



Academic Self-Efficacy, Social Support, and Professional Identity Among Preservice Special Education Teachers in China

Xiaomeng Chen¹, Jingxun Zhong^{2*}, Manli Luo³ and Minghui Lu⁴

¹ Special Education Department, School of Education, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China, ² Institute of Educational Administration, School of Education, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China, ³ Futian Zhuxiang School, Shenzhen, China, ⁴ Special Education Department, School of Education, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

James Gaskin, Brigham Young University, United States

Reviewed by:

Lucia D. Heddleson, Laurel School, United States Yuhan Xie, Sichuan Normal University, China

*Correspondence:

Jingxun Zhong zhongjingxun@sina.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Organizational Psychology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 10 April 2019 Accepted: 18 February 2020 Published: 13 March 2020

Citation:

Chen X, Zhong J, Luo M and Lu M (2020) Academic Self-Efficacy, Social Support, and Professional Identity Among Preservice Special Education Teachers in China. Front. Psychol. 11:374. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00374 The relationship among the social support, professional identity, and academic self-efficacy (ASE) of Chinese preservice special education teachers are explored by measuring the perceived social support, professional identity, and ASE of 302 undergraduate students. Results of the multiple regression are as follows. (1) A significant positive correlation exists among ASE, social support, and professional identity. When preservice special education teachers perceive high social support, they have a high sense of professional identity and high ASE. (2) Professional identity exerts a full mediation effect on the relationship between social support and ASE. In particular, social support positively influences ASE via professional identity. The results are discussed at the end of this paper and recommendations for improving the ASE of preservice special education teachers are presented.

Keywords: social support, mediating effect, academic self-efficacy, professional identity, preservice special education teachers

INTRODUCTION

Teacher education has long played an intuitive and important role in special education. At present, the preservice education of special education teachers in China is carried out mainly by normal colleges specializing in special education teacher education and normal universities offering special education courses (Wang and Mu, 2014). Currently, more than 50 such specialized educational institutions can be found throughout the country, most of which have been established since 2000 (Wang and Mu, 2014). Meanwhile, in the United States, a variety of incubator programs, such as four-year teacher preservice programs and alternative routes, among others, have been established (Sindelar et al., 2010). Preservice special education teachers in China have not undertaken any special education teacher education has made remarkable strides in China, few studies have focused on the psychological state of these student teachers. From the limited research, the learning status of preservice special education teachers in China may not be ideal, especially their learning motivation is weak. Chen and Yang (2018) surveyed 538 preservice special education teachers and found that 58.9% of them did not choose this major voluntarily and that their lack of in-depth knowledge of this major led them to think they were not suitable for this specialty during their study.

Moreover, the lack of social support during the learning process of student teachers may also affect their enthusiasm for learning (Zhao and Zhang, 2017).

Academic self-efficacy (ASE) refers to a person's belief that he or she has the ability to complete the academic tasks prescribed by the school. It plays an important role among preservice special education teachers because it generally determines the learning motivation and academic achievement of students (Richardson et al., 2012; Chen and Yang, 2018). At present, numerous college students in China are involuntarily majoring in special education, which lowers their professional identity (Chen and Yang, 2018). Professional identity is defined as a person's sense of belonging to and recognition of the profession (Tickle, 1999), which has an important influence on individual career learning and engagement. Furthermore, the public remains unfamiliar with the special education profession and has biased views of special education teachers (Lu et al., 2018). Taken together, these factors may affect the ASE of preservice special education teachers.

Although the relationship among ASE, social support, and professional identity has been identified by several authors (Day et al., 2006; Tschannen-Moran and Hoy, 2007; Adler-Constantinescu et al., 2013; Chen and Yang, 2018), to date, little research has been conducted into the role of professional identity in the relationship between social support and ASE among preservice special education teachers. Moreover, in contrast with numerous western countries, knowledge regarding preservice special education teacher programs in China remains limited. Thus, the objective of the current study is to investigate the relationship among the ASE, social support, and professional identity of Chinese preservice special education teachers. Therefore, improving the ASE and related factors, such as social support and professional identity, of preservice special education teachers is crucial.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Academic Self-Efficacy

Bandura (1997) defined self-efficacy as "the belief in one's capabilities to organize and execute courses of action required to produce given attainments." In accordance with social cognitive theory, self-efficacy affects many areas of people's lives, including their goals, decisions, effort levels, thinking patterns, and the perseverance level they maintain in the face of challenges (Bandura, 1991). Zimmerman (2000) pointed out that people's self-efficacy varies depending on domains. In the academic context, self-efficacy is generally identified as ASE (Elias and MacDonald, 2007). ASE refers to a person's belief in organizing, managing, and executing actions to achieve his/her desired academic performance (Zimmerman et al., 1992). Students with a high ASE level are confident in their ability to meet academic requirements, plan and organize their education, and avoid distractions (Bandura, 1997). ASE is positively correlated with academic performance. When students have a high sense of learning efficacy, they become more confident that they can overcome difficulties, work hard, and ultimately improve their

academic performance (Richardson et al., 2012; Komarraju and Nadler, 2013). Similarly, the results of the meta-analysis of Richardson et al. (2012) indicate that ASE beliefs can explain 9% of the variance in the overall grade point average of college students. Furthermore, Byer (2002) found that developing a positive ASE is important among graduate students because such ASE will lead them to believe that they can achieve their curriculum and degree goals. Students' belief in the mastery of academic activities can determine their academic motivation and achievement (Bandura, 1993).

In recent years, Chinese researchers have investigated the learning situation of preservice special education teachers and found that their ASE is only at a medium level (it is in the middle level on the score of Likert Scale), which may negatively affect the learning initiative of students (Guan et al., 2011; Chen and Yang, 2018). However, a study by Gur (2012) of Israeli preservice special education teachers found that student teachers had above medium ASE, in particular, each item of self-efficacy was measured according to a scale of 1-5, whereby 1 was the lowest score, 5 the highest score, and 3 at the intermediate level, the participants scored close to 4, exceeding the intermediate level. Although numerous studies have described the relationship between ASE and academic achievement, the relationship between ASE and other variables, such as professional identity, social support, and demographic background, has seldom been researched. To improve the understanding of the relationship between ASE and these variables in the future, other related variables should also be studied.

