



The Crucial Role of Demannosylating Asparagine-Linked Glycans in ERADicating Misfolded Glycoproteins in the Endoplasmic Reticulum

Jianjun Zhang^{1,2}, Jiarui Wu^{1,2}, Linchuan Liu^{1,2} and Jianming Li^{1,2,3*}

¹ State Key Laboratory for Conservation and Utilization of Subtropical Agro-Bioresources, College of Forestry and Landscape Architecture, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, China, ² Guangdong Key Laboratory for Innovative Development and Utilization of Forest Plant Germplasm, College of Forestry and Landscape Architecture, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, China, ³ Department of Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Els J. M. Van Damme, Ghent University, Belgium

Reviewed by:

Kazuhito Fujiyama, Osaka University, Japan Jennifer Schoberer, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna, Austria Yoshinobu Kimura, Okayama University, Japan

*Correspondence:

Jianming Li jmlumaa@scau.edu.cn; jian@umich.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Physiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 02 November 2020 Accepted: 08 December 2020 Published: 12 January 2021

Citation:

Zhang J, Wu J, Liu L and Li J (2021) The Crucial Role of Demannosylating Asparagine-Linked Glycans in ERADicating Misfolded Glycoproteins in the Endoplasmic Reticulum. Front. Plant Sci. 11:625033. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.625033 Most membrane and secreted proteins are glycosylated on certain asparagine (N) residues in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), which is crucial for their correct folding and function. Protein folding is a fundamentally inefficient and error-prone process that can be easily interfered by genetic mutations, stochastic cellular events, and environmental stresses. Because misfolded proteins not only lead to functional deficiency but also produce gain-of-function cellular toxicity, eukaryotic organisms have evolved highly conserved ER-mediated protein quality control (ERQC) mechanisms to monitor protein folding, retain and repair incompletely folded or misfolded proteins, or remove terminally misfolded proteins via a unique ER-associated degradation (ERAD) mechanism. A crucial event that terminates futile refolding attempts of a misfolded glycoprotein and diverts it into the ERAD pathway is executed by removal of certain terminal α1,2-mannose (Man) residues of their N-glycans. Earlier studies were centered around an ER-type α 1,2-mannosidase that specifically cleaves the terminal α 1,2Man residue from the B-branch of the three-branched N-linked MangGlcNAc2 (GlcNAc for N-acetylglucosamine) glycan, but recent investigations revealed that the signal that marks a terminally misfolded glycoprotein for ERAD is an N-glycan with an exposed α 1,6Man residue generated by members of a unique folding-sensitive α 1,2mannosidase family known as ER-degradation enhancing α -mannosidase-like proteins (EDEMs). This review provides a historical recount of major discoveries that led to our current understanding on the role of demannosylating N-glycans in sentencing irreparable misfolded glycoproteins into ERAD. It also discusses conserved and distinct features of the demannosylation processes of the ERAD systems of yeast, mammals, and plants.

Keywords: endoplasmic reticulum, asparagine-linked glycan, endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation, mannosidase, protein disulfide isomerases

INTRODUCTION

Secretory and transmembrane proteins of eukaryotic organisms are synthesized on cytosolic ribosomes and enter the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) for their folding and maturation (Rapoport, 2007). Most of those nascent polypeptides are co-/posttranslationally modified by asparagine-linked glycosylation (N-glycosylation) with three-branched Glc₃Man₉GlcNAc₂ (Glc, Man, and GlcNAc denoting glucose, mannose, and N-acetylglucosamine, respectively) (Figure 1A). It has been well established that N-glycosylation is critical for attaining correct protein conformations by increasing thermodynamic stability, marking segments for surface exposure, and recruiting various lectins and their associated chaperones and folding catalysts (Helenius and Aebi, 2004; Wang et al., 2015). However, protein folding (especially for multi-spanning membrane proteins) is an inefficient and error-prone process that is constantly affected by genetic mutations, transcriptional and translational errors, stochastic cellular events, and a wide range of environmental stresses, resulting in accumulation of misfolded proteins in the ER. Misfolding a protein not only reduces its own activity but often exerts a dominant negative impact on its interacting proteins to augment its deleterious effect on cell physiology. Fortunately, eukaryotic organisms are equipped with a wide range of protein quality control mechanisms that recognize various folding defects, repair and refold misfolded proteins, and degrade irreparable misfolded proteins to maintain protein homeostasis of various cellular compartments (Ellgaard et al., 1999; Balchin et al., 2016).

One of the best studied protein quality control mechanisms is the ER protein quality control (ERQC) system that relies on protein N-glycosylation to monitor protein folding status, retain incompletely folded and misfolded glycoproteins in the ER, repair folding defects, and/or retrotranslocate irreparable misfolded proteins into the cytosol for proteasome-mediated proteolysis (Xu and Ng, 2015). This unique degradation process is widely known as ER-associated degradation (ERAD) that is highly conserved in eukaryotic organisms from yeast to plants and human (Stevenson et al., 2016; Berner et al., 2018; Strasser, 2018). The pathway consists of 4 interdependent steps: client recognition and recruitment, ubiquitination, retrotranslocation, and delivery to the cytosolic proteosome for proteolysis. Recent studies have shown that the ERAD machinery builds around several E3 ubiquitin ligases (with each being responsible for a subset of ERAD clients carrying structural defects in their cytosolic, transmembrane, or luminal domains) and contains client recruitment factors and proteins involved in retrotranslocation and substrate delivery to the 26S proteasome.

Given the high energy costs of protein synthesis (Buttgereit and Brand, 1995), eukaryotic cells prioritize repair/refolding over protein degradation. However, continuous futile refolding attempts would titrate away chaperones and folding catalysts that are needed to fold newly synthesized proteins that continuously enter into the ER, potentially reducing the ER folding capacity, increasing ER accumulation of misfolded and incompletely folded proteins, and disrupting ER proteostasis. Thus, eukaryotic cells have to make a crucial life-or-death decision to terminate futile folding attempts and to deliver those irreparable misfolded glycoproteins into the ERAD pathway. It is believed that a terminally misfolded protein is extracted from its futile folding cycles via slow actions of several highly conserved a1,2-mannosidases, which remove key terminal α1,2Man residues of N-glycans on the misfolded protein with a prolonged ER residence. Such a theory was widely known as the "mannose/mannosidase timer" hypothesis that was initially proposed in 1994 (Helenius, 1994). It was previously thought that removing the terminal α 1,2Man residue of the B-branch of Man₉GlcNAc₂ glycans by the ERtype a1,2-mannosidase marks a terminally misfolded protein for degradation. However, further studies have shown that although the α 1,2Man-trimming of the B-branch of N-glycans on misfolded glycoproteins is a necessary step, the actual N-glycan ERAD signal is created by the cleavage of the a1,2Man-a1,6Man linkage of the C-branch to expose the hidden a1,6Man residue that can be subsequently recognized and bound by a highly conserved ERAD lectin, known as OS-9 for osteosarcoma amplified 9 in mammalian cells and Yos9 (yeast homolog of OS-9) in yeast (Figure 1). OS-9/Yos9 works together with Sel1L/Hrd3 (Suppressor of lin-12-Like 1 in mammals and its homolog HMG-CoA reductase degradation 3 in yeast, respectively), which recognizes surface-exposed hydrophobic residues, to take the misfolded glycoprotein to the ER membrane-anchored ERAD complex for its ubiquitination, retrotranslocation, and cytosolic delivery to the 26S proteosome for complete proteolysis (Figure 1). In the past 27 years since the initial revelation of a potential role of Man-trimming in glycoprotein degradation (Su et al., 1993), many studies were directed toward understanding the biochemical functions of the Man-trimming reactions and identifying mannosidases that cleave terminal a1,2Man residues in a branch-specific manner. Because most ERAD studies, especially those on the role of N-glycan demannosylation in ERAD, were performed in yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) and cultured mammalian cells, we attempt to provide plant biologists a historical review on the major discoveries that have progressively enhanced our understanding of a key event of the ERQC mechanism to tip the balance of repair/refolding-removal/degradation of misfolded glycoproteins. Our review also discusses the research progress of the plant ERAD study in comparison with experiments performed in the yeast and mammalian cell cultures. Before we survey the relevant literature on the Man-trimming reactions, we first briefly discuss N-glycosylation and one unique glycoprotein folding process known as the calnexin/calreticulin (CNX/CRT) cycle.

N-GLYCOSYLATION IN THE ER

The *N*-glycosylation is one of the most common protein post-translational modifications, and more than 70% of secretory/transmembrane proteins in human cells are *N*-glycosylated after they enter into the ER. *N*-glycosylation is initiated by a single-step *en bloc* transfer of a preassembled

Zhang et al.



FIGURE 1 | The synthesis of N-glycan and its role in ERQC. (A) The structure of the three-branched Glc3Man9GlcNAc2. The inlet lists signs/shapes for different sugars and glycosidic linkages. Vertical arrows mark three different branches while the horizontal arrows indicate the cleavage sites of glucosidases and mannosidases. (B) The assembly of the N-glycan precursor starts at the cytosolic side of the ER membrane with addition of two GlcNAc residues to the membrane-anchored Dol-P linker followed by sequential attachment of the \$1,4Man residue (catalyzed by ALG1), the \$\alpha1,3Man/\alpha1,6Man residues (by ALG2), and the two a1,2Man residues (by ALG11). The resulting Man₅GlcNAc₂-PP-Dol is flipped into the ER lumen where four additional Man residues are sequentially added from Dol-P-Man donor (catalyzed by ALG3, ALG9, ALG12, and ALG9) to generate MangGlcNAc2-PP-Dol. ALG6, ALG8, and ALG10 catalyze the sequential addition of three Glc residues to form Glc₃Man₉GlcNAc₂ that is en bloc transferred by OST onto certain Asn residues of nascent polypeptides. Immediately after the transfer, GI and GII rapidly remove the terminal and middle GIc residues to generate GIcMangGIcNAc2. This N-glycan is recognized and bound by CNX/CRT that recruit additional chaperones and folding catalysts to assist the folding of those monoglucosylated glycoproteins. The removal of the last Glc residue by Gll releases the glycoproteins from CNX/CRT. A correctly folded glycoprotein is demannosylated on the B-branch by Mns1/ERManl while trafficking to the Golgi apparatus whereas a misfolded/incompletely folded alvcoprotein is recognized/bound by UGGT that adds back a Glc residue to regenerate GlcManaGlcNAc2, forcing its reassociation with CNX/CRT for refolding. If a misfolded glycoprotein stays in the ER too long for engaging multiple futile refolding attempts, its N-glycans are slowly demannosylated by Mns1/ERManl and members of the Htm1/EDEM family (likely forming a disulfide bridged complex with members of the PDI family), generating N-glycans with an exposed a1,6Man residue. The ERAD lectin (OS-9/Yos9/EBS6) recognizes/binds a1,6Man-exposed N-glycans and works together with Hrd3/Sel1L/EBS5, which binds surface-exposed hydrophobic residues, to bring an irreparable misfolded glycoprotein onto the ER membrane-anchored ERAD complex containing a ubiquitin ligase (such as Hrd1) and its accessary factors. This complex not only ubiquitinates but also retrotranslocates a committed ERAD client that is subsequently escorted into the cytosolic proteasome for its complete degradation.

Glc₃Man₉GlcNAc₂ from its lipid carrier dolichyl pyrophosphate (Dol-PP) to select asparagine (Asn or N) residues within the Asn-X-Ser/Thr sequon (X indicating any amino acid except proline while Ser/Thr denoting serine/threonine residue) of a nascent polypeptide. This transfer reaction is catalyzed by a multisubunit enzyme complex known as oligosaccharide transferase (OST) (Strasser, 2016; Harada et al., 2019) (Figure 1). The assembly of the three-branched Dol-PP-Glc₃Man₉GlcNAc₂ is a highly conserved pathway involving two topologically distinct sets of glycosyltransfer reactions on both sides of the ER membrane catalyzed sequentially by highly specific glycosyltransferases (Figure 1) (Schachter, 2014; Stanley et al., 2015). On the cytosolic side, an enzyme complex, which consists of Asparagine-Linked Glycosylation 7 (ALG7), ALG13, and ALG14, adds two GlcNAc residues from uridine diphosphate (UDP)-GlcNAc to the membrane-embedded Dol-P linker to make Dol-PP-GlcNAc2 (Elbein, 1987; Lu et al., 2012). It is important to note that ALG7 is the target of tunicamycin that is commonly used to induce protein misfolding and ER stress (Heifetz et al., 1979; Yoo et al., 2018). Subsequently, ALG1 (β1,4-mannosyltransferase), ALG2 (a dual-function α 1,3/ α 1,6-mannosyltransferase) and ALG11 (a1,2-mannosyltransferase) sequentially add five

mannose residues to generate Dol-PP-Man₅GlcNAc₂ (Couto et al., 1984; O'Reilly et al., 2006) (Figure 1). The resulting Dol-PP-glycan is flipped over into the ER lumen, catalyzed by a yet unknown "flippase" that is genetically linked to the yeast Rtf1 locus (Helenius et al., 2002). The second set of the glycosyltransfer reactions occurs on the luminal side of the ER membrane where 4 additional Man residues are added, catalyzed sequentially by ALG3 (α 1,3 mannosyltransferase), ALG9 (α 1,2 mannosyltransferase), ALG12 (a1,6 mannosyltransferase), and ALG9 to form Dol-PP-Man₉GlcNAc₂ (Aebi et al., 1996; Burda et al., 1996, 1999; Frank and Aebi, 2005) (Figure 1). Three Glc residues are then added to the terminal a1,2-Man residue of the A branch via the three glucosyltransferases (ALG6, ALG8, and ALG10) to generate the final assembly product Dol-PP-Glc3Man9GlcNAc2 (Stagljar et al., 1994; Reiss et al., 1996; Burda and Aebi, 1998; Burda et al., 1999). It should be noted that ALG3/ALG9/ALG12 and ALG6/ALG8/ALG12 use Dol-P-Man and Dol-P-Glc as the sugar donors for their glycosyltransferase reactions, respectively. The assembly process of Glc₃Man₉GlcNAc₂ on the Dol-P linker and its en bloc transfer to nascent polypeptides are conserved in mammals and plants (Strasser, 2016).

THE CNX/CRT CYCLE FOR REFOLDING

Immediately after transferring Glc₃Man₉GlcNAc₂ to an Asn residue of a nascent polypeptide, the terminal and middle Glc residues are removed sequentially by glucosidase I (GI or GCS1) and glucosidase II (GII) (D'Alessio et al., 2010) (Figure 1). The resulting N-glycan, GlcMan₉GlcNAc₂, is recognized by two ER chaperone-like lectins, a membrane-anchored CNX and its ER luminal homolog CRT (Caramelo and Parodi, 2008). The highspecificity and high-affinity binding between GlcMan₉GlcNAc₂ and CNX/CRT is crucial for folding a nascent polypeptide as CNX/CRT recruit other ER-chaperones and folding catalysts, including binding immunoglobulin protein (BIP), an ERlocalized member of heat shock protein 70 (HSP70) family (Hendershot et al., 1994) and its cochaperones, and protein disulfide isomerases (PDIs) essential for forming inter/intramolecular disulfide bonds (Kozlov et al., 2010). The chaperoneassisted protein folding is terminated upon removal of the remaining Glc residue by GII, releasing a folded glycoprotein from CNX/CRT (Caramelo and Parodi, 2008). If a glycoprotein folds correctly, it is transported out of the ER to continue its secretory journey. However, if the protein fails to attain its native conformation, it is recognized by UDP glucose:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase (UGGT), an ER-resident protein serving a crucial quality control checkpoint function for thousands of glycoproteins (D'Alessio et al., 2010). UGGT has two functional domains: a large N-terminal domain involved in recognizing misfolded clients via a structurally flexible long arc of 4 thioredoxin-like (TRXL) domains and a smaller highly conserved but structurally rigid C-terminal catalytic domain capable of catalyzing the glucosyltransferase reaction using UDP-Glc as a substrate (D'Alessio et al., 2010; Calles-Garcia et al., 2017; Roversi et al., 2017; Satoh et al., 2017). As a result of the UGGT-catalyzed reglucosylation, the misfolded glycoprotein reassociates with CNX/CRT for another round of chaperone-assisted folding. The alternate reactions of GII and UGGT drive cycles of dissociation and reassociation of a misfolded glycoprotein with CNX/CRT for repeated folding attempts, which is widely known as the CNX/CRT cycle (Hammond et al., 1994), until the glycoprotein acquires its native conformation (Figure 1). However, if the glycoprotein fails to fold correctly within a given time window, it is extracted from the CNX/CRT cycle and diverted into the ERAD pathway for the cytosolic proteasome-mediated proteolysis (Vembar and Brodsky, 2008). Thus, the GII/UGGTdriven CNX/CRT cycle not only helps certain glycoproteins to acquire their native conformations but also provides an ERQC mechanism to recognize, retain/refold, or remove misfolded glycoproteins. Mutation in a mouse UGGT resulted in embryo lethality likely caused by misfolding of some proteins essential for embryogenesis (Molinari et al., 2005). Similarly, mutations of the Arabidopsis UGGT, also known as EBS1 for EMS-mutagenized bri1 suppressor (Jin et al., 2007), result in misfolding and subsequent ERAD of several plant immunity receptors (Li et al., 2009; Saijo et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2015) but also permit the plasma membrane-localization of bri1-9, an ER-retained, misfolded variant of the plant growth receptor Brassinosteroid-Insensitive 1 (BRI1) (Jin et al., 2007). The Arabidopsis studies

provide excellent genetic support for a role of the GII/UGGTdriven CNX/CRT cycle in the folding and quality control of glycoproteins (Liu and Li, 2014).

