Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Andrea Tipold, University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover, Germany

REVIEWED BY Koen Santifort,

IVC Evidensia Small Animal Referral Hospital, Netherlands Shenja Loderstedt, Leipzig University, Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE Colin J. Driver Scolin.driver@cvsvets.com

RECEIVED 19 April 2023 ACCEPTED 05 June 2023 PUBLISHED 26 June 2023

CITATION

Driver CJ, Lopez V, Walton B, Jones D, Fentem R, Tomlinson A and Rose J (2023) Instrumented cervical fusion using patient specific end-plate conforming interbody devices with a micro-porous structure in nine dogs with disk-associated cervical spondylomyelopathy. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 10:1208593. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2023.1208593

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Driver, Lopez, Walton, Jones, Fentem, Tomlinson and Rose. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Instrumented cervical fusion using patient specific end-plate conforming interbody devices with a micro-porous structure in nine dogs with disk-associated cervical spondylomyelopathy

Colin J. Driver¹, Victor Lopez^{2,3}, Ben Walton^{3,4}, Dan Jones³, Rory Fentem⁵, Andrew Tomlinson⁵ and Jeremy Rose¹

¹Lumbry Park Veterinary Specialists, CVS Referrals, Alton, United Kingdom, ²School of Engineering, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom, ³Fusion Implants, Liverpool, United Kingdom, ⁴Movement Referrals, Preston Brook, United Kingdom, ⁵Small Animal Teaching Hospital, University of Liverpool, Neston, United Kingdom

Objective: To report the medium and long-term outcome of nine dogs with disk-associated cervical spondylomyelopathy (DA-CSM), treated by instrumented interbody fusion using patient specific end-plate conforming device that features a micro-porous structure to facilitate bone in-growth.

Study design: A retrospective clinical study.

Animals: Nine medium and large breed dogs.

Methods: Medical records at two institutions were reviewed between January 2020 and 2023. Following magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) diagnosis of DA-CSM, pre-operative computed tomography (CT) scans were exported to computer software for *in-silico* surgical planning. Interbody devices were 3D-manufactured by selecting laser melting in titanium alloy. These were surgically implanted at 13 segments alongside mono-or bi-cortical vertebral stabilization systems. Follow-up included neurologic scoring and CT scans post-operative, at medium-term follow up and at long-term follow-up where possible. Interbody fusion and implant subsidence were evaluated from follow-up CT scans.

Results: Nine dogs were diagnosed with DA-CSM between C5-C7 at a total of 13 operated segments. Medium-term follow up was obtained between 2 and 8months post-operative (3.00 ± 1.82 months). Neurologic scoring improved (p=0.009) in eight of nine dogs. Distraction was significant (p<0.001) at all segments. Fusion was evident at 12/13 segments. Subsidence was evident at 3/13 operated segments but was only considered clinically relevant in one dog that did not improve; as clinical signs were mild, revision surgery was not recommended. Long-term follow up was obtained between 9 and 33months (14.23 ± 8.24 months); improvement was sustained in 8 dogs. The dog that suffered worsened thoracic limb paresis at medium-term follow up was also diagnosed with immune-mediated polyarthropathy (IMPA) and was euthanased 9months post-operative due to unacceptable side-effects of corticosteroid therapy.

Conclusion: End-plate conforming interbody devices with a micro-porous structure were designed, manufactured, and successfully implanted in dog with DA-CSM. This resulted in CT-determined fusion with minimal subsidence in the majority of operated segments.

Clinical significance: The technique described can be used to distract and fuse cervical vertebrae in dogs with DA-CSM, with favorable medium-and long-term outcomes.

KEYWORDS

cervical spondylomyelopathy, wobbler syndrome, vertebral distraction-fusion, custom implants, veterinary spinal surgery

1. Introduction

Disk-associated cervical spondylomyelopathy (DA-CSM) is a degenerative disorder of the intervertebral disks, articular facet joints and supporting ligaments, which causes a compressive myelopathy and/or radiculopathy in medium to large breed dogs (1–4). Compression can occur in a static fashion, or through movement; with compression more evident when imaging the vertebral column in extension or flexion and potentially alleviated when providing vertebral distraction (5–9).

The disorder is typically expected to result in progressive clinical signs and surgical management appears to be of benefit in comparison to medical treatment (1, 9-17). The objective of surgery is to directly or indirectly decompress the spinal cord and nerve roots. Proposed surgical techniques can be categorized as decompressive only (to directly decompress from a ventral or dorsal approach), indirect decompression without motion preservation (vertebral distraction with stabilization or fusion) and indirect decompression with motion preservation (total disk arthroplasty). Success rates vary considerably in the literature (17–35). Immediate post-operative neurologic deterioration is a significant disadvantage of dorsal decompressive techniques (36).

Restoration of vertebral canal height and indirect cord decompression with the implantation of an interbody cage is a common surgical option for cervical spondylitic myelopathy in man. Achieving vertebral interbody fusion is desirable to limit the risk of vertebral instability and recurrence of compression (37, 38). Fusion can be aided by combining interbody spacers with plate fixation (38, 39). Similar techniques to attempt interbody fusion in dogs with DA-CSM include the use of allogenic cortical bone grafts, cement plugs and metallic spacers or devices such as screws, cages or other custom devices (19–35).

Alteration to the biomechanics of the stabilized segment can lead to adjacent segment pathology and disease (1, 24, 25, 28, 40, 41). Failure to achieve interbody fusion has been associated with implant failure or subsidence of interbody devices, causing narrowing of the intervertebral disk space and reoccurrence of spinal cord compression, with associated clinical signs (24, 25, 28, 41). Interbody cages that perfectly conform with adjacent vertebral end-plates present improved biomechanical performance compared to generic interbody cages in humans with significantly lower interface stress in all directions of motion, higher stability in flexion/extension, and minimal implant subsidence (42). The use of conforming devices in two dogs was recently described without the use of a micro-porous structure (32).