Social Support and ASE

The development of the ASE of preservice special education teachers may require social support (Lombardo-Graves, 2014). Social support refers to physical or psychological assistance received by people through social connections. Social support can reduce psychological pressure, alleviate tension, and improve people's ability to adapt to society (Cobb, 1976). The higher the perceived level of support from social networks, the more people rethink their current difficult situations, the higher their confidence, and the lower the threat of emotional responses like anxiety and fear, thereby resulting in appropriate coping strategies (Sippel et al., 2015). Adler-Constantinescu et al. (2013) found a positive correlation between self-efficacy and perceived social support among adolescents. In particular, the more support received from parents and friends during early adolescence, the higher the self-efficacy level during late adolescence. Social support is an important factor that determines teachers' self-efficacy and mental health (Wallace et al., 2001; Shen, 2009; Nabavi et al., 2017). Tschannen-Moran and Hoy (2007) determined that compared with that of experienced teachers, the perceived support of young, inexperienced teachers from others exerts a greater impact on their self-efficacy. From this perspective, social support is highly significant in fostering Chinese special education student teachers. Lu et al. (2018) surveyed 1,027 special education teachers in China and found that although their social support directly affects their self-efficacy, it also exerts an indirect effect on their self-efficacy through work engagement. However, the aforementioned studies have been aimed at in-service teachers, and whether such a relationship exists among preservice teachers remains unknown.

Relationship Among Professional Identity, Social Support, and ASE

In addition to social support, professional identity may also affect the ASE of preservice teachers. Professional identity refers to an individual's identity and sense of belonging to a profession (Tickle, 1999). Professional identity considerably influences teachers' professional development, curriculum and teaching, and loyalty to the teaching profession (Korthagen, 2004). It can alleviate job burnout, improve job satisfaction (Beijaard et al., 2000; Hong, 2010), and predict the degree of involvement in professional preparation among preservice teachers (Zhang Y. et al., 2016). Preservice special education teachers are not only ordinary college students but are also preservice teachers with a teacher career orientation, and thus, their university learning and training are closely related to their future in the teaching profession. Their professional identity may significantly impact their university learning experience.

Studies have shown that identity is related to and can predict ASE (Kerpelman et al., 2008; Komarraju and Dial, 2014; Chen and Yang, 2018). For example, when people believe in their identity and their actions are congruent, they generally tend to take on challenging tasks and experience the meaning of those tasks (Oyserman and Destin, 2010). Moore and Hofman (1988) showed that the professional identity of teachers affects their professional development and sense of efficacy because teachers with a positive self-perception of his/her professional identity will ignore unpleasant working conditions. Chen and Yang (2018) also found a positive correlation between professional identity and ASE; that is, the ASE of special education teachers increases with the improvement of professional identity. Perceived high levels of professional identity can promote motivation to learn and interest in learning, diligent study habits, and mastery of special education knowledge and skills among preservice special education teachers, all of which help them to attain academic success, experience a sense of achievement and pleasure, and maintain a high level of ASE.

Although professional identity is important, its level is generally low in China (Guan et al., 2011). As mentioned above, Chinese society generally perceives special education teachers as having a lower social status than regular teachers, which undermines the professional identity of preservice special education teachers. However, like other majors in China, such as preschool teachers, their professional identity is above average (Hu and Sang, 2013). Therefore, the improvement of the professional identity of preservice special education teachers necessitates society's care and support. Numerous studies have focused on the relationship between social support and professional identity in China (Wei and Zhang, 2009; Sun and Lei, 2016; Zhang and Wang, 2018). For example, Sun and Lei (2016) found that after entering university, preservice teachers lacked family support, received more direct support from their classmates and teachers, and the more care they felt, the more they identified with special education majors. Johnson and Ridley (2004) determined that providing sufficient support to preservice teachers, including initial instructions to novice teachers, learning the school culture, and communicating classroom plans with experienced teachers, can reduce the difficulty of the transition from student to teacher. Similarly, studies on novice teachers have shown that support and positive feedback from supervisors, assistants, and parents are critical to the success and well-being of these teachers (Avalos and Aylwin, 2007; Oplatka and Eizenberg, 2007). Therefore, providing sufficient social support to preservice teachers may help them actively identify with the teaching profession, which may enhance their ASE.

THEORY DEVELOPMENT

In summary, previous studies focused on the relationship between social support and ASE and the relationship between professional identity and ASE, but integrated research on the relationship between the three has been limited or even nonexistent. Although social support is associated with ASE, far less is known about the mechanisms underlying this relationship. In particular, professional identity may play an important mediating role between the two; that is, social support may affect the professional identity of preservice teachers in special education and the promotion of professional identity will enhance academic self-efficacy. In addition, previous studies have focused mainly on Western European countries and the United States, but research in China, especially on preservice special education teachers, has been lacking. The training of preservice special education teachers in China puts more focus on theory than practice (Wang and Mu, 2014), whereas in the United States more attention is paid to practical experience (Cornelius and Nagro, 2014). The differences in training styles may affect the psychological state of preservice teachers. Because the practical experience gained by teachers can enhance their teaching efficacy, they can deal with the complicated nature of the teaching profession in the future with more confidence (Lombardo-Graves, 2014). Moreover, because the social status of special education teachers is lower than that of regular education teachers in China, this may lead to the low professional identity of preservice special education teachers and further negatively affect their ASE (Sun and Lei, 2016). Therefore, the purpose of this study is to explore the psychological state of Chinese preservice special education teachers; that is, the effects of students' social support and perceived professional identity on ASE, particularly the possible role of professional identity in mediating between social support and ASE.

CURRENT STUDY

This study aims to contribute to the understanding of ASE and related sociodemographic factors, such as social support and professional identity, among Chinese preservice special education teachers. Specifically, its objective is to investigate the following hypotheses:

- (1) H1a: Social support has a positive effect on professional identity.
 H1b: Social support positively affects ASE.
 H1c: Professional identity positively affects ASE.
- (2) H2: Professional identity mediates the relationship between social support and ASE.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

Chinese preservice special education teachers were selected from several universities, including South China Normal University, Lingnan Normal University, Guangdong Second Normal University, East China Normal University, and Southwest University, to answer questionnaires. This study used a convenient sampling method to distribute electronic questionnaires to these universities and then asked college students majoring in special education to fill in the questionnaires. A total of 322 questionnaires were collected and the recovery rate was 100%, of which 302 were valid and the effective rate was 93.7%. The participants included 58 males (19.2%) and 244 females (80.8%). The demographic characteristics of the participants are presented in **Table 1**.