IMPORTANCE OF MANNOSE-TRIMMING IN ERAD

What could be the mechanism that terminates the futile folding cycles of an irreparable misfolded glycoprotein to force it into the ERAD pathway? The initial discovery that suggested the importance of N-glycan demannosylation in promoting degradation of misfolded glycoproteins came from a mammalian cell culture study showing suppressed degradation of a yeast glycoprotein expressed in cultured mammalian cells by deoxymannojirimycin (dMM) (Su et al., 1993), a known inhibitor of a1,2-mannosidase activity (Elbein, 1987). This mammalian study was confirmed several years later by yeast genetic studies (Knop et al., 1996; Jakob et al., 1998), which demonstrated that deleting the yeast Mns1 gene, encoding the yeast ER mannosidase I (Camirand et al., 1991), resulted in a reduced degradation of a model yeast ERAD substrate CPY*, an ERretained mutant variant of the yeast vacuolar carboxypeptidase Y (Finger et al., 1993). Importantly, analyses of degradation rates of CPY* carrying defined N-glycan structures in various yeast mutants (Man₆GlcNAc₂ in $\Delta alg9$, Man₇GlcNAc₂ in $\Delta alg12$, and the Man₈GlcNAc₂ isoform B lacking the terminal α1,2Man residue of the B-branch in both wild-type and $\Delta alg6$, and Man₉GlcNAc₂ in $\Delta mns1$) suggested that the Mns1-mediated Man-trimming of the B-branch of Man₉GlcNAc₂ is important for CPY* degradation in yeast cells (Jakob et al., 1998). These results led to the "mannose/mannosidase timer" theory (Helenius, 1994; Helenius and Aebi, 2004), hypothesizing that slow action of Mns1 (converting Man9 to Man8B) allows folding intermediates and misfolded glycoproteins to acquire their native conformations without being rushed into the ERAD pathway but removes the B-branch terminal a1,2Man residue of misfolded glycoproteins that stay in the ER for too long engaging hopeless refolding attempts.

Confirmation of the importance of Man-trimming reactions in the mammalian ERAD pathway came years later when many research laboratories (reviewed in Cabral et al., 2001) reported suppressed degradation of a variety of ERAD substrates by dMM and kifunensine (Kif), another widely used inhibitor of α 1,2-mannosidases (Elbein et al., 1990), thus extending the "mannosidase timer" hypothesis to the mammalian ERAD mechanism. The major caveat of the model was that it failed to explain how the mammalian ERQC system could differentiate misfolded glycoproteins from their correctly folded conformers that carry the exact same Man8B N-glycan known to interact with a well-studied cargo receptor ERGIC53, an ER Golgi intermediate compartment 53-kD protein (Hauri et al., 2000), for their transport into the Golgi apparatus where the remaining α1,2Man residues are sequentially removed by three Golgi-localized a1,2mannosidases (Moremen et al., 2012). It was subsequently thought that extracting terminally misfolded glycoproteins from the CNX/CRT cycle to force them into the ERAD machinery

might involve additional demannosylation steps or require specific ERAD lectin(s) that can recognize both the Man8B glycan and the folding status of a misfolded glycoprotein.

Indeed, further mammalian cell culture studies revealed the presence of Man₅₋₇GlcNAc₂ N-glycans on several mammalian ERAD substrates (reviewed in Lederkremer and Glickman, 2005). More importantly, studies using N-glycosylation defective Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) mutant cell lines, in which glycoproteins were N-glycosylated with Glc3Man5GlcNAc2 (Villers et al., 1994) or Man₅GlcNAc₂ (Ermonval et al., 1997) lacking both the B- and C-branches, demonstrated that ERAD of misfolded glycoproteins could still be suppressed by treatment with a1,2-mannosidase inhibitors (Ermonval et al., 2001; Foulquier et al., 2004). These results suggested that demannosylation beyond the B and C-branch might be required in the mammalian ERAD pathway. It was thought that the Man-trimming of the A-branch prevents the UGGT-catalyzed reglucosylation because the A-branch terminal α1,2Man residue is the Glc-acceptor, thus prohibiting an incompletely folded or misfolded glycoprotein to reenter the CNX/CRT cycle for additional folding cycle and effectively forcing its entry into the ERAD pathway (Lederkremer and Glickman, 2005). Such an explanation was supported by later studies showing that genetic and pharmacological manipulation of the CNX/CRT cycle could alter ERAD of misfolded glycoproteins (Molinari et al., 2003; Oda et al., 2003). It is important to note that extensive demannosylation of N-glycans of misfolded glycoproteins was not detected in yeast, which seems to be consistent with the fact that the budding yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) lacks UGGT and the CNX/CRT cycle (D'Alessio et al., 2010) and therefore has no need to demannosylate the A-branch of N-glycans of irreparable misfolded glycoproteins.

The first indication of a role of Man-trimming in a plant ERAD process was reported in 2001 by a study that investigated degradation of the catalytic A subunit RTA (ricin toxin A subunit) of the ribosome-inactivating cytotoxin ricin when it was expressed in tobacco protoplasts (Di Cola et al., 2001). Ricin is normally produced as a heterodimeric glycoprotein consisting of RTA disulfide bridged with RTB (ricin toxin B subunit) in the seeds of the castor oil plant Ricinus communis, and a heterologously expressed RTA without RTB was known to be degraded rapidly via a plant ERAD mechanism (Di Cola et al., 2005). Later studies in Arabidopsis confirmed that exogenous Kif application blocked degradation of at least two ER-retained mutant variants of BRI1, bri1-5 and bri1-9, and a misfolded plant innate immunity receptor, providing additional support for a role of N-glycan demannosylation in a plant ERAD process (Hong et al., 2008, 2009; Liebminger et al., 2009; Nekrasov et al., 2009). The Arabidopsis has the GII/UGGT-driven CNX/CRT cycle critical for protein folding and quality control of misfolded glycoproteins (Liu and Li, 2014), but it remains unknown if ERAD of plant glycoproteins involves extensive demannosylation of their N-glycans. It is interesting to note that N-glycan analysis of an engineered plant ERAD substrate transiently expressed in tobacco leaves revealed the presence of monoglucosylated N-glycans with an exposed a1,6Man residue, suggesting that trimming the A-branch terminal a1,2Man residue might be

needed to extract a terminally misfolded glycoprotein from the CNX/CRT cycle to force its entry into the ERAD process (Hüttner et al., 2014a). It is also possible that the end-of-life decision of the plant ERQC system might be determined by competition between CNX/CRT and OS9 for binding to glycoproteins carrying *N*-glycans with both refolding and ERAD signals.

TWO FAMILIES OF POTENTIAL ERAD LECTINS THAT BIND THE MAN-TRIMMED *N*-GLYCANS

The theory of a Man-trimmed N-glycan ERAD signal for both yeast and mammalian ERAD pathways prompted intensive searches for ERAD lectins that might recognize the Man8B glycan on misfolded glycoproteins, leading to discovery of two families of proteins (Kanehara et al., 2007). The first one comprises members of the class 1 α -mannosidase family, including yeast Htm1 (homologous to mannosidase 1), mammalian EDEM1-3 (ER degradation-enhancing α -mannosidase-like protein1-3), and Arabidopsis MNS4 and MNS5 (Hüttner et al., 2014b), while the second one is the Yos9/OS-9 family. Both the yeast Htm1 (also known as Mnl1 for mannosidase-like protein) (Hosokawa et al., 2001; Nakatsukasa et al., 2001) and mammalian EDEMs share sequence similarity with yeast Mns1 (α 1,2-mannosidase 1) and mammalian ERManI (ER class I α-mannosidase) but lack a cysteine pair (Cys³⁴⁰-Cys³⁸⁵ in Mns1) that was previously thought to be essential for the yeast Mns1 mannosidase activity (Lipari and Herscovics, 1996). The lack of this conserved cysteine-pair plus all failed initial attempts to demonstrate in vitro a1,2-mannosidase activities of Htm1/EDEMs toward free oligosaccharides led to an earlier consensus in the ERAD research field that Htm1/EDEMs were inactive mannosidases that could function as the Man8B-binding lectins (Hosokawa et al., 2001; Jakob et al., 2001; Nakatsukasa et al., 2001; Mast et al., 2005). Consistent with this hypothesis, a $\Delta htm1$ mutation or RNAi-mediated silencing of EDEM1 inhibited degradation of glycosylated but not non-glycosylated ERAD substrates (Jakob et al., 2001; Nakatsukasa et al., 2001; Molinari et al., 2003), whereas overexpression of Htm1/EDEMs accelerated degradation of glycosylated ERAD clients but had little impact on their non-glycosylated variants (Hosokawa et al., 2001; Molinari et al., 2003; Oda et al., 2003; Mast et al., 2005; Olivari et al., 2005; Hirao et al., 2006). The two EDEM1 studies in 2003 not only demonstrated an interaction of EDEM1 with CNX but also provided strong evidence that EDEM1 enhanced ERAD by extracting misfolded glycoproteins from the CNX/CRT cycle. Overexpression of CNX or inhibition of GII (prolonging the glycoprotein-CNX association) suppressed ERAD, whereas genetic and pharmacological inhibition of the initial creation of GlcMan₉GlcNAc₂ N-glycan nullified the stimulatory impact of EDEM1 on ERAD of misfolded glycoproteins (Molinari et al., 2003; Oda et al., 2003). Together, these early Htm1/EDEM studies strongly suggested that Htm1/EDEMs could function as ERAD lectins that compete effectively with CNX/CRT to control the repair/refolding-removal/degradation balance of misfolded glycoproteins. It should be noted that although many early studies demonstrated binding of EDEMs with misfolded glycoproteins, no published study had shown that EDEMs interacted with their clients via a glycan-dependent manner except the Hosokawa et al. (2001) study that revealed a slightly stronger EDEM1 binding affinity with its clients carrying the Man8B-glycan than Man9-carrying clients (Hosokawa et al., 2001). In addition to EDEM1, mammalian cells have two other members of the Htm1/EDEM family, EDEM2 and EDEM3, which also stimulated ERAD of glycosylated substrates but not their non-glycosylated variants when overexpressed in cultured mammalian cells (Mast et al., 2005; Olivari et al., 2005; Hirao et al., 2006). Despite strong evidence for the involvement of Htm1/EDEMs in ERAD, the direct experimental support for their suspected lectin function was extremely weak.

The other candidate for an ERAD lectin is Yos9/OS-9 that contain a Man-6-phosphate (Man-6-P) receptor homology (MRH) domain previously implicated in sugar binding. This domain was found to be present in several well studied proteins/enzymes (Munro, 2001), including the y-subunit of GlcNAc-1-phosphotransferase that generates Man-6-P on lysosomal enzymes whose sorting from the *trans*-Golgi-network to lysosomes is mediated by recognition of the Man-6-P signal (Bao et al., 1996) and the β -subunit of GII involved in removing the 2nd and 3rd Glc residue of the Glc₃Man₉GlcNAc₂ N-glycan (Trombetta et al., 1996). The mammalian OS-9 (exhibiting $\sim 15\%$ sequence identity with Yos9) was initially discovered in 1994 as one of the functionally unknown proteins whose genes were amplified in osteosarcoma (Su et al., 1994) and has a mammalian homolog (with \sim 23% sequence identity) known as Erlectin or XTP3-B (XTP3-transactivated gene B) (Cruciat et al., 2006). However, the genetic link of Yos9/OS-9 to ERAD was made 10 years later by a genome-wide screen for yeast deletion mutants defective in ERAD (Buschhorn et al., 2004). This study revealed that a deletion mutation of Yos9 inhibited ERAD of CPY* but had little impact on the degradation of its non-glycosylated variant. More importantly, it was shown that the $\Delta yos9 \ \Delta htm1$ double deletion had a more or less similar inhibitory impact on CPY* ERAD compared to $\Delta yos9$ or $\Delta htm1$ single mutations, implying that Yos9 and Htm1 work in the same biochemical pathway for degrading CPY* in yeast cells. The essential role of Yos9 in the yeast ERAD process was confirmed by three independent studies (Bhamidipati et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2005; Szathmary et al., 2005) and Yos9 was later found to be a component of the yeast ERAD complex containing the ubiquitin ligase Hrd1 (HMG-CoA reductase degradation 1) (Carvalho et al., 2006; Denic et al., 2006; Gauss et al., 2006). The Szathmary et al. (2005) study demonstrated that Yos9 only interacted with CPY* in wild-type (the predominant N-glycan of CPY* being Man₈GlcNAc₂) or $\Delta alg3$ yeast cells (with ER-localized proteins glycosylated with Man₅GlcNAc₂ lacking both B and C branches) but not in yeast cells of $\Delta alg9$, $\Delta alg12$, and $\Delta mns1$ (ER-localized proteins glycosylated with Man₆GlcNAc₂, Man₇GlcNAc₂, and Man₉GlcNAc₂, respectively), providing a strong support for Yos9 being an ERAD lectin capable of recognizing and binding Man8B and Man₅GlcNAc₂ N-glycans. While the Yos9-Man₈GlcNAc₂ binding was expected, the Yos9-Man₅GlcNAc₂ interaction was really intriguing at the time. More importantly, this study showed

that $\Delta htm1$ significantly reduced the Yos9-CPY* interaction, confirming that Htm1 works together with Yos9 to recognize a misfolded glycoprotein for ERAD. It is interesting to note that N-glycan analysis of CPY* of the Szathmary et al. (2005) study revealed the presence of a small percentage of Man₇GlcNAc₂glycan on the CPY* in wild-type yeast cells. If the researchers had compared the *N*-glycan profiles of CPY* between wild-type and $\Delta htm1$ yeast cells, they would have obtained the first genetic evidence for Htm1 being an active α 1,2-mannosidase that further demannosylates Man₈GlcNAc₂ to generate Man₇GlcNAc₂ that can be subsequently recognized by Yos9.

Unlike Yos9 whose protein sequence hints at a localization in the ER, mammalian OS-9 was predicted to have an N-terminal signal peptide without the H/KDEL ER-retrieval motif and was initially thought to be localized on the cytosolic side of the ER membrane, leading to earlier confusions about its biochemical functions (Litovchick et al., 2002; Baek et al., 2005). By contrast, its homolog XTP3-B, which carries an N-terminal signal peptide plus two MRH domains but also lacks the H/KDEL ER retrieval motif, was found to be localized in the ER lumen and was initially implicated in regulating glycoprotein trafficking in an MRH domain-dependent manner, providing the first support of mammalian OS-9/XTP3-B being an ER lectin (Cruciat et al., 2006). A role of OS-9/XTP3-B in ERAD was demonstrated in 2008 when several studies (Bernasconi et al., 2008; Christianson et al., 2008; Hosokawa et al., 2008; Mueller et al., 2008; Alcock and Swanton, 2009) reported that OS-9/XTP3-B is a component of a mammalian membrane-bound ERAD complex that contains HRD1 and Sel1L, the mammalian homologs of yeast Hrd1 and Hrd3, respectively (Lilley and Ploegh, 2005). However, mutational analyses initially suggested that the MRH domain was not directly involved in binding misfolded glycoproteins (Bernasconi et al., 2008; Christianson et al., 2008), which was likely masked by the chaperone activity of OS-9/XTP3-B, but was essential for binding Sel1L (Cormier et al., 2009). However, a later study showed that a mutant OS-9 variant carrying the Arg¹⁸⁸-Ala lectin mutation in its MRH domain could still bind Sel1L (Hosokawa et al., 2009).

The presence of an OS-9/Yos9 homolog in Arabidopsis was initially reported in 2001 (Munro, 2001), and its corresponding gene was later discovered to be an ER stress-induced gene in a 2003 transcriptomic study (Martinez and Chrispeels, 2003). Similar to its mammalian homologs, the Arabidopsis OS9 also lacks the H/KDEL ER retrieval motif and its ER localization likely depends on its interaction with EBS5, the Arabidopsis homolog of the yeast Hrd3/mammalian Sel1L (Liu et al., 2011; Su et al., 2011). Its role as an important ERAD component was confirmed through forward and reverse genetic approaches (Hüttner et al., 2012; Su et al., 2012). Loss-of-function mutations in AtOS9, which is the Arabidopsis thaliana homolog of OS-9 (Hüttner et al., 2012) and is also known as EBS6 (Su et al., 2012), inhibit ERAD of bri1-5 and bri1-9, leading to their accumulation in the ER and their consequential leakage to the plasma membrane where the two mutant BR receptors can initiate the plant steroid signaling to promote plant growth. Importantly, the interaction of AtOS9/EBS6 with its ERAD clients was shown to be dependent on its MRH domain and Man-trimming of its glycosylated

client (Hüttner et al., 2012). A later study revealed that AtOS9/EBS6 could be co-immunoprecipitated with a misfolded mutant variant of the STRUBBELIG (SUB) extracellular domain carrying a Cys⁵⁷-Tyr mutation (SUBEX-C57Y) via a glycan-independent manner (Hüttner et al., 2014a); however, such a glycan-independent AtOS9/EBS6-substrate binding could be mediated by EBS5 known to interact with both ERAD substrates and AtOS9/EBS6. Together, the studies performed in yeast, cultured mammalian cells, and Arabidopsis strongly suggested that Yos9/OS9/AtOS9 are better candidates for the suspected ERAD lectins that binds committed ERAD clients in a MRH/N-glycan dependent manner.

