



Functional Analysis of *OsMED16* and *OsMED25* in Response to Biotic and Abiotic Stresses in Rice

Huijuan Zhang¹, Dewei Zheng¹, Longfei Yin¹, Fengming Song² and Ming Jiang^{1*}

¹ College of Life Science, Taizhou University, Taizhou, China, ² National Key Laboratory of Rice Biology, Institute of Biotechnology, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China

Mediator complex is a multiprotein complex that regulates RNA polymerase II-mediated transcription. Moreover, it functions in several signaling pathways, including those involved in response to biotic and abiotic stresses. We used virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) to study the functions of two genes, namely *OsMED16* and *OsMED25* in response to biotic and abiotic stresses in rice. Both genes were differentially induced by *Magnaporthe grisea* (*M. grisea*), the causative agent of blast disease, hormone treatment, and abiotic stress. We found that both BMV: OsMED16- and BMV: OsMED25-infiltrated seedlings reduced the resistance to *M. grisea* by regulating the accumulation of H₂O₂ and expression of defense-related genes. Furthermore, BMV: OsMED16-infiltrated seedlings decreased the tolerance to cold by increasing the malondialdehyde (MDA) content and reducing the expression of cold-responsive genes.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Aardra Kachroo, University of Kentucky, United States

Reviewed by:

Yingfang Zhu, Henan University, China Ajay Singh, Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR), India

*Correspondence:

Ming Jiang jiangming1973@139.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Pathogen Interactions, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 12 January 2021 Accepted: 08 March 2021 Published: 31 March 2021

Citation:

Zhang H, Zheng D, Yin L, Song F and Jiang M (2021) Functional Analysis of OsMED16 and OsMED25 in Response to Biotic and Abiotic Stresses in Rice. Front. Plant Sci. 12:652453. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.652453 Keywords: MED, resistance, M. grisea, cold, VIGS

INTRODUCTION

Mediator complex, a multiprotein complex, was first discovered in yeast as a cofactor of RNA polymerase II that participates in RNA polymerase II-mediated transcription (Conaway and Conaway, 2011). Bioinformatics analysis and wet experiments revealed it to be a conserved multiprotein complex containing approximately 20 to 30 subunits. Although the number of these subunits vary in different organisms, they all form a four-module complex consisting of the head domain, middle domain, tail domain, and a cyclin-dependent kinase domain (Bourbon, 2008). Interestingly, the mediator complex is not stationary and uses isomerism to transfer numerous signals (Conaway and Conaway, 2011). Mediator complex was first purified from plants in 2007 (Backstrom et al., 2007). The sequences of its subunits in plants are considerably different from those in other eukaryotic organisms; however, their secondary structure is similar. For example, out of the 27 subunits present in the Arabidopsis mediator complex, 21 are conserved across eukaryotic organisms, whereas the remaining six are specific to plants (Backstrom et al., 2007; Kidd et al., 2011; Mathur et al., 2011). Recently, 28 conserved MEDs were identified in Arabidopsis including HAC1 and HAC5 (Guo J. et al., 2020). The mediator complex functions in several signaling pathways involved in development, response to biotic and abiotic stresses, and cellular movement (Samanta and Thakur, 2015; Malik et al., 2017; Chong et al., 2020a,b; Crawford et al., 2020).

MED8, MED12, MED13, MED14, MED15, MED16, MED17, MED18, MED19, MED20, MED21, MED25, CDK8, and MED37 have been implicated in the development (Autran et al., 2002; Lalanne et al., 2004; Wang and Chen, 2004; Gillmor et al., 2010; Ito et al., 2011; Xu and Li, 2011;

1

Canet et al., 2012; Zheng et al., 2013; Lai et al., 2014; Hasan et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2020; Zhang and Guo, 2020; Agrawal et al., 2021). A study reported that a mutation in MED18 resulted in dwarfism, delayed formation of floral organs, late flowering, and reduced fertility (Kim et al., 2011). The underlying mechanisms of the function of MEDs in the development are being studied. MED16 regulates iron homeostasis by modulating iron uptake and gene expression and by associating with EIN3/EIL1 through the MED25 subunit (Yang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014). MED8 and MED25 affect the size of plant organs by regulating cell proliferation (Lalanne et al., 2004; Xu and Li, 2011). MED18 affects flowering time and the formation of floral organs by regulating the expression of Flowering Locus C (FLC) and AGAMOUS (AG) (Zheng et al., 2013; Lai et al., 2014).

Mediator complex subunits CDK8, MED7, MED14, MED16, MED17, MED25, and MED36a are involved in response to abiotic stresses (Knight et al., 2009; Hemsley et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2017; Ohama et al., 2021). CDK8 regulates abscisic acid (ABA) signaling and drought response in Arabidopsis by associating with RAP2.6, and SnRK2.6 (Zhu et al., 2020). MED16 regulates the response to cold stress, MED25 regulates the response to salt and drought stress (Boyce et al., 2003; Elfving et al., 2011). Furthermore, subunits MED8, MED14, MED15, MED16, MED18, MED19a, MED20, MED21, MED25, and MED36a are involved in response to biotic stress. The med8 mutants, med18 mutants, and MED21-silencing plants reduced the resistance to both Alternaria brassicicola (A. brassicicola) and Botrytis cinerea (B. cinerea) in Arabidopsis (Dhawan et al., 2009; Kidd et al., 2009; Lai et al., 2014). In addition, Arabidopsis med8 mutants increased the resistance to Fusarium oxysporum (F. oxysporum) and Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 (Pst DC3000). Arabidopsis med18 mutants increased the resistance to RNA and DNA viral infections (Kidd et al., 2009; Hussein et al., 2020). The Arabidopsis mutant med25 decreased the resistance to A. brassicicola and B. cinerea, whereas it increased the resistance to F. oxysporum (Kidd et al., 2009). The mutants med14 and med15 decreased the resistance to Pst DC3000 in Arabidopsis (Canet et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2013). In wheat, the MED15 mutant suppressed the resistance to stem rust (Hiebert et al., 2020). However, in Arabidopsis, med16 mutants displayed decreased resistance to Pst DC3000/avrRpt2, Pst DC3000, A. brassicicola, B. cinerea, and Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (S. sclerotiorum) (Wathugala et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2015). MED19a positively regulate the resistance to Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis (Caillaud et al., 2013).