Procedure

Cross-sectional surveys were conducted in Mainland China, and the participants were selected from various universities. The participants were provided with a detailed description of the study and the intended use of the results. The participants were invited to complete a set of questionnaires, including a sociodemographic information questionnaire, the Social Support Questionnaire for Preservice Special Education Teachers (Feng and He, 2014), the College Students' Professional Identity Questionnaire (Qin, 2009), and the Academic Self-efficacy

TABLE 1 Demographic table of participants.				
Groups	Frequency	Percentage (%)		
Gender				
Male	58	19.2		
Female	244	80.8		
Year level				
Freshman	60	19.9		
Sophomore	74	24.5		
Junior	78	25.8		
Senior	90	29.8		
Residence				
Towns	123	40.7		
Rural areas	179	59.3		
Type of school				
Key colleges	121	40.1		
General colleges	181	59.9		

Scale (ASES) (Liang, 2000). The questionnaire data were kept confidential to protect the anonymity of the participants. All the student teachers voluntarily participated in the study and did not receive monetary compensation. All the participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study, and the study was reviewed and approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee for Non-clinical Faculties (ethics committee from the South China Normal University) before it was conducted.

Measures

Social Support Questionnaire for Preservice Special Education Teachers

The Social Support Questionnaire for Preservice Special Education Teachers was developed by He (2013). This questionnaire consists of 25 items and measures four dimensions: family support, teacher support, peer support, and environmental support. Family support refers to parents' concern for and help with the emotional, material, information and other aspects of the daily life and professional learning of these student teachers (4 items, e.g., "My family cares about me and respects the professional choices I make"). Teacher support refers to the emotional, material and information care and help provided by teachers for these student teachers during their study (8 items, e.g., "I receive guidance from my teachers when I encounter learning problems"). Peer support refers to the concern for and help of friends and classmates for these student teachers' emotional, material and information (7 items, e.g., "I receive considerable support and assistance from my friends and classmates during college"). Environmental support refers to the concern for and help given to these student teachers from the relevant policies and public opinions of the school and even the whole society (6 items, e.g., "The university provides a perfect environment for living and studying"). A Likert-type response scale from 1 (completely inconsistent) to 5 (completely consistent) was used to assess each item. He (2013) found that the Cronbach's alpha of the entire questionnaire was 0.929, and that the questionnaire exhibited good structural validity. Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) indicated that the fitness of the questionnaire was acceptable. Moreover, this scale demonstrated good reliability and validity in previous studies (Feng and He, 2014; Hu and Wang, 2017). In the current study, confirmatory factor analysis was performed with LISREL 8.7 software, and the results showed that NFI = 0.90, CFI = 0.92, IFI = 0.92, and RMSEA = 0.061. In addition, the Cronbach's alpha coefficient of the entire scale was 0.92. The Cronbach's alpha coefficients for family support, teacher support, peer support, and environmental support are 0.76, 0.87, 0.81, and 0.79, respectively. In terms of convergent validity, the composite reliability scores of all dimensions were higher than 0.70 (Malhotra and Dash, 2011). In discriminant validity, except for environmental support, all AVEs were higher than the squared inter-factor correlation (Marnburg and Luo, 2014). AVE of environmental support is 0.509, and the squared inter-factor correlation between environmental support and peer support is 0.582. This may be due to the fact that most of the environmental support college students perceive comes from peer support, because during college, environmental support also includes peer support without family members, which leads to their higher correlation coefficient.

College Students' Professional Identity Questionnaire The College Students' Professional Identity Questionnaire was developed by Qin (2009), who referred to Brickson (2000). Brickson (2000) divided professional identity into four dimensions: cognitive, emotional, behavioral, and social. In a study of Chinese college students, Qin (2009) identified four dimensions: emotional, behavioral, appropriate, and cognitive identities. Emotional professional identity reflects the emotional preference for one's major (8 items, e.g., "I have a positive emotional attachment to my major"). Behavioral professional identity refers to the level of professional behavior (6 items, e.g., "I take the initiative to participate in practical activities related to my major"). Appropriate professional identity reflects the matching degree between one's major and oneself (4 items, e.g., "My personality matches my major"). Cognitive professional identity refers to the degree of understanding one's major (5 items, e.g., "Overall, I know my major"). The questionnaire consists of 23 questions with answers ranging from 1 (completely inconsistent) to 5 (completely consistent). The higher the score, the stronger the sense of professional identity. Qin (2009) found that the consistency coefficient of the entire questionnaire was 0.944, and the consistency coefficient of each dimension was 0.574-0.766; thus, the questionnaire achieved good reliability. CFA showed that the structural validity of the questionnaire was acceptable. The results indicated that GFI = 0.904, CFI = 0.926, IFI = 0.926, and RMSEA = 0.057 (Qin, 2009). Moreover, this scale demonstrated good reliability and validity in previous studies (Zhang G. et al., 2016; Yang and Li, 2018). In the present study, the Cronbach's alpha coefficient of the entire scale was 0.95. The Cronbach's alpha coefficients for emotional, behavioral, appropriate, and cognitive identities are 0.78, 0. 89, 0.86, and 0.84, respectively. Confirmatory factor analysis was performed with LISREL 8.7 software, and the results showed that NFI = 0.94, CFI = 0.96, IFI = 0.96, and RMSEA = 0.048. In terms of convergent validity, except for cognitive professional identity, the composite reliability scores of all dimensions were higher than 0.70 (Malhotra and Dash, 2011). The composite reliability of cognitive professional identity was 0.672. Relatively low compared to other dimensions, may be due to the abstract nature of individual item, such as "I know the outside evaluation of my major." What's the scope of this outside is not very clear. In discriminant validity, all AVEs were higher than the squared inter-factor correlation (Marnburg and Luo, 2014).