AN α 1,6Man-EXPOSED *N*-GLYCAN AS THE ERAD SIGNAL

Further support for OS-9/Yos9 being a bona fide ERAD lectin came from biochemical studies that directly quantified the sugar binding of OS-9/Yos9 by flow cytometry and/or frontal affinity chromatography (FAC). While the flow cytometry analyzes the fluorescent intensity of cultured mammalian cells (displaying different cell surface glycans) stained with fluorescence-decorated OS-9/XTP3-B (directly or indirectly through fluorescently labeled antibodies), the FAC-based assay measures the relative elution volume of a fluorescent-labeled oligosaccharide of defined structures (compared to a control oligosaccharide) from a lectin-immobilized column (Tateno et al., 2007). The application of these two techniques revealed that the recombinant MRH domains of Yos9 or OS-9/XTP3-B (the 2nd MRH domain in XTP3-B) exhibited high affinity binding with high Man-type glycans containing exposed α 1,6Man residue but no binding at all with Glc₀₋₁Man₈GlcNAc₂ that was previously thought to be the marking signal for ERAD (Quan et al., 2008; Hosokawa et al., 2009; Mikami et al., 2010; Yamaguchi et al., 2010). Importantly, mutating a conserved Arg residue (Arg¹⁸⁸ in OS-9, Arg⁴²⁸ in XTP3-B, and Arg²⁰⁰ in Yos9) of the MRH domain greatly diminished the binding of a recombinant MRH domain with the α1,6Man-exposed glycans (Quan et al., 2008; Hosokawa et al., 2009; Mikami et al., 2010; Yamaguchi et al., 2010). These results were consistent with earlier findings that mutations in ALG9 or ALG12 blocked the ERAD of CPY* in yeast because $\Delta alg9$ or $\Delta alg12$ mutation prevents addition of an a1,6Man residue during the assembly of the Dol-PP-Glc₃Man₉GlcNAc₂ (Figure 1) and provided a satisfactory explanation for a previous intriguing finding that Yos9 interacted with CPY* carrying Man₅GlcNAc₂ N-glycans in the yeast $\Delta alg3$ mutant (Szathmary et al., 2005). These in vitro MRH-oligosaccharide binding assays prompted in vivo testing of the newly discovered ERAD N-glycan signal. This was demonstrated beautifully in yeast cells by two genetic approaches: eliminating ALG3 that initiates the ER luminal addition of 4 Man residues and overexpressing ALG12 in a $\Delta alg9$ mutant. While $\Delta alg9$ mutation blocked CPY* degradation, ALG12 overexpression in the $\Delta alg9$ mutant cells resulted in \sim 50% degradation of CPY*, which is consistent with the ratio of Dol-PP-Man₆GlcNAc₂ and Dol-PP-Man₇GlcNAc₂ produced in the $\Delta alg9/ALG12$ -overexpression strain (Quan et al., 2008). Similarly, a $\Delta alg3$ mutation, which results in the formation of Man₅GlcNAc₂ exposing the first α 1,6Man residue attached to the β 1,4Man residue (due to lacking both B and C branches), could also stimulate ERAD (Clerc et al., 2009). The revelation of high specificity and high affinity binding of OS-9/XTP3-B with al,6Man-exposed high Man-type glycans was also consistent with an earlier mammalian cell culture study, which used a mutant CHO cell line whose proteins were glycosylated with either Man₅GlcNAc₂ or Man₉GlcNAc₂ to conclude preferential degradation of Man₅GlcNAc₂-carrying glycoproteins over Man₉GlcNAc₂-bearing glycoproteins (Foulquier et al., 2004). A further support for a crucial role of the a1,6Man-exposed N-glycan in the mammalian ERAD pathway came from a recent haploid genetic screening via CRISPR/Cas9 and gene-trap mutagenesis in cultured human KBM7 cells that identified ERAD inhibitory mutations in the human homologs of ALG9 and ALG12 (Timms et al., 2016).

Consistent with the results of the yeast and mammalian studies, loss-of-function mutations in Arabidopsis EBS3 or EBS4 (homologs of the yeast ALG9 and ALG12, respectively) blocked degradation of bri1-5 and bri1-9 (Hong et al., 2009, 2012) and ER-retained mutant variants of two leucine-rich-repeat receptor-like-kinases involved in floral organ abscission (Baer et al., 2016). Importantly, overexpression of EBS4/ALG12 in an ebs3/alg9 mutant background recreated the Man₇GlcNAc₂ N-glycan on bri1-5 and bri1-9, thus nullifying the inhibitory impact of the ebs3/alg9 mutation on the degradation of the two mutant bril proteins (Hong et al., 2012). Similar, crossing an Arabidopsis alg3 mutation, which produced glycoproteins containing Man₅GlcNAc₂ exposing a free α1,6Man residue (Henquet et al., 2008; Kajiura et al., 2010), also suppressed the inhibitory impact of ebs3/alg9 or ebs4/alg12 mutation on the ERAD of bri1-5 and bri1-9 (Hong et al., 2012). These experiments thus demonstrated that the N-glycan signal that tags misfolded proteins for ERAD is conserved between Arabidopsis and yeast/mammalian cells and carries an exposed α1,6Man residue.

Htm1/EDEMs ARE ACTIVE MANNOSIDASES IN VIVO

What could be the enzyme(s) responsible for cleaving the C-branch α 1, 2Man- α 1,6Man linkage to generate the conserved ERAD *N*-glycan signal carrying an exposed α 1,6Man residue? Because of their sequence similarity with Mns1/ERManI, a potential role of Htm1/EDEM as active α 1,2-mannosidase was investigated right after their initial discoveries in 2001 using free oligosaccharides as substrates with no reported success (Hosokawa et al., 2001; Jakob et al., 2001; Nakatsukasa et al., 2001). However, measuring electromobility changes of glycosylated ERAD substrates on SDS-PAGE (sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis) coupled with *N*-glycan analyses of ERAD clients and total glycoproteins revealed that mammalian EDEMs are active α 1,2-mannosidases *in vivo*.

Interestingly, the first member of the Htm1/EDEM family to be demonstrated as an active mannosidase *in vivo* was

EDEM3, the last of the three mammalian EDEMs studied (Hirao et al., 2006). EDEM3 is the largest member of the mammalian EDEM family (931 amino acids for the human EDEM3). It has a signal peptide, an a1,2-mannosidase-like domain (MLD), a large C-terminal domain containing a 94-amino acid proteaseassociated motif, and a C-terminal KDEL ER retrieval motif that is absent in EDEM1 or EDEM2. Consistent with what were previously known for Htm1 and EDEM1/2, EDEM3 not only physically interacted with a widely used mammalian ERAD substrate, NHK that is an ER-retained misfolded a1-antitrypsin variant known as null Hong Kong (Liu et al., 1997), but also promoted NHK degradation. The initial hint for a potential in vivo mannosidase activity came from SDS-PAGE analysis of a pulse-chase experiment of ³⁵[S]-labeled NHK, revealing a faster-moving NHK band from cultured cells transfected with EDEM3 than that of the non-transfected cells. Importantly, such an EDEM3-induced electromobility shift was eliminated after Kif treatment, suggesting that EDEM3 directly or indirectly demannosylated N-glycans of NHK. Indeed, careful analysis of N-glycans of NHK and total glycoproteins of cultured cells labeled with ³[H]Man indicated that overexpression of the wild-type EDEM3 but not its catalytically inactive form EDEM3($E^{147}Q$) with E^{147} corresponding to E^{132} and E^{330} known to be essential for the a1,2-mannosidase activities of yeast Mns1 and mammalian ERManI, respectively (Vallee et al., 2000a,b), stimulated trimming of N-glycans of NHK from Man₈GlcNAc₂ to Man₆₋₇GlcNAc₂ and resulted in a significant increase in Man₆GlcNAc₂ with a concomitant decrease in Man₇₋₈GlcNAc₂ of total Endo H-released N-glycans (Hirao et al., 2006). The results suggested that EDEM3 is an active mannosidase in vivo that is capable of trimming multiple α 1,2Mman residues on misfolded and native glycoproteins.

It is interesting to note that earlier EDEM1 studies also observed EDEM1-induced SDS-PAGE mobility changes of glycosylated ERAD substrates during similar pulse-chase experiments (Hosokawa et al., 2001, 2003; Molinari et al., 2003; Mast et al., 2005). However, such mobility changes were thought at the time to be caused by easier access of N-glycans of ERAD clients, which were extracted from the CNX/CRT cycle by overexpressed EDEM1, to the B-branch Man-trimming ERManI (Hosokawa et al., 2001; Molinari et al., 2003; Olivari et al., 2005). A similar analysis of Endo H-released N-glycans was also performed with the immunoprecipitated NHK from ³[H]Manlabeled HEK293 cells transfected with EDEM1 but no increase in Man₆₋₈GlcNAC₂ N-glycans was detected (Hosokawa et al., 2003). The failure to detect such increases on NHK in EDEM1transfected cells could be caused by a combination of EDEM1enhanced NHK degradation (a much lower amount of NHK to be immunoprecipitated) and a much weaker in vivo a1,2mannosidase activity. Another important result of this study was the detection of a small amount of GlcMan₈GlcNAc₂ glycan on the immunoprecipitated NHK from EDEM1-transfected but not non-transfected HEK293 cells; however, its true identity remained unknown till 2010. It should also be important to mention that the first reported EDEM2 study did analyze the total N-glycans using ³[H]Man-labeled mammalian cells transfected with or without EDEM2 and discovered that the majority of Endo-H-released *N*-glycans were $Glc_{0-1}Man_9GlcNAc_2$, leading to a conclusion that EDEM2 was an inactive mannosidase (Mast et al., 2005). The different results in the *N*-glycan analyses of the EDEM2/3 studies could be attributed to distinct characteristics of different cell lines, an inherent limitation of the mammalian cell culture studies. The 2005 EDEM2 study used HEK293 cells derived from human embryonic kidney cells (Mast et al., 2005) while the 2006 EDEM3 experiment used HepG2 cells originated from a human liver cancer tissue (Hirao et al., 2006).

The successful demonstration of EDEM3 exhibiting an in vivo mannosidase activity prompted reexamination of EDEM1 that was previously shown to cause similar SDS-PAGE mobility shift of a glycosylated ERAD client (Hosokawa et al., 2001, 2003; Molinari et al., 2003). Careful analysis of the electromobility changes of two ERAD clients, NHK and BACE457 that is an ERretained splicing-variant of the human β-secretase (Bodendorf et al., 2001; Molinari et al., 2002), revealed that overexpression of the wild-type EDEM1 but not its catalytically dead variant caused Kif-dependent faster mobility of the two ERAD substrates (Olivari et al., 2006), indicating that EDEM1 was also an active mannosidase in vivo. Interestingly, EDEM1 also increased the SDS-PAGE mobility of a glycosylated ERAD substrate in mutant CHO cells (B3F7), in which glycoproteins were glycosylated with N-glycans lacking both B and C-branches (Cacan et al., 1992), suggesting that EDEM1 was capable of trimming the A-branch al,2Man residues (Olivari et al., 2006). However, the lack of a negative control (transfecting B3F7 cells with a catalytically dead EDEM1) made it difficult to draw a definitive conclusion on the causative relationship between the observed mobility shift of a misfolded glycoprotein with the suspected A-branch α 1,2mannosidase activity of EDEM1 in B3F7 cells. It is possible that EDEM1 overexpression in B3F7 cells might increase the abundance of other mammalian α 1,2-mannosidases capable of cleaving the A-branch terminal a1,2Man residue, such as ERManI and Golgi-localized a1,2-mannosidases that were known to stimulate ERAD when overexpressed in cell cultures (Hosokawa et al., 2003, 2007; Avezov et al., 2008). These results showed that EDEM1 is also an active α 1,2-mannosidase in vivo that could demannosylate a1,2Man residues of the C/A branches.

Additional support for the suspected α 1,2-mannosdase activity of the Htm1/EDEM in promoting ERAD came from two yeast genetic studies. As discussed above, two yeast genetic approaches, one overexpressing ALG12 in a $\Delta alg9$ mutant and the other deleting ALG3, demonstrated that the true ERAD *N*-glycan signal that marks a misfolded glycoprotein in yeast cells is an N-glycan with an exposed α 1,6Man residue (Quan et al., 2008). More importantly, both genetic approaches eliminated the requirement of Htm1 for degrading CPY*, implying that Htm1 likely catalyzes the C-branch α1,2Man-trimming reaction to expose the a1,6Man residue. It was a further metabolic study that really confirmed such a speculation (Clerc et al., 2009). Careful analysis of peptide:N-glycosidase F (PNGase F)-released ³[H]Man-labeled N-glycans of total yeast proteins revealed a predominant presence of Man₈GlcNAc₂. Importantly, overexpression of Htm1 but not its mutant variants carrying $E^{222}Q/D^{279}N$ mutations, corresponding to E^{214} and D^{275} essential for the Mns1 activity (Lipari and Herscovics, 1999),

resulted in an easily detectable increase in the amount of Man₇GlcNAc₂ N-glycan, demonstrating that Htm1 was an active α1,2-mannosidase in vivo. Consistently, only the wild-type Htm1 plasmid but not its E²²²Q/D²⁷⁹N-mutant variants complemented the ERAD-inhibitory $\Delta htm1$ mutation, confirming that the ERAD-promoting activity of Htm1 absolutely depends on its suspected a1,2-mannosidase activity. This finding was in sharp contrast to what was known about the mammalian EDEM1 whose catalytically inactive mutants were still capable of promoting ERAD (Hosokawa et al., 2010; Ninagawa et al., 2014) likely caused by the demonstrated chaperone function of EDEM1 (Hosokawa et al., 2006; Olivari et al., 2006; Cormier et al., 2009; Kosmaoglou et al., 2009; Termine et al., 2009; Marin et al., 2012; Sokolowska et al., 2015). To determine the branchspecificity of the *in vivo* a1,2-mannosidase activity of Htm1, the Man₇GlcNAc₂-glycan produced in Htm1-overexpressing yeast cells was purified and analyzed by in vitro digestion with a1,2exomannosidase that converted Man₇GlcNAc₂ to Man₅GlcNAc₂. This result, coupled with the ability of overexpressed Htm1 to convert GlcMan₈GlcNAc₂-glycan (the A-branch terminal α 1,2Man residue being protected by the Glc residue) of the $\Delta alg 8 \Delta glc2$ yeast cells to Glc₁Man₇GlcNAc₂, indicated that Htm1 is a unique α 1,2-mannosidase that specifically cleaves the C-branch a1,2Man residue. Thus, the Clerc et al. (2009) study was the first to demonstrate that a member of the Htm1/EDEM family is a C-branch-specific α 1,2-mannosidase *in vivo*. This study also revealed that Htm1 was only active toward Glc0-1Man8GlcNAc2 *N*-glycans (lacking the B-branch α 1,2Man residue), explaining why the $\Delta mns1$ mutation completely blocks the ERAD of CPY* (Knop et al., 1996). The yeast studies not only demonstrated that Htm1 is an active α1,2-mannosidase in vivo but also determined its C-branch specificity and its requirement of the Man8B as its substrate.

The C-branch specificity was subsequently confirmed for the mammalian EDEM1 in 2010 when the true identity of the mysterious GlcMan₈GlcNAc₂ glycan, which was initially detected by Hosokawa et al. (2003) study that investigated the impacts of overexpressed ERManI or EDEM1 on degradation and Man-trimming of NHK, was determined to be the GlcMan₈GlcNAc₂ isomer C (lacking the C-branch terminal a1,2Man residue) (Hosokawa et al., 2010). Importantly, such a unique N-glycan was detected on NHK immunoprecipitated from HEK293 cells transfected with the wild-type EDEM1 but not its catalytically inactive mutant, indicating that EDEM1 is a C-branch-specific α 1,2-mannosidase capable of directly demannosylating GlcMan₉GlcNAc₂ (Hosokawa et al., 2010). A further support for the C-branch specificity of EDEM1 came from analyzing N-glycans of total glycoproteins extracted from HepG2 cells transfected with or without EDEM1, showing that EDEM1 overexpression resulted in significant increase in the A isoform of Man₇GlcNAc₂ (a terminal α 1,2Man residue on the A-branch) and Man₆GlcNAc₂ with a concomitant decrease in Man₈GlcNAc2 isomer B, suggesting that overexpressed EDEM1 could also trim the C-branch (and likely the A-branch) a1,2Man residue on correctly folded glycoproteins or their folding intermediates. It is important to note that while overexpression of EDEM1 in HEK293 cells resulted in presence of ~10%

(of total N-glycans) GlcMan₈GlcNAc₂ on NHK during a 3h chasing period following 30 min ³[H]Man labeling of HEK293 cells (Hosokawa et al., 2010), overexpression of EDEM3 caused detection of \sim 50% (of total *N*-glycans) Man₆₋₇GlcNAc₂ on NHK during a shorter 2 h chasing period (Hirao et al., 2006), revealing that EDEM3 is a much stronger α 1,2-mannosidase than EDEM1 in cultured HEK293 cells. Alternatively, the difference in the demannosylation activity of EDEM1 and EDEM3 could be caused by their differential selectivity for certain glycoproteins of cultured cells. The detection of increased amount of Man₆GlcNAc₂ N-glycans in both EDEM1- and EDEM3overexpression studies (Hirao et al., 2006) suggested that both EDEMs were capable of demannosylating a1,2-Man residues beyond the C-branch. It is also possible that overexpression of EDEM1/3 could somehow stimulate the activity of the Golgi-localized a1,2-mannosidases responsible for the detected elevation of Man₆GlcNAc₂-glycans on total glycoprotein extracts during the ³[H]Man pulse-chasing experiments. Thus, it was concluded that the yeast Htm1 is a C-branch-specific a1,2mannosidase while the mammalian EDEM1/3 preferentially demannosylate the C-branch a1,2Man residue with additional demannosylation activity that removes a1,2Man residues of the A-branch.

