Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Wulf Rössler, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany

REVIEWED BY

Kaston Anderson-Carpenter, Michigan State University, United States J. Carolyn Graff, University of Tennessee Health Science Center (UTHSC), United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Rodman Turpin ☐ RTurpin@gmu.edu Brenda Curtis ☐ brenda.curtis@nih.gov

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Public Mental Health, a section of the journal Frontiers in Public Health

RECEIVED 07 November 2022 ACCEPTED 03 March 2023 PUBLISHED 24 March 2023

CITATION

Turpin R, Giorgi S and Curtis B (2023) Pandemic distress associated with segregation and social stressors. *Front. Public Health* 11:1092269. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1092269

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Turpin, Giorgi and Curtis. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Pandemic distress associated with segregation and social stressors

Rodman Turpin¹*, Salvatore Giorgi² and Brenda Curtis²*

¹Department of Global and Community Health, College of Public Health, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA, United States, ²National Institute on Drug Abuse, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, United States

Background: Racial/ethnic minorities are disproportionately impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic, as they are more likely to experience structural and interpersonal racial discrimination, and thus social marginalization. Based on this, we tested for associations between pandemic distress outcomes and four exposures: racial segregation, coronavirus-related racial bias, social status, and social support.

Methods: Data were collected as part of a larger longitudinal national study on mental health during the pandemic (n = 1,309). We tested if county-level segregation and individual-level social status, social support, and coronavirus racial bias were associated with pandemic distress using cumulative ordinal regression models, both unadjusted and adjusted for covariates (gender, age, education, and income).

Results: Both the segregation index (PR=1.19; 95% CI 1.03, 1.36) and the coronavirus racial bias scale (PR=1.17; 95% CI 1.06, 1.29) were significantly associated with pandemic distress. Estimates were similar, after adjusting for covariates, for both segregation (aPR=1.15; 95% CI 1.01, 1.31) and coronavirus racial bias (PR=1.12; 95% CI 1.02, 1.24). Higher social status (aPR=0.74; 95% CI 0.64, 0.86) and social support (aPR=0.81; 95% CI 0.73, 0.90) were associated with lower pandemic distress after adjustment.

Conclusion: Segregation and coronavirus racial bias are relevant pandemic stressors, and thus have implications for minority health. Future research exploring potential mechanisms of this relationship, including specific forms of racial discrimination related to pandemic distress and implications for social justice efforts, are recommended.

KEYWORDS

race, segregation, social support, stress, bias

Introduction

Racial/ethnic minorities have faced significant health disparities related to the COVID-19 pandemic, including a greater burden of COVID-19 related hospitalization and death, depression, anxiety, financial strain, and housing insecurity (1–13). Additionally, racial/ethnic minorities have experienced significant racial discrimination related to COVID-19, including harassment, employment discrimination, and violence (14–17). COVID-19 related experiences of racism are particularly pronounced towards Asian-Americans, with one study finding that one in five Asian Americans had direct experiences with overt discrimination, such as physical attacks and xenophobic slurs (18, 19). The experience of these race-related stressors can have



important implications for many adverse health outcomes among racial/ethnic minorities.

Minority stress theory, while originally and most commonly focused on sexual minorities (20), has expanded in use to apply to several minoritized groups, including racial/ethnic minorities (21). Racial/ethnic minorities also experience additional social and structural stressors compared to their non-minority counterparts, which can lead to adverse health outcomes. Among racial/ethnic minority groups, this can include interpersonal forms of racism such as being verbally threatened, harassment, and slurs, as well as structural forms of racism such as institutional discrimination, over-policing, and segregation. Interpersonal racism in particular has exacerbated in the context of the Covid-19 pandemic, as growing literature demonstrates that experiences of coronavirus-related racial bias are particularly prevalent among Asian and Black individuals (19, 22-28). Much like racial discrimination and bias in other contexts, these experiences can lead to several adverse mental health outcomes, including depression, anxiety, suicidality, and substance use, which is closely linked to mental health.

Regarding structural factors, racial segregation is an especially impactful form of structural racism associated with numerous adverse mental and physical health outcomes (29-33). Minority communities, particularly Black communities, are disproportionately marginalized through both historical and current segregation (29-32). Segregation is associated with substantially more vulnerability to Covid-19 through several mechanisms, including increased household density, reliance on crowded transportation services, and lower access to healthcare services (34-38). Additionally, segregation exacerbates socioeconomic difficulties through limited access to employment and educational opportunities (34-36). For households in segregated neighborhoods, it is often much more difficult to recover from sudden socioeconomic challenges related to the pandemic, such as healthcare costs or the loss of employment. In tandem, coronavirus-related racial bias and segregation can lead to substantial pandemic distress, and thus have implications for mental and physical health disparities across race/ethnicity.

