



Barriers to Clinical Research in Latin America

Kathryn Chomsky-Higgins¹, Theodore A. Miclau², Madeline C. Mackechnie^{3,4}, Dino Aguilar⁵, Jorge Rubio Avila⁶, Fernando Baldy dos Reis⁷, Roberto Balmaseda⁸, Antonio Barquet⁹, Alfredo Ceballos¹⁰, Fernando Contreras¹¹, Igor Escalante¹², Nelson Elias¹³, Sergio Iriarte Vincenti¹⁴, Christian Lozano¹⁵, Fryda Medina¹⁶, Gavino Merchan¹⁷, Julio Segovia¹⁸, Enrique Guerado¹⁹, Jose Eduardo Quintero²⁰, Saam Morshed^{3,4}, Mohit Bhandari²¹ and Theodore Miclau III^{3,4*}

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Allen C. Meadors, The Global Leadership Group, USA

Reviewed by:

Wilma Alvarado-Little, AlvaradoLittle Consulting LLC, USA Al F. Alassaf, American Institute for Healthcare Quality, USA

*Correspondence:

Theodore Miclau III miclaut@orthosurg.ucsf.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Public Health Education and Promotion, a section of the journal Frontiers in Public Health

> Received: 13 January 2017 Accepted: 03 March 2017 Published: 18 April 2017

Citation:

Chomsky-Higgins K, Miclau TA,
Mackechnie MC, Aguilar D, Avila JR,
dos Reis FB, Balmaseda R,
Barquet A, Ceballos A, Contreras F,
Escalante I, Elias N, Vincenti SI,
Lozano C, Medina F, Merchan G,
Segovia J, Guerado E, Quintero JE,
Morshed S, Bhandari M and
Miclau T III (2017) Barriers to Clinical
Research in Latin America.
Front. Public Health 5:57.
doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2017.00057

¹San Francisco – East Bay Surgery Program, University of California, Oakland, CA, USA, ²Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA, USA, ³Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Institute for Global Orthopaedics and Traumatology (IGOT), University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA, ⁴Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Orthopaedic Trauma Institute, Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital and Trauma Center, San Francisco, CA, USA, ⁵Hospital Vivian Pellas, Managua, Nicaragua, ¹Clinica Medica Sur, Guadalajara, Mexico, ¹Federal University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil, ¹Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Centro de Investigaciones Médico-Quirúricas (CIMEQ), La Habana, Cuba, ¹Department of Traumatology and Orthopaedics, AEPSM, Montevideo, Uruguay, ¹Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Centro de Investigaciones Médico-Quirúricas (CIMEQ), La Habana, Cuba, ¹¹Hospital San Juan de Dios, San José, Costa Rica, ¹²Hospital Universitario de Caracas, Caracas, Venezuela, ¹³Vila Velha Hospital, Espírito-Santo, Brazil, ¹⁴Hospital San Gabriel and Clinica del Sur, La Paz, Bolivia, ¹⁵Clinica Anglo Americana, Lima, Peru, ¹⁶Hospital de Traumtologia Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social, Mexico City, Mexico, ¹¹Hospital de la Policia Nacional, Guayquil, Ecuador, ¹⁶Instituto de Prevision Social, Servicio de Ortopaedia y Traumatologia, Asunción, Paraguay, ¹⁰Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Traumatology, and Rehabilitation, University of Malaga, Hospital Costa del Sol, Marbella, Málaga, Spain, ²⁰Hospital Universitario San Jorge, Clinica de Ortopedia y Traumatología, Pereira-Risaralda, Colombia, ²¹Division of Orthopaedic Surgery, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada

Enhancing health research capacity in developing countries is a global health priority. Understanding the orthopedic burden of disease in Latin America will require close partnership between more-developed and less-developed countries. To this end, the Osteosynthesis and Trauma Care Foundation assembled a research consortium of Latin-American orthopedic leaders. Prior to the meeting, we surveyed attendees on perceived barriers to conducting research at their institutions. During the event, working groups discussed these barriers, developed strategies for addressing them, and planned future steps for collaboration. The participants established the need for global relationships that allow colleagues from Latin America to access to training and established investigational infrastructure of North American centers to address research questions relevant to their communities. As a result of the discussion, the International Orthopaedic Multicenter Study (INORMUS) in Fracture Care was initiated. Since then, an expanded international working group, Associación de Cirujanos Traumatológicos en las Americas (ACTUAR), has been created with the purpose of promoting increased global partnership for research capacity development.

