



Regulation-Focused Psychotherapy for Children (RFP-C): Advances in the Treatment of ADHD and ODD in Childhood and Adolescence

Mariagrazia Di Giuseppe^{1*}, Tracy A. Prout², Timothy Rice³ and Leon Hoffman⁴

¹ Department of Surgical, Medical and Molecular Pathology, Critical and Care Medicine, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy, ² Ferkauf Graduate School of Psychology, Yeshiva University, New York, NY, United States, ³ Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, Columbia University, New York, NY, United States, ⁴ Pacella Research Center of New York Psychoanalytic Society and Institute, New York, NY, United States

Keywords: emotion regulation, defense mechanisms, psychotherapy, oppositional defiant disorder, RFP-C, DMRS, externalizing behavior

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Valentina Cazzato,
Liverpool John Moores University,
United Kingdom

Reviewed by:

Emanuele Maria Merlo,
University of Messina, Italy

*Correspondence:

Mariagrazia Di Giuseppe
mariagrazia.digiuseppe@gmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Psychopathology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 15 June 2020

Accepted: 05 October 2020

Published: 03 November 2020

Citation:

Di Giuseppe M, Prout TA, Rice T and Hoffman L (2020) Regulation-Focused Psychotherapy for Children (RFP-C): Advances in the Treatment of ADHD and ODD in Childhood and Adolescence. *Front. Psychol.* 11:572917. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.572917

INTRODUCTION

Externalizing behaviors are among the most common problems of childhood and affect many aspects of psychological development (Wilens et al., 2002; Liu, 2004). Children with oppositional defiant disorder (ODD) are at higher risk for developing emotional disorders as well as conduct disorder and antisocial personality disorder in adulthood, especially when they receive inadequate psychological support (Rutter et al., 2006; Stringaris and Goodman, 2009; Diamantopoulou et al., 2010; Reef et al., 2010; Hudson et al., 2018).

Parental stress, depression, and anxiety are elevated among parents of children with ODD as compared to those with typical developmental patterns (Katzmann et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2019; Manti et al., 2019). For many years, behavioral parent training (BPT) approaches, including Parent Management Training (PMT), have been the primary treatment option for children with ODD because of their robust evidence base for children with externalizing behaviors (Serketich and Dumas, 1996; Brestan and Eyberg, 1998; Kazdin and Weisz, 1998). All BPT interventions rely on traditional cognitive behavioral strategies in working primarily with the parent, and include behavioral modeling, rewards, reinforcement, and developmentally-appropriate consequences for misbehavior (Webster-Stratton, 1994; Eyberg and Bussing, 2010). A limitation associated with behavioral parent programs is elevated attrition rates for vulnerable populations affected by factors, such as low socioeconomic status, ethnic minority status, low parental functioning, high maternal stress, low parental motivation, and high child symptom severity (Kazdin, 1990; Werba et al., 2006; Fernandez and Eyberg, 2009; Lanier et al., 2011; Granero et al., 2015). Attrition in behavioral parent training may also be due to common parental attributions about where the problem resides—within the child (Baden and Howe, 1992; Bickett et al., 1996; Prout et al., 2015). Parents may feel that since the treatment approach is through the parents, they are implicitly responsible for the child's maladaptive behavior, and may avoid sustained engagement in the treatment to unburden themselves of heightened feelings of responsibility or blame. Finally, behavioral approaches usually do not directly identify, address, or engage with the underlying emotions in the child, which can become dysregulated. An inability to effectively address and engage with these emotions can lead to persistent oppositional behaviors.