Social support can be an important buffer against the adverse effects of minority stressors, as there is a wealth of literature demonstrating the benefits of social support in protecting against many adverse mental health outcomes (20, 39-41). Social capital theory posits that social relationships are important resources that can allow for accumulation of capital, including a greater means of coping with adversity (42). When facing difficulties related to the COVID-19 pandemic, social support can provide a greater sense of social cohesion, as well as tangible support for facing socioeconomic challenges related to the pandemic. Additionally, having a stronger sense of social status in one's community can reflect greater social capital, allowing for better community connectedness and more effective coping with challenges. Both social support and social status can be important protective factors against COVID-19 related adversity (20, 39-43).

Based on this, the purpose of our study was to test for associations between pandemic distress outcomes and four exposures: racial segregation, coronavirus-related racial bias, social status, and social support. We hypothesized that racial segregation and coronavirusrelated racial bias would be associated with greater pandemic distress, and that higher social status and social support would be associated with lower pandemic distress (Figure 1).

Methods

Sample

Data for the current study consists of a sample of participants recruited as part of a national, longitudinal study on COVID-19, substance use, and mental health (44). Consenting participants were recruited online *via* a Qualtrics Panel and met the following requirements: (1) lived in the U.S., (2) were at least 18 years old, (3)

wrote at least 500 words across their Facebook status timeline, and (4) posted at least 5 posts within the 180 days prior to recruitment into our study. While Facebook use was a requirement for the larger study, no Facebook data is used in the current study. Data was collected between September 2020 and June 2021. The current sample consists of n=1,309 participants. This study was considered exempt by the University of Pennsylvania's institutional review board.

Key variables

Our key exposures of interest included segregation, coronavirusrelated racial bias, social status, and social support. County-level segregation was measured using the residential segregation index from the U.S. Census American Community Survey 5-year estimates (2016-2020), obtained from the 2020 County Health Rankings. Residential segregation is a dissimilarity index, which measures the percentage of the population within each census tract (i.e., sub-county spatial unit) which would need to change residence to have the same racial demographic percentage as the county overall. Coronavirusrelated racial bias was measured using the 9-item Coronavirus Racial Bias Scale (CRBS); and is used to assess beliefs about how COVID-19 negatively affecting attitudes toward one's race/ethnicity (Cronbach's alpha=0.87) (25). Each item is measured on a 4-point Likert scale where options range from 1 (Strongly disagree) to 4 (Strongly agree). Social status was measured using the single item MacArthur Scale of Subjective Social Status, which asks participants to evaluate where they stand within society (29). This single item uses a 10-point scale, visualized as a ladder, where 1 represents those who are the worst off in society (i.e., the least education and income) and 10 represents those best off in society (i.e., the most education and income). Social support was measured using the 6-item Perceived Social Support scale (F-SozU K-6) (45). All items (e.g.," I receive a lot of understanding and security from others") are measured on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly disagree and 5 = Strongly agree) (Cronbach's alpha = 0.86).

Our key outcome was pandemic stress, measured using the Pandemic Stress Index (PSI) (46). For bivariate analyses, this index was dichotomized for ease of presentation and interpretability. For regression analyses, we used this index in its original continuous form (Cronbach's alpha = 0.89).

Other variables

Other variables included gender (man, non-binary, woman), age (18–29, 30–39, 40–49, 50 or older), race (Asian/Pacific Islander, Black, White, Other), Ethnicity (Hispanic/Latino, Non-Hispanic Latino), highest education level (High School or less, Some College or Trade School, Two-Year College Degree, Four Year College Degree or more) and annual household income (Less than \$30,000, \$30,000 to \$59,999, \$60,000 to \$89,999, \$90,000 or more).

Missing data

Missingness was overall low for all items (<5%). We conducted intrascale stochastic imputation to impute missing observations for the coronavirus racial bias scale, the social, support scale, and the social status scale. This was appropriate given the low nonresponse for all variables, and good internal consistency of the items (Cronbach's alpha >0.80 for all items).

