Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Tasuku Hashimoto, International University of Health and Welfare (IUHW), Japan

REVIEWED BY Julian Mutz, King's College London, United Kingdom Joel W. Hughes, Kent State University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Markus Gerber Markus.gerber@unibas.ch

RECEIVED 24 March 2023 ACCEPTED 31 May 2023 PUBLISHED 20 June 2023

CITATION

Gerber M, Cody R, Beck J, Brand S, Donath L, Eckert A, Hatzinger M, Imboden C, Kreppke J-N, Lang UE, Ludyga S, Mans S, Mikoteit T, Oswald A, Schweinfurth-Keck N, Zahner L and Faude O (2023) Cardiorespiratory fitness and cardiovascular risk among in-patients with depression compared to healthy controls. *Front. Psychiatry* 14:1193004. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2023.1193004

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Gerber, Cody, Beck, Brand, Donath, Eckert, Hatzinger, Imboden, Kreppke, Lang, Ludyga, Mans, Mikoteit, Oswald, Schweinfurth-Keck, Zahner and Faude. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Cardiorespiratory fitness and cardiovascular risk among in-patients with depression compared to healthy controls

Markus Gerber^{1*}, Robyn Cody¹, Johannes Beck², Serge Brand^{1,3,4,5,6}, Lars Donath⁷, Anne Eckert³, Martin Hatzinger⁸, Christian Imboden⁹, Jan-Niklas Kreppke¹, Undine E. Lang³, Sebastian Ludyga¹, Sarah Mans⁹, Thorsten Mikoteit⁸, Anja Oswald², Nina Schweinfurth-Keck³, Lukas Zahner¹ and Oliver Faude¹

¹Department for Sport, Exercise and Health, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland, ²Psychiatric Clinic Sonnenhalde, Riehen, Switzerland, ³Adult Psychiatric Clinics (UPKE), University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland, ⁴Sleep Disorders Research Center, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran, ⁵Substance Use Prevention Research Center and Sleep Disorder Research Center, Kermanshah, University of Medical Sciences (KUMS), Kermanshah, Iran, ⁶School of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS), Tehran, Iran, ⁷German Sport University Cologne, Cologne, Germany, ⁸Psychiatric Services Solothurn, Solothurn, Switzerland, and University of Basel, Solothurn, Switzerland, ⁹Private Clinic Wyss, Münchenbuchsee, Switzerland

Introduction: Compared to the general population, individuals with depression have an increased risk for cardiovascular diseases. Nevertheless, little is known so far whether cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) moderates this relationship. Therefore, we examined whether common physiological cardiovascular risk factors differ between patients with depression and healthy (non-depressed) controls, whether patients and controls differ in CRF, and whether higher CRF is associated with a lower cardiovascular risk in both patients and healthy controls. Additionally, we examined whether within the patient sample, cardiovascular risk factors differ between patients with mild, moderate and severe depression, and whether the relationship between symptom severity and cardiovascular risk is moderated by patients' CRF levels.

Methods: Data from a multi-centric, two-arm randomized controlled trial (RCT) was analyzed, including 210 patients (F32, single episode: n=72, F33, recurrent major depression: n=135, F31-II, bipolar type II: n=3) and 125 healthy controls. Waist circumference, body mass index, body fat, blood pressure, cholesterol levels, triglycerides, and blood glucose were considered as cardiovascular risk markers. CRF was assessed with a submaximal ergometer test. Differences between groups were examined via χ^2 -tests and (multivariate) analyses of covariance.

Results: Compared to healthy controls, patients with depression had a higher cardiovascular risk as evident from about half of the examined indicators. In the total sample, participants with good CRF had more favourable scores across nearly all risk markers than counterparts with poor CRF. For most variables, no interaction occurred between group and fitness, indicating that in patients and controls, similar differences existed between participants with poor and good CRF. Few differences in risk markers were found between patients with mild, moderate and severe depression, and no interaction occurred between depression severity and CRF.

Discussion: Patients with depression and healthy controls differ in several cardiovascular risk markers, putting patients at increased risk for CVDs. In contrast, people with good CRF show more favourable cardiovascular risk scores, a relationship which was observed in both healthy controls and patients with depression. Physical health of psychiatric patients should receive the clinical attention that it deserves. Lifestyle interventions targeting healthy diet and/or physical activity are recommended as a physically active and healthy lifestyle contributes equally to patients' mental well-being and cardiovascular health.

KEYWORDS

 $\mathsf{VO}_2\mathsf{max},$ overweight, major depression, blood pressure, cholesterol, triglycerides, HbA1c

1. Introduction

Depression is a global health concern, affecting millions of people worldwide (1). Depending on the geographic region, estimates of lifetime prevalence of major depressive disorder vary between 2 and 30% (2–5). Depression is more prevalent among women than men (about 2:1 ratio), and the median age of onset is around 25 years (6, 7). Depression strongly contributes to higher Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALYs) (8). Projections assume that depression will be the leading cause of disability worldwide by 2030 (9), which might be partly due to the high comorbidity with cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) (10, 11).

Close links between the mind and the heart have been suggested already in the first half of the 17th century, but empirical evidence for this assumption only appeared around 1930 when researchers found that patients who were depressed at the time they experienced an acute myocardial infarction had a significantly higher mortality risk compared to non-depressed counterparts (6, 12). Since then, research on the associations between symptoms of depression, CVDs and mortality steadily increased (13).

With regard to excess mortality, compared to the general population, individuals with severe mental illnesses (SMI) die on average 10–25 years earlier, most often in close association with CVDs (10, 14, 15). This mortality gap appeared to increase over the past years (16). Even in Scandinavia, where high-quality and most equitable healthcare is provided, this gap has not substantially narrowed during the past decades (17). One reason for the persisting or widening gap might be that secondary prevention is much less successful in people with mental illnesses than in the general population including programs that target on reduction of overweight, smoking, unhealthy nutrition and physical inactivity (10).

In line with this, compared to the general population, individuals with depression have an increased risk for nearly all 'traditional' cardiovascular risk factors, including higher prevalence of overweight/ obesity, type II diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, inflammation, autonomic dysfunction, unbalanced diet, higher alcohol consumption, tobacco smoking, and low compliance to pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatments as well as higher levels of sedentary behavior (7, 18). This notion was supported by a recent review (13), in which a large number of meta-analyses were identified on the relationship between depression and excess mortality (k=14), CVDs (k=15), diabetes (k=25), overweight/obesity (k=15), and metabolic

syndrome (k=5). In summary, the association between depression and excess mortality is considered as a robust epidemiological finding, which is partly attributable to the fact that people with depression are at increased risk for CVDs and accumulate more cardiovascular risk factors. However, the causal associations between depression and CVDs are complex and to some extent bidirectional. For instance, while depression is associated with incident CVD, depression can also be a consequence of cardiac events and worsen prognosis.

Among individuals with depression, biological and behavioral factors may simultaneously contribute to the increased risk of CVD (7, 10, 16, 17). Biological mechanisms include alterations in heart function and rhythm, increased coagulability and platelet aggregation, dysregulations of the autonomic nervous system, alterations of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical (HPA) axis, increased inflammation, and endothelial dysfunction (6, 7, 12, 19-21). Further, psychopharmacological treatments can lead to weight gain and metabolic changes (6, 21), as they contribute to obesity, dyslipidaemia, type II diabetes and other metabolic alterations (22, 23). Another factor is seen in the decreased likelihood of receiving adequate medical care (19, 23). Psychiatrists and family physicians might be more likely to oversee and/or not treat physical conditions in individuals with depression, because they might wrongly assume that physical symptoms are psychological (diagnostic overshadowing) or because individuals with depression are less able or likely to communicate their physical needs (17, 24). Although screening recommendations have existed for almost 20 years, many patients with psychiatric disorders remain unscreened with regard to cardiovascular risk factors (17, 25). Moreover, given that socioeconomic disadvantage is more common among people with SMI (16), costs associated with insurance and treatment may be further barriers (24). Finally, poor compliance with healthy lifestyle recommendations such as balanced diet, avoiding tobacco, and sufficient and regular physical activity might also contribute to pathophysiology of CVDs in people with depression (6, 7, 19, 21).

So far, most public health efforts to reduce mortality in people with SMI have been directed towards reducing suicide rates, although a large number of excess deaths are the result of chronic physical health conditions (16, 26). Nevertheless, awareness for more systematic screening, monitoring and management of existing CVDs and their risk factors in this patient population is increasing (10, 25). This may help to identify individuals with particularly high risks who need different monitoring and/or intervention schemes. Moreover, systematic assessment of modifiable risk factors (see below) that moderate the CVD risk may help to identify areas in which there is a particularly great need for action (10).

