



A Novel ERF Transcription Factor, *ZmERF105*, Positively Regulates Maize Resistance to *Exserohilum turcicum*

Zhenyuan Zang^{1†}, Ying Lv^{1†}, Shuang Liu^{1†}, Wei Yang¹, Jiabin Ci¹, Xuejiao Ren¹, Zhen Wang¹, Hao Wu¹, Wenyu Ma¹, Liangyu Jiang^{1,2*} and Weiguang Yang^{1*}

¹ College of Agriculture, Jilin Agricultural University, Changchun, China, ² Crop Science Post-doctoral Station, Jilin Agricultural University, Changchun, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Víctor Flors, University of Jaume I, Spain

Reviewed by:

Jorge Vicente, University of Nottingham, United Kingdom M. Teresa Sanchez-Ballesta, Instituto de Ciencia y Tecnología de Alimentos y Nutrición (ICTAN), Spain

*Correspondence:

Liangyu Jiang Liangyu0113@163.com Weiguang Yang Ywg789@126.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Microbe Interactions, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 06 February 2020 Accepted: 27 May 2020 Published: 16 June 2020

Citation:

Zang Z, Lv Y, Liu S, Yang W, Ci J, Ren X, Wang Z, Wu H, Ma W, Jiang L and Yang W (2020) A Novel ERF Transcription Factor, ZmERF105, Positively Regulates Maize Resistance to Exserohilum turcicum. Front. Plant Sci. 11:850. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00850 The ethylene response factor (ERF) plays a crucial role in plant innate immunity. However, the molecular function of ERF in response to Exserohilum turcicum (E. turcicum) remains unknown in maize. In this study, a novel ERF gene, designated as ZmERF105, was firstly isolated and characterized. The ZmERF105 protein contains an APETALA2/ETHYLENE RESPONSIVE FACTOR (AP2/ERF) domain and a conserved LSPLSPHP motif in its Cterminal region. ZmERF105 protein was exclusively localized to the nucleus. ZmERF105 expression responded to E. turcicum treatment. Yeast one-hybrid and transcription activity assays revealed that ZmERF105 is an activator of transcription and binds to GCC-box elements. Over-expression of ZmERF105 was shown to increase maize resistance against E. turcicum, and erf105 mutant lines displayed opposite phenotype. Moreover, the activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD) and peroxidase (POD) in the ZmERF105 over-expression lines were markedly higher than in the wild-type maize lines (WT) after infection with E. turcicum, and were compromised in the erf105 mutant lines. Simultaneously, ZmERF105 over-expression lines enhanced the expression of several pathogenesis-related (PR) genes, including ZmPR1a, ZmPR2, ZmPR5, ZmPR10.1, and ZmPR10.2 after infection with E. turcicum. In contrast, the expression of PR genes was reduced in erf105 mutant lines. Our work reveals that ZmERF105 as a novel player of the ERF network and positively regulates the maize resistance response to E. turcicum.

Keywords: maize, E. turcicum, ethylene response factor, ZmERF105, transcription factor

INTRODUCTION

Maize (Zea mays L.) is one of the most important food crops in the world. Its productivity is frequently hampered by pathogens and so improved disease resistance is an important goal in many breeding projects (Galiano-Carneiro and Miedaner, 2017; Weems and Bradley, 2018). Northern corn leaf blight (NCLB), caused by *Exserohilum turcicum (E. turcicum)*, is a destructive disease of maize worldwide (Chang and Fan, 1986). It can reduce crop yields approximately 50%, severe infection even results in a total yield loss (Raymundo and Hooker, 1981; Perkins and Pedersen, 1987).

1

Resistant cultivars are the most effective and economical way of controlling NCLB. Nine qualitative *Ht* genes which confer resistance to specific races of *E. turcicum* have already been identified in maize, including *Ht1*, *Ht2*, *Ht3*, *HtN*, *Htm1*, *Htm1*, *HtP*, *ht4*, and *rt* (Carson, 1995; Welz and Geiger, 2000; Hurni et al., 2015). Qualitative resistance often results in breakdown of disease resistance because of a change in the pathogen population; quantitative resistance breeding has become the primary method for NCLB control (Carson, 1995; Welz and Geiger, 2000). Thus, it is necessary to isolate resistance genes and analyze their functions in order to improve maize resistance to *E. turcicum*.

Plants have evolved an array of defense mechanisms that enable them to protect themselves from pathogen infection (Boller and He, 2009; Cui H. T. et al., 2009; Bigeard et al., 2015; Birkenbihl et al., 2017). APETALA2/ETHYLENE RESPONSIVE FACTOR (AP2/ERF) are thought to be the major transcription factors (TFs) that regulate the plant defense system (Nakano et al., 2006; Li et al., 2011; Pieterse et al., 2012; Lai et al., 2013; Lu et al., 2013; Sherif et al., 2013). They were usually classified to three families, including AP2, RAV, and ERF family (Licausi et al., 2013). The ERF family is sometimes further divided into two major subfamilies, the ERF subfamily and the DREB subfamily (Sakuma et al., 2002). The ERFs contain a highly conserved AP2/ERF domain consisting of 57 or 59 amino acid residues, and the AP2/ERF domain binds to the cis-acting element AGCCGCC (GCC-box) presents in the promoters of pathogenesis-related (PR) genes (Ohme-Takagi and Shinshi, 1995; Zarei et al., 2011; Cheng et al., 2013; Franco-Zorrilla et al., 2014). The ERF gene was firstly isolated in tobacco (Ohme-Takagi and Shinshi, 1995), and successively identified in other plant species, such as wheat (Xu et al., 2007), tomato (Pan et al., 2010), soybean (Zhai et al., 2013) and rice (Zhang et al., 2013).

ERF genes acting as transcription activators or repressors involved in plant defense reactions (McGrath et al., 2005; Sherif et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2019). Over-expression of AtERF1 activated the expression of PLANT DEFENSINS (PDF1.2) directly, and increased the plants resistance against a range of pathogens (Berrocal-Lobo et al., 2002; Lorenzo et al., 2003). A T-DNA insertion mutant of AtERF14 increased susceptibility to infection by Fusarium oxysporum (F. oxysporum) (Oñate-Sánchez et al., 2007). AtERF96 positively regulated the Arabidopsis resistance to necrotrophic pathogen through enhancing the expression of PDF1.2a, PR-3, PR-4, and ORA59 (Catinot et al., 2015). The transcription activator, AtERF15 could positively regulate the Arabidopsis resistance against Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato (Pst) DC3000 and Botrytis cinerea (B. cinerea) (Zhang et al., 2015). Although many ERF TFs identified so far are transcription activators, several ERFs were shown to act as negatively regulators of plant defense mechanisms (McGrath et al., 2005; Maruyama et al., 2013; Tian et al., 2015). Overexpression of AtERF4 was more susceptible to F. oxysporum, and knockout mutants of AtERF9 showed enhanced resistance to B. cinerea by suppressing the expression of the PDF1.2 (McGrath et al., 2005; Maruyama et al., 2013). StERF3, which contains an

EAR motif, negatively regulates resistance to *Phytophthora infestans* (*P. infestans*) (Tian et al., 2015).

