



Pioglitazone Reduces β Amyloid Levels *via* Inhibition of PPARγ Phosphorylation in a Neuronal Model of Alzheimer's Disease

Qiankun Quan^{1,2,3}, Yihua Qian^{2,3}*, Xi Li¹ and Ming Li¹

¹Department of Geriatrics, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, ²Department of Human Anatomy, Histology and Embryology, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Xi'an Jiaotong University Health Science Center, Xi'an, China, ³Key Laboratory of Environment and Genes Related to Diseases (Xi'an Jiaotong University), Ministry of Education of China, Xi'an Jiaotong University Health Science Center, Xi'an, China

It has been demonstrated that peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPAR γ) can regulate the transcription of its target gene, insulin-degrading enzyme (IDE), and thus enhance the expression of the IDE protein. The protein can degrade β amyloid (A β), a core pathological product of Alzheimer's disease (AD). PPARy can also regulate the transcription of other target gene, β -amyloid cleavage enzyme 1 (BACE1), and thus inhibit the expression of the BACE1 protein. BACE1 can hydrolyze amyloid precursor protein (APP), the precursor of A β . In adipose tissue, PPAR_Y agonists can inhibit the phosphorylation of PPARy by inhibiting cyclin-dependent kinase 5 (CDK5), which in turn affects the expression of target genes regulated by PPAR_{γ}. PPAR_{γ} agonists may also exert inhibitory effects on the phosphorylation of PPARy in the brain, thereby affecting the expression of the aforementioned PPAR_{γ} target genes and reducing A_{β} levels. The present study confirmed this hypothesis by showing that PPAR_{γ} agonist pioglitazone attenuated the neuronal apoptosis of primary rat hippocampal neurons induced by A β_{1-42} , downregulated CDK5 expression, weakened the binding of CDK5 to PPAR γ , reduced PPAR γ phosphorylation, increased the expression of PPAR γ and IDE, decreased the expression of BACE1, reduced APP production, and downregulated intraneuronal A β_{1-42} levels. These effects were inhibited by the PPAR_Y antagonist GW9662. After CDK5 silencing with CDK5 shRNA, the above effect of pioglitazone was not observed, except when upregulating the expression of PPAR_y in A β_{1-42} treated neurons. In conclusion, this study demonstrated that pioglitazone could inhibit the phosphorylation of PPARy in vitro by inhibiting CDK5 expression, which in turn affected the expression of PPAR_Y target genes *Ide* and *Bace1*, thereby promoting A_β degradation and reducing A_β production. This reduced A_β levels in the brain, thereby exerting neuroprotective effects in an AD model.

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease, pioglitazone, β amyloid, PPAR γ phosphorylation, cyclin-dependent kinase 5, insulin-degrading enzyme, β -amyloid cleavage enzyme 1

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Antonio Camins, University of Barcelona, Spain

Reviewed by:

Manuel Vázquez-Carrera, University of Barcelona, Spain Miroslav Nenov, Temple University, United States

> ***Correspondence:** Yihua Qian qianyh38@mail.xjtu.edu.cn

Received: 18 January 2019 Accepted: 02 July 2019 Published: 17 July 2019

Citation:

Quan Q, Qian Y, Li X and Li M (2019) Pioglitazone Reduces β Amyloid Levels via Inhibition of PPARγ Phosphorylation in a Neuronal Model of Alzheimer's Disease. Front. Aging Neurosci. 11:178. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2019.00178

1

INTRODUCTION

Excessive accumulation of β amyloid (A β) in the brain is a key pathological change in Alzheimer's disease (AD; Tanzi et al., 2004), which can lead to neurofibrillary tangles (Bloom, 2014), inflammation (McGeer and McGeer, 2013), synaptic dysfunction (Skaper et al., 2017), oxidative stress (Butterfield et al., 2013), and neuronal apoptosis (Saad et al., 2015). Therefore, clearance of AB may help ameliorate the disease state of AD (Tanzi et al., 2004). Recent clinical and experimental studies have made considerable progress in investigating peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor γ (PPAR γ) agonists for the treatment of AD. For example, treatment with pioglitazone reduced the levels of extracellular $A\beta_{1-42}$ in culture media of Chinese hamster ovary cells transfected with mouse amyloid precursor protein (APP) 695 (Chang et al., 2015). Pioglitazone reduced the elevated levels of AB in the brain in a rat model of insulin resistance (Luo et al., 2011) and improved learning and memory function in transgenic animals with AD (Papadopoulos et al., 2013). Rosiglitazone reduced the levels of $A\beta_{1-40}$ and $A\beta_{1-42}$ in the cerebral cortex of transgenic mice with AD, reduced $A\beta$ plaques and tau phosphorylation, rescued memory impairment (Escribano et al., 2010), and prevented Aβ-induced inflammation (Xu et al., 2014), mitochondrial dysfunction, and oxidative stress (Chiang et al., 2016). The cognitive function of patients with AD improved significantly after treatment with orally administered rosiglitazone for 24 weeks (Watson and Craft, 2003; Risner et al., 2006). Nevertheless, the mechanisms of action of PPARy agonists in reducing A β remain unclear.

