studies have demonstrated the complexity of gluten as a mixture of closely related, but distinct proteins (Arentz-Hansen et al., 2000; Dupont et al., 2011; Colgrave et al., 2013; Schalk et al., 2017). Their similarity poses major difficulties in clearly separating gluten into well-defined gluten protein fractions, GPTs and especially individual gluten

| <b>TABLE 1</b>   Gluten protein types and their classification according to molecular |
|---|
| weight (Scherf et al., 2016).   |

| Group | Wheat         | Rye            | Barley     |  |
|-------|---------------|----------------|------------|--|
| HMW   | HMW-GS        | HMW-secalins   | D-hordeins |  |
| MMW   | ω1,2-gliadins | ω-secalins     | C-hordeins |  |
|       | ω5-gliadins   | -              | -          |  |
| LMW   | LMW-GS        | γ-75k-secalins | B-hordeins |  |
|       | γ-gliadins    | γ-40k-secalins | γ-hordeins |  |
|       | α-gliadins    | -              | -          |  |

GS, glutenin subunits; HMW, high-molecular-weight; MMW, medium-molecular-weight; LMW, low-molecular-weight.

proteins (Mamone et al., 2009; Ellis et al., 2011; Lagrain et al., 2013). One strategy is to combine separation according to solubility (Osborne fractionation) with subsequent fractionation according to polarity by preparative RP-HPLC. However, the ultraviolet signal at a specific retention time during preparative RP-HPLC does not provide any further information on the identity of the proteins being collected. Considering the highly variable immunoreactivities of wheat, rye and barley proteins it is essential to know the exact composition of the GPT isolates, especially when trying to gain further insights into pathogenic cascades of CD, NCGS, and wheat allergies (Vader et al., 2002; Matsuo et al., 2005; Scherf et al., 2019). For example, wheat ATIs were only identified as triggers of innate immunity via the tolllike receptor 4 in NCGS, because they were co-purified within the  $\omega$ -gliadin fraction (Junker et al., 2012). Therefore, it is crucial to identify the individual proteins within each GPT isolate and undertake relative quantitation of the highly abundant proteins by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS).

In the current fundamental study, LC-MS/MS analysis was applied to all isolated GPTs of wheat, rye, and barley to precisely determine the identities of the proteins in each isolate as well as their relative abundances to provide a detailed assessment of the molecular composition. A special focus was placed on the identification of known CD-immunoreactive and allergenic peptides and proteins.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Material

All chemicals and solvents were at least HPLC or LC-MS grade. Formic acid (FA), ammonium bicarbonate (Ambic), dithiothreitol (DTT), and iodoacetamide (IAM), were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Sydney, NSW, Australia). Trypsin (sequencing grade, V511A; specific activity: 15,282 units/mg) and chymotrypsin (sequencing grade, V106A; specific activity: at least 70 units/mg by N-benzoyl-L-tyrosine ethyl ester assay) were purchased from Promega (Sydney, NSW, Australia).

## **Grain Samples**

Grains of wheat [cultivar (cv.) Akteur, harvest year 2011, I.G. Pflanzenzucht, Munich, Germany], rye (cv. Visello, harvest year 2013, KWS Lochow, Bergen, Germany), and barley (cv. Marthe, harvest year 2009, Nordsaat Saatzucht, Langenstein, Germany) grown in Germany were milled into white flour using a Quadrumat Junior mill (Brabender, Duisburg, Germany). Subsequently, the flours were sieved to a particle size of 200  $\mu$ m and allowed to rest for 2 weeks. The choice of these cultivars was based on production shares in Germany for conventional farming to ensure that these cultivars were of economic relevance and, therefore, deemed to be representative for each grain.

# Analysis of Moisture and Crude Protein Contents

The determination of moisture and crude protein (CP) contents (conversion factor N  $\times$  5.7) was carried out according to

International Association for Cereal Science and Technology Standards 110/1 and 167.

## **Preparation of Gluten Protein Types**

The  $\alpha$ -gliadins,  $\gamma$ -gliadins,  $\omega$ 1,2-gliadins,  $\omega$ 5-gliadins, HMW-GS and LMW-GS of wheat, w-secalins, HMW-secalins, y-75ksecalins, and y-40k-secalins of rye, and B-hordeins, C-hordeins, D-hordeins, and y-hordeins were isolated by modified Osborne fractionation and preparative RP-HPLC (Schalk et al., 2017) from the flours after a maximum of 6 weeks storage after milling in the respective year. The flours of wheat, rye, and barley (4  $\times$ 50 g) were extracted step-wise three times each with 200 ml salt solution (0.4 mol/l NaCl with 0.067 mol/l Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>/KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, pH 7.6) for 10 min at 22°C, centrifuged and the supernatant containing albumins/globulins was discarded. The sediments were extracted with ethanol/water (60/40, v/v)  $(3 \times 200 \text{ ml})$  for 10 min at 22°C to obtain the prolamin fractions. For the glutelins, the resulting sediments were extracted three times each with 200 ml 2-propanol/water (50/50, v/v)/0.1 mol/l Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, containing 2 mol/l (w/v) urea and 0.06 mol/l (w/v) DTT for 30 min at 60°C under nitrogen. The supernatants of each prolamin and glutelin fraction were combined, concentrated, lyophilized and stored at -20°C until use. This whole extraction procedure was performed on four independent batches to give enough material for further analyses.

For preparative RP-HPLC, the wheat, rye, and barley prolamin fractions (200 mg) were dissolved in 10 ml ethanol/water and the glutelin fractions (1,000 mg) in 10 ml of the glutelin extraction solution. The solutions were filtered ( $0.45 \mu m$ ) and separated on a Jasco HPLC (Jasco, Gross-Umstadt, Germany) according to their retention times, collected from several runs, pooled and lyophilized as described previously (Schalk et al., 2017). The isolated GPTs were again stored at -20°C until use. Long-term experience with storage of the Prolamin Working Group-gliadin reference material (Van Eckert et al., 2006) in our laboratory since its isolation in the early 2000s indicates that protein isolates are stable for several years or even decades when kept frozen at -20°C or, ideally, at -80°C.

# **Enzymatic Cleavage of GPTs**

The GPT hydrolysates were prepared as reported in Colgrave et al. (2016a; 2016b). Briefly, each GPT (n = 3) was dissolved in 50 mmol/l Ambic buffer with a concentration of 2 mg/ml and applied to a 10 kDa molecular weight cutoff filter (Millipore, Australia). The GPT solutions were washed with washing solution (2 × 100 µl; 8 mol/l urea; 100 mmol/l Tris-HCl; pH 8.5) and the filters were centrifuged. For reduction, DTT solution (10 mmol/l) was added; the filters were incubated for 40 min at room temperature and then centrifuged. For cysteine alkylation, 100 µl of IAM solution (25 mmol/l; in 8 mol/l urea; 100 mmol/l Tris-HCl) was added and the solution was incubated at room temperature in the dark for 20 min. The filters were centrifuged and washing solution was added ( $2 \times 100 \mu$ l). To exchange the buffer, two times 200  $\mu$ l of Ambic buffer was added and centrifuged. The 10 kDa filters were transferred to fresh centrifuge tubes, the digestion enzyme (trypsin or chymotrypsin: 200 µl; 250 µg/ml in 50 mmol/l Ambic; 1 mmol/l CaCl<sub>2</sub>; enzyme/ substrate ratio of 1/4 (w/w); respectively) was added, and the mixture was incubated overnight at 37°C. The filtrates with the enzymatically cleaved peptides were collected by centrifugation, the filters were washed again with 200 µL of Ambic, and the filtrates and the washing solution were combined separately for each replicate and lyophilized. For LC-MS/MS analysis the peptides were resuspended in 100 µl 1% FA.

## **Undirected LC-MS/MS Analysis**

Aliquots (5 µl) of each GPT replicate were pooled for analysis. The LC-MS/MS analysis was performed on an Ekspert nanoLC415 (Eksigent, Dublin, CA, United States) directly coupled to a TripleTOF 6600 MS (SCIEX, Redwood City, CA, United States) with the following parameters: Trap column: ChromXP C18 (3  $\mu$ m, 12 nm, 10 × 0.3 mm); flow rate: 10  $\mu$ l/min solvent A; 5 min; column: ChromXP C18 (3  $\mu m,$  12 nm, 150 mm  $\times$  0.3 mm); flow rate: 5 µl/min; solvents: (A) 5% DMSO, 0.1% FA, 94.9% water; (B) 5% DMSO, 0.1% FA, 90% acetonitrile, 4.9% water; linear gradient from 3 to 25% solvent B over 68 min, followed by a second linear step from 25-35% solvent B over 5 min, followed by a third linear step from 35-80% B over 2 min; a 3 min hold at 80% B; return to 3% B over 1 min; 8 min of re-equilibration; injection volume: 2 µl. DMSO was added as it enhances ionization and increases the signal-to-noise ratio (Hahne et al., 2013). The eluent from the HPLC was directly coupled to the DuoSpray source of the TripleTOF 6600 MS. The MS settings were as follows: Ion spray voltage: 5,500 V; curtain gas: 138 kPa (20 psi); ion source gas 1 and 2 (GS1 and GS2): 103 and 138 kPa (15 and 20 psi); heated interface temperature: 100°C. The MS was operated in the information-dependent acquisition (IDA) mode. The IDA method consisted of a high-resolution time-offlight-MS survey scan followed by 30 MS/MS scans, each with an accumulation time of 40 ms. The mass-to-charge (m/z) range of the acquisition of the MS1 spectra in positive ion mode was 400-1,250 with a 0.25 s accumulation time. MS2 spectra were acquired on precursor ions that exceeded 150 counts/s with charge states 2+ to 5+ and over the mass range of m/z 100–1,500 using the manufacturer's rolling collision energy based on the size and charge of the precursor ion and a collision energy spread of 5 V for optimum peptide fragmentation. Analysis was carried out with dynamic ion exclusion of precursor ions with a 15 s interval after one occurrence and a mass tolerance of 100 ppm, and peaks within 6 Da of the precursor mass were excluded.

