



Corrigendum: Supporting Double Duty Caregiving and Good Employment Practices in Health Care Within an Aging Society

Sarah I. Detaille ^{1,2}, Annet de Lange ^{1,2,3,4,5}, Josephine Engels ¹, Mirthe Pijnappels ¹, Nathan Hutting ¹, Eghe Osagie ¹ and Adela Reig-Botella ^{1,2*}

¹ Department of Human Resource Management, HAN University of Applied Sciences, Nijmegen, Netherlands, ² Department of Psychology, Universidade da Coruna, A Coruña, Spain, ³ Faculty of Psychology, Open University Heerlen, Heerlen, Netherlands, ⁴ Norwegian School of Hotel Management, University of Stavanger, Stavanger, Norway, ⁵ Faculty of Psychology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway

Keywords: sustainable employability, double duty caregiving, self-management, HRM, scoping review, qualitative research

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Darren C. Treadway, Daemen College, United States

*Correspondence:

Adela Reig-Botella adela.reig@udc.es

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Organizational Psychology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 10 February 2021 Accepted: 19 March 2021 Published: 29 April 2021

Citation:

Detaille SI, de Lange A, Engels J, Pijnappels M, Hutting N, Osagie E and Reig-Botella A (2021) Corrigendum: Supporting Double Duty Caregiving and Good Employment Practices in Health Care Within an Aging Society. Front. Psychol. 12:666611. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.666611

A Corrigendum on

Supporting Double Duty Caregiving and Good Employment Practices in Health Care Within an Aging Society

by Detaille, S. I., de Lange, A., Engels, J., Pijnappels, M., Hutting, N., Osagie, E., et al. (2020). Front. Psychol. 11:535353. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.535353

In the original article, there was an error. A citation was not formulated in the correct way. A correction has been made to *Materials and Methods*, *Methodological Theoretical Framework*, *Paragraph 2*. The corrected paragraph is shown below.

Secondly, we conducted a thematic analysis of qualitative data based on focus groups with nurses from two elderly health care organizations in the Netherlands to explore the different roles double duty care givers describe. Earlier research has shown that different caring roles can be found (Ward-Griffin et al., 2015; Klages et al., 2020). Previous research by Klages et al. (2020) has explored the blending of mothering and caregiving roles. In this current research we have explored different roles in double duty caregivers. The results of the scoping review have been used to create a sense of urgency form the perspective of the HR department and management from both elderly home care organizations to be able to conduct further research in the form of qualitative narrative research (focus groups). Furthermore, the results of the scoping review have been used to the construct the topic list for the focus groups as well as for the final synthesis of the results.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

1

REFERENCES

Klages, D., East, L., Usher, K., and Jackson, D. (2020). Modes of informed caring: perspectives of health professionals who are mothers of adult children with schizophrenia. *Issues Ment. Health Nurs.* 41, 792–798. doi: 10.1080/01612840.2020.17 31890

Ward-Griffin, C., Brown, J. B., St-Amant, O., Sutherland, N., Martin-Matthews, A., Keefe, J., et al. (2015). Nurses negotiating professional-familial care boundaries: striving for balance within double Duty

caregiving. J. Fam. Nurs. 21, 57–85. doi: 10.1177/10748407145 62645

Copyright © 2021 Detaille, de Lange, Engels, Pijnappels, Hutting, Osagie and Reig-Botella. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.