



Molecular Cloning and Functional Analysis of the *NPR1* Homolog in Kiwifruit (*Actinidia eriantha*)

Lei-Ming Sun, Jin-Bao Fang^{*}, Min Zhang, Xiu-Juan Qi, Miao-Miao Lin and Jin-Yong Chen

Zhengzhou Fruit Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Zhengzhou, China

Kiwifruit bacterial canker, caused by the bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv. actinidiae (Psa), is a destructive disease in the kiwifruit industry globally. Consequently, understanding the mechanism of defense against pathogens in kiwifruit could facilitate the development of effective novel protection strategies. The Non-expressor of Pathogenesis-Related genes 1 (NPR1) is a critical component of the salicylic acid (SA)-dependent signaling pathway. Here, a novel kiwifruit NPR1-like gene, designated AeNPR1a, was isolated by using PCR and rapid amplification of cDNA ends techniques. The full-length cDNA consisted of 1952 base pairs with a 1,746-bp open-reading frame encoding a 582 amino acid protein. Homology analysis showed that the AeNPR1a protein is significantly similar to the VvNPR1 of grape. A 2.0 Kb 5'-flanking region of AeNPR1a was isolated, and sequence identification revealed the presence of several putative cis-regulatory elements, including basic elements, defense and stress response elements, and binding sites for WRKY transcription factors. Real-time quantitative PCR results demonstrated that AeNPR1a had different expression patterns in various tissues, and its transcription could be induced by phytohormone treatment and Psa inoculation. The yeast twohybrid assay revealed that AeNPR1a interacts with AeTGA2. Constitutive expression of AeNPR1a induced the expression of pathogenesis-related gene in transgenic tobacco plants and enhanced tolerance to bacterial pathogens. In addition, AeNPR1a expression could restore basal resistance to Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 (Pst) in Arabidopsis npr1-1 mutant. Our data suggest that AeNPR1a gene is likely to play a pivotal role in defense responses in kiwifruit.

Keywords: kiwifruit, NPR1, disease resistance, *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. actinidiae, systemic acquired resistance, salicylic acid

INTRODUCTION

Kiwifruit (*Actinidia* spp) is a perennial deciduous vine originating from China, and it is an emerging economic fruit tree. It has long been called "the king of VC" because of its unique flavor and high nutrient composition, being rich in vitamin C (Huang et al., 2013; Huang, 2016). Over the past few decades, kiwifruit has become an important horticultural crop, and numerous varieties have been commercialized. However, the majority of the currently cultivated *Actinidia chinensis* (i.e., Hort16A,

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Andrea Chini, National Center for Biotechnology (CNB), Spain

Reviewed by:

Hirofumi Nakagami, Max Planck Institute for Plant Breeding Research, Germany Yuelin Zhang, University of British Columbia, Canada

> ***Correspondence:** Jin-Bao Fang fangjinbao@caas.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Pathogen Interactions, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 12 April 2020 Accepted: 01 September 2020 Published: 16 September 2020

Citation:

Sun L-M, Fang J-B, Zhang M, Qi X-J, Lin M-M and Chen J-Y (2020) Molecular Cloning and Functional Analysis of the NPR1 Homolog in Kiwifruit (Actinidia eriantha). Front. Plant Sci. 11:551201. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.551201

1

Hongyang) and Actinidia deliciosa (i.e., Hayward) cultivars and their pollinators are highly susceptible to the infection of kiwifruit bacterial canker, a major kiwifruit disease caused by Pseudomonas syringae pv. actinidiae (Psa). The pathogen was first recognized and recorded in Japan in 1984 (Serizawa et al., 1989) and was subsequently described in Korea, Italy, and other kiwifruit production areas (Koh et al., 1994; Everett et al., 2011). Psa is the primary factor limiting kiwifruit production, and it is responsible for considerable economic losses in the kiwifruit industry globally. Therefore, a comprehensive understanding of kiwifruit disease defense mechanisms would facilitate the management and decrease of the effects of pathogen infection and the development of effective strategies for controlling Psa. In recent years, the genomes of several kiwifruit cultivars (i.e., A. chinensis Hongyang, Red5, and A. eriantha White) have been sequenced, which is convenient for the exploration of genes involved in defense responses and the study of regulatory mechanisms (Huang et al., 2013; Pilkington et al., 2018; Tang et al., 2019).

Plants have evolved complex regulatory networks of defenses to recognize and combat invading microbes and herbivorous insects, such as hypersensitive response (HR), systemic acquired resistance (SAR), and induced systemic resistance (ISR) (Kinkema et al., 2000). Such defense systems are tightly coordinated through the activities of several phytohormones, especially salicylic acid (SA). SA is a defense signal molecule that participates in resistance against biotrophic and hemibiotrophic pathogens by inducing SAR (Pieterse et al., 2009; An and Mou, 2011). SAR is one of the most commonly induced defense mechanisms and is typically triggered when plants are invaded locally by pathogens to provide long-lasting and broad-spectrum protection for the whole plant (Gao et al., 2015). The establishment of SAR involves the generation and transport of signals to uninfected distal tissues, which is highly dependent on the higher levels of in vivo SA, since blocking of SA accumulation inhibits SAR induction (Shine et al., 2019; Zhang and Li, 2019). When plants are attacked by a pathogen, SA concentrations increase from a basal level to a high level both locally and systemically. In addition, in many plants, exogenous application of SA or its functional analogs, such as 2, 6dichloroisonicotinic acid (INA) and benzo (1,2,3) thiadiazole-7-carbothioic acid S-methylester (BTH), can also induce SAR and enhance plant resistance to disease (Görlach et al., 1996; Wu et al., 2012).

Despite the underlying mechanisms of the relationship between SA and SAR have not yet been elucidated comprehensively, Extensive studies in model plant *Arabidopsis* have shown that the Non-expressor of Pathogenesis-Related genes 1 (NPR1), also known as Non-inducible immunity 1 (NIM1) or Salicylic acid insensitive 1 (SAI1), played a crucial role in salicylic acid-mediated SAR (Loake and Grant, 2007; Chen et al., 2019). NPR1 belongs to a small NPR1-like family that contains four NPR1homologs (AtNPR1-AtNPR4) and two BOP homologs (AtBOP1 and AtBOP2) in *Arabidopsis*. Phylogenetic analysis of this family revealed three functionally distinct clades (Zhang et al., 2006; Kuai and Després, 2016). The most widely studied member of the *NPR1*-like family is the *NPR1* gene. NPR1 was originally identified in a screen of Arabidopsis mutants that were unable to express the PR genes during pathogen invasion (Cao et al., 1994). Mutant npr1 plants not only compromised SAR response in the presence of the SA or SA analogs, exhibiting little expression of PR genes, but also increased susceptibility to pathogens (Cao et al., 1997). The Arabidopsis NPR1 (AtNPR1) gene encodes a protein with a BTB/POZ (Broad-complex, Tramtrack and Bric-a-brac/Pox virus, and Zinc finger) domain and an ankyrin repeats domain, both of which mediate protein-protein interactions (Cao et al., 1997; Sandhu et al., 2009; Backer et al., 2019). It also possesses several functional amino acid residues, such as Cys⁸², Cys¹⁵⁰, Cys¹⁵⁵, Cys¹⁶⁰, and Cys²¹⁶, which are crucial for oligomermonomer transition. Cys¹⁵⁶ facilitates NPR1 oligomerization in *vivo via* S-nitrosylation, while Arg^{432} in the C-terminus serve for SA binding (Mou et al., 2003; Tada et al., 2008; Ding et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2020). In Arabidopsis, the NPR1 is expressed constitutively at low levels and encodes an oligomerized, cytosolic protein. During pathogenic infection or SA treatment, the NPR1 oligomer in the cytoplasm disintegrates into its monomeric form, which translocates into the nucleus to interact with several TGA family members, and subsequently induces the transcription of PR genes (Kinkema et al., 2000; Fan and Dong, 2002). The redox modification and subsequent translocation to the nucleus of NPR1 are both necessary for SAR (Mou et al., 2003).

It has been confirmed that NPR1 functions as the central regulator in plant defense networks, including the cross-talk between SA-JA defense pathways and the jasmonic acid (JA)/ ethylene (ET)-dependent induced responses (Spoel et al., 2003; Leon-Reyes et al., 2009; Pieterse et al., 2009). The overexpression of AtNPR1 transcript in Arabidopsis plants led to enhanced resistance to both bacterial and fungal pathogens, such as Pseudomonas syringae, Peronospora parasitica, and Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis, in a dosage-dependent manner (Cao et al., 1998; Friedrich et al., 2001). Similarly, ectopic expression of AtNPR1 in other crops including rice, wheat, tomato, soybean, apple, strawberry, citrus, and olive also resulted in resistance to a wide range of pathogens (Chern et al., 2001; Lin et al., 2004; Makandar et al., 2006; Malnoy et al., 2007; Matthews et al., 2014; Dutt et al., 2015; Silva et al., 2015; Robertson et al., 2018; Narváez et al., 2020). In transgenic cotton and tobacco plants, over-expression of AtNPR1 conferred resistance to Rotylenchulus reniformis and Spodoptera litura, respectively (Meur et al., 2008; Parkhi et al., 2010). Moreover, homologs of AtNPR1 have been isolated and characterized in several economically important crops. For example, constitutive expression of the apple homolog of NPR1, MhNPR1, resulted in the activation of PR genes and enhanced resistance against Podosphaera leucotricha in transgenic apple (Chen et al., 2012). In addition, OsNPR1/NH1 overexpression in rice conferred resistance to bacterial blight, while silencing of the gene exhibited enhanced susceptibility to the pathogen (Yuan et al., 2007; Bai et al., 2011). Most recently, mulberry MuNPR1 overexpression in transgenic Arabidopsis enhanced resistance against Pst and inhibited callose deposition (Xu et al., 2019). In

periwinkle, silencing of *CrNPR1* significantly repressed *CrPR1a* induction and accelerated periwinkle leaf yellowing symptom development following *Tobacco rattle virus* (TRV) infection (Sung et al., 2019). The results of the studies above suggest that similar defense mechanisms exist across many crop species, and manipulating the expression of *NPR1* or its ortholog could be an effective strategy of improving crop disease resistance (Malnoy et al., 2007; Yuan et al., 2007; Silva et al., 2018).