The objective of this retrospective study was to describe and evaluate the use of patient specific end-plate conforming interbody distraction fusion devices with a porous structure in combination with vertebral stabilization, in dogs with DA-CSM. Outcomes were assessed from long-term follow-up and included CT-based determination of vertebral fusion, implant subsidence and adjacent segment pathology.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

The medical records of two institutions were retrospectively reviewed between January 2020 and 2023, for dogs with clinical signs and MRI findings compatible with DA-CSM, that were treated by vertebral distraction-fusion using patient specific interbody devices. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the main institutions internal review committee (CVS-2022-010). Data retrieved included patient signalment, clinical signs, diagnostic work-up, surgical technique and outcomes.

Neurologic examinations were scored according to the Texas Spinal Cord injury score (TSCIS) (43). Assessments and scoring were conducted by a board-certified neurologist or surgeon pre-operatively, post-operatively prior to discharge and at medium term (a minimum of 2 months) follow-up. Long-term follow-up, defined as a minimum period of 9 months post-operative (33), was retrospectively determined from physical examination, video analysis or pet owner telephone interview. Long-term outcome was categorized as deteriorated, stable, or improved.

2.2. Pre-operative imaging

The definitive diagnosis of DA-CSM was confirmed in all cases by high-field MRI (Philips Ingenia CV, 1.5 T, or Siemens Magnetom Essenza, 1.5 T) according to published criteria (1, 2, 10). Kinematic and distraction imaging was not performed in the study subjects. Immediately after disease confirmation, patients were transferred to CT (Toshiba Aquilion Prime, 80 slice, or Siemens Somatom Scope, 16 slice) and were positioned in dorsal recumbency within a trough, and with the cervical spine extended such that the angle of the mandible to the sternum was an angle approximately 30° to horizontal. This position was checked by the primary surgeon and documented using digital photography for later surgical reference. CT scans were obtained in a bone algorithm with 3D reconstruction for *in-silico* planning.

2.3. Device design and manufacture

The CT images were imported into Mimics software (Materialize NV, Leuven, Belgium) for the creation of individual 3D

reconstructions of the affected vertebrae with the remaining cervical vertebra as a single model. These models were then exported into 3-matic software (Materialize NV, Leuven, Belgium) for further modeling, maintaining the desired orientation of vertebral lordosis from the pre-operative scans. Distraction was considered desirable to restore normal vertebral canal dimensions at affected levels, so a systematic approach was established to define the desired distraction level of the affected sites. The average value of non-affected cervical intervertebral disk 'distances' (i.e., the distance between two end-plates) were calculated and defined as the reference distance (i.e., with 0% distraction). The affected segments were then virtually distracted by the reference distance +10% using the sagittal axis of the caudal vertebra as a reference (Figure 1).

Once distracted at the intended fixation position, the vertebral end-plate morphology was determined using a 2-dimensional grid of reference points which was exported to Creo Parametric software (PTC, United States) for reference point blending and implant design (Figure 2). It was also considered desirable for the interbody device to encourage osseous integration with a porous structure. This was incorporated to each device using a technology previously reported to optimize bone in-growth (44-46). The device was completed with solid outer wall 'ring' to protect its structure, a central hole to accept autograft and a ventral 'fin' to assist implantation (Figure 3). The device was designed to measure 50% of the lateral width of the vertebral end-plate and 80% of the end-plate ventrodorsal height; these dimensions represented a compromise between end-plate coverage and ease of surgical implantation.

The interbody device was manufactured in titanium alloy (Ti6Al4V) using selective laser melting (SLM) system RebAM 500Q (Renishaw plc, Wooton-under-Edge, United Kingdom; Figure 3). The outer section was gently polished for a better surface finish, which did not compromise the bone-implant conformance. The devices were heat-treated, ultrasonically cleaned in distilled water for 40 min, dried at 120°C for 4h and stored prior to surgical sterilization in steam at 121°C for 30 min.

Where applicable, vertebral models and surgical drill guides were designed and printed in polymer photocurable resin using stereolithography (Form 2, Formlabs; Somerville, United States) similar to methods described elsewhere (47).

2.4. Surgical procedure

Dogs were premedicated with 0.2–0.3 mg/kg intravenous or intramuscular methadone (Comfortan[®] 10 mg/mL, solution for injection for Dogs and Cats, Eurovet Animal Health B.V). General anesthesia was induced with propofol (Lipuro-Vet[®] 10 mg/mL solution for injection, Zoetis United Kingdom Ltd., UK) 4–6 mg/kg to effect and maintained with isoflurane (Iso-Vet[®] 1,000 mg/g inhalation vapor, Chanelle Pharma, Ireland). Analgesia was provided with continuous infusions of Ketamine (Narketan[®] 100 mg/mL solution for injection, Vetoquinol UK Ltd., United Kingdom) and Fentanyl (Fentadon[®] 50mcg/ml solution for injection, Dechra, UK). Cefuroxime (Zinacef GSK[®] Glaxo Operations UK Ltd., United Kingdom) was administered every 90 min during the procedure.

Dogs were positioned in dorsal recumbency in a position as close to pre-operative imaging as possible. A standard ventral approach to the caudal cervical vertebra for exposure of the affected disk(s) and adjacent vertebral bodies was made. Sub-total discectomy was performed, preserving fibers of the dorsal and lateral annulus fibrosus. A self-retaining Caspar vertebral distractor (Aesculap, B Braun, Germany) was used to facilitate completion of the discectomy and for gentle curettage of the cartilaginous end-plates. In one case, a ventral slot of the adjacent end-plates was completed to penetrate the vertebral canal and remove compressive elements of the annulus fibrosus. The interbody device was test-fitted into the disk space and manipulated using needle holders prior to release of the distractors. Intra-operative fluoroscopy was used to confirm adequate insertion depth and end-plate conformation (Figure 4). Prior to insertion, the spacer was packed with cancellous autograft was harvested from one (single segment fusion) or both (dual segment fusion) proximal humeri. Mono- or bi-cortical secondary spinal stabilization was then performed using a method according to the preference of the surgeon using pre-operative in-silico planning, with or without the assistance of patient-specific 3D printed drill guides in the case of bi- and monocortical systems, respectively. Where possible these implants were also surrounded by cancellous autograft prior to routine closure.

Post-operative care included continuation of analgesic infusions for 24 h then intravenous or intramuscular methadone according to pain scores. Oral caprofen 2 mg/kg twice daily (Carprieve[®], Norbrook, Ireland) or meloxicam 0.1 mg/kg once daily (Loxicom[®], Norbrook,





Mapping of endplate morphology data using the computer software 'Parametric creo'. (A), positioning of reference points; (B), CAD of endplate surfaces; (C), Endplate-conforming interbody spacer.