PPARy is a ligand-activated nuclear transcription factor. When activated by its ligand, PPARy binds to the peroxisome proliferator responsive element (PPRE) in the promoter region of its target genes, thereby regulating their expression (Houseknecht et al., 2002). It has been demonstrated that PPARy can regulate the transcription of its target gene, insulin-degrading enzyme (IDE), by binding to the PPRE in the IDE promoter and enhancing the expression of the IDE protein (Du et al., 2009). PPARy can also bind to the PPRE in the promoter of another target gene, β -amyloid cleavage enzyme 1 (BACE1), to inhibit the expression of the BACE1 protein (Lin et al., 2016). IDE degrades Aβ (Vingtdeux et al., 2015), whereas BACE1 is a key enzyme involved in the initiation of A β production that hydrolyzes the A β precursor, APP (Sadleir et al., 2015; Farajdokht et al., 2017). PPARy agonists act on the cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) 5 inhibitory pathway in adipose tissue, which inhibits the phosphorylation of the Ser273 site located in the hinge region between the DNA-binding domain and ligand-binding domain of the PPARy in adipocytes, thereby affecting the expression of PPARy target genes (Choi et al., 2010). Therefore, we hypothesized that PPARy agonists may also exert inhibitory effects on the phosphorylation of PPARy in the brain. We speculated that this effect was related to the inhibition of CDK5 and could affect the expression of the PPARy target genes, Ide and Bace1, which in turn would reduce AB production and promote $A\beta$ degradation, thereby exerting a neuroprotective effect.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics Statement

All experimental procedures in this study were approved by the Ethics Committee of Xi'an Jiaotong University Health Science Center prior to initiation of the research work. Experiments were performed in accordance with the ethical guidelines of The Basel Declaration and the International Council for Laboratory Animal Science.

Reagents

Pioglitazone, GW9662, and A $β_{1-42}$ were purchased from Sigma, USA. Rabbit anti-rat polyclonal antibodies against IDE, BACE1, and APP were purchased from Abcam, UK. Rabbit anti-rat polyclonal antibodies against CDK5, PPARγ and A $β_{1-42}$ were purchased from Wanleibio, Shenyang, China. Rabbit anti-rat polyclonal antibody against p-PPARγ-Ser273 was purchased from Bioss, Beijing, China. TUNEL assay kit, anti-β-actin antibody, and ECL reagent were purchased from Wanleibio, Shenyang, China. TRIzol, Super M-MLV reverse transcriptase, RNase inhibitor, and 2× Power Taq PCR MasterMix amplification reagent kit were purchased from BioTeke, Beijing, China. SYBR GREEN Master Mix was purchased from Solarbio, Beijing, China. Protein A sepharose beads were purchased from Santa Cruz, CA, USA.

Isolation and Culture of Rat Hippocampal Neurons

Rat hippocampal neurons were cultured as described previously (Vadukul et al., 2017). Two-day-old Sprague-Dawley rats were decapitated. The hippocampal tissue was collected, cut into pieces, and trypsinized. DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum and penicillin-streptomycin was added to neutralize the digestive enzymes. After filtration and centrifugation, hippocampal neurons were plated into polylysine-coated six-well culture plates at a density of 5×10^5 /m, and cultured at 37°C in a saturated humidity atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ for 8 h. After incubation, the medium was replaced with Neurobasal medium containing 2% B27, 0.5 mmol/L glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 U/mL streptomycin. After 48 h, 10 $\mu\,mol/L$ of cytarabine was added to inhibit the growth of glial cells. Solutions were replaced with fresh ones every 3 days thereafter. Neurons were identified with anti-NeuN immunocytochemistry (Hamano et al., 2012), and purity of neurons was greater than 90%. The neurons were used for experiments after 14 days of culture (Vadukul et al., 2017).

Drug Interventions

The primary neurons that had been cultured for 15 days were divided into four groups: control group, $A\beta$ group, pioglitazone (Pio) group, and Pio + GW9662 group. The control group was not treated with any drug in the media. The $A\beta$ group was treated with $A\beta_{1-42}$ in the media to a final concentration of 8 μ M (Yang et al., 2015) for 24 h. The Pio group was pretreated with pioglitazone (final concentration 10 μ M; Wang et al., 2011) for

1 h, after which A β_{1-42} (final concentration 8 μ M) was added for cotreatment for 24 h. The pioglitazone + GW9662 group was pretreated with GW9662 (final concentration 10 μ M; Hamano et al., 2016) for 0.5 h and then with pioglitazone (final concentration 10 μ M) for 1 h, after which A β_{1-42} (final concentration 8 μ M) was added for cotreatment for 24 h.

