Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Marcello Calisti, University of Lincoln, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY Giacomo Picardi, Sant'Anna School of Advanced Studies, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Ziyu Ren, ⊠ ren@is.mpg.de Yuxiu Shao, ⊠ yuxiu shao@ens.psl.eu

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Bio-Inspired Robotics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Robotics and Al

RECEIVED 18 October 2022 ACCEPTED 12 December 2022 PUBLISHED 21 December 2022

CITATION

Ren Z and Shao Y (2022), Future bioinspired robots require delicate structures. *Front. Robot. AI* 9:1073329. doi: 10.3389/frobt.2022.1073329

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Ren and Shao. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Future bio-inspired robots require delicate structures

Ziyu Ren¹* and Yuxiu Shao²*

¹Physical Intelligence Department, Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems, Stuttgart, Germany, ²Laboratoire de Neurosciences Cognitives et Computationnelles, INSERM U960, Ecole Normale Superieure—PSL Research University, Paris, France

KEYWORDS

bio-inspired robot, bio-inspired control methods, bio-inspired interfaces, biomechanics, microfabrication, 3D printing

Introduction

The goal of mimicking animals in nature is to enable robots to adapt to unstructured and dynamically-changing environments that are full of uncertainties. Developing bioinspired robots requires us to parallel or surpass the functions and performances of biological systems with hierarchical structures: Cells are subordinate to tissues, tissues are subordinate to organs, and organs are subordinate to the whole body. We can even look deeper into a cell and treat it as a complex subsystem.

The development of bio-inspired robots usually starts from observing animal behaviors. Typical behaviors are selected for imitation due to their extraordinary performances under certain circumstances. Next, the functions of muscles and skeletons are replicated by artificial actuators and mechanical structures. These artificial components play similar roles as biological tissues and organs but may differ significantly in working principles, material compositions, and structures. Subsequently, proper controllers are developed to regulate the motion of the actuators based on the feedback of sensors, enabling the robot to generate the right behavior at the right time. These controllers are usually borrowed from conventional robotic systems and may not fully reflect the structure of the biological nervous control system. Despite many years of efforts of scientists and engineers, the agility, efficiency, and intelligence of state-of-the-art bio-inspired robots still cannot parallel their biological counterparts.

Why is there still a stark gap between bio-inspired robots and animals? Bio-inspired robots are abstractions of the biological system. Is it because we leave out so many details that we smear out various merits of the animals? In this opinion article, we argue that we must look deeper into the biological systems and value the critical role of delicate structures. We use the word "delicate structure" here to refer to the functional components much smaller than the biological system or the low-level organizations of neurons, muscles, and sensors subordinate to the central nervous system (Figure 1). Although these delicate structures seem minor compared to the biological system, their influence on the overall performance may be non-trivial. For example, although the heights of the sea bass scales are less than 1 mm, a decrease in friction drag up to 9.31% was observed (Muthuramalingam et al., 2019). It should also be noted that different delicate structures are closely related and can function synergistically to meet the goal. For example, the fish needs to synergize sensing signals from massive proprioceptors (delicate sensing units) and contract or relax massive muscles (delicate actuators) in concert to achieve steady swimming. The massive delicate



sensing units and actuators must be orchestrated through low-level central pattern generators (delicate control architectures). Achieving delicate structures on bioinspired robots is not easy. We must wisely choose to what extent to replicate these delicate structures to avoid challenges in engineering implementation. This requires us to deeply understand the synergistic relation between delicate structures and the influence of the delicate structures on the whole system.

Delicate epidermal structures

Many animals have evolved well-ordered nano/micro structures on their epidermises. Although these inconspicuous "delicate epidermal structures" are much smaller than animals' bodies, they act as the interface between the biological system and the external environment and play a critical role in animals' survival. Detailed features of these delicate structures on the *epidermis* should closely match the animals' behaviors and habitats. The proof of it comes from the study of seabird feathers. Plungedivers, such as gannets, need to plunge into the water and chase the prey underwater, so they require feathers with higher water resistance. On the contrary, seabirds only feeding on the water surface, such as gulls, prefer feathers with higher water repellency. The diameter of the barbs and the distance between them determine the water repellency and water resistance properties of the plumage (Rijke, 1968), and they vary with different seabird species to match their habits (Rijke, 2018).

