



Transcription Profile of Aging and Cognition-Related Genes in the Medial Prefrontal Cortex

Lara lanov^{1,2}, Asha Rani¹, Blanca S. Beas¹, Ashok Kumar^{1*} and Thomas C. Foster^{1,2*}

¹ Department of Neuroscience, McKnight Brain Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA, ² Genetics and Genomics Program, Genetics Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

Cognitive function depends on transcription; however, there is little information linking altered gene expression to impaired prefrontal cortex function during aging. Young and aged F344 rats were characterized on attentional set shift and spatial memory tasks. Transcriptional differences associated with age and cognition were examined using RNA sequencing to construct transcriptomic profiles for the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), white matter, and region CA1 of the hippocampus. The results indicate regional differences in vulnerability to aging. Age-related gene expression in the mPFC was similar to, though less robust than, changes in the dorsolateral PFC of aging humans suggesting that aging processes may be similar. Importantly, the pattern of transcription associated with aging did not predict cognitive decline. Rather, increased mPFC expression of genes involved in regulation of transcription, including transcription factors that regulate the strength of excitatory and inhibitory inputs, and neural activity-related immediate-early genes was observed in aged animals that exhibit delayed set shift behavior. The specificity of impairment on a mPFC-dependent task, associated with a particular mPFC transcriptional profile indicates that impaired executive function involves altered transcriptional regulation and neural activity/plasticity processes that are distinct from that described for impaired hippocampal function.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

P. Hemachandra Reddy, Texas Tech University, USA

Reviewed by:

Corinna Burger, University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA Willard Freeman, Oklahoma Health Sciences University, USA

*Correspondence:

Ashok Kumar kash@ufl.edu; Thomas C. Foster foster1@ufl.edu

Received: 08 March 2016 **Accepted:** 29 April 2016 **Published:** 17 May 2016

Citation:

lanov L, Rani A, Beas BS, Kumar A and Foster TC (2016) Transcription Profile of Aging and Cognition-Related Genes in the Medial Prefrontal Cortex. Front. Aging Neurosci. 8:113. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2016.00113 Keywords: aging, cognitive flexibility, prefrontal cortex, set shifting task, transcription

INTRODUCTION

The extent to which cognition declines over the course of aging varies across individuals. Neuroimaging studies indicate that the pattern of cognitive decline is related to changes in the structure and activity of the prefrontal cortex (PFC) and hippocampus (Grady et al., 2005; Persson et al., 2006; Dennis et al., 2008; Park and Reuter-Lorenz, 2009; Migo et al., 2016), suggesting that individual differences in cognitive aging may result from vulnerability and reorganization of these neural systems. In addition, altered white matter integrity could influence connectivity of the PFC with other brain regions (O'Sullivan et al., 2001; Pfefferbaum et al., 2005; Salat et al., 2005; Andrews-Hanna et al., 2007; Bennett et al., 2011; Borghesani et al., 2013). The molecular mechanisms for vulnerability and adaptive reorganization during aging have been the subject of speculation (Jackson et al., 2009; Kumar et al., 2009; McEwen and Morrison, 2013; Gray and Barnes, 2015). Previous work using microarray technology indicates that over the course of aging, the transcription of genes linked to inflammation and synaptic function increases and decreases,

respectively, within a number of brain regions (Prolla, 2002; Blalock et al., 2003; Verbitsky et al., 2004; Erraji-Benchekroun et al., 2005; Loerch et al., 2008; Burger, 2010; Bordner et al., 2011; Haberman et al., 2011; VanGuilder et al., 2011; Zeier et al., 2011; Cribbs et al., 2012; Yuan et al., 2012; Berchtold et al., 2013; Primiani et al., 2014), suggesting possible mechanisms for variability in cognitive decline.

While it is widely thought that transcription is linked to cognitive function, there is relatively little information on the PFC transcriptional profile, which attempts to link altered gene expression to an age-related decline in behaviors that depend on the PFC. Indeed, the PFC provides several unique challenges for examining the relationship of transcription to age-related cognitive impairment. The PFC can be divided into several sub-regions and there is a long-standing debate over the equivalence of anatomical regions within the PFC across species (Uylings and van Eden, 1990; Preuss, 1995; Brown and Bowman, 2002; Vertes, 2004; Hoover and Vertes, 2007). In addition, the PFC is involved in executive function, which encompasses a number of cognitive processes including attention, response inhibition, working memory, and mental flexibility (Robbins, 1996; Bizon et al., 2012).

In the current study, we exploit individual differences in behavior to examine the relationship between age-related changes in cognition and transcription. Young and aged rats were characterized on two tasks that are age-sensitive, including an attentional set shift task that depends on the mPFC (Brown and Bowman, 2002; Kesner and Churchwell, 2011) and on a hippocampal-dependent spatial episodic memory task (Foster, 2012; Foster et al., 2012). RNA sequencing (RNAseq) was used to construct transcriptomic profiles for the mPFC, white matter, and CA1 region of the hippocampus. Expression differences associated with aging and cognition, defined by variability in set shift or spatial memory behavior, were examined. Finally, the aging and cognition mPFC gene sets were compared to microarray data from other studies to test specific hypotheses. The results indicate that expression of immediate-early genes (IEGs) related to neural activity and synaptic plasticity decline with age in the mPFC; however, within the group of aged animals, expression of IEGs is up regulated in animals that exhibit delayed set shift behavior.

METHODS

Animals

Procedures involving animal subjects have been reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and were in accordance with guidelines established by the U.S. Public Health Service Policy on Humane Care and Use of Laboratory. Male Fischer 344 rats of two ages, young (5–6 months, n = 11) and aged (17–22 months, n = 20) were obtained from National Institute on Aging colony (Taconic) through the University of Florida Animal Care and Service facility. Animals were maintained on a 12:12 h light schedule, and provided ad lib access to food and water prior to the set shifting task.

Behavioral Studies

Set Shifting Operant Task *Apparatus*

Testing in the set shifting task was conducted in standard rat behavioral test chambers (30.5 \times 25.4 \times 30.5 cm, Coulbourn Instruments, Whitehall, PA) with metal front and back walls, transparent Plexiglas side walls, and a floor composed of steel rods (0.4 cm in diameter) spaced 1.1 cm apart. Each test chamber was housed in a sound-attenuating cubicle, and was equipped with a recessed food pellet delivery trough located 2 cm above the floor in the center of the front wall. The trough was fitted with a photobeam to detect head entries and a 1.12 W lamp for illumination. Food rewards consisted of one 45 mg grainbased food pellet for each correct response (PJAI, Test Diet, Richmond, IN). Two retractable levers were located to the left and right of the food trough (11 cm above the floor), and a 1.12 W cue lamp was located 3.8 cm above each lever. An additional 1.12 W house light was mounted near the top of the rear wall of the sound-attenuating cubicle. An activity monitor was positioned above each test chamber to monitor locomotor activity throughout each session. This monitor consisted of an array of infrared (body heat) detectors focused over the entire test chamber. Movement in the test chamber (in x, y, or z planes) was defined as a relative change in the infrared energy falling on the different detectors. A computer interfaced with the behavioral test chambers and equipped with Graphic State 3.01 software (Coulbourn Instruments) was used to control experiments and collect data.

Behavioral shaping

The design of the set shifting task was based previously published methods (Floresco et al., 2008; Beas et al., 2013). Prior to the start of behavioral testing, rats were reduced to 85% of their free feeding weights over the course of 5 days and maintained at this weight for the duration of the experiments. Rats were trained and tested in the same behavioral testing chamber during the course of the experiment. Rats progressed through four stages of shaping prior to the start of the set shifting task, with new stages beginning on the day immediately following completion of the previous stage. On the day prior to Shaping Stage 1, each rat was given five 45 mg food pellets in its home cage to reduce neophobia to the food reward used in the task. Shaping Stage 1 consisted of a 64-min session of magazine training, involving 38 deliveries of a single food pellet with an inter-trial interval (ITI) of 100 \pm 40 s. Shaping Stage 2 consisted of lever press training, in which a single lever (left or right, counterbalanced across groups) was extended and a press resulted in delivery of a single food pellet. After reaching a criterion of 50 lever presses in 30 min, rats were then trained on the opposite lever using the same procedures.

Shaping Stage 3 consisted of 90 trials that were designed to train rats to press the levers after their insertion into the test chamber. Each 20 s trial began with illumination of the house light and insertion of a single lever (either left or right, randomly selected within each pair of trials) into the test chamber where it remained for a maximum of 10 s. A response on the lever within this time window resulted in retraction of the lever, delivery of a single food pellet, and continued illumination of the house light for an additional 4 s. If a rat failed to respond on the lever within 10 s, the lever was retracted and the house light turned off, and the trial was scored as an omission. Rats received at least 4 daily sessions in this stage, and were trained until reaching criterion performance of fewer than 10 omissions out of the 90 trials.

Shaping Stage 4 was designed to determine each rat's side bias (i.e., preference for one lever over the other). Each trial consisted of multiple phases. In the first phase of a trial, the house light was illuminated and both levers were inserted into the test chamber. A response on either lever resulted in retraction of both levers and delivery of a single food pellet. In the second phase of a trial, both levers were again inserted, but only a response on the lever opposite to that chosen in the first phase resulted in food delivery. A response on the same lever chosen in the first phase (i.e., "incorrect") resulted in the levers being retracted and the house light being extinguished. After a "correct" response in this second phase of a trial, a new trial was initiated, whereas after an "incorrect" response, the second phase of the trial was repeated. The second phase was repeated until rats made a "correct" response. The session ended after a total of 45 completed trials. The side associated with the greatest number of total responses across this phase of testing was considered a rat's biased side.

Visual cue discrimination

Following shaping stage 4, rats were trained to press the lever signaled by the illumination of a cue light over the lever. Each 20 s trial began with illumination of one of the cue lights (left or right, randomly selected in each pair of trials). After 3 s, the house light was illuminated and both levers were inserted into the chamber (the cue light remained illuminated while the levers were extended). A response on the lever corresponding to the cue light (a correct response) resulted in the house light remaining on for 4 s, during which time the levers were retracted, the cue light was extinguished, and a single food pellet was delivered. A response on the opposite lever (an incorrect response) or failure



RNA-seq. The right panel provides a schematic of a coronal slice +2.7 anterior to bregma diagram as adapted from Paxinos and Watson (1986) and illustrates the region of the mPFC and white matter collected for RNA-seq. The left panel shows a coronal slice from this same region.

to respond within 10 s (omission) resulted in retraction of both levers and all lights being extinguished. Rats were considered to have acquired the task upon reaching criterion performance of eight consecutive correct trials (and at least 30 total trials, excluding omissions), with the maximum number of trials per session set at 120. Rats that failed to acquire the task within a single session (young: n = 5; aged: n = 13) received additional sessions on subsequent days.

Left/Right discrimination (Set shift)

After reaching criterion performance on the visual cue discrimination, rats were tested the next day in the set shift condition, in which the task contingencies were altered. In this condition, rats were required to ignore the visual cue and instead to consistently choose the left or right lever (whichever was not their biased side as determined in Shaping Stage 4). Hence, accurate performance required rats to "shift" their attention away from the visual cue and toward the left/right position of the lever. Beyond the shift in reward contingencies, trials were identical in presentation to those in the visual cue discrimination (i.e., on each trial, both levers were presented; with the cue light illuminated over one lever). As in the visual cue discrimination, the location of the illuminated cue light was randomized (left or right) in each pair of trials. Rats were considered to have acquired the task upon reaching criterion performance of eight consecutive correct trials, excluding omissions. The maximum



FIGURE 2 | **Performance on the visual discrimination and set shift operant tasks.** Trials to criteria (TTC) are illustrated for individual aged (filled circles, n = 20) and young (open circles, n = 11) animals during performance of the **(A)** initial visual discrimination and **(B)** set shift tasks. Asterisk indicates that aged animals exhibited more trails to criteria for the set shift task (p < 0.01). The open bars indicate the mean TTC for each group.



FIGURE 3 | Performance on the water maze task. Symbols indicate the mean (±SEM) escape path length to the escape platform during five training blocks on the (A) cue and (B) spatial discrimination tasks for young (open symbols) and aged (filled symbols) animals. Individual (C) platform crossing and (D) discrimination index scores for young (open symbols) and aged (filled symbols) animals. The open bars indicate the means for each group.

TABLE 1 Behavioral correlations.	TABLE 1	Behavioral	correlations.
------------------------------------	---------	------------	---------------

	Visual TTC	Cue block 5	Spatial block 5	Crossings	Discrimination index
Set shift TTC	0.168	0.115	-0.356	0.145	0.154
Visual TTC		0.245	-0.185	0.197	0.309
Cue block 5			0.29	-0.126	0.17
Spatial block 5				-0.376	-0.484*
Crossings					0.311

*p < 0.05.

number of trials per session was set at 120 and all rats acquired the task within a single session.

