



# Corrigendum: An Extensive Knowledge Mapping Review of Measurement and Validity in Language Assessment and SLA Research

## **OPEN ACCESS**

## Approved by:

Frontiers Editorial Office, Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

## \*Correspondence:

Vahid Aryadoust vahid.aryadoust@nie.edu.sg

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Language Sciences, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 17 December 2020 Accepted: 18 December 2020 Published: 08 February 2021

#### Citation:

Aryadoust V, Zakaria A, Lim MH and Chen C (2021) Corrigendum: An Extensive Knowledge Mapping Review of Measurement and Validity in Language Assessment and SLA Research. Front. Psychol. 11:643027. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.643027 Vahid Aryadoust 1\*, Azrifah Zakaria 1, Mei Hui Lim 2 and Chaomei Chen 3,4

<sup>1</sup> National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore, <sup>2</sup> Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore, <sup>3</sup> College of Computing and Informatics, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA, United States, <sup>4</sup> Department of Information Science, Yonsei University, Seoul, South Korea

Keywords: document co-citation analysis, language assessment, measurement, review, Scientometrics, validity, visualization, Second language acquisition

#### A Corrigendum on

An Extensive Knowledge Mapping Review of Measurement and Validity in Language Assessment and SLA Research

by Aryadoust, V., Zakaria, A., Lim, M. H., and Chen, C. (2020). Front. Psychol. 11:1941. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01941

In the original article, there were several errors throughout the text. The corrections made are detailed in the table below.

The authors apologize for these errors and state that they do not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

1

Mistake made	Name of section/subsection	Paragraph number	Corrected text
Lado (1961) and the book chapter of Carroll (1961),	INTRODUCTION	1 (p.1, line 113)	Lado's (1961) and the book chapter of Carroll's (1961),
Fulcher (n.d.)	INTRODUCTION	1 (p.2 line 133)	(Fulcher, n.d.)
in Bachman (1990) view	Second Aim	3 (p.3 line 271)	in Bachman's (1990) view
of Cronbach and Meehl (1955)	Second Aim	2 (p.3, l. 292)	of Cronbach and Meehl's (1955)
Messick	Second Aim	2 (p.3, l. 300)	Messick's
Kane (2006) frameworks	Second Aim	2 (p.3, I.301)	Kane's (2006) frameworks
Weir (2005b) socio-cognitive framework or	Second Aim	3 (p.3, I.306)	Weir's (2005b) socio-cognitive framework or
Borsboom and Mellenbergh (2007) test	Second Aim	3 (p.3, I.306-307)	Borsboom and Mellenbergh's (2007) test
Borsboom and Mellenbergh (2007) work	Second Aim	3 (p.3, I.308-309)	Borsboom and Mellenbergh's (2007) work
Weir (2005a) framework	Second Aim	3 (p.3, I.311)	Weir's (2005a) framework
target use domain (TLU)	Table 1, Row 1, Column 2	p.6, l. 574-575	target language use (TLU) domain
patterns on	Table 1, Row 4, Column 3	p. 6, l.650	patterns.
e.g., of which are too	First Aim: Characterizing the Detected Clusters; Cluster 0: Language assessment (and comprehension)	2 (p.13, l.1477)	examples of which are too
Carr (2006) study	First Aim: Characterizing the Detected Clusters; Cluster 0: Language assessment (and comprehension)	3 (p.16, l.1722)	Carr's (2006) study
Bachman and Palmer (1996) task characteristics	First Aim: Characterizing the Detected Clusters; Cluster 0: Language assessment (and comprehension)	3 (p.16, l.1723)	Bachman and Palmer's (1996) task characteristics
Carr (2006) investigation	First Aim: Characterizing the Detected Clusters; Cluster 0: Language assessment (and comprehension)	3 (p.16, l.1724)	Carr's (2006) investigation
Doughty (2001) work	First Aim: Characterizing the Detected Clusters; Cluster 0: Language assessment (and comprehension)	6 (p.16, l.1769)	Doughty's (2001) work
Banerjee et al. (2015) article	First Aim: Characterizing the Detected Clusters; Cluster 1: Rating (and Validation)	2 (p.16, l.1814)	Banerjee et al.'s (2015) article
Ortega (2003)	First Aim: Characterizing the Detected Clusters; Cluster 1: Rating (and Validation)	3 (p.17, l.1834)	Ortega's (2003)
Long (2007)'s	Cluster 1: Language Acquisition (Implicit vs. explicit)	1 (p. 17, l. 1922)	Long's (2007)
Aksnes et al. (2019) cautions	CONCLUSION	2 (p.22, l.2492)	Aksnes et al.'s (2019) cautions
DCA was conducted twice times	First Aim: Document Co-Citation Analysis (DCA)	1, (p. 4, l. 436)	DCA was conducted twice
Schmitt (2008) review	Cluster 2: Vocabulary Learning	1, (p.19, l. 2099)	Schmitt's (2008) review
Kane (2006)	Conclusion	3, (p.23, l.2510)	Kane's (2006)