Keywords: barriers, clinical research, capacity, sustainability, Latin America

1

INTRODUCTION

Musculoskeletal conditions contribute to an increasing burden of disease throughout the world, including Latin America. The most financially and socioeconomically impactful of these conditions is musculoskeletal trauma, which is on the rise as a direct result of road traffic accidents. The World Health Organization predicts that road traffic injuries will increase from the ninth to the third highest cause of Disability-Adjusted Life Years (DALYs) worldwide by 2030 (1). According to data from the 2013 Global Burden of Disease study, injuries have already become the fifth leading cause of DALYs (2). As this burden continues to rise, estimates of orthopedic injury patterns and prevalent treatment strategies in low and middle income countries (LMICs) become ever more important for health systems planning. Developing these estimates will require collaboration between moredeveloped (Global North) and less-developed (Global South) countries, as many in the latter group lack robust research infrastructure (3, 4). This results in decreased research productivity; accordingly, articles originating from Latin-American countries are underrepresented in major orthopedic surgery journals (5, 6). This is a fundamental limitation to the development of knowledge that could improve musculoskeletal injury care and inform research and policy priorities.

Many authors recognize the potential of sustainable, collaborative North-South partnerships to improve clinical research and education among LMICs (5-14). Despite broad recognition of this issue for over two decades and the current support for institutional partnerships as a solution, there is still a persistent lack of research infrastructure in developing countries. Thus, the Council on Health Research for Development (COHRED) organized a series of meetings on Latin-American health research priorities in which representatives from 20 Latin-American countries agreed to implement national and regional health research programs (15, 16). While many recommendations have resulted from these high-level discussions, little information exists regarding specific barriers that could help to inform ground-level North-South partnerships in the near future. These authors could find no literature on the barriers to orthopedic research in Latin America. To understand these specific barriers and develop the consortium, we assembled a working group of leaders from 13 Latin-American countries in a professional forum to discuss barriers faced in clinical research and to develop strategies for surmounting these obstacles.

METHODS

Prior to the Osteosynthesis and Trauma Care Foundation Forum of the Americas meeting in October 2011, we sent a needs assessment document to stimulate discussion. Attendees were asked to query their colleagues in suggested areas to achieve representation from their regions. Participants from this expert working group included 15 participants representing 13 different countries: Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Mexico, Nicaragua, Paraguay, Peru, Uruguay, and Venezuela. Additional participants from Japan, China, the Netherlands, and the United States were also invited to provide contrasting

perspectives. The course Chairmen selected the surgeons based on their interests in international orthopedic activities and commitment to improving the field of orthopedics through research.

The areas discussed included their current role and extent of research at each participant's home institution, and their personal involvement in research activities. The meeting was conducted in English, as all of the participants also were proficient in that language. Pre-meeting questions were circulated to stimulate discussion prior to the meeting, and comments were distributed and used in working group sessions. During these sessions, group members discussed barriers to research at their hospitals, possible strategies for overcoming these barriers, and research questions that were priorities for their patients. A scribe recorded the proceedings for each group. Finally, each group presented their findings to the entire assembly and discussed plans for collaborative research. The focus of this report is to review those barriers faced specifically in Latin America.

RESULTS

Current research programs vary from non-existent to fairly robust. Approximately two-thirds of the participants reported that their institutions participate in research of some kind. Most also noted that research was a requirement for professional advancement, either for graduation or matriculation in a program or for promotion or advancement within their institutions. Approximately half reported current involvement in research activities. About one-third were interested in research but not currently involved in a project, and a few noted that residents were the ones that exclusively completed research at his or her hospital. Where research was performed, most noted that the work included general topics (often initiated by residents' research questions), while some had specific areas of interest and expertise.

There was complete agreement that research capacity and interest could increase if appropriate financial and structural support were in place. Participants reported that clinical research support staff as well as financial support would likely improve the research capacity at their home institutions. Approximately half affirmed that access to scientific journals would also be a supportive measure. Other suggestions included free-of-cost trial implants, increased support from other colleagues, development of a research mentality, and establishment of a clinical database. Participants indicated that financial incentives would be a principle stimulator of interest in clinical research among colleagues at their institutions. This recognition might also come in the form of scholarships to present findings at national and international conferences. Increased access to hardware, support staff, and protected time could also be motivators. According to this group of orthopedists, research interest would increase if there were opportunities to work collectively in multidisciplinary groups or with other research centers. Some suggested that the opportunity to answer clinical questions of relevance to their patients would be motivation enough to stimulate research activity.

Participants identified several key clinical questions and tasks for their practice settings (Figures 1A,B). Topics included

Α

- Fragility fractures and osteometabolic disease
- Outcomes studies (and comparison to other institutions)
- Trauma burden
- Cost-effective implants
- Strategies to provide cost-effective care
- Complication rates
- Material quality
- Treatment of bony defects in long bone fractures
- Disease prevalence data

В

- Establishing polytrauma protocols
- Formation of a national network of trauma centers
- Stimulating residents and junior attendings to produce quality research
- How to choose a research project that will be of benefit to the local population
- Improvement of hospital infrastructure

FIGURE 1 | (A) Key clinical questions identified by working group. (B) Key tasks identified by working group for development of research infrastructure.