THE ARABIDOPSIS MNS4 AND MNS5 ARE ALSO ACTIVE α 1,2-MANNOSIDASE IN VIVO

The Arabidopsis genome encodes two homologs of EDEMs (Mast et al., 2005), which were named MNS4 and MNS5 due to their sequence homology to the yeast Mns1 (Hüttner et al., 2014b) and the presence of three other Mns1-like α1,2mannosidases known as MNS1, MNS2, and MNS3 (Liebminger et al., 2009). Loss-of-function mutations in MNS4 or MNS5 had no detectable impact on the ERAD of bri1-5 and bri1-9, however, simultaneous elimination of both MNS4 and MNS5 was able to block ERAD of the two ER-retained mutant BR receptors, thus suppressing the dwarf phenotypes of the corresponding Arabidopsis mutants (Hüttner et al., 2014b). The simultaneous elimination of MNS4 and MNS5 also blocked the degradation of an engineered ERAD substrate, SUBEX-C57Y-GFP containing the Cys⁵⁷-Tyr mutated variant (mimicking the *bri1*-5 mutation) of the extracellular domain of Arabidopsis STRUBBELIG known to be involved in tissue morphogenesis (Chevalier et al., 2005; Hüttner et al., 2014a). These genetic results provided a strong support for the involvement of MNS4 and MNS5 in a plant ERAD process. Importantly, the suppressive effect of the mns4 mns5 double mutation on the growth defects of bri1-5 could be nullified by an alg3 mutation (Hüttner et al., 2014b), implying that MNS4 and MNS5 function redundantly in generating the conserved N-glycan ERAD signal known to mark bri1-5 for ERAD (Hong et al., 2012). The demonstration to show that MNS4 and MNS5 were capable of demannosylating N-glycans in vivo was carried out in a tobacco transient expression system, which coexpressed MNS4/5-GFP with an ER-resident glycoreporter GCS1-CTS-GFP_{glyc}, a GFP-tagged chimeric

N-glycan Demannosylation for ERAD

glycoprotein composed of a short ER membrane-anchored N-terminal fragment of the Arabidopsis GI/GCS1 (GCS1-CTS) and a 217-amino-acid fragment of the human immunoglobulin G1 heavy chain with a single N-glycosylation site (Schoberer et al., 2009). It was shown that the single N-glycan on GCS1-CTS-GFP_{glyc}, when expressed alone, was mainly Man₈₋₉GlcNAc₂. However, coexpression of the glycoreporter with the wild-type MNS4-GFP but not its catalytically inactive variant resulted in a significant increase in Man₇GlcNAc₂ (Hüttner et al., 2014b). This glycan was subsequently analyzed by liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry with three Man7GlcNAc2 standards, revealing its identity as the Man₇GlcNAc₂ isomer A lacking the B/C-branch α1,2-Man residues. Together, these results clearly demonstrated that MNS4 is a plant C-branch-specific α 1.2-mannosidase. Intriguingly, despite functional redundancy in the genetic experiments, the tobacco-expressed MNS5 had no detectable effect on the N-glycan of GCS1-CTS-GFPglyc. Yet both MNS4 and MSN5 were able to generate the Man₇GlcNAc₂ glycan on a soluble glycoreporter GFP_{glyc}-HDEL (lacking the GCS1-CTS fragment but carrying the HDEL ER retrieval motif), revealing that both MNS4 and MNS5 are active C-branch-specific a1,2mannosidases with MNS5 possibly being more selective for its substrates. It is important to note that there has been no report on whether the two tested glycoreporters are correctly folded glycoproteins or are misfolded and degraded via a typical plant ERAD process. It remains to be tested whether MNS4 and MNS5 are folding-sensitive mannosidases that preferentially trim the C-branch a1,2Man residue of misfolded glycoproteins over their native conformers.

THE MAMMALIAN EDEM2 IS A B-BRANCH-SPECIFIC α1,2-MANNOSIDASE *IN VIVO*

The mammalian EDEM2 is a unique member of the Htm1/EDEM family. An earlier study (Mast et al., 2005) showed that EDEM2 lacked in vitro (using purified EDEM2 protein with fluorescent-labeled Man₅₋₉GlcNAc₂ glycans) and in vivo mannosidase activity using the same assay (analyzing ³[H]Manlabeled N-glycan profiles from HEK293 cells transfected with or without EDEM) that demonstrated the in vivo mannosidase activity of EDEM1/3. Although two initial studies showed that EDEM2 overexpression stimulated degradation of glycosylated ERAD clients but not their non-glycosylated variants (Mast et al., 2005; Olivari et al., 2005), a later study, which investigated the role of all three EDEMs via siRNA strategy, found that EDEM2 was required for degrading both glycosylated and non-glycosylated variants of the human sonic hedgehog (Tang et al., 2014), which was known to be self-cleaved in the ER with its cleaved N-terminal fragment secreted for signaling and its C-terminal fragment to be degraded through ERAD (Chen et al., 2011). The discrepancy between these two studies could be attributed to different ERAD substrates or experimental approaches, overexpression vs. RNAi-mediated gene silencing that could cause off-target or compensatory effects.

A seminal study that utilized gene knockout instead of siRNAmediated gene silencing made a surprising discovery of EDEM2 being a major α 1,2-mannosidase responsible for the B-branch al,2Man-trimming reaction in certain cultured cells (Ninagawa et al., 2014). This study was performed with mammalian cell lines different than those used in previously published ERAD studies: DT40 derived from chicken lymphocytes and HCT116 derived from human colonic carcinoma, which were used due to their easier manipulation for creating gene knockouts. Total N-glycan analyses of DT40 cells lacking individual members of the ERManI/EDEMs confirmed the previous findings of higher in vivo mannosidase activity of EDEM3 than EDEM1 (Ninagawa et al., 2014). Surprisingly, while knocking out ERManI resulted in a slight increase of Man₉GlcNAc₂, elimination of EDEM2 elevated the relative abundance of Man₉GlcNAc₂ to that of Kif-treated DT40 cells, indicating that EDEM2 is a much stronger B-branch-specific a1,2-mannosidase than ERManI. A low ERManI activity seemed to be consistent with its rapid turnover in cultured mammalian cells (Wu et al., 2007; Termine et al., 2009) and earlier N-glycan analyses of cell cultures, which revealed the predominant presence of Man₉GlcNAc₂ after 30-min ³[H]Man-pulse labeling (Mast et al., 2005; Hirao et al., 2006). In comparison, the major N-glycan after 20-min ³[H]Man-pulse labeling in yeast cells was Man₈GlcNAc₂ (Clerc et al., 2009). However, careful reading of the reported N-glycan results revealed increased abundance of several high Man-type N-glycans with an intact B-branch, including Man8A (lacking the A-branch terminal α 1,2-Man residue), Man7B (lacking terminal a1,2-Man residues of the A and C-branches), and Man6' (missing all three A/C-branch a1,2-Mman residues) in ERManI-KO but not EDEM2-KO DT40 cells, confirming the importance of ERManI in N-glycan maturation of mammalian cells. This result was similar to what was reported for the total N-glycans extracted from an Arabidopsis mns3 mutant lacking the plant Mns1/ERManI homolog, which accumulated unusual N-glycans with an intact B-branch, thus revealing the existence of an alternative pathway for the formation of complex-type N-glycans in plants (Liebminger et al., 2009). It is quite possible that the dramatic impact of EDEM2-KO instead of ERManI-KO on the accumulation of Man₉GlcNAc₂ is caused by ER retention of a large number of secretory/transmembrane proteins (due to their inefficient folding/assembly) in cultured mammalian cells (Schubert et al., 2000) and the non-ER localization of ERManI (Benyair et al., 2015a). Thus, EDEM2 is an active B-branchspecific a1,2-mannosidase in vivo that likely demannosylate N-glycans of ER-retained glycoproteins.

Consistent with the total *N*-glycan data, analysis of ATF6, a known endogenous glycosylated ERAD substrate (Horimoto et al., 2013), revealed that eliminating EDEM2 but not ERManI not only inhibited the degradation of ATF6 but also reduced its SDS-PAGE electromobility similar to that of Kif-treated DT40 cells. By comparison, the electromobility of ATF6 extracted from *EDEM1/3-KO* cells was between that of ATF6 extracted from the mock-treated and Kif-treated DT40 cells (Ninagawa et al., 2014). These are very important results indicating that generating the conserved *N*-glycan signal for a mammalian ERAD pathway (at least in certain cultured mammalian cells such as DT40) also requires the B-branch Man-trimming reaction. The impacts of knocking out ERManI/EDEMs on *N*-glycan profiles and the degradation rates and electromobility shifts of ATF6 were subsequently confirmed in the human HCT116 cell line (Ninagawa et al., 2014). More importantly, the inhibitory effect of *EDEM2-KO* on ERAD and Man-trimming of ATF6 could be rescued by the wild-type EDEM2 but not its catalytically dead mutant. These results demonstrated that EDEM2 is a B-branchspecific α 1,2-mannosidase that initiates the demannosylation process for the mammalian ERAD pathway. The resulting Man8B is further demannosylated at its C-branch by EDEM3 and/or EDEM1 to form Man7C with an exposed α 1,6Man residue, which can then be recognized by the ERAD lectin OS-9/XTP3-B.

DEMONSTRATION OF THE α1,2-MANNOSIDASE ACTIVITY OF Htm1 IN VITRO

The revelation of *in vivo* α 1,2-mannosidases activity of the Htm1/EDEMs prompted another round of experiments to demonstrate that the Htm1/EDEMs could demannosylate *N*-glycans *in vitro*, an ultimate test to show that Htm1/EDEMs are *bona fide* α 1,2-mannosidases rather than accessary factors of unknown mannosidase. Two hypotheses were put forward to explain the early failure of *in vitro* α 1,2-mannosidase assays: Htm1/EDEMs are only active toward *N*-glycans of misfolded proteins and Htm1/EDEMs require one or more cofactors for their α 1,2-mannosidase activities.

The first successful demonstration of an in vitro a1,2mannosidase activity was performed with the yeast Htm1 coexpressed with the yeast Pdi1 (protein disulfide reductase 1) in insect cells (Gauss et al., 2011). Pdi1 is the only essential member of the yeast 5-member PDI family and consists of 4 TRXL domains known as a, b, b', and a' (a/a' carrying redoxactive motif and b/b' being redox inactive) (Farquhar et al., 1991; Norgaard et al., 2001). These four TRXL domains form a twisted U-shaped structure with the a/a' domains forming the two arms, the b/b' domains establishing the curved base, and an inner hydrophobic surface thought to interact with misfolded proteins (Tian et al., 2006). Pdi1 was shown to covalently interact (via mixed disulfide bridges) with Htm1 in yeast cells (Clerc et al., 2009), required for the generation of a disulfide bond in Htm1 (Sakoh-Nakatogawa et al., 2009), and necessary to produce a soluble Htm1 protein in the insect cells (Gauss et al., 2011). To demonstrate the in vitro a1,2-mannosidase activity of a purified Htm1-Pdi1 complex, Gauss et al. used the total protein extracts of ³[H]Man-pulse labeled yeast cells of defined genotypes (for producing N-glycans of defined structures) as the assay substrates, which were then treated with PNGase F to release N-glycans for analysis by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Incubation of the purified Htm1-Pdi1 complex with the protein extracts of the wild-type yeast cells resulted in ~10% conversion of Man₈GlcNAc₂ to Man₇GlcNAc₂ (Gauss et al., 2011). Importantly, it was found that reduction/alkylation of the protein extracts was a necessary step to achieve the maximum activity, supporting the hypothesis that Htm1/EDEMs preferentially demannosylate N-glycans of misfolded proteins. Consistent with the in vivo result showing that Htm1 was only active with the Man8B N-glycan (Clerc et al., 2009), the Htm1-Pdi1 complex failed to trim Man₉GlcNAc₂ on total proteins extracted from $\Delta mns1$ yeast cells but was able to convert Man₉GlcNAc₂ to Man₇GlcNAc₂ when co-incubated with a recombinant Mns1 (Gauss et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2016). This successful in vitro assay indicated that Htm1 not only requires a cofactor (Pdi1) but also preferentially demannosylates Man8B of misfolded glycoproteins. It is important to note that the purified Htm1-Pdi1 complex was able to demannosylate N-glycans of correctly folded glycoproteins (albeit with reduced efficiency), which was later confirmed by an in vivo experiment showing that overexpression of the wild-type Htm1 but not its catalytically inactive mutants resulted in elevated abundance of Man₇GlcNAc₂ with a concomitant reduction of Man₈GlcNAc₂ on the endogenous Htm1 and other yeast glycoproteins (Pfeiffer et al., 2016).

Because the yeast protein extracts used as the in vitro substrates could contain other necessary cofactor(s) required for the α1,2-mannosidase activity of Htm1, two additional in vitro studies were performed using N-glycans of well-studied single glycoproteins, which could be manipulated to alter their folding status (Liu et al., 2016; Pfeiffer et al., 2016). Consistent with the earlier reports (Clerc et al., 2009; Sakoh-Nakatogawa et al., 2009), epitope-tagged Htm1 proteins expressed in yeast cells were copurified with Pdi1, and the resulting Htm1-Pdi1 complexes were assayed for their in vitro mannosidase activity using the ER-retained CPY-HDEL and its mutant variant CPY*-HDEL or bovine pancreatic ribonuclease B (RNase B), which was known to have a single but heterogeneous Man₅₋₈GlcNAc₂ N-glycan (Fu et al., 1994) and could be chemically or enzymatically treated to alter its conformations (Ritter and Helenius, 2000; Ritter et al., 2005). As expected, the purified Htm1-Pdi1 complex but not its catalytically dead variants preferentially converted Man₈GlcNAc₂ into Man₇GlcNAc₂ on CPY*-HDEL or chemically denatured/modified CPY-HDEL over the native CPY-HDEL. Contradictory to what was previously found (Gauss et al., 2011), the Htm1-Pdi1 complex purified from yeast cells could directly trim Man₉GlcNAc₂ N-glycan (on denatured CPY-HDEL purified from the $\Delta mns1 \Delta htm1$ yeast cells) to form the Man₈GlcNAc₂ isoform C, providing a direct biochemical support for a previously described Mns1-independent Htm1-dependent mechanism to generate an a1,6Man-exposed Man₈GlcNAc₂ N-glycan (Hosomi et al., 2010; Chantret et al., 2011). The in vitro a1,2-mannosidase activity of the Htm1-Pdi1 complex was further confirmed using RNase B as the substrate. It was found that the Htm1-Pdi1 complex preferentially demannosylated N-glycans of the chemically denatured or proteolytically cleaved RNase B, and that incubation of the Htm1-Pdi1 complex but not its catalytically dead mutant with denatured RNase B resulted in a marked reduction of Man₈GlcNAc₂ glycans with a concomitant increase in Man₇GlcNAc₂ glycans (Liu et al., 2016; Pfeiffer et al., 2016). Consistent with the in vivo study (Clerc et al., 2009), ¹H-nuclear magnetic resonance-based analysis of N-glycans of denatured RNase B revealed that the Htm1-Pdi1 complex specifically cleaved the α 1,2Man- α 1,6Man linkage of the C-branch. The most interesting experiment of the two Htm1 studies of 2016 was the in vitro assay of the Htm1-Pdi1 complex using the affinity-purified Man₈GlcNAc₂-carrying RNase B (Ritter et al., 2005), which was chemically and enzymatically manipulated to form several well-defined structural conformers with varying degree of unfolding/misfolding. This experiment revealed that the Htm1-Pdi1 complex was a folding-sensitive al,2-mannosidase that prefers nonnative glycoproteins with partially folded structure over globally denatured glycoproteins. Thus, the Htm1-Pdi1 complex, which marks a terminally misfolded glycoprotein for degradation, is very similar to UGGT that uses a flexible C-shaped substrate binding domain composed of 4 TRXL domains to preferentially recognize and reglucosylate unfolded proteins with partially folded structures (Calles-Garcia et al., 2017; Roversi et al., 2017; Satoh et al., 2017) for sending a misfolded glycoprotein back to the CNX/CRT folding cycle. Together, these three yeast studies have demonstrated that the Htm1-Pdi1 complex was an active α 1,2-mannosidase in *vitro* that preferentially demannosylate the C-branch a1,2Man residue of N-glycans of misfolded (with partially folded structures) glycoprotens over their native or globally unstructured conformers.

The requirement for Pdi1 as the necessary cofactor of Htm1 was supported by a genetic study that identified a missense pdi1 allele, pdi1-1, which carries a Leu³¹³-Pro mutation near the center of the b' domain thought to be involved in the substrate binding with little impact on its oxidoreductase function (Gauss et al., 2011). The pdi1-1 mutation disrupts the Htm1-Pdi1 association, reduces the Htm1 stability, and inhibits the Htm1's in vivo mannosidase activity. Importantly, pdi1-1 greatly reduced ERAD of two glycosylated ERAD clients, and its inhibitory impact on ERAD could be rescued by Htm1 overexpression (compensating for the weaker Htm1-pdi1-1 binding) or $\Delta alg3$ deletion, indicating that the pdi1-1 mutation specifically affects the Htm1 activity. Further support for the importance of the Htm1-Pdi1 binding for the Htm1's a1,2-mannosidase activity came from several transgenic experiments expressing mutant Htm1 variants carrying mutations that disrupt the Htm1-Pdi1 interaction. For example, deleting the C-terminal domain known to be essential for the covalent Htm1-PDi1 interaction (Clerc et al., 2009; Sakoh-Nakatogawa et al., 2009) or just deleting the last 4 amino acids inhibited the α 1,2-mannosidase activity of Htm1 in converting Man₈GlcNAc₂ to Man₇GlcNAc₂ (Liu et al., 2016). Similarly, mutating Phe⁶³² into Leu in the C-terminal alpha-helix region of Pro⁶³⁰-Trp⁶³⁶ inhibited the Htm1-Pdi1 interaction, reduced the Htm1's demannosylation activity, and compromised the Htm1's ERAD-stimulatory activity (Pfeiffer et al., 2016). Together, these studies demonstrated an absolute requirement of the yeast Pdi1 for the α 1,2-mannosdase activity of Htm1.