Academic Self-Efficacy Scale

Academic Self-Efficacy Scale was developed by Liang (2000). This scale consists of two dimensions: academic competence efficacy and academic behavior efficacy. Academic competence efficacy consists of 11 items and examines whether students are confident in mastering a subject matter and in achieving high scores. Academic behavior efficacy also consists of 11 items and examines students' confidence in regulating their learning

activities. A 6-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (completely inconsistent) to 6 (completely consistent) was used. The higher the score, the stronger the sense of ASE. This scale demonstrated good reliability and validity in previous studies (Liang, 2000; Shi et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2017). In the present study, the Cronbach's alpha coefficients of the Academic Competence Efficacy Subscale and the Academic Behavior Efficacy Subscale were 0.92 and 0.70, respectively. The Cronbach's alpha coefficient of the entire scale in this study was 0.90. Confirmatory factor analysis was performed with LISREL 8.7 software, and the results showed that NFI = 0.92, CFI = 0.94, IFI = 0.94, and RMSEA = 0.075. In terms of convergent validity, the composite reliability scores of all dimensions were higher than 0.70 (Malhotra and Dash, 2011). In discriminant validity, all AVEs were higher than the squared inter-factor correlation (Marnburg and Luo, 2014).

Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 22.0. First, we generated means, standard deviations (SDs), and a correlation matrix to explore the associations among the variables. Second, we performed hierarchical multiple regressions to determine the respective contributions of sociodemographic variables, social support, and professional identity to ASE. The demographic variables, including the residence, gender, type of school (key colleges and general colleges), and year level of student teachers were entered in Block 1 of the regression analyses. These demographic variables were set as dummy variables. Social support and professional identity were standardized and entered in Block 2. Lastly, we used SPSS 22 with process analysis (Hayes, 2013) to detect the mediation effect. For the model, the social support scores were specified as the independent variables whereas professional identity was specified as the mediator variable with gender, age, and type of schools as covariates. We used completely standardized indirect effects as a measure of magnitude (Preacher and Kelley, 2011) with large, medium, and small effects defined as 0.01, 0.09, and 0.25, respectively (Kenny, 2018). A *p*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Relationship Among Social Support, Professional Identity, and ASE

The means, SDs, and correlations of the study variables are presented in **Table 2**.

A significant positive correlation existed between social support and the total score of professional identity (p < 0.01); that is, the higher the social support, the higher the professional identity, and vice versa. Furthermore, a significant positive correlation was observed between social support and the total score of ASE (p < 0.01); that is, the higher the social support, the higher the ASE, and vice versa. Lastly, a significant positive correlation was also found between professional identity and the total score of ASE (p < 0.01); that is, the higher the professional identity and the total score of ASE (p < 0.01); that is, the higher the professional identity and the total score of ASE (p < 0.01); that is, the higher the professional identity, the higher the ASE. Therefore, a relationship exists among the social support, professional identity, and ASE of preservice special education teachers.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1. Gender	_						
2. Residence	0.024	_	_	_	_	_	_
3. Type of school	-0.073	0.037	-0.078				
4. Year level	0.046	-0.008	0.089				
5. Social support	0.089	-0.077	-0.175**	-0.005			
6. Professional identity	0.222**	0.008		0.035	0.684**		
7. ASE	0.128*	0.019	-0.061	0.141*	0.509**	0.670**	
Mean	1.81	1.59	1.60	2.66	3.777	3.705	3.378
SD	0.395	0.492	0.491	1.106	0.500	0.577	0.480

N = 302; **p < 0.01; *p < 0.05.

TABLE 3 | Hierarchical multiple regression analysis results that predict ASE.

	Ste	ep 1	Step 2		
	β	t	β	t	
Gender (1 = female, 2 = male)	0.118	2.066*	-0.019	-0.429	
Residence (1 = urban areas, 2 = rural areas)	0.019	0.332	0.021	0.499	
Type of school (1 = key colleges, 2 = general colleges)	-0.043	-0.756	0.064	1.486	
Grade (1 = freshman, 2 = sophomore, 3 = junior, and 4 = senior)	0.132	2.316*	0.126	2.967**	
Social support			0.103	1.758	
Professional identity			0.611	10.166***	
R^2	0.037		0.474		
F	2.835*		44.251***		
ΔR^2	0.024		0.437		
ΔF	2.835*		122.446***		

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

Multiple Regression Analysis of ASE

Hierarchical multiple regression analyses were performed to examine the predictors of ASE. The results of the analyses are presented in **Table 3**. In Step 1 of the analysis, sociodemographic factors accounted for 3.7% ($R^2 = 0.037$, p < 0.05) of the variance in ASE, whereas the gender and grade level of preservice special education teachers were statistically significant. The introduction of the social support and professional identity subscales in Step 2 accounted for 43.7% ($R^2 = 0.437$, p < 0.001) of the variance in ASE. Together, Steps 1 and 2 accounted for 47.4% ($R^2 = 0.474$, p < 0.001) of the variance in ASE, whereas grade and professional identity were statistically significant.

Mediating Effect of Professional Identity on the Relationship Between Social Support and ASE

We tested for mediation by regressing the predictor variable, social support on ASE, while including the proposed mediator

TABLE 4 | Mediating effect of professional identity on social support and ASE.

