



Commentary: Modulation of Prepulse Inhibition and Startle Reflex by Emotions: A Comparison between Young and Older Adults

Mara Mather*

Leonard Davis School of Gerontology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Keywords: startle reflex, prepulse inhibition, emotion, negative valence, aging, eyeblink response, pictures, positivity effect

A commentary on

Modulation of Prepulse Inhibition and Startle Reflex by Emotions: A Comparison between Young and Older Adults

Le Duc, J., Fournier, P., and Hébert, S. (2016). Front. Aging Neurosci. 8:33. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2016. 00033

Some findings overturn basic assumptions in the field and make it obvious how little we really understand. In my opinion, findings by Le Duc et al. (2016) regarding age differences in how emotional stimuli influence startle reactivity fit this profile. They found age differences in how viewing pleasant, unpleasant and neutral pictures modulated a startle response to a loud noise (**Figure 1A**). While the younger adults (ages 20–29) startled most to loud noises while viewing unpleasant pictures, the older adults (ages 56–69) startled least while viewing the unpleasant pictures.

The pattern Le Duc et al. found with younger adults is consistent with many previous studies in which undergraduates startled more to loud noises while viewing unpleasant pictures than while viewing pleasant pictures, with neutral pictures yielding startle levels in between the two valences (Vrana et al., 1988; Bradley et al., 1990, 1996; Cook et al., 1992; Stritzke et al., 1995; Cuthbert et al., 1996; Stanley and Knight, 2004; Larson et al., 2005; Gard et al., 2007; Ruiz-Padial et al., 2011). Five-month-old infants showed the same pattern when viewing emotional faces (Balaban, 1995). Thus, this pattern of greater startle during exposure to negative than positive stimuli is a highly replicated finding. Lang (1995) proposed that startle is a defensive reaction augmented during aversive motivational states and dampened during approach states. This is now the dominant assumption in the field (e.g., Crowell et al., 2015).

However, Le Duc et al.'s findings with older adults contradict this dominant assumption and reveal that we lack basic understanding about how emotion influences startle. Importantly, their surprising finding is not just a one-off finding. It replicates findings from another study comparing startle reactivity in younger adults (age 18–23) vs. older adults (ages 65–88) (Feng et al., 2011; **Figure 1B**). Thus, across two studies, older adults' results contradict the Lang (1995) model. Other than these two studies, I am not aware of any other emotion-startle data with older adults.

From the perspective of the aging literature, it is not surprising that older adults would show a different impact of emotional pictures than younger adults. Previous findings indicated that younger and older adults show opposing affective biases in attention and memory, with younger

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

P. Hemachandra Reddy, Texas Tech University, USA

Reviewed by: Annette Conzelmann, University of Würzburg, Germany

> ***Correspondence:** Mara Mather mara.mather@usc.edu

Received: 09 March 2016 **Accepted:** 25 April 2016 **Published:** 09 May 2016

Citation:

Mather M (2016) Commentary: Modulation of Prepulse Inhibition and Startle Reflex by Emotions: A Comparison between Young and Older Adults. Front. Aging Neurosci. 8:106. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2016.00106



favoring negative and older favoring positive relatively more (Mather and Carstensen, 2005; Reed et al., 2014). If Le Duc's finding had been a matter of degree (e.g., older adults showed less of an increase in startle during negative pictures than did younger adults), we could have accounted for the age differences within Lang's (1995) rubric. However, Le Duc et al.'s findings are more interesting than a simple age-related diminishment in the effect: the affective-modulated startle effect actually reversed itself among the older adults. Thus, the older adults' results contradict the notion that startle is a defensive reaction augmented during aversive motivational states and dampened during approach states (Lang, 1995).

In addition to replicating Feng et al.'s findings of an agerelated reversal of the effects of negative pictures on startle, Le Duc et al. also found age differences in how affective pictures modulated prepulse inhibition effects. Prepulse inhibition occurs when a non-startling mild stimulus (the prepulse) attenuates the startle reflex to a subsequent intense startling stimulus (Li et al., 2009). The linear pattern of effects was similar across age groups (positive > neutral > negative), but where the effects were largest differed across age groups. Younger adults showed significantly reduced prepulse inhibition for negative pictures than neutral or positive pictures, whereas older adults showed the greatest difference for positive pictures, with more prepulse inhibition for positive than neutral or negative pictures.