Why does Htm1 need Pdi1 for its mannosidase activity? An earlier study showed that the Htm1-Pdi1 interaction is required to form the intramolecular disulfide bridge between Cys⁶⁵ and Cys⁴⁴⁵ of Htm1, which is essential for keeping the folded structure of its MLD (Sakoh-Nakatogawa et al., 2009). This study also showed that Htm1 maintains the covalent (via mixed disulfide bridges involving at least two C-terminal Cys

residues, Cys⁵⁷⁹ and/or Cys⁶⁴⁴) and non-covalent interaction (likely requiring a folded MLD structure) with Pdi1 even after the Pdi1-catalyzed formation of the Cys⁶⁵-Cys⁴⁴⁵ disulfide bridge, implying an additional ERAD-supporting role. Treatment of the purified Htm1-Pdi1 complex with a thiol-reactive agent had little effect on its *in vitro* α1,2-mannosidase activity (Liu et al., 2016), indicating that the covalently bound Pdi1 is not engaged in a Cysmediated biochemical process during the in vitro mannosidase assay. Consistently, $\Delta htm1$ mutation was shown to inhibit the ERAD of both CPY* and its Cys-free variant CPY* Δ Cys (Pfeiffer et al., 2016). Given the similarity between the Htm1-Pdi1 complex and UGGT in recognizing unfolded glycoproteins with partially folded structures and the demonstrated chaperone function of Pdi1 (Wang and Tsou, 1993), it is tempting to speculate that the disulfide bridged Pdi1 uses its 4 TRXL domains with a hydrophobic client-binding inner surface to recognize and bind misfolded glycoproteins, leading to conformational changes in the MLD of Htm1 and activation of its a1,2-mannosidase activity. A detailed structural analysis of a purified Htm1-Pdi1 complex could shed light on the biochemical mechanism by which this disulfide-bridged ERAD "folding sensor" recognizes misfolded glycoproteins, demannosylates their N-glycans, and forces their entry into the ERAD pathway.

DEMONSTRATION OF *IN VITRO* MANNOSIDASE ACTIVITY OF THE MAMMALIAN EDEMS

Recent studies have shown that PDI binding is also required for the in vitro and in vivo a1,2-mannosidase activity of the mammalian EDEMs. The first experiment to demonstrate the in vitro mannosidase activity of EDEMs was performed with EDEM3, which has a long C-terminal domain exhibiting no sequence homology with the Htm1's C-terminal domain known to be essential for its disulfide bridge-mediated interaction with the yeast Pdi1. Despite the fact that it's *in vivo* α1,2-mannosidase activity was discovered in 2006, the first report for its in vitro mannosidase activity was published 12 years later when a FLAGtagged EDEM3 was found to be copurified with ERp46, a member of the mammalian PDI family that contains three redoxactive TRXL domains and can rescue the yeast $\Delta pdi1$ mutation (Knoblach et al., 2003; Kozlov et al., 2010). Importantly, the EDEM3-ERp46 interaction was quite specific as EDEM3 failed to bind P5 and PDI, two other members of the mammalian PDI family; however, it remains an open question if EDEM3 interacts with additional mammalian PDIs, such as ERdj5 and TXNDC11 (thioredoxin domain-containing protein 11 with 5 predicted TRXL domains) which were known to be involved in mammalian ERAD (Ushioda et al., 2008; Timms et al., 2016). The specific EDEM3-ERp46 binding immediately prompted an in vitro mannosidase assay using affinity purified EDEM3 that was expressed alone or coexpressed with ERp46 in HEK293 cells. The purified EDEM3 was assayed for its mannosidase activity with affinity-purified TCR α (the α subunit of the T cell receptor complex), a glycoprotein known to be degraded by ERAD when expressed alone in cell cultures (Tiwari and Weissman, 2001).

The reaction mixtures were subsequently separated by SDS-PAGE, and the electromobility shift of TCRa was used to measure the in vitro mannosidase activity. It was found that the EDEM3-ERp46 complex exhibited a much stronger demannosylation activity than the EDEM3 expressed alone in HEK293 cells. Importantly, the ability to alter the SDS-PAGE mobility of TCRa was inhibited by Kif treatment or by the catalytically inactive $D^{294}N$ mutation with D^{294} corresponding to D^{463} essential for the human ERManI activity (Vallee et al., 2000a), indicating that the EDEM3-ERp46 was an active mannosidase in vitro. It should be noted that the purified EDEM3 without coexpressed ERp46 was still capable of demannosylating N-glycans of TCRα albeit with a greatly reduced rate; however, such a residual mannosidase activity could be contributed to a small amount of EDEM3 complex formed with the endogenous ERp46 of the cultured HEK293 cells. The role of ERp46 in supporting the in vitro and in vivo a1,2-mannosidase activity and the ERADstimulatory function of EDEM3 requires a stable ERp46-KO cell line. N-glycan analysis of RNase B or known mammalian ERAD clients should be performed to determine if the EDEM3-ERp46 complex preferentially demannosylates N-glycans of misfolded glycoproteins and is a C-branch-specific a1,2-mannosidase or is capable of extensive α1,2Man-trimming *in vitro*.

What could be the biochemical function of ERp46 to support the EDEM3's mannosidase activity? An initial in vivo experiment showed that ERp46 regulates the redox state of EDEM3 and covalently interacts with EDEM3 via disulfide linkages between its three redox-active sites (CGHC) and the Cys⁸³ and Cys⁴⁴² residues of EDEM3, which are the equivalent of the Cys⁶⁵-Cys⁴⁴⁵ disulfide bridge of Htm1 and likely form a disulfide bridge due to their spatial proximity in a 3D model deduced from the crystal structures of Mns1/ERManI (Yu et al., 2018; Vallee et al., 2000a,b). Altering the redox state of the reaction conditions had little impact on the in vitro mannosidase activity of EDEM3 or EDEM3-ERp46 complex despite alteration of the redox state of the purified EDEM3 (from HEK293 cells without coexpressing ERp46). Consistent with the yeast Htm1-Pdi1 studies, purifying the EDEM3-ERp46 complex in the presence or absence of a thiolreactive agent had no effect on the in vitro mannosidase activity, indicating that the *in vitro* demannosylation reaction of the purified EDEM3-ERp46 complex did not require a Cys-mediated biochemical event. Interestingly, mutating the second Cys residue in all three redox-active CGHC sites, which caused formation of stable disulfide bridged EDEM3-ERp46(CGHA) complexes, had no effect at all on the *in vitro* mannosidase activity. By contrast, mutating all 6 redox-active Cys residues completely inhibited the ERp46-EDEM3 binding and the EDEM3's mannosidase activity. Together, these experiments showed that a disulfide bridged ERp46 was absolutely required for the mannosidase activity of EDEM3. It remains to be investigated to fully understand the biochemical mechanism by which both the wild-type ERp46 and ERp46(CGHA) promote the EDEM3's mannosidase activity.

A recent study that examined the *in vitro* mannosidase activity of EDEM1 and EDEM2 suggested that EDEM1/2-interacting PDIs might help alter the conformations of their glycoprotein substrates, thus allowing easy access to their linked N-glycans (Shenkman et al., 2018). Both EDEM1 and EDEM2

immunoprecipitated from HEK293 cells were assayed for their in vitro mannosidase activity towards free N-glycans, which were PNGase F-released from a principal egg yolk glycoprotein vitellogenin of the giant freshwater prawn (Macrobrachium rosenbergii) (Roth et al., 2010). Interestingly, both EDEM1 and EDEM2 exhibited very low but nevertheless reproducible in vitro activity of converting free Glc₀₋₁Man₈-9GlcNAc₂ glycans into shorter Man₅₋₈GlcNAc₂ glycans (Shenkman et al., 2018), indicating that both EDEMs were active mannosidases capable of extensive Man-trimming in vitro. Both EDEMs displayed similar weak activity of converting $Glc_{0-1}Man_9GlcNAC_2$ to Glc₀₋₁Man₈GlcNAc₂ on native vitellogenin. This is a very important finding as it confirmed earlier in vivo studies (Hosokawa et al., 2003, 2010) showing that EDEM1 could directly demannosylate Glc₀₋₁Man₉GlcNAc₂ N-glycans. Interestingly, coincubation of EDEM1 with ERManI increased production of Man₇GlcNAc₂ glycans on vitellogenin; however, no similar additive effect was observed when EDEM1 was co-incubated with EDEM2, contradicting with the major finding of the EDEM knockout study by Ninagawa et al. (2014), showing that EDEM2 exhibited a much stronger activity than ERManI to cleave the B-branch α1,2Man residue in vivo. Importantly, coincubation of EDEM1/2 with at least two EDEM1/2-binding PDIs, PDI (Koivu et al., 1987) and TXNDC11 (Timms et al., 2016), but not ERdj5 known to interact with EDEM1 to enhance ERAD (Ushioda et al., 2008), stimulated the in vitro mannosidase activity of the two EDEMs only when vitellogenin was used as the assay substrate (Shenkman et al., 2018). Consistent with the yeast Htm1 studies, both EDEM1 and EDEM2 exhibited stronger in vitro a1,2-mannosidase activities toward N-glycans of chemically denatured vitellogenin than those of native vitellogenin, trimming Glu₀₋₁Man₉GlcNAc₂ to shorter N-glycans. The most surprising result of the study was the revelation that PDI1 or TXNDC11 had little impact on the in vitro mannosidase activity of EDEM1/2 when denatured vitellogenin was used as the assay substrate, leading to a speculation that the EDEM1/2-associated PDI/TXNDC11 was mainly used to alter the conformations of their ERAD clients to maximize accessibility of their N-glycans to the EDEMs. It is important to note that, given the demonstrated strong binding between Htm1/EDEM3 with a member of the PDI family, the purified EDEM1/2 might contain covalent EDEM1/2-PDI/TXNDC11 complexes responsible for the detected in vitro mannosidase activities. Further investigation, including mutagenesis of the redox-active TRXL domains and treatment of the purified EDEM1/2 with a thiol-reactive alkylating agent, is needed to investigate how EDEM1/2 interacts with PDI/TXNDC11 and whether their in vitro mannosidase activities require a Cys-mediated biochemical event.

Indeed, a recent study revealed that EDEM2, when expressed in the *EDEM2-KO* HCT116 cells, formed a disulfide-bridged enzyme complex with TXNDC11 via Cys⁶⁹² of TXNDC11, which contains two redox-active and three redox-inactive TRXL domains (Timms et al., 2016), and Cys⁵⁵⁸ near the C-terminal end of EDEM2 (George et al., 2020). Similar to what was discovered for the yeast Htm1 (Sakoh-Nakatogawa et al., 2009), the EDEM2-TXNDC11 interaction might be important to form the Cys65-Cys408 disulfide bridge (equivalent of the Cys⁶⁵-Cys⁴⁴⁵ disulfide bridge of Htm1) that is essential for the ERAD-promoting activity of EDEM2. Consistent with the Shenkman et al. (2018) study, the purified EDEM2-TXNDC11 complex, but not the mutant EDEM2(C⁵⁵⁸A) that failed to interact with TXNDC11, was able to demannosylate the free Man₉GlcNAc₂ to form Man₈GlcNAc₂ (George et al., 2020). HPLC-based N-glycan analysis confirmed that the resulting Man₈GlcNAc₂ was Man8B lacking the B-branch terminal al,2Man residue, thus unequivocally proving that EDEM2 is a B-branch-specific a1,2-mannosidase and providing an in vitro biochemical support for the earlier cell culture-based knockout experiments (Ninagawa et al., 2014). Importantly, eliminating TXNDC11 not only blocked ERAD of glycosylated ERAD substrates but also completely inhibited Man-trimming of their N-glycans measured by their mobility changes on SDS-PAGE. This study not only provided a strong genetic support for a crucial role of the EDEM-PDI binding for their in vivo a1,2mannosidase activities but also supplied additional evidence for the requirement of the B-branch Man-trimming to create the a1,6Man-exposed N-glycan ERAD signal on the C-branch (at least in cultured human HCT116 cells). Together, these recent in vitro EDEM studies demonstrated that EDEMs were active a1,2-mannosidases in vitro and strongly suggested that their catalytic activities require disulfide bridge-mediated complex formation with members of the mammalian PDI family, which likely function in recognizing and binding of misfolded glycoprotein or altering conformations of glycoproteins to maximize accessibility of their N-glycans by the EDEMs.

As discussed above, Arabidopsis genome encodes two Htm1/EDEM homologs, namely Arabidopsis MNS4 and MNS5, which were capable of demannosylating a single *N*-glycan on engineered glycoreporters in a transient expression experiment in tobacco plants. However, it remains to be determined if MNS4 and MNS5 also form stable disulfide bridged protein complexes with members of the Arabidopsis PDI family of 14 PDI-like proteins (Selles et al., 2011) and if so, whether any of the MNS4/MNS5-PDI complexes exhibits *in vitro* α 1,2-mannosidase activity with free oligosaccharides or *N*-glycans of misfolded or native glycoproteins.

THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE TRIMMED B-BRANCH IN CREATING THE ERAD *N*-GLYCAN SIGNAL

The genetic studies in yeast clearly demonstrated that the Htm1catalyzed Man-trimming reaction requires Man8B as its substrate (Clerc et al., 2009), explaining why $\Delta mns1$ mutation could block degradation of several model glycosylated ERAD substrates (Knop et al., 1996). However, an earlier genetic study revealed the existence of a Htm1-dependent but Mns1-independent ERAD process (Hosomi et al., 2010), which was supported by recent biochemical and metabolic studies showing that Htm1 could directly remove the C-branch terminal α 1,2Man residue from the Man9GlcNAc₂ glycan (Chantret et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2016). Contrary to the yeast produced Htm1-Pdi1 complex, the Htm1-Pdi1 complex purified from the insect cells was shown to be only active toward Man8 but exhibited no activity at all toward Man₉GlcNAc₂ (Gauss et al., 2011). It is possible that an unknown factor, which is only produced in yeast cells but not in insect cells, is needed to allow the Htm1-Pdi1 complex to directly remove the C-branch α 1,2Mman residue of Man₉GlcNAc₂. Further studies are needed to fully understand the differential requirement for the Mns1-catalyzed preparatory step on the B-branch for the C-branch trimming activity of Htm1.

The situation of the mammalian ERAD is very confusing. There are two major contributing factors. The first one is related to different cell lines used in various mammalian cell culture studies, including HEK293 cell and its derivative lines, CHO and its glycosylation-defective mutant cell lines, 3T3/NIH-3T3 (derived from Swiss albino mouse embryo), Hep2G, DT40, HCT116. Given the extensive genetic, epigenetic, and transcriptomic variability of different cell lines, these different mammalian cell cultures certainly exhibit huge variability in the protein abundance of a1,2-mannosidases, chaperones, different members of the mammalian PDI family, and different redox states of the ER. These variability make it extremely challenging to formulate a universal model to explain the contributions of different members of the mammalian glycoside hydrolase family 47 (including ERManI, EDEMs, and three Golgi-type α1,2mannosidases) in terminating futile folding cycles of irreparable misfolded glycoproteins and forcing them into the ERAD process for their complete proteolysis. The second one is related to controversy surrounding the subcellular localization of ERManI. Unlike the yeast Mns1 that relies on a Golgilocalized protein, Rer1p, for its steady state ER localization (Massaad et al., 1999), the mammalian ERManI has been suggested to be localized in the ER-derived quality control vesicles (QCVs at the steady state)/ERQC compartment (under ER stress) or the cis-Golgi (Avezov et al., 2008; Pan et al., 2011, 2013; Benyair et al., 2015b). Regardless of the actual ERManI subcellular locations, accessing ERManI for its ERADpromoting function(s) most likely requires vesicle-mediated ER-QCVs/ERQC compartments or ER-Golgi trafficking. It was thought that ER substrates were colocalized in the QCVs/ERQC compartment where the concentration of ERManI is high enough to support its extensive Man-trimming activity similar to what was previously shown in vitro when the recombinant ERManI was present at high concentrations (Aikawa et al., 2012, 2014). The competing theory hypothesizes that the cis-Golgilocalized ERManI influences proteasome-mediated degradation of ERAD clients via a catalysis-dependent demannosylation mechanism and non-enzymatic processes involving a conserved decapeptide sequence in the luminal stem domain and the cytoplasmic tail (Iannotti et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2020). It was thought both sequence elements contribute to a Golgibased quality control system that captures and retrieves escaped ERAD clients back to the ER for their degradation, likely through an N-glycan and MLD-independent ERManI-client interaction and a direct ERManI binding to a component of the coat protein complex I responsible for the Golgi-to-ER retrograde transport (Pan et al., 2011, 2013; Iannotti et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2020).

A role of ERManI in the mammalian ERAD was originally postulated from pharmacological studies using inhibitors of α 1,2-mannosidases (reviewed in Cabral et al., 2001) and the genetic revelation of a crucial role of Mns1 in the yeast ERAD process (Knop et al., 1996). The experimental support for the hypothesis came from two 2003 ERManI studies (Hosokawa et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2003). Hosokawa et al. (2003) showed that overexpression of ERManI increased the Glc₀₋₁Man₉GlcNAc₂-Glc₀₋₁Man₈GlcNAc₂ conversion accompanied by increased production of Man5-7GlcNAc2 during a 2 h-chasing period of 30 min ³[H]Man labeling of cultured HEK293 cells, suggesting that overexpressed ERManI was capable of extensive Man-trimming in vivo. Importantly, ERManI overexpression stimulated ERAD, which could be further enhanced by coexpression of EDEM1, and the ERManI-induced stimulatory effect of ERAD could be eliminated by Kif treatment, indicating that the ERAD-stimulatory effect of ERManI requires its mannosidase activity. A similar study was performed in the murine hepatoma cell line Hepa1a, showing that overexpression of ERManI stimulated ERAD in a Kif-sensitive manner (Wu et al., 2003). Two loss-of-function studies performed in 2008 showed that RNAi-triggered ERManI silencing in HEK293 cells greatly inhibited ERAD of NHK and PIZ, another misfolded variant (E^{342} K) of α 1-antitrypsin known to be degraded by ERAD (Wu et al., 2003), whereas ERManI overexpression markedly enhanced their ERAD (Avezov et al., 2008; Termine et al., 2009). Consistently, analysis of Endo H-released ³[H]Man-labeled N-glycans from the assayed ERAD substrate (after a 4 h-chasing period) revealed a significant increase of Glc₀₋₁Man₉GlcNAc₂ glycans (~55% of total N-glycans) in HEK293 cells transfected with an ERManI-RNAi construct compared to HEK293 cells transfected with a control RNAi plasmid (<10% of total *N*-glycans being $Glc_{0-1}Man_9GlcNAc_2$). As expected, the relative amount of Man₈GlcNAc2 was markedly reduced by ERManI silencing (from 30% in the control to 17% in ERManI-silenced cells). Additional support for a role of ERManI in Man-trimming came from analysis of electromobility changes of ³⁵[S]Metlabeled PIZ on SDS-PAGE during a 7 h-chasing period, revealing that while ERManI overexpression accelerated rates of PIZ mobility change, ERManI silencing almost completely inhibited the PIZ's mobility change on SDS-PAGE. More importantly, coexpression of PIZ with EDEM1 in HEK293 cells resulted in a faster rate of mobility change and degradation of PIZ, whereas ERManI silencing completely eliminated the EDEM1-induced changes, providing a strong support for a role of ERManI in EDEM1-catalyzed Man-trimming. However, caution is needed to interpret these results as RNAi-based gene silencing has been known to cause many off-target or compensatory effects.