In recent years, L.H., T.R., and T.A.P. developed a novel, manualized, time-limited psychodynamic treatment approach for children who present with disruptive behaviors and emotional dysregulation named Regulation-Focused Psychotherapy for Children (RFP-C; Hoffman and Rice, 2016). RFP-C conceptualizes children's externalizing behaviors as expressions of

maladaptive defense mechanisms formulated as the products of developmental delays in the implicit emotion regulation system (Rice and Hoffman, 2014). RFP-C targets the strengthening of the child's implicit emotional regulation system through direct work on the child's maladaptive defenses and provides psychoeducation and empathic support to parents of the child in distress. Throughout 16 individual play therapy sessions and four parent meetings, the clinician increases understanding that all behavior, especially disruptive behavior, has meaning in the service of emotional and behavioral regulation (Prout et al., 2019a). This insight leads to a decreased need and reliance to act on the distressing emotions (e.g., less need for disruptive behaviors) and an increased ability to tolerate, work through, and talk about the feelings that previously needed to be warded off. In addition, parents are relieved of the burden of feeling heightened responsibility as the locus of the child's problems. The clinician joins the parent and the child as a system all directly working toward improvement. The efficacy of RFP-C has been demonstrated in an initial pilot study (Prout et al., 2019b) and promising preliminary data from a recent randomized controlled trial of the intervention (Di Giuseppe et al., 2020c; Prout, 2020).

ASSESSMENT OF DEFENSE MECHANISMS IN CHILDREN

Defined as unconscious operations that protect the self from the awareness of feelings and thoughts of internal conflicts and external stressors (Vaillant, 1992; MacGregor and Olson, 2005; American Psychiatric Association, 2013), defense mechanisms play a key role in RFP-C. This therapeutic approach is based on the observation, interpretation, and developing awareness of child defense mechanisms either activated "in session" or reported in the patient narratives (Perry et al., 2020). The accuracy of defense mechanism assessment becomes essential for successfully addressing immature defensive patterns and fostering adaptive implicit emotion regulation (Di Giuseppe et al., 2019, 2020a). Despite progress in defense mechanism assessment in adults (Bond et al., 1989; Perry, 1990; Perry and Henry, 2004; Di Giuseppe et al., 2014, 2020b), only a few measures assess defenses in children (Cramer, 1991; Laor et al., 2001; Nimroody et al., 2019). None of these utilize an empirically-derived, observer-rated methodology that can be applied to psychotherapy sessions.

To fill the lack of empirical measures for child defense mechanisms assessment, one of the authors (M.D.G.), developed the Q-sort version of the Perry's Defense Mechanisms Rating Scale (DMRS-Q; Di Giuseppe et al., 2014) for clinical use. Our aim is to create a new computerized observer-rated measure for assessing defense mechanisms in children, the Defense Mechanisms Rating Scale—Q-Sort for Children (DMRS-QC), based on the theoretical background of the DMRS-Q. This will be the first attempt to provide an empirical instrument consistent with the definitions and hierarchical organization of defense mechanisms (Vaillant, 1992; American Psychiatric Association, 1994; Perry and Henry, 2004). Analyzing defense mechanisms in action in RFP-C has the potential to promote identification of the

defensive profile of children with disruptive behaviors, as well as the changes that underlie successful RFP-C treatment outcome. The DMRS-QC will provide an effective and easy-to-use measure for examining defense mechanisms in children across a wide range of treatment modalities.

TRAINING IN RFP-C

One of the advantages of RFP-C is the ease with which it can be applied. As any other evidence-based psychotherapy, RFP-C requires a specific training for its reliable use.

The training includes didactic instruction, a competency quiz, and attendance at several supervision sessions. RFP-C therapists learn how to focus on behavioral, non-verbal, verbal, and play disruptions as evidence of defense mechanisms in action. Attention is also paid to the importance of the therapeutic relationship as a vehicle for therapeutic intervention. The recognition of specific defensive patterns and their underlying regulation function during the session allow the RFP-C therapist to efficiently address the implicit emotion regulation strategies and enhance changes in the child overall defensive maturity. Thus, the knowledge of definitions and functions of defense mechanisms is a crucial part of the RFP-C training.

DISCUSSION

Preliminary validation studies on the efficacy of RFP-C in treating ODD children have found that the treatment provides relief from symptoms of ODD and an increase in overall emotion regulation (Prout et al., 2019b; Prout, 2020). In working with parents, therapists help them in observing, reflecting and understanding the triggers which provoke the child's disruptive behavior. Parents can then reflect of more effective ways of addressing the triggers. Working with children who have ODD allows them to find new ways of thinking about their emotions and behaviors as a defensive response to anger, frustration, and fear. Throughout the therapeutic relationship children experience positive social relationships where unpleasant feelings can be thought about and not only acted upon.