Ireland), paracetamol 10–15 mg/kg twice daily and gabapentin 10 mg/ kg three times daily (Gabapentin Noumed[®], Noumed, Life sciences Ltd., United Kingdom) was also administered. Antibiotics were not continued post-operatively. Post-operative recommendations included 3 weeks of strict rest with slowly increasing duration of controlled lead walks over the following six to 9 weeks according to the dogs ability.

2.5. Post-operative imaging

CT was performed immediately post-operative and at least at medium-term follow-up, with the patient positioned as per pre-operative scans. Scans were exported and multi-planar reconstructions were reviewed using open-source DICOM (digital imaging and communications in medicine) viewing software (Osirix)¹

1 www.osirixviewer.com

for implant stability, vertebral interbody fusion, distraction, and subsidence.

Interbody fusion was assessed in sagittally reconstructed images, aligned along the long axis of the vertebral body and interbody spacer. An ovoid region of interest (ROI) of approximately 5mm² was created within the center of the interbody device and fusion was considered successful when the mean Hounsfield units (HU) for endoprosthetic bone density was between 266 and 1988.

Vertebral distraction and subsidence was determined using a measurement of the distance between the cranial and caudal vertebral end-plates of the segment (48), and a distraction index %, the method for which has previously been described utilizing the vertebral end-plates on lateral radiographs (29) and adapted here with determination of measurements taken from sagittally reconstructed CT scans. The index provides an assessment of end-plate measurements relative to individual vertebral morphometry. The end-plate measurements were calculated pre- and post-operative, then at medium-term follow-up; the indices were calculated immediately post-operative and then at medium-term follow-up.



FIGURE 4

Surgical technique, ventral view of cervical spine. (A), intervertebral spacers can be seen *in situ* (arrowed); (B), intra-operative fluoroscopic image demonstrating adequate positioning of interbody spacer; (C), pre-contoured paired ventral locking plates applied to vertebrae with mono-cortical locking screws.

Minor complications were classified as those requiring no further surgical intervention, major complications were classified by those that did. These were described and tabulated.

Where a third or fourth CT scan was performed at a minimum of 6 months follow-up, an assessment for adjacent segment pathology (ASP) was also made. ASP was defined by clear intervertebral disk space narrowing, significant vertebral end-plate changes and/or the development of spondylosis deformans, that did not cause clinical signs; adjacent segment disease (ASD) was recorded as those that did cause clinical signs (28, 33).

2.6. Statistical analysis

Continuous data sets were assessed for normality graphically and using Shapiro–Wilk tests. Mean and standard deviation (SD) were reported for normally distributed data. Categorical data are described showing the count and percentage. Paired t-tests were used to compare measurements on the same segment at two time points. Independent t-tests were undertaken to compare mean measurements and indices across two groups. The association between two independent measurements, the variation in TSCIS and the follow up distraction index, were assessed using Pearson's correlation coefficient (r).

3. Results

3.1. Demographics and diagnosis

Nine medium and large breed dogs were included in this study, with mean age of 83.7 ± 19.8 months and weight of 42.7 ± 9.5 kg (Table 1). Breeds represented included three Doberman pinchers, two Great Danes, two Bernese mountain dogs, one Labrador Retriever and one border collie. There were 5 (55.6%) males and 4 (44.4%) females.

All dogs were treated with analgesic medications and rest for a median period of 4 weeks (range 3–12) before non-surgical management was considered to have failed due to progression of clinical signs. All dogs were ambulatory at presentation, with typical clinical signs for DA-CSM including mild to moderate tetraparesis and generalized proprioceptive ataxia. The mean pre-operative TSCIS was 34.6 ± 1.98 .

All cases were diagnosed on MRI by the demonstration of focal intra-parenchymal spinal cord lesions in the C5-C7 region at the level of extra-dural cord compression and concurrent degenerative changes in the intervertebral disk, articular facet joint and interarcuate ligaments. Five dogs were diagnosed with DA-CSM at one segment (C6-C7 in four cases, C5-6 in one) and four dogs were diagnosed with DA-CSM at two segments (C5-C6 and C6-C7), totaling 13 operated segments.

3.2. Surgery

All 13 interbody devices were inserted as planned (Figure 5). As previously described, one dog had a small ventral slot procedure contemporaneous with implantation of the interbody device. The supporting stabilization system utilized bi-cortical implants at 7 segments (in 5 cases); 5 segments (4 cases) with paired 2.7 or 3.5 mm bi-cortical locking screws with a single mono-cortical screw (DuPuy Synthes, Johnson and Johnson, United States) per vertebra which were enshrouded in a sculpted bolus of gentamicin-impregnated bone cement (Eurofix®, Synimed, France); and 2 segments (1 case) with a 3.5 mm pedicle screw and 3.2 mm rod system (Artemis®, Veterinary Orthopedics, United Kingdom). For the remaining 6 segments (4 cases), paired 8, 10 or 12 hole 2.7 mm locking plates and monocortical locking screws (Eickloxx, Eickemeyer Veterinary Equipment Ltd., United Kingdom) were utilized (Table 2). All bi-cortical implants were placed with the assistance of patient-specific 3D printed drill guides, whereas mono-cortical implants were placed without

		TSCIS						
Case	Breed Age, m		Sex	Weight (Kg)	Affected level(s)	Pre-operative	Medium-term follow-up	
1	Border Collie	104	MN	28.4	C6-C7	34	35	
2	Doberman	123	FN	34.4	C5-C6, C6-C7	36	36	
3	Labrador Retriever	108	MN	42.0	C6-C7	32	34	
4	Great Dane	72	FN	38.5	C5-C6, C6-C7	32	35	
5	Great Dane	70	FN	57.6	C6-C7	33	37	
6	Doberman	72	MN	41.6	C5-C6, C6-C7	37	40	
7	Bernese mountain Dog	60	MN	56.9	C5-C6	36	32	
8	Doberman	84	ME	41.7	C5-C6, C6-C7	36	40	
9	Bernese mountain dog	80	FN	52.9	C6-C7	33	40	

TABLE 1 Signalment, diagnosis and neurologic scores (FN, Female Neutered; TSCIS, Texas Spinal Cord Injury Score, ME, male entire; MN, male neutered).