Morris Water Maze

Following completion of set shifting, animals were again provided ad lib access to food and water for ~7 weeks prior to testing on the water maze. Animals were trained in a black tank, 1.7 m in diameter, positioned in a well-lit room. The pool was surrounded by black walls and black curtain. For spatial training an assortment of two- and three-dimensional cues were hung on the walls and curtain. Water ($27 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C) was maintained at a level ~8 cm below the surface of the tank. Methods employed to assess sensory-motor deficits and impaired episodic spatial memory on the water maze have been published previously (Foster et al., 1991; Kumar and Foster, 2013; Guidi et al., 2014). For cue and spatial tasks, training consisted of five blocks with three trials per block and training on each task was massed into a single day. Inter-trial intervals were 20 s and inter-block intervals were \sim 15 min. Rats remained on the platform between trials and in home cages under the heat lamp after each block. Behavioral data was acquired with Noldus EthoVision computer tracking software (Noldus Information Technology, Leesburg, VA, USA) and included path-length and latency to escape to the platform, platform crossing and time in the goal and opposite quadrants.

Rats were first trained on the cue discrimination version of the water escape task. The escape platform was extended ~ 1 cm above the water level and a white Styrofoam flag was attached. For each trial, the platform position and start location were randomized. If an animal did not escape the water maze within 60 s, the rat was gently guided to the platform. Three days following cue training, animals were trained on the spatial discrimination task. For spatial discrimination, the escape platform was hidden ~1.5 cm beneath the water level and remained in the same location relative to the distal cues in the room for the duration of the initial spatial training. Fifteen minutes following the end of training on block 5, a free-swim probe trial was administered as a measure of learning. For the probe trial, the platform was removed and the animal placed in the tank for 60 s. A spatial discrimination index was computed according to the formula (G - O)/(G + O) where G and O represent the percent of time spent in the goal quadrant and quadrant opposite the goal, respectively.

Statistical analysis of behavior

The total numbers of trials required to achieve criterion (TTC) on the visual cue discrimination and on the left/right discrimination (set shift) were used as the indices of performance. Mean distance to find the platform during each training block for the water maze cue and spatial tasks and probe trial data, platform crossing, and discrimination index, were employed to examine learning on the water maze. For the distance measures, repeated measures analyses of variance (ANOVAs) were used to examine age and training effects. One way ANOVAs were used to examine aged effects for the water maze probe trial data and TTC measures from the operant tasks. Fisher's protected least significant difference comparisons, with the *p*-value set at 0.05, were used to localize differences.

Tissue Collection

Two weeks following water maze testing, rats were anesthetized with isoflurane (Piramal Healthcare), decapitated and the brain was rapidly removed. The PFC was blocked into 1 mm coronal slices. The mPFC including the prelimbic and infralimbic regions were collected from two sections (between +5.0 and +2.5 anterior to bregma; Paxinos and Watson, 1986). For a subset of animals (young = 8, aged = 9), white matter was collected adjacent to the mPFC (**Figure 1**). For region CA1, the hippocampus was isolated, a 1–2 mm slice was removed from the dorsal hippocampus, and the CA1 region was dissected (Blalock et al., 2003; Zeier et al., 2011). The collected tissue was immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored in -80° C until processed.

RNA and Library Preparation

RNA was isolated from the mPFC, CA1, and white matter using the RNeasy Lipid Tissue Mini kit (Qiagen, catalog number 74804) and DNase digestion was performed with the RNase-Free DNase Set (Qiagen, catalog number 79254). The concentration was measured with the NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer and the RNA integrity number (RIN) was quantified by the University of Florida Interdisciplinary Center for Biotechnology Research using the High Sensitivity RNA Screen Tape in an Agilent 2200 Tapestation system. The average RIN across all regions was 8.02 (\pm SEM 0.05). External RNA Controls Consortium (ERCC) spike-in controls (Thermo Fisher, catalog number 4456740) were added to a subset of samples and the mRNA was selected with the Dynabeads mRNA DIRECT Micro kit (Thermo Fisher, catalog number 61021). Whole transcriptome libraries were prepared with the Ion Total RNA-seq Kit v2 (Thermo Fisher, catalog number 4475936) with the addition of the Ion Xpress barcodes for multiplex sequencing (Thermo Fisher, catalog number 4475485). The concentration of the libraries was quantified by the Qubit dsDNA HS Assay (Thermo Fisher, catalog number Q32851) and size distribution was evaluated with the High Sensitivity D1000 Screen Tape in the Tapestation system.

Reverse Transcription Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction

Reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) was performed in a subset of samples to validate RNA-seq results. cDNA was prepared using the QuantiTect Reverse Transcription kit (Qiagen, catalog number 205311) and quantitative PCR was completed with the TaqMan Gene Expression Assays (*Arc:* Rn00571208_g1, *Egr1:* Rn00561138_m1, *Egr2:* Rn00586224_m1, *Egr4:* Rn00569509_g1, *Fos:* Rn02396759_m1, *Lin7b:* Rn00572781_m1, *Gapdh:* Rn01775763_g1) in a 7300 Real-Time PCR system with SDS software version 1.3.1 (Applied Biosystems). The $\Delta\Delta$ CT method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001) was used to determine the relative cDNA levels. Differences in the subset of RNA-seq and RT-qPCR were confirmed using *t*-tests between young and aged rats and between age impaired and unimpaired animals.

Sequencing, Bioinformatics, and Statistical Analysis

Template preparation was performed in the Ion Chef system and sequencing was completed in the Ion Proton (Thermo Fisher). ERCC analysis was executed in the Torrent Server with the ERCC analysis plugin. Spiked samples contained R^2 above 0.9 with at least 60 transcripts. On average, each sample contained 18.8



Gene symbol	Gene name	Direction
Anp32b	Acidic leucine-rich nuclear phosphoprotein 32 family member B	Increased
C4a	Complement component 4A	Increased
Chi3l1	Chitinase 3-like 1 (cartilage glycoprotein-39)	Increased
Clu	Clusterin	Increased
Daam2	Disheveled associated activator of morphogenesis 2	Increased
Enah	Enabled homolog	Increased
Fgfr1	Fibroblast growth factor receptor 1	Increased
Gfap	Glial fibrillary acidic protein	Increased
Hipk2	Homeodomain interacting protein kinase 2	Increased
Maob	Monoamine oxidase B	Increased
Map4	Microtubule-associated protein 4	Increased
Map7	Microtubule-associated protein 7	Increased
Mid1ip1	MID1 interacting protein 1	Increased
Moxd1	Monooxygenase, DBH-like 1	Increased
Mxi1	MAX interactor 1	Increased
Plekhb1	Pleckstrin homology domain containing, family B (evectins) member 1	Increased
Ptk2b	PTK2B protein tyrosine kinase 2 beta	Increased
Rassf2	Ras association (RalGDS/AF-6) domain family member 2	Increased
Ssfa2	Sperm specific antigen 2	Increased
Sun2	Unc-84 homolog B (C. elegans)	Increased
Zcchc24	Zinc finger, CCHC domain containing 24	Increased
Adamts8	ADAM metallopeptidase with thrombospondin type 1 motif, 8	Decreased
Agfg1	ArfGAP with FG repeats 1	Decreased
Cacna1g	Calcium channel, voltage-dependent, T type, alpha 1G subunit	Decreased
Cask	Calcium/calmodulin-dependent serine protein kinase (MAGUK family)	Decreased
Cdh11	Cadherin 11, type 2, OB-cadherin (osteoblast)	Decreased
Cdh8	Cadherin 8, type 2	Decreased
Cdk5	Cyclin-dependent kinase 5	Decreased
Crh	Corticotropin releasing hormone	Decreased
Crhr1	Corticotropin releasing hormone receptor 1	Decreased
Cx3cl1	Chemokine (C-X3-C motif) ligand 1	Decreased
Cyp26b1	Cytochrome P450, family 26, subfamily B, polypeptide 1	Decreased
Dcaf7	WD repeat domain 68	Decreased
Dnajb5	DnaJ (Hsp40) homolog, subfamily B, member 5	Decreased
Dusp14	Dual specificity phosphatase 14	Decreased
Edn3	Endothelin 3	Decreased
Egr4	Early growth response 4	Decreased
Eif4g1	Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4 gamma, 1	Decreased
Fam131a	Family with sequence similarity 131, member A	Decreased
Fam49a	Family with sequence similarity 49, member A	Decreased
Gabra4	Gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) A receptor, alpha 4	Decreased

(Continued)

TABLE 2 | Continued

Gene symbol	Gene name	Direction
Gng4	Guanine nucleotide binding protein (G protein), gamma 4	Decreased
Grm2	Glutamate receptor, metabotropic 2	Decreased
Hmgcs1	3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-Coenzyme A synthase 1	Decreased
Htr2a	5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin) receptor 2A	Decreased
Kcnf1	Potassium voltage-gated channel, subfamily F, member 1	Decreased
Kcnh1	Potassium voltage-gated channel, subfamily H (eag-related), member 1	Decreased
Lancl2	LanC lantibiotic synthetase component C-like 2 (bacterial)	Decreased
Large	Like-glycosyltransferase	Decreased
Lppr4	Plasticity related gene 1	Decreased
Mapk4	Mitogen-activated protein kinase 4	Decreased
Mmd	Monocyte to macrophage differentiation-associated	Decreased
Neto2	Neuropilin (NRP) and tolloid (TLL)-like 2	Decreased
Rprm	Reprimo, TP53 dependent G2 arrest mediator candidate	Decreased
Sel113	KIAA0746 protein	Decreased
Slc8a2	Solute carrier family 8 (sodium/calcium exchanger), member 2	Decreased
Sst	Somatostatin	Decreased
Sstr1	Somatostatin receptor 1	Decreased
St8sia3	ST8 alpha-N-acetyl-neuraminide alpha-2,8-sialyltransferase 3	Decreased
Trib2	Tribbles homolog 2	Decreased

million reads of 131 base pair length. Low quality reads were removed from the FASTQ files and the data was aligned to the rn5 genome using the two step alignment method for Ion Proton transcriptome data with TopHat2 and Bowtie2 in the Partek Flow servers (Partek Inc.). Gene-level counts were generated from BAM files using the *featureCounts* function in the R package *Rsubread* (Liao et al., 2014). The Rnor_5.0.78.gtf file was used for annotation and count normalization was performed with the DESeq package in R. The data for this study has been uploaded to NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus under the accession number: GSE75772.

Gene filtering and initial statistical analysis was performed according to our previously published work (Blalock et al., 2003; Aenlle et al., 2009; Aenlle and Foster, 2010; Zeier et al., 2011). Gene lists were initially filtered to remove those genes with counts of 5 or less, which resulted in the detection of over 18,000 Ensembl database genes from each area. Gene lists were further filtered such that only genes with annotation of at least one gene ontology (GO) term were considered for gene enrichment analysis. Filtering for GO terms resulted in 15075 mPFC genes, 15235 genes, and 15084 white matter genes. For differential expression analysis associated with age, a statistical filter was performed in each tissue type independently, using a

TABLE 3 | Increased mPFC expression during aging.

Gene symbol	Gene name	Response to wounding	Immune response	Oxidation reduction
Adam17	ADAM metallopeptidase domain 17	Х	Х	
Fcgr2b	Fc fragment of IgG, low affinity IIb, receptor (CD32)	Х	Х	
Pou2f3	POU class 2 homeobox 3	Х		
Rab27a	RAB27A, member RAS oncogene family	Х	Х	
Timp3	TIMP metallopeptidase inhibitor 3	Х		
Зтр6	Bone morphogenetic protein 6	Х		
Ctsb	Cathepsin B	Х		
Clu	Clusterin	Х		
=11	Coagulation factor XI	Х		
C1qc	Complement component 1, q subcomponent, C chain	Х	Х	
C1qb	Complement component 1, q subcomponent	Х	Х	
C4b	Complement component 4B	Х	Х	
Fn1	Fibronectin 1	Х		
Gfap	Glial fibrillary acidic protein	Х		
Hmox1	Heme oxygenase (decycling) 1	X		Х
ltgb2	Integrin beta 2	X		
Ncf1	Neutrophil cytosolic factor 1	X		
Pecam1	Platelet/endothelial cell adhesion molecule 1	X		
Pdpn	Podoplanin	X		
Sparc	Secreted protein, acidic, cysteine-rich	X		
Serpina1	Serpin peptidase inhibitor, clade A	X		
Treml1	Triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells-like 1	X		
Гр73	Tumor protein p73	X		
Erbb2	v-erb-b2 erythroblastic leukemia viral oncogene homolog 2	×		
Swap70	SWAP-70 protein	Λ	х	
118	Interleukin 18		×	
118 118bp	Interleukin 18 binding protein		×	
Lilrb3	Leukocyte immunoglobulin-like receptor		×	
			~	V
Hpd Appdra	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase			X
Acadm	Acyl-Coenzyme A dehydrogenase			X
Acadl A dhfa 1	Acyl-Coenzyme A dehydrogenase, long-chain			X
Adhfe1	Alcohol dehydrogenase, iron containing, 1			X
Aldh1l1	Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 family			X
Aldh2	Aldehyde dehydrogenase 2 family			X
Aldh6a1	Aldehyde dehydrogenase 6 family, member A1			X
Akr1c13	Aldo-keto reductase family 1, member C13			X
Aass	Aminoadipate-semialdehyde synthase			X
Aifm3	Apoptosis-inducing factor			X
Cdo1	Cysteine dioxygenase, type I			Х
Cybrd1	Cytochrome b reductase 1			Х
Dcxr	Dicarbonyl L-xylulose reductase			Х
Fmo2	Flavin containing monooxygenase 2			Х
Hadh	Hydroxyacyl-Coenzyme A dehydrogenase			Х
Hadha	Hydroxyacyl-Coenzyme A dehydrogenase			Х
Hsd17b4	Hydroxysteroid (17-beta) dehydrogenase 4			Х
ldh2	Isocitrate dehydrogenase 2 (NADP+)			Х
Maob	Monoamine oxidase B			Х
Acad11	Acyl-Coenzyme A dehydrogenase family			Х
Phyhd1	Phytanoyl-CoA dioxygenase domain containing 1			Х
Plod1	Procollagen-lysine 1, 2-oxoglutarate 5-dioxygenase 1			Х