Delicate epidermal structures should not be treated as isolated functional subsystems. In many cases, they can only function when working with the whole biological system synergistically; otherwise, they may become counterproductive. As the first example, experimental studies on synthetic flexible shark skins with 3D denticles demonstrate that drag reduction only occurs at certain undulatory kinematics (Wen et al., 2014; Wen et al., 2015). In fact, different undulatory kinematics correspond to different optimal arrangement patterns of the denticles, and the mismatch between the denticle arrangement and the undulatory kinematics may even lead to drag increment. The statement can also be justified by a study on the adhesive force of a single gecko foot hair (Autumn et al., 2000). Maximum adhesion can only be obtained if the seta is first pushed perpendicularly and then pulled parallel to the substrate. The orientation of the seta is critical to the detachment: A larger seta angle can help the gecko to peel the toes away from the substrate. The attachment and detachment properties of the gecko foot hairs require the gecko to adopt proper gait and toe motion during crawling.

Delicate actuators

In contrast to the limited number of actuators in many bioinspired robots, animals usually possess a large number of "delicate actuators" arranged in high spatial density, such as dynein motors and muscles, at length scales much smaller than the whole biological system. For instance, an earthworm with a body length of just 11–20 cm contains 135–150 body segments, each of which has circular and longitudinal muscles that can work independently of every other body segment (Hanson, 1957).

The large number and high density of the delicate actuators endow the animals with abundant degrees of freedom to manage their behaviors, enhancing their adaptability to the environments. The ciliary bands of the starfish larvae demonstrate the strategy of dynamically coordinating the motions of massive delicate actuators to produce versatile functions (Gilpin et al., 2017). By controlling the beat direction of the cilia, starfish larvae can dynamically control the number and positions of the vertices surrounding the body. Further investigation suggests that different flow patterns lead to different hydrodynamic benefits, facilitating either feeding or swimming. The delicate actuators can also synergistically cooperate with the macro-scale motion of the animal body for locomotion. For example, the octopus crawling is achieved by coordinating the shortening and elongation motions of the proximal arms at the large scale and the anchoring of the suckers at the small scale (Levy et al., 2015).

The organizational structure of delicate actuators is also a critical factor influencing the performance of the actuator coordination. The skeleton muscle is composed of sarcomeres that can be regarded as delicate actuators. The sarcomeres are arranged in series to form a myofibril. Thousands of myofibrils are packed in parallel to make up a muscle fiber. Numerous muscle fibers are then bundled together to form a fascicle. Groups of muscle fibers are finally bundled together in a similar way to form the whole muscle. Such organizational structure of the skeleton muscle effectively convert the contraction motion of the sarcomere, which is at the nanometer scale, into the macroscale movement (Lieber, 1999). However, the optimal organization of delicate actuators is not always well-ordered as in skeleton muscles. For instance, compared to spatially well-ordered cilia, the misaligned cilia in the mouse airway enhance the particle clearance performance (Ramirez-San Juan et al., 2020).

Delicate sensing units

Animals need proprioception, which is the ability to sense their body positions and movements, to realize different behaviors (Tuthill and Azim, 2018). Proprioception relies on "delicate sensing units" distributed throughout the body, i.e., mechanosensory neurons or proprioceptors. There exist a large number of proprioceptors in biological systems. It is estimated that there are around 10,000 spindle receptors in the human arm and roughly the same number of cutaneous receptors in the palm (Blum et al., 2021). In the insect femur, there exist up to several hundred mechanosensory neurons (Tuthill and Azim, 2018). Such a great number of delicate sensing units enhances the robustness of the biological system, as removing a small number of proprioceptors has little influence on animal behavior (Tuthill and Azim, 2018).

The proprioceptors are not only enormous in quantity but also numerous in type. In mammals, the skin receptors encode information on skin deformation, body conformation, and contact with objects. Muscle spindles embedded in skeleton muscles provide the sensation of muscle length and the rate of change of the muscle length. Golgi tendon organs lying at the interface between muscles and tendons detect the load on the limbs. Joint receptors react when the joint reaches a certain angle and always work as limit detectors. Vestibular organs located in the skull give feedback on the acceleration information of the head. Failing to accurately sense the state of body position and movement can severely hamper the movement capability (Ghez et al., 1990).