# **Data Analysis for Protein Identification**

For protein identification, the SCIEX.wiff raw files were directly used as input in the ProteinPilot 5.0 software (SCIEX) with the Paragon algorithm (Shilov et al., 2007). The raw data were searched against a database comprising UniProtKB-*Poaceae* proteins (https://www.uniprot.org; version 2018/02) appended with cRAP (http://www.thegpm.org/crap/), the common repository of adventitious proteins (1,601,923 sequences). The settings used were: IAM as the alkylating agent; trypsin, chymotrypsin, or no enzyme as the cleavage enzyme. ProteinPilot automatically considers enzyme cleavage specificity rules and all UniMod

modifications, including e.g., oxidation of methionine and deamidation of asparagine and glutamine, and uses a probabilitybased approach that considers sample treatment conditions. A 1% global false discovery rate (FDR) was applied for the protein identifications. The detected proteins were classified according to Dupont et al. (2011) into the following groups: gluten proteins, ATIs, globulins,  $\beta$ -amylase, other enzymes, farinins, serpins, grain softness proteins and puroindolines (GSPs+PINs), aveninlike proteins, other inhibitors, uncharacterized proteins (name of entries in the database UniProtKB) and others. The group "others" contains all identified proteins, which could not be assigned to any of the aforementioned groups. All proteins identified as "uncharacterized" and "predicted" were manually reviewed using the basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) (Altschul et al., 1990) on the UniProtKB webpage with the target database UniProtKB reference proteomes plus SwissProt (parameters: identity >70%, except for hits with names of a group or from the subfamily Pooideae). Due to the challenge of having different terms and often uncurated and incomplete protein sequences in the UniProtKB Poaceae database, the protein names for gluten proteins were summarized in the group "gluten proteins", which comprise gliadins, glutelins, glutenins and prolamins for wheat, secalins, glutelins, glutenins and prolamins for rye and hordeins, glutelins, glutenins and prolamins for barley. By means of the rank for the specified protein given by the Paragon algorithm in ProteinPilot, the detected proteins are sorted relative to all other ones. The proportion in each different group was calculated as the number of identified proteins per group multiplied by the number of distinct peptides with a >95% confidence level by which these proteins were identified to have a weighting factor for the rank of the specific protein relative to all other proteins

# Preparation of the Multiple Reaction Monitoring Methods Using Skyline

Within each GPT, the identified proteins were selected according to the following parameters: belonging to the family *Poaceae*, the subfamily *Pooideae* and to gluten; 1% global FDR; confidence score > 99% and unused score > 2.0. The manually curated FASTA files list and the results of the undirected LC-MS/MS experiments were imported into Skyline (version 4.2.0.19072). Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) transitions were determined for each peptide predicted with precursor ion (Q1) with *m/z* (50–1,500) and charge (2+; 3+) and fragment ion (Q3) *m/z* values using the data collected in the undirected LC-MS/MS experiments (Colgrave et al., 2012). Up to six transitions were refined and the top four MRM transitions were selected per peptide for use in the final method. In the subsequent experiments scheduled MRM transitions were used for analysis in triplicate.

# Multiple Reaction Monitoring Mass Spectrometry for Relative Protein Quantitation

Scheduled MRM experiments were used for quantitation of the reduced and alkylated tryptic and chymotryptic peptides of each GPT in triplicate, respectively. The LC-MS/MS analysis was performed on an UHPLC system (Shimadzu Nexera, Sydney, Australia) directly coupled to a QTRAP 6500 mass spectrometer (SCIEX). The cycle time was set to 0.3 s, and the MRM transitions were scheduled to be monitored within 60 s of their expected retention time ( $\pm$  30 s) (Colgrave et al., 2017a).

## **Relative Protein Quantitation**

The peaks were integrated using Skyline. The relative quantitation of the proteins within each GPT was performed by using the "best flyer methodology" (Ludwig et al., 2012), in which the peak areas of four transitions of one peptide (average of three replicates) were summarized. One peptide is used to represent one protein and the values of the peak area of each peptide were assigned to the respective protein. The datasets from the tryptic and chymotryptic digests were combined by removing the duplicate protein with the lower value. Then, the areas of all proteins from the same category according to their UniProtKB accession were summarized. The calculations were done in Microsoft Excel and the graphical images were done in Origin (version 2018b (9.55), OriginLab Northampton, MA, USA).

## RESULTS

# General Characterization of Gluten Protein Types

The moisture contents of the flours were  $14.59 \pm 0.01\%$  for wheat,  $11.42 \pm 0.01\%$  for rye and  $12.09 \pm 0.06\%$  for barley. The contents of CP, albumin/globulin, prolamin, and glutenin fractions in the flours are given in **Table S1**. **Table S2** lists the CP contents of the GPTs isolated from wheat, rye and barley flours and the proportions of each GPT within total gluten. The Osborne fraction values are based on flour weight; the proportions of GPTs are based on total gluten content (Lexhaller et al., 2016; Lexhaller et al., 2017). The results corresponded well to those reported previously (Gellrich et al., 2003; Kerpes et al., 2016; Schalk et al., 2017)

# Identification of Protein Groups in the Gluten Protein Types

The Osborne fractions (prolamins and glutelins) extracted from the flours were separated into the GPTs by preparative RP-HPLC. These purified GPTs were reduced, alkylated and subjected to tryptic (T) and chymotryptic (C) hydrolysis, respectively. The GPT hydrolysates were analyzed by LC-MS/MS to identify the complete suite of proteins present in each GPT. Proteins with identical sequences were used once. For each GPT, the suite of proteins identified after tryptic digest (**Table S3**) and after chymotryptic digest (**Table S4**) were recorded. All proteins originally identified as "uncharacterized" or "predicted" were manually searched again using the BLAST tool available from the UniProtKB webpage. According to the data of the undirected LC-MS/MS experiments, **Figure 1** shows the qualitative composition and proportion of the proteins in each GPT.

#### Wheat

A similar composition with mainly gluten proteins (87% and 85%, respectively) and 6–7% ATIs was detected in the  $\alpha-$  and

 $\gamma$ -gliadin-GPTs. The  $\omega$ 5-gliadin-GPT was composed of 77% gluten proteins and 14% ATIs, whereas the  $\omega$ 1,2-gliadin-GPT contained about 58% gluten proteins, 26% ATIs and 6% GSPs+PINs. HMW- and LMW-GS-GPTs showed a comparable composition with about 78% or 81% gluten proteins, respectively (**Figure 1A**).

### Rye

The  $\omega$ -secalin-GPT consisted of 79% gluten proteins, 10% ATIs, and 6% GSPs+PINs. In the HMW-secalin-GPT, 4% farinins, 3% other enzymes, and 3% globulins were identified besides 76% gluten proteins. The  $\gamma$ -75k-secalin-GPT was composed of 58% gluten proteins, 5% ATIs and more than 10% other enzymes. The composition of the  $\gamma$ -40k-secalin-GPT included only 23% gluten proteins, 23% other enzymes and about 23% others. It should be noted that 21% of the identified proteins were uncharacterized ones (**Figure 1B**).

### Barley

The C-hordein-GPT consisted mainly of 62% gluten proteins, 10% ATIs and 7% GSPs+PINs. The  $\gamma$ -hordein-GPT was composed of over 92% gluten proteins and 4% ATIs and the residual groups amounted only to 4% altogether. The compositions of B- and D-hordein-GPTs were similar, but the B-hordein-GPT had a greater diversity of enzymes (15% in total) and contained 11% uncharacterized proteins. In the D-hordein-GPT (**Figure 1C**) high proportions of other proteins (24%) were present.

# Identification of Single Proteins in the Gluten Protein Types

Tables S3 and S4 list all identified proteins with their UniProtKB accession number, name, organism, rank, score, sequence coverage and number of identified peptides. As an overview of the qualitative data, the three proteins with the highest ranks identified in the tryptic (Table 2) and in the chymotryptic (Table 3) hydrolysates, respectively, of each GPT according to the rank are summarized. The rank of each specified protein is relative to all identified proteins in the fraction and contaminant proteins, such as the proteases used and/or keratins from sample preparation were excluded.

#### Wheat

The high-scoring proteins detected in the tryptic hydrolysates of the  $\alpha$ -gliadin-GPT and the  $\gamma$ -gliadin-GPT represented gluten proteins, except one  $\alpha$ -amylase-inhibitor (**Table 2**). The topranked proteins often did not match those of the corresponding protein type, whereas the matching proteins appeared at lower ranks, e.g.,  $\gamma$ -gliadins (D0ES80; H8Y0P9) at ranks five and seven in the  $\gamma$ -gliadin-GPT with similar scores and peptide numbers. The chymotryptic hydrolysates (**Table 3**) showed similar compositions. The tryptic hydrolysate of the  $\omega$ 5-gliadin-GPT contained mainly HMW-GS proteins, but an  $\omega$ -gliadin (A0A0B5J8A9) was identified based on eight peptides at rank 12. Surprisingly, no  $\omega$ -gliadin-GPT. The tryptic hydrolysate of the  $\omega$ 1,2-gliadin-GPT was composed of different types of proteins



(C) gluten protein types: gluten proteins,  $\alpha$ -amylase/trypsin-inhibitors (ATIs), globulins, other enzymes,  $\beta$ -amylase, farinins, serpins, grain softness proteins, and puroindolines (GSPs+PINs), uncharacterized proteins, avenin-like proteins, other inhibitors, and others. When a group is missing in individual GPT, no proteins were identified. Groups without number represent less than 2%. GS, glutenin subunits; HMW, high-molecular-weight; LMW, low-molecular-weight.

representing the two main groups of this GPT (**Figure 1A**). The chymotryptic hydrolysate contained an  $\omega$ -gliadin protein (A0A060N0S6) at rank 1 with by far the highest score and the most identified peptides (89). In the tryptic and chymotryptic hydrolysates of the HMW-GS-GPT the highest ranked proteins were HMW-GS. The high-scoring proteins in the tryptic LMW-GS-GPT were the 12S seed storage globulin (M7ZK46), which belongs to the cupin super-family with nutrient reservoir activity (Dunwell, 1998) and one LMW-GS, which was identified with the

highest number of peptides. These proteins represent the main group, gluten proteins, and the second main group in this GPT, the globulins (**Figure 1A**). Globulins are known to polymerize *via* interchain disulfide bonds and may thus appear in the high-molecular-weight group (Vensel et al., 2014).