(Continued)

TABLE 3 | Continued

	•			
Gene symbol	Gene name	Response to wounding	Immune response	Oxidation reduction
Pyroxd2	Pyridine nucleotide-disulphide oxidoreductase domain 2			Х
Phgdh	Phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase			Х
Prodh	Proline dehydrogenase			Х
Slc14a1	Solute carrier family 14 (urea transporter)			Х
Tbxas1	Thromboxane A synthase 1, platelet			Х
Tph1	Tryptophan hydroxylase 1			Х
Xdh	Xanthine dehydrogenase			Х



one-way ANOVA generated in Partek Genomics Suite 6.6, with p < 0.025 according to our previous work (Blalock et al., 2003; Aenlle et al., 2009; Aenlle and Foster, 2010; Zeier et al., 2011). For examination of mPFC gene expression related to cognition, Pearson's correlations were calculated between the behavioral TTC measures for the set shifting task or discrimination index score for spatial learning and the expression of each gene in the mPFC transcriptome. Correlations were limited to aged animals in order to remove age as a confound. Due to multiple comparisons, the confidence in any single gene is low; therefore, gene enrichment analysis was performed under the assumption that changes in biological process with age or cognition would result in a shift in the expression of clusters of genes related to the biological process. For gene enrichment and functional annotation clustering analysis, data sets of genes that exhibited an increase or decrease in expression were separately submitted to the NIH database for annotation, visualization, and integrated discovery (DAVID; Huang et al., 2007a,b). Enrichment analysis was limited to gene ontology for biological processes and cellular components with the Benjamini False Discovery Rate (FDR) p < 0.05 as a cut-off for cluster selection. The heat map were generated in Partek Genomics Suite 6.6 using the genes that were identified in DAVID with counts which were standardized

to z-scores. In other cases, specific hypotheses were tested by comparing gene expression with previous published work using microarrays. In this case, we determined whether the previously published genes were detected by our procedures. This set of genes that were common across studies represented the total data set. Next, we used a fold change and chi squared test to determine if the genes were altered in the same direction, relative to what would be expected by chance. The number of genes that were significantly altered in the same direction was determined using one-tailed *t*-tests with the direction specified by the microarray studies. A FDR was calculated by calculating the number of genes expected to change in a specified direction using the formula T*p, where T = total number of genes tested and p is the significance level (0.05). The expected number of genes was divided by the number of genes that were significantly different in the predicted direction to obtain the FDR.

RESULTS

Behavior

Set Shift

Young (n = 11) and aged (n = 20) animals were trained on the visual discrimination operant task followed by set shift testing. Aged animals exhibited considerable variability in performance on each task (**Figure 2**), with some aged animals performing in a range similar to young. Examination of the TTC for visual discrimination indicated no effect of age [$F_{(1, 29)} = 2.15$, p = 0.15; **Figure 2A**]. In contrast, an age difference in TTC was observed for the set shifting (left/right discrimination) behavior [$F_{(1, 29)} = 7.95$, p < 0.01], with a subset of aged rats exhibiting an increase in TTC relative to young (**Figure 2B**).

Water Maze

For the cue discrimination version of the water maze task, all animals were able to find the visible platform during the 60 s

time limit during the last three trials (block 5). A repeated measures ANOVA for the cue discrimination task indicated an effect of training $[F_{(4, 116)} = 7.84, p < 0.0001]$ and age $[F_{(1, 29)} = 11.43, p < 0.005]$ and an interaction of age and training $[F_{(4, 116)} = 2.92, p < 0.05]$ due to superior performance by young animals during the final training blocks (**Figure 3A**). A repeated measures ANOVA for the spatial discrimination task indicated an effect of training $[F_{(4, 116)} = 17.82, p < 0.0001]$ and an interaction of age and training $[F_{(4, 116)} = 3.13, p < 0.005]$ **Figure 3B**]. The results of the probe trial indicated a decrease in platform crossings for aged animals $[F_{(1, 29)} = 8.52, p < 0.01;$ **Figure 3C**]. No age effect was observed for the discrimination index; however, consistent with previous reports (Blalock et al.,

Gene symbol	Gene name	Synapse	Postsynaptic membrane	Neuron projectior
Anks1b	Ankyrin repeat and sterile alpha motif domain containing 1B	Х	Х	Х
Clstn3	Calsyntenin 3	Х	Х	
Cbln1	Cerebellin 1 precursor	Х		
Chrm2	Cholinergic receptor, muscarinic 2	Х	Х	Х
Chrna5	Cholinergic receptor, nicotinic, alpha 5	Х	Х	Х
Cyp19a1	Cytochrome P450, family 19, subfamily a, polypeptide 1	Х		Х
Doc2a	Double C2-like domains, alpha	Х		
Dnm3	Dynamin 3	Х		Х
Gabra4	Gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) A receptor, alpha 4	Х	Х	
Gad1	Glutamate decarboxylase 1	Х		Х
Grip1	Glutamate receptor interacting protein 1	Х	Х	
Grid1	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic, delta 1	Х	Х	
Grid2	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic, delta 2	Х	Х	
Grik3	Glutamate receptor, ionotropic, kainate 3	Х	Х	Х
Grm7	Glutamate receptor, metabotropic 7	Х	Х	Х
Grm8	Glutamate receptor, metabotropic 8	Х	Х	Х
Glrb	Glycine receptor, beta	Х	Х	
Lin7b	Lin-7 homolog b (<i>C. elegans</i>)	Х	Х	
Magee1	Melanoma antigen, family E, 1	Х	Х	Х
Scamp1	Secretory carrier membrane protein 1	Х		
Prkaca	Similar to CG2662-PA; protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, catalytic, alpha	Х		
Slc2a3	Solute carrier family 2 (facilitated glucose transporter), member 3	Х		
Sv2b	Synaptic vesicle glycoprotein 2b	Х		
Syt6	Synaptotagmin VI	Х		
Ywhaz	Tyrosine 3-monooxygenase/tryptophan 5-monooxygenase activation protein, zeta polypeptide	Х		
Bace1	Beta-site APP cleaving enzyme 1			Х
Dcc	Deleted in colorectal carcinoma			Х
Dpysl2	Dihydropyrimidinase-like 2			Х
Dpysl5	Dihydropyrimidinase-like 5			Х
Dctn2	Dynactin 2			Х
Got1	Glutamic-oxaloacetic transaminase 1			Х
Klhl1	Kelch-like 1 (Drosophila)			Х
Map2k4	Mitogen activated protein kinase kinase 4			Х
Kcnj12	Potassium inwardly-rectifying channel			Х
Pgr	Progesterone receptor			Х
Ptprn2	Protein tyrosine phosphatase			Х
Tacr3	Tachykinin receptor 3			Х

2003; Foster, 2012; Kumar and Foster, 2013; Guidi et al., 2014), there was considerable variability (**Figure 3D**). For aged animals, the relationship between behavioral measures on the operant tasks (visual discrimination TTC, set shift TTC) and on the water maze (distance to escape on block 5 of the cue task, distance to escape on block 5 of the spatial task, platform crossings, and discrimination index of the probe trial) was examined (**Table 1**). The results indicated a correlation between the distance on block 5 of the spatial task and the discrimination index (r = -0.484, p < 0.05), such that longer escape distances on the spatial task were associated with poorer discrimination index scores.

Gene Expression

Gene Expression Related to Aging

RNA-seq libraries from the mPFC and region CA1 of the hippocampus were prepared from all animals (young = 11, aged = 20). In addition, libraries were prepared from white matter, collected from a subset of animals (young = 8, aged = 9). Gene expression data was statistically filtered for age differences using ANOVAs for each tissue type with a cutoff set at p < 0.025. The largest and smallest number of age-related genes was observed for white matter (1529 genes) and region CA1 (286 genes), with 731 genes altered in the mPFC. Although, expression changes were relatively distinct, there was some overlap. Furthermore, for genes that overlapped across any two regions, the number of up regulated genes



increased expression associated with impaired set shifting compared to visual discrimination and spatial discrimination index (DI).

was 2-8 times larger than genes that decreased expression (Figure 4).

Based on functional studies, it has been suggested that the mPFC of the rat may be analogous to the dorsolateral PFC of humans and non-human primates (Dias et al., 1997; Birrell and Brown, 2000; Kesner and Churchwell, 2011). To determine possible expression changes in the rat mPFC that may correspond to changes observed in humans, we compared our results with a microarray study examining age-related changes in the dorsolateral (Brodmann's area 9) PFC of humans (Erraji-Benchekroun et al., 2005). For the 414 age-related probes from the dorsolateral PFC of the original human data set, we were able to identify 318 rat genes in the mPFC and the direction of change, increasing or decreasing, was determined for the rat. From the set of 318 genes, we observed 203 genes (64%) that changed in the same direction as predicted in humans and a chi square analysis indicated that the directional changes were different than that expected by chance ($x^2 = 11.86$, p < 0.001). One-tailed t-tests (p < 0.05 with direction specified by agerelated changes in humans) were conducted to examine age differences in expression of these 318 genes. A total of 60 genes (39 decreased, 21 increased) reached significance (FDR: 0.27; Table 2).

The data sets for differentially expressed up regulated and down regulated genes were separately submitted to NIH DAVID for enrichment analysis based on gene ontology for biological processes and cellular components. Cluster selection cut-off was restricted to clusters with a Benjamini FDR p < 0.05. In each case, several genes were observed in multiple related clusters, which are shown below.

Increased expression

In general, age-related changes in transcription involve up regulation of genes linked to immune response, oxidative stress, and the lysosome (Lee et al., 2000; Blalock et al., 2003; Verbitsky et al., 2004; Fraser et al., 2005; Rowe et al., 2007; de Magalhães et al., 2009; Kadish et al., 2009; Lipinski et al., 2010; VanGuilder et al., 2011; Zeier et al., 2011; Yuan et al., 2012). Expression of immune response related genes was particularly evident in the white matter and mPFC. For the 940 white matter genes, enrichment was observed for immune response (GO:0006955, 85 genes, FDR $p = 4.1^{-25}$), defense response (GO:0006952, 70 genes, FDR $p = 1.3^{-16}$), and the lysosome (GO:0005764, 33 genes, FDR $p = 2.2^{-9}$). Similarly, for the 344 genes that increased expression in the mPFC, gene enrichment was observed for biological processes linked to oxidation reduction (GO:0055114, 30 genes, FDR p = 0.02), response to wounding (GO:0009611, 24 genes, FDR p = 0.02), and adaptive immune response (GO:0002250, 10 genes, FDR p = 0.01; Table 3). Increased expression in the mPFC was also observed for carboxylic and catabolic process (GO:0046395, 13 genes, FDR p = 0.002; Figure 5). Finally, for 182 CA1 genes, enrichment was observed for the lysosome (GO:0005764, 10 genes, FDR p = 0.007). Up regulation was observed for response to wounding (GO:0009611, 11 genes, FDR p = 0.38) and defense response (GO:0006952, 10 genes, FDR p =0.44); however, the clusters did not reach the FDR cut-off.

TABLE 5 | Positive correlation of mPFC genes with set shift TTC.