Delicate control architectures

It is a great challenge to coordinate massive actuators and sensors in a robotic system to perform a sequence of movements for a specific complex task in a world full of uncertainties and contextual noises while coordinating multi-sensory input, task strategy, and motor behavior to learn and perform the task may appear to be simple for a biological system (Rigotti et al., 2013). The huge number of actuators and sensors excludes the possibility of establishing direct one-to-one mappings to the brain. Therefore, the nervous control systems manifest a hierarchical architecture. At a higher level, the brain plans the movement sequence and sends out commands (Shao et al., 2016; Shao et al., 2019). At a lower level, the muscles can respond by synthesizing the command from the brain, the local sensory feedback from receptors, and the on-site external stimuli (Higueras-Ruiz et al., 2021). We refer to such a low-level organization subordinating to the central nervous system as the "delicate control architecture". In octopus, the neurons in the peripheral nervous system take up around two-thirds of the total neurons in an octopus, suggesting the critical role of the delicate control architecture (Hochner, 2012).

A typical example of such a delicate structure is the central pattern generator (CPG) that plays a critical role in rhythmic body locomotion (Ijspeert, 2008). The CPG is a group of neurons connecting into a network. It can stably produce rhythmic motor patterns without rhythmic input, and can be modulated with low-dimensional external signals. The muscle synergy also manifests the existence of delicate structures in the biological nervous control system (Higueras-Ruiz et al., 2021). In mammals, a group of muscles can be activated synergistically to produce a particular movement pattern, reducing the dimensionality of the muscle control. Such an organization still allows the animals to have the freedom to selectively recruit the number of muscles being activated to modulate the output force.

Discussion

Replicating delicate structures of the biological system requires fabrication techniques that can handle multiple materials and generate delicate features at high resolution. This is imperative when integrating numerous micro/nano structures (Wang et al., 2017), sensors (Liu et al., 2022), and actuators (Ren et al., 2022) to bio-inspired robotic systems at high spatial density. Recent progress in micro-assembly strategies (Zhang et al., 2021) and 3D printing techniques (Wehner et al., 2016; Truby et al., 2018) have demonstrated their capabilities in fabricating complex detailed features. However, one of the biggest disadvantages of these fabrication methods is their low throughput and poor scalability (Truby and Lewis, 2016; Zhang et al., 2021), preventing us from pursuing massive micro/nano structures and complicated somatosensory-actuator systems as in animals. One solution to bypass this challenge is to simplify the delicate structures being mimicked. For instance, by simplifying the morphology of the spinules of the remora disk, the artificial spinules can be easily fabricated through 2D laser cutting and can still achieve spatially heterogeneous friction during adhesion (Wang et al., 2017).

The decentralized nature of the nervous control system makes it efficient in coordinating a large number of motors and sensors to manage animal behaviors and maintain homeostasis of the biological system. Such a control framework relies on delicate control architectures to accommodate external disturbances rapidly and reduce the burden of the central control unit. Traditional bio-inspired robots usually rely on software and digital circuits to mimic the biological hyperconnective nervous system (Li et al., 2020; Thandiackal et al., 2021), which increases the latency in data transmission and processing. Mimicking the nervous system at the hardware level, such as the neuromorphic chip (Sandamirskaya et al., 2022), is a promising solution to obtain various merits of the biological nervous control system. It should also be noted that we must not merely focus on computational hardware and algorithm to develop the delicate control architecture because the computation can also be carried out by the physical body (Hauser et al., 2011; Sitti, 2021). Smartly utilizing the interaction between the robot and the environment can enhance the functionality (Ren et al., 2019) and adaptability (Ren et al., 2021) of the robot, which can be exploited to reduce the complexity of the control system.

In conclusion, various merits of biological systems come from their delicate epidermal structures, delicate actuators, delicate sensing units, and delicate control architectures. Future bioinspired robots should possess similar delicate structures in both hardware and software to close the gap with their biological counterparts. However, faithfully replicating every detailed feature of the biological system through synthetic approaches is impossible due to the currently insurmountable challenges in design, material synthesis, and fabrication. Therefore, we must leave out delicate structures that are irrelevant to or have minor influences on the performances or functions we resort to. This requires us to deeply understand the functional mechanisms of these delicate structures and how delicate structures interplay with each other and the whole system.