Initially formulated in New York City as a collaboration among faculty from three institutions, The New York Psychoanalytic Society and Institute, The Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, and most importantly at the Ferkauf Graduate School of Psychology where the randomized controlled trial has been conducted, RFP-C is now practiced by many practitioners, who have had various exposures to the principles of RFP-C across the United States. Close collaboration with one of the authors (M.D.G.) has allowed us to expand the dissemination of this manualized psychotherapy to Italy, where the Center for Regulation Focused Psychotherapy for Children will begin to offer official RFP-C training in the near future.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MD conceived the idea and made a significant contribution by drafting the manuscript. All authors critically revised the manuscript and approved the final version to be published.

REFERENCES

- American Psychiatric Association (1994). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 4th Edn.* Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.
- American Psychiatric Association (2013). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 5th Edn.* Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.
- Baden, A. D., and Howe, G. W. (1992). Mothers' attributions and expectancies regarding their conduct disordered children. *J. Abnorm. Child Psychol.* 20, 467–485. doi: 10.1007/BF00916810
- Bickett, L. R., Milich, R., and Brown, R. T. (1996). Attributional styles of aggressive boys and their mothers. *J. Abnorm. Child Psychol.* 24, 457–472. doi: 10.1007/BF01441568
- Bond, M., Perry, J. C., Gautier, M., Goldenberg, M., Oppenheimer, J., and Simand, J. (1989). Validating the self-report of defense styles. *J. Pers. Disord.* 3, 101–112.
- Brestan, E. V., and Eyberg, S. M. (1998). Effective psychosocial treatments of conduct-disordered children and adolescents: 29 years, 82 studies, and 5,272 kids. *J. Clin. Child Psychol.* 27, 180–189. doi: 10.1207/s15374424jccp2702_5
- Cramer, P. (Ed.). (1991). "The defense mechanism manual," in *The Development of Defense Mechanisms* (New York, NY: Springer-Verlag), 215–234.
- Di Giuseppe, M., Gennaro, A., Lingardi, V., and Perry, J. C. (2019). The role of defense mechanisms in emerging personality disorders in clinical adolescents. *Psychiatry* 82, 128–142. doi: 10.1080/00332747.2019.1579595
- Di Giuseppe, M., Perry, J. C., Conversano, C., Gelo, O. C. G., and Gennaro, A. (2020a). Defense mechanisms, gender and adaptiveness in emerging personality disorders in adolescent outpatients. *J. Nerv. Ment. Dis.* doi: 10.1097/NMD.0000000000001230. [Epub ahead of print].
- Di Giuseppe, M., Perry, J. C., Lucchesi, M., Michelini, M., Vitiello, S., Piantanida, A., et al. (2020b). Preliminary validity and reliability of the novel self-report based on the Defense Mechanisms Rating Scales (DMRS-SR-30). *Front. Psychiatry* 11:870. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2020.00870
- Di Giuseppe, M., Perry, J. C., Petraglia, J., Janzen, J., and Lingardi, V. (2014). Development of a Q-Sort version of the Defense Mechanism Rating Scales (DMRS-Q) for clinical use. *J. Clin. Psychol.* 70, 452–465. doi: 10.1002/jclp.22089
- Di Giuseppe, M., Prout, T. A., Fabiani, M., and Kui, T. (2020c). Defensive profile of parents of children with externalizing problems receiving regulation-focused psychotherapy for children (RFP-C): a pilot study. *Mediterr. J. Clin. Psychol.* 8. doi: 10.6092/2282-1619/mjcp-2515
- Diamantopoulou, S., Verhulst, F. C., and van der Ende, J. (2010). Testing developmental pathways to antisocial personality problems. *J. Abnorm. Child Psychol.* 38, 91–103. doi: 10.1007/s10802-009-9348-7