BcorBCL6 co-repressorXCebpbCCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP), betaXCebpdCCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP), deltaXDnajb5DnaJ (Hsp40) homolog, subfamily B, member 5XXFosFBJ osteosarcoma oncogeneXXFosbFBJ osteosarcoma oncogene BXXJunJun oncogeneXXMxd3Max dimerization protein 3XXMeis 10Weis homeobox 1XX	Gene symbol	Gene name	Regulation of transcription	Response to organic substance	Regulation of apoptosis
BabpiCAATorehancer binding protein (CEEP), betaXXCadp.dCOATorehancer binding protein (CEEP), detaXXCadp.dPL3 dostocarcon oncogneXXFasF33 dostocarcon oncogneXXSeaF33 dostocarcon oncogneXXVarianH31 dostocarcon oncogneXXMartinMain family, mentar 5XXMartinMain family, mentar 3XXMartinMain family, mentar 3XXSearchColored and family mentar 3XXSearchColored and family mentar 3XXSearchEndy gowth response 3XX <tr< td=""><td>Bcl6b</td><td>B-cell CLL/lymphoma 6, member B</td><td>Х</td><td></td><td></td></tr<>	Bcl6b	B-cell CLL/lymphoma 6, member B	Х		
BehpricCCAAT/enhance binding protein (CrEBP), deltaXXXDragbéFab. detessancoma oncogeneXXXFab.FB. Lottessancoma oncogene BXXXFab.Un oncogeneXXXMarkMax dimerzitatin protein 3XXXMarkMax dimerzitatin protein 3XXXMarkMax dimerzitatin protein 3XXXMarkMax dimerzitatin protein 3XXXSinsural/YSelf-Oracle containing 101XXXCollect-Coll devalues incriticating 101XXXCollCalded-coll devalues protein 1XXXSingleDelta-factor acting 101XXXCopp1Delta-factor acting 101XXXSingleEarly growth response 1XXXSingleEarly growth response 3XXXSingleEarly growth response 3XXXSingleEarly growth response 3XXXMarkHence corporating 10XXXSingleEarly growth response 3XXXSingleEarly growth response 3XXXSingleEarly growth response 3XXXSingleMax deriver of plast globoophilaXXXSingleMax deriver of plast globoophilaXXXSingle <td>Bcor</td> <td>BCL6 co-repressor</td> <td>Х</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Bcor	BCL6 co-repressor	Х		
bnablesDnablesNational StatesNational StatesNational StatesForF3D orders storms an congereNational StatesNational StatesAtrianH3D orders storms an congereNational StatesNational StatesAtrianMax dimerization protein 3National StatesNational StatesMachaMax dimerization protein 3National StatesNational StatesNataMax dimerization protein 3National StatesNational StatesNataMax dimerization protein 3National StatesNational StatesNataNational StatesNational States <td>Cebpb</td> <td>CCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP), beta</td> <td>Х</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Cebpb	CCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP), beta	Х		
DnableDnable highly homolog, subfamily B, member 5XXFeedFBJ desleasarcoma encogeneXXKeadFBJ desleasarcoma encogene BXXInnun oncogeneXXXMachMack dimerization protein 3XXXMachMack dimerization protein 3XXXMachMack dimerization protein 3XXXMachMack dimerization protein 3XXXMachMack dimerization protein 3XXXStarcorffStarcorffStarcorffXXRac2245StarcorffStarcorffXXStarcorffOptotione containing 101XXXStarcorffOptotione containing 101XXXStarcorffStarcorffStarcorffXXStarcorffStarcorffStarcorffXXStarcorffStarcorffStarcorffXXStarcorffStarcorffStarcorffXXStarcorffStarcorffStarcorffXXStarcorffStarcorffStarcorffXXStarcorffStarcorffStarcorffXXStarcorffStarcorffStarcorffXXStarcorffStarcorffStarcorffXXStarcorffStarcorffStarcorffXXStarcorffStarcorffStarcorffXXStarcorf	Cebpd		Х		Х
FasFall detoaserome ancogeneXXFashHal observatione ancogeneXXFashLan ancogeneXXModelMac dimetization protein 3XXMashMach and bondook 1XXSinteral MarkNephonobeck 1XXSinteral MarkSinteral Mark associatedXXSinteral MarkSinteral Mark associatedXXCade Col demain containing 101XXXCade Col demain containing 101XXXSign Col Sign Sign Mark Repones 1XXXSign Col Sign Sign Mark Repones 1XXXSign Col Sign Sign Sign Sign Sign Sign Sign Sign	, Dnajb5			Х	
FableFBJ ostessareoma oncogene BXXXLinUn oncogeneXXXMadeMax demication protein 3XXWalsMax demication protein 3XXWalsNumbersXXXWalsNumbersXXXWalsNumbersXXXTace2ArdStoreStoreXXTace2ArdCollect-oil demic main containing 101XXXCardo 100Collect-oil democd walsXXXStraftCollect-oil democd walsXXXStraftCollect-oil monoclosi 101XXXStraftCollect-oil monoclosi 101XXXStraftEarly growth response 2XXXStraftEarly growth response 3XXXStraftEarly growth response 3 (Drocophila)XXXStraftHalay and inhome of spit 1 (Drocophila)XXXStra	Fos		Х	Х	
Aun Jun oncogene X X X X Madia Maximultation protein 3 X X X Mask Maximultation protein 2 X X X Mask Mark Mark Mask Maximultation Sociated X X X Sinarcafi M SinSN/Sin Fraided matrix associated X X Code Coll domain containing 101 X X X Strip 1 Garly growth response 3 X X X Strip 1 Early growth response 3 X X X Strip 1 Early growth response 3 X X X Strip 1 Heme congenaes (decycling) 1 X X X Strip 1 Heme congenaes (decycling) 1 X X X	Fosb	-	Х	Х	
MaddMax dimension proten 3XMear 1Mark homedook 1XMark 1MyR-K hole protein 2XSmacoal 1SwiNF related matrix associatedXSmacoal 1Collect-coll torania containing 101XCacter 101Collect-coll colonia moltaning 101XCarportOptochrome 2 (photolyase-like)XCarportOptochrome 2 (photolyase-like)XCarportOptochrome 2 (photolyase-like)XCarportOptochrome 2 (photolyase-like)XSpritDetail bis homedoox 1XSpritSet yrowth response 1XSpritEntry growth response 2XSpritEntry growth response 2XSpritEntry growth response 3XSpritFeel wantyma 4XSpritHairy and enhancer of split 3 (Drosophila)XMindotHairy and enhancer of split 3 (Drosophila)XMarkHairy and enhancer of split 3 (Drosophila)XMarkMax Dinding fortelin nomologXMarkMax Dinding protein homologXMarkMax Dinding protein homologXMarkMax Dinding protein homologXMarkMax Dinding protein homologXMarkNuclear receptor sublamity 4, group A, member 2XMarkNuclear receptor sublamity 4, group A, member 2XMarkNuclear receptor sublamity 4, group A, member 2XMarkNuclear receptor sublamity 4, group A, member 2X </td <td>Jun</td> <td>-</td> <td>Х</td> <td>Х</td> <td>х</td>	Jun	-	Х	Х	х
Meil IMeil homesbox IXVab2Ni/A binding protein 2XSharadriSu/Si/Y reader matrix associatedXTsc22d30TSC22 domain tamily, member 3XDeck101Colled-coll domain containing 101XControl OColled-coll domain containing 101XComp1Olstail-ass homesbox 1XComp1Entry growth nesponse 1XSu/Si/YEntry growth nesponse 3XSystemEntry growth nesponse 4XSystemEntry growth nesponse 4XSystemInhibitor of growth family, member 3XSystemInhibitor of growth family, member 3XSystemJamonij domain containing 6XSystemJamonij domain containing 6XMidbaJamonij domain containing 6XMidbaNauroni Jobas forsophilaXMidsiNauroni Jobas forsophilaXMidsiNauroni Jobas forsophilaXMidsiNauroni Jobas forsophilaXMidsiNauroni Jobas forsophilaXMidsiNauroni Jobas forsophilaX	Mxd3	, and the second se			
Nab2Ngli-A binding protein 2XSmarca01NGNNF related matrix associatedXSmarca01TSG22 domain family, member 3XCode Joll domain containing 101XXCypleCollect-oil domain containing 101XCypleCyplenbreane 4(ch nuclear protein 1XCypleDistail-assin functionary protein 1XSpir1Distail-assin functionary protein 1XSpir1Early growth nesponse 1XSpir1Early growth nesponse 3XSpir4Early growth nesponse 4XSpir4Early growth nesponse 4XSpir4Early growth nesponse 4XSpir4Early growth nesponse 4XSpir4Hairy and hanceor of spir13 (Drosophila)XSpir4Hairy and hanceor of spir13 (Drosophila)XSpir4Hairy and hanceor of spir13 (Drosophila)XSpir4Hairy and containing 6XSpir4Hairy and containing 6XSpir4Jun B grouten containing 6XMuthJun B grouten containing 6XMuthNuclear receptor subfamily, member 2XNapariNuclear receptor subfamily Agroup A, member 3XMuthMaxibiding protein 1XMuthJun B grouten Cator 2BXMuthJun B grouten Cator 2BXMuthNuclear receptor subfamily Agroup A, member 3XMuthNuclear receptor subfamily Agroup A, member 3XMuthNuc	Meis1				
SharcallSwiSNF related matrix associatedXXSto2230TSC22 domain dramin, member 3XXSto224010Collect-old omain containing 101XXChy2Oxplochrome 2 (photolyase-like)XXSamp1Oxplete-serine-rich nuclear protein 1XXStrEarly growth response 1XXEgr1Early growth response 2XXSgr2Early growth response 3XXEgr3Early growth response 4XXSead30Faily growth response 4XXSead30Early growth response 4XXSead30Early growth response 4XXSead30Early growth response 4XXSead30Early growth response 4XXSead30Haing and enhance of split 3 (Drosophila)XXSead30Haing and enhance of split 3 (Drosophila)XXSead30Junonij domain containing 3XXSead30Junonij domain containing 3XXMrdfJunonij domain containing 3XXVapa10Nuclear factor 5/BXXVapa210Nuclear factor 5/BXXVapa310Nuclear factor 5/BXXVapa410Nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancerXXVafa10Nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancerXXVafa20Nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhanc	Nab2				
Bac22d3TSG22 domain family, member 3XXXCacdr JO1Calkel- actin containing 101XXChy2Chyptochrone 2 (photolyase-like)XXSarp1Cysteline-serine-ich nuclear protein 1XXEdity growth response 1XXXEgr1Early growth response 2XXEgr3Early growth response 3XXEgr4Early growth response 3XXEgr4Forsilier antigen 2XXYeas2Forsilier antigen 2XXHers3Hahr ancher of split 3 (Drosophila)XXHers3Inhibitor of growth family, member 3XXForsilier antigen 2XXXYimox1Hene oxygenase (decycling) 1XXYimox1Jumonii domain containing 3XXYimox1Jumonii domain containing 3XXYimox1Neuronal PAS domain protein 1XXYeas4Neuronal PAS domain					
Cacher 101 Colled-coll domain containing 101 X Cyp2 Cryptochrome 2 (rhotolysse-like) X Csmp1 Optiche-serin-rich nuclear protein 1 X X Egr1 Early growth response 1 X X X Egr1 Early growth response 2 X X X Egr3 Early growth response 3 X X X Egr4 Early growth response 3 X X X Ford Early growth response 3 X X X Ford Early growth response 4 X X X Ford Early growth response 4 X X X Ford Hainy and enhancer of split 3 (Drosophila) X X X frig3 Inhibitor of growth family, member 3 X X X frig4 Unergi domain containing 6 X X X frig4 Max binding protein 1 X X X frig4 Neuronal PAS domain protein 1 X X					х
Chy2 Chy1ptochrome 2 (photolyaser like) X CSmp1 Opsteine-serine-rich nuclear protein 1 X D2h1 Distal-less homeobox 1 X Spf1 Early growth response 1 X Spf2 Early growth response 2 X Spf3 Early growth response 2 X Spf3 Early growth response 4 X FosI2 Fos-like antigen 2 X X FosI3 Hairy and enhancer of split 3 (Drosophila) X X Herea oxygenase (decycling) 1 X X X Forsit Jumoni domain containing 3 X X Mark Jumoni domain containing 6 X X Mark Maching protein X X Mark Maching protein X X Vikia Muclear factor 2E X X Vikia Muclear factor 2E X X Vikia Jumoni domain containing 6 X X Vikif Jumoni domain containing 7 X <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
Samp1 Oysteine-serine-ich nuclear protein 1 X Dk1 Detal-less homeobox 1 X X Egr1 Early growth response 1 X X Egr2 Early growth response 2 X X Egr3 Early growth response 3 X X Egr4 Early growth response 4 X X Egr4 Early growth response 4 X X Fos2 Fos-like artigen 2 X X X Fos2 Hary and enhancer of split 3 (Drosophila) X X X Fos2 Jumoni domain containing 3 X X X Mid6 Jumoni domain containing 6 X X X Mult2 Neuronal PAS domain protein 1 X X X Mult2 Neuronal PAS domain protein 1 <		-			
DurinDistal-less homeobox 1XXEgr/1Early growth response 1XXEgr/2Early growth response 2XXEgr/3Early growth response 3XXEgr/4Early growth response 4XXFoil2Fos-like antigen 2XXFoil2Fos-like antigen 2XXForl3Hairy and enhancer of spilt 3 (Drosophila)XXHearsHairy and enhancer of spilt 3 (Drosophila)XXForl3Integrin alpha 9: eomesodermin homologXXEomesIntegrin alpha 9: eomesodermin homologXXMind6Jumonij domain containing 6XXMuhoJun B proto-oncogeneXXVirbiaMeuronal PAS domain protein 1XXVirbiaNuclear receptor subfamily 4: group A, member 1XXVirbiaNuclear receptor subfamily 4: group A, member 1XXVirdaNuclear receptor subfamily 4: group A, member 1XXVirdaNuclear receptor subfamily 4: group A, member 1XXVirda1Nuclear receptor subfamily 4: group A, member 1XXVirda2Nuclear receptor subfamily 4: group A, member 1XXVirda2Nuclear receptor subfamily 4: group A, member 2XXSin2Serum response factor (-fos transcription factor)XXSin2Serum response factor (-fos transcription factor)XXSin2Timbl					
Egr1Early growth response 1XXEgr2Early growth response 2XXEgr3Early growth response 3XXEgr3Early growth response 4XXFos2Fos-like antigen 2XXFos2Fos-like antigen 2XXFos3Heiny and enhancer of splif 3 (Drosophila)XXHmox1Heme oxygenase (decycling) 1XXXForsInhibitor of growth fmily, member 3XXXEomesInlegrin alpha 9; eomesodermin homologXXXEomesJumonij domain containing 6XXXMrdMax binding proteinXXXVibraNauronij Admain containing 6XXXVibraMax binding protein 1XXXVibraNeuronal PAS domain protein 1XXXVibraNeuronal PAS domain protein 1XXXVibraNuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 1XXXVirdaNuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 1XXXSin2Serum response factor (c-fo					×
grage and growth response 2 X X Egr3 Early growth response 3 X Egr4 Early growth response 4 X Fos2 Early growth response 4 X Fos2 Fos-like antigen 2 X X Haily and enhancer of split 3 (Drosophila) X X X Hmox1 Herre oxygenase (decycling) 1 X X X fng3 Inhibitor of growth family, member 3 X X X fng4 Jumonij domain containing 3 X X X lmjd6 Jumonij domain containing 6 X X X lmh1 Max binding protein X X X lmjd6 Jumonij domain containing 6 X X X lmh2 Max binding protein X X X Vint Max binding protein 1 X X X Vinda Nuclear factor 2B X X X Virda1 Nuclear factor 16 kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer<				Х	