Author contributions

All authors contributed to the literature search, article writing, and revision.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Autumn, K., Liang, Y. A., Hsieh, S. T., Zesch, W., Chan, W. P., Kenny, T. W., et al. (2000). Adhesive force of a single gecko foot-hair. *Nature* 405 (6787), 681–685. doi:10.1038/35015073

Blum, K. P., Versteeg, C., Sombeck, J., Chowdhury, R. H., and Miller, L. E. (2021). "Proprioception: A sense to facilitate action," in *Somatosensory feedback for neuroprosthetics* (Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier), 41–76.

Ghez, C., Gordon, J., Ghilardi, M. F., Christakos, C. N., and Cooper, S. E. (1990). Roles of proprioceptive input in the programming of arm trajectories. *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.* 55, 837–847. doi:10.1101/sqb.1990.055.01.079

Gilpin, W., Prakash, V. N., and Prakash, M. (2017). Vortex arrays and ciliary tangles underlie the feeding-swimming trade-off in starfish larvae. *Nat. Phys.* 13 (4), 380–386. doi:10.1038/Nphys3981

Hanson, J. (1957). The structure of the smooth muscle fibres in the body wall of the Earth worm. J. Biophys. Biochem. Cytol. 3 (1), 111–122. doi:10.1083/jcb.3.1.111

Hauser, H., Ijspeert, A. J., Fuchslin, R. M., Pfeifer, R., and Maass, W. (2011). Towards a theoretical foundation for morphological computation with compliant bodies. *Biol. Cybern.* 105 (5-6), 355–370. doi:10.1007/s00422-012-0471-0

Higueras-Ruiz, D. R., Nishikawa, K., Feigenbaum, H., and Shafer, M. (2021). What is an artificial muscle? A comparison of soft actuators to biological muscles. *Bioinspir Biomim.* 17 (1), 011001. doi:10.1088/1748-3190/ac3adf

Hochner, B. (2012). An embodied view of octopus neurobiology. Curr. Biol. 22 (20), R887–R892. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2012.09.001

Ijspeert, A. J. (2008). Central pattern generators for locomotion control in animals and robots: A review. *Neural Netw.* 21 (4), 642–653. doi:10.1016/j.neunet.2008. 03.014

Levy, G., Flash, T., and Hochner, B. (2015). Arm coordination in octopus crawling involves unique motor control strategies. *Curr. Biol.* 25 (9), 1195–1200. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.02.064

Li, Q., Kroemer, O., Su, Z., Veiga, F. F., Kaboli, M., and Ritter, H. J. (2020). A review of tactile information: Perception and action through touch. *Ieee Trans. Robotics* 36 (6), 1619–1634. doi:10.1109/Tro.2020.3003230

Lieber, R. L. (1999). Skeletal muscle is a biological example of a linear electroactive actuator. *Proc. SPIE* 3669, 19–25. doi:10.1117/12.349688

Liu, F., Deswal, S., Christou, A., Sandamirskaya, Y., Kaboli, M., and Dahiya, R. (2022). Neuro-inspired electronic skin for robots. *Sci. Robot.* 7 (67), eabl7344. doi:10.1126/scirobotics.abl7344

Muthuramalingam, M., Villemin, L. S., and Bruecker, C. (2019). Streak formation in flow over biomimetic fish scale arrays. *J. Exp. Biol.* 222 (16), jeb205963. doi:10. 1242/jeb.205963

Ramirez-San Juan, G. R., Mathijssen, A. J. T. M., He, M., Jan, L., Marshall, W., and Prakash, M. (2020). Multi-scale spatial heterogeneity enhances particle clearance in airway ciliary arrays. *Nat. Phys.* 16 (9), 958–964. doi:10.1038/s41567-020-0923-8

Ren, Z., Hu, W., Dong, X., and Sitti, M. (2019). Multi-functional soft-bodied jellyfish-like swimming. *Nat. Commun.* 10 (1), 2703. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-10549-7

Ren, Z., Zhang, M., Song, S., Liu, Z., Hong, C., Wang, T., et al. (2022). Soft-robotic ciliated epidermis for reconfigurable coordinated fluid manipulation. *Sci. Adv.* 8 (34), eabq2345. doi:10.1126/sciadv.abq2345