In the present study, we isolated and characterized a novel full-length *NPR1* homolog, designated *AeNPR1a*, from a kiwifruit (*A. eriantha*) with high resistance to Psa. The subcellular localization of AeNPR1a based on the expression of GFP fusion proteins in *Arabidopsis* protoplasts indicated its presence in nuclei. Analysis of the 5'-upstream region identified potential cis-elements responsible for the regulation of the expression of *AeNPR1a*. Subsequently, we investigated the tissue expression levels of the gene and its expression profiles following treatment with SA, methyl jasmonate (MeJA), and Psa using RT-qPCR. Interaction between AeNPR1a and AeTGA2 was assayed using the yeast two-hybrid system. The effect of *AeNPR1a* over-expression on the growth of pathogenic bacteria in transgenic plants was also explored.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials

The plant material used in the present study is *A. eriantha*, and plants were grown at the Kiwifruit Germplasm Repository of the National Horticulture Germplasm Resources Center in Zhengzhou, Henan province, China (N 34°42', E 113°41', 106 m). Several 4-year-old *A. eriantha* plants were selected for the collection of samples for tissue-specific expression analysis. All tissues were immediately frozen with liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until their subsequent use. Each biological sample was collected from three individual plants in the present study.

Cloning of Full-Length AeNPR1a cDNA and Genomic DNA

Total RNA for cDNA synthesis was extracted from kiwifruit leaves using a modified CTAB method (Japelaghi et al., 2011). The RNA samples were treated with 2 U DNase I (Takara, Dalian, China) for 30 min at 37°C and then purified further. The first-strand cDNA was synthesized using approximately 1 μ g of total RNA and the Oligo d(T)₁₈ adaptor primer by RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

To clone the full-length cDNA of the *NPR1*-like gene from *A. eriantha*, two pairs of primers (**Supplementary Table S1**) were designed based on the partial coding sequence of *AeNPR1a*, which has been annotated in our previous RNA-seq data. The 5' and 3' rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) strategies were performed using the SMARTTM RACE cDNA Amplification Kit (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instruction. Thus, a pair of gene-specific primers, NPR1-01 and NPR1-02 (**Supplementary Table S1**),

was designed based on the 5'-UTR and 3'-UTR for full-length cDNA. The PCR products were purified using a DNA Gel Purification Kit (GENEray, Shanghai, China), subcloned into the pMD18-T vector (Takara, Dalian, China), and at least three clones were selected for sequencing. The sequence was uploaded to the GenBank database of National Center of Biotechnology Information (NCBI; accession no. MN544777). Furthermore, the DNA from young leaves was extracted using a genomic DNA purification kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and treated with RNase I (Takara, Dalian, China). *AeNPR1a* gene cloning from genomic DNA was also performed based on the full-length cDNA, and then, the target sequence was purified and sequenced as above.

Sequence Alignment and Phylogenetic Analysis

The amino acid sequence of AeNPR1a was predicted using NCBI Open Reading Frame (ORF) finder, and was then submitted for analysis by SMART (Letunic and Bork, 2018). The BLAST search program was used for gene sequence similarity searches in NCBI (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). The exon/intron organization of NPR1 genes was generated using the Gene Structure Display Server 2.0 (http://gsds.cbi.pku.edu.cn/). For protein sequence analysis, the coding sequence of AcNPR1a was also isolated from Actinidia chinensis based on the AeNPR1a and genomic information. Sequences with high homology to AeNPR1a and AcNPR1a were aligned using Clustal W (https:// www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools). To identify NPR1-like proteins in kiwifruit, sequence of the known Arabidopsis thaliana NPR1like proteins were queried against A. chinensis and A. eriantha genomic databases (Pilkington et al., 2018; Tang et al., 2019) using BLAST. Subsequently, phylogenetic analysis and phylogenetic tree construction were performed using the Maximum Likelihood method in MEGA 5 (Tamura et al., 2011), with bootstrap confidence values from 1,000 replicates.

Isolation and Bioinformatics Analysis of AeNPR1a Promoter

To obtain the 5'-flanking region of *AeNPR1a*, genomic DNA was digested with EcoRV, DraI, StuI, and PvuII (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). Then, the digested DNA were purified and ligated separately with Genome Walker adaptors using the BD Universal Genome WalkerTM kit (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Nested PCR was carried out using the prepared DNA as a template with the adaptor primers and gene specific primers (**Supplementary Table S1**). The final purified PCR products were cloned into pMD18-T vector (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) and sequenced. To identify the putative regulatory motifs of *AeNPR1a* promoter, bioinformatic analyses were carried out using PLACE (Higo et al., 1999) and PlantCARE (Lescot et al., 2002) databases.

Subcellular Localization Assay

The full-length open reading frame (ORF) of *AeNPR1a* without the termination codon was cloned into the pBI121-EGFP vector to generate a fusion construct under the control of the

cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter. The empty vector was used as a control. After sequence confirmation, these recombinant plasmids were transformed into *Arabidopsis* mesophyll protoplasts and onion epidermal cells as previously described (Yoo et al., 2007). Nuclei were stained with 50 μ g·ml⁻¹ 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) after a 24-h incubation, and then, the GFP fluorescence signal was observed and imaged with a laser confocal microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). The excitation lines were configured at 405 and 488 nm.

Treatment of A. eriantha With SA, MeJA, and Psa Pathogen

To further investigate the induction mechanism of AeNPR1a, the spring shoots of 2-year-old plants were sprayed with SA (5 mM) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) and MeJA (0.1 mM) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), separately. Control plants for each treatment were treated with sterile distilled water containing equal amounts of the solvent (0.1% ethanol v/v) used for hormone preparation. Leaf samples were harvested from control and hormone-treated plants after 0, 6, 12, 24, 48, 72, and 96 h for RNA isolation. For bacterial infection, Psa-2 (Biovar 3) was grown on King's B agar medium at 25°C for 2 days. Subsequently, a single colony was inoculated in liquid King's B medium and incubated on a shaker for 16 to 20 h at 25°C until the OD₆₀₀ reached 0.6. The bacterial cells were collected by centrifugation at 4000×g for 10 min and diluted to the desired concentration (10^6 colony forming units (CFU)·ml⁻¹) with 10 mM MgCl₂ for plant inoculation. The bacterial suspension was infiltrated on the abaxial surface of 2-year-old plant leaves with sterile syringes, and then, the inoculated plants were kept in a growth chamber at 80% relative humidity and 25°C. Control plants were mock-inoculated with 10 mM MgCl₂ and incubated separately to prevent cross-contamination. Leaf samples from the control and bacteria inoculated plants were harvested at different time points and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. All the treatments had three replicates.

Gene Expression Analysis by Real-Time Quantitative RT-PCR

The spatio-temporal expression patterns of *AeNPR1a* in different tissues and its expression profiles in treated and control leaves were tested by quantitative real-time RT-PCR. RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis were carried out as described above.

For quantitative RT-PCR analysis, cDNA was diluted with RNase-free water before use. Real-time PCR of target genes was performed with specific primers using a LightCyclerTM 480 Real-time PCR system with 2×SYBR Green Master Mix (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany). Kiwifruit β -actin was used as the internal reference gene. Primer sequences are listed in **Supplementary Table S1**. Real-time PCR products were amplified with 1-µl template of the RT reaction mixture, 10-µl 2×SYBR Green Master Mix, 0.5 µl each forward and reverse primer (10 µmol·µl⁻¹), and water, with a final volume of 20 µl. The qRT-PCR amplification conditions were 95°C for 5 min, and then, 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 15 s. Data were evaluated by calibrator-normalized relative quantification with

efficiency correction using the RelQuant software version 1.01 or the LightCycler $^{\rm TM}$ 480 software version 1.5 (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) according to the $2^{-\triangle\triangle CT}$ method. Three biological replicates and four technical replicates were assayed.

Yeast Two-Hybrid Assays

The experimental procedures of yeast two-hybrid assays were performed using the BD Matchmaker system (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA). The entire ORF and different regions from *AeNPR1a* were digested using Nde I (Takara, Dalian, China) and BamH I (Takara, Dalian, China) and subcloned into pGBKT7 vector, and the ORF of *AeTGA2* was cloned into the pGADT7 vector. All constructs were transformed into AH109 competent yeast cells according to the manufacturer's instruction. The co-transformed yeast clones were also streaked on selective medium without tryptophan, histidine, leucine, and adenine, but supplemented with 20 μ g·ml⁻¹ 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indoxyl- α -D-galactopyranoside (X- α -Gal) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) to further confirm positive interactions.