FIGURE 5

Post-operative CT imaging in cases with bi-cortical (A–C) and mono-cortical (D–F) supporting stabilization systems. Sagittal (A,D), transverse (B,E) and three-dimensional (C,F) reconstructions with a bone algorithm.

additional guidance. There were no notable challenges in the surgical procedure or patient anesthesia.

3.3. Clinical outcomes

The median duration of hospitalization prior to discharge was 5 days (range 3 to 7). In three dogs, transient worsening of neck pain and mild thoracic limb lameness was evident, which resolved during hospitalization. In the case that underwent a ventral slot, transient neurologic deterioration occurred which reversed to pre-operative function within 3 days.

The medium-term follow-up examination occurred from 2 to 8 months post-operative; mean 3.00 ± 1.82 months. At this point, 7 dogs had improved, 1 was stable and 1 had deteriorated with slight

worsening of thoracic limb paresis and the development of pelvic limb lameness. This dog was subsequently diagnosed with immunemediated polyarthritis (IMPA) following arthrocentesis of multiple joints. The mean TSCIS had improved significantly to 36.9 ± 2.78 (p = 0.009).

Long-term follow-up ranged from 9 to 33 months (mean duration 14.23 ± 8.24 months). Improvement was seen in 8 of the 9 dogs. The dog that suffered worsened thoracic limb paresis and was diagnosed with IMPA was euthanased 9 months post-operative due to unacceptable side-effects of corticosteroid therapy (polydipsia and polyuria). One dog was euthanased at 24 months post-operative for non-specific neoplasia, and one at 13 months due to the development of heart failure. One was diagnosed with gastrointestinal lymphoma 27 months post-operative and was under care for this disease without neurologic change at the last follow-up at 33 months post-operative (Table 2).

			End-plate measurements (mm)			Distraction index %		Medium-term CT findings			Long- term CT findings	Long-term outcome		
Case	Affected segment	Supportive stabilization	Pre-op	Post-op	F/U	Post-op	F/U	Subsidence	Fusion	Minor complications	ASP	F/U (months)	Outcome	Cause of euthanasia
1	C6-C7	Screws + bone cement	43.6	45.2	42.5	3.67	-2.52	Yes	Yes	Fractured bone cement	-	10	Improved	-
2	C5-C6	Screws + bone cement	52.83	55.1	54.5	4.30	3.16	No	No	None	-	12	Improved	-
	C6-C7	Screws + bone cement	48.54	49.33	49.23	1.63	1.42	No	Yes	Broken screw in C7	-	-		-
3	C6-C7	Screws + bone cement	47.98	50.53	49.39	5.31	2.94	No	Yes	None	No	9	Improved	-
4	C5-C6	Paired locking plates	56.46	59.35	57.85	5.12	2.46	No	Yes	None	No	24	Improved	Neoplasia
	C6-C7	Paired locking plates	49.2	50.58	49.21	2.80	0.02	No	Yes	1/12 screws backedout of plate in C7,2/12 loose	Yes	_		
5	C6-C7	Paired locking plates	72.03	73.01	72.1	1.36	0.10	No	Yes	2/12 screws backed out of plate in C7	Yes	33	Improved	-
6	C5-C6	Paired locking plates	59.21	60.68	60.55	2.48	2.26	No	Yes	None	No	20	Improved	-
	C6-C7	Paired locking plates	55.77	55.9	54.33	0.23	-2.58	Yes	Yes	4/12 screws backed out of plate in C7	No	_		-
7	C5-C6	Paired locking plates	58.97	59.72	54.92	1.27	-6.87	Yes*	Yes	1/8 screw backed out of plate in C7, 1/8 loose	-	9	Deteriorated	ІМРА
8	C5-C6	Pedicle screws - rod	61.3	61.6	61.2	0.49	0.16	No	Yes	Minor vertebral canal breach	Yes	13	Improved	Heart Failure
	C6-C7	Pedicle screws - rod	53.1	55.1	54.5	3.77	2.64	No	Yes	None	Yes			
9	C6-C7	Screws + bone cement	53.8	55.2	53.9	2.60	0.19	No	Yes	None	No	12	Improved	-

Asterisk denotes clinically relevant vertebral subsidence.

Driver et al.

3.4. Radiologic outcomes

CT scans were performed immediately post-operative and at medium-term follow-up in all cases; findings are summarized in Table 2.

CT-determined interbody fusion was apparently successful in 8/9 cases (12/13 segments) (Figure 6); in the segment without fusion, endoprosthetic fusion appeared hampered by the ventral slot procedure performed (Figure 7). As locking screws and bone cement were utilized for secondary stabilization in this case, fusion ventral to the bodies could also not be appreciated. Bony-bridging ventral to the vertebral bodies was appreciated in all cases where bone cement was not utilized.

Minor complications were evident in 7/9 cases at 7/13 segments (Figure 7). These included one screw breakage, one fractured bone cement, two partial vertebral canal breaches and four partial back-out of locking screws from the caudal aspect of the locking plates, all of which occurred within C7. There were no major complications. Dislodging, displacement or failure of the interbody device was not seen at any segment.

Mean end-plate measurements for pre-, post-operative and medium-term follow up were 54.83 ± 7.25 mm, 56.25 ± 7.01 mm and 54.95 ± 7.23 mm, respectively. There was a statistically significant difference between pre- and post-operative measurements (p < 0.001) but also comparing post-operative to follow-up measurements (p = 0.003). Follow-up measurements did not vary significantly to pre-op (p = 0.787). The distraction index increased in all cases immediately post-operative ($2.69 \pm 1.67\%$) then reduced at follow-up in all segments ($0.24 \pm 2.86\%$), but remained positive in all but three segments (Table 2). Subsidence was therefore evident in 3/9 cases at 3/13 segments. At two segments, this subsidence appeared mild, but in one case subsidence appeared significant (distraction index –6.87%) and was also considered to be clinically relevant (Figure 7).

There was no correlation between the variation of TSCIS pre- and post-operative and the distraction index at follow up (r=0.3, p=0.328).