Further support for a role of ERManI in the mammalian ERAD came from a recent CRSIPR/Cas9-created knockout of ERManI (Zhou et al., 2015), showing that eliminating ERManI in 293T cells (a HEK293 derivative cell line) inhibited NHK degradation; however, experiments similar to those performed in the *ERManI*-RNAi studies are needed to determine the impact of the *ERManI*-knockout on the Man-trimming and degradation of model ERAD substrates, especially when the ERManI-knockout cells are transfected with EDEM1-3. A recent

study using a quadruple-knockout CHO cell line (lacking the ERManI and three Golgi-localized α 1,2-mannosiadses) revealed that the wild-type EDEM1 (expressed as the fulllength protein or just its MLD) but not its catalytically mutant variants was able to trim the N-glycans of NHK (measured by Kif-sensitive electromobility changes on SDS-PAGE), suggesting that the in vivo a1,2-mannosidase activity of EDEM1 does not require the ERManI-mediated B-branch Man-trimming. Given the recently established role of EDEM2 in cleaving the a1,2Man-a1,3Man linkage of the B-branch (Ninagawa et al., 2014; George et al., 2020), it is important to examine the activities of the endogenous or overexpressed EDEM1/EDEM3 in stimulating ERAD and Man-trimming of N-glycans on widely used glycosylated ERAD clients in multiple ERManI/EDEM2-knockout mammalian cell lines. These future experiments will tell if the EDEM1/EDEM3's C-branch Man-trimming activity requires a B-branch-trimmed Glc₀₋₁Man₈GlcNAc₂ as their preferred substrate or if EDEM1/3 can directly demannosylate Glc₀₋₁Man₉GlcNAc₂ under certain experimental conditions, in certain cultured mammalian cells, and for certain ERAD substrates. Results from these experiments will certainly enhance our understanding of the biochemical functions of ERManI and the three EDEMs in the mammalian ERAD pathway.

The Arabidopsis has an ortholog of the Mns1/ERManI (known as MNS3) that was previously demonstrated to be a B-branch-specific processing α 1,2-mannosidase (Liebminger et al., 2009). Loss-of-function mns3 mutation was known to interfere with the Golgi-mediated N-glycan processing as the N-glycans on mature glycoproteins all display an intact α1,2Mana1,3Man B-branch (Liebminger et al., 2009). Importantly, lossof-function mutation of MNS3 or simultaneous elimination of MNS3 and two Golgi-localized a1,2-mannosidases (MNS1 and MNS2) did not rescue the dwarf phenotype of bri1-5 and bri1-9 (Hüttner et al., 2014a), implying that MNS1-3 are not involved in the ERAD of the two ER-retained mutant BR receptors. Similar to what were discovered for the mammalian ERManI (Pan et al., 2011, 2013), the Arabidopsis MNS3 was found to be retained in the *cis*-Golgi by a signal motif (Leu⁵ProTyrSer) localized in the N-terminal cytoplasmic tail as mutating the hydrophobic Leu residue could relocate MNS3 to the ER (Schoberer et al., 2019). More importantly, relocation of MNS3 from the cis-Golgi to the ER could enhance the dwarf phenotypes of the bri1-5 mutant, presumably due to its mannosidase activity in the ER that enhanced ERAD of bri1-5. However, it remains to be determined if the forced ER accumulation of MNS3 stimulates the B-branch a1,2Man-trimming or directly enhances the C-branch a1,2Man cleavage as an earlier study showed that increasing MNS3 concentration resulted in increased conversion of Man₈₋₉GlcNAc₂ to Man₅₋₇GlcNAc₂ in an *in vitro* mannosidase assay (Liebminger et al., 2009). Thus, the current literature on the Arabidopsis α 1,2-mannosidases supports that none of the three Golgi-localized a1,2-mannosidases (MNS1, MNS2, and MNS3) is involved in a well-studied plant ERAD pathway and the MNS4/5-mediated generation of the N-glycan ERAD signal unlikely requires the B-branch Mantrimming step.

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE CHALLENGES

Despite rapid progress in structural understanding of the ERAD machinery itself (Schoebel et al., 2017; Eldeeb et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020), our knowledge of the initial events that commit an irreparable misfolded glycoprotein to ERAD remains incomplete. It was quite clear from the early days of ERAD research that demannosylation constitutes a key step of the ERAD pathway and intensive/extensive investigation in the last quarter century have identified and biochemically characterized the α1,2-mannosidases, which generate the evolutionarily conserved ERAD N-glycan signals with exposed a1,6Man residue, and the ERAD lectins that recognize and bind such a conserved N-glycan signal. However, the detailed biochemical mechanism by which eukaryotic cells make the end-of-life decision for an irreparable misfolded glycoprotein remains a mystery. The latest discoveries of the requirement of covalent binding to members of the PDI family for the mannosidase activities of Htm1/EDEMs and the structural preference of the yeast Htm1-Pdi1 complex for compact but partially unstructured glycoproteins over globally unstructured conformers suggested a mechanistic mimicry between the Htm1/EDEM-PDI complexes and UGGT, which likely compete for their binding to a misfolded protein, resulting in N-glycan demannosylation for degradation and N-glycan reglucosylation for another refolding attempt, respectively. Structural studies of a covalently bridged Htm1/EDEM-PDI complex coupled with biochemical and genetic experiments are needed to test this hypothesis

REFERENCES

- Aebi, M., Gassenhuber, J., Domdey, H., and Te Heesen, S. (1996). Cloning and characterization of the ALG3 gene of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Glycobiology* 6, 439–444. doi: 10.1093/glycob/6.4.439
- Aikawa, J., Matsuo, I., and Ito, Y. (2012). In vitro mannose trimming property of human ER alpha-1,2 mannosidase I. *Glycoconj. J.* 29, 35–45. doi: 10.1007/ s10719-011-9362-1
- Aikawa, J., Takeda, Y., Matsuo, I., and Ito, Y. (2014). Trimming of glucosylated N-glycans by human ER alpha1,2-mannosidase I. J. Biochem. 155, 375–384. doi: 10.1093/jb/mvu008
- Alcock, F., and Swanton, E. (2009). Mammalian OS-9 is upregulated in response to endoplasmic reticulum stress and facilitates ubiquitination of misfolded glycoproteins. J. Mol. Biol. 385, 1032–1042. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2008.11.045
- Avezov, E., Frenkel, Z., Ehrlich, M., Herscovics, A., and Lederkremer, G. Z. (2008). Endoplasmic reticulum (ER) mannosidase I is compartmentalized and required for N-glycan trimming to Man5-6GlcNAc2 in glycoprotein ER-associated degradation. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 19, 216–225. doi: 10.1091/mbc.e07-05-0505
- Baek, J. H., Mahon, P. C., Oh, J., Kelly, B., Krishnamachary, B., Pearson, M., et al. (2005). OS-9 interacts with hypoxia-inducible factor 1alpha and prolyl hydroxylases to promote oxygen-dependent degradation of HIF-1alpha. *Mol. Cell* 17, 503–512. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2005.01.011
- Baer, J., Taylor, I., and Walker, J. C. (2016). Disrupting ER-associated protein degradation suppresses the abscission defect of a weak hae hsl2 mutant in *Arabidopsis. J. Exp. Bot.* 67, 5473–5484. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erw313
- Balchin, D., Hayer-Hartl, M., and Hartl, F. U. (2016). In vivo aspects of protein folding and quality control. *Science* 353, aac4354. doi: 10.1126/science.aac 4354
- Bao, M., Elmendorf, B. J., Booth, J. L., Drake, R. R., and Canfield, W. M. (1996). Bovine UDP-N-acetylglucosamine:lysosomal-enzyme N-acetylglucosamine-1phosphotransferase. II. Enzymatic characterization and identification of the

and could determine if and how the PDI-mediated substrate binding is structurally coupled with the catalytic activity of the disulfide-bridged Htm1/EDEMs. The past research progress of plant ERAD studies have demonstrated that the two Htm1/EDEM homologs of the Arabidopsis function redundantly in generating the conserved *N*-glycan ERAD signal; however, it remains to be demonstrated if MNS4/5 require covalently bound PDIs for their mannosidase activity *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Proteomics studies, reverse genetics, and *in vitro* biochemical experiments will greatly expand our knowledge of the biochemical mechanism by which the plant Htm1/EDEM homologs recognize misfolded glycoproteins to demannosylate their *N*-glycans, thus tagging them for their elimination via the ERAD pathway.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JZ, JW, LL, and JL discussed the writing plan. JZ and JW drafted the manuscript. LL and JL edited the manuscript. All the authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was partially supported by grants from National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC31730019 to JL and NSFC31600996 to LL) and a startup fund from South China Agricultural University.

catalytic subunit. J. Biol. Chem. 271, 31446–31451. doi: 10.1074/jbc.271.49. 31446

- Benyair, R., Ogen-Shtern, N., and Lederkremer, G. Z. (2015a). Glycan regulation of ER-associated degradation through compartmentalization. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 41, 99–109. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2014.11.006
- Benyair, R., Ogen-Shtern, N., Mazkereth, N., Shai, B., Ehrlich, M., and Lederkremer, G. Z. (2015b). Mammalian ER mannosidase I resides in quality control vesicles, where it encounters its glycoprotein substrates. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 26, 172–184. doi: 10.1091/mbc.e14-06-1152
- Bernasconi, R., Pertel, T., Luban, J., and Molinari, M. (2008). A dual task for the Xbp1-responsive OS-9 variants in the mammalian endoplasmic reticulum: inhibiting secretion of misfolded protein conformers and enhancing their disposal. J Biol Chem 283, 16446–16454. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m802272200
- Berner, N., Reutter, K. R., and Wolf, D. H. (2018). Protein quality control of the endoplasmic reticulum and ubiquitin-proteasome-triggered degradation of aberrant proteins: yeast pioneers the path. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 87, 751–782. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biochem-062917-012749
- Bhamidipati, A., Denic, V., Quan, E. M., and Weissman, J. S. (2005). Exploration of the topological requirements of ERAD identifies Yos9p as a lectin sensor of misfolded glycoproteins in the ER lumen. *Mol. Cell* 19, 741–751. doi: 10.1016/ j.molcel.2005.07.027
- Bodendorf, U., Fischer, F., Bodian, D., Multhaup, G., and Paganetti, P. (2001). A splice variant of beta-secretase deficient in the amyloidogenic processing of the amyloid precursor protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 12019–12023. doi: 10.1074/jbc. m008861200
- Burda, P., and Aebi, M. (1998). The ALG10 locus of Saccharomyces cerevisiae encodes the alpha-1,2 glucosyltransferase of the endoplasmic reticulum: the terminal glucose of the lipid-linked oligosaccharide is required for efficient N-linked glycosylation. Glycobiology 8, 455–462. doi: 10.1093/glycob/8.5.455
- Burda, P., Jakob, C. A., Beinhauer, J., Hegemann, J. H., and Aebi, M. (1999). Ordered assembly of the asymmetrically branched lipid-linked oligosaccharide

in the endoplasmic reticulum is ensured by the substrate specificity of the individual glycosyltransferases. *Glycobiology* 9, 617–625. doi: 10.1093/glycob/ 9.6.617

- Burda, P., Te Heesen, S., Brachat, A., Wach, A., Dusterhoft, A., and Aebi, M. (1996). Stepwise assembly of the lipid-linked oligosaccharide in the endoplasmic reticulum of Saccharomyces cerevisiae: identification of the ALG9 gene encoding a putative mannosyl transferase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 93, 7160–7165. doi: 10.1073/pnas.93.14.7160
- Buschhorn, B. A., Kostova, Z., Medicherla, B., and Wolf, D. H. (2004). A genomewide screen identifies Yos9p as essential for ER-associated degradation of glycoproteins. *FEBS Lett.* 577, 422–426. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2004.10.039
- Buttgereit, F., and Brand, M. D. (1995). A hierarchy of ATP-consuming processes in mammalian cells. *Biochem. J.* 312(Pt 1), 163–167. doi: 10.1042/bj3120163
- Cabral, C. M., Liu, Y., and Sifers, R. N. (2001). Dissecting glycoprotein quality control in the secretory pathway. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 26, 619–624. doi: 10. 1016/s0968-0004(01)01942-9
- Cacan, R., Villers, C., Belard, M., Kaiden, A., Krag, S. S., and Verbert, A. (1992). Different fates of the oligosaccharide moieties of lipid intermediates. *Glycobiology* 2, 127–136. doi: 10.1093/glycob/2.2.127
- Calles-Garcia, D., Yang, M., Soya, N., Melero, R., Menade, M., Ito, Y., et al. (2017). Single-particle electron microscopy structure of UDP-glucose:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase suggests a selectivity mechanism for misfolded proteins. *J. Biol. Chem.* 292, 11499–11507. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m117.789495
- Camirand, A., Heysen, A., Grondin, B., and Herscovics, A. (1991). Glycoprotein biosynthesis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Isolation and characterization of the gene encoding a specific processing alpha-mannosidase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 266, 15120–15127.
- Caramelo, J. J., and Parodi, A. J. (2008). Getting in and out from calnexin/calreticulin cycles. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 10221–10225. doi: 10.1074/jbc.r700048200
- Carvalho, P., Goder, V., and Rapoport, T. A. (2006). Distinct ubiquitin-ligase complexes define convergent pathways for the degradation of ER proteins. *Cell* 126, 361–373. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.05.043
- Chantret, I., Kodali, V. P., Lahmouich, C., Harvey, D. J., and Moore, S. E. (2011). Endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation (ERAD) and free oligosaccharide generation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 41786–41800. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m111.251371
- Chen, X., Tukachinsky, H., Huang, C. H., Jao, C., Chu, Y. R., Tang, H. Y., et al. (2011). Processing and turnover of the Hedgehog protein in the endoplasmic reticulum. J. Cell Biol. 192, 825–838. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201008090
- Chevalier, D., Batoux, M., Fulton, L., Pfister, K., Yadav, R. K., Schellenberg, M., et al. (2005). STRUBBELIG defines a receptor kinase-mediated signaling pathway regulating organ development in *Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102, 9074–9079. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0503526102
- Christianson, J. C., Shaler, T. A., Tyler, R. E., and Kopito, R. R. (2008). OS-9 and GRP94 deliver mutant alpha1-antitrypsin to the Hrd1-SEL1L ubiquitin ligase complex for ERAD. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 10, 272–282. doi: 10.1038/ncb1689
- Clerc, S., Hirsch, C., Oggier, D. M., Deprez, P., Jakob, C., Sommer, T., et al. (2009). Htm1 protein generates the N-glycan signal for glycoprotein degradation in the endoplasmic reticulum. *J. Cell Biol.* 184, 159–172. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200809198
- Cormier, J. H., Tamura, T., Sunryd, J. C., and Hebert, D. N. (2009). EDEM1 recognition and delivery of misfolded proteins to the SEL1L-containing ERAD complex. *Mol. Cell* 34, 627–633. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2009.05.018
- Couto, J. R., Huffaker, T. C., and Robbins, P. W. (1984). Cloning and expression in *Escherichia coli* of a yeast mannosyltransferase from the asparagine-linked glycosylation pathway. J. Biol. Chem. 259, 378–382.
- Cruciat, C. M., Hassler, C., and Niehrs, C. (2006). The MRH protein Erlectin is a member of the endoplasmic reticulum synexpression group and functions in N-glycan recognition. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281, 12986–12993. doi: 10.1074/jbc. m511872200
- D'Alessio, C., Caramelo, J. J., and Parodi, A. J. (2010). UDP-GIC:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase-glucosidase II, the ying-yang of the ER quality control. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 21, 491–499. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2009.12.014
- Denic, V., Quan, E. M., and Weissman, J. S. (2006). A luminal surveillance complex that selects misfolded glycoproteins for ER-associated degradation. *Cell* 126, 349–359. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.05.045
- Di Cola, A., Frigerio, L., Lord, J. M., Ceriotti, A., and Roberts, L. M. (2001). Ricin A chain without its partner B chain is degraded after retrotranslocation from the

endoplasmic reticulum to the cytosol in plant cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 98, 14726–14731. doi: 10.1073/pnas.251386098