Previous reports have demonstrated that many ERF genes respond to various stimulus, such as ethylene (ET), salicylic acid (SA), and jasmonic acid (MeJA) (Grant and Jones, 2009; Cheng et al., 2013; Müller and Munné-Bosch, 2015; Yang et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2017; Xie et al., 2019). Transcription of the TaERF1 is induced by ABA, ET, and SA (Xu et al., 2007). Expression of GmERF7 is induced by treatment with MeJA, ET, and ABA (Zhai et al., 2013). Transcription of the GmERF113 can be induced by ABA, ET, and SA (Zhao et al., 2017). These stimulus have been associated with resistance against different types of pathogens, such as (hemi) biotrophic pathogens are modulated via SA signaling pathway while necrotrophic pathogens are mediated through MeJA/ET signaling pathway (Ward et al., 1991; Farmer et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2005; Vlot et al., 2009; Verhage et al., 2010; Caarls et al., 2017). For example, AtERF1, AtERF5, AtERF6, and ORA59 are suggested to participate in JA/ET-regulated defense responses and increased plants resistance against B. cinerea by promoting the expression of AtPDF1.2 and ChiB (Lorenzo et al., 2003; Pre et al., 2008; Leon-Reyes et al., 2010; Zarei et al., 2011; Son et al., 2012; Moffat et al., 2012; Cheng et al., 2013; Van der Does et al., 2013; He et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2018). ERF11 acted as a regulator of the SA-mediated signaling pathway to enhance the Arabidopsis defense response against Pst DC3000 by directly regulating the expression of *BT4* (Zheng et al., 2019).

Although the regulatory functions of the ERF genes have been widely explored in plants, the role of ERF genes in response to E. turcicum remain unknown. In a previous study, a novel ERF gene was significantly induced after infection with E. turcicum in the resistant inbred line "Mo17" than in the susceptible inbred line "Huobai". In this work, we firstly isolated and characterized this ERF gene in maize, designated as ZmERF105 (GenBank No. NM001177195). ZmERF105 protein was exclusively localized to the nucleus. ZmERF105 acts as a transcriptional activator that binds to GCC-box elements. ZmERF105 expression responded to E. turcicum treatment. ZmERF105 positively regulated plant resistance against E. turcicum via regulating the expression of defense-related genes and the activities of antioxidant enzymes. These data suggested that ZmERF105 plays an important role in plant defense reactions, and may be useful in molecular breeding to improve the defensive capacity of maize against E. turcicum.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Stress Treatments

E. turcicum and maize inbred lines were provided by Maize Breeding Team in Jilin Agricultural University. The seeds of the maize inbred line B73 were germinated in a greenhouse under a long-day photoperiod (16-h light, 8-h dark), and watered once every 3 days. Plant stress treatments were performed at the three-leaf stage. For hormone treatments, maize seedlings were sprayed with 0.1 mM MeJA, 0.1 mM ABA, or 0.5 mM SA. ET treatment was performed in sealed plexiglass chamber by dissolving 2 ml of 40% ethephon and 1 g of NaHCO₃ in 200 ml of H₂O.

E. turcicum (mixed races) was inoculated into the maize inbred line Mo17 (resistant inbred line) and Huobai (susceptible inbred line) according to the methods described by Wu et al. (2014), The plants were inoculated with two to three drops of conidial suspension at six-leaf stage, and the concentration of conidia was estimated to be about 1×10^5 spores ml⁻¹. After inoculation, the plants were kept at 100% relative humidity to ensure spore germination. The leaves were collected at 6, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h after the treatment, and were frozen in liquid nitrogen for RNA extraction.

Cloning of *ZmERF105* and Phylogenetic Analysis

The full-length cDNA sequence of *ZmERF105* was amplified from the leaves of the maize inbred line B73 using reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR). The amplified products were cloned into the pMD-18T vector (Takara) for sequencing (Sangon, Shanghai, China). The amino acid sequences of *ZmERF105* and other AP2/ERF members were obtained from NCBI database (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Multiple sequence alignment was analyzed using DNAMAN software, and the phylogenetic tree was generated with the neighbor-joining method using MEGA 5.0 software. The primers used for *ZmERF105* cloning were provided in **Supplementary Table S1**.

Quantitative Real-Time PCR Analysis

Total RNA was extracted from maize leaves using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, China) and reverse transcription was performed by using 1 µg of total RNA. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed on a QuantStudio 3 instrument (Thermo, USA) using a SYBR Mixture system (TOYOBO, Japan). The relative expression levels were calculated by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method with the maize housekeeping gene *ZmTub* (GRMZM2G066191). The expression analysis was performed using three biological and three technical replicates, and statistically analyzed using Student's t-test (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01). The primers used for expression analysis were provided in **Supplementary Table S1**.

Maize Transformation and Assessment of Plant Disease Resistance

The coding sequence of *ZmERF105* was ligated into the *NcoI* and *PmII* sites of pCAMBIA3301 vector to construct the CaMV 35s: *ZmERF105* plasmid, and the recombinant plasmid was introduced into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain LBA4404 using the freeze-thaw method (Holsters et al., 1978). Germinating embryos of the maize inbred line H99 (susceptible inbred line) were used as explants transformed with the *Agrobacterium*-mediated method (Zhou et al., 2011). T₂ transgenic maize lines were further confirmed using PCR and qRT-PCR. Primers used for the vector construction and detection were presented in **Supplementary Table S1**.

erf105 UFMu mutant lines (W22, mu2041934) were obtained from the Maize Genetics Cooperation Stock Center. The homozygous mutant lines were identified using PCR. The transcription levels were detected using qRT-PCR as described

previously. Primers used for detection were presented in **Supplementary Table S1**.

To investigate the transgenic and mutant maize lines resistance against *E. turcicum*, artificial inoculation procedures were performed as described by Wu et al. (2014). The wild-type maize lines (WT) were used as the control. The living ear leaves of T_2 transgenic lines and mutant lines were infected with *E. turcicum*. Disease symptoms on infected leaves were observed with a Nikon D7000 camera at 5 days post-inoculation (dpi). The relative lesion area was measured as described by Cui H. W. et al. (2009) using Photoshop CS3.

Subcellular Localization of ZmERF105

The full-length *ZmERF105* sequence was cloned and ligated into the *Kp*nI and *Xb*aI sites of pCAMBIA1300 vector to construct the CaMV 35s: *ZmERF105-GFP* plasmid. After sequencing confirmation, CaMV 35s: *ZmERF105-GFP* plasmid and empty vector were transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana* (Liu et al., 2010). The GFP fluorescence signal was visualized and photographed with a laser scanning confocal microscope (Leica TCS SP5, Germany). The primers used for expression analysis were provided in **Supplementary Table S1**.

Yeast One-Hybrid Assay

The coding sequence of *ZmERF105* was amplified and ligated into the *Nc*oI and *Eco*RI sites of the pGADT7 vector. The specific DNA fragments, GCC (ATCCATAAGAGCCGCCACTAAAA TAAGACCGATCAA) and mutated GCC (mGCC) (ATCCATAAGATCCTCCACTAAAATAAGACCGATCAA) were inserted into the pHIS2 vector. The plasmids were transformed into yeast strain Y187 and the transformants were selected on SD (-Trp/-Leu). Transformed colonies were subsequently grown on SD (-Trp/-His/Leu) medium with 100 mM 3-amino-1, 2, 4-triazole (3-AT), and were cultured at 28°C for 3 days. Primers used for the vector construction were presented in **Supplementary Table S1**.

Transcription Activity Assay

For transcription activity assay, the coding sequence of ZmERF105 was inserted into NcoI and PmII sites of pCAMBIA3301 vector to construct the CaMV 35s: ZmERF105 effector plasmid. The reporter plasmid was constructed according to the method described by Dong et al. (2015). The combined reporter and effector plasmids were cotransformed into the *Arabidopsis* protoplasts according to a protocol described by Yoo et al. (2007). The relative GUS activity was determined as described by Chen et al. (2006). Primers used for the vector construction were presented in **Supplementary Table S1**.

Enzyme Activity Assay

The fresh leaves (approximately, 0.1 g) of seedlings were harvested and homogenized in 1 ml of 50 mM ice-cold phosphate buffer (pH 7.8). The extract was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C. Superoxide dismutase (SOD) and Peroxidase (POD) activities were measured following the method that described by Li et al. (2015).