ASE Constant 0.00 0.02 0.00 -0.05 0.00 Social support 0.49 0.05 10.25^{***} 0.40 0.00 $R^2 = 0.26$ $F = 105.13^{***}$ $Professional identity$ R^2 0.00 -0.05 0.00 Social support 0.79 0.05 16.22^{***} 0.69 $0.$						
Constant 0.00 0.02 0.00 -0.05 0 Social support 0.49 0.05 10.25*** 0.40 0 $R^2 = 0.26$ $F = 105.13^{***}$ Professional identity 0.00 0.02 0.00 -0.05 0 Social support 0.79 0.05 16.22*** 0.69 0 $R^2 = 0.47$ $F = 263.07^{***}$ R^2		b	SE	t	LLCI	ULC
Social support 0.49 0.05 10.25^{***} 0.40 0.60 $R^2 = 0.26$ $F = 105.13^{***}$ Professional identity Constant 0.00 0.02 0.00 -0.05 0.00 Social support 0.79 0.05 16.22^{***} 0.69 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.64 0.02 0.09 0.06 1.64 -0.02 0.69 0.65 0.33^{***} 0.41 0.69 0.65 0.63^{***} 0.61 0.61 0.61 0.61 0.61 </td <td>ASE</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	ASE					
$R^2 = 0.26$ $F = 105.13^{***}$ Professional identity 0.00 0.02 0.00 -0.05 0 Social support 0.79 0.05 16.22^{***} 0.69 0 $R^2 = 0.47$ $F = 263.07^{***}$ 6 0 0 ASE Constant 0.00 0.02 0.00 -0.04 0 Social support 0.09 0.06 1.64 -0.02 0 Professional identity 0.50 0.05 10.33^{***} 0.41 0	Constant	0.00	0.02	0.00	-0.05	0.05
Professional identity 0.00 0.02 0.00 -0.05 0 Constant 0.79 0.05 16.22*** 0.69 0 $R^2 = 0.47$ $F = 263.07^{***}$ 7 ASE 7 7 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.02 0.00 0.04 0	Social support	0.49	0.05	10.25***	0.40	0.58
Constant 0.00 0.02 0.00 -0.05 0 Social support 0.79 0.05 16.22*** 0.69 0 $R^2 = 0.47$ $F = 263.07^{***}$ ASE Constant 0.00 0.02 0.00 -0.04 0 Social support 0.09 0.06 1.64 -0.02 0 Professional identity 0.50 0.05 10.33*** 0.41 0		$R^2 = 0.26$	$F = 105.13^{***}$			
Social support 0.79 0.05 16.22*** 0.69 0 $R^2 = 0.47$ $F = 263.07^{***}$ ASE Constant 0.00 0.02 0.00 -0.04 0 Social support 0.09 0.06 1.64 -0.02 0 Professional identity 0.50 0.05 10.33*** 0.41 0	Professional identity					
$R^2 = 0.47$ $F = 263.07^{***}$ ASE Constant 0.00 0.02 0.00 -0.04 0 Social support 0.09 0.06 1.64 -0.02 0 Professional identity 0.50 0.05 10.33^{***} 0.41 0	Constant	0.00	0.02	0.00	-0.05	0.05
ASE Constant 0.00 0.02 0.00 -0.04 0 Social support 0.09 0.06 1.64 -0.02 0 Professional identity 0.50 0.05 10.33*** 0.41 0	Social support	0.79	0.05	16.22***	0.69	0.88
Constant 0.00 0.02 0.00 -0.04 0 Social support 0.09 0.06 1.64 -0.02 0 Professional identity 0.50 0.05 10.33*** 0.41 0		$R^2 = 0.47$	$F = 263.07^{***}$			
Social support 0.09 0.06 1.64 -0.02 0 Professional identity 0.50 0.05 10.33*** 0.41 0	ASE					
Professional identity 0.50 0.05 10.33*** 0.41 (Constant	0.00	0.02	0.00	-0.04	0.04
	Social support	0.09	0.06	1.64	-0.02	0.20
$R^2 = 0.45$ $F = 106.89^{***}$	Professional identity	0.50	0.05	10.33***	0.41	0.60
		$R^2 = 0.45$	$F = 106.89^{***}$			

***p < 0.001.

(professional identity). We first conducted these analyses by including the demographic variables identified earlier as control variables. Mediation analysis was conducted using 1000 bootstrap samples, which confirmed the significant direct effect of social support on ASE. As predicted, however, the result was rendered insignificant (b = 0.09, SE = 0.06, t = 1.64, p > 0.05) when the effect of professional identity was considered. This finding indicates that professional identity completely mediates the relationship between social support and ASE. The result of the Sobel (1982, 1986) test justified the mediating effect (z = 8.70, p < 0.001). As shown in **Table 4**, social support made a significant contribution to ASE (b = 0.49, SE = 0.05, t = 10.25, p < 0.001); that is, if the participants perceived more support, then their ASE would improve. Therefore, H1b was supported. Furthermore, social support made a significant contribution to professional identity (b = 0.79, SE = 0.05, t = 16.22, p < 0.001). When student teachers perceived more social support from others, they were more likely to report higher levels of professional identity in college. Then, the hypothesis H1a was confirmed. In turn, professional identity exerted a positive influence on ASE (b = 0.50, SE = 0.05, t = 10.33, p < 0.001). Accordingly, the hypothesis H1c was also confirmed. We corroborated that professional identity was a full mediator that explains the path from social support to ASE. In addition, we use G*Power 3.1 software to perform power analysis on the whole model, and the results show that Cohen's $f^2 = 0.89$. According to Cohen (1988), the whole model has a strong effect.

This result confirmed the hypothesis H2 (i.e., professional identity plays a mediating role between social support and ASE). Therefore, we determined that professional identity mediated the relationship between social support and ASE. This model, i.e., Model 4 (Hayes, 2013) is illustrated in **Figure 1**.

DISCUSSION

Our study intended to broaden the current literature on ASE and related sociodemographic factors, such as social support



and professional identity, among Chinese preservice special education teachers. In this study, ASE among preservice special education teachers is at a moderate level, which is consistent with the findings of Chen and Yang (2018). ASE is not ideal, which may be owing to a variety of factors. For example, the social support provided by universities in China may not be sufficient because there are not enough internships available (Guan et al., 2011). However, this study is not consistent with the findings of Gur (2012), which shows that Israel's preservice special education teachers' self-efficacy in learning is at the upper-middle level, while in this study it is close to the middle level. In addition, the students' sense of professional identity is not very high, perhaps because many students do not opt for the special education major as their first choice when entering university (Sun and Lei, 2016). However, in China, the professional identity of regular teachers is in the upper middle level. Gong and Zhao (2016) studied 500 regular teachers in China, each item of professional identity in that study was measured according to a scale of 1-5, in which 1 was the lowest score,5 was the highest score and 3 scored at the intermediate level. The mean score of the participants was more than 4, exceeding the intermediate level.