Bar South response 3 X Egr4 Early growth response 4 X Egr4 Early growth response 4 X Foselike antigen 2 X X Foselike antigen 2 X X Heas3 Hainy and enhancer of split 3 (Drosophila) X X Heas3 Inhibitor of growth fmaily, member 3 X X fng3 Inhibitor of growth fmaily, member 3 X X Earnes Integrin alpha 9; eomesodermin homolog X X fmfd6 Jumonij domain containing 3 X X fmfd6 Jumonij domain containing 6 X X Mrt Max binding protein X X Mrt Max binding protein X X Vipas1 Neuronal PAS domain protein 1 X X Vipas1 Neuronal PAS domain protein 4 X X Vipas4 Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 1 X X Virda2 Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 2 X X X	-				
Error Early growth response 4 X Fos2 Fos-like antigen 2 X X Fos2 Hairy and enhancer of split 3 (Drosophila) X X Hmox1 Heme oxygenase (decycling) 1 X X X fng3 Inhibitor of growth fnamily, member 3 X X X Eomes Integrin alpha 9; eomesodermin homolog X X X Imid6 Jumonji domain containing 6 X X X Muhb Juno proto-oncogene X X X Vextro Max binding protein X X X Vibita Moyoyte enhancer factor 2B X X X Vipas1 Nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer X X X Vifa2 Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 1 X X X Vifa2 Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 2 X X X Vifa2 Nuclear receptor alpha X X X Sinf2	-				
Total Reantigen 2 X X Heas Hainy and enhancer of split 3 (Drosophila) X X X Hmox1 Heme oxygenase (decycling) 1 X X X Inhibitor of growth family, member 3 X X X X Earnes Integrin alpha 9; eomesodermin homolog X X X Krimbbo Jumonij domain containing 6 X X X Indid Jumonij domain containing 6 X X X Math Max binding protein X X X Math May cyte enhancer factor 2B X X X Visba Neuronal PAS domain protein 1 X X X Visba Nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer X X X Visba Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 2 X X X Visba Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 2 X X X Visba Serum response factor (-fos transcription factor) X X <t< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	-				
Heiry and enhancer of split3 (Drosophila) X Hinox1 Heme oxygenase (decycling) 1 X X X Ing3 Inhibitor of growth family, member 3 X X X Eomes Integrin alpha 9; eomesodermin homolog X X X Kdm6b0 Jumonij domain containing 3 X X X Indig Jumonij domain containing 6 X X X Vinto Max binding protein X X X Vinto Mayoryte enhancer factor 2B X X X Vipas1 Neuronal PAS domain protein 1 X X X Vipas4 Neuronal PAS domain protein 4 X X X Vipas4 Neuronal PAS domain protein 4 X X X Vipas4 Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 2 X X X Vir4a1 Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 2 X X X Vir4a2 Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 2 X X X	-			X	
Here oxygenase (decycling)XXXXIng3Inhibitor of growth family, member 3XXXEomesIntegrin alpha 9; eomesodermin homologXXXEomesIntegrin alpha 9; eomesodermin homologXXXImid6Jumonji domain containing 3XXXImid6Jumonji domain containing 6XXXImid6Jun B proto-oncogeneXXXMritMax binding proteinXXXVel2bMyocyte enhancer factor 2BXXXVpas4Neuronal PAS domain protein 1XXXVpas4Neuronal PAS domain protein 4XXXVr4a1Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 1XXXVr4a2Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 2XXXVr4a2Palred box 1XXXXPer1Period homolog 1 (Drosophila)XXXSim2Single-minded homolog 2XXXSim2Single-minded homolog 2XXXIrmelessTimeless homolog 1XXXMafkv-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarooma oncogeneXXXVirviv-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarooma oncogeneXXX		-			
hg3Inhibitor of growth family, member 3XXEomesIntegrin alpha 9; eomesodermin homologXKdm6b0Jumonji domain containing 3XImjd6Jumonji domain containing 6XIunbJun B proto-oncogeneXXVintMax binding proteinXXVerl20Myocyte enhancer factor 2BXXVpas4Neuronal PAS domain protein 1XXVpas4Neuronal PAS domain protein 4XXVirla1Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 1XXVirla2Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 2XXXPar1Period homolog 1 (Drosophila)XXXSrl7Single-minded homolog 2XXXSingleTimelessTimelessXXXTimelessTimeless homolog 1XXXVirla3Virla4Nichen-Index protein factor)XXXVirla2Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 2XXXXPar1Period homolog 1 (Drosophila)XXXXSim2Single-minded homolog 2XXXXTimelessTimeless homolog 1XXXTimelessTimeless homolog 1XXXVirla3Virla4V-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogeneXXVirla4V-maf musculoaponeurotis fibrosarcoma oncogeneXX </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Х</td> <td>×</td>				Х	×
Energy Integrin alpha 9; eomesodermin homolog X Kdm6b Jumonji domain containing 3 X Jingd6 Jumonji domain containing 6 X Junb Jun B proto-oncogene X X Mrt Max binding protein X X X Mef2b Myocyte enhancer factor 2B X X X Mef2b Neuronal PAS domain protein 1 X X X Npas1 Neuronal PAS domain protein 4 X X X Vikla Nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer X X X Vir4a1 Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 1 X X X Vir4a2 Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 2 X X X Par1 Pariod homolog 1 (Drosophila) X X X Sfr Serum response factor (-fos transcription factor) X X X Sfr Single-minded homolog 2 X X X Sfr Tinelesis homolog 1 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
Kdm6b Jumoni domain containing 3 X Jurid6 Jumoni domain containing 6 X Junb Jun B proto-oncogene X X Mrt Max binding protein X X Mef2b Myocyte enhancer factor 2B X X Npas1 Neuronal PAS domain protein 1 X X Npas4 Neuronal PAS domain protein 4 X X Npas4 Neuronal PAS domain protein 4 X X Npas4 Neuronal PAS domain protein 4 X X Npas4 Nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer X X X Nr4a1 Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 1 X X X Nr4a2 Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 2 X X X Part Paired box 1 X X X Part Retinoid X receptor alpha X X X Sin2 Single-minded homolog 2 X X X Sin2 Single-minded homo	-				
MiddaJumoni domain containing 6XJunbJun B proto-oncogeneXXMutMax binding proteinXXMet2bMyocyte enhancer factor 2BXXNpas1Neuronal PAS domain protein 1XXNpas4Neuronal PAS domain protein 4XXNpas4Nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancerXXXNr4a1Nuclear receptor subfarnily 4, group A, member 1XXXNr4a2Nuclear receptor subfarnily 4, group A, member 2XXXPar1Paired box 1XXXPer1Peirod homolog 1/Drosophila)XXXSrfSerum response factor (c-fos transcription factor)XXXSingle-minded homolog 2XXXXTimelessTimeless homolog 1XXXMarkv-mar musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogeneXXXMarkv-mar musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogeneXXX					
Jun B proto-oncogeneXXMutMax binding proteinXXMutMax binding proteinXXMet/2bMyocyte enhancer factor 2BXXNeuronal PAS domain protein 1XXNpas4Neuronal PAS domain protein 4XXNuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancerXXXNr4a1Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 1XXXNr4a2Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 2XXXPar4Paired box 1XXXPar4Period homolog 1 (Drosophila)XXXStrfSerum response factor (c-fos transcription factor)XXXStrfSingle-minded homolog 2XXXTimelessTimeless homolog 1XXXTrasducin-like enhancer of split 3XXXMarkv-mar musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogeneXXMyronv-myr myelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneXX					
MntMax binding proteinXXMet/2bMyocyte enhancer factor 2BXXVpas1Neuronal PAS domain protein 1XXVpas4Neuronal PAS domain protein 4XXVikbiaNuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancerXXXNr4a1Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 1XXXNr4a2Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 2XXXPara1Paired box 1XXXPer1Period homolog 1 (Drosophila)XXXStrfSerum response factor (c-fos transcription factor)XXXStrf2Single-minded homolog 2XXXTimelessTimeless homolog 1XXXTifa1Tribbles homolog 1XXXMarkv-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogeneXXXMycnv-mar musculoaponeurotic sivial related oncogeneXXX				X	
MarchMyocyte enhancer factor 2BXNpas1Neuronal PAS domain protein 1XNpas4Neuronal PAS domain protein 4XNfkbiaNuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancerXXNfkbiaNuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 1XXNr4a1Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 2XXNr4a2Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 2XXPar1Paired box 1XXPer1Period homolog 1 (Drosophila)XXSfrSerum response factor (c-fos transcription factor)XXSin2Single-minded homolog 2XXTimelessTimeless homolog 1XXTrasducin-like enhancer of split 3XXMarkv-mar musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogeneXXMyrnv-mar musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogeneXX					×
NashNeuronal PAS domain protein 1XNpas4Neuronal PAS domain protein 4XNtkbiaNuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancerXXNtr4a1Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 1XXNtr4a2Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 2XXPax1Paired box 1XXPer1Period homolog 1 (Drosophila)XXStrfSerum response factor (c-fos transcription factor)XXSin2Single-minded homolog 2XXTimelessTimeless homolog 1XXTriblsTribles homolog 1XXMafkv-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogeneXXMycnv-myc myelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneXX					
Npas4Neuronal PAS domain protein 4XNpas4Nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancerXXXNr4a1Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 1XXXNr4a2Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 2XXXPar1Paired box 1XXXPer1Period homolog 1 (Drosophila)XXXStrSerum response factor (c-fos transcription factor)XXXSin2Single-minded homolog 2XXXTimelessTimeless homolog 1XXXMarkv-mar musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogeneXXXMyonv-myc myelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneXXX					
NikbaNuclear factor of kapa light polypeptide gene enhancerXXXNuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 1XXXNuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 2XXXPax1Paired box 1XXXPer1Period homolog 1 (Drosophila)XXXStrSerum response factor (c-fos transcription factor)XXXSin2Single-minded homolog 2XXXTimelessTimeless homolog 1XXXMarkv-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogeneXXXMycnv-myc myelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneXXX					
Nr4a1Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 1XXNr4a2Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 2XXXPax1Paired box 1XXXPer1Period homolog 1 (Drosophila)XXXRkraRetinoid X receptor alphaXXXShfSerum response factor (c-fos transcription factor)XXXSin2Single-minded homolog 2XXXTimelessTimeless homologXXXTrib1Tribbles homolog 1XXXMafkv-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogeneXXX				X	×
Nr4a2Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 2XXXPax1Paired box 1XXXPer1Period homolog 1 (Drosophila)XXXRvraRetinoid X receptor alphaXXXStrSerum response factor (c-fos transcription factor)XXXSin2Single-minded homolog 2XXXTimelessTimeless homologXXXTrasducin-like enhancer of split 3XXXMafkv-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogeneXXMycnv-myc myelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneXX				X	
Pax1Paired box 1XPer1Period homolog 1 (Drosophila)XRxraRetinoid X receptor alphaXXStrfSerum response factor (c-fos transcription factor)XXSin2Single-minded homolog 2XTimelessTimeless homologXTle3Transducin-like enhancer of split 3XTrib1Tribbles homolog 1XWcnv-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogeneXWcnv-myc myelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneX				Y	
Per1Period homolog 1 (Drosophila)XRxraRetinoid X receptor alphaXXXSrfSerum response factor (c-fos transcription factor)XXXSin2Single-minded homolog 2XXXTimelessTimeless homologXXXTrib3Transducin-like enhancer of split 3XXXTrib1Tribbles homolog 1XXXMarkv-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogeneXXX				X	X
RxraRetinoid X receptor alphaXXXStrfSerum response factor (c-fos transcription factor)XXSin2Single-minded homolog 2XTimelessTimeless homologXTre3Transducin-like enhancer of split 3XTrib1Tribbles homolog 1XMafkv-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogeneXMycnv-myc myelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneX					
StrSerum response factor (c-fos transcription factor)XXSim2Single-minded homolog 2XTimelessTimeless homologXThe3Transducin-like enhancer of split 3XTribles homolog 1XXMafkv-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogeneXMycnv-myc myelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneX				Y	×
Sin2Single-minded homolog 2XTimelessTimeless homologXTle3Transducin-like enhancer of split 3XTrib1Tribbles homolog 1XMafkv-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogeneXMycnv-myc myelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneX					~
TimelessTimeless homologXTimeless homologTransducin-like enhancer of split 3XTrib1Tribbles homolog 1XXMafkv-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogeneXWycnv-myc myelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneX				X	
Tre3 Transducin-like enhancer of split 3 X Trib1 Tribbles homolog 1 X X Mafk v-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene X Wycn v-myc myelocytomatosis viral related oncogene X					
Trib1Tribbles homolog 1XXMafkv-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogeneXMycnv-myc myelocytomatosis viral related oncogeneX		-			
Mafk v-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene X Mycn v-myc myelocytomatosis viral related oncogene X				\checkmark	
Mycn v-myc myelocytomatosis viral related oncogene X		-		~	
Cuza i CUB and zona pellucida-like domains 1 X	-		^	~	
		оов and zona pellucida-like domains 1		Χ	