Construction of Expression Vector and Genetic Transformation

To produce a vector for the constitutive expression of *AeNPR1a*, Bgl II (Takara, Dalian, China) and BstE II (Takara, Dalian, China) sites were introduced at the end of the full-length cDNA sequence of *AeNPR1a* by PCR amplification, and then, the fragment was digested and subcloned into the pCAMBIA 1301 vector (CAMBIA, Canberra, Australia) driven by CaMV35S promoter. The resulting recombinant plasmid was sequenced to verify the absence of PCR errors.

For plant transformation, the 35S::AeNPR1a vector was transferred into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain EHA105 using the freeze-thawing method (Holsters et al., 1978). Transformation of tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum cv. NC89) was performed using the leaf disc co-cultivation method as described by Krügel et al. (Krügel et al., 2002). The transgenic seedlings were regenerated under 10 mg·L⁻¹ hygromycin B selection, transferred to soil, and grown in a growth chamber at $23 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C under 16h/8h light/dark conditions. To investigate whether the AeNPR1a gene was homologous to Arabidopsis NPR1, the 35S::AeNPR1a vector was also transformed into the Arabidopsis npr1-1 mutant via the floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998). Transgenic plants of the T₂ or T₃ generation and single-copy lines were used in further analyses.

Pathogen Challenge of Transgenic Plants

AeNPR1a transgenic lines were evaluated for disease resistance to both Pst and Psa pathogens as previously described with a few modifications (Liu et al., 2005). Briefly, the strains were resuspended to 10^6 CFU· ml⁻¹ in 10 mM MgCl₂ (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) solution. The leaves of 4-week-old transgenic and non-transgenic tobacco were inoculated by infiltration with 50-µl bacterial suspensions for Pst and Psa resistance evaluation, and infection of *Arabidopsis* was performed on 4-week-old *npr1*, wild-type, and transgenic plants by spraying for Pst resistance evaluation. The inoculated plants were placed in a growth chamber under 16-h/8-h light/dark conditions with 80% relative humidity at a constant temperature of 25°C. Three days after inoculation, leaf punches were collected from treated leaves, surface sterilized, and ground in 1 ml sterile 10 mM MgCl₂. The suspensions were serially diluted and plated on King's B agar medium. After 2 days of incubation at 25°C, |the average number of CFU per leaf disk was calculated and statistically analyzed. For phenotypic observation, the leaves of the control mock plants (inoculated with 10 mM MgCl₂) and pathogen-inoculated plants

were photographed 7 days after infection. The inoculation of each strain was repeated three times, and mean values and standard deviations were obtained with three leaves from three independent plants.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using the SPSS statistics program (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). Data were analyzed using

* AeNPR1a MD-TRVAESDSNDLSASSTVSGAA-N-TESFSPGALTPETASJRRJSDNTOSIEDATSEDSS-FADARIAVAC-GREVAVHROVI	SA 82
ACNPRIA MD-INTERDINTICASINOCAA-N-HESESPEALIPEHASDRUSTHDADSDS-FADARIAUA-SEEVEVHICH ACNPRIA MD-INVAESDSNDISASSIVSCAA-N-HESESPEALIPEHASDRUSSINDSDHDASSEDSS-FADARIAIAC-GREVSVHRCVI	ISA 82
VvNPR1 MD-YRAALSDSNDFSGSSSICCIAAT-HBSLSSBVSPPDHSAURRISENHESVBESEEFDFBTDARIVVAG-GREVEVHRCI	AA 82
StNPR1 MD-SRTAFSDSNDISCSSSICCIG-GMNESETSPADVNSLKRISETLESIFDASSEDFDFFADAKLVVPC-CKEIEVHRCI	SA 81
NtNPR1 MDNS <mark>RTAFSDSND</mark> ISGSSSICCIGGGMTBFFSPBTSPAEITSLKRISETHESIFDASLEEFDYFADAKLVVSCPCKBIPVHRCI	SA 87
Atnpr1 Mpttidgadsyeisstsfvatdntdssivylaaeqvltgpdvsalquisnsfssvepspdsfysdaklulsd-grevsfirev BTB/POZ domain	SA 86
AeNPR1a RSPFKDABGCKDRGVKMEMKDLAKEFDVSFDALVAVLGVLYSGRVGSLEEGVCVCVDDDCSHAACR2AVDEMVEVLYAF	DA 164
ACNPR1a RSPFFKDA5GCKDRGVKUEJKDLAKEFDVSFDALVAVLCYLYSGRVGSLEEGVCVCVDDCSHAACRPAVDFWEVLYAF	-
VvNPR1 RSVJJKAVLACARKEKEAKFELKDLAKEFDVGYDSLVAVLGYLYSGRVGALPKGVCACVDDDCPHSACRPAVDFWEVLYAS	DA 166
StNPR1 RSPFFKNVFCCKDRKTKLELKELMKEYEVSFDAVVSVLAYLYSCKVRSSPKDVCVCVDNECLHVACRPAVAFMVQVLYAS	FT 163
NtNPR1 RSPFFMLGCCKKEKNSSKV9LKEVMEHEVSYDAVMSVLAYLYSCKWRPSPKDVCVCVDNDCSHVACRPAVASLVEVLYTS	-
Atnpri rss tek salaaakkekdsnntaav <mark>kleik</mark> ei <u>ak</u> dyevgedsvvtvlavvyssrvrppekovsecadencoevacreavdemlevlyle	FI 176
Aenpria * * Aenpria <mark>foisenv</mark> al <u>vor</u> randiagriapodiuv visvanico nacentrictenivesdvomtudkaafohlvkonvoarievoheren-	GF 253
ACNPR1a FOISBAVALVORRALDINGKIAPDDIVVVLSVANICENAGBTPRITECIBNIVRSDVDMIVIDKVLEQHLVKOIVDARIBVGHELBN-	GE 253
VvNPR1 FQISENVGIVQRRUMDILDKVASDDILVILSVANLCGKACDRULARCIDITIKSDVDVVTDERALPQEMVKQIVDSRUELGFEEPESI StNPR1 FQISCLVDKFQRBLLDILDKAAADDVMAVLSIANICGKACERULSSCIEIIVKSNADIITULDKSLFHDIVKQITDSRAELGLQGFEESS	NE 256 GE 253
SUNPRI BOISONOKFORHADINDKAAADOVMAVISIANICEKAEBRAISSOIBITVKSNADITTUDKAEHDIVKOITOSKABIGOEPSN NtNPRI FOISEMVDKFORHADINDKRAADDVMAVISVANICEKAEBRAISSOIBITVKSNADITTUDKAAEHDIVKOITOSKABIGOEPSN	Gn 253
ALTER I GUSSHWAR MANDANA AND WAR SYN COM A SUBJECT AND A S	263
Ankyrin repeat region	200
AeNPR1a pdkhvkrihraldsddvelvrmlikeghtsldda ^{ca} ihyavaycdaktu <mark>melldlau</mark> sdvnhrnprgytvlhvaatrkepkiivslit	 KG 343
ACNPR1a PDKHVKRIHRALDSDDVELVRMLLKEGHUSLDDACAIHYAVAYCDAKTTMELLDLALSDVNHRNPRGYTVLHVAAMRKEPKIIVSLLI	
VvNPR1 pdkhvkrihraldsddvelvrmllkeght <mark>t</mark> idda <mark>y</mark> alhyavafgdakttt <mark>telldle</mark> ladvnhknhrgytvlh <mark>t</mark> aamrkepkiivsllt	
StNPR1 pdkhvkrihraldsddvell rmllkeght tlddaw alhyavaycdaktt aelldl sladvnh o nprgytvlhvaamrkepkiivsllt	KG 343
NtNPR1 PDKHVKRIHRALDSDDVELLQMLLREGHTTLDDAYALHYAVAYCDAKTTAELLDDALADINHQNSRGYTVLHVAAMRKEPKIVVSLH	
AtnPR1 <u>kkhv</u> snvhkaldsddiblyklilkedhanildda <mark>c</mark> alheavayonyktatdlilkidladvnhrnprgytvlhvaamkeeglilslik 	KG 352
AeNPR1a	19M 433
ACNPR1a AREGDITLDGRKALQISKRITRAVEYHKSMEEGKASPKDRLCIETLEQAERRDPLEGEASVSLALAGDDLRMKLLYLENRVJLAKLLE	
VvNPR1 arptditpdgrnalqiakrltravdyhksteegkpspkd0lovevleqaerrdpligeaseslatagddlrmkllylenrvglaklle	PM 436
StNPR1 ares <mark>dlts</mark> derkalqiakritrivdftks <mark>teegksapkdricibileqaerrdpl</mark> igeas <mark>i</mark> slawagddirmkliylenrvglakile	PM 433
NtNPR1 ARES <mark>DIASDGRKALQIAKRIJELVDFSKSPEEGKSASNDRICIBILEQAERRDPLI</mark> GBASVSLAWAGDDLEMKLLYLENEVGLAKLLE	_
AtnPR1 <u>B</u> saseanle <u>ertaminkoanmane</u> cnnip <u>pock</u> hslkgridv <u>pinso</u> edkreoiprdvppspivaadelktuddenkramma NPR1_like_C terminal	PT 442
AeNPR1a Bakvamdiaqvdgtsefplavnnsrnlagtostimdlineapfkikeehlinreralsrivelgkrefprosevlinkimdaddlselayi	EN 523
ACNPR1a eakvamdiaqvdgtsefplavinsrnlagtqsttmdlneapfkikeehlnr <mark>h</mark> ralsrtvelgkrffprcsevlnkimdaddlselayi	-
VvNPR1 EAKVAMDIAQVDGTSEETITAIRPRNLADAQRTTVDLNEAPERIKEEHLNRLRALSKTVDLGKRFFPRCSEVINKIMDADDLSDLAVI	.GN 526
StNPR1 eakvamdiaqvdgtsefpla <mark>smr-kkiad</mark> aqrttvdlneapfkmkeehlnrlralsrtvelgkrffprcsevlnkimdaddlse <mark>t</mark> ach	-
NtNPR1 EAKVAMDIAQVDGTSEFPLASIG-KKMANAQRTTVDLNEAPFKIKEEHLNRLRALSRTVELGKRFFPRCSEVLNKIMDADDLSEIAY	IGN 530
	ED 532
AeNPR1a ENDEROIKKORYTELOEVISKASNEDKEEFINESKNISSSSS-STSMCLCRENGKIISKK- 582	
ACNPR1a ENDERROMANNEN TENDER AND ANTHALEMAN AND ANTHALEMAN ANTHALEMAN ANTHALEMAN ANTHALEMAN ANTHALEMAN ANTHALEMAN	
VvNPR1 GTERLUKKRRYKETOOOTOKAANEDKENDKSR-ISSSSS-STSLEFGRNNSR-SCKK-584	
StNPR1 DAA <u>BBROIKKORYMBIO</u> BIUSKAATB <u>DKBB</u> FAKTN-M <mark>SSS</mark> CS- <mark>STS</mark> KEVDKEN-NUPARK- 579	
NtNPR1 DTABEROKKORYMBLOBITTKAFTEDKEBYDKTNNISSSCS-STSKEVDKPN-KIPBRK- 588	
AtNPR1 DHARKBLOKKORYMEIOETLKKASSEDNLELGNSSLTDSTSSTSKSTGKRSNRKLSHRRR 593	