### REFERENCES

- Aksnes, D. W., Langfeldt, L., and Wouters, P. (2019). Citations, citation indicators, and research quality: an overview of basic concepts and theories. Sage Open 9, 1–17. doi: 10.1177/2158244019829575
- Bachman, L. F. (1990). Fundamental Considerations in Language Testing. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bachman, L. F., and Palmer, A. S. (1996). Language Testing in Practice: Designing and Developing Useful Language Tests. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Banerjee, J., Yan, X., Chapman, M., and Elliott, H. (2015). Keeping up with the times: revising and refreshing rating scale. *Assess.Writ. Int. J.* 26, 5–19. doi: 10.1016/j.asw.2015.07.001
- Borsboom, D., and Mellenbergh, G. J. (2007). "Test validity in cognitive assessment," in *Cognitive Diagnostic Assessment for Education: Theory and Applications*, eds J. P. Leighton, and M. J. Gierl (New York,
- NY: Cambridge University Press), 85–115. doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511611 186.004
- Carr, N. T. (2006). The factor structure of test task characteristics and examinee performance. *Lang. Test.* 23, 269–289. doi: 10.1191/0265532206lt 3280a
- Carroll, J. B. (1961) "Fundamental considerations in testing for english language proficiency of foreign students," in *Testing Center for Applied Linguistics* (Washington, DC). Reprinted in Allen, H.B. & Campbell, R.N. (eds.). (1972) Teaching English as a Second Language: A Book of Readings. McGraw Hill.
- Cronbach, L. J., and Meehl, P. E. (1955). Construct validity in psychological tests. *Psychol. Bull.* 52, 281–302. doi: 10.1037/h0040957
- Doughty, C. (2001). "Cognitive underpinnings of focus on form," in Cognition and Second Language Instruction, eds P. Robinson, M. H. Long, and

- J. C. Richards (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) 206–257. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781139524780.010
- Fulcher, G. (n.d.). What Is Language Testing. Language Testing Resources.

  Available online at: http://languagetesting.info/whatis/lt.html
- Kane, M. T. (2006). "Validation," in Educational Measurement, 4th Edn, ed R. L. Brennan (Westport, CT: American Council on Education/Praeger), 17–64.
- Lado, R. (1961). Language Testing: The Construction and Use of Foreign Language Tests: A Teacher's Book. Bristol: Inglaterra Longmans, Green and Company.
- Long, M. H. (2007). *Problems in SLA*. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.
- Ortega, L. (2003). Syntactic complexity measures and their relationship to L2 proficiency: a research synthesis of college-level L2 Writing. *Appl. Linguist.* 24, 492–518. doi: 10.1093/applin/24.4.492

- Schmitt, N. (2008). Review article: instructed second language vocabulary learning. Lang. Teach. Res. 12, 329–363. doi: 10.1177/1362168808089921
- Weir, C. J. (2005a). Language Testing and Validation: An Evidence-Based Approach. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Weir, C. J. (2005b). Language Testing and Validation. London: Palgrave Macmillan. doi: 10.1057/9780230514577

Copyright © 2021 Aryadoust, Zakaria, Lim and Chen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.