Bivariate analyses

For bivariate analyses, we tested for differences in a dichotomized pandemic distress measure (dichotomized at its median) across all of our key measures (Racial segregation, coronavirus racial bias, social status, and social support) using Cochran-Armitage tests of trend. Ordinal tests were used due to the non-normality of each of these continuous measures. We also tested associations between pandemic distress and our covariates using a Chi-Square test for binary/multicategorical covariates (gender, race, ethnicity), and a Cochran-Armitage test for ordinal covariates (age, education, income).

Regression analyses

We tested for associations between each of our four key factors and the continuous pandemic distress outcomes using ordinal regression models. Unadjusted cumulative ordinal prevalence ratios were generated testing associations between each factor and pandemic distress. We also generated adjusted ratios using a single model containing all four measures and terms for gender, age, education level, and annual household income. Note that we do not include race or ethnicity as covariates since our main exposures of interest include racism; not only is it conceptually flawed to examine racism independent of race, but analytically the collinearity between race/ ethnicity and racism prevents the use of both measures in the same regression model.

Quality assurance and statistical software

We tested regression models for collinearity by measuring the variance inflation factor (VIF) in all models: There was no evidence of collinearity (all VIF < 5) for any of the terms included in the final models. We identified no influential outliers using Leverages and Cook's distances. All analyses were conducted using SAS 9.4 (47).

Results

Sample characteristics

Our analytic sample consisted of 72.4% women, 25.8% men, and 1.8% non-binary participants (Table 1). The sample was 11.7% Black, 8.6% Asian, and 11.6% Hispanic. Examining socioeconomic status, 26.1% of the sample had a 4-year degree or higher, and 52.2% had a household income of \$60,000 or more. The median scores for our key variables (all scaled in percentage, ranging form 0% minimum to 100% maximum) were 59% for segregation, 14% for coronavirus racial bias, 55% for social status, and 75% for social support.

alue
0117
1658
0001
0004
0872
0019
0001
0001
0001

TABLE 1 Sample characteristics and bivariate associations with pandemic distress among an internet-based sample (n =1,309).

¹Tested using Chi-square test. ²Tested using Kruskal–Wallis test.

³Interquartile range provided in parentheses.

Bivariate results

We observed differences in all of our key measures across dichotomized levels of pandemic distress. Participants with higher pandemic distress were characterized by higher median scores for segregation (62.8% compared to 48.7%) and coronavirus racial bias (18.5% compared to 11.1%), as well as lower scores for social status (44.4% compared to 55.5%) and social support (70.8% compared to 79.1%). Higher pandemic distress was also associated with lower income, non-binary gender, and Black, Hispanic, and Other racial identities.

Regression results

All of our key variables were significantly associated with pandemic distress, both before and after adjustment for covariates (Table 2). Higher prevalence of pandemic distress was associated with greater segregation (aPR = 1.15, 95% CI 1.00, 1.31), greater coronavirus racial bias (aPR=1.12, 95% CI 1.02, 1.24), lower social status (aPR=0.74, 95% CI 0.64, 0.86), and lower social support (aPR=0.81, 95% CI 0.73, 0.90). Additionally, non-binary gender (aPR = 1.22, 95% CI 1.02, 1.46) and higher education (aPR=1.23, 95% CI 1.11, 1.36) were both associated with greater pandemic distress.

	Unadjusted			Adjusted (for all terms with included estimates)			
	PR	Lower Cl	Upper Cl	PR	Lower Cl	Upper Cl	
Segregation index %	1.19	1.03	1.36	1.15	1.00	1.31	
Coronavirus racial bias scale %	1.17	1.06	1.29	1.12	1.02	1.24	
Social status index %	0.64	0.50	0.81	0.74	0.64	0.86	
Social support index %	0.79	0.63	0.98	0.81	0.73	0.90	
Gender							
Man				Ref.	-	-	
Non-binary				1.22	1.02	1.46	
Woman				1.03	0.98	1.09	
Age group							
18–29				Ref.	-	-	
30-39				0.97	0.91	1.03	
40-49				0.99	0.93	1.06	
50 or older				0.97	0.91	1.04	
Highest education level							
High school or less				Ref.	-	-	
Some college or trade school				1.12	1.02	1.23	
Two-year college degree				1.15	1.05	1.26	
Four year college degree or more				1.23	1.11	1.36	
Annual household income							
Less than \$30,000				Ref.	-	-	
\$30,000 to \$59,999				0.91	0.83	1.00	
\$50,000 to \$89,999				0.95	0.88	1.03	
\$90,000 or more				0.95	0.87	1.02	

TABLE 2 Segregation, coronavirus racial bias, and covariates associated with pandemic distress among an internet-based sample (n=1,309).