FIGURE 1 | Multiple alignment of AeNPR1a protein with other NPR1 homologs. The BTB domain, Ankyrin repeat region and NPR1_like_C terminal are indicated with solid lines, broken lines and dotted lines, respectively. The conserved cysteine residues among the proteins are labeled by asterisks. The Cys¹⁵⁶ relative to *Arabidopsis* is marked by inverted triangle. The putative nuclear localization signal (NLS) and LENRV are highlighted by solid line box and dashed line box, respectively. AcNPR1a (PSS20797), VvNPR1 (XP_002281475), StNPR1 (XP_006357709), NtNPR1 (AF480488), and AtNPR1 (AT1G64280).

Student's t-test for pairwise comparisons and Duncan's multiple range test for multiple comparisons. Significant differences were evaluated at P < 0.05.

RESULTS

Amino Acid Sequence Alignment and Phylogenetic Analysis of AeNPR1a

The full length sequence of AeNPR1a (GenBank accession no. MN544777) was isolated from A. eriantha by the RACE method. The sequence was identical to the corresponding gene in the published sequenced genome. The open reading frame of AeNPR1a encoded a deduced 582 amino acid protein of 64.26 kDa, and the predicted isoelectric point (pI) was 5.82. Alignment of the deduced amino acid sequence revealed that AeNPR1a is most closely related to AcNPR1a (98.11% identity), which originates from the same genus, with only 10 residues varying, followed by VvNPR1 (74.06% identity), StNPR1 (73.21% identity), NtNPR1 (71.94% identity), and AtNPR1 (52.86% identity) (Figure 1). The genomic structure of AeNPR1a was similar to that of the other NPR1 orthologs, sharing four exons and three introns (Figure 2A). Protein sequence comparison showed that AeNPR1a contained two conserved domains, a BTB/POZ domain and an ankyrin repeat domain (Figures 1, 2B). Eight highly conserved cysteine residues were identified in AeNPR1a, which contained the five Cys residues that are required for oligomermonomer transition of AtNPR1. However, functionally relevant residues Cys¹⁵⁶, described for oligomerization, are not present in AeNPR1a (Figure 1). Nonetheless, a putative nuclear localization signal (NLS) and a penta-amino acid motif (LENRV) were present in the C-terminal of AeNPR1a (Figure 1).

To reveal the evolutionary relationship between AeNPR1a and other NPR1-like proteins from various plant species, the AeNPR1a and AeNPR1a-like protein sequences obtained in the present study were subjected to phylogenetic analysis with other NPR1 homologs. As shown in **Figure 3**, the tree was grouped into two major clades, AeNPR1a was the most closely related to AcNPR1a, AcNPR1b, AeNPR1b, as well as VvNPR1, clustering with the clade containing AtNPR1 and AtNPR2. The other five members from *A. Chinensis* and *A. eriantha* were grouped into clade II along with AtNPR3 and AtNPR4. The data suggest that *AeNPR1a* may be a homolog of *NPR1* in kiwifruit.

Subcellular Localization of AeNPR1a

To examine the subcellular localization of AeNPR1a, the coding region of *AeNPR1a* without the stop codon was fused to the 5' end of the enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) reporter gene under the control of CaMV35S promoter. Subsequently, the fusion construct *35S::AeNPR1a-EGFP* was introduced into *Arabidopsis* mesophyll protoplasts and onion epidermal cells. GFP fluorescence was observed under a confocal fluorescent microscope. The results indicated that the fluorescence of AeNPR1a-EGFP was predominantly detected in the nucleus (**Figure 4** and **Supplementary Figure S1**). In contrast, the control vector expressing free EGFP yielded fluorescence in both the nucleus and cytoplasm (**Figure 4** and **Supplementary Figure S1**). Therefore, AeNPR1a appeared to be primarily a nuclear protein in these cell types.

Characterization of Potential Cis-Acting Elements in the AeNPR1a Promoter

The 2000bp 5'-flanking region of the *AeNPR1a* gene was isolated by chromosome walking. Meanwhile, the sequence was compared with the promoter of *AcNPR1a* in the *A. chinensis* genome database, which showed that there were many differences between the two sequences. Sequence alignment of the promoter and the *AeNPR1a* 5'-UTR region showed that the transcription start site (TSS) was located at -239 bp upstream of the ATG codon (**Figure 5**). Bioinformatic analysis revealed a putative TATA box and two CAAT box were located at the -335 and -415/-435 regions, respectively. Four W-box, which is recognized specifically by SA-induced WRKY DNA binding proteins, were identified at





-126, -762, -1,459, and -1664 positions. Two potential RAV1AAT elements and a TC-rich repeats that participate in defense and stress responses were located at positions -499, -1,412, and -687. Two 5' UTR pyrimidine-rich stretches existed in the -149 to -95 regions, which conferred high transcription. Furthermore, we also noted the presence of several cis-acting elements associated with phytohormone and abiotic stress regulation such as TCA-element, TGACG-motif, AuxRR-core, GARE-motif, MYC, and LTR (**Figure 5**), which are known involved in SA, MeJA, auxin, gibberellin, dehydration, and low temperature-associated responses, respectively.

Expression Analysis of AeNPR1a in Different Tissues and in Response to Hormone Treatments and Psa Infection

Quantitative real-time RT-PCR analyses were performed to examine the expression levels of *AeNPR1a* in various tissues and under different treatment conditions. As shown in **Figure 6A**, the transcripts of *AeNPR1a* were detected in almost all the tissues investigated, while the levels of expression varied considerably among different tissues. The highest levels of

AeNPR1a expression were observed in the mature leaf (8.9-fold, compared the levels in the young stem), followed by young fruit and mature stems (2.5-fold), and the lowest expression levels were detected in the alabastrum (**Figure 6A**).

It has been previously reported that exogenous plant defense molecules, such as SA, JA, and so on, can induce expression of NPR1 or its homologous genes, to activate plant disease resistance responses (Kunkel and Brooks, 2002; Ali et al., 2017; Neeley et al., 2019). Here, the temporal expression profiles of AeNPR1a in leaves following treatment with SA, MeJA as well as infection with Psa, were analyzed by real-time qRT-PCR, respectively. As shown in Figure 6, when plants were treated with SA, AeNPR1a expression was induced significantly and had increased up to the peak level 24 h after treatment (Figure 6B). Upon MeJA treatment, AeNPR1a transcription was up-regulated after 6 h of treatment application, and the maximum expression levels were observed at 12 h, with rapid decline at later time points (Figure 6C). To further explore the defensive role of AeNPR1a, plants were inoculated with Psa pathogen and we monitored changes in AeNPR1a expression. After inoculation, AeNPR1a transcription increased slightly at 6 h, with a single peak 12 h post inoculation (Figure 6D).





AeNPR1a Could Interact With AeTGA2

Previous reports suggest that NPR1 interacts with TGA family members in Arabidopsis (Zhang et al., 1999). Here, the AeTGA2 full-length cDNA sequence was obtained, phylogenetic analyses of protein sequences suggested that AeTGA2 was grouped together with other TGA2 homologs (Figure 7A). To further explore the potential interaction between AeNPR1a and AeTGA2 in kiwifruit, we performed yeast two-hybrid assays. The different regions of AeNPR1a were cloned in a pGBKT7 vector containing a DNA-binding domain to construct BD bait, and the ORF of AeTGA2 was cloned into the pGADT7 vector with a DNA activation domain to construct AD prey (Figure 7B). The bait and prey plasmids were co-transformed into yeast cells and selected on QOD mediums. As shown in Figure 7B, both the complete AeNPR1a(1-582) and the AeNPR1a(1-360) truncation that included the BTB and ANK domains showed interaction with AeTGA2. However, neither the N-terminal AeNPR1a(1-182) nor C-terminal AeNPR1a(361-582) alone can interact with AeTGA2 (Figure 7B). The results indicated the interaction between AeNPR1a and AeTGA2.