Level of lifestyle physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) might be such moderating factors (7). Caspersen et al. (27) defined physical activity as "any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that results in energy expenditure" (p. 126), whereas CRF corresponds to one specific component of health-related physical fitness (an attribute that people have or achieve). According to Caspersen et al. (27), CRF relates to the "ability of the circulatory and respiratory systems to supply fuel during sustained physical activity and to eliminate fatigue products after supplying fuel" (p. 128). The reasons why physical activity and CRF might qualify as moderating factors are as follows: first, people with depression are less likely to engage in regular physical activity, and tend to engage in more sedentary activities (7). Second, CRF is significantly decreased in people with depression (28), and significantly reduced heart rate recovery further points towards autonomic dysfunction in these patients (29). Third, poor CRF is a risk factor for CVDs and all-cause mortality (30), and improvements are associated with a reduced risk, independent of other relevant factors such as age, body composition and smoking (31). Importantly, CRF improves in a clinically meaningful way in people with depression after participation in exercise training (32, 33). Fourth, empirical evidence suggests that the CVD risk of depression is mitigated in people who engage in regular physical activity or who present with better CRF. To provide some examples, the prospective Cooper Center Longitudinal Study with 47'702 adults showed that both history of depression (HR = 1.24) and metabolic syndrome (HR=1.28) were independent risk factors of increased mortality risk, with the highest risk found in individuals combining both conditions (HR=1.59). By contrast, moderate (HR=0.64) or high CRF (HR=0.50) were associated with a significantly decreased mortality risk. Importantly, those who suffered from both depression and metabolic syndrome and showed moderate or high CRF levels had a similar mortality risk as controls with low CRF (34). Similarly, a longitudinal study with 5'888 individuals from US communities showed that individuals presenting with either depressive symptoms or low physical activity were at greater risk for premature cardiovascular mortality. The probability was even higher in those who combined both risk factors. After adjustment for further confounders, physical activity was associated with a 26% reduction of cardiovascular mortality. This rate was similar in people without and with previously established coronary heart disease (35).

Given the background presented above, the purpose of the present study was five-fold. First, to find out whether common physiological cardiovascular risk factors (waist circumference, body mass index, body fat, blood pressure, cholesterol levels, triglycerides, and blood glucose) differ between patients with depression and healthy (non-depressed) controls. Second, to examine whether patients and controls differ in CRF, and whether higher CRF is associated with a lower cardiovascular risk. Third, to examine whether cardiovascular risk is moderated by participants' CRF level. Fourth, to examine whether within the patient sample, cardiovascular risk factors would differ between patients with mild, moderate and severe depression, after controlling for sociodemographic factors, depression history and antidepressant intake. Fifth, to examine whether the relationship between depressive symptom severity and cardiovascular risk is moderated by patients' CRF levels. Based on current empirical evidence, we formulated the following hypotheses. First, cardiovascular risk is increased in patients with depression compared to healthy controls (Hypothesis 1) (13). Second, healthy controls present with higher CRF than patients with depression (Hypothesis 2a); participants with better CRF have lower cardiovascular risk (Hypothesis 2b) (28). Third, differences in cardiovascular risk factors between patients with depression and healthy controls are more pronounced in participants with low CRF levels (Hypothesis 3) (34, 35). Fourth, patients with higher depressive symptom severity report a less favorable cardiovascular risk profile than counterparts with lower symptom severity (Hypothesis 4) (13). Fifth, depressive symptom severity and CRF interact, in the sense that stronger (positive) associations appear between symptom severity and cardiovascular risk in patients with poor CRF compared to counterparts with better CRF (Hypothesis 5) (34, 35).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Design

The present paper is based on baseline data from a multi-centric, two-arm randomized controlled trial (RCT), including an intervention group (personalized physical activity and exercise counselling program) and a placebo control group (general instructions about health-enhancing physical activity). The study was initiated by the Department of Sport, Exercise and Health of the University of Basel, and carried out in cooperation with four Swiss psychiatric clinics (2 public, 2 private).

2.2. Participants

Recruitment lasted from June 2019 to October 2021. Based on a structured clinical interview, all participants fulfilled ICD-10 diagnosis for first (F32) or recurrent depression (F33) and bipolar disorder type II, currently depressed (F31-II). Moreover, information was collected on duration of the current depressive episode, number of previous depressive episodes, as well as psychiatric and somatic comorbidities. As part of the screening process, patients completed the 21-item Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II) (36, 37) to assess depressive symptom severity. Subjective information on physical activity during the last week before entering the clinic was collected via the short version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) (38). To be eligible for the present study, patients had to meet the following inclusion criteria: (a) 18-65 years of age, (b) presence of major depression according to ICD-10 diagnostic criteria (F32, F33) or bipolar disorder type II, currently depressed (F31-II), (c) BDI≥17 (at least borderline clinical depression), (d) currently not meeting the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) physical activity recommendations (IPAQ < 150 min/week of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity), (e) written informed consent, and (f) ability to speak and read German. An age-and gender-matched sample of healthy controls was aimed at to allow an unbiased comparison of cardiovascular risk markers with patients. Recruitment of healthy controls was done through advertisements in online forums and word-of-mouth recommendations. For healthy controls, the following inclusion criteria were applied: (a) women and men, (b) 18-65 years

of age, (c) HAMD17 \leq 7, (d) BDI \leq 13, (e) currently not meeting the ACSM physical activity recommendations, (f) written informed consent, and (g) ability to speak and read German.

Recruitment was delayed due to COVID-19-related challenges and had to be finalized after 244 patients and 151 healthy controls had been recruited, with 210 patients and 125 healthy controls fulfilling all inclusion criteria and having valid data for depressive symptoms severity and CRF at baseline. Eight patients and two controls were excluded because of reported intake of betablockers, which may have had an effect on heart rate during the fitness test and blood pressure.

The study was reviewed by a competent ethics committee (Ethikkommission Nordwest-und Zentralschweiz; ref. approval no. 2018-00976) and all procedures were in line with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The intervention study was registered in the WHO trial register (trial number: ISRCTN10469580). Participants received information about the general goals of the study and provided informed written consent before study entry. Participation in the study was voluntary and withdrawal or discontinuation possible at any time.

2.3. Data assessment and measures

Screening of patients took place in the first week after admission to in-patient treatment, baseline data assessment after 2–3 weeks after admission in one of the four involved clinics. Screening and data assessment were done simultaneously in healthy controls. All data assessment procedures were identical for patients and controls.

The BDI-II (36, 37) was applied to assess depression severity. The 21-item BDI-II is a frequently used tool to assess symptoms of unipolar depression such as affective, behavioral, cognitive, and somatic symptoms (e.g., "I am so unhappy/sad that I cannot stand it"). Items were answered on a 4-point scale (from 0 to 3), resulting in sum scores from 0 to 63, with higher scores reflecting stronger depressive symptomatology. The reliability and validity of the BDI-II is well documented (39). Depression severity was defined as follows: mild depression (BDI-II=0–19), moderate depression (BDI-II=20–28) and severe depression (BDI-II=29–63) (40).

CRF (VO₂max) was estimated with the Åstrand indirect test of maximal oxygen uptake (41). The test was performed on a bicycle ergometer (Bike Forma; Technogym, Lyss, Switzerland) at the same time of the day (starting between 8–10 am). The pedalling frequency was set at 50 revolutions per minute (rpm), while the workload was adjusted so that the heart rate was kept between 130-160 beats per minute (bpm) in participants younger than 40 years old and between 120-150 bpm in participants older than 40 years old. The Borg Rating of Perceived Exertion scale (42) was used to ensure that participants maintain their exercise intensity level at 13 or 14 (slightly strenuous). Following stabilization of heart rate after 5 or 6 min, peak oxygen uptake (lmin) was estimated based on mean steady-state, sex and power-output, using a nomogram (41) and including a correction factor for age. Oxygen uptake was expressed as VO2max (ml/kg/min), after correction for body weight. Gender and age-adjusted cut-offs were used based on norms defined by the ACSM to categorize participants into groups with poor-to-fair (labelled as "poor") and good-to-superior CRF (labelled as "good") (43). Previous studies have demonstrated the reliability and validity of the Åstrand nomogram and the linear extrapolation for deriving VO₂max (44).

A digital weighing scale (BC-545; Tanita, Arlington Heights, Illinois, United States) was used to measure body weight (to the nearest 0.1 kg, in light cloths and without shoes). Body height was measured with a stadiometer (to the nearest 0.5 cm, without shoes). Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated as: weight (kg)/(standing height [meters (m)]²). Participants were classified as overweight if their BMI was $\geq 25.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$, and obese if their BMI was $\geq 30.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$ (45). Percentage of body fat was also measured with the BC-545 weighing scale via bioelectrical impedance analysis. Following WHO standards (45), maximum levels of 32% for women and 25% for men are recommended. A flexible tape at the natural waist (half way between the ribcage and the iliac crest) was used to determine waist circumference. The expert panel of the National Cholesterol Education Program III (46) defines a waist circumference of $\geq 80 \text{ cm}$ (women) and $\geq 94 \text{ cm}$ (men) as a risk factor for metabolic syndrome.

After a 5 min resting period, systolic (SBP) and diastolic (DBP) blood pressure were measured on the left upper arm, in a seated position. Blood pressure was assessed twice within 5 min with the Omron[®] digital blood pressure monitor. Previous studies have supported the validity of this oscillometric device (47). Participants were considered hypertensive if they had SBP of \geq 140 mmHg and/or DBP of \geq 90 mmHg (48).