## Rye

The three proteins with the highest scores in the tryptic  $\omega$ -secalin-GPT hydrolysate (**Table 2**) were an  $\omega$ -secalin, a trypsin inhibitor

#### TABLE 2 | High-scoring proteins (top 3) identified in each gluten protein type (GPT) after tryptic cleavage.

| GPT            | Rank <sup>a</sup> | UniProtKB accession | accession UniProtKB name                 |                | Peptides |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|----------------|----------|
| α-gliadins     | 1                 | R9XUM8              | Alpha-gliadin                            | 30.03          | 96       |
|                | 2                 | B2Y2Q4              | Low molecular weight GS                  | 17.12          | 55       |
|                | 3                 | P17314              | Alpha amylase inhibitor CM3              | 13.52          | 18       |
| -gliadins      | 1                 | Q41553              | HMW-GS Ax2                               | 27.66          | 31       |
| •              | 3                 | I3XHQ1              | LMW-9                                    | 24.08          | 38       |
|                | 4                 | W6AX70              | HMW-GS                                   | 20.79          | 25       |
| o5-gliadins    | 1                 | Q41553              | HMW-GS Ax2                               | 52.89          | 56       |
| -              | 4                 | V9TRL3              | HMW-GS 1Dy                               | 21.91          | 24       |
|                | 6                 | P10388              | HMW-GS Dx5                               | 16.73          | 17       |
| o1,2-gliadins  | 5                 | G9I1R7              | Alpha-gliadin Gli-M2                     | 24.59          | 29       |
| , 0            | 7                 | C8CAI4              | Dimeric alpha-amylase inhibitor          | 24.10          | 28       |
|                | 8                 | B9VRI3              | Alpha-amylase inhibitor CM16             | 20.01          | 28       |
| HMW-GS         | 1                 | W6AX70              | HMW subunit                              | 71.28          | 113      |
|                | 2                 | A0A060MZP1          | HMW subunit                              | 54.59          | 123      |
|                | 3                 | P10388              | HMW subunit                              | 41.05          | 97       |
| .MW-GS         | 1                 | M7ZK46              | Seed storage globulin 1                  | 26.70          | 32       |
|                | 2                 | A0A060MZP1          | HMW glutenin subunit                     | 18.51          | 31       |
|                | 4                 | D6RVY4              | LMW glutenin subunit                     | 16.56          | 73       |
| o-secalins     | 2                 | A0A159KI56          | Omega-secalin                            | 23.75          | 79       |
|                | 5                 | Q7M220              | Trypsin inhibitor                        | 16.31          | 22       |
|                | 6                 | W6AW98              | HMW-GS x                                 | 14.17          | 19       |
| MW-secalins    | 1                 | W6AW92              | HMW-GS y                                 | 39.73          | 221      |
| initi Scoullis | 2                 | Q93WF0              | HMW-GS x                                 | 30.31          | 109      |
|                | 4                 | W8NKZ9              | B-type farinin protein                   | 10.70          | 27       |
| -75k-secalins  |                   | E5KZQ2              | 75k gamma secalin                        | 53.31          | 165      |
| -/JK-Secalins  | 2                 | B9A8E2              | Protein disulfide-isomerase              | 11.52          | 30       |
|                | 3                 | Q9ZSR6              | Heat shock protein HSP26°                | 2.60           | 12       |
| -40k-secalins  | 1                 | A0A1D5U769          | Sucrose synthase                         | 28.53          | 25       |
| -40K-Secalins  | 2                 |                     |  |                | 23       |
|                | 2                 | M8ASF1<br>H8Y0K4    | Actin-2 <sup>d</sup>                     | 27.76<br>24.32 | 23<br>83 |
| 2-hordeins     |                   |                     | Gamma prolamin                           | 17.38          | 83<br>32 |
| -nordeins      | 2                 | Q84LE9              | D-hordein                                |                |          |
|                | 3                 | Q5IUH1              | Hordoindoline-B 1                        | 10.09          | 6        |
|                | 4                 | Q41518              | RNA-binding protein <sup>e</sup>         | 8.14           | 7        |
| -hordeins      | 2                 | I6TMW4              | B3-hordein                               | 33.90          | 60       |
|                | 3                 | P06470              | B1-hordein                               | 16.30          | 64       |
|                | 4                 | P80198              | Gamma-hordein-3                          | 13.20          | 16       |
| 3-hordeins     | 1                 | F2D284              | Protein disulfide-isomerase              | 31.05          | 22       |
|                | 2                 | M7ZK46              | 12S seed storage globulin 1 <sup>f</sup> | 22.68          | 17       |
|                | 3                 | I6TMW4              | B3-hordein                               | 21.90          | 102      |
| D-hordeins     | 1                 | I6TRS8              | D-hordein                                | 35.20          | 209      |
|                | 3                 | Q41350              | Osmotin-like protein <sup>g</sup>        | 13.57          | 8        |
|                | 4                 | Q41518              | RNA-binding protein <sup>h</sup>         | 11.63          | 10       |

<sup>a</sup>The rank of the specified protein is relative to all other proteins in the list of detected proteins, <sup>b</sup>Unused ProtScore, defined as a measure of the protein confidence for a detected protein, calculated from the peptide confidence for peptides from spectra that are not already completely "used" by higher scoring winning proteins, thus reflecting the amount of total, unique peptide evidence related to a given protein, <sup>c</sup>after BLAST search (identified as uncharacterized protein: R7W8L3), <sup>d</sup>after BLAST search (identified as uncharacterized protein: W5AHI2), <sup>e</sup>after BLAST search (identified as predicted protein: F2CR90), <sup>i</sup>after BLAST search (identified as predicted protein: F2CR90).

and a HMW-GS, which represent the two main groups of the  $\omega$ -secalin-GPT in **Figure 1B**. Only two proteins passing the 1% FDR threshold were identified in the chymotryptic hydrolysate of the  $\omega$ -secalin-GPT (**Table 3**). In the tryptic and chymotryptic hydrolysates of the HMW-secalin-GPT, the highest ranked proteins were a HMW-secalin (Q93WF0; rank 2) and a wheat HMW-GS protein (W6AW92; rank 1), which is, however, very similar to the HMW-secalin protein D3XQB8 (95.8% identity). The tryptic hydrolysate of the  $\gamma$ -75k-secalin-GPT consisted mainly of the 75k gamma secalin protein E5KZQ2. The high scoring proteins represent the three main groups in the  $\gamma$ -75k-secalin-GPT (**Figure 1B**). Another 75k  $\gamma$ -secalin protein (E5KZQ6) was also identified with a high number of peptides,

but a lower score. In the chymotryptic hydrolysate, the protein identified with the most peptides (49) was the 75k  $\gamma$ -secalin E5KZQ1 at rank 3. In case of the  $\gamma$ -40k-secalin-GPT, only one  $\gamma$ -prolamin protein was identified in the tryptic hydrolysate at rank 3. A sucrose synthase and an uncharacterized protein (W5AHI2) ranked first and second, respectively. The BLAST search identified an actin-2 protein (M8ASF1) with 100% identity to this uncharacterized protein. Uncharacterized proteins represented one of the largest groups in the  $\gamma$ -40k-secalin-GPT (**Figure 1B**), probably due to missing reference protein sequences. The chymotryptic hydrolysate showed a similar proportion with a formate dehydrogenase and two uncharacterized proteins as the three high-scoring proteins.

#### TABLE 3 | High-scoring proteins (top 3) identified in each gluten protein type (GPT) after chymotryptic cleavage.

| GPT Rank <sup>a</sup> |   | UniProtKB<br>accession | UniProtKB name                              | Scoreb |     |
|-----------------------|---|------------------------|---|--------|-----|
| α-gliadins            | 1 | J71026                 | Alpha-gliadin                               | 19.29  | 34  |
| -                     | 2 | A0A0U2P410             | Low molecular weight GS                     | 14.37  | 19  |
|                       | 3 | I3XHQ1                 | Low molecular weight GS                     | 8.51   | 7   |
| γ-gliadins            | 2 | Q9XGF0                 | Low molecular weight GS                     | 4.97   | 4   |
|                       | 3 | B6UKM7                 | Gamma gliadin                               | 2.97   | 1   |
|                       | 4 | P94021                 | LMM glutenin 2 (Fragment)                   | 2.92   | 1   |
| ພ5-gliadins           | 1 | D6RVY4                 | LMW-GS (Fragment)                           | 6.66   | 10  |
| -                     | 2 | P10387                 | HMW-GS Dy10                                 | 6.45   | 9   |
|                       | 4 | Q41553                 | HMW-GS Ax2                                  | 2.49   | 4   |
| ω1,2-gliadins         | 1 | A0A060N0S6             | Omega-gliadin                               | 17.11  | 89  |
| wi,z gliadilis        | 2 | P10388                 | HMW-GS Dx5                                  | 4.84   | 6   |
|                       | 3 | P10385                 | Low molecular glutenin subunit <sup>c</sup> | 4.53   | 3   |
| HMW-GS                | 1 | C0SUC3                 | HMW glutenin subunit x5                     | 27.39  | 39  |
|                       | 2 | P10387                 | Glutenin, HMW subunit Dy10                  | 13.58  | 44  |
|                       | 3 | Q03872                 | HMW subunit 1Ax1                            | 12.04  | 30  |
| LMW-GS                | 1 | D6RVY4                 | Low molecular glutenin subunit              | 13.32  | 41  |
|                       | 2 | I3XHQ1                 | LMW glutenin subunit LMW-9                  | 12.30  | 19  |
|                       | 3 | A0A0S2GJT4             | LMW glutenin subunit                        | 9.11   | 24  |
| o-secalins            | 1 | A0A159KI90             | Omega-secalin                               | 10.57  | 68  |
|                       | 2 | W6W98                  | HMW-GS x                                    | 4.45   | 8   |
| HMW-secalins          | 1 | W6AW92                 | HMW-GS y                                    | 17.33  | 38  |
|                       | 2 | Q93WF0                 | HMW-GS x                                    | 14.61  | 58  |
|                       | 3 | Q43639                 | Sec1  | 3.96   | 12  |
| y-75k-secalins        | 1 | P52589                 | Protein disulfide-isomerase                 | 8.38   | 4   |
|                       | 2 | Q941L2                 | HMW-GS x                                    | 5.47   | 3   |
|                       | 3 | E5KZQ1                 | 75k gamma-secalin                           | 4.68   | 49  |
| y-40k-secalins        | 1 | W5IA32                 | Formate dehydrogenase                       | 7.41   | 3   |
|                       | 2 | K3ZAIO                 | Uncharacterized protein                     | 7.31   | 8   |
|                       | 3 | W4ZSH7                 | Uncharacterized protein                     | 5.98   | 5   |
| C-hordeins            | 1 | P06472                 | C-hordein <sup>d</sup>                      | 7.44   | 19  |
| /-hordeins            | 2 | P06470                 | B1-hordein <sup>e</sup>                     | 3.95   | 9   |
|                       | 4 | A0A287Q402             | Uncharacterized protein                     | 2.00   | - 1 |
| B-hordeins            | 1 | Q84LE9                 | D-hordein                                   | 13.59  | 21  |
|                       | 2 | P06470                 | B1-hordein                                  | 11.49  | 38  |
|                       | 3 | F2D284                 | Protein disulfide-isomerase                 | 9.79   | 7   |
| D-hordeins            | 1 | 16SW34                 | D-hordein                                   | 33.73  | 99  |
|                       | 2 | P07597                 | Non-specific lipid-transfer protein         | 5.07   | 4   |
|                       | 3 | P02864                 | C-hordein <sup>f</sup>                      | 4.30   | 2   |

<sup>a</sup>The rank of the specified protein is relative to all other proteins in the list of detected proteins, <sup>b</sup>Unused ProtScore, defined as a measure of the protein confidence for a detected protein, calculated from the peptide confidence for peptides from spectra that are not already completely "used" by higher scoring winning proteins, thus reflecting the amount of total, unique peptide evidence related to a given protein, <sup>c</sup>after BLAST search (identified as uncharacterized protein: T1LG74), <sup>d</sup>after BLAST search (identified as uncharacterized protein: A0A287EFG2), <sup>f</sup>after BLAST search (identified as uncharacterized protein: A0A287EFG2).