Many people feel special education teachers have lower social status and lower income than regular teachers, which reduces their learning enthusiasm (Guan et al., 2011), and as such, their ASE is not high. Therefore, after these high school students enter university, teacher educators should provide support to them and strengthen their sense of professional identity to enable them to study hard, delve into the knowledge, and skills of special education, and gain competence in teaching special education in the future. Furthermore, this study found that as grade increased, their ASE also gradually increased. This is consistent with the previous research results of Gur (2012), whose study also found that with the increase in grade, the self-efficacy of preservice special education teachers improves. This may be because they have become familiar with the school's teaching methods, mastered the learning strategies, and adapted to university life, thus enhancing their self-confidence and academic self-efficacy.

Similar to other studies, our analysis also showed a strong relationship between social support and professional identity (see Johnson and Ridley, 2004; Zhang and Wang, 2018) and between professional identity and ASE (see Chen and Yang, 2018). In accordance with Adler-Constantinescu et al. (2013), social support in this study is significantly correlated with self-efficacy. That is, the more social support an individual perceived, the higher the level of ASE. Social support is correlated significantly with - and can predict - professional identity. This finding is similar to the findings of Johnson and Ridley (2004). Providing preservice teachers with support, including initial instructional guidance for novice teachers, integrating them into the school's culture, and communicating classroom programs with expert teachers can enhance their professional identity. Similarly, in a study of preservice teachers in China conducted by Zhao and Zhang (2017), mentor support in field teaching practice, as well as support from peers and friends, were found to be intuitively important for professional identity, because being helped and cared for by these important people allows students to identify gradually with the teaching profession. Furthermore, a significant correlation exists between professional identity and ASE. Preservice special education teachers that have a positive emotion toward professional identity will have a correct and positive attitude toward their own professional learning, can deal actively with the problems and difficulties in professional learning, and experience a higher sense of accomplishment and destination in professional practice, ultimately improving their own learning efficacy. Guo et al. (2017) also found that if nursing students have a negative personal identity, they consider work stress and poor environment to be important factors when thinking about their future employment. In this manner, if they believe that they cannot cope with their future career, they will have a low sense of career self-efficacy.

Our regression analyses support the hypothesis that professional identity completely mediates the relationship between social support and ASE. This phenomenon shows social support cannot predict ASE when the three variables are put together. These student teachers often have high ASE only after they identify with special education majors. The reason why professional identity is very important is that many people in China have a negative view of special education teachers, believing that they are poorer in ability and lower in social status than regular education teachers (Guan et al., 2011; Hu and Sang, 2013). If student teachers do not accept their major, even if they are provided with a lot of social support, they are not necessarily willing to study hard and their ASE will not be high.

The important mediating role of professional identity indicates that strengthening social support is insufficient and the effective educational strategies adopted by universities to improve the students' sense of identity and belonging to their chosen majors should also be considered. By considering both social support and professional identity, students and teachers can feel more encouraged and confident, become more involved, and consequently enhance their ASE. Special education is an integral part of the education system and because of the special needs of students with disabilities, special education teachers require higher expertise and skills than regular teachers (Wang and Mu, 2014). Therefore, preservice teachers in China should be provided with social support in order to promote their professional commitment. Importance should be attached to their preservice practical exercises, adequate internships (field experiences) should be provided, and acceptance of children with disabilities also should be fostered. Greater recognition of special education teachers would lead to more successful entry

into the workplace. The development of the professional identity and ASE of preservice special education teachers should also be retained in special education teaching. This is beneficial for them because good training and emotional stability will enable them to deal effectively with the complicated nature of special education teaching in the future.

LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

Three limitations of this study should be mentioned. The first limitation is the cross-sectional design of this study; the findings reflect associations, but not causal relationships among the variables (Maxwell and Cole, 2007; Mitchell and Maxwell, 2013). Longitudinal and experimental studies will provide additional insights into the relationships among these variables. The second limitation pertains to the data collected using self-reported scales. In future studies, the use of multiple evaluation methods may reduce the impact of subjectivity. The third limitation is that social support, professional identity and ASE are not further refined in this study; for example, social support can also be subdivided into peer support, family support and environmental support, and so on. Future studies may further analyze these variables and explore the relationship between the various fractal dimensions. Future experimental studies in which variables can be manipulated will enable us to draw stronger conclusions regarding causal relationships between social support and ASE.

CONCLUSION

The ASE level of preservice special education teachers is not ideal. A significant positive correlation exists among the ASE, social support, and professional identity of undergraduates majoring in special education. Professional identity plays a mediating

REFERENCES

- Adler-Constantinescu, C., Beşu, E. C., and Negovan, V. (2013). Perceived social support and perceived self-efficacy during adolescence. *Proced. Soc. Behavi. Sci.* 78, 275–279. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.04.294
- Avalos, B., and Aylwin, P. (2007). How young teachers experience their professional work in chile. *Teach. Teach. Educ.* 23, 515–528. doi: 10.1016/j.tate. 2006.11.003
- Bandura, A. (1991). Social cognitive theory of self-regulation. Organ. Behavi. Hum. Decis. Process. 50, 248–287. doi: 10.1016/0749-5978(91)90022-L
- Bandura, A. (1993).).Perceived self-efficacy in cognitive development and functioning. *Educ. Psychol.* 28, 117–148. doi: 10.1207/s15326985ep2802_3
- Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The Exercise of Control. New York, NY: Freeman, doi: 10.1891/0889-8391.13.2.158
- Beijaard, D., Verloop, N., and Vermunt, J. D. (2000). Teachers' perceptions of professional identity: an exploratory study from a personal knowledge perspective. *Teach. Teach. Educ.* 16, 749–764. doi: 10.1016/s0742-051x(00) 00023-8
- Brickson, S. (2000). The impact of identity orientation on individual and organizational outcomes in demographically diverse settings. Acad. Manag. Rev. 25, 82–101. doi: 10.5465/amr.2000.2791604
- Byer, J. L. (2002). Measuring interrelationships between graduate students' learning perceptions and academic self-efficacy. *Acad. Persistence* 25,

role between social support and ASE. Therefore, professional identity and perceived social support are crucial for improving an individual's sense of ASE. In particular, social support positively influences ASE via professional identity.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets generated for this study will not be made publicly available as permission was not granted in the consent. Permission to access the data can be made by contacting the corresponding author (zhongjingxun@sina.com).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

XC and JZ provided the idea, designed this study, and wrote the manuscript. MiL and MaL contributed to data analysis and data collection. MiL revised this manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by a grant from the Guangzhou Research Base of Humanities, Construction Project of Characteristic Specialty in Higher Education Institutions of Guangdong Province – "Special Education Major" (South China Normal University) and Social Science, Guangdong Philosophy and Social Science project (Grant no. GD17YJY02).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank all the teachers who participated in this study.