Capillary blood was drawn between 07:00 and 08:30 after fasting since 22:00 the day before by trained research assistants. Total cholesterol [TC], low-density-lipoprotein cholesterol [LDL-C], highdensity-lipoprotein cholesterol [HDL-C], triglycerides [TG] and HbA1c were analyzed via the Afinion test (Alere Technologies; Abbott, Wädenswil, Switzerland). One drop of blood was taken up by the test strip and read by the machine. Alere point-of-care (PAC) analyser results showed good correspondence with reference laboratory tests for HbA1c and lipid levels (49, 50). The following cut-offs of the National Cholesterol Education Program III were considered for borderline high total cholesterol (\geq 5.14 mmoL/L), low HDL (\leq 1.54 mmoL/L), borderline high LDL cholesterol (\geq 3.34 mmoL/L) and borderline high triglycerides (\geq 1.69 mmoL/L) (46). HbA1c scores of \geq 5.70 were considered as cut-point for prediabetes (51, 52).

Participants were further asked to report their sex, age, language, nationality, marital status, level of education, employment (rate) (in patients prior to hospitalization), years of job experience, and the number of children living at home. Information about smoking status was collected as part of the clinical interview with a simple yes/no question (Are you currently smoking? Yes = 1, no = 0). Additionally, in patients, information about duration of current depressive episode, number of prior depressive episodes, age of onset of depression, and current medication was assessed via clinical interview.

2.4. Statistical analyses

Sample characteristics are presented as M (mean), SD (standard deviation), % (percentage), and *n* (frequencies). Descriptive statistics (*M*, *SD*, %, *n*) for the cardiovascular risk markers are reported separately for the total sample, patients vs. healthy controls, poor-fair and good-superior CRF, and patients with low, moderate or severe depression severity. Differences between these groups were tested via analyses of variance for metric outcomes. Differences between dichotomized outcomes (risk factor not present = 0, present = 1) were

tested via χ^2 -tests. To examine interactions and to control for possible confounders, a multivariate (2-way) analysis of covariance with group (patients vs. controls) and CRF (poor vs. good) as fixed factor and the interaction term (group*CRF) was calculated. Covariates were considered only if they were significantly associated with the outcomes in the (M)ANCOVAS. The MANCOVAs were followed by univariate analyses. (M)ANCOVAs were also performed within the patientsample, to examine differences based on symptom severity. As three groups were compared, Bonferroni post-hoc tests were applied. Again, covariates were considered only if they were significantly associated with the outcomes in the (M)ANCOVAS. Moreover, these analyses were also controlled for disease history (recurrent vs. first-episode), and medication (antidepressants vs. no antidepressants). All analyses were calculated with SPSS 28 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, United States), and the level of statistical significance was set at p < 0.05across all analyses.

3. Results

3.1. Sample characteristics of patients and controls

Table 1 provides an overview of the sample characteristics of the total sample, patients and controls. Sex was equally distributed (53.7% female). The mean age was 38.82 years. Most of the participants spoke German as first language (86.6%), reported Swiss nationality (74.3%), and were single (69.9%). About half of the sample reported higher education (48.1%), and one third (33.4%) was smoking. In the patient sample, approximately one third (34.4) had a F32 diagnosis (first-episode), whereas two thirds (64.3%) had recurrent major depression (F33 diagnosis). Only n=3 had a bipolar-II, currently depressed diagnosis. At baseline (2–3 after admission to the hospital), 41.0% of the patients reported low symptom severity, whereas 34% reported

TABLE 1 Sample characteristics, and group differences, based on χ^2 -tests and ANOVA.

	Total sample (N=335)			ts with on (<i>n</i> =210)	Healthy controls (n=125)		χ^2 -tests and ANOVA	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	χ ²	ϕ
Sex (female)	180	53.7	111	52.9	69	55.2	0.18	0.023
Language (German as first language)	280	86.6	183	87.1	107	85.6	0.16	0.022
Nationality (Swiss)	249	74.3	172	81.9	77	61.6	16.93***	0.225
Marital status (single)	234	69.9	154	73.3	80	64.0	3.24	0.072
Level of education (higher education)	161	48.1	75	35.7	86	68.8	34.36***	0.320
Children living at home (yes)	77	23.0	50	23.8	27	21.6	0.22	0.025
Antidepressant intake (yes)	185	55.2	185	88.1	0	0.0	245.93***	0.857
Smoking (yes)	112	33.4	86	41.0	26	20.8	14.30***	0.207
Depression subtype								
Single episode (F32)	-	-	72	34.3	-	-	-	-
Recurrent major depression (F33)	-	-	135	64.3	-	-	-	-
Bipolar disorder type II (F31-II)	-	-	3	1.4	-	-	-	-
Cardiorespiratory fitness								
Poor	182	54.3	126	60.0	56	44.8	7.30**	0.148
Good	153	45.7	84	40.0	69	55.2		
	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	F	η^2
Age (in years)	38.82	13.19	40.60	12.06	35.82	13.64	10.60**	0.031
Height (in cm)	171.49	9.31	171.63	9.51	171.28	9.00	0.11	0.000
Weight (in kg)	76.57	19.92	80.23	20.89	70.44	16.51	20.02***	0.057
Employment rate (in %)	24.31	20.14	23.86	21.14	25.08	18.39	0.29	0.001
Years of job experience (in years)	16.24	13.03	17.95	12.50	13.37	13.46	9.91**	0.029
Estimated VO ₂ max (mL/kg/min)	35.02	9.88	33.13	9.48	38.18	9.78	21.71***	0.061
Depressive symptom severity (at baseline)	15.41	12.13	22.13	10.31	4.11	3.42	358.16***	0.518
Duration of current episode (in weeks) ^a	-	-	38.92	51.83	-	-	-	-
Number of prior depressive episodes ^b	_	-	3.11	5.97	-	-	-	-
Age of onset of depression (in years) ^c	_	_	29.52	14.13	_	_	_	_

VO2max, maximal oxygen uptake.

p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001.

^a29 values missing.

^b15 values missing.

°14 values missing.

moderate and 24.3% severe depression. Moreover, 88.1% of the patients were treated with antidepressants. CRF was relatively low in the overall sample, with 54.3% of the participants achieving only poor CRF. Although we attempted to recruit a sex-and age-matched control sample, healthy controls turned out to be younger than patients. Table 1 further shows that patients reported Swiss nationality more often, were less likely to report higher education, were more likely to smoke, and had higher body weight. Since patients were older than controls, they also reported more years of job experience. Finally, compared to controls, patients achieved lower VO₂max scores.

3.2. Cardiovascular risk markers in patients versus controls

Table 2 shows that patients had higher BMI, waist circumference and body fat than controls. Patients had also higher LDL cholesterol values and higher HbA1c scores. Using dichotomized variables, patients were more likely to be overweight/obese, to have high waist circumference, high body fat, high total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol levels, whereas they were more likely to have low HDL cholesterol levels.

3.3. Cardiovascular risk markers in participants with poor and good fitness

As shown in Table 3, participants with good fitness had more favorable scores on all cardiovascular risk makers. Thus, they had lower BMI, waist circumference, body fat, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, triglycerides and HbA1c, whereas they had higher HDL cholesterol concentrations. These differences were corroborated in the analyses based on the dichotomized variables.

3.4. Differences between patients and controls, dependent on their fitness level

A MANCOVA considering all cardiovascular risk markers and all statistically significant covariates (age, smoking) yielded a significant main effect for group, Wilks-Lamda: F(10,279) = 3.67, p < 0.001, $\eta^2 = 0.116$, and fitness, Wilks-Lamda: F(10,279) = 6.71, p < 0.001, $\eta^2 = 0.194$, whereas no significant interaction effect was observed, Wilks-Lamda: F(10,279) = 1.26, p = 0.255, $\eta^2 = 0.043$. Table 4 shows the findings of the univariate ANCOVAS, which generally corroborate the

TABLE 2 Descriptive statistics and group differences based on ANOVA and χ^2 -tests between patients with depression and healthy controls (uncontrolled).