#### Barley

The high-scoring proteins detected in the tryptic hydrolysate of the C-hordein-GPT (**Table 2**) corresponded to the three main groups of this GPT, the gluten proteins, the group of others and the group of GSPs+PINs (**Figure 1C**). A C-hordein (Q40055) was identified at rank 23. An uncharacterized protein of *Hordeum vulgare* subsp. *vulgare* (A0A287EIM7) sharing 99.0% homology with the C-hordein (P06472) was present in the chymotryptic hydrolysate of the C-hordein-GPT (**Table 3**). Two B-hordeins and the previously reported  $\gamma$ 3-hordein (P80198) (Colgrave et al., 2012) were detected with a high number of peptides in the tryptic hydrolysate of the  $\gamma$ -hordein-GPT. Only two uncharacterized proteins from *Hordeum vulgare* subsp. *vulgare* were identified in the chymotryptic  $\gamma$ -hordein-GPT hydrolysate. The highest ranked protein was identified as a B1-hordein (P06470) with an identity of 94.6% after

the BLAST search. The tryptic and chymotryptic hydrolysates of the B-hordein-GPT contained the B3-hordein I6TMW4 with 102 peptides and the two other B-hordeins with a high peptide number, B1-hordein (P06470) and B hordein (Q40026). D-hordein (I6TRS8, 209 peptides detected) was the highest ranking protein in the tryptic hydrolysate of the D-hordein-GPT. The D-hordein (I6SW34, 99 peptides) and an uncharacterized protein (A0A287EEX5, 2 peptides), which was identified as a C-hordein (P02864) with 50% identity were identified in the chymotryptic hydrolysate. Moreover, D-hordeins were detected in all other hordein GPTs with high sequence coverage.

The best three protein hits of each GPT are summarized in **Tables 2** and **3**, according to their ranking of identification. The total numbers of gluten proteins identified using either trypsin or chymotrypsin are presented in **Table 4**. The numbers of identified

proteins were between 2- to 10-fold higher in all GPT hydrolysates using the so-called gold standard proteolytic enzyme trypsin as compared to chymotrypsin. The numbers of identified gluten proteins were 2- to 8-fold higher in the tryptic hydrolysates, except for HMW-GS and LMW-GS. Chymotrypsin revealed as many gluten proteins as trypsin for HMW-GS and more gluten proteins were identified in the chymotryptic hydrolysate of LMW-GS than with trypsin. The total numbers of identified proteins differed from 24 for the y-hordeins up to 317 for the y-40k-secalins in the tryptic hydrolysates and from 4 ( $\omega$ 5-gliadins) to 58 ( $\gamma$ -40k-secalins) in the chymotryptic hydrolysates. The ratio of the numbers of all identified proteins to the numbers of identified gluten proteins ranged from 2 for a-gliadins up to 29 for y-40k-secalins in the tryptic hydrolysates and from 1 for  $\alpha$ -gliadins,  $\omega$ 5-gliadins and  $\omega$ 1,2-gliadins to 19 for  $\gamma$ -40k-secalins in the chymotryptic hydrolysates. It should be noted that 18 gluten proteins, but no GPT-specific proteins were identified (73 proteins in total) in the tryptic digest of the  $\omega$ 1,2-gliadin-GPT. In contrast, only seven gluten proteins were identified in the chymotryptic hydrolysate, but among which three of them were  $\omega$ -gliadin proteins. The same findings were observed for the LMW-GS, for which 22 LMW-GS proteins of 27 gluten proteins were identified in the chymotryptic hydrolysate, but only 2 LMW-GS-proteins within 20 gluten proteins in the tryptic hydrolysate. For the hordeins, the data shows that the enrichment is more specific and that the trypsin data for these GPTs is misleading, because in the chymotryptic hydrolysates less gluten proteins were identified, but more of them corresponded to their appropriate GPT. When looking at the other GPTs, more GPT-specific proteins were identified in the tryptic than in the chymotryptic hydrolysates.

## Identification of Immunoreactive Proteins

Various gluten and non-gluten proteins of wheat, rye and barley have been identified as triggers of adverse reactions. The proteomic characterization of the GPTs also provided an insight into the presence of immunoreactive proteins. All identified proteins of the GPTs were searched for the UniProtKB accession based on the allergen code of the World Health Organization/ International Union of Immunological Societies and for the name of the immunoreactive proteins. The identified allergens with their allergen code, molecular weight and identification parameters are shown in **Table 5**. Some of the allergens were identified only in one GPT with a small number of peptides (profilin in the LMW-GS-GPT or serpin in the  $\gamma$ -40k-secalin-GPT), but especially ATIs and gluten proteins were very abundant and present in more than one GPT. However, it should be noted that most of the allergens were enriched in one GPT. The WDEIA allergen tri a 19 " $\omega$ 5-gliadin" was identified only in the appropriate GPT.

Beside the shown exemplary allergens, many identified proteins contained peptides with known CD-active sequences. Immunoreactive peptides carrying known, non-deamidated peptide-binding motifs of gluten-specific T-cells are shown in Table 6. CD-active peptides were identified in all wheat GPTs, except w5-gliadins. The list of T-cell epitopes according to Sollid et al. (2012) contains 31 entries that are reduced to 21 different motifs after reversal of deamidation and removal of duplicates. One of these motifs is specific to oats that were not studied, leaving 20 possible motifs. Of these, five epitopes were not identified (DQ2.5-glia-a3, DQ2.5-glia-y4a, DQ2.5-glia-y4b, DQ2.5-glia-y4d, DQ8-glia- a1), but 15 motifs were detected, especially in the ω1,2-gliadin-, LMW-GS-, and HMW-GS-GPTs. The findings were comparable for the rye GPTs, where similar numbers of peptides were identified in the  $\omega$ - and HMWsecalin-GPTs as in the  $\gamma$ -75k-secalin-GPT, with the exception of the  $\gamma$ -40k-secalin-GPT with just two epitopes. In the  $\gamma$ -, B-, and D-hordein-GPTs just one peptide-binding motif was detected, but six different peptides were identified in the C-hordein-GPT. The DQ2.5-glia-y4c peptide-binding motif QQPQQPFPQ

TABLE 4 | Total numbers of identified proteins, gluten proteins, and gluten protein type (GPT)-specific proteins in each GPT digested with trypsin or chymotrypsin, respectively.

| GPT            | Tryptic               |                                 |                           |                       | Total gluten                    |                           |                         |
|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
|                | Proteins <sup>a</sup> | Gluten<br>proteins <sup>b</sup> | GPT-specific<br>proteins° | Proteins <sup>a</sup> | Gluten<br>proteins <sup>b</sup> | GPT-specific<br>proteins⁰ | ─ proteins <sup>d</sup> |
| α-gliadins     | 48                    | 20                              | 7                         | 11                    | 11                              | 2                         | 31                      |
| γ-gliadins     | 61                    | 21                              | 4                         | 19                    | 6                               | 2                         | 27                      |
| ω5-gliadins    | 37                    | 8                               | 1                         | 4                     | 3                               | 0                         | 11                      |
| ω1,2-gliadins  | 73                    | 19                              | 0                         | 8                     | 7                               | 3                         | 26                      |
| HMW-GS         | 117                   | 19                              | 10                        | 37                    | 16                              | 6                         | 35                      |
| LMW-GS         | 78                    | 20                              | 2                         | 52                    | 27                              | 22                        | 47                      |
| ω-secalins     | 56                    | 10                              | 6                         | 10                    | 2                               | 1                         | 12                      |
| HMW-secalins   | 96                    | 10                              | 3                         | 18                    | 6                               | 1                         | 16                      |
| γ-75k-secalins | 244                   | 13                              | 3                         | 43                    | 3                               | 1                         | 16                      |
| γ-40k-secalins | 317                   | 11                              | 2                         | 58                    | 3                               | 1                         | 14                      |
| C-hordeins     | 37                    | 7                               | 1                         | 11                    | 1                               | 1                         | 8                       |
| γ-hordeins     | 24                    | 8                               | 1                         | 7                     | 1                               | 0                         | 9                       |
| B-hordeins     | 152                   | 7                               | 3                         | 15                    | 3                               | 2                         | 10                      |
| D-hordeins     | 130                   | 8                               | 1                         | 24                    | 3                               | 1                         | 11                      |

<sup>a</sup>Global FDR = 1%; <sup>b</sup>proteins from all Poaceae included; <sup>c</sup>only proteins from appropriate GPTs included; <sup>d</sup>numbers of gluten proteins identified in tryptic and chymotryptic hydrolysates summed without duplicates.

TABLE 5 | Identified allergens of wheat (Tri), rye (Sec), and barley (Hor), their allergen code according to the World Health Organization/International Union of Immunological Societies allergen nomenclature, their UniProtKB accession number and name, the gluten protein type (GPT), in which they were identified and their identification parameters.