(Continued)

TABLE 5 | Continued

Gene symbol	Gene name	Regulation of transcription	Response to organic substance	Regulation of apoptosis
Rerg	RAS-like, estrogen-regulated, growth-inhibitor		Х	
Apob	Apolipoprotein B (including Ag(x) antigen)		Х	
Cpn1	Carboxypeptidase N, polypeptide 1		Х	
Cdkn1a	Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A		Х	Х
Dlc1	Deleted in liver cancer 1		Х	Х
Dusp4	Dual specificity phosphatase 4		Х	
Gng7	Guanine nucleotide binding protein (G protein), gamma 7		Х	
Hspa1b, Hspa1a	Heat shock 70 kD protein 1B, 1A		X	Х
Hsd11b2	Hydroxysteroid 11-beta dehydrogenase 2		Х	
Irs2	Insulin receptor substrate 2		Х	
Lats2	Large tumor suppressor 2		Х	
Plat	Plasminogen activator, tissue		Х	
Kcna5	Potassium voltage-gated channel, member 5		Х	
Ррр5с	Protein phosphatase 5, catalytic subunit		Х	
Slc6a3	Solute carrier family 6, member 3		Х	
Sphk1	Sphingosine kinase 1		Х	Х
Sts	Steroid sulfatase		Х	
Socs3	Suppressor of cytokine signaling 3		Х	
Vamp2	Vesicle-associated membrane protein 2		Х	
Bard1	BRCA1 associated RING domain 1			Х
Bag3	Bcl2-associated athanogene 3			Х
Gfral	GDNF family receptor alpha like			Х
Nuak2	NUAK family, SNF1-like kinase, 2			Х
Aifm3	Apoptosis-inducing factor, mitochondrion-associated 3			Х
Cidea	Cell death-inducing DNA fragmentation factor			Х
C5	Complement component 5			Х
Grm4	Glutamate receptor, metabotropic 4			Х
Pim1	Pim-1 oncogene			Х
Plekhf1	Pleckstrin homology domain containing, family F			Х
Serinc3	Serine incorporator 3			Х
Lck	Lymphocyte-specific protein tyrosine kinase			Х

Decreased expression

Previous work indicates that aging is associated with decreased expression of genes linked to neuronal/synaptic genes (Blalock et al., 2003; Lu et al., 2004; Verbitsky et al., 2004; Burger et al., 2007; Aenlle and Foster, 2010; Zeier et al., 2011; Berchtold et al., 2013; Primiani et al., 2014). In the case of the 589 genes that were decreased in white matter, enrichment was observed for cell division (GO:0051301, 16 genes, FDR p = 0.04). For the 104 genes that decreased in area CA1, clustering did not pass our cut-off. For the 387 mPFC genes that decreased with age, common genes were observed for clusters related to the synapse (GO:0045202, 25 genes, FDR $p = 1.2^{-4}$) and postsynaptic membrane (GO:0045211, 14 genes, FDR $p = 4.1^{-4}$; Table 4). In addition, decreased expression was observed for neuron projection (GO:0043005, 22 genes, FDR p = 0.015; Figure 5). Thus, the age-related decrease in neuronal genes was particularly evident in the mPFC.

mPFC Gene Expression Related to Behavior

To examine behavioral specificity of transcriptional changes, Pearson's correlations were run comparing expression of mPFC genes with the TTC for set shifting and visual discrimination, and the discrimination index score for the water maze. Correlations were limited to aged animals in order to remove age as a confound and correlations were performed across all genes in the mPFC transcriptome. Using a cut-off set at p < 0.025 (r = 0.499), a total of 416 genes were correlated with behavioral flexibility. Most of the genes (73%) were positively correlated with the set shift TTC score (303 genes increasing expression with impairment), with 113 genes negatively correlated (decreased in impaired animals). A similar analysis for the visual discrimination TTC indicated many fewer mPFC genes (135 total) correlated with acquisition of the visual discrimination, with 103 mPFC genes positively correlated (increased in animals with more trials to criteria) and 32 genes that were negatively correlated (decreased in animals with more trials to criteria). Unlike the set shift behavior, more mPFC genes exhibited decreased expression (62%) in animals with poorer spatial learning. A total of 401 mPFC genes correlated with the water maze discrimination index, with 249 genes positively correlated with the discrimination index (decreased in animals with poor spatial learning) and 152 mPFC genes negatively correlated (increased in spatial learning impaired animals; **Figure 6**).

Gene enrichment analysis was conducted to determine which mPFC genes and biological processes might be good markers for the age-related impairment in set shift behavior. For mPFC genes that were negatively correlated with the set shift TTC (decreased expression in impaired animals that delay shifting), no gene enrichment clusters were observed to pass the cut-off for cluster selection. For gene expression that positively correlated with the TTC score (increasing in animals that delayed shifting), clusters were observed for response to organic substance (GO:0010033, 34 genes, FDR p = 0.01), regulation of apoptosis (GO:0042981, 27 genes, FDR p = 0.02) and the regulation of transcription (GO:0045449, 46 genes, FDR p = 0.027), which contained the largest number of genes (Table 5). An index score describing expression of transcription regulation genes was generated for each animal by first standardizing the expression of the 46 transcription genes and the standard scores were averaged within each animal. The standard scores were plotted against the standardized TTC set shift score (r = 0.89) to illustrate the correspondence of transcription regulators with set shift behavior (Figure 7). Interestingly, several IEGs linked to neuronal activity (Arc, Egr1, Egr2, Egr3, Egr4, Fos, Fosb, Fosl2, Junb) were observed to increase in association with delayed set shift behavior.

Next we compared our results to a previously published microarray study that examined gene expression across rat species that differ on tests of attention (Qiu et al., 2010). The spontaneously hypertensive-rat (SHR), a well characterized model of impaired attention, exhibiting impairment on the fivechoice serial reaction time task and attentional set shift relative to the Wistar-Kyoto rat (De Bruin et al., 2003; Sagvolden et al., 2005). Using RNA-seq, we were able to detect 48 genes that were correlated with set shift behavior and were previously reported to differ in the mPFC of SHR and Wistar-Kyoto rats (Qiu et al., 2010). In order to compare our data with the results of Qiu et al. (2010), a mean split for the set shift TTC scores of aged animals (mean TTC = 51.7) was used to separate aged animals into those that delayed shifting and were considered aged-impaired (AI) and aged-unimpaired (AU). One-tailed t-tests were run on the 48 genes using a p < 0.05 and the direction specified by the results of Qiu et al. (2010). For the 26 genes that were predicted to increase, 16 genes exhibited a significant increase (FDR: 0.08; Table 6). Interestingly, for the 16 genes that exhibited a significant increase in expression in AI rats, these genes also exhibited decreased expression during aging with seven genes (Arc, Egr1, Egr3, Egr4, Junb, Klf10, Nr4a3) exhibiting a significant (p < 0.05) decrease with age. Finally, for the 22 genes that were previously reported to decrease expression in SHR animals, no genes were significantly decreased in AI animals compared to AU animals.

Gene Transcription and Cognitive Function



In order to provide some validation of the findings, RTqPCR was performed on 5 IEGs from a subset of young (n = 9), AI (n = 6), and AU (n = 6) animals. The animals were selected based on set shifting performance to insure group differences in behavior (Figure 8) and an ANOVA confirmed a group difference $[F_{(2, 18)} = 17.15, p < 0.0001]$. Post-hoc tests confirmed that AI animals exhibited an increase in the TTC relative to young and AU animals. Figure 9 shows a comparison of RT-qPCR results relative to the gene counts for the same genes and animals. The genes selected were IEGs that were increased in impaired animals (Arc, Egr1, Egr2, Egr4, Fos) and the comparisons (i.e., t-tests) were limited to confirmation of results observed for the whole data set. For both the RNA-seq and RTqPCR measures of expression, t-tests indicated a difference in expression (p < 0.05) between the subset of AI and AU animals (Figure 9). In addition, *Lin7b* and *Egr4* were expected to decrease with age, which was confirmed for the RNA-seq and RT-qPCR using *t*-tests to compare the subset of young and aged animals (Figure 9).

DISCUSSION

Neuroimaging indicates that the pattern of cognitive decline is related to the structure or activity of specific brain regions (Grady et al., 2005; Persson et al., 2006; Dennis et al., 2008; Park and Reuter-Lorenz, 2009; Migo et al., 2016). Furthermore, altered white matter integrity could influence connectivity between brain regions (O'Sullivan et al., 2001; Pfefferbaum et al., 2005; Salat et al., 2005; Andrews-Hanna et al., 2007; Bennett et al., 2011; Lu et al., 2011; Borghesani et al., 2013). While similar biological processes were altered across regions with age, very few genes were similarly affected across regions. Dissimilarities may relate to regional differences in vulnerability. Furthermore, vulnerability to aging is influenced by environment

Gene symbol	Gene name	AI vs. AU fold	Age fold
Arc	Activity regulated cytoskeletal-associated protein	1.89	-1.19
Bhlhe40	Basic helix-loop-helix domain containing, class B2	1.22	-1.08
Btg2	B-cell translocation gene 2, anti-proliferative	1.49	-1.28
Dusp1	Dual specificity phosphatase 1	1.45	-1.27
Egr1	Early growth response 1	1.57	-1.31
Egr2	Early growth response 2	2.79	-1.37
Egr4	Early growth response 4	1.53	-1.41
Hspa1a	Heat shock 70 kD protein 1A	2.12	-1.26
Hspa1b	Heat shock 70 kD protein 1B	1.84	-1.46
ler5	Immediate early response 5	1.29	-1.17
Junb	Jun-B oncogene	1.50	-1.36
Klf10	Krueppel-like factor 10	1.36	-1.29
Nr4a1	Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 1	1.60	-1.23
Nr4a3	Nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 3	1.39	-1.20
Ptgs2	Prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2	1.36	-1.12
Sik1	SNF1-like kinase	1.76	-1.12

TABLE 6 | Increased expression in the mPFC for AI vs. AU.



validations. The animals were selected based on set shifting performance to insure group differences in behavior. The bars illustrate this difference as the mean + SEM TTC for young (n = 9) and aged animals classified as unimpaired (AU, n = 6) and impaired (AI, n = 6) on the set shift task. Asterisks indicate a significant (p < 0.05) difference relative to AI animals.

and lifestyle such that food restriction to promote operant behavior as well as the training procedure, may have differentially modified aging processes across regions (Lee et al., 2000; Zeier et al., 2011). Nevertheless, RNA-seq profiles confirmed that brain aging is associated with biological processes that involve increased expression of immune/defense response genes and decreased mitochondria and neuronal/synaptic genes (Prolla, 2002; Blalock et al., 2003; Lu et al., 2004; Verbitsky et al., 2004; Bordner et al., 2011; VanGuilder et al., 2011; Zeier et al., 2011; Cribbs et al., 2012; Berchtold et al., 2013; Primiani et al., 2014).