		Total s (N=	ample 335)	depre	ts with ession 210)		Healthy controls (n=125)		ANOVA and χ^2 -tests	
	N	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	F	η^2	
Body Mass Index (BMI) (in m/kg ²)	333	25.87	5.82	27.01	6.08	23.94	4.77	23.08***	0.065	
Waist circumference (in cm)	335	87.27	17.85	90.17	17.86	82.41	16.80	15.48***	0.044	
Body fat (in %)	332	28.47	10.09	29.88	10.42	26.13	9.08	11.05***	0.032	
Systolic blood pressure (in mmHG)	335	115.93	14.17	116.59	13.81	114.82	14.76	1.22	0.004	
Diastolic blood pressure (in mmHG)	335	76.95	9.51	76.81	9.79	77.18	9.05	0.12	0.000	
Total cholesterol (in mmol/L)	312	4.90	1.20	4.97	1.15	4.78	1.27	1.67	0.005	
HDL cholesterol (in mmol/L)	306	1.43	0.43	1.40	0.43	1.49	0.41	3.01	0.010	
LDL cholesterol (in mmol/L)	302	2.82	0.96	2.94	0.91	2.62	1.00	7.86**	0.026	
Triglycerides (in mmol/L)	312	1.50	1.22	1.43	1.08	1.63	1.44	1.93	0.006	
HbA1c (in %)	320	5.32	0.48	5.39	0.55	5.21	0.32	11.07***	0.034	
	Ν	n	%	n	%	n	%	χ ²	ϕ	
Overweight/obesity	333	164	49.2	125	59.8	39	31.5	25.04***	0.274	
High waist circumference	335	146	43.6	109	51.9	37	29.6	15.86***	0.218	
High body fat	332	155	46.7	114	54.8	41	26.5	14.76***	0.211	
Hypertension	335	44	13.1	28	13.3	16	12.8	0.02	0.008	
Borderline high total cholesterol	312	112	35.9	80	40.2	32	28.3	4.42*	0.119	
Low HDL cholesterol	306	49	16.0	38	19.3	11	10.1	4.41*	0.120	
Borderline high LDL cholesterol	302	80	26.5	60	30.9	20	18.5	5.49*	0.135	
Borderline high triglycerides	312	84	26.9	49	24.6	35	31.0	1.48	0.069	
High HbA1c scores (prediabetic/ diabetic)	320	37	11.6	28	14.0	9	7.5	3.10	0.098	

HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin. Differences in N due to missing values in some of the outcome measures. *p < 0.05, *p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001.

		Poor CRF (<i>n</i> =153)		Good CRF (<i>n</i> =182)		ANOVA and χ^2 -tests		
	N	М	SD	М	SD	F	η^2	
Body Mass Index (BMI) (in m/kg²)	333	28.00	6.23	23.33	4.03	63.26***	0.160	
Waist circumference (in cm)	335	93.19	17.33	80.24	15.83	50.21***	0.131	
Body fat (in %)	332	30.96	10.20	25.54	9.16	25.54***	0.072	
Systolic blood pressure (in mmHG)	335	119.96	14.37	111.15	12.39	35.41***	0.096	
Diastolic blood pressure (in mmHG)	335	79.90	9.73	73.44	7.94	43.28***	0.115	
Total cholesterol (in mmol/L)	312	5.04	1.27	4.75	1.09	4.59*	0.015	
HDL cholesterol (in mmol/L)	306	1.34	0.40	1.54	0.43	17.70***	0.055	
LDL cholesterol (in mmol/L)	302	2.99	1.01	2.64	0.86	10.29***	0.033	
Triglycerides (in mmol/L)	312	1.66	1.27	1.33	1.14	5.77*	0.018	
HbA1c (in %)	320	5.40	0.59	5.23	0.29	10.54***	0.032	
	N	n	%	n	%	χ^2	φ	
Overweight/obesity	333	122	67.4	42	27.6	52.29***	0.396	
High waist circumference	335	112	61.5	34	22.2	52.27***	0.395	
High body fat	332	113	62.8	42	27.6	40.90***	0.351	
Hypertension	335	40	22.0	4	2.6	27.32***	0.286	
Borderline high total cholesterol	312	68	41.5	44	29.7	4.66*	0.122	
Low HDL cholesterol	306	37	23.0	12	8.3	12.27***	0.200	
Borderline high LDL cholesterol	302	52	33.1	28	19.3	7.38**	0.156	
Borderline high triglycerides	312	58	35.4	26	17.6	12.53***	0.200	
High HbA1c scores (prediabetic/ diabetic)	320	27	15.9	10	6.7	6.62**	0.144	

TABLE 3 Descriptive statistics and group differences based on ANOVA and χ^2 -tests between individuals with poor and good CRF (uncontrolled).

CRF, cardiorespiratory fitness; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin. Differences in N due to missing values in some of the outcome measures. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, and ***p<0.001.

results of the uncontrolled ANOVAs reported in Tables 2, 3. Thus, after controlling for relevant covariates, and after simultaneously considering the effects of group and CRF, we found more favorable cardiovascular risk profiles in controls and in participants with good CRF. The only significant interaction was observed for BMI, showing that among participants with poor CRF, patients had significantly higher BMI scores than controls, which was not the case in participants with good CRF (Figure 1). Thus, among participants with poor CRF, the group mean BMI of patients was close to the cut-point for obesity (M = 28.96, SD = 4.50), whereas in healthy controls, the group mean score was only slightly above the cut point for overweight (M=25.72, SD=5.32). Table 4 also shows the distribution of risk factors (after dichotomization) for the four different groups. As a general pattern, presence of risk factors was most frequently observed in the group of patients with poor CRF (with the exception of hypertension and triglycerides).

3.5. Cardiovascular risk markers in patients with mild, moderate, and severe depression

Table 5 shows that only one cardiovascular risk marker (HbA1c) differed between patients with mild, moderate and severe depression. For HbA1c, scores were significantly higher in patients with severe

symptoms compared to counterparts with mild or moderate depression. After dichotomization, no significant group differences were observed in any of the variables.

3.6. Differences between patients with different symptom severity, dependent on their fitness level

A MANCOVA considering all cardiovascular risk markers and all statistically significant covariates (age, sex) yielded a significant main effect for fitness, Wilks-Lamda: F(10,173) = 6.52, p < 0.001, $\eta^2 = 0.274$, but not for group, Wilks-Lamda: F(20,346) = 1.38, p = 0.129, $\eta^2 = 0.074$. Moreover, no significant interaction effect occurred, Wilks-Lamda: F(20,346) = 0.77, p = 0.745, $\eta^2 = 0.043$. Table 6 shows the findings of the univariate tests. Thus, after controlling for relevant covariates, and after simultaneously considering the effects of depressive symptom severity and CRF, we found more favorable cardiovascular risk profiles in participants with good CRF, whereas difference between patients with mild, moderate and severe depression were largely absent. Differences between patients with poor vs. good CRF are illustrated in Figure 2. As in the total sample, patients with good CRF had more favorable cardiovascular risk profiles than patients with poor CRF. A significant interaction was only found for systolic blood pressure.

TABLE 4 Main and interaction effects of two-way ANOVA and χ^2 -tests, with group (patients vs. controls) and CRF (poor vs. good) as fixed factors.

	Group		С	RF	Group*CRF	
	F	η^2	F	η^2	F	η^2
Body Mass Index (BMI)	14.59***	0.043	40.82***	0.111	6.23***	0.019
Waist circumference	7.69**	0.023	33.77***	0.093	1.34	0.004
Body fat	7.04**	0.021	13.53***	0.040	3.60	0.011
Systolic blood pressure	2.27	0.007	22.87***	0.065	0.31	0.001
Diastolic blood pressure	6.27*	0.019	31.89***	0.089	3.76	0.011
Total cholesterol	0.01	0.000	1.86	0.006	0.02	0.000
HDL cholesterol	0.48	0.002	14.40***	0.046	0.11	0.000
LDL cholesterol	2.34	0.008	6.05*	0.020	0.10	0.000
Triglycerides	2.91	0.009	6.00*	0.019	3.82	0.012
HbA1c	4.69*	0.015	3.87*	0.012	1.25	0.004

	Patients, poor CRF <i>n</i> (%)ª	Patients, good CRF <i>n</i> (%)ª	Controls, poor CRF <i>n</i> (%)ª	Controls, good CRF <i>n</i> (%)ª	$\chi^2 \left(\phi ight)$
Overweight/obesity	96 (76.8)	29 (34.5)	26 (46.4)	13 (19.1)	70.13 (0.459)***
High waist circumference	87 (69.0)	22 (26.2)	25 (44.6)	12 (17.4)	62.84 (0.433)***
High body fat	86 (69.4)	28 (33.3)	27 (48.2)	14 (20.6)	50.28 (0.389)***
Hypertension	27 (21.4)	1 (1.2)	13 (23.2)	3 (4.3)	27.76 (0.288)***
Borderline high total cholesterol	51 (44.0)	29 (34.9)	17 (35.4)	15 (13.4)	7.96 (0.160)*
Low HDL cholesterol	30 (26.3)	8 (9.6)	7 (14.9)	4 (6.5)	15.77 (0.227)**
Borderline high LDL cholesterol	40 (36.0)	20 (24.1)	12 (26.1)	8 (12.9)	11.32 (0.194)**
Borderline high triglycerides	39 (33.6)	10 (12.0)	19 (39.6)	16 (24.6)	16.07 (0.227)***
High HbA1c scores (prediabetic/diabetic)	20 (17.2)	8 (9.5)	7 (13.0)	2 (3.0)	8.80 (0.166)*

CRF, cardiorespiratory fitness; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein; HDA1c, glycated hemoglobin. In the ANCOVAs, covariates were only considered if they were significantly associated with the outcome. BMI: nationality, age. Waist circumference: nationality, age. Body fat: age, smoking. Systolic blood pressure: education, age. Diastolic blood pressure: age. Total cholesterol: age. HDL cholesterol: smoking. LDL cholesterol: age. Triglycerides: age. Glucose: age.

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001.*Percentages describe portion of participants in this group with cardiometabolic risk factor present.

Unexpectedly, differences between participants with poor and good CRF were largest in patients with mild depression. Table 6 also shows the distribution of risk factors (after dichotomization) for the six different groups.