- Di Cola, A., Frigerio, L., Lord, J. M., Roberts, L. M., and Ceriotti, A. (2005). Endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation of ricin A chain has unique and plant-specific features. *Plant Physiol.* 137, 287–296. doi: 10.1104/pp.104.055434
- Elbein, A. D. (1987). Inhibitors of the biosynthesis and processing of N-linked oligosaccharide chains. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 56, 497–534. doi: 10.1146/ annurev.bi.56.070187.002433
- Elbein, A. D., Tropea, J. E., Mitchell, M., and Kaushal, G. P. (1990). Kifunensine, a potent inhibitor of the glycoprotein processing mannosidase I. J. Biol. Chem. 265, 15599–15605.
- Eldeeb, M. A., Fahlman, R. P., and Michalak, M. (2020). ER-associated protein degradation at atomic resolution. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 45, 723–725. doi: 10. 1016/j.tibs.2020.06.005
- Ellgaard, L., Molinari, M., and Helenius, A. (1999). Setting the standards: quality control in the secretory pathway. *Science* 286, 1882–1888. doi: 10.1126/science. 286.5446.1882
- Ermonval, M., Cacan, R., Gorgas, K., Haas, I. G., Verbert, A., and Buttin, G. (1997). Differential fate of glycoproteins carrying a monoglucosylated form of truncated N-glycan in a new CHO line, MadIA214214, selected for a thermosensitive secretory defect. J. Cell Sci. 110(Pt 3), 323–336.
- Ermonval, M., Kitzmuller, C., Mir, A. M., Cacan, R., and Ivessa, N. E. (2001). N-glycan structure of a short-lived variant of ribophorin I expressed in the MadIA214 glycosylation-defective cell line reveals the role of a mannosidase that is not ER mannosidase I in the process of glycoprotein degradation. *Glycobiology* 11, 565–576. doi: 10.1093/glycob/11.7.565
- Farquhar, R., Honey, N., Murant, S. J., Bossier, P., Schultz, L., Montgomery, D., et al. (1991). Protein disulfide isomerase is essential for viability in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Gene* 108, 81–89. doi: 10.1016/0378-1119(91)90490-3
- Finger, A., Knop, M., and Wolf, D. H. (1993). Analysis of two mutated vacuolar proteins reveals a degradation pathway in the endoplasmic reticulum or a related compartment of yeast. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 218, 565–574. doi: 10.1111/j. 1432-1033.1993.tb18410.x
- Foulquier, F., Duvet, S., Klein, A., Mir, A. M., Chirat, F., and Cacan, R. (2004). Endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation of glycoproteins bearing Man5GlcNAc2 and Man9GlcNAc2 species in the MI8-5 CHO cell line. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 271, 398–404. doi: 10.1046/j.1432-1033.2003.03938.x
- Frank, C. G., and Aebi, M. (2005). ALG9 mannosyltransferase is involved in two different steps of lipid-linked oligosaccharide biosynthesis. *Glycobiology* 15, 1156–1163. doi: 10.1093/glycob/cwj002
- Fu, D., Chen, L., and O'neill, R. A. (1994). A detailed structural characterization of ribonuclease B oligosaccharides by 1H NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry. *Carbohydr. Res.* 261, 173–186. doi: 10.1016/0008-6215(94) 84015-6
- Gauss, R., Jarosch, E., Sommer, T., and Hirsch, C. (2006). A complex of Yos9p and the HRD ligase integrates endoplasmic reticulum quality control into the degradation machinery. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 8, 849–854. doi: 10.1038/ncb1445
- Gauss, R., Kanehara, K., Carvalho, P., Ng, D. T., and Aebi, M. (2011). A complex of Pdi1p and the mannosidase Htm1p initiates clearance of unfolded glycoproteins from the endoplasmic reticulum. *Mol. Cell* 42, 782–793. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2011.04.027
- George, G., Ninagawa, S., Yagi, H., Saito, T., Ishikawa, T., Sakuma, T., et al. (2020). EDEM2 stably disulfide-bonded to TXNDC11 catalyzes the first mannose trimming step in mammalian glycoprotein ERAD. *eLife* 9, e53455.
- Hammond, C., Braakman, I., and Helenius, A. (1994). Role of N-linked oligosaccharide recognition, glucose trimming, and calnexin in glycoprotein folding and quality control. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 91, 913–917. doi: 10.1073/pnas.91.3.913
- Harada, Y., Ohkawa, Y., Kizuka, Y., and Taniguchi, N. (2019). Oligosaccharyltransferase: a gatekeeper of health and tumor progression. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20, 6074. doi: 10.3390/ijms20236074
- Hauri, H. P., Kappeler, F., Andersson, H., and Appenzeller, C. (2000). ERGIC-53 and traffic in the secretory pathway. J. Cell Sci. 113(Pt 4), 587–596.
- Heifetz, A., Keenan, R. W., and Elbein, A. D. (1979). Mechanism of action of tunicamycin on the UDP-GlcNAc:dolichyl-phosphate Glc-NAc-1-phosphate transferase. *Biochemistry* 18, 2186–2192. doi: 10.1021/bi00578a008
- Helenius, A. (1994). How N-linked oligosaccharides affect glycoprotein folding in the endoplasmic reticulum. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 5, 253–265. doi: 10.1091/mbc.5.3.253

- Helenius, A., and Aebi, M. (2004). Roles of N-linked glycans in the endoplasmic reticulum. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 73, 1019–1049. doi: 10.1146/annurev.biochem. 73.011303.073752
- Helenius, J., Ng, D. T., Marolda, C. L., Walter, P., Valvano, M. A., and Aebi, M. (2002). Translocation of lipid-linked oligosaccharides across the ER membrane requires Rft1 protein. *Nature* 415, 447–450. doi: 10.1038/415447a
- Hendershot, L. M., Valentine, V. A., Lee, A. S., Morris, S. W., and Shapiro, D. N. (1994). Localization of the gene encoding human BiP/GRP78, the endoplasmic reticulum cognate of the HSP70 family, to chromosome 9q34. *Genomics* 20, 281–284. doi: 10.1006/geno.1994.1166
- Henquet, M., Lehle, L., Schreuder, M., Rouwendal, G., Molthoff, J., Helsper, J., et al. (2008). Identification of the gene encoding the alpha1,3-mannosyltransferase (ALG3) in *Arabidopsis* and characterization of downstream n-glycan processing. *Plant Cell* 20, 1652–1664. doi: 10.1105/tpc.108.060731
- Hirao, K., Natsuka, Y., Tamura, T., Wada, I., Morito, D., Natsuka, S., et al. (2006). EDEM3, a soluble EDEM homolog, enhances glycoprotein endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation and mannose trimming. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281, 9650–9658. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m512191200
- Hong, Z., Jin, H., Fitchette, A. C., Xia, Y., Monk, A. M., Faye, L., et al. (2009). Mutations of an alpha1,6 mannosyltransferase inhibit endoplasmic reticulumassociated degradation of defective brassinosteroid receptors in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 21, 3792–3802. doi: 10.1105/tpc.109.070284
- Hong, Z., Jin, H., Tzfira, T., and Li, J. (2008). Multiple mechanism-mediated retention of a defective brassinosteroid receptor in the endoplasmic reticulum of *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 20, 3418–3429. doi: 10.1105/tpc.108.061879
- Hong, Z., Kajiura, H., Su, W., Jin, H., Kimura, A., Fujiyama, K., et al. (2012). Evolutionarily conserved glycan signal to degrade aberrant brassinosteroid receptors in *Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 11437–11442. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1119173109
- Horimoto, S., Ninagawa, S., Okada, T., Koba, H., Sugimoto, T., Kamiya, Y., et al. (2013). The unfolded protein response transducer ATF6 represents a novel transmembrane-type endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation substrate requiring both mannose trimming and SEL1L protein. J. Biol. Chem. 288, 31517–31527. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m113.476010
- Hosokawa, N., Kamiya, Y., Kamiya, D., Kato, K., and Nagata, K. (2009). Human OS-9, a lectin required for glycoprotein endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation, recognizes mannose-trimmed N-glycans. J. Biol. Chem. 284, 17061–17068. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m809725200
- Hosokawa, N., Tremblay, L. O., Sleno, B., Kamiya, Y., Wada, I., Nagata, K., et al. (2010). EDEM1 accelerates the trimming of alpha1,2-linked mannose on the C branch of N-glycans. *Glycobiology* 20, 567–575. doi: 10.1093/glycob/cwq001
- Hosokawa, N., Tremblay, L. O., You, Z., Herscovics, A., Wada, I., and Nagata, K. (2003). Enhancement of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) degradation of misfolded Null Hong Kong alpha1-antitrypsin by human ER mannosidase I. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 26287–26294. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m303395200
- Hosokawa, N., Wada, I., Hasegawa, K., Yorihuzi, T., Tremblay, L. O., Herscovics, A., et al. (2001). A novel ER alpha-mannosidase-like protein accelerates ERassociated degradation. *EMBO Rep.* 2, 415–422. doi: 10.1093/embo-reports/ kve084
- Hosokawa, N., Wada, I., Nagasawa, K., Moriyama, T., Okawa, K., and Nagata, K. (2008). Human XTP3-B forms an endoplasmic reticulum quality control scaffold with the HRD1-SEL1L ubiquitin ligase complex and BiP. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 20914–20924. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m709336200
- Hosokawa, N., Wada, I., Natsuka, Y., and Nagata, K. (2006). EDEM accelerates ERAD by preventing aberrant dimer formation of misfolded alpha1antitrypsin. *Genes Cells* 11, 465–476. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2443.2006. 00957.x
- Hosokawa, N., You, Z., Tremblay, L. O., Nagata, K., and Herscovics, A. (2007). Stimulation of ERAD of misfolded null Hong Kong alpha1-antitrypsin by Golgi alpha1,2-mannosidases. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 362, 626–632. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2007.08.057
- Hosomi, A., Tanabe, K., Hirayama, H., Kim, I., Rao, H., and Suzuki, T. (2010). Identification of an Html (EDEM)-dependent, Mns1-independent endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation (ERAD) pathway in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: application of a novel assay for glycoprotein ERAD. J. Biol. Chem. 285, 24324–24334. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m109.095919
- Hüttner, S., Veit, C., Schoberer, J., Grass, J., and Strasser, R. (2012). Unraveling the function of Arabidopsis thaliana OS9 in the endoplasmic reticulum-associated

degradation of glycoproteins. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 79, 21–33. doi: 10.1007/s11103-012-9891-4

- Hüttner, S., Veit, C., Vavra, U., Schoberer, J., Dicker, M., Maresch, D., et al. (2014a). A context-independent N-glycan signal targets the misfolded extracellular domain of *Arabidopsis* STRUBBELIG to endoplasmic-reticulum-associated degradation. *Biochem J* 464, 401–411. doi: 10.1042/bj20141057
- Hüttner, S., Veit, C., Vavra, U., Schoberer, J., Liebminger, E., Maresch, D., et al. (2014b). Arabidopsis Class I α-Mannosidases MNS4 and MNS5 are involved in endoplasmic reticulum–associated degradation of misfolded glycoproteins. *Plant Cell* 26, 1712–1728. doi: 10.1105/tpc.114.123216
- Iannotti, M. J., Figard, L., Sokac, A. M., and Sifers, R. N. (2014). A Golgi-localized mannosidase (MAN1B1) plays a non-enzymatic gatekeeper role in protein biosynthetic quality control. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 11844–11858. doi: 10.1074/jbc. m114.552091
- Jakob, C. A., Bodmer, D., Spirig, U., Battig, P., Marcil, A., Dignard, D., et al. (2001). Htm1p, a mannosidase-like protein, is involved in glycoprotein degradation in yeast. *EMBO Rep.* 2, 423–430. doi: 10.1093/embo-reports/kve089
- Jakob, C. A., Burda, P., Roth, J., and Aebi, M. (1998). Degradation of misfolded endoplasmic reticulum glycoproteins in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is determined by a specific oligosaccharide structure. *J. Cell Biol.* 142, 1223–1233. doi: 10. 1083/jcb.142.5.1223
- Jin, H., Yan, Z., Nam, K. H., and Li, J. (2007). Allele-specific suppression of a defective brassinosteroid receptor reveals a physiological role of UGGT in ER quality control. *Mol Cell* 26, 821–830. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2007. 05.015
- Kajiura, H., Seki, T., and Fujiyama, K. (2010). Arabidopsis thaliana ALG3 mutant synthesizes immature oligosaccharides in the ER and accumulates unique N-glycans. *Glycobiology* 20, 736–751. doi: 10.1093/glycob/cwq028
- Kanehara, K., Kawaguchi, S., and Ng, D. T. (2007). The EDEM and Yos9p families of lectin-like ERAD factors. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 18, 743–750. doi: 10.1016/j. semcdb.2007.09.007
- Kim, W., Spear, E. D., and Ng, D. T. (2005). Yos9p detects and targets misfolded glycoproteins for ER-associated degradation. *Mol. Cell* 19, 753–764. doi: 10. 1016/j.molcel.2005.08.010
- Knoblach, B., Keller, B. O., Groenendyk, J., Aldred, S., Zheng, J., Lemire, B. D., et al. (2003). ERp19 and ERp46, new members of the thioredoxin family of endoplasmic reticulum proteins. *Mol. Cell Proteom.* 2, 1104–1119. doi: 10.1074/ mcp.m300053-mcp200
- Knop, M., Hauser, N., and Wolf, D. H. (1996). N-Glycosylation affects endoplasmic reticulum degradation of a mutated derivative of carboxypeptidase yscY in yeast. Yeast 12, 1229–1238. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1097-0061(19960930)12: 12<1229::aid-yea15>3.0.co;2-h
- Koivu, J., Myllyla, R., Helaakoski, T., Pihlajaniemi, T., Tasanen, K., and Kivirikko, K. I. (1987). A single polypeptide acts both as the beta subunit of prolyl 4hydroxylase and as a protein disulfide-isomerase. J. Biol. Chem. 262, 6447–6449.
- Kosmaoglou, M., Kanuga, N., Aguila, M., Garriga, P., and Cheetham, M. E. (2009). A dual role for EDEM1 in the processing of rod opsin. J. Cell Sci. 122, 4465–4472. doi: 10.1242/jcs.055228
- Kozlov, G., Maattanen, P., Thomas, D. Y., and Gehring, K. (2010). A structural overview of the PDI family of proteins. *FEBS J.* 277, 3924–3936. doi: 10.1111/j. 1742-4658.2010.07793.x
- Lederkremer, G. Z., and Glickman, M. H. (2005). A window of opportunity: timing protein degradation by trimming of sugars and ubiquitins. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 30, 297–303. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2005.04.010
- Li, J., Zhao-Hui, C., Batoux, M., Nekrasov, V., Roux, M., Chinchilla, D., et al. (2009). Specific ER quality control components required for biogenesis of the plant innate immune receptor EFR. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 15973–15978. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0905532106
- Liebminger, E., Huttner, S., Vavra, U., Fischl, R., Schoberer, J., Grass, J., et al. (2009). Class I alpha-mannosidases are required for N-glycan processing and root development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell* 21, 3850–3867. doi: 10. 1105/tpc.109.072363
- Lilley, B. N., and Ploegh, H. L. (2005). Multiprotein complexes that link dislocation, ubiquitination, and extraction of misfolded proteins from the endoplasmic reticulum membrane. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102, 14296–14301. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0505014102
- Lipari, F., and Herscovics, A. (1996). Role of the cysteine residues in the alpha1,2mannosidase involved in N-glycan biosynthesis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.

The conserved Cys340 and Cys385 residues form an essential disulfide bond. *J. Biol. Chem.* 271, 27615–27622. doi: 10.1074/jbc.271.44.27615

- Lipari, F., and Herscovics, A. (1999). Calcium binding to the class I alpha-1,2mannosidase from Saccharomyces cerevisiae occurs outside the EF hand motif. Biochemistry 38, 1111–1118. doi: 10.1021/bi981643i
- Litovchick, L., Friedmann, E., and Shaltiel, S. (2002). A selective interaction between OS-9 and the carboxyl-terminal tail of meprin beta. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 34413–34423. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m203986200
- Liu, L., Cui, F., Li, Q., Yin, B., Zhang, H., Lin, B., et al. (2011). The endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation is necessary for plant salt tolerance. *Cell Res.* 21, 957–969. doi: 10.1038/cr.2010.181
- Liu, Y., Choudhury, P., Cabral, C. M., and Sifers, R. N. (1997). Intracellular disposal of incompletely folded human alpha1-antitrypsin involves release from calnexin and post-translational trimming of asparagine-linked oligosaccharides. *J. Biol. Chem.* 272, 7946–7951. doi: 10.1074/jbc.272.12.7946
- Liu, Y., and Li, J. (2014). Endoplasmic reticulum-mediated protein quality control in Arabidopsis. Front. Plant Sci. 5:162. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00162
- Liu, Y. C., Fujimori, D. G., and Weissman, J. S. (2016). Htm1p-Pdi1p is a foldingsensitive mannosidase that marks N-glycoproteins for ER-associated protein degradation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 113, E4015–E4024.
- Lu, J., Takahashi, T., Ohoka, A., Nakajima, K., Hashimoto, R., Miura, N., et al. (2012). Alg14 organizes the formation of a multiglycosyltransferase complex involved in initiation of lipid-linked oligosaccharide biosynthesis. *Glycobiology* 22, 504–516. doi: 10.1093/glycob/cwr162
- Marin, M. B., Ghenea, S., Spiridon, L. N., Chiritoiu, G. N., Petrescu, A. J., and Petrescu, S. M. (2012). Tyrosinase degradation is prevented when EDEM1 lacks the intrinsically disordered region. *PLoS One* 7:e42998. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0042998
- Martinez, I. M., and Chrispeels, M. J. (2003). Genomic analysis of the unfolded protein response in *Arabidopsis* shows its connection to important cellular processes. *Plant Cell* 15, 561–576. doi: 10.1105/tpc.007609
- Massaad, M. J., Franzusoff, A., and Herscovics, A. (1999). The processing alpha1,2mannosidase of Saccharomyces cerevisiae depends on Rer1p for its localization in the endoplasmic reticulum. *Eur. J. Cell Biol.* 78, 435–440. doi: 10.1016/s0171-9335(99)80070-3
- Mast, S. W., Diekman, K., Karaveg, K., Davis, A., Sifers, R. N., and Moremen, K. W. (2005). Human EDEM2, a novel homolog of family 47 glycosidases, is involved in ER-associated degradation of glycoproteins. *Glycobiology* 15, 421–436. doi: 10.1093/glycob/cwi014
- Mikami, K., Yamaguchi, D., Tateno, H., Hu, D., Qin, S. Y., Kawasaki, N., et al. (2010). The sugar-binding ability of human OS-9 and its involvement in ER-associated degradation. *Glycobiology* 20, 310–321. doi: 10.1093/glycob/ cwp175
- Molinari, M., Calanca, V., Galli, C., Lucca, P., and Paganetti, P. (2003). Role of EDEM in the release of misfolded glycoproteins from the calnexin cycle. *Science* 299, 1397–1400. doi: 10.1126/science.1079474
- Molinari, M., Galli, C., Piccaluga, V., Pieren, M., and Paganetti, P. (2002). Sequential assistance of molecular chaperones and transient formation of covalent complexes during protein degradation from the ER. J. Cell Biol. 158, 247–257. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200204122
- Molinari, M., Galli, C., Vanoni, O., Arnold, S. M., and Kaufman, R. J. (2005). Persistent glycoprotein misfolding activates the glucosidase II/UGT1-driven calnexin cycle to delay aggregation and loss of folding competence. *Mol. Cell* 20, 503–512. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2005.09.027
- Moremen, K. W., Tiemeyer, M., and Nairn, A. V. (2012). Vertebrate protein glycosylation: diversity, synthesis and function. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 13, 448–462. doi: 10.1038/nrm3383
- Mueller, B., Klemm, E. J., Spooner, E., Claessen, J. H., and Ploegh, H. L. (2008). SEL1L nucleates a protein complex required for dislocation of misfolded glycoproteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 12325–12330. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.0805371105
- Munro, S. (2001). The MRH domain suggests a shared ancestry for the mannose 6-phosphate receptors and other N-glycan-recognising proteins. *Curr. Biol.* 11, R499–R501.
- Nakatsukasa, K., Nishikawa, S., Hosokawa, N., Nagata, K., and Endo, T. (2001). Mnl1p, an alpha -mannosidase-like protein in yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, is required for endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation of glycoproteins. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 8635–8638. doi: 10.1074/jbc.c100023200