Age-related differences in cortical transcription are observed across species possibly due to evolutionary constraints on aging,

examination of disparate brain regions, or differences in the age range examined (Erraji-Benchekroun et al., 2005; Fraser et al., 2005; Loerch et al., 2008; Cribbs et al., 2012; Berchtold et al., 2013). The mPFC of rats is thought to be functionally related to the dorsolateral PFC in humans and monkeys (Dias et al., 1997; Birrell and Brown, 2000; Kesner and Churchwell, 2011). Similar to the PFC of humans, we found that genes linked to excitatory and inhibitory transmitter systems decline with age in the mPFC. Significantly, age-related changes in gene expression did not predict cognition.

An important contribution of the current research was the specificity of transcriptional changes that correlate with a cognitive function that depends on the mPFC. The mPFC contributes to cognitive flexibility and spatial learning (Churchwell et al., 2010); however, no correlation was observed between set shifting and acquisition of a spatial search strategy (Barense et al., 2002; Beas et al., 2013). Transcription in the mPFC reflected this distinction in that genes correlated with the discrimination index generally decreased expression (62%) in impaired animals, while a large proportion (73%) of genes associated with impaired set shifting exhibited increasing expression. Specificity of mPFC transcription was also reflected in the 3-fold increase in the number of genes that correlated with set shift performance relative to visual discrimination learning. Finally, many of the activity-related IEGs that increased in impaired animals, exhibit down regulation in the mPFC during aging; and down regulation of IEGs in other brain regions is associated with cognitive impairment and decreased responsiveness (Benloucif et al., 1997; Blalock et al., 2003; Rowe et al., 2007). The results indicate specificity of mPFC transcription with an age-related impairment of mPFC-dependent behavior

Altered basal expression of PFC IEGs is observed across rat species that exhibit differences in executive function.





FIGURE 9 | Continued

and RNA-seq (right, counts). Two-tailed *t*-tests confirmed increased expression of *Arc, Fos, Egr1, Egr2, and Egr4* in AI, relative to AU rats. Gene expression for young animals is provided for comparison to aged animals. For two genes, *Lin7b* and *Egr4*, age differences were confirmed (***p < 0.005, **p < 0.025, *p < 0.05).

Upregulation of neural activity and synaptic plasticity genes is observed for SHR rats, which exhibit impaired set shift behavior compared to Wistar-Kyoto rats (Qiu et al., 2010). Similarly, compared to successful aging of LOU/C/Jall rats, aging in Wistar rats is associated with working memory deficits and an increase in PFC expression of IEGs (*Arc, Egr2, Fos, Junb*, and *Nr4a1*; Paban et al., 2013). Differences in transcription across rodent strains may result from genetic polymorphisms. Thus, an important finding from the current study is that individual variability in cognition, within the same species, is associated with increased basal expression of mPFC IEGs indicating that increased expression is indicative of impaired cognitive flexibility during aging.

What mechanism could increase IEG expression in animals that delay set shift behavior? Differences in IEG expression could result from differences in epigenetic regulation of transcription during aging (Peleg et al., 2010; Hernandez et al., 2011). Indeed, set shifting behavior was associated with increased expression of genes involved in regulating histone deacetylase (Bcor, Ccdc101, Dnajb5, Kdm6b) and histone acetyltransferase (Ing3) activity. Alternatively, IEG expression is upregulated by increased neuronal activity (Ghosh et al., 1994; Guan et al., 2009; Rudenko et al., 2013). Impaired set shift behavior was correlated with increased expression of transcription factors of inhibitory neurons (Dlx1, Npas1) and genes linked to the strength of excitatory and inhibitory inputs (Arc, Npas4) suggesting altered synaptic plasticity and increased neural activity. Interestingly, an increase in frontal cortex neural activity is observed in older humans and may relate to performance of cognitive tasks (Rosano et al., 2005; Turner and Spreng, 2012; Maillet and Rajah, 2014).

One possible mechanism for impaired set shift performance and increased neuronal activity involves a decline in N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor function. The degree of mPFC NMDA receptor hypofunction is correlated with impaired attention starting in middle-age (Guidi et al., 2015). Furthermore, blockade of NMDA receptors in this region disrupts set shift behavior (Stefani and Moghaddam, 2005; Dalton et al., 2011). The mechanism appears to involve a shift in the balance of excitatory/inhibitory synaptic input since inhibition of NMDA receptors in the PFC decreases the activity of inhibitory interneurons and increases the discharge activity of pyramidal cells (Homayoun and Moghaddam, 2007). Thus, an age-related decline in NMDA receptor function may reduce inhibitory drive and increase expression of activity-related genes in pyramidal cells.

In summary, the results support the idea that aging is associated with an increase in expression of immune and defense response genes and a decline in synaptic and neural activity genes. Importantly, mPFC expression of IEGs related to neural activity and synaptic plasticity decline with age; however, expression is up regulated in aged animals that exhibit delayed set shift behavior. The mPFC transcriptional profile of impaired animals is in contrast to decreased IEG expression reported for the hippocampus and other brain regions during aging. The specificity of impairment on a mPFC-dependent task, associated with a particular mPFC transcriptional profile indicates that impaired executive function involves altered transcriptional regulation and neural activity/plasticity processes that are distinct from that described for impaired hippocampal function.

REFERENCES

- Aenlle, K. K., and Foster, T. C. (2010). Aging alters the expression of genes for neuroprotection and synaptic function following acute estradiol treatment. *Hippocampus* 20, 1047–1060. doi: 10.1002/hipo.20703
- Aenlle, K. K., Kumar, A., Cui, L., Jackson, T. C., and Foster, T. C. (2009). Estrogen effects on cognition and hippocampal transcription in middle-aged mice. *Neurobiol. Aging* 30, 932–945. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2007.09.004
- Andrews-Hanna, J. R., Snyder, A. Z., Vincent, J. L., Lustig, C., Head, D., Raichle, M. E., et al. (2007). Disruption of large-scale brain systems in advanced aging. *Neuron* 56, 924–935. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2007.10.038
- Barense, M. D., Fox, M. T., and Baxter, M. G. (2002). Aged rats are impaired on an attentional set-shifting task sensitive to medial frontal cortex damage in young rats. *Learn. Mem.* 9, 191–201. doi: 10.1101/lm.48602
- Beas, B. S., Setlow, B., and Bizon, J. L. (2013). Distinct manifestations of executive dysfunction in aged rats. *Neurobiol. Aging* 34, 2164–2174. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2013.03.019
- Benloucif, S., Masana, M. I., and Dubocovich, M. L. (1997). Light-induced phase shifts of circadian activity rhythms and immediate early gene expression in the suprachiasmatic nucleus are attenuated in old C3H/HeN mice. *Brain Res.* 747, 34–42. doi: 10.1016/S0006-8993(96)01182-1
- Bennett, I. J., Madden, D. J., Vaidya, C. J., Howard, J. H. Jr., and Howard, D. V. (2011). White matter integrity correlates of implicit sequence learning in healthy aging. *Neurobiol. Aging* 32, 2317.e1-12. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2010.03.017
- Berchtold, N. C., Coleman, P. D., Cribbs, D. H., Rogers, J., Gillen, D. L., and Cotman, C. W. (2013). Synaptic genes are extensively downregulated across multiple brain regions in normal human aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol. Aging* 34, 1653–1661. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2012.11.024
- Birrell, J. M., and Brown, V. J. (2000). Medial frontal cortex mediates perceptual attentional set shifting in the rat. J. Neurosci. 20, 4320–4324.
- Bizon, J. L., Foster, T. C., Alexander, G. E., and Glisky, E. L. (2012). Characterizing cognitive aging of working memory and executive function in animal models. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 4:19. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2012.00019
- Blalock, E. M., Chen, K. C., Sharrow, K., Herman, J. P., Porter, N. M., Foster, T. C., et al. (2003). Gene microarrays in hippocampal aging: statistical profiling identifies novel processes correlated with cognitive impairment. *J. Neurosci.* 23, 3807–3819.
- Bordner, K. A., Kitchen, R. R., Carlyle, B., George, E. D., Mahajan, M. C., Mane, S. M., et al. (2011). Parallel declines in cognition, motivation, and locomotion in aging mice: association with immune gene upregulation in the medial prefrontal cortex. *Exp. Gerontol.* 46, 643–659. doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2011.03.003
- Borghesani, P. R., Madhyastha, T. M., Aylward, E. H., Reiter, M. A., Swarny, B. R., Schaie, K. W., et al. (2013). The association between higher order abilities, processing speed, and age are variably mediated by white matter integrity during typical aging. *Neuropsychologia* 51, 1435–1444. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2013.03.005
- Brown, V. J., and Bowman, E. M. (2002). Rodent models of prefrontal cortical function. *Trends Neurosci.* 25, 340–343. doi: 10.1016/S0166-2236(02)02164-1

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

TF, AK, LI designed experiment, AR, LI, AK, and BB performed research, TF and LI analyzed data, constructed figures, and wrote paper

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support by National Institutes of Aging Grants R01AG037984, R37AG036800, R01AG49711, and the Evelyn F. McKnight Brain Research Foundation is highly appreciated. Thanks to Dr. Jennifer Bizon for discussions about the behavioral tasks.

- Burger, C. (2010). Region-specific genetic alterations in the aging hippocampus: implications for cognitive aging. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 2:140. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2010.00140
- Burger, C., López, M. C., Feller, J. A., Baker, H. V., Muzyczka, N., and Mandel, R. J. (2007). Changes in transcription within the CA1 field of the hippocampus are associated with age-related spatial learning impairments. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 87, 21–41. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2006.05.003
- Churchwell, J. C., Morris, A. M., Musso, N. D., and Kesner, R. P. (2010). Prefrontal and hippocampal contributions to encoding and retrieval of spatial memory. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 93, 415–421. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2009.12.008
- Cribbs, D. H., Berchtold, N. C., Perreau, V., Coleman, P. D., Rogers, J., Tenner, A. J., et al. (2012). Extensive innate immune gene activation accompanies brain aging, increasing vulnerability to cognitive decline and neurodegeneration: a microarray study. J. Neuroinflammation 9:179. doi: 10.1186/1742-2094-9-179
- Dalton, G. L., Ma, L. M., Phillips, A. G., and Floresco, S. B. (2011). Blockade of NMDA GluN2B receptors selectively impairs behavioral flexibility but not initial discrimination learning. *Psychopharmacology* 216, 525–535. doi: 10.1007/s00213-011-2246-z
- De Bruin, N. M., Kiliaan, A. J., De Wilde, M. C., and Broersen, L. M. (2003). Combined uridine and choline administration improves cognitive deficits in spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 80, 63–79. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7427(03)00024-8
- de Magalhães, J. P., Curado, J., and Church, G. M. (2009). Meta-analysis of age-related gene expression profiles identifies common signatures of aging. *Bioinformatics* 25, 875–881. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/ btp073
- Dennis, N. A., Hayes, S. M., Prince, S. E., Madden, D. J., Huettel, S. A., and Cabeza, R. (2008). Effects of aging on the neural correlates of successful item and source memory encoding. *J. Exp. Psychol. Learn. Mem. Cogn.* 34, 791–808. doi: 10.1037/0278-7393.34.4.791
- Dias, R., Robbins, T. W., and Roberts, A. C. (1997). Dissociable forms of inhibitory control within prefrontal cortex with an analog of the Wisconsin Card Sort Test: restriction to novel situations and independence from "on-line" processing. J. Neurosci. 17, 9285–9297.
- Erraji-Benchekroun, L., Underwood, M. D., Arango, V., Galfalvy, H., Pavlidis, P., Smyrniotopoulos, P., et al. (2005). Molecular aging in human prefrontal cortex is selective and continuous throughout adult life. *Biol. Psychiatry* 57, 549–558. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.10.034
- Floresco, S. B., Block, A. E., and Tse, M. T. (2008). Inactivation of the medial prefrontal cortex of the rat impairs strategy set-shifting, but not reversal learning, using a novel, automated procedure. *Behav. Brain Res.* 190, 85–96. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2008.02.008
- Foster, T. C. (2012). Dissecting the age-related decline on spatial learning and memory tasks in rodent models: N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors and voltagedependent Ca2+ channels in senescent synaptic plasticity. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 96, 283–303. doi: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2012.01.007
- Foster, T. C., Barnes, C. A., Rao, G., and McNaughton, B. L. (1991). Increase in perforant path quantal size in aged F-344 rats. *Neurobiol. Aging* 12, 441–448. doi: 10.1016/0197-4580(91)90071-Q