4. Discussion

The key findings of the present study are that compared to healthy controls, patients with depression had a higher cardiovascular risk as evident from about half of the examined indicators. Moreover, participants with good CRF had more favourable scores across nearly all risk markers than counterparts with poor CRF. For most variables, no interaction occurred between group and fitness, indicating that in patients and controls, similar differences existed between participants with poor and good CRF. Finally, within the patient sample, no major differences in the presented cardiovascular risk markers were found between patients with mild, moderate and severe depression.

Five hypotheses were formulated and each of them will now be addressed in turn. Our first hypothesis was that patients with depression have higher cardiovascular risk compared to healthy controls. This hypothesis was supported for five markers (waist circumference, body mass index, body fat, diastolic blood pressure, HbA1c), whereas no differences were found for systolic blood pressure, total, HDL and LDL cholesterol, as well as triglycerides. The present findings are in line with previous studies showing that patients with depression have a markedly higher burden of physical comorbidities compared to other age-gender-matched hospital patients (53). The increased presence of cardiovascular risk markers may explain why cardiovascular and all-cause mortality are higher in patients with depression than in the general population (6, 12). While the higher all-cause mortality in depressed people is to some extent due to higher death rates from unnatural causes such as suicides, accidents, homicides and alcohol misuse, the mortality rate is also increased for natural causes (7, 14, 24, 54). Some scientists have criticized that the persisting mortality gap denotes a cynical disregard for the lost lives among people with mental illnesses (17). Others have highlighted that the high



prevalence of depression worldwide is alarming and should make people with depression a priority target population for public health strategies to prevent CVDs and mortality (7). In our study, the largest differences between patients and controls were found for BMI, waist circumference and body fat, which is in line with recent epidemiological studies in depression and bipolar disorder, especially among female participants (55, 56). This is important because depression and obesity are widely prevalent issues with considerable implications for public health (57). However, given the cross-sectional nature of our data, we need to be careful with inferring causal relationships. Thus, while it is possible that people with depression might be more likely to develop overweight through dysregulated stress systems, through unhealthy lifestyles or medication, it is also conceivable that overweight favours the development of depression through negative effects on self-image or somatic consequences (57).

Our second hypothesis was that patients would present lower CRF than healthy controls (Hypothesis 2a), and that better CRF would be associated with a more favorable cardiovascular risk factor profile (Hypothesis 2b). This hypothesis was supported by our data. Thus, our results are in line with both cross-sectional and longitudinal studies showing that people with higher CRF have lower risk of reporting/ developing mental illnesses (28, 43, 58, 59). For instance, Kandola et al. (58) showed in a meta-analysis of longitudinal cohort studies that participants with low or medium CRF have a 47 and 23% increased likelihood of incidence of mental health disorders. Moreover, our findings corroborate previous studies showing that higher CRF levels are associated with decreased overall cardiovascular risk (60, 61), as well as presence of risk markers such as overweight (62, 63), hypertension (64, 65), dyslipidemia (66, 67), and diabetes (68, 69).

Only limited support was found for our third hypothesis, stating that differences in cardiovascular risk markers between patients and healthy controls would be more pronounced in participants with low CRF levels. This finding is at odds with previous studies showing that simultaneously suffering from mental disorders and having low CRF would exacerbate the risk for CVDs and CVD-related mortality (34, 35). Rather, our findings show that having poor CRF is similarly associated with higher presence of cardiovascular risk markers in both healthy people and patients with depression. This is encouraging as CRF turned out to be modifiable via regular exercise training in both healthy populations (70, 71) and people with mental illnesses (72, 73).

Our fourth hypothesis, that patients with more severe depression would report a less favorable cardiovascular risk profile than counterparts with mild or moderate depression, was not supported. Again, this is at odds with prior investigations in which patients with more severe depression were more likely to develop a CVD (9). Given this result, the prerequisites for our fifth hypothesis, that the association between depressive symptom severity and cardiovascular risk would be moderated by patients' CRF level, were not given. We assume that this unexpected result is attributable to the fact that our sample was relatively homogeneous (all in-patients), whereas previous cohort studies were based on broader populations. However, this finding could also be due to the fact that symptom severity assessed in this study reflects a momentary state. Maybe different results would have appeared if the longitudinal course of the disease had been considered.

While the focus of this paper was on biological risk markers, it should be noted that in our study the prevalence of smoking was higher in patients with depression (41.0%) than in healthy controls (20.8%). Accordingly, smoking was considered as a potential covariate in the subsequent (M)ANCOVAs. This finding supports prior research showing that depressed people are more likely to smoke (6, 7, 19, 21–23) and to take other substances (7, 23). Most probably, the direction of the relationship is reciprocal. Thus, whereas depression increases the likelihood of smoking, depression can also reduce the

		Depresssive symptom severity							
		Mild (<i>n</i> =87)		Moderat	Moderate (<i>n</i> =72)		Severe (<i>n</i> =51)		nd χ^2 -tests
	Ν	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	F	η^2
Body Mass Index (BMI) (in m/kg²)	209	26.81	5.71	26.72	6.27	27.78	6.48	0.53	0.005
Waist circumference (in cm)	210	89.22	13.45	90.30	20.09	91.62	21.11	0.29	0.003
Body fat (in %)	208	28.74	10.67	29.72	10.67	32.05	9.42	1.63	0.016
Systolic blood pressure (in mmHG)	210	117.53	14.59	116.54	12.18	115.07	14.71	0.51	0.005
Diastolic blood pressure (in mmHG)	210	76.91	9.57	76.86	9.87	76.57	10.24	0.02	0.000
Total cholesterol (in mmol/L)	199	4.90	1.13	4.98	1.17	5.04	1.71	0.22	0.002
HDL cholesterol (in mmol/L)	197	1.37	0.42	1.45	0.44	1.37	0.44	0.81	0.008
LDL cholesterol (in mmol/L)	194	2.89	0.90	2.98	0.94	2.96	0.92	0.19	0.002
Triglycerides (in mmol/L)	199	1.48	1.06	1.29	1.00	1.54	1.20	0.90	0.009
HbA1c (in %)	200	5.36ª	0.34	5.27 ^b	0.28	5.60 ^{a,b}	0.94	5.48**	0.053
	Ν	n	%	n	%	n	%	χ ²	ϕ
Overweight/obesity	209	53	60.9	39	54.2	33	67.3	2.10	0.101
High waist circumference	210	42	48.3	36	50.0	31	60.8	2.17	0.102
High body fat	208	41	47.7	39	54.2	34	68.0	5.29	0.160
Hypertension	210	13	16.1	8	11.1	6	11.8	0.99	0.069
Borderline high total cholesterol	199	30	37.5	29	42.0	21	42.0	0.41	0.045
Low HDL cholesterol	197	17	21.3	9	13.2	12	24.5	2.65	0.116
Borderline high LDL cholesterol	194	24	30.8	20	29.4	16	33.3	0.20	0.032
Borderline high triglycerides	199	16	20.0	17	24.6	16	32.0	2.39	0.110
High HbA1c scores (prediabetic/diabetic)	200	10	12.3	8	11.4	10	20.4	2.24	0.106

TABLE 5 Descriptive statistics and group differences based on ANOVA and χ^2 -tests between patients with low, moderate or severe depression (uncontrolled).

HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin. Differences in *N* due to missing values in some of the outcome measures. ^{a,b}Groups with equal superscript letters significantly differ from each other in Bonferroni post-hoc tests.

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001.

probability of short-and long-term smoking cessation (7). While researchers have emphasized that smoking should be an important target for prevention because it is so common among patients with depression (15, 22, 25), there is still little evidence whether exercise training has a positive effect on smoking among people with depression (7).

From a practical point of view, different strategies seem promising to improve cardiovascular health among patients with depression. Recommended measures include intensified screening for cardiovascular risk factors. However, it is currently not well-known whether existing decision-making tools and screening models (e.g., Cox-Framingham model) work well in people with mental illnesses (74), particularly as people with mental illnesses often are younger, have abnormal (both higher and lower) blood pressure (55, 56, 75) and are more likely to smoke than the general population (25). It is therefore recommended to use specific risk prediction models for people with mental illnesses to establish better suited thresholds for offering CVD interventions (74). It has also been emphasized that improvements in health outcomes for people with mental illnesses seem unlikely if no system-wide efforts to achieving equality in health service delivery and access are undertaken (16). Possible solutions to address systemic barriers to healthcare provision could include integrated care models such as cooperation between and co-location of physical and mental health services, the use of case managers or

TABLE 6 Main and interaction effects of two-way ANOVA and χ^2 -tests, with group (low, moderate vs. severe depression) and CRF (poor vs. good) as fixed factors.