RESULTS

Isolation and Sequence Analysis of ZmERF105

The full-length cDNA sequence of ZmERF105 (GenBank No. NM001177195) was isolated from the leaves of maize inbred line B73 using RT-PCR. ZmERF105 has an open reading frame (ORF) of 990 bp, encoding a polypeptide of 329 amino acids with a predicted molecular mass of 34.5 kDa and a theoretical PI of 5.77. The deduced ZmERF105 protein contains a conserved AP2/ERF domain consisting of 58 amino acids, with conserved alanine (A) and aspartic acid (D) in it, suggesting that it is a member of the ERF family (Figure 1). The AP2/ERF domain contains conserved YRG and RAYD elements, which may play a key role in DNA binding and protein interaction, respectively (Figure 1). In addition, the ZmERF105 protein contains a conserved LSPLSPHP motif in its Cterminal region which is a mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) phosphorylation site (Figure 1). The results from searching the Phytozome database (http://www.phytozome.net/ maize) showed that ZmERF105 did not have intron and is located on chromosome 5. The phylogenetic tree analysis indicated that ZmERF105 protein shows highly similarity to SiERF105 (GenBank No. XP004953326), SbERF5 (GenBank No. XP021315889), and OsERF5 (GenBank No. XP015624058), respectively (Figure 2A). According to Fujimoto et al. (2000), ZmERF105 protein belongs to class III ERF group. The results from searching the SWISS-MODEL database (https://swissmodel. expasy.org/) showed that the 3D structure of ZmERF105 has a long C-terminal α -helix (α) wrapped in a three-stranded anti-parallel β -sheet (β 1- β 3) (**Figure 2B**).

Expression Profiles of ZmERF105 Under Various Stresses

In order to better understand the function of *ZmERF105*, the expression levels of *ZmERF105* were investigated using qRT-PCR. The results demonstrated that *ZmERF105* was expressed, with the highest expression in stems, followed by the leaves and the roots (**Figure 3A**). Under SA and ET treatments, the expression levels of *ZmERF105* peaked at 5 and 10 h, respectively (**Figures 3C, F**). MeJA treatment induced a down-regulation of *ZmERF105* expression at 2 h, followed by a slow increase, with maximum at 24 h (**Figure 3D**). In contrast, *ZmERF105* expression rapidly reached the maximum at 2 h after ABA treatment, followed by a rapid decline in 5–10 h, and increased at 24 h (**Figure 3E**).

ZmERF105 expression was detected in Mo17 (resistant inbred line) and Huobai (susceptible inbred line) plants after infection with *E. turcicum*. As shown in **Figure 3B**, the expression level of ZmERF105 was higher in Mo17 leaves than that in Huobai leaves during *E. turcicum* infection. A significant up-regulation of ZmERF105 expression was detected at 6 h and reached a maximum level at 24 h. These results demonstrated that ZmERF105 may involve in response to pathogen and multiple stimulus.

Subcellular Localization of the ZmERF105 Protein

To determine the subcellular location of ZmERF105 protein, a ZmERF105-GFP fusion protein under the control of the *35S* promoter was obtained and introduced into the epidermal cells of *N. benthamiana*. Consistent with its function as a TF, the ZmERF105-GFP fusion protein was exclusively localized to the

ZmERF105 DFSGEIDD.FSCOLREQINGGEASLPIVTN.AAAYSASVVHHPTQAEBHQP.AAEIDQPSBV AtERF5 NATPNEVSAWFIEKENDEASFVATDPWMKHESSSATESSSSSSSIDGSSSSSBADIDFSESVCKP OSERF5 DFSGDIDDLISCOLHOCINCVEADACLFVAVHHBGVAAFAEHQQGHPAAEIQQPMT.MTBA SbERF5 DFSGEIDDLISCOLHOCINCVEADACLFVVFN.AAAYSS.LSVEPTQABHCHAABIDQAASIDA	IIDLDTPR 77 SYVDMANDQ 73 SYVDLTNEY 72	
SIERF105 MDYSGELDD.FSPQLIREQINGGEALACLEVGEDAAAAYSASSVHEAAQAABHHQEAAEVEHQHQQQA		
ZmERF105 AADATVASAGGEAAFRAELVMIRFGGEPSFVSDPSREELFTISLPASHAWGPAAVPLEAP AtERF5 SMEFLSIPFEFDSEVSVSDFDFRSNCNCNCYFEFELSOIRREPITSLFARTEWICFAAENT OSERF5 YLGAHAAAGEAEAVYRAAAAELVMIRFGGEVSFVSDP.RREPITSLFARTEWICFAAENT OSERF5 AADA.ATAASHGEAAAFRAELVMIRFGGEPSFVSDPSREEMTTSDFFSHAWAAAEAVHPAALLCAQ SiERF105 ADAATAASHGEAAAFRAELVMIRFGGEPSFVSDPSREEMTTSDFFSHAWGPAGAVEVCVCAQ SiERF105 ADAAAAAAVVEAAAFRAELVMIRFGGEPSFVSDPSREEMTTSDFFSHAWGGPAAA B-sheet-1 B-sheet-3 blix blix	XAAALD 137 XPEVTKPVS 149 XAAAAAD 148 XAAAALVD 146 XAQALD 139	
ZmERF105 ANDFRK <mark>VRGVRQRPWGKFAAEIRDEKKRGSRVWLGTYDTAIEAARAYDEAAFRWRGAKAIINFF</mark> NEVGTRG ALERF5 EEEKKHYRGVRQRPWGKFAAEIRDEKKRGSRVWLGTDTAIEAARAYDEAAFRWRGAKAIINFFLEVGFRK OSERF5 PNDFRKVRGVRQRPWGKFAAEIRDEKKRGSRVWLGTYDTAIEAARAYDEAAFRWRGAKAIINFFNEVGSRG SbERF5 VNDFRKVRGVRQRPWGKFAAEIRDEKKRGSRVWLGTYDTAIEAARAYDEAAFRWRGAKAIINFFNEVGSRG SIERF105 ANDFRKVRGVRQRPWGKFAAEIRDEKKRGSRVWLGTYDTAIEAARAYDEAAFRWRGAKAIINFFNEVGSRG	PRADEG 226 DFLAPPPP 228 DFLAPPPP 226	
YRG element RAYD element ZmERF105 PPQAAATSQNKRLMHDAEATATAGAGAGAD PAKSVKAEASGSPASSLSPATHTTFASTV AtERF5 TAGAGAGD PAKSVKAEASGSPASSLSPATHTTFASTV SNITELC OsERF5 PPPPTTSTHGKRKRHETAAADPDVEVIGESSKSVKTDTYTSPASSSLASTTTSTV SSERF5 PPPAQAAATTTSSQNKRKLPHDAESEPAAKSVKADAFGSPASSSLASTTTTTTTTTTTASTV SiERF105 QASQNKRKLQHDAAGAEPAAKSVKADAFGSPASSSLSSPATHTASTVTA	WDLTGFIN 279 PSSEAAACG 300 TCSPSSEA 298	
Zmerf105 .GAGHEMFFVTPSSWTWEQLEGVIGILSPLSPHPCIGFPEVIV AtERF5	328 299 343 341 323	





nucleus, and the fluorescent signals of the control were observed in whole cells (**Figure 4**).

ZmERF105 Binds to GCC-Box Elements and Functions as a Transcription Activator

Previous studies have shown that ERF genes contain a conserved DNA-binding domain which can bind to GCC-box elements (Zhang et al., 2004; Tang et al., 2007). To further investigate whether ZmERF105 specifically binds to GCC-box elements, a yeast one-hybrid assay was performed. As shown in **Figure 5A**, the bait stain (pHIS2-GCC) transfected with prey vector (pGADT7-*ZmERF105*) could grow on SD (-Leu/-Trp/-His) medium containing 100 mM 3-AT, while mutant bait stain (pHIS2-*mGCC*) could not. The result indicated that ZmERF105 can bind specifically to GCC-box elements

Transient transcription activity assay was used to determine whether ZmERF105 functions as a transcription activator. Expression of ZmERF105 was driven by the CaMV 35S promoter, and the GUS reporter gene was driven by $4\times$ GCC 35S mini promoter. As shown in **Figure 5B**, the relative GUS activity of the *Arabidopsis* protoplasts transformed with was the CaMV 35S: ZmERF105 and $4\times$ GCC 35S: GUS was approximately 1.4 times as high as the control. These results demonstrated that ZmERF105 functions as a transcriptional activator and binds to GCC-box elements.