TABLE 1 | Barriers to research paired with potential interventions for overcoming them.

Barrier	Tasks to overcome barrier
Lack of evidence-based medicine knowledge	Find models of EBM at conferences, in journals
	Target residents (who may have to complete research projects for advancement in their programs)
	Participate in collaborative study with a small, manageable question
Lack of journal access and/or lack of ability to read journals in English	Attempt to get access to journal articles <i>via</i> World Health Organization, preferably in Spanish
Insufficient institutional interest	Target departments chiefs to achieve buy-in
Insufficient number of patients	Consider multicenter trial
Use of residents as research staff is problematic due to turnover	Consider short-term study
Lack of incentives and academic recognition makes it difficult to get physicians involved	Seek support specifically for motivating incentives or scholarships
Problematic charting makes retrospective studies difficult	Consider prospective study
Lack of funding and access to grants	Partner with University that has access to funding
Publication bias (against researchers from developing countries); local journals not particularly active	Partner with University that has access to journals
Political issues	-
Lack of support for ethics approval	Join another study with appropriate ethics approval
As patients must pay for their own	Consider alternative study designs and

seek donated plates

in a single visit

Consider what information can be gained

Choose a simple research question that

will not require vast expenditures

outcomes, cost-effectiveness, trauma burden, specific treatment queries, and quality of materials. Tasks included hospital infrastructure development, establishment of polytrauma protocols, formation of a network of trauma centers, and clinical research design. Nearly all respondents indicated interest in a clinical research symposium on the fundamentals of clinical research, and all indicated interest in receiving email updates on topics in evidence-based medicine. All affirmed that they would be interested in participating in an international multicenter research project on orthopedic trauma.

The working groups each produced a list of anticipated or known barriers to conducting research in their settings. These lists were reviewed individually by the larger group. It was clear from these discussions that barriers exist along all steps in the research process, from unfamiliarity with evidence-based medicine techniques to publication bias. In parallel, the working groups recommended strategies for surmounting these barriers and identified tasks for initiating the process of overcoming them (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

The international research summit provoked thought on the real challenges that potential Latin-American researchers might face in performing clinical investigations in their hospitals. The groups produced a list of solutions for overcoming specifically identified barriers. The participants confirmed the need for global North-South relationships that allow South colleagues access to training and established investigational infrastructure of the North to address research questions relevant to their communities. More importantly, the process allowed for discussion around the diverse experiences of each professional and galvanized commitment to persevere through these challenges. Though considerable published work exists on the imperative to build such partnerships, there is little

implants, randomization in implant

Patient follow-up is very difficult

Lack of start-up funds and critical

studies is not possible

personnel

writing on the methodology for doing so, particularly among surgeons.

Those present at the meeting made a commitment to collaborate on International Orthopaedic Multicenter Study (INORMUS) in Latin America. This project aligns with recommendations from the 2008 COHRED Conference for Latin-American Research and Innovation for Health which advocated increased epidemiological study of the burden of disease in Latin America in order to inform resource allocation (16). INORMUS is a short-term fracture audit that may serve as a bridge to a longer-term trauma registry. Each site will have access to the data from all sites, thus providing a database with adequate power to answer clinical questions that Latin-American orthopedists may face in their settings. We anticipate that the process of working together on this project will engender strong working relationships across institutions that the data from INORMUS will fill an important gap in burden of disease knowledge, and that implementation of the project will provide a framework for novel research infrastructure in Global South settings.

With the enthusiasm and success of INORMUS, orthopedic surgeons involved in this project have sought to create an expanded international collaborative initiative focused on building research capacity across institutions throughout Latin America. The product of this pioneering endeavor is Associación de Cirujanos Traumatológicos en las Americas (ACTUAR), a consortium established to support investigative and networking opportunities across the Americas. Though only recently established, ACTUAR has already had promising responses from orthopedists representing over 15 different countries across the Americas. The inaugural meeting of these surgeons will be held in the near future in order to discuss how this initiative can best address the barriers to conducting research in Latin America. ACTUAR aims to increase research capacity through sustainable,