Our team focus on the functions of MEDs in plants such as tomato and rice. MEDs were found to have functions in the resistance to *Botrytis cinerea* in tomato, including MED16 and MED25. The silencing of MED16 or MED25 strongly decreased the resistance to *Botrytis cinerea* in tomato. However, MED16 or MED25 do not have functions on the tolerance to cold and drought stresses in tomato. Whether MED16 or MED25 have functions in responses to biotic and abiotic stresses in rice were studied in this study. In this study, we studied the functions of two genes, *OsMED16* (*Os10 g35560*) and *OsMED25* (*Os09 g13610*) in rice. The expression of *OsMED16* and *OsMED25* was induced by pathogen inoculation, hormone treatment, and stresses. The higher susceptibility of BMV:OsMED16- and BMV:OsMED25infiltrated plants to *M. grisea*, when compared with the control, could be attributed to regulation of H_2O_2 accumulation and expression of defense-related genes. BMV: OsMED16-infiltrated plants decreased the tolerance to cold stress by regulating the MDA content and the expression of cold-responsive genes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Characterization of OsMED16 and OsMED25

Rice genome database was searched using BlastP program with *Arabidopsis* AtMED16 and AtMED25 as queries, and the predicted nucleotide and amino acid sequences for *OsMED16* and *OsMED25* were downloaded. Phylogenetic trees for tomato, *Arabidopsis*, rice, and other MEDs were constructed using

TABLE 1 | The list of primer sequences used in this study.

Primers	Sequences (5'-3')
MED16-qRT-F	CCTGCTGAAGAATGGCATAGA
MED16-qRT-R	CGATAAGTGGCGATTGGTAGAG
MED25-qRT-F	GAGAAGATCGTGCGGAGTTT
MED25-qRT-R	CGCTATAAGGACCATGGGTATG
OsActin-qRT-F	A AGCTGCGGGTATCCATGAGA
OsActin-qRT-R	GCAATGCCAGGGAACATAGTG
MED16-vigs-F	ATACCTAGG TGTCAGGAATTTCTCCGTAT
MED16-vigs-R	TATCCATGG TCACCTCCCAAACCACTA
MED25-vigs-F	ATACCTAGG ATACAATGCGGCAAAGAG
MED25-vigs-R	TATCCATGG AAATAAGGGACGGTGAGG
eEF1-qRT-F	CAACCCTGACAAGATTCCCT
eEF1-qRT-R	AGTCAAGGTTGGTGGACCTC
28s rDNA-qRT-F	TACGAGAGGAACCGCTCATTCAGATAATTA
28s rDNA-qRT-R	TCAGCAGATCGTAACGATAAAGCTACTC
<i>OsLOX1-</i> qRT-F	AAACGCTCGCTGGCATCAAC
<i>OsLOX1-</i> qRT-R	ATCGCCTCCTCCACCGTCAT
<i>OsNH1-</i> qRT-F	GCGGCGTCTCCTTGATGTCCTT
<i>OsNH1-</i> qRT-R	CGAGTTGTGGGTCCCTTCTTTC
<i>OsPR1a-</i> qRT-F	TCGTATGCTATGCTACGTGTTT
<i>OsPR1a-</i> qRT-R	CACTAAGCAAATACGGCTGACA
<i>OsPR</i> 3-qRT-F	CACATACTGCGAGCCCAA
<i>OsPR</i> 3-qRT-R	TTGTAGGTGATCTGGATGGG
OsWRKY45-qRT-F	CGGGCAGAAGGAGATCCAAAACT
<i>OsWRKY45-</i> qRT-R	GCCGATGTAGGTGACCCTGTAGC
<i>Myb-</i> qRT-F	ACGGCGGTGGGATTTCTTA
<i>Myb-</i> qRT-R	GCGATGCGAGACCACCTGTT
<i>CDPK7-</i> qRT-F	AACATGCCCGATGCTTTTCTT
<i>CDPK</i> -qRT-R	ATTGTTCTTCGTCCGACTCCC
<i>Fer1-</i> qRT-F	GGGAAAGGGAAGGAGGTGCT
<i>Fer1-</i> qRT-R	GTAGGCGAAAAGGGAGTGGT
<i>Trx23-</i> qRT-F	GTTCCCTGGTGCTGTCTTCC
Trx23-qRT-R	GCTTCACGATGGTGTTCTGG
<i>Lti6a-</i> qRT-F	CGGCGTCTTCTTCAAGTTCG
<i>Lti6a-</i> qRT-R	TGAGCAGCAAGCAGATCCAG

the Neighbor-joining method by MEGA6 program with the *p*-distance, complete deletion, and 1000 bootstraps.

Plant Growth Conditions and Different Treatments

Yuanfengzao, a pair of isogenic lines (H8R and H8S), and IR64 were used for different analyses. For hormone treatment, 1.5 mM SA (salicylic acid, pH 6.5), 100 μ M JA (jasmonic acid), 100 μ M ACC (1-amino cyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid), or 100 μ M ABA was sprayed on the leaves of 4-week-old Yuanfengzao seedlings. The same volume of water or 0.1% ethanol was used as control. Three-week-old plants were placed at 42°C for expression analysis of response to heat and at 4°C for cold. For drought treatment, the hydroponic 3-week-old plants were placed on the floor of the frame after the water was dried by filter paper. For NaCl stress, the hydroponic 3-week-old plants were treated with 200 mM NaCl solution. Samples were collected at specific time points for gene expression. Roots, flowers, stems, coats, leaves, sheaths, and ligules were collected for gene expression analysis in different tissues.

To infect the plants with *M. grisea* (strain 85–14B1, race ZB1), H8R and H8S lines were sprayed with spore solution as described earlier (Luo et al., 2005). IR64 was used for virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) assays. A month later, silenced plants were used for different analyses. In every experiment, the samples were collected at indicated time points and stored at -80° C until use. All plants were kept in a room at 26° C, with a cycle of 14 h light (>3000 lux)/10 h dark, except those for VIGS assay, which were kept at 24° C, with a cycle of 14 h light (>3000 lux)/10 h dark.

Vector Construction and VIGS Infiltration

PCR fragments (200–400) (sequences were showed in **Supplementary Material**) were digested by *AvrII* and *NcoI*, then ligated to modified BMV vector. The recombinant plasmids confirmed by sequencing were electroporated into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain C58C1; the agrobacteria were used for VIGS infiltration as described earlier (Sun et al., 2013). Briefly, agrobacteria carrying recombinant plasmids were cultured in liquid YEP medium with antibiotics at 28°C in a shaker incubator overnight. The cells were collected by centrifugation and resuspended in an induction buffer.