CDK5 RNA Interference

Oligonucleotide primers for CDK5 short hairpin RNA (shRNA) and scramble shRNA were synthesized by Wanleibio Co., Limited (Shenyang, China) according to the target sequence for CDK5 RNA interference which was designed based on the sequence of rat Cdk5 (GenBank: NM_080885.1) and the control sequence without interference effect respectively. The primer sequences were as follows: CDK5 shRNA forward primer 5'ccggcccGGAGAGACCTGTT7GCAGAAttcaagaga TTCTGCAACAGGTCTCTCCttttt3', reverse primer 5'aat taaaaaGGAGAGACCTGTTGC AGAAtctcttgaaTTCTGCAA CAGGTCTCTCCggg3'; scramble shRNA forward primer 5'ccggcccTTCTCCGAACGTGTCAC GTttcaagagaACG TGACACGTTCGGAGAAttttt3', reverse primer 5'aattaaa aaTTCTCCGAACGTGTCACGTtctcttgaaACGTGACACGT TCGGAGAAggg3'. The corresponding double-stranded DNA was obtained by annealing the primers. Then the DNA was cloned into lentivirus vector. Then lentivirus packaging was performed to construct the lentiviral vector expressing CDK5 shRNA and the lentiviral vector expressing scramble shRNA. In the present article, the lentiviral vectors will be referred to as LV-CDK5-shRNA and LV-scramble-shRNA, respectively. The cultured primary neurons were divided into four groups: Aβ group, scramble group, CDK5 shRNA group and CDK5 shRNA+Pio group. Neurons in the Aβ group were treated with $A\beta_{1-42}$ (final concentration 8 μ M) for 24 h. The neurons in A β group were treated with A β_{1-42} (final concentration 8 µM) for 24 h. The neurons of the scramble and CDK5 shRNA group were infected with LVscramble-shRNA and LV-CDK5-shRNA, respectively for 24 h, after which $A\beta_{1\text{--}42}$ (final concentration 8 $\mu M)$ was added for cotreatment for 24 h. The CDK5 shRNA+Pio group was infected with LV-CDK5-shRNA for 24 h and then with pioglitazone (final concentration 10 μ M) for 1 h, after which $A\beta_{1-42}$ (final concentration 8 μ M) was added for cotreatment for 24 h.

TUNEL Staining

Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) staining was performed according to the manufacturer's protocol. After the cells in each group had grown on the slides, 50 μ L of 0.1% Triton X–100 were added and cells were left for 15 min at 25°C for permeabilization. After rinsing in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), the TUNEL reaction solution was added. Cells were kept moisturized and incubated in the dark for 60 min at 37°C. The TUNEL reaction solution was prepared using the Enzyme solution and Label Solution at a 1:9 ratio. After rinsing in PBS, DAPI was used for counterstaining for 5 min in the dark. Cells were then rinsed with PBS. Subsequently, a fluorescent quencher was added, and the plates were sealed. Neurons were counted using fluorescence microscopy at a $\times 400$ magnification (BX53, OLYMPUS, Japan) by two pathologists blinded to the treatment condition, and the average count of four random fields of vision was used as the final result. The percentages of TUNEL-positive neurons were calculated. All neuronal nuclei were stained with DAPI and appeared as blue fluorescence. The nuclei of apoptotic neurons were stained with the TUNEL solution and appeared as green fluorescence.

Flow Cytometry

The cells in each group were centrifuged and washed with PBS and then resuspended in 500 μ L of Buffer binding solution. Next, 5 μ L of Annexin V-FITC and 10 μ L of Propidium Iodide were added. Cells were incubated for 15 min at 37°C in the dark. After incubation, cells were collected and washed. Apoptosis was measured using flow cytometry (Accuri C6, BD, USA). Apoptotic rate = [Number of cells with Annexin V (+) Propidium Iodide (-) [Number of cells with Annexin V (+) Propidium Iodide (+)]/Total Number of Cells × 100%.

Co-immunoprecipitation

Co-immunoprecipitation was carried out according to a previously described method (Rai et al., 2014). Cell lysate was obtained by incubating primary rat hippocampal neurons on ice with cold RIPA buffer, followed by addition of protein A sepharose bead for 30 min at 4°C. Then, the cell lysate was centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 15 min. Next, the supernatant was incubated with anti-PPAR γ antibody (1:500) and IgG antibody or anti-CDK5 antibody (1:500) and IgG antibody for 12 h at 4°C. Samples were then incubated with protein A sepharose beads at 4°C for 4 h. Protein A sepharose bead-antigen-antibody complexes were precipitated by centrifugation at 14,000 rpm and 4°C for 5 s and washed four times with cold PBS, followed by protein separation on SDS–PAGE. Separated proteins were used for Western blot for CDK5 or PPAR γ .

Western Blot

The neurons in each group were washed with ice-cold PBS three times to eliminate the excess exogenously added $A\beta_{1-42}$. Total protein was extracted according to the manufacturer's protocol. Protein concentrations were determined using the BCA protein assay. Proteins were heated at 95°C for denaturation and then separated by electrophoresis using an 8% SDS-PAGE gel. After separation, proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose filter membrane. The membrane was then washed with TBST and blocked with 5% skim milk powder (diluted in TBST) for 1 h at 37°C. Then, the respective rabbit anti-rat polyclonal antibodies against CDK5 (1:500), p-PPARy-Ser273 (1:400), PPARy (1:500), IDE (1:1,000), BACE1 (1:500), APP (1:1,000), and Aβ₁₋₄₂ (1:400) were added. After overnight incubation at 4°C, the membrane was washed with TBST. HRP-labeled secondary antibody (goat anti-rabbit, 1:5,000) was added and incubated for 45 min at 37°C, followed by TBST washes. ECL reagent was added for film development. The film was scanned and the optical density values

of the target bands were analyzed using the Gel-Pro-Analyzer software (version 4.0, Media Cybernetics, USA).