Five cases returned for a fourth CT scan between 6 and 12 months post-operative. In one case, the medium-term follow-up scan occurred at 8 months, meaning a total of 6/9 cases had scans >6 months post-operative available for assessment of ASP at 10 segments. ASP was evident at 4/9 segments, all of which involved partial bridging spondylosis deformans at the adjacent disk space. ASP did not cause clinically relevant ASD in the study subjects.

4. Discussion

Patient specific endplate-conforming interbody devices with a micro-porous structure were developed and successfully implanted in nine dogs with DA-CSM alongside bi- or mono-cortical instrumentation and led to CT-determined fusion in 12 of 13 operated segments. There was a positive clinical benefit in 8 of 9 dogs and revision surgery was not required; the dog that demonstrated mild clinical deterioration might have maintained an acceptable level of function and quality of life or indeed improved, in advance of the natural progression of the disease, were it not for the development of co-morbid IMPA which affected mobility in the follow-up period. Our results compare favorably to the literature where success rates of 70–90% for surgical management of DA-CSM are reported (9, 11–17) and the endplate confirming nature of the implant limited clinically relevant subsidence as has been reported with other interbody devices previously (26–35).

This study represents the first description of an endplate conforming interbody device that was manufactured in titanium alloy by SLM with a micro-porous structure. Titanium alloy is an ideal material for this purpose given its rigidity, biological



FIGURE 6

Follow-up CT examinations in cases with mono-cortical (A–C) and bi-cortical (D–F) supporting stabilization systems. Transverse (A,D), sagittal (B,E) and three-dimensional (C,F) reconstructions with a bone algorithm. Ventral bony bridging (arrowed) and endoprosthetic osseous fusion is suggested.



FIGURE 7

Complications associated with procedure. Top row sagittal (A1) and transverse (A2) CT reconstructions from case 7 that suffered mild neurologic deterioration associated with vertebral subsidence (arrowed) despite interbody fusion. Second row sagittal (B1) and transverse (B2) CT reconstructions from case 2 demonstrating a lack of interbody fusion at C5-C6 (arrowed) adjacent to a ventral slot. Other minor complications included cement fracture with mild subsidence (C) arrowed, mild vertebral canal screw breach (D) arrowed, screw back-out with mild subsidence (E) arrowed, and screw breakage (F) arrowed.

compatibility, corrosion resistance and MRI compatibility (49–52). Scaffold properties, such as porosity, porous size, permeability, stiffness and geometry can influence the success of bone in-growth (44, 45). The internal portion of the current device features a scaffold with properties that closely mimics trabecular bone (46) and which has already been successfully used in canine orthopedic surgeries including tibial tuberosity advancement osteotomies. A potential disadvantage for patient specific devices is the time needed to design and manufacture, meaning they are potentially not suitable for non-ambulant or emergency cases requiring more urgent surgery on a welfare basis; however, this is often not the case with DA-CSM.

Generic canine (31, 34) and human (33) interbody spacers have been successfully employed in dogs with DA-CSM, however, the canine interbody space is geometrically more complex than in humans, and simple convex or wedge-shaped cages will not perfectly conform. This limits bone-implant contact and load distribution, which may provide a less favorable biomechanical environment compromising endplate integrity leading to implant subsidence (42). Further, higher shear forces are present in the canine caudal cervical spine due to their naturally lordotic posture which can influence implant failure or migration (35, 41). The current interbody device was created with a high-friction surface with protective solid outer wall structure, which provided excellent end-plate conformity; implant failure, migration or infection was not seen in any case.

Implant subsidence was not completely avoided, with 3/13 operated segments having a reduction in distraction index relative to pre-operative levels. This was only clinically relevant in one case with one operated segment; however, revision surgery was not considered necessary in this case as the patient remained ambulant with a relatively mild change in gait. These results compare favorably with the current literature regarding interbody device subsidence (26-35), but direct comparison cannot be made on the basis of this study. There are significant variations in the methodology for assessing implant subsidence. We chose to assess subsidence using previous methodology (29, 33) adapted from human medicine (48) but applied to CT in follow-up. While some variation in patient positioning can be expected, the use of CT (where multi-planar reconstruction allows the fused segment to be assessed in an optimized mid-sagittal alignment) provided a potentially more accurate critique of the technique than would be possible with follow-up radiography only. Inter-observer agreement could be a source of future study to further validate these techniques.

Restoration of vertebral canal dimensions following intervertebral disk narrowing and protrusion is one of the main aims of managing DA-CSM (1, 2). Vertebral distraction can be beneficial in immediately decompressing the spinal cord and nerve roots (29) but over-distraction has been associated with pain in humans (53) and could in theory increase the risk of implant subsidence. A systematic approach to determining vertebral distraction was created in the current study, allowing for a marginal degree of distraction (+10%) from averaged non-affected disk spaces. This facilitated both ease of surgical implantation and an increased postoperative distraction index in all cases. This was well tolerated with hospitalization periods being less than a week; however, 3 cases demonstrated a transient worsening of lameness which could have related to one or multiple factors including over-distraction, surgical irritation of the nerve roots or the collection of autografts from the proximal humeri.

Supporting or 'secondary' stabilization systems are commonly employed in canine cervical interbody fusion studies (28–35), primarily citing the improved rate of interbody fusion with combined cage distraction and anterior plating in man (39). Monocortical systems such as paired locking plates are most often utilized (22–25, 28–30, 33, 35) as the relative risk of vertebral canal penetration is low and they can provide adequate stability after ventral slot procedures (54). Minor complications such as screw breakage and plate back-out are relatively common, as was the case in the current study (4/6 operated segments). Fortunately, implant loosening might be less significant when stabilization from fusion or bone bridging has already been accomplished (33). The caudal cervical spine experiences three times more axial rotation than the cranial cervical region (6) which may relate to more concave articular facet morphology in this region (55). Biomechanical assessment of the most caudal segments following mono-cortical stabilization or complete or sub-total discectomy is lacking. Some authors have proposed a combined dorsal and ventral approach to include trans-articular stabilization (30, 35) or a modified slot technique with bi-cortical transpedicular screws placed with the assistance of patient-specific 3D printed drill guides (56). In the current study, the choice of secondary stabilization system for each case was based on implant availability, implant cost and surgeon preference. We used guides for the placement of bi-cortical screws in combination with bone cement or rods in 7/13 segments and 3/7 experienced mild complications. The guided placement of pedicle screws with rods combines the benefits of bi-cortical fixation and the ability to lay down large amounts of cancellous autograft which assists with ventral bony bridging and potentially more rapid fusion. This technique is now being utilized in the authors institution and warrants further study.