Next, the solution was kept at room temperature for 5 h, centrifuged, resuspended in infiltration solution, and kept at room temperature. Infiltration was stopped until the OD value reached 2.0. It was subsequently mixed with the same volume of *Agrobacterium* harboring pC13/F1 + 2 before vacuum infiltration. The aerial parts of 10-day-old IR64 seedlings were mixed with *Agrobacterium* suspension for 7 min at 20 Kpa. The obtained plants were recorded as BMV:OsMED16-infiltrated plants and BMV:OsMED25-infiltrated plants. A group of rice seedlings were infiltrated with agrobacteria harboring a construct of BMV:OsPDS (*Phytoene desaturase*) and used as positive controls for silencing evaluation of the VIGS procedure (data showed in **Supplementary Material**). Plants transfected with empty vector were used as controls, which were recorded as BMV:00-infiltrated plants.

qRT-PCR Analysis of Gene Expression

RNA was extracted with TRIzol (Invitrogen, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. First-strand cDNA

was synthesized by AMV reverse transcriptase (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). The qPCR was performed in a CFX96 real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, United States) with a reaction volume of 25 μ L containing 12.5 μ L 2 × SYBR Premix Ex TaqTM (TaKaRa, Dalian, China), 0.1 μ g of cDNA, and 7.5 pmol of each gene-specific primer (see **Table 1**).

Fungal Culture and Disease Assay

Magnaporthe grisea was cultivated on oatmeal agar for 15 days until spores covered the whole surface. Next, the spores were washed to prepare a solution. For detached leaf analysis, the completely expanded leaves were obtained from 4-week-old plants and placed on a wet filter paper. Next, 5 μ L of spore suspension was dropped on the surface of leaves. For wholeplant analysis, the plants were sprayed with the spore solution. Afterward, the tray was covered with a preservative film and kept in the room used for silencing plant growth. The images were acquired 7 days later, the lesion size was recorded, and the fungal growth was measured.





Cold Stress Assay and Physiological and Biochemical Measurements

For cold stress assay, 4-week-old BMV:OsMED16-infiltrated plants and BMV:00-infiltrated plants (control) were grown in the same pot at 4°C for 1 day, then transferred to normal conditions for recovery. Plants with more than 20% green leaves were considered to have survived, and the others were considered dead. The survival rate was calculated as the percentage of survival among total plants. The relative electrolyte leakage, chlorophyll content, malondialdehyde (MDA) content, H_2O_2 content, SOD activity, and CAT activity were measured as described previously (Lichtenthaler, 1987; Mittova et al., 2000;

Alexieva et al., 2011; Song et al., 2011). In situ detection of H_2O_2 in leaf tissues was performed by DAB staining (Thordal-Christensen et al., 1997).

RESULTS

Characterization of OsMED16 and OsMED25 in Rice

By BlastP searches against the rice genome database using the characterized *Arabidopsis* AtMED16 and AtMED25 as queries, OsMED16 and OsMED25 were obtained. Phylogenetic tree





analysis revealed that OsMED16 and OsMED25 showed more than 40% of sequence identity to *Arabidopsis* AtMED16 and AtMED25 which were already reported to have functions in response to biotic and abiotic stresses (**Figure 1**).

The Expression of *OsMED16* and *OsMED25* Was Induced by Different Stimuli

To study if *OsMED16* or *OsMED25* contributed to disease resistance, we first analyzed the responsiveness of these two genes in rice after pathogen infection and treatment with defense-related signal molecules. As shown in **Figure 2A**, the expression of *OsMED16* and *OsMED25* increased significantly in incompatible interaction but was different from each other. The expression of *OsMED16* was the highest in the incompatible interaction after 24 h, whereas that of *OsMED25* was highest in the incompatible interaction after 48 h. In the treatment of ACC, JA, and SA, *OsMED16* and *OsMED25* were induced significantly by all three signal molecules (**Figure 2B**).

MED16 and MED25 were reported to differentially regulate ABA signaling in Arabidopsis (Guo P. C. et al., 2020). So we tested whether the expression levels of OsMED16 or OsMED25 were induced by ABA treatment in rice. As shown in Figure 2C, after treatment with ABA, the expression of OsMED16 increased dramatically, whereas that of OsMED25 showed no significant difference as compared with the control. To study whether OsMED16 or OsMED25 responded to abiotic stresses, we analyzed the responsiveness of these two genes in rice under abiotic stresses. In the drought and heat stresses, the expression of OsMED16 and OsMED25 showed no significant difference as compared with the control (Figures 3A,B). In cold stress, the expression of OsMED16 was induced dramatically, whereas that of OsMED25 showed no significant difference as compared with the control (Figure 3C). In salt stress, the expression of OsMED25 decreased slightly, whereas OsMED16 showed no significant difference when compared with the control (Figure 3D).

In addition, we analyzed the expression patterns of these genes in various tissues of rice seedlings. Both genes were expressed in all tissues assessed to varying levels in different tissues. *OsMED16* was highly expressed in roots, stems, leaves, and ligules; however, the expression was low in flowers, sheaths, and coats (**Figure 4A**). Moreover, *OsMED25* was highly expressed in the stems, leaves, sheaths, and ligules; however, the expression was low in roots, flowers, and coats (**Figure 4B**).

OsMED16 and *OsMED25* Silencing Did Not Affect Growth and Development

To better understand the biological functions of *OsMED16* and *OsMED25*, we generated BMV:OsMED16- and BMV:OsMED25infiltrated seedlings by VIGS. The silencing efficiency of the target gene was assessed by qRT-PCR. As shown in **Figure 5B**, the silencing efficiency for these two genes was approximately 60%. The silenced seedlings were selected for further experiments. The BMV:OsMED16- and BMV:OsMED25-infiltrated seedlings grew and developed normally during our observation as compared with BMV:00-infiltrated plants (**Figures 5A,C**). We believe that



FIGURE 4 | The expression patterns of *OsMED16* and *OsMED25* genes in different tissues. (A) The expression patter of *OsMED16* in different tissues. (B) The expression patter of *OsMED25* in different tissues. Roots, flowers, stems, coats, leaves, sheaths, and ligules were collected to analyze the gene expression by qRT-PCR. Expression data were normalized against *OsActin* gene, and the relative expression is shown as fold expression of *OsActin*. Data are presented as means \pm SD from three independent experiments.