Bolded estimates and intervals have a value of p < 0.05. PR, prevalence ratio; CI, confidence interval; Ref, reference group.

Discussion

We found that racial segregation and coronavirus-related racial bias were associated with greater pandemic distress, and that social status and social support were associated with lower pandemic distress. This is consistent with much of the overall literature demonstrating that racism, in several structural and interpersonal forms, creates a greater stress burden on racial minorities (14-17, 19, 22, 23, 25-28, 30, 31). In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, segregation can create a greater stress burden in several ways, but most notably socioeconomic marginalization from access to quality employment, increased housing density due to crowding, and limited healthcare access. Each of these factors can lead to incredible economic and mental health strain. Interpersonal experiences of coronavirus-related racial bias can also lead to poorer social cohesion, a lack of access to community services, and greater vulnerability to race-related violence. Overall, these both represent impactful forms of racism that directly produce health disparities burdening racial/ ethnic minorities.

Racial segregation reinforces health disparities by limiting access to resources that impact health—such as education, income, and occupation (32-38). These limitations were exacerbated during

Covid-19 where individuals of color who have experienced a lifetime of exposure to racial segregation also experienced worse quality of and access to health care and social support (32, 36). Additionally, racial segregation may create an environment that heightens stress related to discrimination, both experienced and anticipated (e.g., coronavirus racial bias) which can worsen pandemic distress. This can have significant implications for the mental and physical health of racial/ ethnic minorities, as these stressors may not only exacerbate depression, anxiety, and other adverse mental health outcomes, but may also affect physical health, such as cardiovascular disease which is well-documented as a long-term outcome of stress (48). Pandemic stress may worsen many health disparities among racial/ethnic minorities compared to their non-minority peers.

Our findings highlight the importance of social status and social support in potentially mitigating pandemic distress. Social support could protect against pandemic strain in several different ways, such as having a network for family and friends that can assist with household needs while one is recovering from COVID-19, feeling less isolated, and having more socioeconomic support to manage the direct and indirect costs of healthcare. A sense of social status in one's community often reflects a level of community connectedness that may confer these benefits as well. In these ways, social status and support can be directly beneficial to the health of racial/ethnic minorities navigating the challenges of the pandemic (20, 49).

Strengths of our study include a large dataset covering a wide range of participants across race/ethnicity, gender, and age. We utilized both a more general measure of structural racism (segregation) as well as a measure of racism specific to the pandemic (coronavirus-related racial bias), making our findings especially contextually appropriate. Our measures also capture several items covering different dimensions of each key construct, making our overall findings more comprehensive. Finally, our work fills an important gap on a relatively understudied, yet particularly salient topic. Given that the pandemic is still ongoing, and racial inequities in several aspects of health persist, our findings add to a significant area of the literature.

Our research has limitations that should be acknowledged. The use of self-reported measures of social status and social support largely reflect self-perceptions, and thus may differ substantially from social network and community contexts. Despite this limitation, the perceptions of one's social support and status are still quite relevant to health and may be even more predictive of one's mental and emotional health than measures of network and community structure. While we identified significant associations between non-binary gender and greater pandemic stress, this represented a very small proportion of our sample, so it is difficult to generalize. Future research exploring pandemic stress in larger studies of non-binary people is recommended. The restriction to Facebook users does limit the generalizability of the study, as internet users broadly are generally younger and more socioeconomically advantaged than the general population, as more socioeconomically disadvantaged and older populations may not be fully captured. Our study sample demographics are fairly similar to the U.S. population across age and education however, though it is more predominantly female than the general population (50). Finally, social desirability bias is likely to impact the measures used in our study, particularly in the self-reported measures of social status, social support, and coronavirus-related racial bias. Notably however, this does not impact our measure of segregation, as it was not self-reported.

Conclusion

Racial segregation and coronavirus-related racial bias were both associated with greater pandemic distress, while social status and social support were associated with lower pandemic distress. Future research exploring mechanisms of these relationships, such as specific outcomes of segregation that create a greater stress burden on racial minorities, is recommended.