A significant limitation to the current study is the lack of histologic documentation of interbody fusion post-mortem, which might have been possible from one of the euthanased cases. Unfortunately, the bodies were not made available for study which was primarily related to distance of travel and euthanasia in a primary care setting. In addition, the determination of fusion was based on CT, rather than more sophisticated modalities such as μ CT or *in-silico* subtraction techniques to reduce metallic scatter (33). Ideally, a calibration phantom would be used as a control (56). Despite this limitation, interbody fusion was considered very likely in 12/13 segments based on the ROI Hounsfield units being compatible with bone. It remains possible that individual segments lacked complete compound bone fusion and/or contained fibrous elements within the interbody spacers.

The assessment of ASP was possible in 6/9 cases, revealing 3 cases with 4/9 segments affected; fortunately, clinical signs suggestive of ASD were not reported in any cases on long-term follow up. Distraction-fusion techniques are expected to alter vertebral motion patterns. It is currently unknown whether endplate conforming interbody devices will reduce the risk of ASP and ASD with time, relative to non-conforming spacers or cages. Current devices developed to maintain normal motion and reduce ASD, such as prosthetic disks, may be more prone to failure than distraction-fusion techniques (35). ASP can be influenced by plates and screws impinging on adjacent segments (33), which was avoided in this case series. The complete assessment for ASP and ASD with our technique is hampered by the retrospective nature of this study and a lack of uniform long-term follow up.

Other potential limitations to this study include its descriptive nature, small sample size, potential selection bias (based on financial viability and/or the selection of dogs with only mild to moderate clinical signs) and the lack of a control group. Direct comparisons to other interbody devices/spacers/cages are not possible. Further biomechanical and clinical studies would be required in dogs to confirm the suggestion that the devices provide a superior environment for stability and osseous in-growth.

In conclusion, we reported the successful development and application of patient specific, endplate conforming interbody devices, featuring a micro-porous structure, for the instrumented distraction and fusion of 13 diseased spinal segments in nine dogs with DA-CSM. The technique was safe and effective, with no significant intra-operative complications. There were only minor implant-related complications on follow-up, none of which required revision surgery; in one case, subsidence was associated with mild clinical deterioration, the remaining eight cases improved.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The animal study was reviewed and approved by CVS Group Internal Ethical review commitee. Written informed consent was obtained from the owners for the participation of their animals in this study.

Author contributions

CD, JR, VL, and DJ gave an essential contribution to the design and development of the implants. BW assisted in refinement of the system. VL performed the *in-silico* modeling and implant manufacture. CD, JR, RF, and AT managed and operated the clinical cases, collected data and contributed to the writing of the article. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the assistance of Imogen Schofield in reviewing the statistical evaluation of data sets.

Conflict of interest

BW and DJ are directors of Fusion Implants Ltd. who assisted the design of and manufactured the interbody devices.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

10.3389/fvets.2023.1208593

References

1. Da Costa RC. Cervical spondylomyelopathy (wobbler syndrome) in dogs. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract. (2010) 40:881–913. doi: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2010.06.003

2. Lewis DG. Cervical spondylomyelopathy ('wobbler' syndrome) in the dog: a study based on 224 cases. *J Small Anim Pract.* (1989) 30:657–65. doi: 10.1111/j.1748-5827.1989. tb01909.x

3. De Decker S, Gielen IM, Duchateau L, van Bree HJ, Waelbers T, Bavegems V, et al. Morphometric dimensions of the caudal cervical vertebral column in clinically normal Doberman pinschers, English foxhounds and Doberman pinschers with clinical signs of disk-associated cervical spondylomyelopathy. *Vet J.* (2012) 191:52–7. doi: 10.1016/j. tyjl.2010.12.017

4. Albuquerque Bonelli M, da Costa RC. Clinical and magnetic resonance imaging characterization of cervical spondylomyelopathy in juvenile dogs. *J Vet Intern Med.* (2019) 33:2160–6. doi: 10.1111/jvim.15602

5. Ramos RM, da Costa RC, Oliveira AL, Kodigudla MK, Goel VK. Morphological changes of the caudal cervical intervertebral foramina due to flexion-extension and compression-traction movements in the canine cervical vertebral column. *BMC Vet Res.* (2015) 11:184. doi: 10.1186/s12917-015-0508-4

6. Johnson JA, da Costa RC, Bhattacharya S, Goel V, Allen MJ. Kinematic motion patterns of the cranial and caudal canine cervical spine. *Vet Surg.* (2011) 40:720–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-950X.2011.00853.x

7. Penderis J, Dennis A. Use of traction during magnetic resonance imaging of the caudal cervical spondylomyelopathy ("wobbler syndrome") in the dog. *Vet Radiol Ultrasound*. (2004) 45:216–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1740-8261.2004.04037.x

8. da Costa RC, Echandi RL, Beauchamp D. Computed tomography myelographic findings in dogs with cervical spondylomyelopathy. *Vet Radiol Ultrasound*. (2012) 53:64–70. doi: 10.1111/j.1740-8261.2011.01869.x

9. De Decker S, da Costa RC, Volk HA, Van Ham LM. Current insights and controversies in the pathogenesis and diagnosis of disc-associated cervical spondylomyelopathy in dogs. *Vet Rec.* (2012) 171:531–7. doi: 10.1136/vr.e7952

10. Fingeroth JM, Thomas WB. Is "wobbler" disease related to disc disease? In: JM Fingeroth and WB Thomas, editors. *Advances in intervertebral disc disease in dogs and cats.* Ames, IA: ACVS/–Wiley-Blackwell (2015). 50–66.