OsMED16 or *OsMED25* silencing did not affect the growth and development of rice seedlings.

OsMED16 and OsMED25 Silencing Decreased the Resistance to M. grisea

Magnaporthe grisea was inoculated into the detached leaves and whole plants following the above-described method. The detached leaf assay revealed that the lesions on the leaves of BMV:OsMED16- and BMV:OsMED25-infiltrated plants were considerably larger than those on BMV:00-infiltrated plants (**Figures 6A,B**). The whole plant analysis showed similar results. The disease phenotype of BMV:OsMED16- or BMV:OsMED25infiltrated plants was severe than that of BMV:00-infiltrated plants (**Figure 6C**) with more fungal growth (**Figure 6D**). This implied that compared with BMV:00-infiltrated plants, BMV:OsMED16- and BMV:OsMED25-infiltrated plants increased the susceptibility to *M. grisea*.

To explore the mechanism for reduced resistance to *M. grisea* following *OsMED16* and *OsMED25* silencing, H_2O_2 content and the expression patterns of defense-related genes were analyzed. First, H_2O_2 condition was analyzed. DAB staining results showed that BMV:OsMED16- and BMV:OsMED25-infiltrated



were infiltrated with agrobacteria carrying BMV: OsMED16-, BMV:OsMED25 or BMV:00 constructs and leaf samples were collected at 4 weeks after agroinfiltration. Transcript levels for *OsMED16* and *OsMED25* were analyzed by qRT-PCR using a rice *OsActin* gene as an internal control. **(C)** Heights of BMV: OsMED16-, BMV: OsMED25-, and BMV:00-infiltrated plants. *Above the columns indicate significant differences at p < 0.05 between the silencing plants and the control.

plants accumulated more H_2O_2 than BMV:00-infiltrated plants following the inoculation with *M. grisea* (**Figure 7A**). However, there were no significant differences in H_2O_2 accumulation in BMV: OsMED16-, BMV: OsMED25-, and BMV:00-infiltrated plants without the inoculation of *M. grisea*. This finding was further confirmed by quantification of H_2O_2 concentrations (**Figure 7B**). The SOD activity in BMV:OsMED16- and BMV:OsMED25-infiltrated plants was higher than that in BMV:00-infiltrated plants after the inoculation of *M. grisea*, whereas the CAT activity was lower (**Figures 7C,D**).

Next, the expression of defense-related genes was analyzed. There existed no significant difference in the expression of *OsLOX1*, *OsNH1*, *OsPR1a*, *OsPR3*, and *OsWRKY45* between BMV:target genes- and BMV:00-infiltrated plants before the inoculation of *M. grisea*. Three days after the inoculation of *M. grisea*, the expression levels of *OsNH1* and *OsPR1a* in BMV:OsMED16-infiltrated plants increased significantly, whereas that of *OsLOX1*, *OsPR3*, and *OsWRKY45* decreased when compared BMV:00-infiltrated plants (Figure 8A). After the inoculation of *M. grisea*, the expression of *OsLOX1*, *OsPR3*, and *OsUCX1*, *OsPR3*, *OsPR3*, *OsUCX1*, *OsUCX1*,

and *OsWRKY45* decreased in BMV:OsMED25-infiltrated plants, whereas that of *OsNH1* and *OsPR1a* remained unchanged when compared with that in BMV:00-infiltrated plants (**Figure 8B**).

BMV:OsMED16-Infiltrated Plants Showed Decreased Tolerance to Cold

To study whether *OsMED16* or *OsMED25* contributed to the response to cold stress, the tolerance of BMV:OsMED16- and BMV:OsMED25-infiltrated plants to cold was assessed. The 4-week-old BMV:target genes- and BMV:00-infiltrated plants in the same pot were placed in the incubator at 4°C with a 14 h/10 h light/dark cycle. After 24 h, the plants were transferred to normal growth conditions for recovery. As shown in **Figure 9A**, less number of BMV:OsMED16-infiltrated plants were recovered from cold stress when compared with BMV:00-infiltrated plants. The survival rate of BMV:OsMED16-infiltrated plants was approximately 25% of BMV:00-infiltrated plants (**Figure 9B**).

To find out the possible mechanism of *OsMED16* silencing resulting in a decreased tolerance to cold, the MDA content,



relative electrolyte leakage, chlorophyll content, and the expression of cold-responsive genes in BMV:OsMED16- and BMV:00-infiltrated plants under unstressed or cold stress were analyzed. The MDA content and relative electrolyte leakage in BMV:OsMED16-infiltrated plants increased dramatically after cold stress when compared with BMV:00-infiltrated plants, whereas there existed no significant difference under unstressed conditions (Figures 9C,D). The chlorophyll content in BMV:OsMED16-infiltrated plants decreased dramatically after cold stress when compared with BMV:00-infiltrated plants (Figure 9E). Finally, the expression of cold-responsive genes was measured in BMV:OsMED16- and BMV:00-infiltrated plants. As shown in Figure 9F, the expression of Myb, CDPK7, Fer1, Trx23, and Lti6a decreased dramatically in BMV:OsMED16infiltrated plants when compared with BMV:00-infiltrated plants under cold stress.

DISCUSSION

The plant mediator complex was first purified from *Arabidopsis* in 2007 (Backstrom et al., 2007). Since then, several studies have been conducted on its functions in plants. The complex has been implicated in several processes, such as development,

abiotic and biotic stress responses, and many other cellular activities, including on-encoding RNA functions, regulation of DNA and protein stability, and secondary metabolism (Kidd et al., 2011; Kim and Chen, 2011; Kim et al., 2011; Bonawitz et al., 2014; Gillmor et al., 2014; Hemsley et al., 2014; Raya-González et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014; Li et al., 2015). The majority of the work has been performed in *Arabidopsis*, with only a few studies in rice. In our present study, the functions of *OsMED16* and *OsMED25* were studied in rice.