Real-Time PCR

Total RNA was extracted from the neurons in each group using Trizol, and RNA concentration was determined using ultraviolet spectrophotometry. Reverse transcription reaction was performed according to the manufacturer's protocol. RNA, oligo (dT)₁₅, random primers, and ddH₂O were added to nuclease-free centrifuge tubes on an ice bath. Then tubes were heated to 70°C for 5 min, followed by rapid cooling on ice for 2 min. The reaction solution was collected after centrifugation and 2 µL of dNTP (2.5 mM each), 4 µL of $5 \times$ Buffer, and 0.5 µL of RNase inhibitor were added. Then, 1 µL (200 U) of Super M-MLV reverse transcriptase was added and the solution was gently mixed. The solution was incubated at 25° for 10 min and then at 42°C for 50 min. The solution was then heated to 80°C for 10 min to halt the reaction. The resulting cDNA was stored at -20° C. The mRNA sequences for rat CDK5, PPARy, IDE, BACE1, and APP were obtained from the Genbank database. The corresponding primers were designed with Primer Premier 5.0 and synthesized by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai) Co., Limited in China. The primer sequences were as follows: forward primer 5'GGACACCGACTGAGGAAC3', CDK5 primer 5'TTGGGCACGACATTCAC3', 103 bp; reverse PPARv forward primer 5'TACCACGGTTGATTTCTC3', reverse primer 5'AATAATAAGGCGGGGGACG3', 155 bp; IDE forward primer 5'TCCCGTGAAGCGACTGT3', reverse primer 5'GACTTGTCCGTGGTGGG3', 180 bp; BACE1 forward primer 5'TCCGCATCACCATCCTT3', primer reverse 5'TGACCGCTCCCATAACG3', 123 bp; APP forward 5'ACTCTGTGCCAGCCAATA3', reverse primer primer 5'TGAATCATGTCCGAACTCC3', 158 bp; β-actin forward primer 5'GGAGATTACTGCCCTGGCTCCTAGC3', reverse primer 5'GGCCGGACTCATCGTACTCCTGCTT3', 155 bp. Real-time fluorescence-based quantitative PCR reactions were performed according to the manufacturer's protocol of the 2× Power Taq PCR MasterMix amplification reagent kit. The reaction system comprised 1 μ L of cDNA, 0.5 μ L of upstream primer (10 μ M), 0.5 μ L of downstream primer (10 μ M), and 10 µL of SYBR GREEN Master Mix brought to 20 µL with ddH₂O. The reaction conditions were predenaturation at 94°C for 5 min followed by 40 cycles of the following: denaturation at 94°C for 10 s, annealing at 60°C for 20 s, and extension at 72°C for 30 s. The above reactions were performed in an ExicyclerTM 96 Real-Time PCR machine (Exicycler 96, BIONEER, Korea). Relative mRNA expression levels were calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ value formula (Mandrekar-Colucci et al., 2012).

Data Analyses

All data are presented as mean \pm SEM. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 13.0 statistical software. One-way analysis of variance was used for intergroup comparisons. *Post hoc* LSD *t*-test was used for comparison between two groups after analysis of variance. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Effects of Pioglitazone on Aβ₁₋₄₂ Induced Apoptosis in Rat Hippocampal Neurons

TUNEL staining and flow cytometry were used to examine the effects of $A\beta_{1-42}$ on the apoptosis of rat hippocampal neurons and the protective effects of pioglitazone. The results of TUNEL staining were consistent with that of flow cytometry. As the core pathological product of AD, $A\beta_{1-42}$ significantly induced neuronal apoptosis, and the percentage of apoptotic neurons was higher than that of control group. The PPARy agonist pioglitazone significantly reduced A\beta_{1-42} induced neuronal apoptosis. TUNEL-positive staining in neurons and the neuronal apoptotic rate from flow cytometry were significantly decreased, indicating that pioglitazone could counteract the toxicity caused by $A\beta_{1-42}$ and exert a protective effect on neuronal injury. To investigate whether this effect of pioglitazone was related to PPARy agonism, neurons were pretreated with the PPARy antagonist GW9662 prior to intervention with $A\beta_{1-42}$ and pioglitazone. GW9662 reversed the protective effect of pioglitazone, suggesting that the inhibitory effect of pioglitazone on neuronal apoptosis is related to PPARy (Figure 1). It is established that PPARy agonists can inhibit PPARy phosphorylation induced by CDK5 in adipose tissue and that this effect can be inhibited by GW9662 (Choi et al., 2010). In order to verify whether pioglitazone acted directly on CDK5 or PPAR γ to inhibit A β_{1-42} induced apoptosis of hippocampal neurons, we tested the effect of pioglitazone on neuronal apoptosis induced by $A\beta_{1-42}$ after silencing CDK5 expression with CDK5 shRNA. Results showed that $A\beta_{1-42}$ induced neuronal apoptosis was significantly attenuated after CDK5 silencing. However, pioglitazone treatment after CDK5 silencing did not further improve the attenuation of neuronal apoptosis induced by CDK5 silencing (Figure 2). This suggests that pioglitazone may inhibit neuronal apoptosis by directly acting on CDK5 rather than PPARy.