Ectopic Expression of AeNPR1a Enhanced Disease Resistance in Transgenic Tobacco

In order to assess the function of the *AeNPR1a*, we constitutively expressed *AeNPR1a* in tobacco. A total of 14 independent transgenic lines were generated by *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation, and transgene expression was confirmed by semiquantitative RT-PCR analysis (**Figure 8A**). These transgenic lines appeared normal in their growth and development compared to wild-type plants (**Supplementary Table S3** and **Supplementary Figure S2**). Three transgenic lines were randomly selected to generate T₂ generation plants for further investigation.

To determine whether *AeNPR1a* over-expression confers resistance to pathogenic bacteria, the transgenic and wild-type plants were inoculated with Pst and Psa, separately. As shown in **Figure 8B**, the bacterial populations of both Pst and Psa in the wild-type leaf discs increased significantly when compared to the levels in the *AeNPR1a* transgenic lines 3 days after inoculation. Seven days after infection, wild-type leaves displayed severe necrotic lesions (**Figure 8C**). Although the





AeNPR1a transgenic lines also exhibited disease symptoms, the lesions degree in necrotic phenotype was comparatively lower than wild-type (**Figure 8C**). Generally, the expression of *PR* genes has been considered a marker for plant disease resistance. To understand whether pathogen resistance in *AeNPR1a* transgenic lines was related to *PR* induction, the expression of *NtPR1* in the transgenic tobacco lines were analyzed. As shown in **Figure 8D**, the transcript levels of *NtPR1* in transgenic plants increased significantly relative to the levels in the wild-type. These results demonstrated that *AeNPR1a* overexpression in tobacco confers enhanced resistance to bacterial pathogens, and the resistance could be associated with increased expression of *PR* gene.

AeNPR1a Complements Resistance to Pseudomonas syringae pv. Tomato DC3000 in Arabidopsis npr1-1 Mutant

To further investigate if *AeNPR1a* has functions similar to *Arabidopsis NPR1*, the 35S::*AeNPR1a* construct was transformed into the *Arabidopsis npr1-1* mutant for stable transformants by *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation (**Figure 9A**). Three independent T_3 transgenic lines expressing *AeNPR1a* and *npr1-1* mutant, along with wild-type plants, were infected with bacterial Pst suspended in 10 mM MgCl₂, or 10 mM MgCl₂ alone as a mock. Three days after inoculation, the population of Pst on infected leaves was measured to quantify the disease symptoms. As shown in **Figure 9B**, the levels of bacterial growth in infected *npr1-1* mutants

were more than 3 times the levels in the wild-type. Three transgenic lines expressing *AeNPR1a* had significant decreases in bacterial growth when compared to the levels in *npr1-1* mutant (**Figure 9B**). After 7 days of inoculation, the leaves of *npr1-1* mutants showed severe necrotic lesions, while such symptoms in transgenic plants were restricted (**Figure 9C**). In addition, the over-expression of *AeNPR1a* in the *npr1-1* mutant significantly elevated the *AtPR1* expression (**Figure 9D**). Overall, the observations above indicated that *AeNPR1a* can functionally complement the basal resistance to Pst in the *npr1-1* mutant plants.

DISCUSSION

Plants employ diverse signal transduction pathways to defend themselves against infection by pathogens. SAR is a one of the major defense pathways that can confer long-lasting resistance in plants, and SAR establishment is mediated predominantly by *NPR1* in *Arabidopsis* (Gao et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2019). It has been revealed that *NPR1* is involved in various biotic defense or abiotic stress signaling pathways (Cao et al., 1998; Wu et al., 2012; Withers and Dong, 2016). Although homologs of the *NPR1* gene have been isolated and characterized in several plant species, our understanding of the function of *NPR1* homologs in kiwifruit remains poor. In the present study, a novel *NPR1*-like gene (*AeNPR1a*) from kiwifruit was characterized. The intron/exon



organization of *AeNPR1a* exhibited structures similar to *NPR1* in *Arabidopsis*, as well as in other species. The derived amino acid sequence of AeNPR1a shows 52.86% identity to *Arabidopsis* NPR1, and they shared typical features, such as a BTB/POZ domain and an ankyrin repeat, which are highly conserved among all NPR1-type proteins of monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants (Cao et al., 1997; Silva et al., 2018; Backer et al., 2019). These domains are responsible for the co-activation of TGA transcription factors and protein-protein interactions (Rochon et al., 2006; Ding et al., 2018). The cysteine residues, Cys⁸², Cys¹⁵⁰, Cys¹⁵⁵, Cys¹⁶⁰, and Cys²¹⁶ are highly conserved among all the sequences, and they could be involved in the oligomer-monomer transition of NPR1 or NPR1-like proteins (Mou et al., 2003). It has been demonstrated that the S-nitrosylation of NPR1 at Cys¹⁵⁶ could facilitate its oligomerization in *Arabidopsis* (Tada et al., 2008). Cys¹⁵⁶ in AeNPR1a was altered to

a Serine residue. Previous studies have reported that although Cys¹⁵⁶ in the GmNPR1-1 protein of soybean is substituted, GmNPR1-1 still complements NPR1 function in the *npr1-1* mutant, which might involve other residues, to maintain GmNPR1-1 protein homeostasis (Sandhu et al., 2009). This means that some other conserved residues of AeNPR1a might also play critical roles in defense regulation in kiwifruit. In addition, the AeNPR1a protein also has a potential nuclear localization motif in the C-terminus (**Figure 1**). The data suggest that the AeNPR1a protein shared some common characteristics with NPR1 from other plants.

Phylogenetic analysis revealed that NPR1-like proteins in kiwifruit were clustered in different clades; the AeNPR1a was closer to VvNPR1 of *Vitis vinifera* and grouped in the same clade as AtNPR1, which is essential for SAR establishment (Cao et al., 1997; Dong, 2004). It have been reported that AtNPR2, as a



FIGURE 8 | Overexpression of AeNPR1a in transgenic tobacco display enhanced resistance to bacterial pathogen. (A) RT-PCR analysis of AeNPR1a expression in T₂ transgenic tobacco plants. M, DNA marker; W, the wild-type tobacco; P, the positive control (pCAMBIA plasmid). NtActin was used as internal control.
(B) Growth of Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 (Pst) and Pseudomonas syringae pv. Actinidiae (Psa) in wild-type and AeNPR1a transgenic plants (OE-3, OE-5, OE-9). The leaves of 4-week-old wild-type and transgenic plants were inoculated with Pst and Psa, respectively. Bacterial population was monitored 3 days after inoculation using serial dilution. Each data point represents the mean and standard errors of three samples from three individual plants. The asterisks represent statistically significant differences between the wild-type and AeNPR1a transgenic plants (P < 0.05). CFU: Colony forming unit. (C) Disease symptoms from representative leaves of wild-type and transgenic plants at 7 days after inoculation with Pst, Psa, or 10 mM MgCl₂ (Mock). Bars = 1 cm. (D) Transcript analysis of NtPR1 gene in transgenic plants by quantitative PCR. NtActin was used to normalize the samples. Asterisks indicate a significant difference (Student's t-test, P < 0.05). The results are presented from three independent experiments.

paralog of AtNPR1, can complement npr1 mutants and play a role in SA perception (Castelló et al., 2018). The phylogenic relation of AeNPR1a and AeNPR1b implied that AeNPR1b might also act as component of the SA signal pathway in kiwifruit. According to previous studies, nuclear localization of NPR1 is essential for its function, which is directed by the NLS in the C-terminus (Kinkema et al., 2000). In Arabidopsis, NPR1 is mainly localized in the cytoplasm in the form of oligomers in conditions with no biotic stress. Upon SA induction, monomeric NPR1 is released from the oligomers and transported into the nucleus (Mou et al., 2003; Maier et al., 2011). However, in the present study, unlike AtNPR1, which also showed a small amount of fluorescence in the plasma membrane of onion epidermal cells, the transiently expressed AeNPR1a-EGFP fusion protein was predominantly located in the nucleus of Arabidopsis protoplast cells and onion epidermal cells (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure S1). Differences in species or key amino acid residues could have promoted the translocation of AeNPR1a into the nucleus. Consistently, constitutive nuclear localization has also been reported by transient expression of StoNPR1 and VvNPR1, even in the absence of SA induction (Le Henanff et al., 2009; Jue et al., 2014). The results suggest that AeNPR1a is primarily localized in the nucleus under noninductive condition.