The expression of the mediator complex is induced by pathogen inoculation and exposure to stresses (Hussein et al., 2020; Nath et al., 2020). For instance, the transcription of ScMED7 is induced by heavy metals (CdCl₂), low temperatures (4° C), and hormones (SA and MeJA), whereas it is inhibited by osmotic stresses by NaCl and PEG (Zhang et al., 2017). The expression of MED16 is induced by *Pseudomonas syringae*, ultraviolet-C (UV-C) irradiation, SA, and JA (Wathugala et al., 2012). In our study, the expression of *OsMED16* and *OsMED25* was induced by the inoculation of rice plants with *M. grisea*, hormone treatment, and abiotic stresses (**Figures 2, 3**). The results showed different expression patterns implying varying functions in response to biotic and abiotic stresses. The expression of *OsMED14* was high in roots,



leaves, anthers, and seeds at younger stages (Malik et al., 2020). Although ScMED7 is constitutively expressed, the expression is significantly higher in bud tissues. Furthermore, in our study, *OsMED16* and *OsMED25* were constitutively expressed in all tissues we studied, however, with different patterns (**Figure 4**).

The mediator complex was reported to be involved in development. MED25 exerts effects on organ size, root hair differentiation, and root system architecture (Xu and Li, 2011; Sundaravelpandian et al., 2013a,b; Raya-González et al., 2014). However, no significant difference was found between the root of BMV:OsMED25-infiltrated plants and those of BMV:00-infiltrated plants (data not shown). The mutation in MED17, MED18, or MED20 resulted in dwarfism, delayed flowering, and reduced fertility (Kim et al., 2011). However, we did not observe any significant difference in growth and development between BMV:target gene- and BMV:00-infiltrated plants (**Figure 5**). We speculated that OsMED16 and OsMED25 did not function in the vegetative stage. Whether OsMED16 and

OsMED25 have a function in the reproductive stage needs to be further studied.

The mediator complex also functions in plant immunity (Canet et al., 2012; Cevik et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2012; Caillaud et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013). For example, MED16 mutations in Arabidopsis decreased the resistance to Pst DC3000/avrRpt2, Pst DC3000, A. brassicicola, and B. cinerea. MED16 could be a positive regulator of SAR, regulating the responsive capability of SA and the basal resistance (Wathugala et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012). The med25 mutants decreased the resistance to A. brassicicola and B. cinerea and increased the resistance to F. oxysporum (Kidd et al., 2009). OsMED16 and OsMED25 showed sequence similarity to AtMED16 and AtMED25, respectively (Figure 1). Therefore, we studied whether OsMED16 and OsMED25 functioned in plant immunity in rice and our results indicated that the silencing of OsMED16 and OsMED25 reduced the resistance to M. grisea, produced larger lesions, and increased fungal growth (Figure 6).



The relationship between plant immunity and ROS generation is well described. The ROS accumulation is beneficial for pathogen infection. The function of H₂O₂, a kind of ROS, in disease resistance, has gained extensive attention. H₂O₂ accumulation was found in several plant-pathogen systems. We first analyzed H_2O_2 conditions to explore the mechanism of reduced resistance caused by the silencing of OsMED16 and OsMED25. As shown in Figure 7A, BMV:OsMED16- and BMV:OsMED25-infiltrated plants accumulated more H2O2 than BMV:00-infiltrated plants following M. grisea inoculation, which was confirmed by H_2O_2 quantification (Figure 7B). The SOD and CAT activities were analyzed to explain the reason for elevated H_2O_2 levels. SOD catalyzes superoxide anions to H_2O_2 and O_2 , and CAT catalyzes H₂O₂ to H₂O and O₂. Higher SOD activity in BMV:OsMED16- and BMV:OsMED25-infiltrated plants implied more H₂O₂ production, whereas a lower CAT activity in BMV:OsMED16- and BMV:OsMED25-infiltrated plants meant less H_2O_2 consumption (Figures 7C,D). This could explain the high H₂O₂ content in BMV:OsMED16- and BMV:OsMED25infiltrated plants.

Next, the expression of defense-related genes in BMV: OsMED16-, BMV: OsMED25-, and BMV:00-infiltrated plants

before and after M. grisea inoculation was analyzed to explore the mechanism of decreased resistance caused by the silencing of OsMED16 and OsMED25. The expression of both OsNH1 and OsPR1a increased dramatically in BMV:OsMED16infiltrated plants after the infection of M. grisea when compared with BMV:00-infiltrated plants, whereas the expression of OsLOX1, OsPR3, and OsWRKY45 decreased (Figure 8A). This result indicated that the expression of JA-responsive genes decreased, whereas SA-responsive genes increased in BMV:OsMED16-infiltrated plants after the infection of M. grisea. And OswWRKY45 is a positive regulator of resistance to fungal pathogens. As reported previously, MED25 is a positive regulator of the expression of JA-responsive genes. The expression of several defense genes, especially JA-responsive genes, was decreased dramatically in med25 mutants, whereas that of SAresponsive genes showed no dramatic difference. The mutants showed no difference in the resistance to PstDC3000 and SAR induced by organisms compared with the control (Kidd et al., 2009). In our study, the expression of JA-responsive genes (such as OsLOX1 and OsPR3) decreased, whereas that of SA-responsive genes (such as OsNH1 and OsPR1a) remained unchanged in BMV:OsMED25-infiltrated plants after the infection of M. grisea when compared with BMV:00-infiltrated plants (Figure 8B).

MED25 that interacts with DREB2A, ZFHD1, and Myb transcription factor regulates the response to abiotic stresses. Its mutants were more sensitive to salt stress with reduced resistance to drought (Elfving et al., 2011). However, BMV:OsMED25-infiltrated seedlings showed no difference from BMV:00-infiltrated seedlings in response to abiotic stresses. MED16, along with MED2 and MED14, regulates cold stress and its mutants decreased the resistance to cold and osmotic stress (Boyce et al., 2003; Knight et al., 2009; Hemsley et al., 2014). Moreover, we observed that the BMV:OsMED16-infiltrated plants displayed reduced resistance to cold when compared with the control (**Figures 9A,B**).