These findings are not only interesting in regards to age differences in emotion processing but they also raise fundamental questions about the mechanisms of startle. What processes could account for both younger and older adults' pattern of findings? There are a number of possibilities that merit future investigation. One possibility favored by Feng et al. in their discussion is that the degree of attentional focus on the affective picture could influence startle. However, given this hypothesis, it is surprising that there was not also an age reversal in patterns for the impact of valence on prepulse inhibition. Another possibility, as raised by Le Duc and colleagues, is that the amygdala has a key role in potentiating or suppressing startle, and because younger and older adults have opposite amygdala

responses to negative vs. positive pictures (Mather et al., 2004; for review see Mather, 2016), valence also has opposing effects on startle. Recent research also indicates that prefrontal cortex contributes to younger adults' diminished startle during viewing positive pictures (Hurlemann et al., 2015); thus age differences in prefrontal responses to emotional stimuli (Mather, 2012; Nashiro et al., 2012) may modulate startle reactivity. Also potentially relevant is that engaging emotion down-regulation can decrease reactivity whereas up-regulation increases it (e.g., Conzelmann et al., 2015). Left to their own devices, older adults may engage down-regulate responses to negative and upregulate responses to positive pictures whereas younger adults may do the opposite. Another interesting avenue worth exploring are findings suggesting that increased phasic dopamine transmission increases startle potentiation by negative pictures (Domschke et al., 2015), as older adults show declines in dopaminergic function (Bäckman et al., 2010).

In summary, the opposing findings from younger vs. older adults indicate that the effects of emotion on startle cannot be predicted from valence alone and that there is some other mechanism driving the effect that leads to reversed outcomes in later life. There are a number of possibilities worth exploring and better understanding of these age differences will hopefully shed light on the basic mechanisms of startle reactivity. More generally, these findings illustrate a case in which studying aging contributes to our understanding not just of developmental change, but also the basic mechanisms of a process within the young adult population.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The author confirms being the sole contributor of this work and approved it for publication.

FUNDING

Preparation of this paper was supported by NIH grant RO1AG025340.

REFERENCES

- Bäckman, L., Lindenberger, U., Li, S. C., and Nyberg, L. (2010). Linking cognitive aging to alterations in dopamine neurotransmitter functioning: Recent data and future avenues. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 34, 670–677. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.12.008
- Balaban, M. T. (1995). Affective influences on startle in five-month-old infants: reactions to facial expressions of emotion. *Child Dev.* 66, 28–36. doi: 10.2307/1131188
- Bradley, M. M., Cuthbert, B. N., and Lang, P. J. (1990). Startle reflex modification: emotion or attention? *Psychophysiology* 27, 513–522. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8986.1990.tb01966.x
- Bradley, M. M., Cuthbert, B. N., and Lang, P. J. (1996). Lateralized startle probes in the study of emotion. *Psychophysiology* 33, 156–161. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8986.1996.tb02119.x
- Conzelmann, A., McGregor, V., and Pauli, P. (2015). Emotion regulation of the affect-modulated startle reflex during different picture categories. *Psychophysiology* 52, 1257–1262. doi: 10.1111/psyp.12450
- Cook, E. W., Davis, T. L., Hawk, L. W., Spence, E. L., and Gautier, C. H. (1992). Fearfulness and startle potentiation during aversive visual stimuli. *Psychophysiology* 29, 633–645. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8986.1992.tb02038.x
- Crowell, A., Page-Gould, E., and Schmeichel, B. J. (2015). Self-affirmation breaks the link between the behavioral inhibition system and the threat-potentiated startle response. *Emotion* 15, 146. doi: 10.1037/emo0000030
- Cuthbert, B. N., Bradley, M. M., and Lang, P. J. (1996). Probing picture perception: activation and emotion. *Psychophysiology* 33, 103–111. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8986.1996.tb02114.x
- Domschke, K., Winter, B., Gajewska, A., Unterecker, S., Warrings, B., Dlugos, A., et al. (2015). Multilevel impact of the dopamine system on the emotionpotentiated startle reflex. *Psychopharmacology (Berl.)* 232, 1983–1993. doi: 10.1007/s00213-014-3830-9
- Feng, M. C., Courtney, C. G., Mather, M., Dawson, M. E., and Davison, G. C. (2011). Age-related affective modulation of the startle eyeblink response: older adults startle most when viewing positive pictures. *Psychol. Aging* 26, 752–760. doi: 10.1037/a0023110
- Gard, D. E., Gard, M. G., Mehta, N., Kring, A. M., and Patrick, C. J. (2007). Impact of motivational salience on affect modulated startle at early and late probe times. *Int. J. Psychophysiol.* 66, 266–270. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2007. 05.005
- Hurlemann, R., Arndt, S., Schlaepfer, T. E., Reul, J., Maier, W., and Scheele, D. (2015). Diminished appetitive startle modulation following targeted inhibition of prefrontal cortex. *Sci. Rep.* 5:8954. doi: 10.1038/srep08954
- Lang, P. J. (1995). The emotion probe: studies of motivation and attention. *Am. Psychol.* 50, 372–385. doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.50.5.372
- Larson, C. L., Ruffalo, D., Nietert, J. Y., and Davidson, R. J. (2005). Stability of emotion-modulated startle during short and long picture presentation. *Psychophysiology* 42, 604–610. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8986.2005.00345.x