References

1. Robertson MM, Shamsunder MG, Brazier E, Mantravadi M, Zimba R, Rane MS, et al. Racial/ethnic disparities in exposure, disease susceptibility, and clinical outcomes during COVID-19 pandemic in National Cohort of adults, United States. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2022) 28:2171–80. doi: 10.3201/eid2811.220072

2. Dendir S. Race, ethnicity and mortality in the United States during the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic: an assessment. *Discov Soc Sci Health.* (2022) 2:16. doi: 10.1007/s44155-022-00019-9

3. Newton EH, Valenzuela RG, Cruz-Menoyo PM, Feliberti K, Shub TD, Trapini CZM, et al. Racial/ethnic differences in non-discretionary risk factors for COVID-19 among patients in an early COVID-19 hotspot. *J Racial Ethn Health Disparities*. (2022):1–11. doi: 10.1007/s40615-022-01416-1

Data availability statement

The anonymized data analyzed in this study is subject to the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND) and made publicly available via OSF at https://osf.io/sa76w/.

Author contributions

RT: conceptualization, methodology, writing- original draft preparation. SG: data curation, writing-reviewing and editing. BC: conceptualization, supervision, writing-reviewing and editing. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, NIDA. Rodman Turpin is also supported by the National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities (K01MD016346) and the University of Maryland Prevention Research Center cooperative agreement from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (U48DP006382). Any interpretations and opinions expressed herein are solely those of the authors and may not reflect those of NIMHD or the CDC.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

4. Harding JL, Patel SA, Davis T, Patzer RE, McDonald B, Walker-Williams D, et al. Understanding racial disparities in COVID-19-related complications: protocol for a mixed methods study. *JMIR Res Protoc.* (2022) 11:e38914. doi: 10.2196/38914

5. Banks KC, Mooney CM, Borthwell R, Victorino K, Coutu S, Mazzolini K, et al. Racial disparities among trauma patients during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Surg Res.* (2023) 281:89–96. doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2022.08.015

6. Yacona K, Hanna MW, Niyazi S, Sharma S, Hatch P, Hernandez M, et al. Can COVID-19 worsen racial disparities in breast cancer screening and diagnosis? *J Clin Imaging Sci.* (2022) 12:35. doi: 10.25259/JCIS_26_2022

7. Andrasfay T, Goldman N. Reductions in US life expectancy during the COVID-19 pandemic by race and ethnicity: is 2021 a repetition of 2020? *PLoS One.* (2022) 17:e0272973. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0272973

8. Rifas-Shiman SL, Aris IM, Bailey C, Daley MF, Heerman WJ, Janicke DM, et al. Changes in obesity and BMI among children and adolescents with selected chronic conditions during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. (2022) 30:1932–7. doi: 10.1002/oby.23532

9. Lucchini M, Ordway MR, Kyle MH, Pini N, Barbosa JR, Sania A, et al. Racial/ethnic disparities in infant sleep in the COVID-19 mother-baby outcomes (COMBO) study. *Sleep Health.* (2022) 8:429–39. doi: 10.1016/j.sleh.2022.06.010

10. Bayati M, Noroozi R, Ghanbari-Jahromi M, Jalali FS. Inequality in the distribution of Covid-19 vaccine: a systematic review. *Int J Equity Health*. (2022) 21:122. doi: 10.1186/s12939-022-01729-x

11. Coats JV, Humble S, Johnson KJ, Pedamallu H, Drake BF, Geng E, et al. Employment loss and food insecurity - race and sex disparities in the context of COVID-19. *Prev Chronic Dis.* (2022) 19:E52. doi: 10.5888/pcd19.220024

12. Mullin AM, Handley SC, Lundsberg L, Elovitz MA, Lorch SA, McComb EJ, et al. Changes in preterm birth during the COVID-19 pandemic by duration of exposure and race and ethnicity. *J Perinatol.* (2022) 42:1346–52. doi: 10.1038/s41372-022-01488-1

13. Nguyen LH, Anyane-Yeboa A, Klaser K, Merino J, Drew DA, Ma W, et al. The mental health burden of racial and ethnic minorities during the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLoS One.* (2022) 17:e0271661. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0271661

14. Stolte A, Nagy GA, Zhan C, Mouw T, Merli MG. The impact of two types of COVID-19 discrimination and contemporaneous stressors on Chinese immigrants in the US south. SSM Ment Health. (2022) 2:100159. doi: 10.1016/j.ssmmh.2022. 100159