Under abiotic stresses, plants produce excessive radicals causing peroxidation injury, metabolic disorders, and antioxidant imbalance. Plants have evolved enzymatic and non-enzymatic systems to scavenge ROS and maintain the balance between ROS generation and ROS scavenging ability. Reduced activity of scavenging enzymes increases ROS species, including peroxides and MDA. MDA content is often considered as a physiological index for the degree of cell membrane damage and lipid peroxidation. High levels of MDA are toxic to plant cells (You and Chan, 2015). Furthermore, relative electrolyte leakage is an important index to judge the extent of damage after abiotic stresses. The extent of damage to the plants increases with the severity of plant stresses. Chlorophyll content partly implies the growth condition of plants. In contrast, the expression of cold-responsive genes was one of the contributing factors to cold tolerance. Therefore, the MDA content, relative electrolyte leakage, chlorophyll content, and the expression of cold-responsive genes were analyzed to explore the mechanism of decreased tolerance to cold stress caused by OsMED16 silencing. In our study, the MDA content and relative electrolyte leakage in BMV:OsMED16infiltrated seedlings increased notably when compared with



differences at p < 0.05 between the silencing plants and the control.

the BMV:00-infiltrated seedlings, whereas the chlorophyll content decreased (Figures 9C-E). The expression of cold-responsive genes downregulated in BMV:OsMED16-infiltrated seedlings when compared with BMV:00-infiltrated seedlings (Figure 9F). These results showed that BMV:OsMED16-infiltrated seedlings reduced the tolerance to cold stress through increased MDA content and decreased expression of cold-responsive genes.

Altogether, OsMED16 and OsMED25 contribute to the response to biotic stresses, probably by regulating the H_2O_2 content and the expression of defense-related genes. BMV:OsMED16-infiltrated plants displayed decreased tolerance to cold stress, probably by regulating MAD content and the expression of cold-responsive genes.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

HZ, DZ, LY, MJ, and FS carried out most of the experiments. MJ and HZ designed the experiments and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Taizhou Municipal Science and Technology Project (20ny18), Science Foundation for Distinguished Young Scholars of Taizhou University (2019JQ001), and Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (LY19C150004).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2021. 652453/full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

- Agrawal, R., Jiri, F., and Thakur, J. K. (2021). The kinase module of the Mediator complex: an important signalling processor for the development and survival of plants. J. Exp. Bot. 72, 224–240. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eraa439
- Alexieva, V., Sergiev, I., Mapelli, S., and Karanov, E. (2011). The effect of drought and ultraviolet radiation on growth and stress markers in pea and wheat. *Plant Cell Environ.* 24, 1337–1344. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-3040.2001. 00778.x
- Autran, D., Jonak, C., Belcram, K., Beemster, G. T., Kronenberger, J., Grandjean, O., et al. (2002). Cell numbers and leaf development in *Arabidopsis*: a functional analysis of the *STRUWWELPETER* gene. *EMBO J.* 21, 6036–6049.
- Backstrom, S., Elfving, N., Nilsson, R., Wingsle, G., and Bjorklund, S. (2007). Purification of a plant Mediator from *Arabidopsis thaliana* identifies PFT1 as the Med25 subunit. *Mol. Cell* 26, 717–729. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2007.05.007
- Bonawitz, N. D., Kim, J. I., Tobimatsu, Y., Ciesielski, P. N., Anderson, N. A., Ximenes, E., et al. (2014). Disruption of Mediator rescues the stunted growth of a lignin-deficient *Arabidopsis* mutant. *Nature* 509, 376–380. doi: 10.1038/ nature13084
- Bourbon, H. M. (2008). Comparative genomics supports a deep evolutionary origin for the large, four-module transcriptional mediator complex. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 36, 3993–4008. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn349
- Boyce, J. M., Knight, H., Deyholos, M., Openshaw, M. R., Galbraith, D. W., Warren, G., et al. (2003). The sfr6 mutant of Arabidopsis is defective in transcriptional activation via CBF/DREB1 and DREB2 and shows sensitivity to osmotic stress. *Plant J.* 34, 395–406.
- Caillaud, M. C., Asai, S., Rallapalli, G., Piquerez, S., Fabro, G., and Jones, J. D. (2013). A downy mildew effector attenuates salicylic acid-triggered immunity in *Arabidopsis* by interacting with the host mediator complex. *PLoS Biol.* 11:e1001732. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001732
- Canet, J. V., Dobon, A., and Tornero, P. (2012). Non-recognition-of-BTH4, an *Arabidopsis* mediator subunit homolog, is necessary for development and response to salicylic acid. *Plant Cell* 24, 4220–4235.
- Cevik, V., Kidd, B. N., Zhang, P., Hill, C., Kiddle, S., Denby, K. J., et al. (2012). MEDIATOR25 acts as an integrative hub for the regulation of jasmonateresponsive gene expression in *Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol.* 160, 541–555. doi: 10.1104/pp.112.202697
- Chen, R., Jiang, H., Li, L., Zhai, Q., Qi, L., Zhou, W., et al. (2012). The Arabidopsis mediator subunit MED25 differentially regulates jasmonate and abscisic acid signaling through interacting with the MYC2 and ABI5 transcription factors. *Plant Cell* 24, 2898–2916.
- Chong, L., Guo, P. C., and Zhu, Y. F. (2020a). Mediator complex: a pivotal regulator of ABA signaling pathway and abiotic stress response in plants. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21:7755. doi: 10.3390/ijms21207755
- Chong, L., Shi, X. N., and Zhu, Y. F. (2020b). Signal integration by cyclindependent kinase 8 (CDK8) module and other mediator subunits in biotic and abiotic stress responses. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22:354. doi: 10.3390/ijms22010354
- Conaway, R. C., and Conaway, J. W. (2011). Function and regulation of the mediator complex. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 21, 225–230. doi: 10.1016/j.gde. 2011.01.013
- Crawford, T., Karamat, F., Lehotai, N., Rentoft, M., Blomberg, J., Strand, A., et al. (2020). Specific functions for Mediator complex subunits from different modules in the transcriptional response of *Arabidopsis thaliana* to abiotic stress. *Sci. Rep.* 10:5073. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-61758-w
- Dhawan, R., Luo, H., Foerster, A. M., Abuqamar, S., Du, H. N., Briggs, S. D., et al. (2009). HISTONE MONOUBIQUITINATION1 interacts with a subunit of the mediator complex andregulates defense against necrotrophic fungal pathogens in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 21, 1000–1019. doi: 10.2307/405 37446
- Elfving, N., Davoine, C., Benlloch, R., Blomberg, J., Brannstrom, K., Muller, D., et al. (2011). The Arabidopsis thaliana Med25 mediator subunit integrates environmental cues to control plant development. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 108, 8245–8250. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1002981108
- Gillmor, C. S., Park, M. Y., Smith, M. R., Pepitone, R., Kerstetter, R. A., and Poethig, R. S. (2010). The MED12-MED13 module of mediator regulates the timing of embryo patterning in *Arabidopsis. Development* 137, 113–122. doi: 10.1242/dev.043174