Effects of Pioglitazone on Intraneuronal $A\beta_{1-42}$ Levels in AD Model Neurons

 $A\beta$ plays a key role in the pathogenesis of AD and can lead to neuronal apoptosis (Farajdokht et al., 2017). Since $A\beta_{1-42}$ is one of the main components of $A\beta$ (Selkoe, 2001), we tested the effects of pioglitazone on $A\beta_{1-42}$ levels in hippocampal neuronal cultures. As shown in Figure 3, the addition of $A\beta_{1-42}$ to the culture medium significantly increased the levels of $A\beta_{1-42}$ in rat hippocampal neurons, and treatment with pioglitazone effectively reduced the levels of A β_{1-42} . Pretreatment with GW9662 prior to interventions with $A\beta_{1-42}$ and pioglitazone attenuated the reduction of $A\beta_{1-42}$ caused by pioglitazone, suggesting that PPAR γ was involved in the reduction of $A\beta_{1-42}$ induced by pioglitazone. Next, we silenced the expression of CDK5 and observed the effect of pioglitazone on the intraneuronal $A\beta_{1-42}$ levels. The results showed that the intraneuronal $A\beta_{1-42}$ levels increased significantly after CDK5 silencing, but pioglitazone treatment did not affect the increase of intraneuronal $A\beta_{1-42}$ levels induced



by CDK5 silencing (**Figure 4**). This suggests that pioglitazone may decrease $A\beta_{1-42}$ levels by acting on CDK5 rather than PPAR γ directly.

Effects of Pioglitazone on PPARy Target Gene Expression in AD Model Neurons

We next investigated the mechanism by which pioglitazone reduced neuronal A β_{1-42} levels. The PPARy target gene Ide is involved in the degradation of A β (Vingtdeux et al., 2015), and another target gene, Bace1, is involved in the hydrolysis of the AB precursor, APP (Sadleir et al., 2015; Farajdokht et al., 2017). Therefore, we evaluated the effects of the PPARy agonist pioglitazone and the PPARy antagonist GW9662 on these genes. Treatment with $A\beta_{1-42}$ in neuronal cultures decreased the protein and mRNA levels of IDE compared to those of control neurons, whereas the protein and mRNA levels of BACE1 and APP were increased compared with those of control neurons. Treatment with pioglitazone in neurons treated with $A\beta_{1-42}$ increased the expression of IDE protein and mRNA and decreased the expression of BACE1 and APP proteins and mRNA. Pretreatment with GW9662 in neurons treated with $A\beta_{1-42}$ and pioglitazone decreased the expression of IDE and increased the expression of BACE1 and APP. These results suggested that pioglitazone upregulated IDE expression and downregulated BACE1 and APP expression through PPAR γ , which promoted A β degradation and reduced A β production (**Figure 5**). Silencing CDK5 expression with CDK5 shRNA could significantly inhibit the downregulation of IDE and upregulation of BACE1 and APP induced by A β_{1-42} . Pioglitazone treatment after CDK5 silencing did not strengthen or weaken the above effects induced by CDK5 silencing (**Figure 6**).

Effects of Pioglitazone on CDK5 Expression and PPARγ Phosphorylation in AD Model Neurons

The above experiments indicated that CDK5 and PPAR γ are involved in the protective effect of pioglitazone on neurons treated with A β_{1-42} and in the reduction of A β_{1-42} levels. Next, we investigated the effects of A β_{1-42} and pioglitazone on CDK5 expression and PPAR γ phosphorylation in primary hippocampal neurons. Results showed that A β_{1-42} decreased the protein levels and mRNA expression of PPAR γ and increased the phosphorylation of PPAR γ at Ser273 site, which increased the p-PPAR γ /PPAR γ ratio. Pioglitazone significantly inhibited the PPAR γ phosphorylation induced





by $A\beta_{1-42}$ and upregulated the expression of PPAR γ , which lowered the p-PPAR γ /PPAR γ ratio. The PPAR γ antagonist GW9662 attenuated the effects of pioglitazone (**Figures 7A–D**). Additionally, we tested the effects of pioglitazone and $A\beta_{1-42}$ on the expression of CDK5. As shown in **Figures 7E,F**,



Western blot and real-time PCR revealed that $A\beta_{1-42}$ upregulated the protein and mRNA expression of CDK5. Pioglitazone inhibited the effects of $A\beta_{1-42}$ as it downregulated CDK5 protein and mRNA expressions. We also utilized the PPAR γ antagonist GW9662 in this set of experiments. GW9662 inhibited the downregulation of CDK5 expression caused by pioglitazone. In order to further confirm whether







n = 6 wells per group. *P < 0.05 compared to the A β group.

pioglitazone affects the phosphorylation and expression of PPAR γ through CDK5, we silenced CDK5 expression using CDK5 shRNA, and then tested the effect of pioglitazone

on the phosphorylation status of PPAR γ and its expression in primary rat hippocampal neurons induced by $A\beta_{1-42}.$ Results showed that CDK5 silencing significantly inhibited







comparisons of PPAR_Y mRNA levels. n = 6 wells per group. *P < 0.05 compared to the A_β group, $^{\#}P < 0.05$ compared to the CDK5 shRNA group.