Metric variables	Gro	oup	CI	RF	Group*CRF		
	F	η^2	F	η^2	F	η^2	
Body Mass Index (BMI)	0.67	0.007	37.17***	0.157	0.03	0.000	
Waist circumference	1.52	0.15	23.32***	0.105	0.52	0.005	
Body fa	1.57	0.016	41.79***	0.174	0.01	0.000	
Systolic blood pressure	0.21	0.002	11.62***	0.055	3.14*	0.030	
Diastolic blood pressure	0.84	0.008	32.76***	0.140	0.39	0.004	
Total cholesterol	1.04	0.011	0.96	0.005	0.47	0.005	
HDL cholesterol	0.23	0.002	8.05**	0.041	0.03	0.000	
LDL cholesterol	0.92	0.010	2.37	0.012	0.43	0.005	
Triglycerices	0.31	0.003	11.05**	0.054	0.57	0.006	
HbA1c	3.36*	0.034	4.28*	0.022	2.21	0.023	

Dichotomized variables	Mild symptoms, poor CRF <i>n</i> (%)	Mild symptoms, good CRF <i>n</i> (%)	Moderate symptoms, poor CRF <i>n</i> (%)	Moderate symptoms, good CRF <i>n</i> (%)	Severe symptoms, poor CRF <i>n</i> (%)	Severe symptoms, good CRF <i>n</i> (%)	χ ² (φ)
Overweight/obesity	41 (78.8)	12 (34.3)	27 (73.0)	12 (34.3)	28 (77.8)	5 (35.7)	37.69 (0.425)***
High waist circumference	35 (67.3)	7 (20.0)	26 (70.3)	10 (28.6)	26 (70.3)	5 (35.7)	38.32 (0.427)***
High body fat	32 (62.7)	9 (25.7)	27 (73.0)	12 (34.3)	27 (75.0)	7 (50.0)	30.20 (0.381)***
Hypertension	14 (26.9)	0 (0.0)	7 (18.9)	1 (2.9)	6 (16.2)	0 (0.0)	20.44 (0.312)***
Borderline high total cholesterol	19 (41.3)	11 (32.4)	15 (44.1)	14 (40.0)	17 (47.2)	4 (28.6)	2.64 (0.115)
Low HDL cholesterol	14 (30.4)	3 (8.8)	4 (12.1)	5 (14.3)	12 (34.3)	0 (0.0)	16.12 (0.286)***
Borderline high LDL cholesterol	16 (36.4)	8 (23.5)	12 (36.4)	8 (22.9)	12 (35.3)	4 (28.6)	3.34 (0.131)
Borderline high triglycerides	15 (32.6)	1 (2.9)	9 (26.5)	8 (22.9)	15 (41.7)	1 (7.1)	18.25 (0.303)***
High HbA1c scores (prediabetic/diabetic)	8 (17.4)	2 (5.7)	3 (8.6)	5 (14.3)	9 (25.7)	1 (7.1)	7.83 (0.198)

CRF, cardiorespiratory fitness; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein; HDA1c, glycated hemoglobin. In the ANCOVAs, covariates were only considered if they were significantly associated with the outcome. BMI: age, civil status, children at home. Waist circumference: age, sex, language, nationality, employment rate. Body fat: age, sex, civil status, children at home. Systolic blood pressure: age, sex, education, employment rate. Diastolic blood pressure: age, sex, children at home. Total cholesterol: age. HDL cholesterol: sex, language, nationality, civil status, children at home. LDL cholesterol: age. Triglycerides: –. Glucose: age, nationality. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001.

other liaison staff to undertake a coordination role between services, or facilitated sharing of electronic health records between physical and mental health care systems (22, 25). Another strategic approach is the promotion of lifestyle interventions targeted towards promoting healthy diet or increasing lifestyle physical activity (18, 19). Maintaining a healthy body weight through a healthy diet and regular physical activity is a key component of lowering CVD risk (25). Exercise interventions should be given high priority in clinical practice, as they are not only beneficial for cardiovascular health outcomes, but also improve patients' mental health and cognitive functioning (7, 32, 76). For instance, low fitness proved to be more closely associated with depression than fatness (77). Accordingly, it seems important to raise awareness in psychiatrists that low CRF might be a more important predictor of morbidity and mortality than overweight and obesity (62). Thus, while reducing body weight is challenging (particularly in people with mental illnesses), improvements in CRF are achievable in relatively short time (73). In line with this notion, exercise training is included as a treatment in the context of some clinical guidelines for depression (78–82). However, given that pleasant and positive feelings during exercise have an important impact on adherence (7), it is important that exercise for individuals with depression is delivered by professionals with specific experiences in mental health care (76, 83).

The strengths of the present study were that all patients were diagnosed with depression via structured clinical interview by a psychiatrist. Although structured clinical interviews are the only well-validated method to establish a clinical diagnosis of depression, in many studies, researchers used self-report questionnaires to assess depressive symptoms (12). Additionally, detailed information was collected about participants' use of antidepressant medication, and intake was considered as potential covariate. However, the impact on antidepressants on cardiovascular risk is not entirely clear. For instance, while tricyclic anti-depressants have been shown to increase CVD risk, some uncontrolled studies found that SSRIs (serotonin



reuptake inhibitors) may reduce cardiac risk (6). Randomised placebo-controlled trials, however, failed to replicate these findings (6). Another advantage was that we not only focused on differences between patients and controls, but also examined differences within the patient sample. This seemed important as some studies showed that already mild symptoms of depression might be associated with increased cardiac risk (12). Furthermore, we controlled for a wide range of potential covariates because the association between depression and CVDs is complex and influenced by various sociodemographic factors (7) and because patients and controls differed in several sociodemographic factors. Despite these strengths, some aspects need to be considered that might limit the generalizability of our findings. First, although we controlled for antidepressant intake, we were not able to control for specific type and dose of antidepressants as there was a high heterogeneity and as some patients were taking more than one antidepressant drug (84, 85). For the same reason, it was not possible to control for pre-existing diseases although data was systematically collected in the present study. As mentioned previously, the cross-sectional analysis of the data does not allow a causal interpretation of between-group differences. Furthermore, as the present study was done in an in-patient setting, findings cannot be generalized to outpatients. Finally, it should be noted that baseline data assessment took place 2-3 weeks after admission to the hospital. Within these first weeks, depression symptom severity has decreased already substantially in the in-patient sample. Thus, improvements in symptom severity may have happened at a faster pace than changes in some of the cardiovascular risk factors.

5. Conclusion

Patients with depression and healthy controls differ in several cardiovascular risk markers, putting patients at increased risk for CVDs. In contrast, people with good CRF show more favourable scores across all cardiovascular risk indicators, a relationship which was observed in both healthy controls and patients with depression. Physical health of psychiatric patients should receive the clinical attention that it deserves. Lifestyle interventions targeting healthy diet and/or physical activity are recommended as a physically active and healthy lifestyle contributes equally to patients' mental well-being and cardiovascular health.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

References

1. WHO. Global health estimates: depression and other common mental disorders. Geneva: World Health Organization (2017).

2. Andrade L, Caraveo-Anduaga JJ, Berglund P, Bijl RV, De Graaf R, Vollebergh W, et al. The epidemiology of major depressive episodes: results from the international consortium of psychiatric epidemiology (ICPE) surveys. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res.* (2003) 12:3–21. doi: 10.1002/mpr.138

3. Hasin DS, Sarvet AL, Meyers JL, Saha TD, Ruan WJ, Stohl M, et al. Epidemiology of adult DSM-5 major depressive disorder and its specifiers in the United States. *JAMA Psychiat*. (2018) 75:336–46. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.4602

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethikkommission Nordwest-und Zentralschweiz. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

JB, MH, CI, UL, SM, TM, AO, and NS-K supported the patient screening and recruitment processes on the four study sites. RC and J-NK recruited the participants and collected the data. RC, JB, SB, LD, AE, MH, CI, J-NK, UL, SL, SM, TM, AO, NS-K, LZ, and OF offered thematic support. MG was responsible for conceptualizing the manuscript, conducted the statistical analyses, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. RC carried out the final language check of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant number 321003B-179353).

Acknowledgments

We thank all patients and staff members of the four clinics for their contribution to the study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

4. Gutierrez-Rojas L, Porras-Segovia A, Dunne H, Andrade-Gonzalez N, Cervilla JA. Prevalence and correlates of major depressive disorder: a systematic review. *Braz J Psychiat.* (2020) 42:657–72. doi: 10.1590/1516-4446-2020-0650

5. Kessler RC, Petukhova M, Sampson NA, Zaslavsky AM, Wittchen HU. Twelve-month and lifetime prevalence and lifetime morbid risk of anxiety and mood disorders in the United States. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res.* (2012) 21:169–84. doi: 10.1002/mpr.1359

6. Paz-Filho G, Licinio J, Wong M-L. Pathophysiological basis of cardiovascular disease and depression: a chicken-and-egg dilemma. *Braz J Psychiatry*. (2010) 32:181–91. doi: 10.1590/S1516-44462010000200015

7. Belvederi Murri M, Folesani F, Zerbinati L, Nanni MG, Ounalli H, Caruso R, et al. Physical activity promotes health and reduces cardiovascular mortality in depressed populations: a literature review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17:5545. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17155545

8. Cezaretto A, Ferreira SRG, Sharma S, Sadeghirad B, Holahdooz F. Impact of lifestyle interventions on depressive symptoms in individuals at-risk of, or with, type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* (2016) 26:649–62. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2016.04.009

9. Murray CJL, Lopez AD. Alternative projections of mortality and disability by cause 1990–2020: global burden of disease study. *Lancet.* (1997) 349:1498–504. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(96)07492-2