- Gillmor, C. S., Silva-Ortega, C. O., Willmann, M. R., Buendía-Monreal, M., and Poethig, R. S. (2014). The *Arabidopsis* Mediator CDK8 module genes CCT (MED12) and GCT (MED13) are global regulators of developmental phase transitions. *Development* 141, 4580–4589. doi: 10.1242/dev.111229
- Guo, J., Wei, L., Chen, S. S., Cai, X. W., Su, Y. N., Li, L., et al. (2020). The CBP/p300 histone acetyltransferases function as plant-specific MEDIATOR subunits in *Arabidopsis. J. Integr. Plant Biol.* doi: 10.1111/jipb.13052 Online ahead of print.
- Guo, P. C., Chong, L., Wu, F. M., Hsu, C. C., Li, C. Y., Zhu, J. K., et al. (2020). Mediator tail module subunits MED16 and MED25 differentially regulate abscisic acid signaling in *Arabidopsis. J. Integr. Plant Biol.* doi: 10.1111/jipb. 13062 Online ahead of print.
- Hasan, A., Schoor, J., Hecht, V., and Weller, L. W. (2020). The CYCLIN-DEPENDENT KINASE module of the mediator complex promotes flowering and reproductive development in pea. *Plant Physiol.* 182, 1375–1386. doi: 10. 1104/pp.19.01173
- Hemsley, P. A., Hurst, C. H., Kaliyadasa, E., Lamb, R., Knight, M. R., De Cothi, E. A., et al. (2014). The *Arabidopsis* mediator complex subunits MED16, MED14, and MED2 regulate mediator and RNA polymerase II recruitment to CBF-responsive cold-regulated genes. *Plant Cell* 26, 465–484. doi: 10.1105/tpc. 113.117796
- Hiebert, C. W., Moscou, M. J., Hewitt, T., Steuernagel, B., Hernández-Pinzón, I., Green, P., et al. (2020). Stem rust resistance in wheat is suppressed by a subunit of the mediator complex. *Nat. Commun.* 11:1123. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-14937-2
- Hussein, N. K., Sabr, L. J., Lobo, E., Booth, J., Ariens, E., Detchanamurthy, S., et al. (2020). Suppression of *Arabidopsis* Mediator subunit-encoding *MED18* confers broad resistance against DNA and RNA viruses while *MED25* is required for virus defense. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11:162.
- Ito, J., Sono, T., Tasaka, M., and Furutani, M. (2011). MACCHI-BOU 2 is required for early embryo patterning and cotyledon organogenesisin *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 52, 539–552. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcr013
- Kidd, B. N., Cahill, D. M., Manners, J. M., Schenk, P. M., and Kazan, K. (2011). Diverse roles of the mediator complex in plants. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 22, 741–748. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2011.07.012
- Kidd, B. N., Edgar, C. I., Kumar, K. K., Aitken, E. A., Schenk, P. M., Manners, J. M., et al. (2009). The mediator complex subunit PFT1 is a key regulator of jasmonate-dependent defense in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell* 21, 2237–2252. doi: 10.1105/tpc.109.066910
- Kim, Y. J., and Chen, X. (2011). The plant Mediator and its role in noncoding RNA production. Front. Biol. 6:125. doi: 10.1007/s11515-011-1133-7
- Kim, Y. J., Zheng, B., Yu, Y., Won, S. Y., Mo, B., and Chen, X. (2011). The role of Mediator in small and long noncoding RNA production in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *EMBO J.* 30, 814–822. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2011.3
- Knight, H., Mugford, S. G., Ulker, B., Gao, D., Thorlby, G., and Knight, M. R. (2009). Identification of SFR6, a key component in cold acclimation acting post-translationally on CBF function. *Plant J.* 58, 97–108.
- Lai, Z., Schluttenhofer, C. M., Bhide, K., Shreve, J., Thimmapuram, J., Lee, S. Y., et al. (2014). MED18 interaction with distinct transcription factors regulates multiple plant functions. *Nat. Commun.* 5:3064. doi: 10.1038/ncomms4064
- Lalanne, E., Michaelidis, C., Moore, J. M., Gagliano, W., Johnson, A., Patel, R., et al. (2004). Analysis of transposon insertion mutants highlights the diversity of mechanisms underlying male progamic development in *Arabidopsis. Genetics* 167, 1975–1986. doi: 10.1534/genetics.104.030270
- Li, W., Yoshida, A., Takahashi, M., Maekawa, M., Kojima, M., Sakakibara, H., et al. (2015). SAD1, an RNA polymerase I subunit A34.5 of rice, interacts with Mediator and controls various aspects of plant development. *Plant J.* 81, 282–291. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12725
- Lichtenthaler, H. K. (1987). Chlorophylls and carotenoids: pigments of photosynthetic biomembranes. *Method Enzymol.* 18, 350–382. doi: 10.1016/ 0076-6879(87)48036-1
- Luo, H. L., Song, F. M., and Zheng, Z. (2005). Overexpression in transgenic tobacco reveals different roles for the rice homeodomain gene OsBIHD1 in biotic and abiotic stress responses. J. Exp. Bot. 56, 2673–2682. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ eri260
- Malik, N., Agarwal, P., and Tyagi, A. (2017). Emerging functions of multi-protein complex Mediator with special emphasis on plants. *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 52, 475–502.