ZmERF105 Positively Regulates Maize Resistance Against *E. turcicum*

To investigate whether *ZmERF105* can improve resistance to *E. turcicum* in transgenic maize plants, T_2 transgenic plants, constituting three independent *ZmERF105* over-expressing transgenic lines (OE3, OE4, and OE7) was used to investigate the response to *E. turcicum*. The expression of *ZmERF105* in the

representative transgenic lines (OE3, OE4, and OE7) was further detected by qRT-PCR (**Figure 6B**). As illustrated in **Figures 6A**, **C**, the sizes of the lesions in over-expressing lines were significantly smaller than in the wild-type maize lines (WT) at 5 dpi. In addition, the relative expression of *ZmERF105* had about 0.2-fold and 0.3-fold declines in *erf105* mutant lines, respectively (**Figure 6E**). After inoculation with *E. turcicum*, the *erf105* mutant lines displayed significantly susceptibility compared with the WT at 5 dpi (**Figures 6D, F**). These results demonstrated that *ZmERF105* positively regulates the maize resistance against *E. turcicum*.

ZmERF105 Affects Antioxidant Enzyme Activity

SOD and POD are the most important antioxidant enzyme in the process of ROS scavenging reaction, and it was suggested to participate in various defense mechanisms (Liu et al., 2016). To further confirm whether the role of *ZmERF105* in resistance against *E. turcicum* is related to SOD and PDD activities, we examined the activities of SOD and POD in *ZmERF105* over-expression and *erf105* mutant lines. After inoculation *E. turcicum*, both SOD and POD activities were significantly high in *ZmERF105* over-expression lines compared with those in the mock-inoculated lines at 24 h, and were remarkably decreased in the *erf105* mutant lines (**Figure 7**). These results suggested that *ZmERF105* increases the activities of the antioxidant enzymes in maize resistance to *E. turcicum*.

ZmERF105 Involves in Various Plant Defense Responses

ERF genes are suggested to participate in plant defense responses through regulating the expression of PR genes (Lorenzo et al., 2003; Pre et al., 2008; Moffat et al., 2012; Son et al., 2012). To further



FIGURE 3 | Expression profiles of *ZmERF105* in maize. (A) Expression analysis of *ZmERF105* in different organs. (B) Relative expression levels of *ZmERF105* in Mo17 (resistant inbred line) and Huobai (susceptible inbred line) plants infection with *E. turcicum*. The samples were collected at 0, 6, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h after *E. turcicum* infection. The relative expression levels were compared with susceptible inbred line Huobai at same points. (C–F) Expression analysis of *ZmERF105* under hormone treatments, including 0.5mM SA, 0.1mM MeJA, 0.1mM ABA or ET. The relative expression levels were calculated by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method with the maize housekeeping gene *ZmTub* (GRMZM2G066191) as an internal control. The experiment was performed using three biological and technical replicates each, and analyzed using Student' *t*-tests (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01). Bars indicate standard error of the mean (SE).





analyze the role of *ZmERF105* in resistance against *E. turcicum* in maize, we examined the expression of PR genes that including *ZmPR1a* (GRMZM2G465226), *ZmPR2* (GRMZM5G456997), *ZmPR5* (GRMZM2G402631), *ZmPR10.1* (GRMZM2G112488), and *ZmPR10.2* (GRMZM2G112538). As shown in **Figure 8**, after 24h incubation with *E. turcicum*, the expression levels of these PR genes were highly induced in *ZmERF105* over-expressing lines compared with those in the mock-inoculated lines, and were remarkably reduced in the *erf105* mutant lines. These results indicated that *ZmERF105* may enhance maize defense against *E. turcicum* by directly or indirectly regulating these PR genes.

Due to the expression levels of SA-associated genes ZmPR1a and ZmPR5 exhibited significant increase in the ZmERF105 overexpression lines and were decreased in the erf105 mutant lines after E. turcicum infection, the expression level of ZmERF105 was induced by SA treatment, we speculated whether ZmERF105 is required for SA induced defense response. To test this hypothesis, we further assessed SA induces resistance to E. turcicum infection in erf105 mutant lines. As shown in Supplementary Figure S1, erf105 mutant lines showed less severe disease symptom by application of exogenous 10 mM SA than the water-treated lines at 5 dpi (Supplementary Figure S1). These results demonstrated that ZmERF105 may involve in SA-induced defense response. To further confirm whether ZmERF105 involved in MeJA, ET, and ABA induced defense responses, we detected the expression of MeJA-associated gene ZmLox1 (GRMZM2G156861), ETassociated gene ZmACS6 (GRMZM2G04361), and ABAassociated gene ZmRD20 (GRMZM2G342685), respectively. The

results showed that the expression levels of *ZmLox1* and *ZmACS6* significantly increased in the *ZmERF105* over-expression lines and were decreased in the *erf105* mutant lines after *E. turcicum* infection, while *ZmRD20* showed opposite results (**Supplementary Figure S2**). These results demonstrated that *ZmERF105* may act positively as regulators of MeJA and ET signaling pathways in resistance to *E. turcicum*, and negatively in ABA signaling pathway.

DISCUSSION

Plants have evolved an array of defense mechanisms to protect themselves when they are exposed to a wide variety of pathogens (Schwessinger and Ronald, 2012; Fu and Dong, 2013; Birkenbihl et al., 2017). ERFs are one of the most important TFs in the plant defense system (McGrath et al., 2005; Pre et al., 2008; Maruyama et al., 2013). In maize, a total of 98 predicted ERF members have been identified (Liu et al., 2013). In this study, a novel maize ERF gene, *ZmERF105*, was isolated and identified. *ZmERF105* is involved in the pathogen response pathway and positively regulates the maize resistance against *E. turcicum*.

To our knowledge, ERF families were divided into ERF subfamily and DREB subfamily according to the amino acids difference at position 14th and 19th in AP2/ERF domain (Sakuma et al., 2002). Similar to other ERF proteins, ZmERF105 protein contains a conserved AP2/ERF domain consisting of 58 amino acids, with conserved alanine (A) and aspartic acid (D) in it (**Figure 1**), suggesting that it is a member



FIGURE 6 | Responses of *ZmERF105* over-expression and *erf105* mutant lines to *E. turcicum*. (A) Disease symptoms on the leaves of T_2 transgenic lines at 5 dpi. (B) Relative expression analysis of *ZmERF105* in T_2 transgenic lines. (C) The relative lesion areas of the transgenic lines at 5 dpi. (D) Disease symptoms on the leaves of *erf105* mutant lines at 5 dpi. (E) Relative expression analysis of *ZmERF105* in *erf105* mutant lines. (F) The relative lesion areas of the *erf105* mutant lines at 5 dpi. (E) Relative expression analysis of *ZmERF105* in *erf105* mutant lines. (F) The relative lesion areas of the *erf105* mutant lines at 5 dpi. (E) Relative expression analysis of *ZmERF105* in *erf105* mutant lines. (F) The relative lesion areas of the *erf105* mutant lines at 5 dpi. (E) Relative expression analysis of *ZmERF105* in *erf105* mutant lines. (F) The relative lesion areas of the *erf105* mutant lines at 5 dpi. (E) Relative expression analysis of *ZmERF105* in *erf105* mutant lines. (F) The relative lesion areas of the *erf105* mutant lines at 5 dpi. (E) Relative expression analysis of *ZmERF105* in *erf105* mutant lines. (F) The relative lesion areas of the *erf105* mutant lines at 5 dpi. (E) Relative expression analysis of *ZmERF105* in *erf105* mutant lines. (F) The relative lesion areas of the *erf105* mutant lines at 5 dpi. (E) Relative expression analysis of *ZmERF105* in *erf105* mutant lines. (F) The relative lesion areas of the *erf105* mutant lines at 5 dpi. (E) Relative expression analysis of *ZmERF105* in *erf105* mutant lines. (F) The relative lesion areas of the *erf105* mutant lines at 5 dpi. (E) Relative expression analysis of *ZmERF105* in *erf105* mutant lines. (F) Relative expression areas of the *erf105* mutant lines at 5 dpi. (E) Relative expression areas of the *erf105* mutant lines at 5 dpi. (E) Relative expression areas of the *erf105* mutant lines at 5 dpi. (E) Relative expression areas of the *erf105* mutant lines at 5 dpi. (E) Relative expression areas of the *erf1*