- Nekrasov, V., Li, J., Batoux, M., Roux, M., Chu, Z. H., Lacombe, S., et al. (2009). Control of the pattern-recognition receptor EFR by an ER protein complex in plant immunity. *EMBO J.* 28, 3428–3438. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2009.262
- Ninagawa, S., Okada, T., Sumitomo, Y., Kamiya, Y., Kato, K., Horimoto, S., et al. (2014). EDEM2 initiates mammalian glycoprotein ERAD by catalyzing the first mannose trimming step. J. Cell Biol. 206, 347–356. doi: 10.1083/jcb.20140 4075
- Norgaard, P., Westphal, V., Tachibana, C., Alsoe, L., Holst, B., and Winther, J. R. (2001). Functional differences in yeast protein disulfide isomerases. J. Cell Biol. 152, 553–562. doi: 10.1083/jcb.152.3.553
- Oda, Y., Hosokawa, N., Wada, I., and Nagata, K. (2003). EDEM as an acceptor of terminally misfolded glycoproteins released from calnexin. *Science* 299, 1394–1397. doi: 10.1126/science.1079181
- Olivari, S., Cali, T., Salo, K. E., Paganetti, P., Ruddock, L. W., and Molinari, M. (2006). EDEM1 regulates ER-associated degradation by accelerating demannosylation of folding-defective polypeptides and by inhibiting their covalent aggregation. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 349, 1278–1284. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2006.08.186
- Olivari, S., Galli, C., Alanen, H., Ruddock, L., and Molinari, M. (2005). A novel stress-induced EDEM variant regulating endoplasmic reticulum-associated glycoprotein degradation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280, 2424–2428. doi: 10.1074/jbc. c400534200
- O'Reilly, M. K., Zhang, G., and Imperiali, B. (2006). In vitro evidence for the dual function of Alg2 and Alg11: essential mannosyltransferases in N-linked glycoprotein biosynthesis. *Biochemistry* 45, 9593–9603. doi: 10.1021/bi0608780
- Pan, S., Cheng, X., and Sifers, R. N. (2013). Golgi-situated endoplasmic reticulum alpha-1, 2-mannosidase contributes to the retrieval of ERAD substrates through a direct interaction with gamma-COP. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 24, 1111–1121. doi: 10.1091/mbc.e12-12-0886
- Pan, S., Wang, S., Utama, B., Huang, L., Blok, N., Estes, M. K., et al. (2011). Golgi localization of ERManI defines spatial separation of the mammalian glycoprotein quality control system. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 22, 2810–2822. doi: 10.1091/ mbc.e11-02-0118
- Pfeiffer, A., Stephanowitz, H., Krause, E., Volkwein, C., Hirsch, C., Jarosch, E., et al. (2016). A complex of Htm1 and the oxidoreductase Pdi1 accelerates degradation of misfolded glycoproteins. *J. Biol. Chem.* 291, 12195–12207. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m115.703256
- Quan, E. M., Kamiya, Y., Kamiya, D., Denic, V., Weibezahn, J., Kato, K., et al. (2008). Defining the glycan destruction signal for endoplasmic reticulumassociated degradation. *Mol. Cell* 32, 870–877. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2008. 11.017
- Rapoport, T. A. (2007). Protein translocation across the eukaryotic endoplasmic reticulum and bacterial plasma membranes. *Nature* 450, 663–669. doi: 10.1038/ nature06384
- Reiss, G., Te Heesen, S., Zimmerman, J., Robbins, P. W., and Aebi, M. (1996). Isolation of the ALG6 locus of Saccharomyces cerevisiae required for glucosylation in the N-linked glycosylation pathway. *Glycobiology* 6, 493–498. doi: 10.1093/glycob/6.5.493
- Ritter, C., and Helenius, A. (2000). Recognition of local glycoprotein misfolding by the ER folding sensor UDP-glucose:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 7, 278–280.
- Ritter, C., Quirin, K., Kowarik, M., and Helenius, A. (2005). Minor folding defects trigger local modification of glycoproteins by the ER folding sensor GT. *EMBO* J. 24, 1730–1738. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7600645
- Roth, Z., Parnes, S., Wiel, S., Sagi, A., Zmora, N., Chung, J. S., et al. (2010). Nglycan moieties of the crustacean egg yolk protein and their glycosylation sites. *Glycoconj. J.* 27, 159–169. doi: 10.1007/s10719-009-9268-3
- Roversi, P., Marti, L., Caputo, A. T., Alonzi, D. S., Hill, J. C., Dent, K. C., et al. (2017). Interdomain conformational flexibility underpins the activity of UGGT, the eukaryotic glycoprotein secretion checkpoint. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 114, 8544–8549. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1703682114
- Saijo, Y., Tintor, N., Lu, X., Rauf, P., Pajerowska-Mukhtar, K., Haweker, H., et al. (2009). Receptor quality control in the endoplasmic reticulum for plant innate immunity. *EMBO J.* 28, 3439–3449. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2009.263
- Sakoh-Nakatogawa, M., Nishikawa, S., and Endo, T. (2009). Roles of proteindisulfide isomerase-mediated disulfide bond formation of yeast Mnl1p in endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation. J. Biol. Chem. 284, 11815– 11825. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m900813200

- Satoh, T., Song, C., Zhu, T., Toshimori, T., Murata, K., Hayashi, Y., et al. (2017). Visualisation of a flexible modular structure of the ER folding-sensor enzyme UGGT. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 12142.
- Schachter, H. (2014). Complex N-glycans: the story of the "yellow brick road". Glycoconj. J. 31, 1–5. doi: 10.1007/s10719-013-9507-5
- Schoberer, J., Konig, J., Veit, C., Vavra, U., Liebminger, E., Botchway, S. W., et al. (2019). A signal motif retains *Arabidopsis* ER-alpha-mannosidase I in the cis-Golgi and prevents enhanced glycoprotein ERAD. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 3701.
- Schoberer, J., Vavra, U., Stadlmann, J., Hawes, C., Mach, L., Steinkellner, H., et al. (2009). Arginine/lysine residues in the cytoplasmic tail promote ER export of plant glycosylation enzymes. *Traffic* 10, 101–115. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0854. 2008.00841.x
- Schoebel, S., Mi, W., Stein, A., Ovchinnikov, S., Pavlovicz, R., Dimaio, F., et al. (2017). Cryo-EM structure of the protein-conducting ERAD channel Hrd1 in complex with Hrd3. *Nature* 548, 352–355. doi: 10.1038/nature23314
- Schubert, U., Anton, L. C., Gibbs, J., Norbury, C. C., Yewdell, J. W., and Bennink, J. R. (2000). Rapid degradation of a large fraction of newly synthesized proteins by proteasomes. *Nature* 404, 770–774. doi: 10.1038/35008096
- Selles, B., Jacquot, J. P., and Rouhier, N. (2011). Comparative genomic study of protein disulfide isomerases from photosynthetic organisms. *Genomics* 97, 37–50. doi: 10.1016/j.ygeno.2010.10.001
- Shenkman, M., Ron, E., Yehuda, R., Benyair, R., Khalaila, I., and Lederkremer, G. Z. (2018). Mannosidase activity of EDEM1 and EDEM2 depends on an unfolded state of their glycoprotein substrates. *Commun. Biol.* 1, 172.
- Sokolowska, I., Pilka, E. S., Sandvig, K., Wegrzyn, G., and Slominska-Wojewodzka, M. (2015). Hydrophobicity of protein determinants influences the recognition of substrates by EDEM1 and EDEM2 in human cells. *BMC Cell Biol.* 16:1. doi: 10.1186/s12860-015-0047-7
- Stagljar, I., Te Heesen, S., and Aebi, M. (1994). New phenotype of mutations deficient in glucosylation of the lipid-linked oligosaccharide: cloning of the ALG8 locus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 91, 5977–5981. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 91.13.5977
- Stanley, P., Taniguchi, N., and Aebi, M. (2015). "N-Glycans," in *Essentials of Glycobiology*, eds A. Rd, R. D. Varki, J. D. Cummings, P. Esko, G. W. Stanley, M. Hart, et al. (New York, NY: Cold Spring Harbor), 99–111.
- Stevenson, J., Huang, E. Y., and Olzmann, J. A. (2016). Endoplasmic reticulumassociated degradation and lipid homeostasis. *Annu. Rev. Nutr.* 36, 511–542. doi: 10.1146/annurev-nutr-071715-051030
- Strasser, R. (2016). Plant protein glycosylation. Glycobiology 26, 926–939. doi: 10.1093/glycob/cww023
- Strasser, R. (2018). Protein quality control in the endoplasmic reticulum of plants. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 69, 147–172. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-042817-040331
- Su, K., Stoller, T., Rocco, J., Zemsky, J., and Green, R. (1993). Pre-Golgi degradation of yeast prepro-alpha-factor expressed in a mammalian cell. Influence of cell type-specific oligosaccharide processing on intracellular fate. J. Biol. Chem. 268, 14301–14309.
- Su, W., Liu, Y., Xia, Y., Hong, Z., and Li, J. (2011). Conserved endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation system to eliminate mutated receptor-like kinases in *Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 870–875. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.1013251108
- Su, W., Liu, Y., Xia, Y., Hong, Z., and Li, J. (2012). The Arabidopsis homolog of the mammalian OS-9 protein plays a key role in the endoplasmic reticulumassociated degradation of misfolded receptor-like kinases. *Mol. Plant* 5, 929– 940. doi: 10.1093/mp/sss042
- Su, Y. A., Trent, J. M., Guan, X. Y., and Meltzer, P. S. (1994). Direct isolation of genes encoded within a homogeneously staining region by chromosome microdissection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 91, 9121–9125. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 91.19.9121
- Sun, A. H., Collette, J. R., and Sifers, R. N. (2020). The cytoplasmic tail of human mannosidase Man1b1 contributes to catalysis-independent quality control of misfolded alpha1-antitrypsin. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 117, 24825–24836. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1919013117
- Szathmary, R., Bielmann, R., Nita-Lazar, M., Burda, P., and Jakob, C. A. (2005). Yos9 protein is essential for degradation of misfolded glycoproteins and may function as lectin in ERAD. *Mol. Cell* 19, 765–775. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2005. 08.015
- Tang, H. Y., Huang, C. H., Zhuang, Y. H., Christianson, J. C., and Chen, X. (2014). EDEM2 and OS-9 are required for ER-associated degradation of

non-glycosylated sonic hedgehog. *PLoS One* 9:e92164. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0092164

- Tateno, H., Nakamura-Tsuruta, S., and Hirabayashi, J. (2007). Frontal affinity chromatography: sugar-protein interactions. *Nat. Protoc.* 2, 2529–2537. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2007.357
- Termine, D. J., Moremen, K. W., and Sifers, R. N. (2009). The mammalian UPR boosts glycoprotein ERAD by suppressing the proteolytic downregulation of ER mannosidase I. J. Cell Sci. 122, 976–984. doi: 10.1242/jcs.037291
- Tian, G., Xiang, S., Noiva, R., Lennarz, W. J., and Schindelin, H. (2006). The crystal structure of yeast protein disulfide isomerase suggests cooperativity between its active sites. *Cell* 124, 61–73. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2005.10.044
- Timms, R. T., Menzies, S. A., Tchasovnikarova, I. A., Christensen, L. C., Williamson, J. C., Antrobus, R., et al. (2016). Genetic dissection of mammalian ERAD through comparative haploid and CRISPR forward genetic screens. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 11786.
- Tiwari, S., and Weissman, A. M. (2001). Endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-associated degradation of T cell receptor subunits. Involvement of ER-associated ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes (E2s). J. Biol. Chem. 276, 16193–16200. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m007640200
- Trombetta, E. S., Simons, J. F., and Helenius, A. (1996). Endoplasmic reticulum glucosidase II is composed of a catalytic subunit, conserved from yeast to mammals, and a tightly bound noncatalytic HDEL-containing subunit. J. Biol. Chem. 271, 27509–27516. doi: 10.1074/jbc.271.44.27509
- Ushioda, R., Hoseki, J., Araki, K., Jansen, G., Thomas, D. Y., and Nagata, K. (2008). ERdj5 is required as a disulfide reductase for degradation of misfolded proteins in the ER. *Science* 321, 569–572. doi: 10.1126/science.1159293
- Vallee, F., Karaveg, K., Herscovics, A., Moremen, K. W., and Howell, P. L. (2000a). Structural basis for catalysis and inhibition of N-glycan processing class I alpha 1,2-mannosidases. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 41287–41298. doi: 10.1074/jbc. m006927200
- Vallee, F., Lipari, F., Yip, P., Sleno, B., Herscovics, A., and Howell, P. L. (2000b). Crystal structure of a class I alpha1,2-mannosidase involved in N-glycan processing and endoplasmic reticulum quality control. *EMBO J.* 19, 581–588. doi: 10.1093/emboj/19.4.581
- Vembar, S. S., and Brodsky, J. L. (2008). One step at a time: endoplasmic reticulumassociated degradation. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 9, 944–957. doi: 10.1038/ nrm2546
- Villers, C., Cacan, R., Mir, A. M., Labiau, O., and Verbert, A. (1994). Release of oligomannoside-type glycans as a marker of the degradation of newly synthesized glycoproteins. *Biochem. J.* 298(Pt 1), 135–142. doi: 10.1042/ bj2980135
- Wang, C. C., and Tsou, C. L. (1993). Protein disulfide isomerase is both an enzyme and a chaperone. FASEB J. 7, 1515–1517. doi: 10.1096/fasebj.7.15.7903263
- Wang, Q., Groenendyk, J., and Michalak, M. (2015). Glycoprotein quality control and endoplasmic reticulum stress. *Molecules* 20, 13689–13704. doi: 10.3390/ molecules200813689
- Wu, X., Siggel, M., Ovchinnikov, S., Mi, W., Svetlov, V., Nudler, E., et al. (2020). Structural basis of ER-associated protein degradation mediated by the Hrd1 ubiquitin ligase complex. *Science* 368, eaaz2449. doi: 10.1126/science.aaz 2449
- Wu, Y., Swulius, M. T., Moremen, K. W., and Sifers, R. N. (2003). Elucidation of the molecular logic by which misfolded alpha 1-antitrypsin is preferentially selected for degradation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100, 8229–8234. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1430537100
- Wu, Y., Termine, D. J., Swulius, M. T., Moremen, K. W., and Sifers, R. N. (2007). Human endoplasmic reticulum mannosidase I is subject to regulated proteolysis. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 4841–4849. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m607156200
- Xu, C., and Ng, D. T. (2015). Glycosylation-directed quality control of protein folding. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 16, 742–752. doi: 10.1038/nrm4073
- Yamaguchi, D., Hu, D., Matsumoto, N., and Yamamoto, K. (2010). Human XTP3-B binds to alpha1-antitrypsin variant null(Hong Kong) via the C-terminal MRH domain in a glycan-dependent manner. *Glycobiology* 20, 348–355. doi: 10.1093/glycob/cwp182
- Yoo, J., Mashalidis, E. H., Kuk, A. C. Y., Yamamoto, K., Kaeser, B., Ichikawa, S., et al. (2018). GlcNAc-1-P-transferase-tunicamycin complex structure reveals basis for inhibition of N-glycosylation. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 25, 217–224. doi: 10.1038/s41594-018-0031-y
- Yu, S., Ito, S., Wada, I., and Hosokawa, N. (2018). ER-resident protein 46 (ERp46) triggers the mannose-trimming activity of ER degradation-enhancing

alpha-mannosidase-like protein 3 (EDEM3). J. Biol. Chem. 293, 10663–10674. doi: 10.1074/jbc.ra118.003129

- Zhang, Q., Sun, T., and Zhang, Y. (2015). ER quality control components UGGT and STT3a are required for activation of defense responses in bir1-1. *PLoS One* 10:e0120245. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0120245
- Zhou, T., Frabutt, D. A., Moremen, K. W., and Zheng, Y. H. (2015). ERManI (endoplasmic reticulum class I alpha-Mannosidase) is required for HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein degradation via endoplasmic reticulum-associated protein degradation pathway. J. Biol. Chem. 290, 22184–22192. doi: 10.1074/ jbc.m115.675207

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Zhang, Wu, Liu and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.