- Malik, N., Ranjan, R., Parida, S. K., Agarwal, P., and Tyagi, A. K. (2020). Mediator subunit OsMED14-1 plays an important role in rice development. *Plant J.* 101, 1411–1429. doi: 10.1111/tpi.14605
- Mathur, S., Vyas, S., Kapoor, S., and Tyagi, A. K. (2011). The Mediator complex in plants: structure, phylogeny, and expression profiling of representative genes in a dicot (*Arabidopsis*) and a monocot (rice) during reproduction and abiotic stress. *Plant Physiol.* 157, 1609–1627. doi: 10.1104/pp.111.188300
- Mittova, V., Volokita, M., Guy, M., and Tal, M. (2000). Activities of SOD and the ascorbateglutathione cycle enzymes in subcellular compartments in leaves and roots of the cultivated tomato and its wild salt-tolerant relative *Lycopersicon pennellii*. *Plant Physiol*. 110, 42–51.
- Nath, V. S., Shrestha, A., Awasthi, P., Mishra, A. K., Kocabek, T., Matousek, J., et al. (2020). Mapping the gene expression spectrum of mediator subunits in response to viroid infection in plants. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21:2498. doi: 10.3390/ ijms21072498
- Ohama, N., Moo, T. L., and Chua, N. H. (2021). Differential requirement of MED14/17 recruitment for activation of heat inducible genes. *New Phytol.* 229, 3360–3376. doi: 10.1111/nph.17119
- Raya-González, J., Ortiz-Castro, R., Ruíz-Herrera, L. F., Kazan, K., and López-Bucio, J. (2014). PHYTOCHROME AND FLOWERING TIME1/MEDIATOR25 regulates lateral root formation via auxin signaling in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 165, 880–894. doi: 10.1104/pp.114. 239806
- Samanta, S., and Thakur, J. K. (2015). Importance of Mediator complex in the regulation and integration of diverse signaling pathways in plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6:757. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00757
- Song, S. Y., Chen, Y., Chen, J., Dai, X. Y., and Zhang, W. H. (2011). Physiological mechanisms underlying OsNAC5-dependent tolerance of rice plants to abiotic stress. *Planta* 234, 331–345. doi: 10.1007/s00425-011-1403-2
- Sun, L. J., Zhang, H. J., Li, D. Y., Huang, H., Hong, H. Y., Ding, X. S., et al. (2013). Functions of rice NAC transcriptional factors, ONAC122 and ONAC131, in defense responses against *Magnaporthe grisea*. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 81, 41–56. doi: 10.1186/s12870-016-0897-y
- Sun, W. J., Han, H. Y., Deng, L., Sun, C. L., Xu, Y. R., Lin, L. H., et al. (2020). Mediator subunit MED25 physically interacts with PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR4 to regulate shade-induced hypocotyl elongation in tomato. *Plant Physiol.* 184, 1549–1562. doi: 10.1104/pp.20.00587
- Sundaravelpandian, K., Chandrika, N. N., and Schmidt, W. (2013a). PFT1, a transcriptional Mediator complex subunit, controls root hair differentiation through reactive oxygen species (ROS) distribution in *Arabidopsis. New Phytol.* 197, 151–161. doi: 10.1111/nph.12000
- Sundaravelpandian, K., Chandrika, N., Tsai, Y. H., and Schmidt, W. (2013b). PFT1controlled ROS balance is critical for multiple stages of root hair development in *Arabidopsis. Plant Signal. Behav.* 8:e24066.
- Thordal-Christensen, H., Zhang, Z., Wei, Y., and Collinge, D. B. (1997). Subcellular localization of H₂O₂ in plants. H₂O₂ accumulation in papillae and hypersensitive response during the barley-powdery mildew interaction. *Plant J.* 11, 1187–1194. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.1997.11061187.x
- Wang, C. G., Yao, J., Du, X. Z., Zhang, Y. P., Sun, Y. J., Rollins, J. A., et al. (2015). The Arabidopsis Mediator complex Subunit16 is a key component of basal resistance against the necrotrophic fungal pathogen Sclerotinia sclerotiorum. Plant Physiol. 169, 856–872.

- Wang, W., and Chen, X. (2004). HUA ENHANCER3 reveals a role for a cyclindependent protein kinase in the specification of floral organ identity in *Arabidopsis. Development* 131, 3147–3156. doi: 10.1104/pp.15.00351
- Wathugala, D. L., Hemsley, P. A., Moffat, C. S., Cremelie, P., Knight, M. R., and Knight, H. (2012). The Mediator subunit SFR6/MED16 controls defence gene expression mediated by salicylic acid and jasmonate responsive pathways. *New Phytol.* 195, 217–230. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04138.x
- Xu, R., and Li, Y. (2011). Control of final organ size by Mediator complex subunit 25 in Arabidopsis thaliana. Development 138, 4545–4554. doi: 10.1242/dev. 071423
- Yang, Y., Ou, B., Zhang, J., Si, W., Gu, H., Qin, G., et al. (2014). Arabidopsis Mediator subunit MED16 regulates iron homeostasis by associating with EIN3/EIL1 through subunit MED25. Plant J. 77, 838–851. doi: 10.1111/tpj. 12440
- You, J., and Chan, Z. (2015). ROS regulation during abiotic stress responses in crop plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6:1092. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.01092
- Zhang, L. J., and Guo, C. K. (2020). The important function of mediator complex in controlling the developmental transitions in plants. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21:2733. doi: 10.3390/ijms21082733
- Zhang, X., Wang, C., Zhang, Y., Sun, Y., and Mou, Z. (2012). The Arabidopsis mediator complex subunit16 positively regulates salicylate-mediated systemic acquired resistance and jasmonate/ethylene-induced defense pathways. *Plant Cell* 24, 4294–4309. doi: 10.1105/tpc.112.103317
- Zhang, X., Yang, Y. T., Zou, J. K., Chen, Y., Wu, Q. B., Guo, J. L., et al. (2017). ScMED7, a sugarcane mediator subunit gene, acts as a regulator of plant immunity and is responsive to diverse stress and hormone treatments. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 292, 1363–1375. doi: 10.1007/s00438-017-1352-y
- Zhang, X., Yao, J., Zhang, Y., Sun, Y., and Mou, Z. (2013). The Arabidopsis Mediator complex subunits MED14/SWP and MED16/SFR6/IEN1 differentially regulate defense gene expression in plant immune responses. *Plant J.* 75, 484–497. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12216
- Zhang, Y., Wu, H., Wang, N., Fan, H., Chen, C., Cui, Y., et al. (2014). Mediator subunit 16 functions in the regulation of iron uptake gene expression in *Arabidopsis. New Phytol.* 203, 770–783. doi: 10.1111/nph.12860
- Zheng, Z., Guan, H., Leal, F., Grey, P. H., and Oppenheimer, D. G. (2013). Mediator subunit18 controls flowering time and floral organ identity in *Arabidopsis. PLoS One* 8:e53924. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0053924
- Zhu, Y. F., Huang, P. C., Guo, P. C., Chong, L., Yu, G. B., Sun, X. L., et al. (2020). CDK8 is associated with RAP2.6 and SnRK2.6 and positively modulates abscisic acid signaling and drought response in *Arabidopsis. New Phytol.* 228, 1573–1590. doi: 10.1111/nph.16787

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Zhang, Zheng, Yin, Song and Jiang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.