PPAR γ phosphorylation and upregulated the expression of PPAR γ in primary rat hippocampal neurons treated with A β_{1-42} . Pioglitazone treatment after CDK5 silencing had no effect on the decrease in PPAR γ phosphorylation induced by CDK5 silencing (**Figures 8A,B**), whereas it further strengthened



the upregulation of PPAR γ induced by CDK5 silencing (**Figures 8C,D**). These results suggest that pioglitazone may inhibit PPAR γ phosphorylation by inhibiting CDK5 in AD model neurons. In order to further confirm this conclusion, we carried out a co-immunoprecipitation experiment. Results

showed that pioglitazone effectively inhibited the enhanced binding of CDK5 to PPAR γ induced by A β_{1-42} in primary rat hippocampal neurons and GW9662 effectively blocked the above effects of pioglitazone (**Figure 9**).

DISCUSSION

Using an in vitro AD model, this study demonstrated that PPARy agonists pioglitazone inhibited the phosphorylation of PPARy by inhibiting CDK5 expression. Here we provide a preliminary mechanism of action of PPARy agonists for Aß clearance. PPARy is a ligand-activated nuclear transcription factor that positively regulates the expression of its target gene Ide (Lin et al., 2016) and negatively regulates the expression of Bace1 (Lin et al., 2016). The former degrades A β (Li et al., 2015), whereas the latter hydrolyzes APP to produce Aβ (Sadleir et al., 2015). Phosphorylation is an important posttranslational modification of PPARy. The phosphorylation sites in PPARy differ depending on cytokines and stimuli, which results in different biological effects. Studies have shown that mitogenactivated protein kinases (MAPKs) in bone inhibit the activity of PPARy by phosphorylation of PPARy at Ser112 (Ge et al., 2016); CDK5 in adipose tissue inhibits the activity of PPARy by phosphorylation of PPARy at Ser273, leading to functional impairment and changes in expression of its target genes (Choi et al., 2010); CDK7 mediates the phosphorylation of PPARy at Ser112, which inhibits the activity of PPARy and suppresses adipogenesis (Helenius et al., 2009); and CDK9 modifies the phosphorylation of PPARy at Ser112, which increases PPARy activity and upregulates the expression of its target genes (Iankova et al., 2006). The aging process leads to an increase in PPARy phosphorylation in various tissues, such as the cerebral cortex (Sanguino et al., 2006), kidney (Sung et al., 2004), bone (Ge et al., 2016), and adipose tissue (Ye et al., 2006). The results of the present study revealed that the expression of CDK5 was elevated in primary cultures of rat hippocampal neurons after treatment with $A\beta_{1-42}$. The levels of PPARy phosphorylation at Ser273 increased, and the expression of its downstream target genes was also affected. For instance, Ide expression was reduced whereas *Bace1* expression was elevated. In the adipose tissue of an obese mouse model induced by high-fat feeding, phosphorylation of PPARy by CDK5 was inhibited by the PPARy agonist rosiglitazone (Choi et al., 2010). Similarly, the present study indicated that PPARy phosphorylation mediated by CDK5 was inhibited by a PPARy agonist in an AD neuronal model. By using the PPARy agonist pioglitazone, PPARy antagonist GW9662 and CDK5 shRNA, the results of this study indicate that pioglitazone inhibits PPARy phosphorylation by inhibiting the expression of CDK5, which in turn affects the expression of its target genes *Ide* and *Bace1*, reduces $A\beta$ production, promotes $A\beta$ degradation, and reduces neuronal apoptosis induced by $A\beta$, which exerted neuroprotective effects.

In the study, we found that pioglitazone increases the expression of PPAR γ in neurons treated with A β_{1-42} after silencing CDK5 expression, which indicates that upregulation of PPAR γ expression was not due to or not only due to the inhibition of CDK5 by pioglitazone, which probably due

to the direct upregulation of expression of PPARy induced by pioglitazone as PPARy agonist. This needs to be further confirmed in the future. In theory, upregulation of PPARy expression leads to change in the expression of PPARy target genes. However, our study found that pioglitazone had no effect on the expression of IDE and BACE1 in neurons treated with $A\beta_{1-42}$ after CDK5 silencing. We speculate that the reason for this is that CDK5 silencing has largely inhibited PPARy phosphorylation and upregulated the expression of PPARy, which affects the expression of PPARy target genes to the maximum rate, so even if pioglitazone has a direct effect on the upregulation of PPARy, the effect could not be accumulated. CDK5 is required to bind to P25, a truncated form of p35, to become activated (Patrick et al., 1999) and to phosphorylate PPARy at the Ser237 site (Choi et al., 2010). The present study only investigated the effect of pioglitazone on CDK5 expression. Further studies are needed to elucidate whether pioglitazone also affects p35 and p25.

Interestingly, in this study, we found that the aforementioned effect of pioglitazone is partially reversed by GW9662, which suggests that there may be other mechanisms involved in the effect of pioglitazone in addition to acting on CDK5 and PPARy. In addition to IDE, it is known that other intraneuronal proteases can also degrade intraneuronal AB, such as endothelinconverting enzyme (Pacheco-Quinto and Eckman, 2013), neprilysin (Turrel et al., 2017) and nuclear-inclusion-a (Shin et al., 2014). It is unclear whether these enzymes are involved in the reduction of A β induced by pioglitazone. Additionally, pioglitazone can also have a weak activation effect on PPARa (Sakamoto et al., 2000; Orasanu et al., 2008). An antagonist of this receptor, such as GW6471, could be used to check whether PPAR α is also involved in the effect of pioglitazone on A β in future work. Furthermore, the partial reversal of pioglitazone by GW9662 may be due to an insufficient dose of GW9662 in the study. This seems to be a more reasonable explanation because 10 µM GW9662 did not completely reverse the up-regulation of PPARy expression induced by pioglitazone. The issue could be verified by increasing the dose of GW9662 in the future.