15. Turpin R, Smith J, Watson L, Heine B, Dyer T, Liu H. Latent profiles of stigma and HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis among black sexual minority men: an exploratory study. *SN Soc Sci.* (2022) 2:192. doi: 10.1007/s43545-022-00490-w

16. Li Y, Yang H, Dong F, Cui N. The COVID-19 pandemic, racism, and sleep among Chinese immigrants in the United States. *J Psychosoc Nurs Ment Health Serv*. (2022):1–7. doi: 10.3928/02793695-20220906-02

17. Su D, Alshehri K, Ern J, Chen B, Chen L, Chen Z, et al. Racism experience among American adults during COVID-19: a mixed-methods study. *Health Equity*. (2022) 6:554–63. doi: 10.1089/heq.2022.0070

18. Wong-Padoongpatt G, Barrita A, King A, Strong M. The slow violence of racism on Asian Americans during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Front Public Health.* (2022) 10:958999. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.958999

19. Hahm HC, Xavier Hall CD, Garcia KT, Cavallino A, Ha Y, Cozier YC, et al. Experiences of COVID-19-related anti-Asian discrimination and affective reactions in a multiple race sample of U.S. young adults. *BMC Public Health*. (2021) 21:1563. doi: 10.1186/s12889-021-11559-1

20. Meyer IH, Schwartz S, Frost DM. Social patterning of stress and coping: does disadvantaged social statuses confer more stress and fewer coping resources? *Soc Sci Med.* (2008) 67:368–79. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2008.03.012

21. LaMotte ME, Elliott M, Mouzon DM. Revisiting the black-white mental health paradox during the coronavirus pandemic. *J Racial Ethn Health Disparities*. (2022):1–14. doi: 10.1007/s40615-022-01457-6

22. Zhang D, Li G, Shi L, Martin E, Chen Z, Li J, et al. Association between racial discrimination and delayed or forgone care amid the COVID-19 pandemic. *Prev Med.* (2022) 162:107153. doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2022.107153

23. Park VT, Tsoh JY, Dougan M, Nam B, Tzuang M, Vuong QN, et al. Racial bias beliefs related to COVID-19 among Asian Americans, native Hawaiians, and Pacific islanders: findings from the COVID-19 effects on the mental and physical health of Asian Americans and Pacific islanders survey study (COMPASS). *J Med Internet Res.* (2022) 24:e38443. doi: 10.2196/38443

24. Fisher CB, Tao X, Yip T. The effects of COVID-19 victimization distress and racial bias on mental health among AIAN, Asian, Black, and Latinx young adults. *Cultur Divers Ethnic Minor Psychol.* (2022). doi: 10.1037/cdp0000539

25. Fisher CB, Tao X, Liu T, Giorgi S, Curtis B. COVID-related victimization, racial bias and employment and housing disruption increase mental health risk among U.S. Asian, black and Latinx adults. Front. *Public Health*. (2021) 9:772236. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.772236

26. Njoroge WFM, White LK, Waller R, Forkpa M, Himes MM, Morgan K, et al. Association of COVID-19 and endemic systemic racism with postpartum anxiety and depression among black birthing individuals. *JAMA Psychiat*. (2022) 79:600–9. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2022.0597

27. Wong-Padoongpatt G, Barrita A, King A. Perceived everyday discrimination explains internalized racism during the COVID-19 pandemic among Asians. *Behav Med.* (2022) 48:109–19. doi: 10.1080/08964289.2021.2015277

28. Thomas-Hawkins C, Zha P, Flynn L, Ando S. Effects of race, workplace racism, and COVID worry on the emotional well-being of hospital-based

nurses: a dual pandemic. *Behav Med.* (2022) 48:95–108. doi: 10.1080/08964289. 2021.1977605

29. Pinchak NP, Browning CR, Calder CA, Boettner B. Racial inequalities in Adolescents' exposure to racial and socioeconomic segregation, collective efficacy, and violence. *Demography*. (2022) 59:1763–89. doi: 10.1215/00703370-10210688

30. Schwartz GL, Wang G, Kershaw KN, McGowan C, Kim MH, Hamad R. The long shadow of residential racial segregation: associations between childhood residential segregation trajectories and young adult health among black US Americans. *Health Place.* (2022) 77:102904. doi: 10.1016/j.healthplace.2022.102904

31. Bravo MA, Zephyr D, Kowal D, Ensor K, Miranda ML. Racial residential segregation shapes the relationship between early childhood lead exposure and fourth-grade standardized test scores. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2022) 119:e2117868119. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2117868119