10. Correll CU, Solmi M, Veronese N, Bortolato B, Rosson S, Santonastaso P, et al. Prevalence, incidence and mortality from cardiovascular disease in patients with pooled and specific severe mental illness: a large-scale meta-analysis of 3'211'768 patients and 113'383'368 controls. *World Psychiatry*. (2017) 16:163–80. doi: 10.1002/wps.20420

11. Walker ER, McGee RE, Druss BG. Mortality in mental disorders and global disease burden implications: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiat.* (2015) 72:334–41. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2014.2502

12. Carney RM, Freedland KE. Depression and coronary heart disease. Nat Rev Cardiol. (2017) 14:145–55. doi: 10.1038/nrcardio.2016.181

13. Gerber M, Claussen MC, Cody R, Imboden C, Ludyga S, Scherr J, et al. Cardiovascular disease and excess mortality in depression: physical activity as a game changer. *Dtsch Z Sportmed*. (2021) 72:261–70. doi: 10.5960/dzsm.2021.498

14. de Mooij LD, Kikkert M, Theunissen J, Beekman ATF, de Haan L, Durkoop PWRA, et al. Dying too soon: excess mortality in severe mental illness. *Front Psych.* (2019) 10:855. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00855

15. Chesney E, Goodwin GM, Fazel S. Risk of all-cause mortality and suicide mortality in mental disorders: a meta-review. *World Psychiatry*. (2014) 13:153–60. doi: 10.1002/wps.20128

16. Lawrence D, Hancock KJ, Kisely S. The gap in life expectancy from preventable physical illness in psychiatric patients in Western Australia: retrospective analysis of population based registers. *BMJ*. (2013) 346:f2539. doi: 10.1136/bmj.f2539

17. Thornicroft G. Physical health disparities and mental illness: the scandal of premature mortality. *Br J Psychiatry*. (2011) 199:441-2. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.111.092718

18. Dalcin AT, Jerome GJ, Appel LJ, Dicerson FB, Wang N-Y, Miller ER, et al. Need for cardiovascular risk reduction in persons with serious mental illness: design of a comprehensive intervention. *Front Psych.* (2019) 9:786. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2018.00786

19. Nielsen RE, Banner J, Jensen SE. Cardiovascular disease in patients with severe mental illness. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. (2021) 18:136–45. doi: 10.1038/s41569-020-00463-7

20. Huffman JC, Celano CM, Beach SR, Motiwala SR, Januzzi JL. Depression and cardiac disease: epidemiology, mechanisms, and diagnosis. *Cardiovasc Psychiatry Neurol.* (2013) 2013:696925:1–14. doi: 10.1155/2013/695925

21. Hare DL, Toukhsati SR, Johansson P, Jaarsma T. Depression and cardiovascular disease: a clinical review. Eur Heart J. (2014) 35:1365–72. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/eht462

22. Mangurian C, Newcomer JW, Modlin C, Schilinger D. Diabetes and cardiovascular care among people with severe mental illness: a literature review. *J Gen Intern Med.* (2015) 31:1083–91. doi: 10.1007/s11606-016-3712-4

23. Lawrence D, Kisely S, Pais J. The epidemiology of excess mortality in people with mental illness. *Can J Psychiatr.* (2010) 55:752–60. doi: 10.1177/070674371005501202

24. Osborn DP. The poor physical health of people with mental illness. *West J Med.* (2001) 175:329–32. doi: 10.1136/ewjm.175.5.329

25. De Hert M, Dekker JM, Wood D, Kahl KG, Holt RI, Möller HJ. Cardiovascular disease and diabetes in people with severe mental illness: position statement from the European psychiatric association (EPA), supported by the European Association for the Study of diabetes (EASD) and the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Psychiatry*. (2009) 24:412–24. doi: 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2009.01.005

26. Khan A, Faucett J, Morrison S, Brown WA. Comparative mortality risk in adult patients with schizophrenia, depression, bipolar disorder, anxiety disorders, and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder participating in psychopharmacology clinical trials. *JAMA Psychiat*. (2013) 70:1091–9. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.149

27. Caspersen CJ, Powell KE, Christenson GM. Physical activity, exercise, and physical fitness: definitions and distinctions for health-related research. *Public Health Rep.* (1985) 100:126–31.

28. Kandola AA, Osborn DPJ, Stubbs B, Choi KW, Hayes JF. Individual and combined associations between cardiorespiratory fitness and grip strength with common mental disorders: a prospective cohort study in the UK biobank. *BMC Med.* (2020) 18:303. doi: 10.1186/s12916-020-01782-9

29. Boettger S, Wetzig F, Puta C, Donath L, Muller HJ, Gabriel HH, et al. Physical fitness and heart rate recovery are decreased in major depressive disorder. *Psychosom Med.* (2009) 71:519–23. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0b013e3181a55303

30. Kodama S, Saito K, Tanaka S, Maki M, Yachi Y, Asumi M, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness as a quantitative predictor of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular events in healthy men and women: a meta-analysis. *JAMA*. (2009) 301:2024–35. doi: 10.1001/jama.2009.681

31. Lee DC, Sui X, Ortega FB, Kim YS, Church TS, Winett RA, et al. Comparisons of leisure-time physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness as predictors of all-cause mortality in men and women. *Br J Sports Med.* (2011) 45:504–10. doi: 10.1136/ bjsm.2009.066209

32. Stubbs B, Rosenbaum S, Vancampfort D, Ward PB, Schuch FB. Exercise improves cardiorespiratory fitness in people with depression: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Affect Disord.* (2016) 190:249–53. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2015.10.010

33. Kruisdijk F, Hopman-Rock M, Beekman ATF, Hendriksen I. EFFORT-D: results of a randomised controlled trial testing the effect of running therapy on depression. *BMC Psychiatry*. (2019) 19:170. doi: 10.1186/s12888-019-2156-x

34. Rethorst CD, Leonard D, Barlow CE, Willis BL, Trivedi MH, DeFina LF. Effects of depression, metabolic syndrome, and cardiorespiratory fitness on mortality: results from the Cooper Center longitudinal study. *Psychosom Med.* (2017) 47:2414–20. doi: 10.1017/S0033291717000897

35. Win S, Parakh K, Eze-Nliam CM, Gottdiener JS, Kop WJ, Ziegelstein RC. Depressive symptoms, physical inactivity and risk of cardiovascular mortality in older adults: the cardiovascular health study. *Heart.* (2011) 97:500–5. doi: 10.1136/ hrt.2010.209767

36. Beck AT, Steer RA, Carbin MG. Psychometric properties of the beck depression inventory: twenty-five years of evaluation. *Clin Psychol Rev.* (1988) 8:77–100. doi: 10.1016/0272-7358(88)90050-5

37. Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, Mock J, Erbaugh J. An inventory for measuring depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* (1961) 4:561–71. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1961. 01710120031004

38. Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE, et al. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* (2003) 35:1381–95. doi: 10.1249/01.MSS.0000078924.61453.FB

39. Richter P, Werner J, Heerlein A, Kraus A, Sauer H. On the validity of the beck depression inventory. *Psychopathology*. (1998) 31:160–8. doi: 10.1159/000066239

40. Beck AT, Sheer RA, Brown GK. *Manual for the Beck depression inventory-II*. San Antonio: Psychological Corporation (1996).

41. Åstrand P-O, Rodahl K. *Textbook of work physiology: physiological bases of exercise*. Champaign: Human Kinetics; (2003) 1–92

42. Borg G. Perceived exertion as an indicator of somatic stress. *Scand J Rehab Med.* (1970) 2:92–8. doi: 10.2340/1650197719702239298

43. Gerber M, Lindwall M, Lindegård A, Börjesson M, Jonsdottir IH. Cardiovascular fitness protects from stress-related symptoms of burnout and depression. *Patient Educ Couns.* (2013) 93:146–52. doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2013.03.021

44. Macsween A. The reliability and validity of the Astrand nomogram and linear extrapolation for deriving VO2max from submaximal exercise data. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*. (2001) 41:312–7.

45. WHO. Obesity. Preventing and managing the global epidemic. Technical Report Series No. 894. Geneva: World Health Organization (2000).

46. Grundy SM, Becker D, Clark LT, Cooper RS, Denke MA, Howaerd WM, et al. National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) expert panel on detection E, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (adult treatment panel III). Third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) expert panel on detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (adult treatment panel III) final report. *Circulation.* (2002) 106:3143–421. doi: 10.1161/circ.106.25.3143

47. Ostchega Y, Nwankwo T, Sorlie PD, Wolz M, Zipf G. Assessing the validity of the Omron HEM-907XL oscillometric blood pressure measurement device in a national survey environment. *J Clin Hypertens.* (2009) 12:22–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-7176.2009.00199.x

48. Cifkova R, Erdine S, Fagard R, Farsang C, Heagerty AM, Kiowski W, et al. ESH/ ESC hypertension guidelines. *J Hypertens*. (2003) 21:1779–86. doi: 10.1097/00004872-200310000-00001

49. Abbai NS, Nyirenda M, Reddy T, Ramjee G. Good correlation between the Afinion AS100 analyser and the ABX Pentra 400 analyser for the measurement of glycosylated haemoglobin and lipid levels in older adults in Durban. *South Africa SA Med J.* (2018) 108:50–5. doi: 10.7196/SAMJ.2017.v108i1.12548

50. Foerster V, Severn M. Point-of-care glycated hemoglobin testing to diagnose type 2 diabetes. *Issues Emerg Health Technol.* (2016) 156:1–8.