FIGURE 8 | Relative expression levels of defense-related genes under mock treatment and infected by *E. turcicum* at 24 hpi in *ZmERF105* over-expression and *erf105* mutant lines, respectively. **(A, B)** The expression of the *ZmPR10.1*. **(C, D)** The expression of the *ZmPR10.2*. **(E, F)** The expression of the *ZmPR2.* **(G, F)** The expression of the *ZmPR1a*. **(H, I)** The expression of the *ZmPR5*. The relative expression levels were calculated by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method with the maize housekeeping gene *ZmTub* (GRMZM2G066191) as an internal control. The expression of the WT sample [mock-treated wild-type (WT) plants] was set to unity. The experiment was performed using three biological and technical replicates each and analyzed using Student's *t*-tests (**P < 0.01). Bars indicate standard error of the mean (SE).

of the ERF subfamily. Sequence analysis showed that ZmERF105 protein contains a LSPLSPHP motif in its C-terminal region, which maybe indicate the role of ZmERF105 in MAPK signaling pathway (**Figure 1**).

ERF genes have been shown to act as transcription activators or repressors and are able to bind to GCC-box elements (Fujimoto et al., 2000). For example, AtERF1, ORA59, AtERF6, and AtERF96 serve as transcriptional activators while GmERF5 and StERF3 serve as transcriptional repressors, and they can bind to GCC-box elements (Zarei et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2013; Catinot et al., 2015; Dong et al., 2015; Tian et al., 2015). In this study, we found that nuclear loci of ZmERF105 binds specifically to the GCC-box elements by yeast one-hybrid assay and shows transactivation activity in *Arabidopsis* protoplast (**Figures 4** and **5**). Our results suggested that ZmERF105 may function as a transcription activator and regulate defense-related genes expression by binding to GCC-box elements present in their promoters.

Most of ERFs act as activators and positively regulate the plant resistance against pathogens (Berrocal-Lobo et al., 2002; Son et al., 2012). Over-expression of AtERF1, ORA59, AtERF6, AtERF96, and AtERF104 were shown to increase plant resistance against B. cinerea (Berrocal-Lobo et al., 2002; Pre et al., 2008; Bethke et al., 2009; Zarei et al., 2011; Meng et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013; Catinot et al., 2015). The transcription activator, AtERF15 could positively regulate the Arabidopsis resistance against Pst DC3000 and B. cinerea (Zhang et al., 2015). Overexpression of GmERF113 in transgenic soybean led to increased resistance to Phytophthora Sojae (P.sojae) (Zhao et al., 2017). In our research, the expression of ZmERF105 was significantly induced after infection with E. turcicum in the resistant inbred line "Mo17" than in the susceptible inbred line "Huobai" (Figure 3B). Therefore, we speculate that ZmERF105 may play important role in response to E. turcicum. As a result, we further analyzed the function of ZmERF105 in resistance to E. turcicum. Overexpression of ZmERF105 was shown to increase maize resistance

against *E. turcicum*, and *erf105* mutant lines displayed opposite phenotype (**Figure 6**). These results demonstrated that *ZmERF105* positively regulates the maize resistance response to *E. turcicum*.

ERF genes are mainly involved in plant defense response by directly regulating the expression of defense-related genes (Meng et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013). AtERF96 positively regulates the Arabidopsis resistance to B. cinerea by enhancing the expression of PDF1.2a, PR-3, and PR-4 (Catinot et al., 2015). Overexpression of AtERF1, AtERF5, AtERF6, and ORA59 increased Arabidopsis resistance against B. cinerea by promoting the expression of AtPDF1.2 (Lorenzo et al., 2003; Zarei et al., 2011; Son et al., 2012; Moffat et al., 2012; Cheng et al., 2013). Overexpression of GmERF3 in transgenic tobacco enhanced resistance against tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) and activating the expression of PR1, PR2, and PR4 (Zhang et al., 2009). Overexpression of GmERF113 increased soybean resistance to P. sojae and increased transcript levels of GmPR1 and GmPR10-1 (Zhao et al., 2017). Our previous research demonstrated that ZmERF105 over-expression lines enhanced the expression of several PR genes, including ZmPR1a, ZmPR2, ZmPR5, ZmPR10.1 and ZmPR10.2 after infection with E. turcicum, while the expression levels of these PR genes were reduced in erf105 mutant lines (Figure 8). We speculated that ZmERF105 may enhance maize defense against E. turcicum by directly or indirectly regulating these PR genes.

POD and SOD are the most important antioxidant enzymes to help eliminate the excessive accumulation of ROS in plants, to induce resistance or repair the damage (Liu et al., 2016). In the present study, the activities of SOD and POD in the *ZmERF105* over-expression lines were markedly higher than in the WT after infection with *E. turcicum*, and were compromised in the *erf105* mutant lines (**Figure 7**). These results demonstrated that *ZmERF105* can strengthen ROS scavenging capability to provide sufficient protection against oxidative damage.

The phytohormones ET, JA, SA, and ABA are important for plants to distinguish and resist distinctive pathogens (Pieterse et al., 2012; Van der Does et al., 2013). Over-expression of AtERF5 resulted in increased resistance to B. cinerea through MeJA/ET signaling pathway, while showed increased susceptibility to the hemibiotroph Pst DC3000 via suppressing SA-mediated signaling pathway (Son et al., 2012; Moffat et al., 2012). AtERF1 and ORA59 are more resistant to B. cinerea via MeJA/ET-mediated signaling pathway (Berrocal-Lobo et al., 2002; Pre et al., 2008). AtERF15 involved in defense against B. cinerea and Pst DC3000 through SA signaling pathway (Zhang et al., 2015). ERF11 acted as a regulator of the SA-mediated signaling pathway to enhance the Arabidopsis defense response against Pst DC3000. The expression levels of SA-associated genes ZmPR1a and ZmPR5 exhibited significant increases in the ZmERF105 over-expression lines but were decreased in the erf105 mutant lines after E. turcicum infection (Figure 8). Furthermore, the expression of ZmERF105 was induced by SA treatment (Figure 3C) and SA induces resistance to E. turcicum infection in erf105 mutant lines (Supplementary Figure S1). These results demonstrated that ZmERF105 may involve in

defense response to *E. turcicum* in the regulation of SA signaling pathways. In addition, we further demonstrated that *ZmERF105* may positively regulate the expression of MeJA-associated gene and ET-associated gene in response to *E. turcicum*, and negatively regulate the expression of ABA-associated gene **Supplementary Figure S2**). The results showed that *ZmERF105* may act positively as regulators of MeJA and ET signaling pathways in resistance to *E. turcicum*, and negatively in ABA signaling pathway.

In conclusion, ZmERF105 is a novel ERF gene and plays an important role in the plant defense system. ZmERF105 protein was exclusively localized to the nucleus. ZmERF105 acts as a transcriptional activator that binds to GCC-box elements. ZmERF105 expression responded to *E. turcicum* treatment. ZmERF105 positively regulated plant resistance against *E. turcicum via* regulating the expression of defense-related genes and the activities of antioxidant enzymes. Overall, our study provides new information to dissect the poorly understood mechanism of ZmERF105 in plant immune pathways.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All datasets generated for this study are included in the article/ Supplementary Material.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceived and designed the experiments: LJ and WGY. Performed the experiments and drafted the manuscript: ZZ, YL, SL, HW, and WM. Analyzed the data: ZW, WY, JC, and XR. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: LJ and WGY.