- Chen, L., and Yang, J. (2018). The relationship between special education normal school students' professional identity, learning burnout and learning efficacy[in Chinese]. *Chin. J. Special Educ.* 1, 39–45.
- Cobb, S. (1976). Presidential address: social support as a moderator of life stress. *Psychos. Med.* 3, 300–314. doi: 10.1097/00006842-197609000-00003
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical Power for the Behavioural Sciences*. Hilsdale. NY: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Cornelius, K. E., and Nagro, S. A. (2014). Evaluating the evidence base of performance feedback in preservice special education teacher training. *Teach. Educ. Special Educ. J. Teach. Educ. Div. Council Except.Child.* 37, 133–146. doi: 10.1177/088406414521837
- Day, C., Kington, A., Stobart, G., and Sammons, P. (2006). The personal and professional selves of teachers: stable and unstable identities. *Br. Educ. Res. J.* 32, 601–616. doi: 10.1080/01411920600775316
- Elias, S. M., and MacDonald, S. (2007). Using past performance, proxy efficacy, and academic self–efficacy to predict college performance. *J. Appl. Soc. Psychol.* 37, 2518–2531. doi: 10.1111/j.1559-1816.2007.00268.x
- Feng, W., and He, W. (2014). The relationship between social support, professional commitment, and learning responsibility among college students majoring in special education. [in Chinese]. *Chin. J. Special Educ.* 4, 21–26.
- Gong, L., and Zhao. (2016). Effects of emotional work strategies on teachers' professional mental health: the moderating effect of professional identity[In Chinese]. Educ. Res. Exp. 4, 92–96.

- Guan, C., Wang, Y., and Zhang, L. (2011).).An investigation into the present professional identity of undergraduates majoring in special education—a case study of two key normal universities directly under the ministry of education. *Chin. J. Special Educ.* 4, 22–30.
- Guo, B., Zhao, L., Gao, Y., Peng, X., and Zhu, Y. (2017). The status of professional identity and professional self-efficacy of nursing students in China and how the medical documentaries affect them: a quasi-randomized controlled trial. *Int. J. Nurs. Sci.* 4, 152–157. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnss.2017.03.006
- Gur, T. (2012). The contribution of special education students' clinical work to their sense of self efficacy: a preliminary research. *Proced. Soc. Behav. Sci.* 69, 997–1003. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.12.026
- Hayes, A. F. (2013). Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Processanalysis : A Regression-Based Approach. New York, NY: The Guilford Press.
- He, W. J. (2013). A Correlational Study On the Social Support, Professional Commitment And Learning Responsibility of Special Education Major College Students. Master's thesis, Southwest University, Chongqing.
- Hong, J. Y. (2010). Pre-service and beginning teachers' professional identity and its relation to dropping out of the profession. *Teach. Teach. Educ.* 26, 1530–1543. doi: 10.1016/j.tate.2010.06.003
- Hu, F., and Sang, Q. (2013). The relationship among occupational identity, social support and job satisfaction of kindergarteners. *Stud. Psychol. Behav.* 11, 666–670.
- Hu, M., and Wang, Q. (2017). Research on the current situation of social support for preschoolfree normal school students under the background of inclusive education[In Chinese]. *Res. Modern Basic Educ.* 25, 135–142.
- Johnson, W. B., and Ridley, C. R. (2004). *The Elements of Mentoring*. New York, NY: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Kenny, D. A. (2018). *Mediation*. Avaliable at: http://davidakenny.net/cm/mediate. htm.
- Kerpelman, J. L., Eryigit, S., and Stephens, C. J. (2008). African american adolescents' future education orientation: associations with self-efficacy, ethnic identity and perceived parental support. J. YouthAdoles. 37, 997–1008. doi: 10.1007/s10964-007-9201-7
- Komarraju, M., and Dial, C. (2014). Academic identity, self-efficacy, and selfesteem predict self-determined motivation and goals. *Learn. Individ. Differ.* 32, 1–8. doi: 10.1016/j.lindif.2014.02.004
- Komarraju, M., and Nadler, D. (2013). Self-efficacy and academic achievement: why do implicit beliefs, goals, and effort regulation matter? *Learn. Individ. Differ.* 25, 67–72. doi: 10.1016/j.lindif.2013.01.005
- Korthagen, F. A. (2004). In search of the essence of a good teacher: towards a more holistic approach in teacher education. *Teach. Teach. Educ.* 20, 77–97. doi: 10.1016/j.tate.2003.10.002
- Liang, Y. S. (2000). Study on Achievement Goals, Attribution Styles and Academic Self-Efficacyof College Students. Unpublished master's thesis, Central China Normal University, Wuhan.
- Lombardo-Graves, M. M. (2014). The Effect of a Mentoring Intervention on the Teaching Self-Efficacy of Pre-service Special Education Teacher Candidates. Doctoral dissertation., Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, IL.
- Lu, M., Lei, H., Chen, X., and Potmišilc, M. (2018). Teacher efficacy, work engagement, and social support among chinese special education school teachers. *Front. Psycholo.* 9:648. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00648
- Malhotra, N. K., and Dash, S. (2011). Marketing Research: an Applied Orientation(Paperback). London: Pearson Publishing.
- Marnburg, E., and Luo, Z. (2014). Testing the validity and reliability of the levels of self-concept scale in the hospitality industry. J. Tour. Recreat. 1, 37–50. doi: 10.12735/jotr.v1i1p37
- Maxwell, S. E., and Cole, D. A. (2007). Bias in cross-sectional analyses of longitudinal mediation. *Psychol. Methods* 12:23. doi: 10.1037/1082-989x. 12.1.23
- Mitchell, M. A., and Maxwell, S. E. (2013). A comparison of the cross-sectional and sequential designs when assessing longitudinal mediation. *Multivariate Behav. Res.* 48, 301–339. doi: 10.1080/00273171.2013.784696
- Moore, M., and Hofman, J. E. (1988). Professional identity in institutions of higher learning in israel. *Higher Educ.* 17, 69–79. doi: 10.1007/bf00130900
- Nabavi, S., Sohrabi, F., Afrooz, G. H., Delavar, A., and Hosseinian, S. (2017). Relationship between self-efficacy and mental health among teachers: the role

of perceived social support. *Res. Psycho. Health* 11, 50–69. doi: 10.29252/rph.11. 2.50