| Allergen<br>name | UniProtKB<br>accession | Name   | MWª [kDa]  | GPT            | <b>Т/ С</b> ь | Score | Peptides (>95%) |
|------------------|------------------------|--|------------|----------------|---------------|-------|-----------------|
| Tri a 12         | D0PRB5                 | Profilin   | 14         | LMW-GS         | Т             | 2.00  | 1               |
| īri a 15         | P01083                 | α-Amylase-inhibitor 0.28                           | 17         | γ-40k-secalins | Т             | 2.00  | 1               |
| īri a 19         | A0A0B5J8A9             | ω5-Gliadin   | 40         | ω5-gliadins    | Т             | 8.00  | 8               |
| Tri a 20         | D0ES80                 | γ-Gliadin  | 34         | γ-gliadins     | Т             | 20.59 | 51              |
| 111 0 20         | DOLOGO                 |  | 01         | α-gliadins     | T             | 5.85  | 9               |
| īri a 21         | I0IT55                 | α-/β-Gliadin                                       | 34         | α-gliadins     | T             | 2.27  | 77              |
| 111 a Z 1        | P04727                 | u /p cilidain                                      | 36         | γ-gliadins     | Ť             | 2.71  | 10              |
|                  | I0IT62                 |  | 38         | ω1,2-gliadins  | Т             |       | 26              |
|                  |                        |  |            |                | Т             | 2.00  |                 |
|                  | Q41546                 |  | 36         | HMW-GS         |               | 2.00  | 7               |
| E' 00            | P04721                 |  | 30         | LMW-GS         | Т             | 4.38  | 11              |
| Tri a 26         | P10388                 | High molecular weight glutenin                     | 88         | γ-gliadins     | Т             | 3.23  | 6               |
|                  |                        | subunit Dx5  |            | ω5-gliadins    | Т             | 16.73 | 17              |
|                  |                        |  |            | ω1,2-gliadins  | Т             | 6.24  | 24              |
|                  |                        |  |            | HMW-GS         | Т             | 41.05 | 97              |
|                  | Q45R38                 | High molecular weight glutenin                     | 85         | HMW-GS         | Т             | 39.96 | 64              |
|                  |                        | subunit Bx7  |            | HMW-GS         | С             | 8.16  | 33              |
| Tri a 28         | P01085                 | α-Amylase-inhibitor 0.19                           | 13         | ω5-gliadins    | Т             | 6.00  | 8               |
|                  |                        |  |            | LMW-GS         | Т             | 2.00  | 2               |
|                  | Q5MD68                 | $\alpha$ -Amylase-inhibitor 0.19                   | 13         | ω1,2-gliadins  | Т             | 8.01  | 20              |
|                  | P01084                 | α-Amylase-inhibitor 0.53                           | 13         | α-gliadins     | Т             | 8.78  | 6               |
|                  |                        | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,              |            | γ-gliadins     | Т             | 3.94  | 4               |
| Tri a 30         | P17314                 | Tetrameric alpha-amylase                           | 16         | α-gliadins     | Т             | 13.52 | 18              |
| 111 0 00         | 1 11011                | inhibitor CM3                                      | 10         | γ-gliadins     | T             | 10.00 | 5               |
|                  |                        |  |            | ω1,2-gliadins  | Ť             | 8.31  | 4               |
|                  |                        |  |            | HMW-GS         | T             |       | 1               |
| E                | D40000d                | Trianaultanaka inanaura                            | 07         |                |               | 2.03  |                 |
| Tri a 31         | P46226 <sup>d</sup>    | Triosephosphate-isomerase                          | 27         | γ-75k-secalins | Т             | 2.00  | 1               |
|                  |                        |  |            | γ-40k-secalins | Т             | 9.85  | 5               |
| Tri a 32         | Q6W8Q2                 | 1-cys-peroxiredoxin                                |            | LMW-GS         | Т             | 2.47  | 1               |
| Tri a 33         | Q9ST57                 | Serpin   |            | γ-40k-secalins | С             | 2.02  | 1               |
| Fri a 34         | C7C4X1                 | Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate-<br>dehydrogenase       |            | γ-40k-secalins | С             | 2.02  | 1               |
| Tri a 36         | B2Y2Q4                 | LMW glutenin subunit                               | 42         | α-gliadins     | Т             | 17.12 | 55              |
|                  | I3XHQ1                 | LMW glutenin subunit                               |            | γ-gliadins     | Т             | 24.08 | 38              |
|                  | Q9XGF0                 | LMW-9  |            | ω5-gliadins    | Т             | 6.47  | 4               |
|                  | Q8W3V1                 | LMW GS group 11 type VI                            |            | ω1,2-gliadins  | Т             | 17.69 | 35              |
|                  | A0A165R8I1             | S-type LMW GS                                      |            | LMW-GS         | Т             | 14.25 | 22              |
|                  | D6RVY4                 | LMW GS   |            | HMW-GS         | Т             | 16.56 | 73              |
|                  | R4JFB5                 | LMW GS   |            | ω-secalins     | T             | 6.02  | 5               |
|                  | Q6PKM2                 | LMW GS   |            | γ-75k-secalins | T             | 4.24  | 5               |
|                  | GOI HINZ               |  |            | γ-hordeins     | T             | 2.00  | 10              |
| Tri a 37         | Q9T0P1                 | Alpha purothionin                                  | 12         | HMW-GS         | T             | 2.00  | 2               |
|                  |                        |  | 12         |                | Т             |       | 24              |
| Fri a 40         | Q41540                 | Chloroform/methanol-soluble                        | 10         | ω1,2-gliadins  |               | 8.00  |                 |
|                  |                        | (CM) 17 protein [alpha amylase                     |            | HMW-GS         | Т             | 4.39  | 4               |
|                  |                        | inhibitor]   |            | LMW-GS         | Т             | 4.53  | 3               |
| Tri a 44         | A0A0G3F720             | Endosperm transfer cell<br>specific PR60 precursor |            | HMW-secalins   | Т             | 4.06  | 3               |
| Sec c 38         | Q9S8H2                 | Dimeric alpha-amylase/trypsin                      | 13.5       | γ-75k-secalins | Т             | 2.00  | 1               |
|                  |                        | inhibitor  |            | γ-40k-secalins | Т             | 2.00  | 1               |
| Hor v 15         | P16968                 | Alpha-amylase inhibitor                            | 14.5       | γ-75k-secalins | Т             | 2.00  | 1               |
|                  | P28041                 | BMAI-1 precursor Alpha-                            |            | γ-hordeins     | T             | 2.00  | 1               |
|                  |                        | amylase/trypsin inhibitor CMa                      |            | B-hordeins     | T             | 4.59  | 3               |
|                  |                        |  |            | D-hordeins     | Ť             | 11.35 | 16              |
| lor v 17         | P16098                 | Beta-amylase                                       | 60         | B-hordeins     | T             | 19.68 | 17              |
|                  |                        | Dela-allylase                                      |            |                |               |       |                 |
| I 00             | U5NJ12                 | Operation of hearth'                               | 60         | B-hordeins     | С             | 4.65  | 3               |
| lor v 20         | I6TEV2                 | Gamma 3 hordein                                    | <i>c</i> · | C-hordeins     | Т             | 7.85  | 8               |
|                  | P80198                 | Gamma-hordein 3                                    | 34         | γ-hordeins     | Т             | 2.00  | 1               |

<sup>a</sup>Molecular weight according to UniProtKB accession, <sup>b</sup>T, tryptic digest, C, chymotryptic digest, <sup>c</sup>Unused ProtScore, defined as a measure of the protein confidence for a detected protein, calculated from the peptide confidence for peptides from spectra that are not already completely "used" by higher scoring winning proteins, thus reflecting the amount of total, unique peptide evidence related to a given protein, <sup>a</sup>96% identity to Q9FS79 Triticum aestivum.

| Epitope                                  | Peptide-binding motif | Reference                                 | Gluten protein type <sup>a</sup>  |
|--|-----------------------|---|---|
| DQ2.5-glia-α1a                           | PFPQPQLPY             | Arentz-Hansen et al., 2000                | α-gliadins LMW-GS HMW-GS  |
| DQ2.5-glia-α1b                           | PYPQPQLPY             | Arentz-Hansen et al., 2002                | LMW-GS  |
| DQ2.5-glia-α2                            | PQPQLPYPQ             | Arentz-Hansen et al., 2000                | LMW-GS HMW-GS   |
| DQ2.5-glia- γ1                           | PQQSFPQQQ             | Sjöström et al., 1998                     | ω-secalins  |
| DQ2.5-glia- γ2                           | IQPQQPAQL             | Qiao et al., 2005; Vader et al., 2002     | $\alpha$ -gliadins $\gamma$ -gliadins LMW-GS  |
| DQ2.5-glia- γ3                           | QQPQQPYPQ             | Arentz-Hansen et al., 2002                | $\omega$ -secalins $\gamma$ -75k-secalins   |
| DQ2.5-glia- y4c                          | QQPQQPFPQ             | Arentz-Hansen et al., 2002                | ω1,2-gliadins HMW-GS LMW-GS ω-secalins HMW-secalins γ-75k-<br>secalins γ-40k-secalins C-hordeins  |
| DQ2.5-glia- γ5                           | QQPFPQQPQ             | Arentz-Hansen et al., 2002                | HMW-GS $\omega$ -secalins HMW-secalins $\gamma$ -75k-secalins $\gamma$ -40k-secalins C-hordeins   |
| DQ2.5-glia-ω1 DQ2.5-hor-1<br>DQ2.5-sec-1 | PFPQPQQPF             | Tye-Din et al., 2010; Vader et al., 2003  | $\omega$ 1,2-gliadins HMW-GS $\omega$ -secalins HMW-secalins γ-75k-secalins C-hordeins y-hordeins |
| DQ2.5-glia- ω2                           | PQPQQPFPW             | Tye-Din et al., 2010                      | ω1.2-gliadins HMW-GS C-hordeins   |
| DQ2.5-glut-L2                            | FSQQQQSPF             | Vader et al., 2002; Stepniak et al., 2005 | LMW-GS  |
| DQ2.5-hor-2 DQ2.5-sec-2                  | PQPQQPFPQ             | Vader et al., 2003                        | γ-75k-secalins  |
| DQ2.5-hor-3                              | PIPQQPQPY             | Tye-Din et al., 2010                      | γ-hordeins B-hordeins D-hordeins  |
| DQ2.2-glut-L1                            | PFSQQQQPV             | Bodd et al., 2012                         | α-gliadins HMW-GS   |
| DQ8-glut-H1                              | QGYYPTSPQ             | van de Wal et al., 1999                   | $\gamma$ -gliadins $\omega$ 1,2-gliadins HMW-GS LMW-GS HMW-secalins                               |

TABLE 6 Celiac disease relevant T-cell epitopes (nomenclature according to Sollid et al., 2012) identified in the gluten protein types, respectively.

<sup>a</sup>Gluten protein types in which the peptides were identified.

was detected in the  $\omega$ 1,2-, HMW-, and LMW-GS-GPTs, in all four rye GPTs and in the C-hordein-GPT. The DQ2.5-glia- $\gamma$ 5 motif QQPFPQQPQ was also identified in all rye GPTs and in the HMW-GS-GPT. The most frequently detected peptide-binding motif was PFPQPQQPF (DQ2.5-glia- $\omega$ 1, DQ2.5-hor-1, DQ2.5-sec-1).

## Relative Quantitation of Proteins Within Gluten Protein Types

The tryptic and chymotryptic GPT hydrolysates were then subjected to relative quantitation to monitor the relative abundance of the peptides. Only peptides of gluten-derived proteins were selected for the MRM analysis. According to the "best-flyer method" of Ludwig et al. (2012), the peak areas of the four most intense transitions of the best flying peptide per protein (TopPep1/TopTra4) were summed. The model TopPep1/ TopTra4 was selected, because only one peptide was detected for many gluten proteins in the undirected LC-MS/MS experiments and it is indicated that this model is as reasonable and robust as the others. The peak areas cannot be compared between peptides, because the MS response is dependent on the amino acid sequence, but the peak areas of the same peptide may be compared between the GPTs. The peak areas of the peptides were summed according to their categories (Figure 2). To estimate the enrichment of each category in every GPT the peak areas of each category were converted to a percentage relative to the summed peak area of the respective category for ease of data comparison.