We observed that the level of CDK5 increased in neurons treated with $A\beta_{1-42}$. This result was inconsistent with previous findings indicating that CDK5 expression was unchanged in the brains of 6-month-old APP/PS1 transgenic mice and in rat hippocampal neurons treated with A β . On the contrary, an increase in CDK5 activity was observed (Chen et al., 2015). Since the AD models and A β doses utilized in these two studies were different, the experimental results are not directly comparable. Further investigations are required to address the discrepancies.

In addition, the present study showed that A β treatment resulted in decreased IDE expression and increased BACE1 and APP expression, consistent with other studies. Previous studies have shown that the level of IDE protein in the brain of AD patients is reduced, and the activity of IDE protein is negatively correlated with the level of A β_{1-42} (Pérez et al., 2000; Zhao et al., 2007). Injection of A β_{1-42} into the hippocampus reduced both mRNA and protein levels of IDE in the hippocampus in an AD rat model (Khodadadi et al., 2018). A β_{1-42} treatment increased the level of BACE1 and APP in primary mouse neuronal cultures (Sadleir et al., 2014). In addition, increased levels of BACE1 and APP also have been observed in the cortex and hippocampus of 5XFAD transgenic mice (Sadleir et al., 2014). In the present study, we noticed a simultaneous increase in CDK5 expression and PPAR γ phosphorylation. CDK5 has been previously shown to inhibit the activity of PPAR γ by mediating the phosphorylation of PPAR γ at Ser273 (Choi et al., 2010). Therefore, we hypothesized that A β may promote PPAR γ phosphorylation by upregulating CDK5 expression, which in turn affected the expression of IDE and BACE1. In addition, the decrease in IDE expression may be caused by the exhaustion of IDE supply used for A β degradation.

Furthermore, a study suggests that exogenous A β can lead to elevated levels of BACE1 and APP, which may occur because A β causes primary neurons to become swollen and dystrophic, leading to the accumulation of BACE1 and APP (Sadleir et al., 2014). Further studies are required to elucidate the pathogenesis of AD and to determine whether changes in CDK5 expression or activity initiate A β production, whether pathological A β affects CDK5, or whether both phenomena interact.

In this study, the addition of $A\beta_{1-42}$ to the culture medium resulted in a significant increase in intracellular levels of $A\beta$ in neurons, which was consistent with the results of some studies (Yang et al., 2015; Gwon et al., 2018). It has been proved that $A\beta$ can be taken up from extracellular sources through receptor binding and subsequent internalization (LaFerla et al., 2007). This seems to be a reasonable explanation for the elevated level of intraneuronal $A\beta$ caused by treatment with exogenous $A\beta$. Receptors to which $A\beta$ can bind include α 7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (Nagele et al., 2002), low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein (Harris-White et al., 2004), the receptor for advanced glycation end products (Lana et al., 2017), p75 neurotrophin receptor (Ovsepian and Herms, 2013), Fc γ -receptor IIb (Gwon et al., 2018).

Both extracellular AB and intracellular AB play an essential role in the onset of AD (LaFerla et al., 2007). It is generally thought that extracellular A β is generated by BACE1-mediated APP proteolysis and released in the extracellular matrix, which is a major cause of AD development (Vassar et al., 1999; Zhao et al., 2019). APP proteolysis mediated by BACE1 can also occur in the endoplasmic reticulum (Jang et al., 2017), Golgi apparatus (Toh et al., 2017) and endosomes (Furusawa et al., 2019) to produce intracellular A β . Furthermore, A β can be taken up from extracellular sources through subsequent internalization (LaFerla et al., 2007; Ripoli et al., 2014). Intracellular Aβ accumulation appears to be an early event in AD because it precedes the deposition of both neurofibrillary tangles and senile plaques (Gouras et al., 2000; LaFerla et al., 2007; Welikovitch et al., 2018). Intracellular Aβ accumulation can markedly affect glutamatergic synaptic transmission and plasticity (Ripoli et al., 2014), cognitive

REFERENCES

Bloom, G. S. (2014). Amyloid-β and tau: the trigger and bullet in Alzheimer disease pathogenesis. *JAMA. Neurol.* 71, 505–508. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2013.5847
Butterfield, D. A., Swomley, A. M., and Sultana, R. (2013). Amyloid β-peptide (1–42)-induced oxidative stress in Alzheimer disease: importance

impairment (Iulita et al., 2014), neuronal death (Umeda et al., 2011), inflammatory signs and tau phosphorylation (Rebeck et al., 2010), and mitochondrial dysfunction (Zepa et al., 2011). It has been shown that intracellular A β can be effectively degraded by cytosolic IDE (Stargardt et al., 2013). In the present study, we observed that pioglitazone can reduce intraneuronal A β levels *via* inhibition of PPAR γ phosphorylation, which functions *via* inhibition of CDK5 expression, thereby upregulating the expression of IDE and downregulating the expression of BACE1 in a neuronal model of AD. We did not, however, investigate changes in extracellular A β levels. The reason for this is that following addition of exogenous A β , it is difficult to distinguish exogenous A β from endogenously produced A β that has been secreted into the culture medium.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study demonstrated that the PPAR γ agonist pioglitazone inhibits the phosphorylation of PPAR γ in a neuronal model of AD by inhibiting the CDK5 pathway. This reduced A β levels, thereby exerting a protective effect on neurons.