The specific gene expression is mainly driven by the promoter. To reveal the regulatory mechanism of AeNPR1a expression, a 2.0 Kb AeNPR1a promoter was isolated, and several cis-acting regulatory elements, including phytohormone and defense responsive elements, were identified, which suggested that AeNPR1a could be regulated by plant hormones and stress factors. The expression patterns of AeNPR1a were analyzed by qRT-PCR, and it was expressed constitutively in different tissues, particularly in mature leaves. The observed expression patterns differed from the high levels of expression of NPR1 homologs in roots and stems of avocado and soybean, respectively (Sandhu et al., 2009; Backer et al., 2015). We also present evidence that AeNPR1a transcript is expressed in reproductive tissues. Previous studies have demonstrated that NPR1 expression can be induced by exogenous signaling molecules, such as SA, JA, and ethylene, to activate plant defense responses and confer resistance to pathogens (Kunkel and Brooks, 2002; Leon-Reyes et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2017). AeNPR1a transcription was induced not only by treatment with SA or MeJA but also by pathogen infection, which may be associated with the presence of phytohormone and defense responsive elements in the promoter. In contrast, the expression of BjNPR1 in mustard was not altered after MeJA treatment (Ali et al., 2017). The discrepancy could be attributed



to variation of the mechanism of signal molecules in different species (Kunkel and Brooks, 2002; Caarls et al., 2015). In addition, AeNPR1a expression was induced rapidly by each treatment in 24 h, suggesting that the gene might participate in the early stages of defense responses to treatment with exogenous signaling molecules and pathogen infection. Similar results were also observed in rice, lily, and Mimulus lewisii (Yuan et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2017; He and Shi, 2018). In Arabidopsis, the interaction between NPR1 and TGA2 family proteins is known to be important for the activation of defense genes, and conferring resistance to secondary infection (Fan and Dong, 2002; Silva et al., 2018). Therefore, the potential interaction between AeNPR1a and AeTGA2 was assessed using the yeast two-hybrid system. Our results not only support the existence of an interaction between the two proteins but also highlighted some regions of AeNPR1a protein that are critical for the interaction. However, whether AeNPR1a-AeTGA2 interaction regulates PR genes transcription to trigger SAR responses in kiwifruit still requires verification.

presented from three independent experiments. Asterisks indicate a significant difference (Student's t-test, P < 0.05%).

Numerous molecular studies have shown that *NPR1* or *NPR1*-like genes confer resistance to bacterial and fungal pathogens in various transgenic plants, and the resistance was associated with *PR* expression (Cao et al., 1998; Le Henanff et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2012; Ali et al., 2017). Transgenic citrus plants expressing *AtNPR1* exhibited significant up-regulation of *PR1* expression and enhanced resistance to citrus canker and Huanglongbing (Zhang et al., 2010; Robertson et al., 2018). Ectopic expression of *Malus hupehensis MhNPR1* in tobacco

induced the transcription of PR genes and facilitated salt and osmotic stress tolerance, in addition to enhanced resistance to Botrytis cinerea (Zhang et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2014). Similarly, in the present study, the over-expression of AeNPR1a in transgenic tobacco plants triggered disease resistance against both Pst and Psa bacterial pathogens, as transgenic lines had reduced pathogen proliferation and limited disease spread to non-infected leaf parts. It has been reported that over-expression AtNPR1 in transgenic strawberry plants improved resistance to pathogens, but the plants displayed numerous undesirable traits with regard to growth and development, such as decreased plant height, canopy density, and defective fruits (Silva et al., 2015). The transgenic Arabidopsis plants that express mulberry MuNPR1 produced an early flowering phenotype (Xu et al., 2019). However, AeNPR1a transgenic tobacco exhibited normal phenotypes and did not exhibit any detrimental morphological traits under our experimental conditions, and similar results have been reported in cotton, citrus, and mustard (Parkhi et al., 2010; Dutt et al., 2015; Ali et al., 2017). Notably, the transcript levels of the SAR marker NtPR1 were increased significantly in transgenic tobacco lines without an exogenous inducer. A possible explanation for the phenomenon is AeNPR1a transcription in transgenic lines achieved a certain threshold that is required for NtPR1 activation, or biotic stress from T-DNA insertions might persist in transgenic tobacco plants.

The *npr1* mutant *Arabidopsis* plants fail to induce SAR in response to various inducing agents and display increased susceptibility to pathogen infections (Cao et al., 1994). In the

present study, the effects of complementation of the Arabidopsis npr1-1 mutant with AeNPR1a were analyzed. Over-expression of AeNPR1a in the npr1-1 mutant increased resistance to Pst infection, which is consistent with previous observations in other plant species, including rice and Gladiolus (Yuan et al., 2007; Zhong et al., 2015). Consequently, we conclude that AeNPR1a is orthologous to Arabidopsis NPR1 and might participate in mechanisms of defense against pathogens in kiwifruit.

In summary, the results of the presented study demonstrate that AeNPR1a can be induced by phytohormones and Psa infection. We provide evidence that AeNPR1a can interact with AeTGA2. In addition, ectopic expression of AeNPR1a in transgenic tobacco and Arabidopsis npr1-1 mutant confers resistance against bacterial pathogens, based on the observed differences in the degrees of severity of disease symptoms between transformed and untransformed lines. Our results could facilitate efforts to enhance disease resistance in kiwifruit through biotechnological approaches that target endogenous defense mechanisms. In future research, over-expression or knockout of AeNPR1a could be carried out in kiwifruit, and transgenic plants would subsequently be challenged under biotic and abiotic stresses. In addition, the promoter function of the gene will be characterized to further elucidate the defense pathways and networks mediated by AeNPR1a. The finding of such studies would facilitate the genetic improvement of kiwifruit and lead to the development of more resistant resources.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/**Supplementary Material**.

REFERENCES

- Ali, S., Mir, Z. A., Tyagi, A., Mehari, H., Meena, R. P., Bhat, J. A., et al. (2017). Overexpression of NPR1 in Brassica juncea Confers Broad Spectrum Resistance to Fungal Pathogens. Front. Plant Sci. 8, 1693. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01693
- An, C., and Mou, Z. (2011). Salicylic Acid and its Function in Plant Immunity.
 J. Integr. Plant Biol. 53, 412–428. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-7909.2011.01043.x
- Backer, R., Mahomed, W., Reeksting, B. J., Engelbrecht, J., Ibarra-Laclette, E., and van den Berg, N. (2015). Phylogenetic and expression analysis of the NPR1-like gene family from Persea americana (Mill.). Front. Plant Sci. 6, 300. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00300
- Backer, R., Naidoo, S., and van den Berg, N. (2019). The NONEXPRESSOR OF PATHOGENESIS-RELATED GENES 1 (NPR1) and Related Family: Mechanistic Insights in Plant Disease Resistance. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10, 102. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00102
- Bai, W., Chern, M., Ruan, D., Canlas, P. E., Sze-to, W. H., and Ronald, P. C. (2011). Enhanced disease resistance and hypersensitivity to BTH by introduction of an NH1/OsNPR1 paralog. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 9, 205–215. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-7652.2010.00544.x
- Caarls, L., Pieterse, C. M. J., and Van Wees, S. C. M. (2015). How salicylic acid takes transcriptional control over jasmonic acid signaling. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6, 170. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00170
- Cao, H., Bowling, S. A., Gordon, A. S., and Dong, X. (1994). Characterization of an *Arabidopsis* Mutant That Is Nonresponsive to Inducers of Systemic Acquired Resistance. *Plant Cell.* 6, 1583–1592. doi: 10.1105/tpc.6.11.1583

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

L-MS and J-BF participated in research design. L-MS carried out most of the experiments and wrote the manuscript. L-MS and J-BF were responsible for generating the data and for interpretation of the results. M-ML performed the real-time PCR experiments. X-JQ and J-YC contributed in the statistical analyses. MZ carried out genetic transformation. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was financially supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2019YFD1000200), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 31701778), the Agricultural Science and Technology Innovation Program (ASTIP) (CAAS-ASTIP-2020-ZFRI-04), and Modern agricultural industry technology system of Henan province (S2014-11).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

An earlier version of this paper was presented as an abstract at the IX International Conference on kiwifruit.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2020. 551201/full#supplementary-material

- Cao, H., Glazebrook, J., Clarke, J. D., Volko, S., and Dong, X. (1997). The Arabidopsis NPR1 Gene That Controls Systemic Acquired Resistance Encodes a Novel Protein Containing Ankyrin Repeats. Cell 88, 57–63. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81858-9
- Cao, H., Li, X., and Dong, X. (1998). Generation of broad-spectrum disease resistance by overexpression of an essential regulatory gene in systemic acquired resistance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 95, 6531–6536. doi: 10.1073/pnas.95.11.6531
- Castelló, M. J., Medina-Puche, L., Lamilla, J., and Tornero, P. (2018). NPR1 paralogs of Arabidopsis and their role in salicylic acid perception. *PloS One* 13, e0209835. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0209835
- Chen, X.-K., Zhang, J.-Y., Zhang, Z., Du, X.-L., Du, B.-B., and Qu, S.-C. (2012). Overexpressing *MhNPR1* in transgenic Fuji apples enhances resistance to apple powdery mildew. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 39, 8083–8089. doi: 10.1007/s11033-012-1655-3
- Chen, J., Mohan, R., Zhang, Y., Li, M., Chen, H., Palmer, I. A., et al. (2019). NPR1 Promotes Its Own and Target Gene Expression in Plant Defense by Recruiting CDK8. *Plant Physiol.* 181, 289–304. doi: 10.1104/pp.19.00124
- Chern, M.-S., Fitzgerald, H. A., Yadav, R. C., Canlas, P. E., Dong, X., and Ronald, P. C. (2001). Evidence for a disease-resistance pathway in rice similar to the NPR1mediated signaling pathway in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 27, 101–113. doi: 10.1046/ j.1365-313x.2001.01070.x
- Clough, S. J., and Bent, A. F. (1998). Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium -mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J. 16, 735–743. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313x.1998.00343.x
- Ding, Y., Sun, T., Ao, K., Peng, Y., Zhang, Y., Li, X., et al. (2018). Opposite Roles of Salicylic Acid Receptors NPR1 and NPR3/NPR4 in Transcriptional Regulation

of Plant Immunity. Cell 173, 1454-1467. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.044