#### Wheat

For the wheat GPTs, the single proteins were grouped according to their UniProtKB names into the categories LMW-GS,  $\alpha$ -,  $\gamma$ -, and  $\omega$ -gliadins, HMW-GS and avenin-like proteins. LMW-GS constituted the main proportion in the appropriate LMW-GS-GPT, but they were also enriched in the  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -gliadin-GPTs

and were present in the other wheat GPTs (Figure 2A). Vice versa, a large share of  $\alpha$ -gliadins was detected in the  $\alpha$ -gliadin- ( $\approx$ 42% of total  $\alpha$ -gliadins) and HMW-GS-GPT ( $\approx$ 40% of total  $\alpha$ -gliadins). The percentages always refer to 100% of total protein type summed over all wheat, rye or barley GPTs, respectively, e.g., to 100% of total a-gliadins summed over all wheat GPTs. Smaller proportions of  $\alpha$ -gliadins were detected in the  $\omega$ 1,2-,  $\gamma$ -gliadin-, and LMW-GS-GPTs. The  $\gamma$ -gliadins were detected in almost all GPTs, except the  $\omega$ -gliadin-GPTs, but were noticeably enriched in the y-gliadin-GPT ( $\approx 66\%$  of total  $\gamma$ -gliadins). The  $\omega$ -gliadins were present almost only in the  $\omega$ 1,2-gliadin-GPT ( $\approx$ 76% of total  $\omega$ -gliadins). HMW-GS accounted for a small proportion in each wheat GPT, but the HMW-GS-GPT had the highest proportion of HMW-GS (≈77% of total HMW-GS), as expected. The ω5-gliadin-GPT showed low proportions of the analyzed proteins of HMW-GS, LMW-GS and w-gliadins. The avenin-like proteins were present in small amounts in almost all wheat GPTs, except the w5-gliadin-GPT. The technical variation was assessed by examining the mean (combining GPTs of wheat) coefficient of variation (CV) for each peptide with an overall average of 13% for the cleavage with trypsin and 12% for the cleavage with chymotrypsin.

### Rye

For the rye GPTs, the proteins were categorized according to their UniProtKB names into  $\gamma$ -75k-secalins,  $\gamma$ -prolamins, HMW-secalins,  $\omega$ -secalins, LMW-GS, and avenin-like proteins (**Figure 2B**). The  $\omega$ -secalins were almost only detected in the  $\omega$ -secalin-GPT ( $\approx$ 99% of total  $\omega$ -secalins). HMW-secalins were detected in all rye GPTs, but with a noticeable enrichment in the appropriate HMW-secalin-GPT ( $\approx$ 96% of total HMW-secalins). The HMW-secalin-GPT ( $\approx$ 96% of total HMW-secalins). The Y-75k-secalin-GPT contained almost only HMW-secalins. The  $\gamma$ -75k-secalin-GPT contained a very high proportion of  $\gamma$ -75k-secalins ( $\approx$ 95% of total  $\gamma$ -75k-secalins) and lower amounts of HMW-secalins, avenin-like proteins and LMW-GS. In comparison, the  $\gamma$ -40k-secalin-GPT comprised mainly  $\gamma$ -prolamins and  $\gamma$ -75k-secalins with a lower proportion



representing protein groups in individual GPTs: peak areas of peptides representing  $\alpha$ -gliadins,  $\gamma$ -gliadins,  $\omega$ -gliadins, HMW-GS, LMW-GS, and avenin-like proteins in the GPTs of wheat (**A**), peak areas of peptides representing  $\gamma$ -prolamins,  $\omega$ -secalins, HMW-GS, LMW-GS,  $\gamma$ -75k-secalins, and avenin-like proteins in the GPTs of rye (**B**), peak areas of peptides representing  $\gamma$ -prolamins, HMW-GS, D-hordeins, B-hordeins, C-hordeins, and avenin-like proteins in the GPTs of secalities proteins in the GPTs of secalities at the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (n = 3).

of HMW-secalins. The avenin-like proteins were enriched in the  $\gamma$ -75k-secalin-GPT. The average CV for the tryptic cleavage of the GPTs of rye was 10% and for the chymotryptic cleavage 6%.

### Barley

The barley GPTs were grouped into the following categories: D-hordeins, B-hordeins,  $\gamma$ 3-hordeins, C-hordeins, avenin-like

proteins, and HMW-GS from *Triticum aestivum* and a similar tribe (*C*) in the family *Poaceae*. In comparison with the other barley GPTs, the C-hordein-GPT contained the highest amount of C-hordeins ( $\approx$ 96% of total C-hordeins) and a high proportion of D-hordeins. The D-hordeins were also detected in the B-hordein-GPT, but they accounted for the largest share of their appropriate GPT ( $\approx$ 90% of total D-hordeins). B- and  $\gamma$ -hordein-GPTs were mainly composed of B-hordeins, whereas the B-hordein-GPT

showed noticeably higher proportions of the B-hordeins ( $\approx$ 77% of total B-hordeins) and also of proteins of the other groups analyzed (**Figure 2C**). The  $\gamma$ -hordein-GPT showed a clear enrichment of the B-hordeins. For the tryptic cleavage of the barley GPTs the average CV was 9% and for the chymotryptic cleavage 10%.

# DISCUSSION

In this study, we provided novel insights into the complexity of gluten from wheat, rye, and barley by identification of the individual proteins and relative quantitation of the most abundant gluten proteins in the GPTs. A preparative strategy (Schalk et al., 2017) was used to isolate the GPTs from wheat, rye and barley flours according to solubility and hydrophobicity. The LC-MS/ MS experiments confirmed an enrichment of the expected gluten proteins in their corresponding GPTs in most cases. The application of high-resolution MS allowed a much more detailed and accurate insight into the composition of the isolated GPTs compared to our earlier low-resolution MS analyses (Schalk et al., 2017). The data of the undirected LC-MS/MS experiments showed the qualitative composition of the GPTs, according to the number of peptides identified and revealed a first assumption of the total composition of each GPT. All GPTs contained gluten proteins other than those derived from the known RP-HPLC retention times as well as ATIs, enzymes or uncharacterized proteins. These findings underline the incomplete separation of prolamins and glutelins according to solubility and show that even the separation by preparative RP-HPLC is not clear-cut enough to separate individual GPTs without co-purifying other components, such as ATIs (Junker et al., 2012).

The undirected LC-MS/MS experiments revealed that the group of gluten proteins constituted the highest proportion in the wheat GPTs followed by the second largest group of ATIs, which were present especially in the  $\omega$ 5- and  $\omega$ 1,2-gliadin-GPTs. The MRM data showed that the group of gluten proteins had different compositions of  $\alpha$ -,  $\gamma$ -,  $\omega$ -gliadins, LMW-GS, and HMW-GS, mostly enriched in their appropriate GPTs. However, we found that the LMW-GS were detected in all wheat GPTs. Recently, the presence of LMW-GS in the gliadin fraction has been reported as well (Boukid et al., 2019). Due to their polymeric nature (Shewry, 2019), their similarity to  $\alpha$ -gliadins in molecular weight and also to  $\gamma$ -gliadins in RP-HPLC retention times, it may not be possible to achieve a clear-cut separation between those GPTs. Thus, small proportions of LMW-GS were contained in all wheat GPTs.

The  $\omega$ - and HMW-secalin-GPTs showed high proportions of gluten proteins in the undirected LC-MS/MS analysis. The subsequent MRM analyses revealed that the gluten protein fractions were highly enriched with the expected protein types. As described in previous studies, HMW-secalins were detected with notably high proportions in the other rye GPTs. In case of the  $\omega$ -secalin-GPT this may be due to the reduction of the disulfide bonds of the HMW-secalins, which then co-eluted in the  $\omega$ -secalin-GPT (Gellrich et al., 2003). When fractionating rye gluten proteins, we observed that the separation according to solubility is even less complete than in wheat. This led to a higher co-mingling of the individual GPTs even after preparative RP-HPLC. The detection of LMW-GS and avenin-like proteins beside the main group  $\gamma$ -75k-secalins in this GPT may give another hint for the similarity of those GPTs due to the close genetic relationship of rye and wheat (Kasarda et al., 1983). There was no reliable reference sequence available for the  $\gamma$ -40k-secalins (June 2019), but the group named  $\gamma$ -prolamins was only detected in the  $\gamma$ -40k-secalin-GPT. Although the molecular weight (UniProtKB database) of the  $\gamma$ -prolamins detected was somewhat too low compared to the generally known mass range for  $\gamma$ -40k-secalins, the assignment to this GPT would be possible due to amino acid sequence, organism and similarity to other rye proteins. This fact showed the incompleteness of the rye protein entries in the UniProtKB database, because these  $\gamma$ -prolamins were very similar to previously identified ones (Schalk et al., 2017).

The same separation issue as for the rye GPTs appeared for barley GPTs. As stated by Schalk et al. (2017),  $\gamma$ /B-hordeins from the prolamin fraction contained the monomeric y-hordeins and partly the disulfide-bound B-hordeins. The B/y-hordeins prepared from glutelin fraction showed the opposite case with the majority of oligomeric or polymeric B-hordeins. Similar results were obtained in this study, except that the y-hordeins were detected with similar proportions in all barley GPTs. The same applied to the D-hordeins, which were clearly enriched in the D-hordein-GPT, but also identified with noticeably high amounts in the other GPTs. This may also be traced back to the customized separation technique. The identification of hordeins revealed again the challenge with incomplete or unannotated protein entries in the database (Colgrave et al., 2013). Especially the number of entries for barley and rye were low and many proteins were matched as uncharacterized proteins. Reliable protein reference sequences, especially for the Hordeum sp. and Secale sp. are urgently needed, because the proteomics results are likely to be affected by the drastically different number of protein sequences available.

One limitation of the current study is that the results are based on the analysis of GPTs isolated from one single cultivar of each grain grown in one year. Although the choice of the cultivars was done carefully to select representative samples, genetic and environmental factors and their interaction are known to influence the proteome composition of cereals (Hajas et al., 2018; Juhasz et al., 2018; Malalgoda et al., 2018; Geisslitz et al., 2019). The results obtained here thus only provide one snapshot and are expected to change depending on the flour sample. The overall procedure from milling to collecting sufficient amounts of GPTs after preparative RP-HPLC is rather time-consuming as well as cost- and laborintensive, so that it is impossible to do this for more than a very limited number of samples. This is why the current study first focused on determining the efficiency of fractionation of the various GPTs, prior to studying the variability arising from different factors.