51. Nathan DM. Diabetes: advances in diagnosis and treatment. JAMA. (2015) 314:1052-62. doi: 10.1001/jama.2015.9536

52. World Health Organization. Use of glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) in the diagnosis of diabetes mellitus. Geneva: WHO (2011).

53. Schoepf D, Uppdal H, Potluri R, Chandran S, Heun R. Comorbidity and its relevance on general hospital based mortality in major depressive disorders: a naturalistic 12-year follow-up in general hospital admissions. *J Psychiatr Res.* (2014) 52:28–35. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2014.01.010

54. Plana-Ripoll O, Pedersen CB, Agerbo E, Holtz Y, Erlangsen A, Canudas-Romo V, et al. A comprehensive analysis of mortality-related health metrics associated with mental disorders: a nationwide, register-based cohort study. *Lancet.* (2019) 394:1827–35. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32316-5

55. Mutz J, Young AH, Lewis CM. Age-related changes in physiology in individuals with bipolar disorder. J Affect Disord. (2022) 296:157–68. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2021.09.027

56. Mutz J, Lewis CM. Lifetime depression and age-related changes in body composition, cardiovascular function, grip strength and lung function: sex-specific analyses in the UK biobank. *Aging*. (2021) 13:17038–79. doi: 10.18632/aging.203275

57. Luppino FS, de Wit LM, Bouvy PF, Stijnen T, Cuijpers P, Penninx BW, et al. Overweight, obesity, and depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Arch Dis Child.* (2010) 67:220–9. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2010.2

58. Kandola A, Ashdown-Franks G, Stubbs B, Osborn DPJ, Hayes JF. The association between cardiorespiratory fitness and the incidence of common mental health disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Affect Disord*. (2019) 257:748–57. doi: 10.1016/j. jad.2019.07.088

59. Vancampfort D, Rosenbaum S, Schuch F, Ward PB, Richards J, Mugisha J, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness in severe mental illness: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Med.* (2017) 47:343–52. doi: 10.1007/s40279-016-0574-1

60. Han MH, Qie RR, Shi XZ, Yang YL, Lu J, Hu FL, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness and mortality from all causes, cardiovascular disease and cancer: dose-response metaanalysis of cohort studies. *Br J Sports Med.* (2022) 56:733–9. doi: 10.1136/ bjsports-2021-104876

61. Berry JD, Pandey A, Gao A, Leonard D, Farzaneh-Far R, Ayers C, et al. Physical fitness and risk for heart failure and coronary artery disease. *Circulation*. (2013) 6:627–34. doi: 10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.112.000054

62. Barry VW, Baruth M, Beets MW, Durstine JL, Liu J, Blair SN. Fitness vs. fatness on all-cause mortality: a meta-analysis. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis.* (2014) 56:382–90. doi: 10.1016/j.pcad.2013.09.002

63. Ortega FB, Ruiz JR, Labayen I, Lavie CJ, Blair SN. The fat but fit paradox: what we know and don't know about it. *Br J Sports Med.* (2018) 52:151–3. doi: 10.1136/ bjsports-2016-097400

64. Cheng C, Zhang DD, Chen SY, Duan GC. The association of cardiorespiratory fitness and the risk of hypertension: a systematic review and dose-response metaanalysis. *J Hum Hypertens*. (2022) 36:744–52. doi: 10.1038/s41371-021-00567-8

65. Laukkanen JA, Kunutsor SK. Fitness and reduced risk of hypertensionapproaching causality. *J Hum Hypertens*. (2021) 35:943–5. doi: 10.1038/ s41371-021-00545-0

66. Breneman CB, Polinski K, Sarzynski MA, Lavie CJ, Kokkinos PF, Ahmed A. The impact of cardiorespiratory fitness levels on the risk of developing atherogenic dyslipidemia. *Am J Med.* (2016) 129:1060–6. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2016.05.017

67. Watanabe N, Sawada SS, Shimada K, Lee IM, Gandoh Y, Momma H, et al. Relationship between cardiorespiratory fitness and non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol: a cohort study. *J Atheroscler Thromb.* (2018) 25:1196–205. doi: 10.5551/jat.43851

68. Zaccardi F, O'Donovan G, Webb DR, Yates T, Kurl S, Khunti K, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness and risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a 23-year cohort study and a meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Atherosclerosis*. (2015) 243:131–7. doi: 10.1016/j. atherosclerosis.2015.09.016

69. Qiu SH, Cai X, Yang BQ, Du ZW, Cai M, Sun ZL, et al. Association between cardiorespiratory fitness and risk of type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Obesity*. (2019) 27:315–24. doi: 10.1002/oby.22368

70. Lin XC, Zhang X, Guo JJ, Roberts CK, McKenzie S, Wu WC, et al. Effects of exercise training on cardiorespiratory fitness and biomarkers of cardiometabolic health: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2015) 4:e002014. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.115.002014

71. Weston M, Taylor KL, Batterham AM, Hopkins WG. Effects of low-volume high-intensity interval training (HIT) on fitness in adults: a meta-analysis of

controlled and non-controlled trials. *Sports Med.* (2014) 44:1005–17. doi: 10.1007/ s40279-014-0180-z

72. Stubbs B, Rosenbaum S, Vancampfort D, Ward PB, Schuch FB. Exercise improves cardiorespiratory fitness in people with depression: a meta-analysis of randomized control trials. *J Affect Disord.* (2016) 190:249–53. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2015.10.010

73. Gerber M, Minghetti A, Beck J, Zahner L, Donath L. Is improved fitness following a 12-week exercise program associated with decreased symptom severity, better wellbeing, and fewer sleep complaints in patients with major depressive disorders? A secondary analysis of a randomized controlled trial. *J Psychiatr Res.* (2019) 113:58–64. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2019.03.011

74. Osborn DP, Hardoon S, Omar RZ, Holt RIG, King M, Larsen J, et al. Cardiovascular risk prediction models for people with severe mental illness. Results from the prediction and Management of Cardiovascular Risk in people with severe mental illnesses (PRIMROSE) research program. *JAMA Psychiat*. (2014) 72:143–51. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2014.2133

75. Licht CMM, de Geus EJC, Seldenrijk A, van Hout HPJ, Zitman FG, van Dyck R, et al. Depression is associated with decreased blood pressure, but antidepressant use increases the risk for gypertension. *Hypertension*. (2009) 53:631–8. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.108.126698

76. Belvederi Murri M, Ekkekakis P, Magagnoli M, Zampogna D, Cattedra S, Capobianco L, et al. Physical exercise in major depression: reducing the mortality gap while improving clinical outcomes. *Front Psych.* (2019) 9:762. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2018.00762

77. Becofsky KM, Sui X, Lee D-C, Wilcox S, Zhang JJ, Blair SN. A prospective study of fitness, fatness and depressive symptoms. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2015) 181:311–20. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwu330

78. National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health. *Depression: the Nice guidelines on the treatment and management of depression in adutls*. London: National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health (2010).

79. Stubbs B, Vancampfort D, Hallgren M, Firth J, Veronese N, Solmi M, et al. EPA guidance on physical activity as a treatment for severe mental illness: a meta-review of the evidence and position statement from the European psychiatric association (EPA), supported by the International Organization of Physical Therapists in mental health (IOPTMH). *Eur Psychiatry*. (2018) 54:124–44. doi: 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2018.07.004

80. Nyström MBT, Neely G, Hassmén P, Carlbring P. Treating major depression with physical activity: a systematic overview with recommendations. *Cogn Behav Ther*. (2015) 44:341–52. doi: 10.1080/16506073.2015.1015440

81. Rethorst CD, TRivedi MH. Evidence-based recommendations for the prescription of exercise for major depressive disorder. *J Psych Practice*. (2013) 19:204–12. doi: 10.1097/01.pra.0000430504.16952.3e

82. Stanton R, Happell BM. An exercise prescription primer for people with depression. *Issues Ment Health Nurs*. (2013) 34:626-30. doi: 10.3109/01612840. 2012.758207

83. Rosenbaum S, Hobson-Powell A, Davison K, Elliot C, Ward PB. Role of physical activity in closing the life expectancy gap of people with mental illness. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* (2017) 49:842–3. doi: 10.1249/01.mss.0000519266.05834.b1

84. Cuijpers P, van Straten A, Bohlmeijer E, Hollon SD, Andersson G. The effects of psychotherapy for adult depression are overestimated: a meta-analysis of study quality and effect size. *Psychol Med.* (2010) 40:211–23. doi: 10.1017/S0033291709006114

85. Turner EH, Matthews AM, Linardatos E, Tell RA, Rosenthal R. Selective publication of antidepressant trials and its influence on apparent efficacy. *N Engl J Med.* (2008) 358:252–60. doi: 10.1056/NEJMsa065779