- Le Duc, J., Fournier, P., and Hébert, S. (2016). Modulation of prepulse inhibition and startle reflex by emotions: a comparison between young and older adults. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 8:33. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2016.00033
- Li, L., Du, Y., Li, N., Wu, X., and Wu, Y. (2009). Top–down modulation of prepulse inhibition of the startle reflex in humans and rats. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 33, 1157–1167. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.02.001
- Mather, M. (2012). The emotion paradox in the aging brain. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1251, 33–49. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2012.06471.x
- Mather, M. (2016). The affective neuroscience of aging. Annu. Rev. Psychol. 67, 213–238. doi: 10.1146/annurev-psych-122414-033540
- Mather, M., Canli, T., English, T., Whitfield, S. L., Wais, P., Ochsner, K. N., et al. (2004). Amygdala responses to emotionally valenced stimuli in older and younger adults. *Psychol. Sci.* 15, 259–263. doi: 10.1111/j.0956-7976.2004. 00662.x
- Mather, M., and Carstensen, L. L. (2005). Aging and motivated cognition: the positivity effect in attention and memory. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 9, 496–502. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2005.08.005
- Nashiro, K., Sakaki, M., and Mather, M. (2012). Age differences in brain activity during emotion processing: reflections of age-related decline or increased emotion regulation? *Gerontology* 58, 156–163. doi: 10.1159/000328465
- Reed, A. E., Chan, L., and Mikels, J. A. (2014). Meta-analysis of the age-related positivity effect: age differences in preferences for positive over negative information. *Psychol. Aging* 29, 1. doi: 10.1037/a0035194
- Ruiz-Padial, E., Vila, J., and Thayer, J. F. (2011). The effect of conscious and nonconscious presentation of biologically relevant emotion pictures on emotion modulated startle and phasic heart rate. *Int. J. Psychophysiol.* 79, 341–346. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2010.12.001
- Stanley, J., and Knight, R. G. (2004). Emotional specificity of startle potentiation during the early stages of picture viewing. *Psychophysiology* 41, 935–940. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8986.2004.00242.x
- Stritzke, W. G., Patrick, C. J., and Lang, A. R. (1995). Alcohol and human emotion: a multidimensional analysis incorporating startle-probe methodology. J. Abnorm. Psychol. 104, 114. doi: 10.1037/0021-843X.104.1.114
- Vrana, S. R., Spence, E. L., and Lang, P. J. (1988). The startle probe response: a new measure of emotion? J. Abnorm. Psychol. 97, 487. doi: 10.1037/0021-843X.97.4.487

Conflict of Interest Statement: The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Mather. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.