This study also revealed that trypsin is preferred for the identification experiments for almost all GPTs, except for  $\omega$ 1,2-gliadins and LMW-GS, which were better characterized using the chymotryptic hydrolysate to increase sequence coverage. This may be in part due to the fact that  $\omega$ 1,2-gliadins are more resistant to trypsin and have less K/R (trypsin cleavage sites), so these will be under-represented compared to "other" proteins that have higher K/R and hence more tryptic peptides, such as HMW-GS (Alves et al., 2018). However, for the identification of specific gluten

proteins, chymotrypsin yielded more results, because it is shown that the enrichment is more specific and that the trypsin data for some GPTs might be misleading. In general, gluten contains few lysine and arginine residues, but it seems that trypsin was still mostly superior to chymotrypsin due to its cleavage specificity, efficiency and delivery of peptides with favorable chromatographic and MS properties in terms of ionization and fragmentation, as has been reported before (Colgrave et al., 2017b). Most peptides were tryptic, but some were also generated from aspecific cleavage sites. We also observed that the identified proteins and their ranks change depending on the cleavage enzyme used. Due to a number of confounding factors, it is hard to make an assessment which enzyme is more representative of the truth, which is why the results of both approaches were combined in Figure 2. Further experiments would be necessary using additional enzymes with different cleavage specificities to investigate this in more detail. The undirected LC-MS/MS analysis of the chymotryptic hydrolysates seemed to be more suitable for the detection of peptides with CD-active epitopes, because significantly more of these peptides were identified than after tryptic hydrolysis. It is known that peptides containing CD-active epitopes are typically resistant to cleavage by trypsin and may therefore be identified in a low amount (Shan et al., 2005). In total, 15 out of 20 different CD-active epitopes were detected. Of the five that were not detected, two (DQ2.5-glia-y4a, DQ2.5-glia-y4d) were not present either in historical and modern spring wheat cultivars (Malalgoda et al., 2018).

To conclude, the combination of discovery proteomics and relative quantitation of gluten proteins provided novel insights into the relative amounts of the individual proteins in purified GPTs. These well-defined materials are suitable for a wide range of applications and have already been used as reference materials to quantitate gluten from wheat, rye and barley using targeted LC-MS/ MS (Schalk et al., 2018a; Schalk et al., 2018b), as stimulatory agents for epitope mapping (Röckendorf et al., 2017) and for recognition profiling of monoclonal antibodies (Lexhaller et al., 2017). Further potential uses are a variety of functional assays to study mechanisms of immune activation. Our findings raise awareness of the challenges of obtaining "pure" GPTs for analytical purposes and clinical studies on disease mechanisms. Especially when applying gluten or gluten fractions in studies on pathomechanisms of, e.g., CD, NCGS, or WDEIA, it is essential to know which proteins are present in the fractions of interest to establish relationships between structure, functionality and bioactivity.

## REFERENCES

- Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., and Lipman, D. J. (1990). Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215, 403–410. doi: 10.1016/ S0022-2836(05)80360-2
- Alves, T. O., D'Almeida, C. T. S., Victoria, V. C. M., Souza, G. H. M. F., Cameron, L. C., and Ferreira, M. S. L. (2018). Immunogenic and allergenic profile of wheat flours from different technological qualities revealed by ion mobility mass spectrometry. J. Food Compos Anal. 73, 67–75. doi: 10.1016/j. jfca.2018.07.012
- Arentz-Hansen, H., Körner, R., Molberg, Ø., Quarsten, H., Vader, W., Kooy, Y. M. C., et al. (2000). The intestinal T cell response to  $\alpha$ -gliadin in adult

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium (http://proteomecentral. proteomexchange.org) with the dataset identifier PXD016065 and are publicly available on Panorama Public (https:// panoramaweb.org/nOlizr.url).

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

BL planned and performed the experiments, analyzed the data, designed the figures and wrote the original draft. MC provided access to the LC-MS/MS instruments, contributed to proteomics data analysis and study design. KS was responsible for study conceptualization, contributed to funding acquisition and editing of the manuscript. All authors reviewed and edited the manuscript and approved the final version.

# FUNDING

This research project (No. 250645717) was supported by the German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, DFG, Bonn). BL received additional funding from the Technical University of Munich through the TUM Graduate School Partnership Mobility Grant, the TUM Graduate School Internationalization Grant and a travel grant from the Silesia-Clemens-Hanke Stiftung.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Ms. Alexandra Axthelm and Ms. Angelika Grassl (Leibniz-LSB@TUM) for excellent technical assistance, Ms. Keren Byrne (CSIRO) for help with LC-MS experiments, Prof. Dr. Peter Köhler (biotask AG) and Dr. Herbert Wieser for scientific advice and Prof. Dr. Thomas Hofmann (TUM, Leibniz-LSB@TUM) for promoting the Silesia travel grant.

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2019.01530/ full#supplementary-material

celiac disease is focused on a single deamidated glutamine targeted by tissue transglutaminase. J. Exp. Med. 191, 603–612. doi: 10.1084/jem.191.4.603

- Arentz-Hansen, H., McAdam, S. N., Molberg, F. B., Lundin, K. E., Jorgensen, T. J., Jung, G., et al. (2002). Celiac lesion T cells recognize epitopes that cluster in regions of gliadins rich in proline residues. *Gastroenterol* 123, 803–809. doi: 10.1053/gast.2002.35381
- Bodd, M., Kim, C. Y., Lundin, K. E., and Sollid, L. M. (2012). T-Cell response to gluten in patients with HLA-DQ2.2 reveals requirement of peptide-MHC stability in celiac disease. *Gastroenterol* 142, 552–561. doi: 10.1053/j. gastro.2011.11.021
- Boukid, F., Prandi, B., Faccini, A., and Sforza, S. (2019). A complete mass spectrometry (MS)-based peptidomic description of gluten peptides generated during *in vitro*

gastrointestinal digestion of durum wheat: Implication for celiac disease. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 30, 1481. doi: doi.org/10.1007/s13361-019-02212-8

- Catassi, C., Alaedini, A., Bojarski, C., Bonaz, B., Bouma, G., Carroccio, A., et al. (2017). The overlapping area of non-celiac gluten sensitivity (NCGS) and wheat-sensitive irritable bowel syndrome (IBS): an update. *Nutrients* 9, 1268. doi: 10.3390/nu9111268
- Codex Alimentarius Commission (2015). Codex Standard 118-1979: Codex Standard for Foods for Special Dietary use for Persons Intolerant to Gluten. Revision 1. Amendment 2.
- Colgrave, M. L., Goswami, H., Howitt, C. A., and Tanner, G. J. (2012). What is in a beer? Proteomic characterization and relative quantification of hordein (gluten) in beer. J. Proteome Res. 11, 386–396. doi: 10.1021/pr2008434
- Colgrave, M. L., Goswami, H., Howitt, C. A., and Tanner, G. J. (2013). Proteomics as a tool to understand the complexity of beer. *Food Res. Int.* 54, 1001–1012. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2012.09.043
- Colgrave, M. L., Byrne, K., Blundell, M., and Howitt, C. A. (2016a). Identification of barley- specific peptide markers that persist in processed foods and are capable of detecting barley contamination by LC-MS/MS. J. Prot 147, 169–176. doi: 10.1016/j.jprot.2016.03.045
- Colgrave, M. L., Byrne, K., Blundell, M., Heidelberger, S., Lane, C. S., Tanner, G. J., et al. (2016b). Comparing multiple reaction monitoring and sequential window acquisition of all theoretical mass spectra for the relative quantification of barley gluten in selectively bred barley lines. *Anal. Chem.* 88, 9127–9135. doi: 10.1021/acs.analchem.6b02108
- Colgrave, M. L., Byrne, K., and Howitt, C. A. (2017a). Liquid chromatography– Mass spectrometry analysis reveals hydrolyzed gluten in beers crafted to remove gluten. J. Agric. Food Chem. 65, 9715–9725. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.7b03742
- Colgrave, M. L., Byrne, K., and Howitt, C. A. (2017b). Food for thought: selecting the right enzyme for the digestion of gluten. *Food Chem.* 234, 389–397. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.05.008
- Dunwell, J. M. (1998). Cupins: a new superfamily of functionally diverse proteins that include germins and plant storage proteins. *Biotechnol. Genet. Eng Rev.* 15, 1–32. doi: 10.1080/02648725.1998.10647950
- Dupont, F. M., Vensel, W. H., Tanaka, C. T., Hurkman, W. J., and Altenbach, S. B. (2011). Deciphering the complexities of the wheat flour proteome using quantitative two- dimensional electrophoresis, three proteases and tandem mass spectrometry. *Proteome Sci.* 9, 10. doi: 10.1186/1477-5956-9-10
- Ellis, H. J., Lozano-Sanchez, P., Bermudo-Redondo, C., Suligoj, T., Biagi, F., Bianchi, P. I., et al. (2011). Antibodies to wheat high-molecular-weight glutenin subunits in patients with celiac disease. *Int. Arch. Allergy Immunol.* 159, 428– 434. doi: 10.1159/000338284
- Ferranti, P., Mamone, G., Picariello, G., and Addeo, F. (2007). Mass spectrometry analysis of gliadins in celiac disease. J. Mass Spectrom. 42, 1531–1548. doi: 10.1002/jms.1361
- Field, J. M., Shewry, P. R., and Miflin, B. J. (1982). The purification and characterization of homologous high molecular weight storage proteins from grain of wheat, rye and barley. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 62, 329–336. doi: 10.1007/ BF00275097
- Geisslitz, S., Longin, C. F. H., Scherf, K. A., and Koehler, P. (2019). Comparative study on gluten protein composition of ancient (einkorn, emmer and spelt) and modern wheat species (durum and common wheat). *Foods* 8, 409. doi: 10.3390/foods8090409
- Gellrich, C., Schieberle, P., and Wieser, H. (2003). Biochemical characterization and quantification of the storage protein (secalin) types in rye flour. *Cereal Chem.* 80, 102–109. doi: 10.1094/cchem.2003.80.1.102
- Hahne, H., Pachl, F., Ruprecht, B., Maier, S. K., Klaeger, S., Helm, D., et al. (2013). DMSO enhances electrospray response, boosting sensitivity of proteomic experiments. *Nat. Methods* 10, 989–992. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2610
- Hajas, L., Scherf, K. A., Török, K., Bugyi, Z., Schall, E., Poms, R. E., et al. (2018). Variation in protein composition among wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars to identify cultivars suitable as reference material for wheat gluten analysis. *Food Chem.* 267, 387–394. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.05.005
- International Association for Cereal Science and Technology (1976). ICC Standard No. 110/1. Determination of the moisture content of cereals and cereal products (practical method).
- International Association for Cereal Science and Technology (2000). ICC Standard No. 167. Determination of crude protein in grain and grain products for food and feed by the Dumas combustion principle.