11. Da Costa RC, Parent JM, Partlow G, Dobson H, Holmberg DL, Lamarre J, et al. Morphologic and morphometric magnetic resonance imaging features of Doberman pinscher dogs with and without clinical signs of cervical spondylomyelopathy. *Am J Vet Res.* (2006) 67:1601–12. doi: 10.2460/ajyr.67.9.1601

12. Da Costa RC. Relationship between spinal cord signal changes and clinical and MRI findings in dogs with cervical spondylomyelopathy-102 cases. *J Vet Internal Med.* (2012) 26:807–8.

13. Da Costa RC, Parent JM. One-year clinical and magnetic resonance imaging follow-up of Doberman pinschers with cervical spondylomyelopathy treated medically and surgically. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* (2007) 231:243–50. doi: 10.2460/javma.231.2.243

14. McKee WM. Surgery for disc-associated wobbler syndrome in the dog- an examination of the controversy. *J Small Anim Pract.* (2001) 42:574–81. doi: 10.1111/j.1748-5827.2001.tb06032.x

15. De Decker S, Bhatti M, Duchateau L, Martlé VA, Van Soens I, Van Meervenne SAE, et al. Clinical evaluation of 51 dogs treated conservatively for disc associated wobbler syndrome. *J Small Anim Practice.* (2009) 50:136–42. doi: 10.1111/j.1748-5827.2008.00705.x

16. da Costa RC, Parent JM, Holmberg DL, Sinclair D, Monteith G. Outcome of medical and surgical treatment in dogs with cervical spondylomyelopathy: 104 cases (1988-2004). *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* (2008) 233:1284–90. doi: 10.2460/ javma.233.8.1284

17. De Risio L, Muñana K, Murray M, Olby N, Sharp NJ, Cuddon P. Dorsal laminectomy for caudal cervical spondylomyelopathy: post-operative recovery and long-term follow-up in 20 dogs. *Vet Surg.* (2002) 31:418–27. doi: 10.1053/jvet.2002.34673

18. Bruecker KA, Seim HB III, Withrow SJ. Clinical evaluation of three surgical methods for treatment of caudal cervical spondylomyelopathy of dogs. *Vet Surg.* (1989) 18:197–203. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-950X.1989.tb01070.x

19. Dixon BC, Tomlinson JL, Kraus KH. Modified tension – stabilization technique using an interbody polymethylmethacrylate plug in dogs with cervical spondylopathy. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* (1996) 208:61–8.

20. Rusbridge C, Wheeler S, Torrington A, Pead MJ, Carmichael S. Comparison of two surgical techniques for the management of cervical spondylomyelopathy in Dobermans. *J Small Anim Pract.* (1998) 39:425–31. doi: 10.1111/j.1748-5827.1998.tb03749.x

21. McKee W, Butterworth S, Scott H. Management of cervical spondylopathyassociated intervertebral disc protrusions using metal washers in 78 dogs. *J Small Anim Pract.* (1999) 40:465–72. doi: 10.1111/j.1748-5827.1999.tb02997.x

22. Voss K, Steffen F, Montavon PM. Use of the compact unilock system for ventral stabilization procedures of the cervical spine. *Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol.* (2006) 19:21–8. doi: 10.1055/s-0038-1632969

23. Shamir M, Chai O, Loeb E. A method for intervertebral space distraction before stabilization combined with complete ventral slot for treatment of disc-associated

wobbler syndrome in dogs. Vet Surg. (2008) 37:186–92. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-950X.2007.00360.x

24. Bergmam RL, Levine JM, Coates JR, Bahr A, Hettlich BF, Kerwin SH, et al. Cervical spinal locking plate in combination with cortical ring allograft for a one level fusion in dogs with cervical spondylotic myelopathy. *Vet Surg.* (2008) 37:530–6. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-950X.2008.00400.x

25. Trotter EJ. Cervical spine locking plate fixation for treatment of cervical spondylotic myelopathy in large breed dogs. *Vet Surg.* (2009) 38:705–18. doi: 10.1111/j. 1532-950X.2009.00541.x

26. DaSilva A, Bernard F, Bardet J. Caudal cervical arthrodesis using a distractable fusion cage in a dog. *Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol.* (2010) 23:209–13. doi: 10.3415/ VCOT-09-02-0025

27. De Decker S, Caemaert J, Tshamala MC, Gielen IMVL, Van Bree HJJ, Bosmans T, et al. Surgical treatment of disk-associated wobbler syndrome by a distractable vertebral titanium cage in seven dogs. *Vet Surg.* (2011) 40:544–54. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-950X.2011.00839.x

28. Steffen F, Voss K, Morgan JP. Distraction-fusion for caudal cervical spondylomyelopathy using an intervertebral cage and locking plates in 14 dogs. *Vet Surg.* (2011) 40:743–52. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-950X.2011.00850.x

29. Solano MA, Fitzpatrick N, Bertran J. Cervical distraction-stabilization using an intervertebral spacer screw and string-of pearl (SOPTM) plates in 16 dogs with discassociated wobbler syndrome. *Vet Surg.* (2015) 44:627–41. doi: 10.1111/vsu.12325

30. Corlazzoli D. *Combinated dorsal and ventral stabilization in traction responsive cervical spondylomyelopathy*. In: Proceeding of the annual meeting of the American College of Veterinary Internal Medicine, Denver Colorado (2016).

31. Rohner D, Kowaleski MP, Schwarz G, Forterre F. Short-term clinical and radiographic outcome after application of anchored intervertebral spacers in dogs with disc-associated cervical spondylomyelophaty. *Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol.* (2019) 32:158–64. doi: 10.1055/s-0038-1676592

32. Joffe MR, Parr WCH, Tan C, Walsh WR, Brunel L. Development of a customized interbody fusion device for treatment of canine disc-associated cervical spondylomyelopathy. *Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol.* (2019) 32:79–86. doi: 10.1055/s-0038-1676075

33. Reints Bok TE, Willemses K, van Rijen MHP, Grinwis GCM, Tryfonidou MA, Meij BP. Instrumented cervical fusion in nine dogs with caudal cervical spondylomyelopathy. *Vet Surg.* (2019) 48:1287–98. doi: 10.1111/vsu.13312

34. King JC, Corfield GS, Mouatt JG, Kan CY, Moses PA. Surgical management and long-term outcome of dogs with cervical spondylomyelopathy with an anchored intervertebral titanium device. *Aust Vet J.* (2020) 98:156–63. doi: 10.1111/avj.12910