- Eyberg, S. M., and Bussing, R. (2010). "Parent-child interaction therapy for preschool children with conduct problems," in *Clinical Handbook of Assessing and Treating Conduct Problems in Youth*, eds R. C. Murrihy, A. D. Kidman, and T. H. Ollendick (New York, NY: Springer), 139–162. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4419-6297-3_6
- Fernandez, M. A., and Eyberg, S. M. (2009). Predicting treatment and follow-up attrition in parent-child interaction therapy. *J. Abnorm. Child Psychol.* 37, 431–441. doi: 10.1007/s10802-008-9281-1
- Granero, R., Louwaars, L., and Ezpeleta, L. (2015). Socioeconomic status and oppositional defiant disorder in preschoolers: parenting practices and executive functioning as mediating variables. *Front. Psychol.* 6:1412. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2015.01412
- Hoffman, L., and Rice, T. (2016). *Manual of Regulation-Focused Psychotherapy for Children (RFP-C) With Externalizing Behaviors: A Psychodynamic Approach.* New York, NY: Routledge.
- Hudson, J. L., Murayama, K., Meteyard, L., Morris, T., and Dodd, H. F. (2018). Early childhood predictors of anxiety in early adolescence. *J. Abnorm. Child Psychol.* 47, 1121–1133. doi: 10.1007/s10802-018-0495-6
- Katzmann, J., Döpfner, M., and Görtz-Dorten, A. (2018). Child-based treatment of oppositional defiant disorder: mediating effects on parental depression, anxiety and stress. *Eur. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry* 27, 1181–1192. doi: 10.1007/s00787-018-1181-5
- Kazdin, A. E. (1990). Premature termination from treatment among children referred for antisocial behavior. *Child Psychol. Psychiatry Allied Discipl.* 31, 415–425. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.1990.tb01578.x
- Kazdin, A. E., and Weisz, J. R. (1998). Identifying and developing empirically supported child and adolescent treatments. *J. Consult. Clin. Psychol.* 66, 19–36.
- Lanier, P., Kohl, P. L., Benz, J., Swinger, D., Moussette, P., and Drake, B. (2011). Parent-child interaction therapy in a community setting: examining outcomes, attrition, and treatment setting. *Res. Soc. Work Pract.* 21, 689–698. doi: 10.1177/1049731511406551
- Laor, N., Wolmer, L., and Cicchetti, D. V. (2001). The comprehensive assessment of defensive style: measuring defense mechanisms in children and adolescents. *J. Nerv. Ment. Disord.* 189, 360–368. doi: 10.1097/00005053-200106000-00003
- Lin, X., Li, Y., Xu, S., Ding, W., Zhou, Q., Du, H., et al. (2019). Family risk factors associated with oppositional defiant disorder symptoms, depressive symptoms, and aggressive behaviors among chinese children with oppositional defiant disorder. *Front. Psychol.* 10:2062. doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2019.02062
- Liu, J. (2004). Childhood externalizing behavior: theory and implications. *J. Child Adolesc. Psychiatr. Nurs.* 17, 93–103. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-6171.2004.tb00003.x
- MacGregor, M. W., and Olson, T. R. (2005). "Defense mechanisms: their relation to personality and health. an exploration of defense mechanisms assessed by the Defense-Q," in *Advances in Psychology Research*, Vol. 36, ed A. Columbus (Hauppauge, NY: Nova Science Publishers), 95–141.
- Manti, F., Giovannone, F., and Sogos, C. (2019). Parental stress of preschool children with generalized anxiety or oppositional defiant disorder. *Front. Pediatr.* 7:415. doi: 10.3389/fped.2019.00415
- Nimroody, T., Hoffman, L., Christian, C., Rice, T., and Murphy, S. (2019). Development of a defense mechanisms manual for children's Doll Play (DMCP). *J. Infant Child Adolesc. Psychother.* 18, 58–70. doi: 10.1080/15289168.2018.1565005
- Perry, J. C. (1990). *Defense Mechanism Rating Scales (DMRS). 5th Edn.* Cambridge, MA: J. C. Perry.