- International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium (IWGSC) (2018). Shifting the limits in wheat research and breeding using a fully annotated reference genome. *Sci* 361, eaar7191. doi: 10.1126/science.aar7191
- Juhasz, A., Belova, T., Florides, C. G., Maulis, C., Fischer, I., Gell, G., et al. (2018). Genome mapping of seed-borne allergens and immunoresponsive proteins in wheat. *Sci. Adv.* 4, eaar8602. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aar8602
- Junker, Y., Zeissig, S., Kim, S.-J., Barisani, D., Wieser, H., Leffler, D. A., et al. (2012). Wheat amylase trypsin inhibitors drive intestinal inflammation via activation of toll-like receptor 4. J. Exp. Med. 209, 2395–2408. doi: 10.1084/jem.20102660
- Kasarda, D. D., Autran, J.-C., Lew, E. J.-C., Nimmo, C. C., and Shewry, P. R. (1983). N-terminal amino acid sequences of ω-gliadins and ω-secalins. Implications for the evolution of prolamin genes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 747, 138–150. doi: 10.1016/0167-4838(83)90132-2
- Kerpes, R., Knorr, V., Procopio, S., Koehler, P., and Becker, T. (2016). Glutenspecific peptidase activity of barley as affected by germination and its impact on gluten degradation. J. Cereal Sci. 68, 93–99. doi: 10.1016/j.jcs.2016.01.004
- Lagrain, B., Brunnbauer, M., Rombouts, I., and Koehler, P. (2013). Identification of intact high molecular weight glutenin subunits from the wheat proteome using combined liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. *PloS One* 8, e58682. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0058682
- Lexhaller, B., Tompos, C., and Scherf, K. A. (2016). Comparative analysis of prolamin and glutelin fractions from wheat, rye, and barley with five sandwich ELISA test kits. *Anal. Bioanal Chem.* 408, 6093–6104. doi: 10.1007/ s00216-016-9721-7
- Lexhaller, B., Tompos, C., and Scherf, K. A. (2017). Fundamental study on reactivities of gluten protein types from wheat, rye and barley with five sandwich ELISA test kits. *Food Chem.* 237, 320–330. doi: 10.1016/j. foodchem.2017.05.121
- Ludvigsson, J. F., Leffler, D. A., Bai, J., Biagi, F., Fasano, A., Green, P. H., et al. (2013). The Oslo definitions for coeliac disease and related terms. *Gut.* 62, 43–52. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2011-301346
- Ludwig, C., Claassen, M., Schmidt, A., and Aebersold, R. (2012). Estimation of absolute protein quantities of unlabeled samples by selected reaction monitoring mass spectrometry. *Mol. Cell Proteomics*. 11, 1–16. doi: 10.1074/ mcp.M111.013987
- Malalgoda, M., Meinhardt, S. W., and Simsek, S. (2018). Detection and quantitation of immunogenic epitopes related to celiac disease in historical and modern hard red spring wheat cultivars. *Food Chem.* 264, 101–107. doi: 10.1016/j. foodchem.2018.04.131
- Mamone, G., De Caro, S., Di Luccia, A., Addeo, F., and Ferranti, P. (2009). Proteomicbased analytical approach for the characterization of glutenin subunits in durum wheat. J. Mass Spectrom. 44, 1709–1723. doi: 10.1002/jms.1680
- Matsuo, H., Kohno, K., and Morita, E. (2005). Molecular cloning, recombinant expression and IgE-binding epitope of  $\omega$ 5-gliadin, a major allergen in wheat-dependent exercise-induced anaphylaxis. *FEBS J.* 272, 4431–4438. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2005.04858.x
- Qiao, S. W., Bergseng, E., Molberg, O., Jung, G., Fleckenstein, B., and Sollid, L. M. (2005). Refining the rules of gliadin T cell epitope binding to the diseaseassociated DQ2 molecule in celiac disease: importance of proline spacing and glutamine deamidation. J. Immunol. 175, 254–261. doi: 10.4049/ jimmunol.175.1.254
- Röckendorf, N., Meckelein, B., Scherf, K. A., Schalk, K., Koehler, P., and Frey, A. (2017). Identification of novel antibody-reactive detection sites for comprehensive gluten monitoring. *PloS One* 12, e0181566. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0181566
- Sapone, A., Bai, J. C., Ciacci, C., Dolinsek, J., Green, P. H., Hadjivassiliou M., et al. (2012). Spectrum of gluten-related disorders: consensus on new nomenclature and classification. *BMC Med.* 10, 13. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-10-13
- Schalk, K., Lexhaller, B., Koehler, P., and Scherf, K. A. (2017). Isolation and characterization of gluten protein types from wheat, rye, barley and oats for use as reference materials. *PloS One* 12, 2. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0172819
- Schalk, K., Koehler, P., and Scherf, K. A. (2018a). Quantitation of specific barley, rye and oat marker peptides by targeted liquid chromatography - mass spectrometry to determine gluten concentrations. J. Agric. Food Chem. 66, 3581–3592. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.7b05286
- Schalk, K., Koehler, P., and Scherf, K. A. (2018b). Targeted liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry to quantitate wheat gluten using well-defined reference proteins. *PloS One* 13, e0192804. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0192804

- Scherf, K. A., Koehler, P., and Wieser, H. (2016). Gluten and wheat sensitivities an overview. J. Cereal Sci. 67, 2–11. doi: 10.1016/j.jcs.2015.07.008
- Scherf, K. A., Lindenau, A.-C., Valentini, L., Collado, M. C., García-Mantrana, I., Christensen, M. J., et al. (2019). Cofactors of wheat dependent exerciseinduced anaphylaxis do not increase highly individual gliadin absorption in healthy volunteers. *Clin. Transl. Allergy* 9, 19. doi: 10.1186/s13601-019-0260-0
- Scherf, K. A. (2019). Immunoreactive cereal proteins in wheat allergy, non-celiac gluten/wheat sensitivity (NCGS) and celiac disease. *Curr. Opin. Food Sci.* 25, 35–41. doi: 10.1016/j.cofs.2019.02.003
- Shan, L., Qiao, S.-W., Arentz-Hansen, H., Molberg, Ø., Gray, G. M., Sollid, L. M., et al. (2005). Identification and Analysis of Multivalent Proteolytically Resistant Peptides from Gluten: Implications for Celiac Sprue. J. Proteome Res. 4, 1732– 1741. doi: 10.1021/pr050173t
- Shewry, P. R., Tatham, A. S., Pappin, D. J., and Keen, J. N. (1988). Terminal amino acid sequences show that D hordein of barley and high molecular weight (HMW) secalins of rye are homologous with HMW glutenin subunits of wheat. *Cereal Chem.* 65, 610–611.
- Shewry, P. R. (2019). What is gluten why is it special? Front. Nutr. 6, 101. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2019.00101
- Shilov, I. V., Seymour, S. L., Patel, A. A., Loboda, A., Tang, W. H., Keating, S. P., et al. (2007). The Paragon Algorithm, a next generation search engine that uses sequence temperature values and feature probabilities to identify peptides from tandem mass spectra. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 6, 1638–1655. doi: 10.1074/mcp. T600050-MCP200
- Sjöström, H., Lundin, K. E. A., Molberg, K. R., McAdam, S. N., Anthonsen, D., and Quarsten, H., et al. (1998). Identification of a gliadin T-cell epitope in coeliac disease: general importance of gliadin deamidation for intestinal T-cell recognition. *Scand. J. Immunol.* 48, 111–115. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-3083.1998.00397.x
- Sollid, L. M., Qiao, S.-W., Anderson, R. P., Gianfrani, C., and Koning, F. (2012). Nomenclature and listing of celiac disease relevant gluten T-cell epitopes restricted by HLA-DQ molecules. *Immunogenet* 64, 455–460. doi: 10.1007/ s00251-012-0599-z
- Stepniak, D., Vader, L. W., Kooy, Y., van Veelen, P. A., Moustakas, A., and Papandreou, N. A. (2005). T-cell recognition of HLA-DQ2-bound gluten peptides can be influenced by an N-terminal proline at p-1. *Immunogenet* 57, 8–15. doi: 10.1007/s00251-005-0780-8
- Tatham, A. S., and Shewry, P. R. (2008). Allergens in wheat and related cereals. *Clin. Exp. Allergy* 38, 1712–1726. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2222.2008.03101.x

- Tye-Din, J. A., Stewart, J. A., Dromey, J. A., Beissbarth, T., van Heel, D. A., and Tatham, A., et al. (2010). Comprehensive, quantitative mapping of T cell epitopes in gluten in celiac disease. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2, 41ra51. doi: 10.1126/ scitranslmed.3001012
- Vader, L. W., Kooy, Y., van Veelen, P., de Ru, A., Harris, D., Benckhuijsen, W., et al. (2002). The gluten response in children with celiac disease is directed toward multiple gliadin and glutenin peptides. *Gastroenterol* 122, 1729–1737. doi: 10.1053/gast.2002.33606
- Vader, L. W., Stepniak, D. T., Bunnik, E. M., Kooy, Y. M. C., de Hann, W., Drijfhout, J. W., et al. (2003). Characterization of cereal toxicity for celiac disease patients based on protein homology in grains. *Gastroenterol* 125, 1105–1113. doi: 10.1016/S0016-5085(03)01204-6
- van de Wal, Y., Kooy, Y. M., van Veelen, P., Vader, W., August, S. A., Drijfhout, J. W., et al. (1999). Glutenin is involved in the gluten-driven mucosal T cell response. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 29, 3133-3139. doi: 10.1002/ (SICI)1521-4141(199910)29:10<3133::AID-IMMU3133>3.0.CO;2-G
- Van Eckert, R., Berghofer, E., Ciclitira, P. J., Chirdo, F., Denery-Papini, S., Ellis, H. J., et al. (2006). Towards a new gliadin reference material – isolation and characterisation. J. Cereal Sci. 43, 331–341. doi: 10.1016/j.jcs.2005.12.009
- Vensel, W. H., Tanaka, C. K., and Altenbach, S. B. (2014). Protein composition of wheat gluten polymer fractions determined by quantitative two-dimensional gel electrophoresis and tandem mass spectrometry. *Proteome Sci.* 12, 1–13. doi: 10.1186/1477-5956-12-8
- Wieser, H., Antes, S., and Seilmeier, W. (1998). Quantitative determination of gluten protein types in wheat flour by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography. *Cereal Chem.* 75, 644–650. doi: 10.1094/cchem.1998.75.5.644

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Lexhaller, Colgrave and Scherf. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.