- Dong, X. (2004). NPR1, all things considered. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 7, 547–552. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2004.07.005
- Dutt, M., Barthe, G., Irey, M., and Grosser, J. (2015). Transgenic Citrus Expressing an Arabidopsis NPR1 Gene Exhibit Enhanced Resistance against Huanglongbing (HLB; Citrus Greening). PloS One 10, e0137134. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0137134
- Everett, K. R., Taylor, R. K., Romberg, M. K., Rees-George, J., Fullerton, R. A., Vanneste, J. L., et al. (2011). First report of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. actinidiae causing kiwifruit bacterial canker in New Zealand. Australas. Plant Dis. Notes 6, 67–71. doi: 10.1007/s13314-011-0023-9
- Fan, W., and Dong, X. (2002). In Vivo Interaction between NPR1 and Transcription Factor TGA2 Leads to Salicylic Acid-Mediated Gene Activation in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 14, 1377–1389. doi: 10.1105/tpc.001628
- Friedrich, L., Lawton, K., Dietrich, R., Willits, M., Cade, R., and Ryals, J. (2001). *NIM1* Overexpression in *Arabidopsis* Potentiates Plant Disease Resistance and Results in Enhanced Effectiveness of Fungicides. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 14, 1114–1124. doi: 10.1094/mpmi.2001.14.9.1114
- Gao, Q.-M., Zhu, S., Kachroo, P., and Kachroo, A. (2015). Signal regulators of systemic acquired resistance. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6, 228. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2015.00228
- Görlach, J., Volrath, S., Knauf-Beiter, G., Hengy, G., Beckhove, U., Kogel, K. H., et al. (1996). Benzothiadiazole, a novel class of inducers of systemic acquired resistance, activates gene expression and disease resistance in wheat. *Plant Cell.* 8, 629–643. doi: 10.1105/tpc.8.4.629
- He, X., and Shi, Y. (2018). Cloning and characterization of a *Mimulus lewisii NPR1* gene involved in regulating plant resistance to *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Plant Biotechnol.* 35, 349–356. doi: 10.5511/plantbiotechnology.18.0820a
- Higo, K., Ugawa, Y., Iwamoto, M., and Korenaga, T. (1999). Plant cis-acting regulatory DNA elements (PLACE) database: 1999. Nucleic Acids Res. 27, 297– 300. doi: 10.1093/nar/27.1.297
- Holsters, M., de Waele, D., Depicker, A., Messens, E., van Montagu, M., and Schell, J. (1978). Transfection and transformation of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *Mol. Gen. Genet. MGG* 163, 181–187. doi: 10.1007/bf00267408
- Huang, S., Ding, J., Deng, D., Tang, W., Sun, H., Liu, D., et al. (2013). Draft genome of the kiwifruit Actinidia chinensis. Nat. Commun. 4, 2640. doi: 10.1038/ncomms3640
- Huang, H. (2016). "Introduction," in *Kiwifruit: the genus Actinidia*. Ed. H. Huang (San Diego: Academic Press), 1–7.
- Japelaghi, R. H., Haddad, R., and Garoosi, G.-A. (2011). Rapid and Efficient Isolation of High Quality Nucleic Acids from Plant Tissues Rich in Polyphenols and Polysaccharides. *Mol. Biotechnol.* 49, 129–137. doi: 10.1007/s12033-011-9384-8
- Jue, D.-w., Yang, L., Shi, C., Chen, M., and Yang, Q. (2014). Cloning and characterization of a Solanum torvum NPR1 gene involved in regulating plant resistance to Verticillium dahliae. Acta Physiol, Planta. 36, 2999–3011. doi: 10.1007/s11738-014-1671-0
- Kinkema, M., Fan, W., and Dong, X. (2000). Nuclear Localization of NPR1 Is Required for Activation of PR Gene Expression. Plant Cell. 12, 2339–2350. doi: 10.1105/tpc.12.12.2339
- Koh, Y., Chung, H., Cha, B., and Lee, D. (1994). Outbreak and spread of bacterial canker in kiwifruit. *Korean J. Plant Pathol.* 10, 68–72.
- Krügel, T., Lim, M., Gase, K., Halitschke, R., and Baldwin, I. T. (2002). Agrobacteriummediated transformation of Nicotiana attenuata, a model ecological expression system. Chemoecology 12, 177–183. doi: 10.1007/pl00012666
- Kuai, X., and Després, C. (2016). Defining Arabidopsis NPR1 orthologues in crops for translational plant immunity. Can. J. Plant Pathol. 38, 25–30. doi: 10.1080/ 07060661.2016.1149106
- Kunkel, B. N., and Brooks, D. M. (2002). Cross talk between signaling pathways in pathogen defense. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 5, 325–331. doi: 10.1016/S1369-5266 (02)00275-3
- Le Henanff, G., Heitz, T., Mestre, P., Mutterer, J., Walter, B., and Chong, J. (2009). Characterization of *Vitis vinifera* NPR1 homologs involved in the regulation of *Pathogenesis-Related* gene expression. *BMC Plant Biol.* 9:54. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-9-54
- Le Henanff, G., Farine, S., Kieffer-Mazet, F., Miclot, A.-S., Heitz, T., Mestre, P., et al. (2011). *Vitis vinifera VvNPR1.1* is the functional ortholog of *AtNPR1* and its overexpression in grapevine triggers constitutive activation of *PR* genes and

enhanced resistance to powdery mildew. *Planta* 234, 405-417. doi: 10.1007/s00425-011-1412-1

- Leon-Reyes, A., Spoel, S. H., De Lange, E. S., Abe, H., Kobayashi, M., Tsuda, S., et al. (2009). Ethylene Modulates the Role of NONEXPRESSOR OF PATHOGENESIS-RELATED GENES1 in Cross Talk between Salicylate and Jasmonate Signaling. *Plant Physiol.* 149, 1797–1809. doi: 10.1104/ pp.108.133926
- Lescot, M., Déhais, P., Thijs, G., Marchal, K., Moreau, Y., Van de Peer, Y., et al. (2002). PlantCARE, a database of plant cis-acting regulatory elements and a portal to tools for in silico analysis of promoter sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30, 325–327. doi: 10.1093/nar/30.1.325
- Letunic, I., and Bork, P. (2018). 20 years of the SMART protein domain annotation resource. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, D493–D496. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx922
- Lin, W.-C., Lu, C.-F., Wu, J.-W., Cheng, M.-L., Lin, Y.-M., Yang, N.-S., et al. (2004). Transgenic tomato plants expressing the *Arabidopsis NPR1* gene display enhanced resistance to a spectrum of fungal and bacterial diseases. *Transgenic Res.* 13, 567–581. doi: 10.1007/s11248-004-2375-9
- Liu, G., Holub, E. B., Alonso, J. M., Ecker, J. R., and Fobert, P. R. (2005). An Arabidopsis NPR1-like gene, NPR4, is required for disease resistance. Plant J. 41, 304–318. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2004.02296.x
- Loake, G., and Grant, M. (2007). Salicylic acid in plant defence-the players and protagonists. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 10, 466–472. doi: 10.1016/ j.pbi.2007.08.008
- Maier, F., Zwicker, S., Huckelhoven, A., Meissner, M., Funk, J., Pfitzner, A. J. P., et al. (2011). NONEXPRESSOR OF PATHOGENESIS-RELATED PROTEINS1 (NPR1) and some NPR1-related proteins are sensitive to salicylic acid. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 12, 73–91. doi: 10.1111/j.1364-3703.2010.00653.x
- Makandar, R., Essig, J. S., Schapaugh, M. A., Trick, H. N., and Shah, J. (2006). Genetically Engineered Resistance to Fusarium Head Blight in Wheat by Expression of Arabidopsis NPR1. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 19, 123–129. doi: 10.1094/mpmi-19-0123
- Malnoy, M., Jin, Q., Borejsza-Wysocka, E. E., He, S. Y., and Aldwinckle, H. S. (2007). Overexpression of the apple *MpNPR1* gene confers increased disease resistance in *malus x domestica*. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact*. 20, 1568–1580. doi: 10.1094/mpmi-20-12-1568
- Matthews, B. F., Beard, H., Brewer, E., Kabir, S., MacDonald, M. H., and Youssef, R. M. (2014). Arabidopsis genes, AtNPR1, AtTGA2 and AtPR-5, confer partial resistance to soybean cyst nematode (*Heterodera glycines*) when overexpressed in transgenic soybean roots. BMC Plant Biol. 14:96. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-14-96
- Meur, G., Budatha, M., Srinivasan, T., Rajesh Kumar, K. R., Dutta Gupta, A., and Kirti, P. B. (2008). Constitutive expression of *Arabidopsis NPR1* confers enhanced resistance to the early instars of *Spodoptera litura* in transgenic tobacco. *Physiol. Planta.* 133, 765–775. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.2008.01098.x
- Mou, Z., Fan, W., and Dong, X. (2003). Inducers of Plant Systemic Acquired Resistance Regulate NPR1 Function through Redox Changes. *Cell* 113, 935– 944. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(03)00429-X
- Narváez, I., Pliego Prieto, C., Palomo-Ríos, E., Fresta, L., Jiménez-Díaz, R. M., Trapero-Casas, J. L., et al. (2020). Heterologous Expression of the AtNPR1 Gene in Olive and Its Effects on Fungal Tolerance. Front. Plant Sci. 11, 308. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00308
- Neeley, D., Konopka, E., Straub, A., Maier, F., Pfitzner, A. J. P., and Pfitzner, U. (2019). Salicylic acid-driven association of LENRV and NIMIN1/NIMIN2 binding domain regions in the C-terminus of tobacco NPR1 transduces SAR signal. *bioRxiv* 543645. doi: 10.1101/543645
- Parkhi, V., Kumar, V., Campbell, L. M., Bell, A. A., Shah, J., and Rathore, K. S. (2010). Resistance against various fungal pathogens and reniform nematode in transgenic cotton plants expressing *Arabidopsis NPR1. Transgenic Res.* 19, 959–975. doi: 10.1007/s11248-010-9374-9
- Pieterse, C. M. J., Leon-Reyes, A., Van der Ent, S., and Van Wees, S. C. M. (2009). Networking by small-molecule hormones in plant immunity. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 5, 308–316. doi: 10.1038/nchembio.164
- Pilkington, S. M., Crowhurst, R., Hilario, E., Nardozza, S., Fraser, L., Peng, Y., et al. (2018). A manually annotated *Actinidia chinensis* var. *chinensis* (kiwifruit) genome highlights the challenges associated with draft genomes and gene prediction in plants. *BMC Genomics* 19, 257. doi: 10.1186/s12864-018-4656-3
- Robertson, C. J., Zhang, X., Gowda, S., Orbović, V., Dawson, W. O., and Z., M. (2018). Overexpression of the *Arabidopsis* NPR1 protein in citrus confers tolerance to Huanglongbing. *J. Citrus Pathol.* 5, 38911