- Perry, J. C., Banon, E., and Bond, M. (2020). Change in defense mechanisms and depression in a pilot study of antidepressive medications plus 20 sessions of psychotherapy for recurrent major depression. *J. Nerv. Ment. Dis.* 208, 261–268. doi: 10.1097/NMD.0000000000001112
- Perry, J. C., and Henry, M. (2004). "Studying defense mechanisms in psychotherapy using the Defense Mechanism Rating Scales," in *Defense Mechanisms: Theoretical, Research and Clinical Perspectives*, eds U. Hentschel, G. Smith, J. G. Draguns, and W. Ehlers (Amsterdam: Elsevier), 165–192.
- Prout, T. A. (2020). "Psychodynamic treatment for children and families: outcomes of a randomized controlled trial of RFP-C," in *Winter Meeting* (New York, NY: American Psychoanalytic Association).
- Prout, T. A., Gerber, L. E., Gaines, E., Hoffman, L., and Rice, T. R. (2015). The development of an evidence-based treatment: regulation-focused psychotherapy for children with externalizing disorders. *J. Child Psychother.* 41, 255–271. doi: 10.1080/0075417X.2015.1090695
- Prout, T. A., Malone, A., Rice, T., and Hoffman, L. (2019a). Resilience, defenses, and implicit emotion regulation in psychodynamic child psychotherapy. *J. Contemp. Psychother.* 49, 235–244. doi: 10.1007/s10879-019-09423-w
- Prout, T. A., Rice, T. R., Murphy, S., Gaines, E., Aizin, S., Sessler, D., et al. (2019b). Why is it easier to get mad than it is to feel sad? Pilot study of regulation focused psychotherapy for children. *Am. J. Psychother.* 72, 2–8. doi: 10.1176/appi.psychotherapy.20180027
- Reef, J., Diamantopoulou, S., van Meurs, I., Verhulst, F., and van der Ende, J. (2010). Predicting adult emotional and behavioral problems from externalizing problem trajectories in a 24-year longitudinal study. *Eur. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry* 19, 577–585. doi: 10.1007/s00787-010-0088-6
- Rice, T. R., and Hoffman, L. (2014). Defense mechanisms and implicit emotion regulation: a comparison of a psychodynamic construct with one from contemporary neuroscience. *J. Am. Psychoanal. Assoc.* 62, 693–708. doi: 10.1177/0003065114546746
- Rutter, M., Kim-Cohen, J., and Maughan, B. (2006). Continuities and discontinuities in psychopathology between childhood and adult life. *J. Child Psychol. Psychiatry* 47, 276–295. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2006.01614.x
- Serketich, W. J., and Dumas, J. E. (1996). The effectiveness of behavioural parent training to modify antisocial behaviour in children: a meta-analysis. *Behav. Ther.* 27, 171–186. doi: 10.1016/S0005-7894(96)80013-X
- Stringaris, A., and Goodman, R. (2009). Longitudinal outcome of youth oppositionality: irritable, headstrong, and hurtful behaviors have distinctive predictions. *J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry* 48, 404–412. doi: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e3181984f30
- Vaillant, G. E. (1992). *Ego Mechanisms of Defense: A Guide for Clinicians and Researchers.* Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.

- Webster-Stratton, C. H. (1994). "Parent intervention content: typical questions," in *Troubled Families—Problem Children*, eds C. Webster, C. Stratton, and M. Herbert (Chichester: John Wiley and Sons), 237–308.
- Werba, B. E., Eyberg, S. M., Boggs, S. R., and Algina, J. (2006). Predicting outcome in parent-child interaction therapy: success and attrition. *Behav. Modif.* 30, 618–646. doi: 10.1177/0145445504272977
- Wilens, T. E., Biederman, J., Brown, S., Tanguay, S., Monuteaux, M. C., Blake, C., et al. (2002). Psychiatric comorbidity and functioning in clinically referred preschool children and school-age youths with ADHD. *J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry* 41, 262–268. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200203000-00005

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Di Giuseppe, Prout, Rice and Hoffman. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.