Ren, Z., Zhang, R., Soon, R. H., Liu, Z., Hu, W., Onck, P. R., et al. (2021). Softbodied adaptive multimodal locomotion strategies in fluid-filled confined spaces. *Sci. Adv.* 7 (27), eabh2022. doi:10.1126/sciadv.abh2022

Rigotti, M., Barak, O., Warden, M. R., Wang, X. J., Daw, N. D., Miller, E. K., et al. (2013). The importance of mixed selectivity in complex cognitive tasks. *Nature* 497 (7451), 585–590. doi:10.1038/nature12160

Rijke, A. M. (2018). "Feather structure and behavioral patterns in seabirds," in *Seabirds* (London, UK: IntechOpen).

Rijke, A. M. (1968). The water repellency and feather structure of cormorants, Phalacrocoracidae. J. Exp. Biol. 48 (1), 185–189. doi:10.1242/jeb.48.1.185

Sandamirskaya, Y., Kaboli, M., Conradt, J., and Celikel, T. (2022). Neuromorphic computing hardware and neural architectures for robotics. *Sci. Robot.* 7 (67), eabl8419. doi:10.1126/scirobotics.abl8419

Shao, Y. X., Sornborger, A. T., and Tao, L. (2016). "A pulse-gated, predictive neural circuit," in 2016 50th Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems and Computers, Pacific Grove, CA, USA, 06-09 November 2016, 1051–1055.

Shao, Y. X., Wang, B. X., Sornborger, A. T., and Tao, L. (2019). A mechanism for synaptic copy between neural circuits. *Neural Comput.* 31 (10), 1964–1984. doi:10. 1162/neco_a_01221

Sitti, M. (2021). Physical intelligence as a new paradigm. *Extreme Mech. Lett.* 46, 101340. doi:10.1016/j.eml.2021.101340

Thandiackal, R., Melo, K., Paez, L., Herault, J., Kano, T., Akiyama, K., et al. (2021). Emergence of robust self-organized undulatory swimming based on local hydrodynamic force sensing. *Sci. Robot.* 6 (57), eabf6354. doi:10.1126/ scirobotics.abf6354

Truby, R. L., and Lewis, J. A. (2016). Printing soft matter in three dimensions. Nature 540 (7633), 371–378. doi:10.1038/nature21003

Truby, R. L., Wehner, M., Grosskopf, A. K., Vogt, D. M., Uzel, S. G. M., Wood, R. J., et al. (2018). Soft somatosensitive actuators via embedded 3D printing. *Adv. Mater* 30 (15), e1706383. doi:10.1002/adma.201706383

Tuthill, J. C., and Azim, E. (2018). Proprioception. Curr. Biol. 28 (5), R194–R203. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.01.064

Wang, Y., Yang, X., Chen, Y., Wainwright, D. K., Kenaley, C. P., Gong, Z., et al. (2017). A biorobotic adhesive disc for underwater hitchhiking inspired by the remora suckerfish. *Sci. Robotics* 2 (10), eaan8072. doi:10.1126/scirobotics.aan8072

Wehner, M., Truby, R. L., Fitzgerald, D. J., Mosadegh, B., Whitesides, G. M., Lewis, J. A., et al. (2016). An integrated design and fabrication strategy for entirely soft, autonomous robots. *Nature* 536 (7617), 451–455. doi:10.1038/nature19100

Wen, L., Weaver, J. C., and Lauder, G. V. (2014). Biomimetic shark skin: Design, fabrication and hydrodynamic function. *J. Exp. Biol.* 217 (10), 1656–1666. doi:10. 1242/jeb.097097

Wen, L., Weaver, J. C., Thornycroft, P. J., and Lauder, G. V. (2015). Hydrodynamic function of biomimetic shark skin: Effect of denticle pattern and spacing. *Bioinspir Biomim.* 10 (6), 066010. doi:10.1088/1748-3190/10/6/066010

Zhang, J., Ren, Z., Hu, W., Soon, R. H., Yasa, I. C., Liu, Z., et al. (2021). Voxelated three-dimensional miniature magnetic soft machines via multimaterial heterogeneous assembly. *Sci. Robotics* 6 (53), eabf0112. doi:10.1126/scirobotics. abf0112