- Oplatka, I., and Eizenberg, M. (2007). The perceived significance of the supervisor, the assistant, and parents for career development of beginning kindergarten teachers. *Teach. Teach. Educ.* 23, 339–354. doi: 10.1016/j.tate.2006. 12.012
- Oyserman, D., and Destin, M. (2010). Identity-based motivation: implications for intervention. *Couns. Psychol.* 38, 1001–1043. doi: 10.1177/001100001037 4775
- Preacher, K. J., and Kelley, K. (2011). Effect size measures for mediation models:Quantitative strategies for communicating indirect effects. *Psychol. Methods* 16, 93–115. doi: 10.1037/a0022658
- Qin. (2009). The Charaeteristics and Correlation Study of College Students' Speciality Identity[in Chinese]. Kunming: Yun Nan University.
- Richardson, M., Abraham, C., and Bond, R. (2012). Psychological correlates of university students' academic performance: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Psychol. Bull.* 138:353. doi: 10.1037/a0026838
- Shen, Y. E. (2009). Relationships between self-efficacy, social support and stress coping strategies in Chinese primary and secondary school teachers. *Stress Health* 129–138. doi: 10.1002/smi.1229
- Shi, L. S., Chen, Y. M., Hou, X., and Gao, F. Q. (2013). Socioeconomic status and study engagement: The mediating effects of academic self-efficacy among junior high school students [In Chinese]. *Psychol. Dev. Educ.* 29, 71–78.
- Sindelar, P. T., Brownell, M. T., and Billingsley, B. (2010). Special education teacher education research: current status and future directions. *Teach. Educ. Special Educ.* 33, 8–24. doi: 10.1177/0888406409358593
- Sippel, L. M., Pietrzak, R. H., Charney, D. S., Mayes, L. C., and Southwick, S. M. (2015). How does social support enhance resilience in the trauma-exposed individual? *Ecol. Soc.* 20:10.
- Sobel, M. E. (1982). "Aysmptotic confidence intervals for indirect effects in structural equation models," in *Sociological Methodology*, ed. S. Leinhardt (San Francisco: Jossey-Bass), 290212.
- Sobel, M. E. (1986). "Some new results on indirect effects and their standard errors in covariance structure models," in *Sociological methodology*, ed. N. Tuma (Washington, DC: American Sociological Association), 159186.
- Sun, Y., and Lei, J. (2016). A study on the psychological process of special education freshmen's professional identity[In Chinese]. *Educ. Res. Exp.* 2, 52–57.
- Tickle, L. (1999). "Teacher self-appraisal and appraisal of self," in *The Role of Self in Teacher Development*, eds R. P. Lipka, and T. M. Brinthaupt (Albany, NY: SUNY Press), 121–141.
- Tschannen-Moran, M., and Hoy, A. W. (2007). The differential antecedents of self-efficacy beliefs of novice and experienced teachers. *Teach. Teach. Educ.* 23, 944–956. doi: 10.1016/j.tate.2006.05.003
- Wallace, K. A., Bisconti, T. L., and Bergeman, C. S. (2001). The mediational effect of hardiness on social support and optimal outcomes in later life. *Basic Appl. Soc. Psychol.* 4, 267–279. doi: 10.1207/S15324834BASP2304_3
- Wang, Y., and Mu, G. M. (2014). Revisiting the trajectories of special teacher education in china through policy and practice. *Int .J. Disabil. Dev. Educa.* 61, 346–361. doi: 10.1080/1034912x.2014.955792
- Wei, M., and Zhang, L. (2009). The influence of social support on profession identification[in Chinese]. *Chin. Nursi. Manag.* 9, 47–49.
- Xu, X., Lou, L., Wang, L., and Pang, W. (2017). Adolescents' perceived parental psychological control and test anxiety: mediating role of academic self-efficacy. *Soc. Behav. Personal.* 45, 1573–1583. doi: 10.2224/sbp.6754
- Yang, Q., and Li, F. (2018). The relationship of only child's self-efficacy, specialty identity and vocation identity[in Chinese]. *Chin. J. Clin. Psychol.* 26, 459–465.
- Zhang, G., Li, M., and Zhao, J. (2016). Study on the relationship between learning motivation, professional identity and academic achievement of college students-taking social work major as an example[in Chinese]. *Stat. Manag.* 12, 55–57.
- Zhang, Y., Hawk, S. T., Zhang, X., and Zhao, H. (2016). Chinese preservice teachers' professional identity links with education program performance: the roles of task value belief and learning motivations. *Front. Psychol.* 7:573.
- Zhang, Y., and Wang, C. (2018). The professional identity among college students majoring in special education by free normal education in xinjiang and its

relationship with their academic engagement: the mediating effect of perceived social support. [in Chinese]. *Chin. J. Special Educ.* 3, 58–64.

- Zhao, H., and Zhang, X. (2017). The influence of field teaching practice on preservice teachers' professional identity: a mixed methods study. *Front. Psycho.* 08:1264.
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2000). Self-efficacy: an essential motive to learn. *Contemp. Educ. Psychol.* 25, 82–91. doi: 10.1006/ceps.1999.1016
- Zimmerman, B. J., Bandura, A., and Martinez-Pons, M. (1992). Self-motivation for academic attainment: the role of self-efficacy beliefs and personal goal setting. *Ame. Educ. Res. J.* 29, 663–676. doi: 10.3102/00028312029003663

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Chen, Zhong, Luo and Lu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.