35. Falzone C, Tranquillo V, Gasparinetti N. Comparison of two techniques for the treatment of canine disc associated-cervical spondylomyelopathy. *Front Vet Sci.* (2022) 9:880018. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2022.880018

36. Taylor-Brown FE, Cardy TJA, Liebel FX, Garosi L, Kenny PJ, Volk HA, et al. Risk factors for early post-operative neurological deterioration in dogs undergoing a cervical dorsal laminectomy or hemilaminectomy: 100 cases (2002-2014). *Vet J.* (2015) 206:327–31. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2015.10.010

37. Bakhsheshian J, Mehta VA, Liu JC. Current diagnosis and management of cervical spondylotic myelopathy. *Global Spine J.* (2017) 7:572–86. doi: 10.1177/2192568217699208

38. Baskin JJ, Vishteh AG, Dickman CA, Sonntag VK. Techniques of anterior cervical plating. *Oper Tech Neurosurg.* (1998) 1:90–102. doi: 10.1016/S1092-440X(98)80034-0

39. Kaiser MG, Haid RW Jr, Subach BR, Barnes B, Rodts GE Jr. Anterior cervical plating enhances arthrodesis after discectomy and fusion with cortical allograft. *Neurosurgery*. (2002) 50:229–36. doi: 10.1097/00006123-200202000-00001

40. Hettlich BF, Allen MJ, Glucksman GS, Fosgate GT, Litsky AS. Effect of an intervertebral disk spacer on stiffness after monocortical screw/polymethylmethacrylate fixation in simulated and cadaveric canine cervical vertebral columns. *Vet Surg.* (2014) 43:988–94. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-950X.2014.12165.x

41. Adamo PF. Cervical arthroplasty in two dogs with disk-associated cervical spondylomyelopathy. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* (2011) 239:808–17. doi: 10.2460/javma.239.6.808

42. Zhang F, Xu HC, Yin B, Xia XL, Ma XS, Wang HL, et al. Can an endplateconformed cervical cage provide a better biomechanical environment than a typical non-conformed cage?: a finite element model and cadaver study. *Orthop Surg.* (2016) 8:367–76. doi: 10.1111/os.12261

43. Levine GJ, Levine JM, Budke CM, Kerwin SC, Au J, Vinayak A, et al. Description and repeatability of a newly developed spinal cord injury scale for dogs. *Prev Vet Med.* (2009) 89:121–7. doi: 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2009.02.016

44. Mullen L, Stamp RC, Brooks WK, Jones E, Sutcliffe CJ. Selective laser melting: a regular unit cell approach for the manufacture of porous, titanium, bone in-growth constructs, suitable for orthopaedic applications. *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater*. (2009) 89:325–34. doi: 10.1002/jbm.b.31219

45. Mullen L, Stamp RC, Fox P, Jones E, Ngo C, Sutcliffe CJ. Selective laser melting: a regular unit cell approach for the manufacture of porous, titanium, bone in-growth

constructs, suitable for orthopaedic applications II. Randomized structures. J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater. (2010) 92:178-88. doi: 10.1002/jbm.b.31504

46. Zhang Z, Jones D, Yue S, Lee PD, Jones JR, Sutcliffe CJ, et al. Hierarchical tailoring of strut architecture to control permeability of additive manufactured titanium implants. *Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl*. (2013) 33:4055–62. doi: 10.1016/j.msec.2013.05.050

47. Elford JH, Oxley B, Behr S. Accuracy of placement of pedicle screws in the thoracolumbar spine of dogs with spinal deformities with three-dimensionally printed patient-specific drill guides. *Vet Surg.* (2019) 49:347–53. doi: 10.1111/vsu.13333

48. McAfee PC, Boden SC, Brantigan JW, Fraser R, Kuslich SD, Oxland TR, et al. Symposium: a critical discrepancy – a criteria of successful arthrodesis following interbody spinal fusions. *Spine*. (2001) 26:320–34. doi: 10.1097/00007632-200102010-00020

49. Wieding J, Lindner T, Bergschmidt P, Bader R. Biomechanical stability of novel mechanically adapted open-porous titanium scaffolds in metatarsal bone defects of sheep. *Biomaterials*. (2015) 46:35–47. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2014.12.010

50. Niinomi M, Nakai M, Hieda J. Development of new metallic alloys for biomedical applications. *Acta Biomater*. (2012) 8:3888–903. doi: 10.1016/j.actbio.2012.06.037

51. Ponader S, Vairaktaris E, Heinl P, Wilmowsky CV, Rottmair A, Körner C, et al. Effects of topographical surface modifications of electron beam melted Ti-6Al-4V

titanium on human fetal osteoblasts. J Biomed Mater Res A. (2008) 84A:1111–9. doi: 10.1002/jbm.a.31540

52. Ernstberger T, Heidrich G, Bruening T, Krefft S, Buchhorn G, Kilnger HM. The interobserver-validated relevance of intervertebral spacer materials in MRI artifacting. *Eur Spine J.* (2007) 16:179–85. doi: 10.1007/s00586-006-0064-5

53. Ha SM, Kim JH, Oh SH, Song JH, Kim HI, Shin DA. Vertebral distraction during anterior cervical discectomy and fusion causes postoperative neck pain. *J Korean Neurosurg Soc.* (2013) 53:288–92. doi: 10.3340/jkns.2013.53.5.288

54. Agnello KA, Kapatkin AS, Garcia TC, Hayashi K, Welihozkiy AT, Stover SM. Intervertebral biomechanics of locking compression plate monocortical fixation of the canine cervical spine. *Vet Surg.* (2010) 39:991–1000. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-950X.2010.00755.x

55. Breit S, Künzel W. Shape and orientation of articular facets of cervical vertebrae (C3-C7) in dogs denoting axial rotational ability: an osteological study. *Eur J Morphol.* (2002) 40:43–51. doi: 10.1076/ejom.40.1.43.13953

56. Armstrong J, da Costa RC, Martin-Vaquero P. Cervical vertebral trabecular bone mineral density in great Danes with and without osseous-associated cervical spondylomyelopathy. *J Vet Intern Med.* (2014) 28:1799–804. doi: 10.1111/jvim.12444