FUNDING

This research was supported by Science and Technology Development Project of Jilin Province (20190301013NY), Doctor Foundation of Jilin Agricultural University (201801) and "13th Five-Year" Science and Technology Project of Education Department of Jilin Province (JJKH20180660KJ).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Maize Genetics Cooperation Stock Center for the maize mutants.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2020.00850/ full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

- Berrocal-Lobo, M., Molina, A., and Solano, R. (2002). Constitutive expression of ETHYLENE-RESPONSE-FACTOR1 in Arabidopsis confers resistance to several necrotrophic fungi. *Plant J.* 29, 23–32. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313x.2002.01191.x
- Bethke, G., Unthan, T., Uhrig, J. F., Pöschl, Y., Gust, A. A., Scheel, D., et al. (2009).
 Flg22 regulates the release of an ethylene response factor substrate from MAP kinase 6 in *Arabidopsis thaliana* via ethylene signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 106, 8067–8072. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0810206106
- Bigeard, J., Colcombet, J., and Hirt, H. (2015). Signaling mechanisms in patterntriggered immunity (PTI). *Mol. Plant* 8, 521-539. doi: 10.1016/ j.molp.2014.12.022
- Birkenbihl, R. P., Liu, S., and Somssich, I. E. (2017). Transcriptional events defining plant immune responses. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 38, 1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.201-7.04.004
- Boller, T., and He, S. Y. (2009). Innate immunity in plants: an arms race between pattern recognition receptors in plants and effectors in microbial pathogens. *Science* 324, 742–744. doi: 10.1126/science.1171647
- Caarls, L., Van der Does, D., Hickman, R., Jansen, W., Verk, M. C., Proietti, S., et al. (2017). Assessing the role of ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR transcriptional repressors in salicylic acid-mediated suppression of jasmonic acid-responsive genes. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 58, 266–278. doi: 10.1093/pcp/ pcw187
- Carson, M. L. (1995). A new gene in maize conferring the "Chlorotic Halo" reaction to infection by *Exserohilum turcicum. Plant Dis.* 79, 717–720. doi: 10.1094/PD-7-9-0717
- Catinot, J., Huang, J. B., Huang, P. Y., Tseng, M. Y., Chen, Y. L., Gu, S. Y., et al. (2015). ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR 96 positively regulates *Arabidopsis* resistance to necrotrophic pathogens by direct binding to GCC elements of jasmonate- and ethylene-responsive defence genes. *Plant Cell Environ.* 38, 2721–2734. doi: 10.1111/pce.12583
- Chang, H. S., and Fan, K. C. (1986). Comparative studies on some biology and pathology of corn and broom corn isolates of *Exserohilum turcicum* (Pass) Leonard & Suggs. *Bot. Bull. Acad. Sinica* 27, 209–218.
- Chen, S. B., Tao, L. Z., Zeng, L. R., Vega-Sanchez, M. E., Umemura, K., and Wang, G. L. (2006). A highly efficient transient protoplast system for analyzing defence gene expression and protein-protein interactions in rice. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 7, 417–427. doi: 10.1111/J.1364-3703.2006.00346.x
- Cheng, M. C., Liao, P. M., Kuo, W. W., and Lin, T. P. (2013). The Arabidopsis ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR1 regulates abiotic stress-responsive gene expression by binding to different cis-acting elements in response to different stress signals. *Plant Physiol.* 162, 1566–1582. doi: 10.1104/pp.113.221911
- Cui, H. T., Xiang, T. T., and Zhou, J. M. (2009). Plant immunity: a lesson from pathogenic bacterial effector proteins. *Cell. Microbiol.* 11, 1453–1461. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2009.01359.x
- Cui, H. W., Yang, Y. L., Li, J. T., Luo, W. F., Miao, A. M., Hu, Z. X., et al. (2009). A faster method for measuring relative lesion area on leaves based on software photoshop. J. Anhui Agric. Sci. 37, 10760–10762, 10805. doi: 10.3969/ j.issn.0517-6611.2009.22.192
- Dong, L. D., Cheng, Y. X., Wu, J. J., Cheng, Q., Li, W. B., Fan, S. J., et al. (2015). Overexpression of GmERF5, a new member of the soybean EAR motifcontaining ERF transcription factor, enhances resistance to *Phytophthora sojae* in soybean. J. Exp. Bot. 66, 2635–2647. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv078
- Farmer, E. E., Alméras, E., and Krishnamurthy, V. (2003). Jasmonates and related oxylipins in plant responses to pathogenesis and herbivory. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 6, 372–378. doi: 10.1016/s1369-5266(03)00045-1
- Franco-Zorrilla, J. M., Lopez-Vidriero, I., Carrasco, J. L., Godoy, M., Vera, P., and Solano, R. (2014). DNA-binding specificities of plant transcription factors and their potential to define target genes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 111, 2367– 2372. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1316278111
- Fu, Z. Q., and Dong, X. N. (2013). Systemic acquired resistance: turning local infection into global defense. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 64, 839–863. doi: 10.1146/ annurev-arplant-042811-105606
- Fujimoto, S. Y., Ohta, M., Usui, A., Shinshi, H., and Ohme-Takagi, M. (2000). *Arabidopsis* ethylene-responsive element binding factors act as transcriptional activators or repressors of GCC box-mediated gene expression. *Plant Cell* 12, 393–404. doi: 10.1105/tpc.12.3.393