32. Reddy KP, Brown RT. Association between residential segregation and black-White disparities in frequent mental distress among older adults. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. (2022) 37:5796. doi: 10.1002/gps.5796

33. Besser LM, Meyer OL, Jones MR, Tran D, Booker M, Mitsova D, et al. Neighborhood segregation and cognitive change: multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. *Alzheimers Dement*. (2022). doi: 10.1002/alz.12705

34. Trounstine J, Goldman-Mellor S. County-level segregation and racial disparities in COVID-19 outcomes. *J Health Polit Policy Law.* (2022). doi: 10.1215/03616878-10234170

35. Burris HH, Mullin AM, Dhudasia MB, Flannery DD, Mukhopadhyay S, Pfeifer MR, et al. Neighborhood characteristics and racial disparities in severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) Seropositivity in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol.* (2022) 139:1018–26. doi: 10.1097/AOG.000000000004791

36. Rich JA, Miech EJ, Bilal U, Corbin TJ. How education and racial segregation intersect in neighborhoods with persistently low COVID-19 vaccination rates in Philadelphia. *BMC Public Health*. (2022) 22:1044. doi: 10.1186/s12889-022-13414-3

37. Bertocchi G, Dimico A. JUE insight: COVID-19, race, and gender. J Urban Econ. (2022) 103484:103484. doi: 10.1016/j.jue.2022.103484

38. Hu T, Yue H, Wang C, She B, Ye X, Liu R, et al. Racial segregation, testing site access, and COVID-19 incidence rate in Massachusetts, USA. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17:9528. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17249528

39. Lattanner MR, Pachankis JE, Hatzenbuehler ML. Mechanisms linking distal minority stress and depressive symptoms in a longitudinal, population-based study of gay and bisexual men: a test and extension of the psychological mediation framework. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* (2022) 90:638–46. doi: 10.1037/ccp0000749

40. Carlsson N, Arestedt K, Alvariza A, Axelsson L, Bremer A. Factors associated with symptoms of prolonged grief and psychological distress among bereaved family members of persons who died from sudden cardiac arrest. *J Cardiovasc Nurs*. (2022):937. doi: 10.1097/JCN.00000000000937

41. Fredriksen-Goldsen K, Jen S, Emlet CA, Kim HJ, Jung HH. Key determinants of physical and psychological health-related quality of life over time among midlife and older LGBTQ and sexual and gender diverse caregivers. *Gerontologist.* (2022). doi: 10.1093/geront/gnac112

42. Stephens C. Social capital in its place: using social theory to understand social capital and inequalities in health. *Soc Sci Med.* (2008) 66:1174–84. doi: 10.1016/j. socscimed.2007.11.026

43. Prime H, Wade M, Browne DT. Pandemic-related disruption and positive adaptation: profiles of family function at the onset of the pandemic. *Advers Resil Sci.* (2022) 3:321–33. doi: 10.1007/s42844-022-00077-7

44. Bragard E, Giorgi S, Juneau P, Curtis BL. Daily diary study of loneliness, alcohol, and drug use during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* (2022) 46:1539–51. doi: 10.1111/acer.14889

45. Kliem S, Mößle T, Rehbein F, Hellmann DF, Zenger M, Brähler E. A brief form of the perceived social support questionnaire (F-SozU) was developed, validated, and standardized. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (2015) 68:551–62. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2014.11.003

46. Harkness A, Behar-Zusman V, Safren SA. Understanding the impact of COVID-19 on Latino sexual minority men in a US HIV hot spot. *AIDS Behav*. (2020) 24:2017–23. doi: 10.1007/s10461-020-02862-w

47. SAS Institute Inc. SAS 9.4 Help and Documentation. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc. (2014).

48. Felix AS, Lehman A, Nolan TS, Sealy-Jefferson S, Breathett K, Hood DB, et al. Stress, resilience, and cardiovascular disease risk among black women. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. (2019) 12:e005284. doi: 10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.118.005284

49. Yang C, Gao H, Li Y, Wang E, Wang N, Wang Q. Analyzing the role of family support, coping strategies and social support in improving the mental health of students: evidence from post COVID-19. *Front Psychol.* (2022) 13:1064898. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1064898

50. U.S. Census Bureau (2020). United States Census. U.S. Department of Commerce. Retrieved from https://www.census.gov/data.html.