REFERENCES

- Mathers C, Fat DM, Boerma JT. Data from: The Global Burden of Disease 2004 Update. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization (2008). Available from: http://site.ebrary.com/id/10266345
- GBD 2013 DALYs and HALE Collaborators, Murray CJ, Barber RM, Foreman KJ, Abbasoglu Ozgoren A, Abd-Allah F, et al. Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 306 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 188 countries, 1990-2013: quantifying the epidemiological transition. *Lancet* (2015) 386:10000. doi:10.1016/ S0140-6736(15)61340
- Deckelbaum RJ, Ntambi JM, Wolgemuth DJ. Basic science research and education: a priority for training and capacity building in developing countries. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* (2011) 25:3. doi:10.1016/j. idc.2011.05.009
- Dormans JP, Fisher RC, Pill SG. Orthopaedics in the developing world: present and future concerns. J Am Acad Orthop Surg (2001) 9:5. doi:10.5435/00124635-200109000-00002
- Urrutia J, Zamora T, Prada C. The fifty most cited Latin-American articles in the orthopaedic literature. *Int Orthop* (2014) 38:8. doi:10.1007/s00264-013-2197-6
- Aluede EE, Phillips J, Bleyer J, Jergesen HE, Coughlin RR. Representation of developing countries in orthopaedic journals: a survey of four influential orthopaedic journals. Clin Orthop Relat Res (2012) 470(8):2313–8. doi:10.1007/s11999-012-2377-5
- 7. Moraes VY, Belloti JC, Faloppa F, Bhandari M. Collaborative multicenter trials in Latin America: challenges and opportunities in orthopaedic and

collaborative partnerships and to ultimately improve fracture care and to guide policy priorities.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors participated in the discussion and intellectual contribution that was the foundation of the article. The draft manuscript was written by KC-H, TAM, MM, and TM. Each of the authors has critically reviewed the content, approved the final version to be published, and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the following participants from the Forum of the Americas meeting for providing information for the meeting or participation in the discussion groups: Carlos Amanquez, MD (Hospital General de Agudos Juan A. Fernandez, Buenos Aires, Argentina); Ernesto Besser, MD (Hospital Traumatologia University, Concepción, Chile); Baoguo Jiang, MD (University People's Hospital, Beijing, China); Thomas Lyon, MD (Lutheran Medical Center, Brooklyn, NY, USA); Peter Patka, MD (Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, Netherlands); Melvin Rosenwasser, MD (Columbia University, Columbia, NY, USA); Milan Sen, MD (Jacobi Medical Center, New York, NY, USA); Yoshi Watanabe, MD (Teikyo University School of Medicine, Teikyo, Japan); Tianbing Wang, MD (University People's Hospital, Beijing, China); and Amber Caldwell (University of California San Francisco). We would also like to acknowledge the Osteosynthesis and Trauma Care (OTC) Foundation, and its main funding supporter, the Stryker Corporation, for funding and Richard Helmer for organizational assistance of the Forum of the Americas meeting in support of participant travel and course logistics.

- trauma surgery. Sao Paulo Med J (2013) 131:3. doi:10.1590/1516-3180.2013. 1313555
- Morshed S, Shearer DW, Coughlin RR. Collaborative partnerships and the future of global orthopaedics. Clin Orthop Relat Res (2013) 471:10. doi:10.1007/s11999-013-3145
- Global Forum for Health Research (Organization), Davey S. The 10/90 Report on Health Research, 2003-2004. Geneva, Switzerland: Global Forum for Health Research (2004).
- Barreto ML. Health research in developing countries. BMJ (2009) 339:b4846. doi:10.1136/bmj.b4846
- Moreno-Borchart A. One problem at a time: building research capacities in developing countries is necessary for economic success in the long term.
 But the numerous problems in doing so have to be solved for each country individually. EMBO Rep (2004) 5:2. doi:10.1038/sj.embor.7400071
- Nuyens Y. Setting priorities for health research: lessons from low- and middle-income countries. Bull World Health Organ (2007) 85:4. doi:10.2471/ BLT.06.032375
- 13. Beveridge M, Howard A. The burden of orthopaedic disease in developing countries. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* (2004) 86-A:8. doi:10.2106/JBJS.G.00637
- Spiegel DA, Gosselin RA, Coughlin RR, Joshipura M, Browner BD, Dormans JP. The burden of musculoskeletal injury in low and middle-income countries: challenges and opportunities. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* (2008) 90:4. doi:10.2106/ IBIS.G.00637
- CouncilonHealthResearchforDevelopment. Second Latin American Conference on Research and Innovation for Health. (2011). Available from: http://www.cohred. org/2nd-latin-american-conference-on-research-and-innovation-for-health/

16. Council on Health Research for Development. First Latin American Conference on Research and Innovation for Health. (2008). Available from: http://www.cohred.org/publications/cohred-publications/joint-publications/ first-latin-american-conference-on-research-and-innovation-for-health/

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Chomsky-Higgins, Miclau, Mackechnie, Aguilar, Avila, dos Reis, Balmaseda, Barquet, Ceballos, Contreras, Escalante, Elias, Vincenti, Lozano, Medina, Merchan, Segovia, Guerado, Quintero, Morshed, Bhandari and Miclau. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.