- Galiano-Carneiro, A. L., and Miedaner, T. (2017). Genetics of Resistance and Pathogenicity in the Maize/Setosphaeria turcica Pathosystem and Implications for Breeding. Front. Plant Sci. 8, 1490. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01490
- Grant, M. R., and Jones, J. D. (2009). Hormone (dis) harmony moulds plant health and disease. *Science* 324, 750–752. doi: 10.1126/science.1173771
- He, X., Jiang, J. S., Wang, C. Q., and Dehesh, K. (2017). ORA59 and EIN3 interaction couples jasmonate-ethylene synergistic action to antagonistic salicylic acid regulation of PDF expression. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 59, 275–287. doi: 10.1111/jipb.12524
- Holsters, M., De, W. D., Depicker, A., Messens, E., Van, M. M., and Schell, J. (1978). Transfection and of Agrobacterium tumefaciens. Mol. Genet. Genomics 163, 181–187. doi: 10.1007/BF00267408
- Huang, P. Y., Catinot, J., and Zimmerli, L. (2016). Ethylene response factors in Arabidopsis immunity. J. Exp. Bot. 67, 1231–1241. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv518
- Huang, P. Y., Zhang, J. S., Jiang, B., Chan, C., Yu, J. H., Liu, Y. P., et al. (2019). NINJA-associated ERF19 negatively regulates *Arabidopsis* pattern-triggered immunity. J. Exp. Bot. 70, 1033–1047. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ery414
- Hurni, S., Scheuermann, D., Krattinger, S. G., Kessel, B., Wicker, T., Herren, G., et al. (2015). The maize disease resistance gene *Htn1* against northern corn leaf blight encodes a wall-associated receptor-like kinase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 112, 8780–8785. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1502522112
- Kim, N. Y., Jang, Y. J., and Park, O. K. (2018). AP2/ERF Family Transcription Factors ORA59 and RAP2.3 Interact in the Nucleus and Function Together in Ethylene Responses. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9, 167. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.01675
- Lai, Y., Dang, F. F., Lin, J., Yu, L., Shi, Y. L., Xiao, Y. H., et al. (2013). Overexpression of a Chinese cabbage BrERF11 transcription factor enhances disease resistance to *Ralstonia solanacearum* in tobacco. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 62, 70–78. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2012.10.010
- Leon-Reyes, A., Du, Y. J., Koornneef, A., Proietti, S., Körbes, A. P., Memelink, J., et al. (2010). Ethylene signaling renders the jasmonate response of *Arabidopsis* insensitive to future suppression by salicylic acid. Mol. *Plant Microbe Interact.* 23, 187–197. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-23-2-0187
- Li, Z. F., Zhang, L. X., Yu, Y. W., Quan, R. D., Zhang, Z. J., Zhang, H. W., et al. (2011). The ethylene response factor AtERF11 that is transcriptionally modulated by the bZIP transcription factor HY5 is a crucial repressor for ethylene biosynthesis in. *Arabidopsis Plant J.* 68, 88–99. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04670.x
- Li, Z. J., Zhu, B., Wang, B., Gao, J. J., Fu, X. Y., and Yao, Q. H. (2015). Stress responses to trichlorophenol in *Arabidopsis* and integrative analysis of alteration in transcriptional profiling from microarray. *Gene* 555, 159–168. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2014.10.059
- Li, Z. J., Tian, Y. S., Xu, J., Fu, X. Y., Gao, J. J., Wang, B., et al. (2018). A tomato ERF transcription factor, SIERF84, confers enhanced tolerance to drought and salt stress but negatively regulates immunity against *Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato* DC3000. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 132, 683–695. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2-018.08.022
- Licausi, F., Ohme-Takagi, M., and Perata, P. (2013). APETALA2/Ethylene Responsive Factor (AP2/ERF) transcription factors: mediators of stress responses and developmental programs. *New Phytol.* 199, 639–649. doi: 10.1111/nph.12291
- Liu, L. J., Zhang, Y. Y., Tang, S. Y., Zhao, Q. Z., Zhang, Z. H., Zhang, H. W., et al. (2010). An efficient system to detect protein ubiquitination by agroinfiltration in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. *Plant J.* 61, 893–903. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.0-4109
- Liu, S. X., W, X. L., Wang, H. W., X, H. B., Y, X. H., Y, J. B., et al. (2013). Genomewide analysis of *ZmDREB* Genes and their association with natural variation in drought tolerance at seedling stage of Zea Mays L. *PloS Genet.* 9, e1003790. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003790
- Liu, N. N., Ma, X. W., Zhou, S. H., Wang, P., Sun, Y., Li, X. C., et al. (2016). Molecu-lar and functional characterization of a polygalacturonase-inhibiting protein from *Cynanchum komarovii* that confers fungal resistance in *Arabidopsis. PloS One* 11, e146959. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.014695
- Lorenzo, O., Piqueras, R., Sánchez-Serrano, J. J., and Solano, R. (2003). ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR1 integrates signals from ethylene and jasmonate pathways in plant defense. *Plant Cell* 15, 165–178. doi: 10.1105/ tpc.007468
- Lu, X., Jiang, W. M., Zhang, L., Zhang, F., Zhang, F. Y., Shen, Q., et al. (2013). AaERF1 positively regulates the resistance to *Botrytis cinerea* in *Artemisia* annua. PloS One 8, e57657. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0057657

- Müller, M., and Munné-Bosch, S. (2015). Ethylene response factors: a key regulatory hub in hormone and stress signaling. *Plant Physiol.* 169, 32–41. doi: 10.1104/pp.15.00677
- Maruyama, Y., Yamoto, N., Suzuki, Y., Chiba, Y., Yamazaki, K. I., Sato, T., et al. (2013). The Arabidopsis transcriptional repressor ERF9 participates in resistance against necrotrophic fungi. *Plant Sci.* 213, 79–87. doi: 10.1016/ j.plantsci.2013.0-8.008
- McGrath, K. C., Dombrecht, B., Manners, J. M., Schenk, P. M., Edgar, C. I., Maclean, D. J., et al. (2005). Repressor- and activator-type ethylene response factors functioning in jasmonate signaling and disease resistance identified via agenome-wide screen of *Arabidopsis* transcription factor gene expression. *Plant Physiol.* 139, 949–959. doi: 10.1104/pp.105.068544
- Meng, X. Z., Xu, J., He, Y. X., Yang, K. Y., Mordorski, B., Liu, Y. D., et al. (2013). Phosphorylation of an ERF transcription factor by Arabidopsis MPK3/MPK6 regulates plant defense gene induction and fungal resistance. *Plant Cell* 25, 1126–1142. doi: 10.1105/tpc.112.109074
- Moffat, C. S., Ingle, R. A., Wathugala, D. L., Saunders, N. J., Knight, H., and Knight, M. R. (2012). ERF5 and ERF6 play redundant roles as positive regulators of JA/Et-mediated defense against *Botrytis cinerea* in *Arabidopsis*. *PloS One* 7, e35995. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0035995
- Nakano, T., Suzuki, K., Fujimura, T., and Shinshi, H. (2006). Genome-wide analysis of the ERF gene family in *Arabidopsis* and rice. *Plant Physiol.* 140, 411–432. doi: 10.1104/pp.105.073783
- Oñate-Sánchez, L., Anderson, J. P., Young, J., and Singh, K. B. (2007). AtERF14, a member of the ERF family of transcription factors, plays a nonredundant role in plant defense. *Plant Physiol*. 143, 400–409. doi: 10.1104/pp.106.086637
- Ohme-Takagi, M., and Shinshi, H. (1995). Ethylene-inducible DNA binding proteins that interact with an ethylene-responsive element. *Plant Cell* 7, 173– 182. doi: 10.1105/tpc.7.2.173
- Pan, I. C., Li, C. W., Su, R. C., Cheng, C. P., Lin, C. S., and Chan, M. T. (2010). Ectopic expression of an EAR motif deletion mutant of SIERF3 enhances tolerance to salt stress and *Ralstonia solanacearum* in tomato. *Planta* 232, 1075–1086. doi: 10.1007/s00425-010-1235-5
- Perkins, J. M., and Pedersen, W. L. (1987). Disease development and yield losses associated with northern leaf blight on corn. *Plant Dis.* 71, 940–943. doi: 10.1094/PD-71-0940
- Pieterse, C. M., Van der Does, D., Zamioudis, C., Leon-Reyes, A., and Van Wees, S. C. (2012). Hormonal modulation of plant immunity. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* 28, 489–521. doi: 10.1146/annurev-cellbio-092910-154055
- Pré, M., Atallah, M., Champion, A., De Vos, M., Pieterse, C. M. J., and Memelink, J. (2008). The AP2/ERF domain transcription factor ORA59 integrates jasmonic acid and ethylene signals in plant defense. *Plant Physiol.* 147, 1347–1357. doi: 10.1104/pp.108.117523
- Raymundo, A. D., and Hooker, A. L. (1981). Measuring the relationship between northern corn leaf blight and yield losses. *Plant Dis.* 65, 325–327. doi: 10.1094/ PD-65-325
- Sakuma, Y., Liu, Q., Dubouzet, J. G., Abe, H., Shinozaki, K., and Yamaguchi-Shinoz- aki, K. (2002). DNA-binding specificity of the ERF/AP2 domain of *Arabidopsis* DREBs, transcription factors involved in dehydration- and coldinducible gene expression. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 290, 998–1009. doi: 10.1006/bbrc.-2001.6299
- Schwessinger, B., and Ronald, P. C. (2012). Plant innate immunity: perception of conserved microbial signatures. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 63, 451–482. doi: 10.114-6/annurev-arplant-042811-105518
- Sherif, S., El-Sharkawy, I., Paliyath, G., and Jayasankar, S. (2013). PpERF3b, a transcriptional repressor from peach, contributes to disease susceptibility and side branching in EAR-dependent and -independent fashions. *Plant Cell Rep.* 32, 1111–1124. doi: 10.1007/s00299-013-1405-6
- Son, G. H., Wan, J., Kim, H. J., Nguyen, X. C., Chung, W. S., Hong, J. C., et al. (2012). Ethylene-responsive element-binding factor 5, ERF5, is involved in chitin-induced innate immunity response. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 25, 48– 60. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-06-11-0165
- Tang, M. J., Sun, J. W., Liu, Y., Chen, F., and Shen, S. H. (2007). Isolation and functional characterization of the JCERF gene, a putative AP2/EREBP domaincontaining transcription factor, in the woody oil plant *Jatropha curcas*. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 63, 419–428. doi: 10.1007/s11103-006-9098-7
- Tian, Z. D., He, Q., Wang, H. X., Liu, Y., Zhang, Y., Shao, F., et al. (2015). The potato ERF transcription factor StERF3 negatively regulates resistance to

