



Corrigendum: How Many Wild Edible Plants Do We Eat—Their Diversity, Use, and Implications for Sustainable Food System: An Exploratory Analysis in India

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Kathleen L. Hefferon,
Cornell University, United States

*Correspondence:

Avik Ray
avikray@ceibatrust.org
orcid.org/0000-0003-1662-7679

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Nutrition and Sustainable Diets,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems

Received: 13 February 2021

Accepted: 29 March 2021

Published: 28 April 2021

Citation:

Ray A, Ray R and Sreevidya EA (2021)
Corrigendum: How Many Wild Edible
Plants Do We Eat—Their Diversity,
Use, and Implications for Sustainable
Food System: An Exploratory Analysis
in India.
Front. Sustain. Food Syst. 5:667541.
doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2021.667541

Avik Ray*, Rajasri Ray and Sreevidya E. A.

Center for studies in Ethnobiology, Biodiversity, and sustainability (CEiBa), Malda, India

Keywords: wild uncultivated plants, edible flora, sustainability, food system, hidden hunger, micronutrient, leafy greens, nutritional security

A Corrigendum on

How Many Wild Edible Plants Do We Eat—Their Diversity, Use, and Implications for Sustainable Food System: An Exploratory Analysis in India

by Ray, A., Ray, R., and Sreevidya, E. A. (2020). *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* 4:56.
doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2020.00056

In the original article, there was a mistake in **Table 3A** as published. In the third column, the RFC values were incorrect. The corrected **Table 3A** appears below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Copyright © 2021 Ray, Ray and Sreevidya. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

TABLE 3A | A list of species with high RFC value (up to 50% of the maximum).

Species	Number of studies mentioning use	RFC
<i>Oxalis corniculata</i>	41	0.39
<i>Amaranthus spinosus</i>	35	0.33
<i>Phyllanthus emblica</i>	33	0.31
<i>Colocasia esculenta</i>	31	0.295
<i>Solanum americanum</i>	31	0.295
<i>Centella asiatica</i>	31	0.295
<i>Amaranthus viridis</i>	29	0.28
<i>Chenopodium album</i>	28	0.27
<i>Dioscorea bulbifera</i>	27	0.26
<i>Commelina benghalensis</i>	26	0.25
<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i>	26	0.25
<i>Dioscorea pentaphylla</i>	26	0.25
<i>Ziziphus jujuba</i>	24	0.23
<i>Portulaca oleracea</i>	23	0.22
<i>Senna tora</i>	22	0.22
<i>Boerhavia diffusa</i>	22	0.22
<i>Ipomoea aquatica</i>	22	0.22
<i>Ficus racemosa</i>	21	0.2
<i>Tamarindus indica</i>	21	0.2
<i>Asparagus racemosus</i>	21	0.2
<i>Aegle marmelos</i>	21	0.2
<i>Diplazium esculentum</i>	21	0.2
<i>Solanum torvum</i>	20	0.19
<i>Moringa oleifera</i>	19	0.181
<i>Spondias pinnata</i>	19	0.181