- Foster, T. C., Defazio, R. A., and Bizon, J. L. (2012). Characterizing cognitive aging of spatial and contextual memory in animal models. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 4:12. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2012.00012
- Fraser, H. B., Khaitovich, P., Plotkin, J. B., Pääbo, S., and Eisen, M. B. (2005). Aging and gene expression in the primate brain. *PLoS Biol.* 3:e274. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0030274
- Ghosh, A., Ginty, D. D., Bading, H., and Greenberg, M. E. (1994). Calcium regulation of gene expression in neuronal cells. J. Neurobiol. 25, 294–303. doi: 10.1002/neu.480250309
- Grady, C. L., McIntosh, A. R., and Craik, F. I. (2005). Task-related activity in prefrontal cortex and its relation to recognition memory performance in young and old adults. *Neuropsychologia* 43, 1466–1481. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2004.12.016
- Gray, D. T., and Barnes, C. A. (2015). Distinguishing adaptive plasticity from vulnerability in the aging hippocampus. *Neuroscience* 309, 17–28. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2015.08.001
- Guan, J. S., Haggarty, S. J., Giacometti, E., Dannenberg, J. H., Joseph, N., Gao, J., et al. (2009). HDAC2 negatively regulates memory formation and synaptic plasticity. *Nature* 459, 55–60. doi: 10.1038/nature07925
- Guidi, M., Kumar, A., and Foster, T. C. (2015). Impaired attention and synaptic senescence of the prefrontal cortex involves redox regulation of NMDA receptors. J. Neurosci. 35, 3966–3977. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3523-14.2015
- Guidi, M., Kumar, A., Rani, A., and Foster, T. C. (2014). Assessing the emergence and reliability of cognitive decline over the life span in Fisher 344 rats using the spatial water maze. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 6:2. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2014.00002
- Haberman, R. P., Colantuoni, C., Stocker, A. M., Schmidt, A. C., Pedersen, J. T., and Gallagher, M. (2011). Prominent hippocampal CA3 gene expression profile in neurocognitive aging. *Neurobiol. Aging* 32, 1678–1692. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2009.10.005
- Hernandez, D. G., Nalls, M. A., Gibbs, J. R., Arepalli, S., van der Brug, M., Chong, S., et al. (2011). Distinct DNA methylation changes highly correlated with chronological age in the human brain. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 20, 1164–1172. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddq561
- Homayoun, H., and Moghaddam, B. (2007). NMDA receptor hypofunction produces opposite effects on prefrontal cortex interneurons and pyramidal neurons. J. Neurosci. 27, 11496–11500. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2213-07.2007
- Hoover, W. B., and Vertes, R. P. (2007). Anatomical analysis of afferent projections to the medial prefrontal cortex in the rat. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 212, 149–179. doi: 10.1007/s00429-007-0150-4
- Huang, D. W., Sherman, B. T., Tan, Q., Collins, J. R., Alvord, W. G., Roayaei, J., et al. (2007a). The DAVID gene functional classification tool: a novel biological module-centric algorithm to functionally analyze large gene lists. *Genome Biol.* 8:R183. doi: 10.1186/gb-2007-8-9-r183
- Huang, D. W., Sherman, B. T., Tan, Q., Kir, J., Liu, D., Bryant, D., et al. (2007b). DAVID bioinformatics resources: expanded annotation database and novel algorithms to better extract biology from large gene lists. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35, W169–W175. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkm415
- Jackson, T. C., Rani, A., Kumar, A., and Foster, T. C. (2009). Regional hippocampal differences in AKT survival signaling across the lifespan: implications for CA1 vulnerability with aging. *Cell Death Differ*. 16, 439–448. doi: 10.1038/cdd.2008.171
- Kadish, I., Thibault, O., Blalock, E. M., Chen, K. C., Gant, J. C., Porter, N. M., et al. (2009). Hippocampal and cognitive aging across the lifespan: a bioenergetic shift precedes and increased cholesterol trafficking parallels memory impairment. J. Neurosci. 29, 1805–1816. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4599-08.2009
- Kesner, R. P., and Churchwell, J. C. (2011). An analysis of rat prefrontal cortex in mediating executive function. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 96, 417–431. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2011.07.002
- Kumar, A., Bodhinathan, K., and Foster, T. C. (2009). Susceptibility to calcium dysregulation during brain aging. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 1:2. doi: 10.3389/neuro.24.002.2009
- Kumar, A., and Foster, T. C. (2013). Linking redox regulation of NMDAR synaptic function to cognitive decline during aging. J. Neurosci. 33, 15710–15715. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2176-13.2013
- Lee, C. K., Weindruch, R., and Prolla, T. A. (2000). Gene-expression profile of the ageing brain in mice. *Nat. Genet.* 25, 294–297. doi: 10.1038/77046

- Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K., and Shi, W. (2014). featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 30, 923–930. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656
- Lipinski, M. M., Zheng, B., Lu, T., Yan, Z., Py, B. F., Ng, A., et al. (2010). Genomewide analysis reveals mechanisms modulating autophagy in normal brain aging and in Alzheimer's disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107, 14164–14169. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1009485107
- Livak, K. J., and Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods* 25, 402–408. doi: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262
- Loerch, P. M., Lu, T., Dakin, K. A., Vann, J. M., Isaacs, A., Geula, C., et al. (2008). Evolution of the aging brain transcriptome and synaptic regulation. *PLoS ONE* 3:e3329. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0003329
- Lu, P. H., Lee, G. J., Raven, E. P., Tingus, K., Khoo, T., Thompson, P. M., et al. (2011). Age-related slowing in cognitive processing speed is associated with myelin integrity in a very healthy elderly sample. J. Clin. Exp. Neuropsychol. 33, 1059–1068. doi: 10.1080/13803395.2011.595397
- Lu, T., Pan, Y., Kao, S. Y., Li, C., Kohane, I., Chan, J., et al. (2004). Gene regulation and DNA damage in the ageing human brain. *Nature* 429, 883–891. doi: 10.1038/nature02661
- Maillet, D., and Rajah, M. N. (2014). Age-related differences in brain activity in the subsequent memory paradigm: a meta-analysis. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 45, 246–257. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.06.006
- McEwen, B. S., and Morrison, J. H. (2013). The brain on stress: vulnerability and plasticity of the prefrontal cortex over the life course. *Neuron* 79, 16–29. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2013.06.028
- Migo, E. M., O'Daly, O., Mitterschiffthaler, M., Antonova, E., Dawson, G. R., Dourish, C. T., et al. (2016). Investigating virtual reality navigation in amnestic mild cognitive impairment using fMRI. *Neuropsychol. Dev. Cogn. B Aging Neuropsychol. Cogn.* 23, 196–217. doi: 10.1080/13825585.2015.1073218
- O'Sullivan, M., Jones, D. K., Summers, P. E., Morris, R. G., Williams, S. C., and Markus, H. S. (2001). Evidence for cortical "disconnection" as a mechanism of age-related cognitive decline. *Neurology* 57, 632–638. doi: 10.1212/WNL.57.4.632
- Paban, V., Billard, J. M., Bouet, V., Freret, T., Boulouard, M., Chambon, C., et al. (2013). Genomic transcriptional profiling in LOU/C/Jall rats identifies genes for successful aging. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 218, 1501–1512. doi: 10.1007/s00429-012-0472-8
- Park, D. C., and Reuter-Lorenz, P. (2009). The adaptive brain: aging and neurocognitive scaffolding. Annu. Rev. Psychol. 60, 173–196. doi: 10.1146/annurev.psych.59.103006.093656
- Paxinos, G., and Watson, C. (1986). *The Rat Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates*. San Diego, CA: Academic.
- Peleg, S., Sananbenesi, F., Zovoilis, A., Burkhardt, S., Bahari-Javan, S., Agis-Balboa, R. C., et al. (2010). Altered histone acetylation is associated with age-dependent memory impairment in mice. *Science* 328, 753–756. doi: 10.1126/science.1186088
- Persson, J., Nyberg, L., Lind, J., Larsson, A., Nilsson, L. G., Ingvar, M., et al. (2006). Structure-function correlates of cognitive decline in aging. *Cereb. Cortex* 16, 907–915. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhj036
- Pfefferbaum, A., Adalsteinsson, E., and Sullivan, E. V. (2005). Frontal circuitry degradation marks healthy adult aging: evidence from diffusion tensor imaging. *Neuroimage* 26, 891–899. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.02.034
- Preuss, T. M. (1995). Do rats have prefrontal cortex? The rose-woolsey-akert program reconsidered. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 7, 1–24. doi: 10.1162/jocn.1995.7.1.1
- Primiani, C. T., Ryan, V. H., Rao, J. S., Cam, M. C., Ahn, K., Modi, H. R., et al. (2014). Coordinated gene expression of neuroinflammatory and cell signaling markers in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex during human brain development and aging. *PLoS ONE* 9:e110972. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0110972
- Prolla, T. A. (2002). DNA microarray analysis of the aging brain. *Chem. Senses* 27, 299–306. doi: 10.1093/chemse/27.3.299
- Qiu, J., Hong, Q., Chen, R. H., Tong, M. L., Zhang, M., Fei, L., et al. (2010). Gene expression profiles in the prefrontal cortex of SHR rats by cDNA microarrays. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 37, 1733–1740. doi: 10.1007/s11033-009-9596-1
- Robbins, T. W. (1996). Dissociating executive functions of the prefrontal cortex. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 351, 1463–1470. discussion: 1470–1461. doi: 10.1098/rstb.1996.0131

- Rosano, C., Aizenstein, H. J., Cochran, J. L., Saxton, J. A., De Kosky, S. T., Newman, A. B., et al. (2005). Event-related functional magnetic resonance imaging investigation of executive control in very old individuals with mild cognitive impairment. *Biol. Psychiatry* 57, 761–767. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.12.031
- Rowe, W. B., Blalock, E. M., Chen, K. C., Kadish, I., Wang, D., Barrett, J. E., et al. (2007). Hippocampal expression analyses reveal selective association of immediate-early, neuroenergetic, and myelinogenic pathways with cognitive impairment in aged rats. J. Neurosci. 27, 3098–3110. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4163-06.2007
- Rudenko, A., Dawlaty, M. M., Seo, J., Cheng, A. W., Meng, J., Le, T., et al. (2013). Tet1 is critical for neuronal activity-regulated gene expression and memory extinction. *Neuron* 79, 1109–1122. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2013.08.003
- Sagvolden, T., Russell, V. A., Aase, H., Johansen, E. B., and Farshbaf, M. (2005). Rodent models of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Biol. Psychiatry* 57, 1239–1247. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.02.002
- Salat, D. H., Tuch, D. S., Hevelone, N. D., Fischl, B., Corkin, S., Rosas, H. D., et al. (2005). Age-related changes in prefrontal white matter measured by diffusion tensor imaging. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 1064, 37–49. doi: 10.1196/annals.1340.009
- Stefani, M. R., and Moghaddam, B. (2005). Systemic and prefrontal cortical NMDA receptor blockade differentially affect discrimination learning and setshift ability in rats. *Behav. Neurosci.* 119, 420–428. doi: 10.1037/0735-7044.119. 2.420
- Turner, G. R., and Spreng, R. N. (2012). Executive functions and neurocognitive aging: dissociable patterns of brain activity. *Neurobiol. Aging* 33, 826.e1-e13. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2011.06.005
- Uylings, H. B., and van Eden, C. G. (1990). Qualitative and quantitative comparison of the prefrontal cortex in rat and in primates, including humans. *Prog. Brain Res.* 85, 31–62. doi: 10.1016/S0079-6123(08)62675-8

- VanGuilder, H. D., Bixler, G. V., Brucklacher, R. M., Farley, J. A., Yan, H., Warrington, J. P., et al. (2011). Concurrent hippocampal induction of MHC II pathway components and glial activation with advanced aging is not correlated with cognitive impairment. *J. Neuroinflammation* 8:138. doi: 10.1186/1742-2094-8-138
- Verbitsky, M., Yonan, A. L., Malleret, G., Kandel, E. R., Gilliam, T. C., and Pavlidis, P. (2004). Altered hippocampal transcript profile accompanies an age-related spatial memory deficit in mice. *Learn. Mem.* 11, 253–260. doi: 10.1101/lm.68204
- Vertes, R. P. (2004). Differential projections of the infralimbic and prelimbic cortex in the rat. Synapse 51, 32–58. doi: 10.1002/syn.10279
- Yuan, Y., Chen, Y. P., Boyd-Kirkup, J., Khaitovich, P., and Somel, M. (2012). Accelerated aging-related transcriptome changes in the female prefrontal cortex. *Aging Cell* 11, 894–901. doi: 10.1111/j.1474-9726.2012.00859.x
- Zeier, Z., Madorsky, I., Xu, Y., Ogle, W. O., Notterpek, L., and Foster, T. C. (2011). Gene expression in the hippocampus: regionally specific effects of aging and caloric restriction. *Mech. Ageing Dev.* 132, 8–19. doi: 10.1016/j.mad.2010.10.006

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Ianov, Rani, Beas, Kumar and Foster. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.