

Erratum: "Comparing the lowa and Soochow gambling tasks in opiate users"

Daniel J. Upton, Rebecca Kerestes and Julie C. Stout*

School of Psychology and Psychiatry, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia *Correspondence: julie.stout@monash.edu

Edited by:

Scott A. Huettel, Duke University, USA

Reviewed by:

Scott A. Huettel, Duke University, USA

A commentary on

Comparing the Iowa and Soochow gambling tasks in opiate users by Upton, D J., Kerestes, R., and Stout,

by Opton, *D* J., *Rerestes*, *R., and Stout*, J. C. (2012). Front. Neurosci. 6:34. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2012.00034

We would like to apply a correction to the pay-off distributions of the Soochow Gambling Task presented in **Table 2** of the manuscript. Decks C and D do not yield a gain on every trial, which has been corrected with the addition of a "–" in columns representing decks C and D. Furthermore, the 5th and 10th trials for both decks C and D in the Soochow Gambling Task, are associated with a win of \$5.25 and \$3.25, respectively. This was previously not shown in the table and has been inserted.

Table 2 | The pay-off distributions of the lowa Gambling Task and Soochow Gambling Task for the first 10 trials, adapted and modified from Ahn et al. (2008).

| IGT | Α | В | С | D | SGT | Α | В | С | D |
|-----------------------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Expected value of 10 trials | -\$2.50 | -\$2.50 | \$2.50 | \$2.50 | Expected value of 5 trials | -\$2.50 | -\$2.50 | \$2.50 | \$2.50 |
| Gain on every trial | \$1.00 | \$1.00 | \$0.50 | \$0.50 | Gain on every trial | \$1.00 | \$0.50 | - | - |
| Loss on each trial | | | | | Loss on each trial | | | | |
| Trial 1 | | | | | | | | -\$1.00 | -\$0.50 |
| Trial 2 | | | | | | | | -\$1.00 | -\$0.50 |
| Trial 3 | | | | | | | | -\$1.00 | -\$0.50 |
| Trial 4 | | | | | | | | -\$1.00 | -\$0.50 |
| Trial 5 | | | | | | -\$5.25 | -\$3.25 | +\$5.25 | +\$3.25 |
| Trial 6 | -\$1.50 | | -\$0.25 | | | | | \$1.00 | -\$0.50 |
| Trial 7 | -\$2.00 | | -\$0.75 | | | | | \$1.00 | -\$0.50 |
| Trial 8 | -\$2.50 | | -\$0.50 | | | | | \$1.00 | -\$0.50 |
| Trial 9 | -\$3.00 | | -\$0.50 | | | | | \$1.00 | -\$0.50 |
| Trial 10 | -\$3.50 | -\$12.50 | -\$0.50 | -\$2.50 | | -\$5.25 | -\$3.25 | +\$5.25 | +\$3.25 |

REFERENCES

Ahn, W. Y., Busemeyer, J. R., Wagen-makers, E. J., and Stout, J. C. (2008). Comparison of decision learning models using the generalization criterion method. *Cogn. Sci.* 32, 1376–1402.

Received: 06 February 2013; accepted: 06 February 2013; published online: 26 February 2013.

Citation: Upton DJ, Kerestes R and Stout JC (2013) Erratum: "Comparing the Iowa and Soochow gambling tasks in opiate users." Front. Psychol. 4:85. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00085

This article was submitted to Frontiers in Decision Neuroscience, a specialty of Frontiers in Psychology.

Copyright © 2013 Upton, Kerestes and Stout. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in other forums, provided the original authors and source are credited and subject to any copyright notices concerning any third-party graphics etc.