- Rochon, A., Boyle, P., Wignes, T., Fobert, P. R., and Després, C. (2006). The Coactivator Function of *Arabidopsis* NPR1 Requires the Core of Its BTB/POZ Domain and the Oxidation of C-Terminal Cysteines. *Plant Cell*. 18, 3670–3685. doi: 10.1105/tpc.106.046953
- Sandhu, D., Tasma, I. M., Frasch, R., and Bhattacharyya, M. K. (2009). Systemic acquired resistance in soybean is regulated by two proteins, orthologous to *Arabidopsis* NPR1. *BMC Plant Biol.* 9:105. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-9-105
- Serizawa, S., Ichikawa, T., Takikawa, Y., Tsuyumu, S., and Goto, M. (1989). Occurrence of Bacterial Canker of Kiwifruit in Japan. Japanese J. Phytopathol. 55, 427–436. doi: 10.3186/jjphytopath.55.427
- Shine, M. B., Xiao, X., Kachroo, P., and Kachroo, A. (2019). Signaling mechanisms underlying systemic acquired resistance to microbial pathogens. *Plant Sci.* 279, 81–86. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2018.01.001
- Silva, K. J. P., Brunings, A., Peres, N. A., Mou, Z., and Folta, K. M. (2015). The Arabidopsis NPR1 gene confers broad-spectrum disease resistance in strawberry. Transgenic Res. 24, 693–704. doi: 10.1007/s11248-015-9869-5
- Silva, K. J. P., Mahna, N., Mou, Z., and Folta, K. M. (2018). NPR1 as a transgenic crop protection strategy in horticultural species. *Hortic. Res.* 5, 15. doi: 10.1038/s41438-018-0026-1
- Spoel, S. H., Koornneef, A., Claessens, S. M. C., Korzelius, J. P., Van Pelt, J. A., Mueller, M. J., et al. (2003). NPR1 Modulates Cross-Talk between Salicylateand Jasmonate-Dependent Defense Pathways through a Novel Function in the Cytosol. *Plant Cell*. 15, 760–770. doi: 10.1105/tpc.009159
- Sung, Y.-C., Lin, C.-P., Hsu, H.-J., Chen, Y.-L., and Chen, J.-C. (2019). Silencing of *CrNPR1* and *CrNPR3* Alters Plant Susceptibility to Periwinkle Leaf Yellowing Phytoplasma. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10, 1183. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.01183
- Tada, Y., Spoel, S. H., Pajerowska-Mukhtar, K., Mou, Z., Song, J., Wang, C., et al. (2008). Plant Immunity Requires Conformational Charges of NPR1 via S-Nitrosylation and Thioredoxins. *Science* 321, 952. doi: 10.1126/science.1156970
- Tamura, K., Peterson, D., Peterson, N., Stecher, G., Nei, M., and Kumar, S. (2011). MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 28, 2731–2739. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msr121
- Tang, W., Sun, X., Yue, J., Tang, X., Jiao, C., Yang, Y., et al. (2019). Chromosome-scale genome assembly of kiwifruit Actinidia eriantha with single-molecule sequencing and chromatin interaction mapping. Giga Sci. 8, giz027. doi: 10.1093/gigascience/giz027
- Wang, L., Guo, Z., Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., Yang, G., Yang, L., et al. (2017). Overexpression of *LhSorNPR1*, a *NPR1*-like gene from the oriental hybrid lily 'Sorbonne', conferred enhanced resistance to *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato DC3000 in *Arabidopsis. Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants.* 23, 793–808. doi: 10.1007/s12298-017-0466-3
- Wang, W., Withers, J., Li, H., Zwack, P. J., Rusnac, D.-V., Shi, H., et al. (2020). Structural basis of salicylic acid perception by Arabidopsis NPR proteins. *Nature*. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2596-y
- Withers, J., and Dong, X. (2016). Posttranslational Modifications of NPR1: A Single Protein Playing Multiple Roles in Plant Immunity and Physiology. *PloS Pathogens*. 12, e1005707. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1005707
- Wu, Y., Zhang, D., Chu, J. Y., Boyle, P., Wang, Y., Brindle, I. D., et al. (2012). The *Arabidopsis* NPR1 Protein Is a Receptor for the Plant Defense Hormone Salicylic Acid. *Cell Rep.* 1, 639–647. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2012.05.008

- Xu, Y.-Q., Wang, H., Qin, R.-L., Fang, L.-J., Liu, Z., Yuan, S.-S., et al. (2019). Characterization of NPR1 and NPR4 genes from mulberry (Morus multicaulis) and their roles in development and stress resistance. *Physiol. Planta.* 167, 302– 316. doi: 10.1111/ppl.12889
- Yoo, S. D., Cho, Y. H., and Sheen, J. (2007). Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts: a versatile cell system for transient gene expression analysis. Nat. Protoc. 2, 1565–1572. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2007.199
- Yuan, Y., Zhong, S., Li, Q., Zhu, Z., Lou, Y., Wang, L., et al. (2007). Functional analysis of rice NPR1-like genes reveals that OsNPR1/NH1 is the rice orthologue conferring disease resistance with enhanced herbivore susceptibility. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 5, 313– 324. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-7652.2007.00243.x
- Zhang, Y., and Li, X. (2019). Salicylic acid: biosynthesis, perception, and contributions to plant immunity. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 50, 29–36. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2019.02.004
- Zhang, Y., Fan, W., Kinkema, M., Li, X., and Dong, X. (1999). Interaction of NPR1 with basic leucine zipper protein transcription factors that bind sequences required for salicylic acid induction of the *PR-1* gene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. States A.* 96, 6523–6528. doi: 10.1073/pnas.96.11.6523
- Zhang, Y., Cheng, Y. T., Qu, N., Zhao, Q., Bi, D., and Li, X. (2006). Negative regulation of defense responses in *Arabidopsis* by two NPR1 paralogs. *Plant J.* 48, 647–656. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.02903.x
- Zhang, X., Francis, M. I., Dawson, W. O., Graham, J. H., Orbović, V., Triplett, E. W., et al. (2010). Over-expression of the *Arabidopsis NPR1* gene in citrus increases resistance to citrus canker. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 128, 91–100. doi: 10.1007/s10658-010-9633-x
- Zhang, J.-Y., Qiao, Y.-S., Lv, D., Gao, Z.-H., Qu, S.-C., and Zhang, Z. (2012). Malus hupehensis NPR1 induces pathogenesis-related protein gene expression in transgenic tobacco. Plant Biol. 14, 46–56. doi: 10.1111/j.1438-8677.2011.00483.x
- Zhang, J.-Y., Qu, S.-C., Qiao, Y.-S., Zhang, Z., and Guo, Z.-R. (2014). Overexpression of the *Malus hupehensis MhNPR1* gene increased tolerance to salt and osmotic stress in transgenic tobacco. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 41, 1553–1561. doi: 10.1007/s11033-013-3001-9
- Zhang, J., Ren, Z., Zhou, Y., Ma, Z., Ma, Y., Hou, D., et al. (2019). NPR1 and Redox Rhythmx: Connections, between Circadian Clock and Plant Immunity. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20:1211. doi: 10.3390/ijms20051211
- Zhong, X., Xi, L., Lian, Q., Luo, X., Wu, Z., Seng, S., et al. (2015). The NPR1 homolog GhNPR1 plays an important role in the defense response of Gladiolus hybridus. Plant Cell Rep. 34, 1063–1074. doi: 10.1007/s00299-015-1765-1

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Sun, Fang, Zhang, Qi, Lin and Chen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.