Phytophthora infestans and salt tolerance in potato. Plant Cell Physiol. 56, 992–1005. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcv025

- Van der Does, D., Leon-Reyes, A., Koornneef, A., Van Verk, M. C., Rodenburg, N., Pauwels, L., et al. (2013). Salicylic acid suppresses jasmonic acid signaling downstream of SCFCOII-JAZ by targeting GCC promoter motifs via transcripti-on factor ORA59. *Plant Cell* 25, 744–761. doi: 10.1105/ tpc.112.108548
- Verhage, A., Van Wees, S. C., and Pieterse, C. M. (2010). Plant immunity: it's the hormones talking, but what do they say? *Plant Physiol*. 154, 536–540. doi: 10.1104/pp.110.161570
- Vlot, A. C., Dempsey, D. A., and Klessig, D. F. (2009). Salicylic acid, a multifaceted hormone to combat disease. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 47, 177–206. doi: 10.1146/annurev.phyto.050908.135202
- Wang, P. C., Du, Y. Y., Zhao, X. L., Miao, Y. C., and Song, C. P. (2013). The MPK6-ERF6-ROS- responsive cis-acting Element7/GCC box complex modulat-es oxidative gene transcription and the oxidative response in *Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol.* 161, 1392–1408. doi: 10.1104/pp.112.210724
- Ward, E. R., Uknes, S. J., Williams, S. C., Dincher, S. S., Wiederhold, D. L., Alexander, D. C., et al. (1991). Coordinate gene activity in response to agents that induce systemic acquired resistance. *Plant Cell* 3, 1085–1094. doi: 10.1105/ tpc.3.10.1085
- Weems, J. D., and Bradley, C. A. (2018). *Exserohilum Turcicum* Race Population Distribution in the North Central United States. *Plant Dis.* 102, 292–299. doi: 10.1094/PDIS-01-17-0128-RE
- Welz, H. G., and Geiger, H. H. (2000). Genes for resistance to northern corn leaf blig-ht in diverse maize populations. *Plant Breed*. 119, 1–14. doi: 10.1046/ j.1439-0523.2000.00462.x
- Wu, F. L., Shu, J. H., and Jin, W. B. (2014). Identification and Validation of miRNAs Associated with the Resistance of Maize (*Zea mays L.*) to *Exserohilum turcicum. PloS One* 9, e87251. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0087251
- Xie, Z. L., Nolan, T. M., Jiang, H., and Yin, Y. H. (2019). AP2/ERF transcription factor regulatory networks in hormone and abiotic stress responses in *Arabidopsis. Front. Plant Sci.* 10, 228. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00228
- Xu, Z. S., Xia, L. Q., Chen, M., Cheng, X. G., Zhang, R. Y., Li, L. C., et al. (2007). Isolation and molecular characterization of the *Triticum aestivum L*. ethyleneresponsive factor 1 (TaERF1) that increases multiple stress tolerance. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 65, 719–732. doi: 10.1007/s11103-007-9237-9
- Yang, Z., Tian, L. N., Latoszek-Green, M., Brown, D., and Wu, K. Q. (2005). *Arabidopsis* ERF4 is a transcriptional repressor capable of modulating ethylene and abscisic acid responses. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 58, 585–596. doi: 10.1007/s11103-005-7294-5
- Yang, L., Li, B., Zheng, X. Y., Li, J., Yang, M., Dong, X., et al. (2015). Salicylic acid biosynthesis is enhanced and contributes to increased biotrophic pathogen resistance in *Arabidopsis* hybrids. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 7309. doi: 10.1038/ ncomms-8309
- Yoo, S. D., Cho, Y. H., and Sheen, J. (2007). Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts: a versatile cell system for transient gene expression analysis. Nat. Protoc. 2, 1565–1572. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2007.199
- Zarei, A., Körbes, A. P., Younessi, P., Montiel, G., Champion, A., and Memelink, J. (2011). Two GCC boxes and AP2/ERF-domain transcription factor ORA59 in jasmonate/ethylene-mediated activation of the PDF1.2 promoter in *Arabidopsis. Plant Mol. Biol.* 75, 321–331. doi: 10.1007/s11103-010-9728-y
- Zhai, Y., Wang, Y., Li, Y. J., Lei, T. T., Yan, F., Su, L. T., et al. (2013). Isolation and molecular characterization of GmERF7, a soybean ethylene-response factor thatincreases salt stress tolerance in tobacco. *Gene* 513, 174–183. doi: 10.1016/ j.gene.2012.10.018
- Zhang, H. W., Huang, Z. J., Xie, B. Y., Chen, Q., Tian, X., Zhang, X. L., et al. (2004). The ethylene-, jasmonate-, abscisic acid-, and NaCl-responsive tomato transcription factor JERF1 modulates expression of GCC box-containing genes and salt tolerance intobacco. *Planta* 220, 262–270. doi: 10.1007/s00425-004-1347-x
- Zhang, G. Y., Chen, M., Li, L. C., Xu, Z. S., Chen, X. P., Guo, J. M., et al. (2009). Overexpression of the soybean GmERF3 gene, an AP2/ERF type transcription factor for increased tolerances to salt, drought, and diseases in transgenic tobacco. J. Exp. Bot. 60, 3781–3796. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erp214
- Zhang, H. W., Zhang, J. F., Quan, R. D., Pan, X. W., Wan, L. Y., and Huang, R. F. (2013). EAR motif mutation of rice OsERF3 alters the regulation of ethylene biosynthesis and drought tolerance. *Planta* 237, 1443–1451. doi: 10.1007/s004-25-013-1852-x

- Zhang, H. J., Huang, L., Dai, Y., Liu, S. X., Hong, Y. B., Tian, L. M., et al. (2015). Arabidopsis AtERF15 positively regulates immunity against Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 and Botrytis cinerea. Front. Plant Sci. 6, 686. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00686
- Zhang, W., Corwin, J. A., Copeland, D., Feusier, J., Eshbaugh, R., Chen, F., et al. (2017). Plastic transcriptomes stabilize immunity to pathogen diversity: the jasmonic acid and salicylic acid networks within the *Arabidopsis/Botrytis* pathosystem. *Plant Cell* 29, 2727–2752. doi: 10.1105/tpc.17.00348
- Zhao, Y. L., Chang, X., Qi, D. Y., Dong, L. D., Wang, G. J., Fan, S. J., et al. (2017). A novel soybean ERF transcription factor, GmERF113, increases resistance to *Phytophthora sojae* infection in soybean. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 299. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2017.00299
- Zheng, X., Xing, J. H., Zhang, K., Pang, X., Zhao, Y. T., Wang, G. Y., et al. (2019). Ethylene response factor ERF11 activates BT4 transcription to regulate immunity to *Pseudomonas syringae*. *Plant Physiol*. 180, 1132–1151. doi: 10.1104/pp.18.01209
- Zhou, Y., Lu, C. H., Wang, Z. H., Liang, G. D., Shan, C. J., Zhang, H., et al. (2011). Introduction of BcBCP1 gene mediated by Agrobacterium Tumefacien into germinating embryo of maize. J. Maize Sci. 19, 52–54. doi: 10.13597/ j.cnki.maize.science.2011.05.029

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Zang, Lv, Liu, Yang, Ci, Ren, Wang, Wu, Ma, Jiang and Yang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.