ETHICS STATEMENT

This study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations of "the ethical guidelines of The Basel Declaration and the International Council for Laboratory Animal Science." The protocol was approved by the "Ethics Committee of Xi'an Jiaotong University Health Science Center."

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

QQ, YQ, and XL designed the study and contributed with important reagents. QQ, YQ, and ML performed the study. QQ and ML collected the data. QQ analyzed the data. QQ and YQ wrote the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant No. 2017M623191), the Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi Province (Grant No. 2017JQ8039), and the Foundation of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University [Grant No. YJ(ZD)201517].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.cn) for English language editing.

in disease pathogenesis and progression. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 19, 823–835. doi: 10.1089/ars.2012.5027

Chang, K. L., Pee, H. N., Yang, S., and Ho, P. C. (2015). Influence of drug transporters and stereoselectivity on the brain penetration of pioglitazone as a potential medicine against Alzheimer's disease. *Sci. Rep.* 5:9000. doi: 10.1038/srep09000

- Chen, J., Li, S., Sun, W., and Li, J. (2015). Anti-diabetes drug pioglitazone ameliorates synaptic defects in AD transgenic mice by inhibiting cyclindependent kinase5 activity. *PLoS One* 10:e0123864. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0123864
- Chiang, M. C., Nicol, C. J., Cheng, Y. C., Lin, K. H., Yen, C. H., and Lin, C. H. (2016). Rosiglitazone activation of PPARγ-dependent pathways is neuroprotective in human neural stem cells against amyloid-β-induced mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative stress. *Neurobiol. Aging* 40, 181–190. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2016.01.132
- Choi, J. H., Banks, A. S., Estall, J. L., Kajimura, S., Boström, P., Laznik, D., et al. (2010). Anti-diabetic drugs inhibit obesity-linked phosphorylation of PPARγ by Cdk5. *Nature* 466, 451–456. doi: 10.1038/nature09291
- Du, J., Zhang, L., Liu, S. B., Zhang, C., Huang, X. Q., Li, J., et al. (2009). PPARγ transcriptionally regulates the expression of insulin-degrading enzyme in primary neurons. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 383, 485–490. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.04.047
- Escribano, L., Simón, A. M., Gimeno, E., Cuadrado-Tejedor, M., López de Maturana, R., García-Osta, A., et al. (2010). Rosiglitazone rescues memory impairment in Alzheimer's transgenic mice: mechanisms involving a reduced amyloid and tau pathology. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 35, 1593–1604. doi: 10.1038/npp.2010.32
- Farajdokht, F., Amani, M., Mirzaei Bavil, F., Alihemmati, A., Mohaddes, G., and Babri, S. (2017). Troxerutin protects hippocampal neurons against amyloid β -induced oxidative stress and apoptosis. *EXCLI J.* 16, 1081–1089. doi: 10.17179/excli2017-526
- Furusawa, K., Takasugi, T., Chiu, Y. W., Hori, Y., Tomita, T., Fukuda, M., et al. (2019). CD2-associated protein (CD2AP) overexpression accelerates amyloid precursor protein (APP) transfer from early endosomes to the lysosomal degradation pathway. *J. Biol. Chem.* doi: 10.1074/jbc.ra118.005385 [Epub ahead of print].
- Ge, C., Cawthorn, W. P., Li, Y., Zhao, G., MacDougald, O. A., and Franceschi, R. T. (2016). Reciprocal control of osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation by ERK/MAP kinase phosphorylation of runx2 and PPARy transcription factors. *J. Cell. Physiol.* 231, 587–596. doi: 10.1002/jcp.25102
- Gouras, G. K., Tsai, J., Naslund, J., Vincent, B., Edgar, M., Checler, F., et al. (2000). Intraneuronal Aβ42 accumulation in human brain. Am. J. Pathol. 156, 15–20. doi: 10.1016/s0002-9440(10)64700-1
- Gwon, Y., Kam, T. I., Kim, S. H., Song, S., Park, H., Lim, B., et al. (2018). TOM1 regulates neuronal accumulation of amyloid-β oligomers by fcγRIIb2 variant in Alzheimer's disease. *J. Neurosci.* 38, 9001–9018. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1996-17.2018
- Hamano, T., Shirafuji, N., Makino, C., Yen, S. H., Kanaan, N. M., Ueno, A., et al. (2016). Pioglitazone prevents tau oligomerization. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 478, 1035–1042. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.08.016
- Hamano, T., Yen, S. H., Gendron, T., Ko, L. W., and Kuriyama, M. (2012). Pitavastatin decreases tau levels via the inactivation of Rho/ROCK. *Neurobiol. Aging* 33, 2306–2320. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2011.10.020
- Harris-White, M. E., Balverde, Z., Lim, G. P., Kim, P., Miller, S. A., Hammer, H., et al. (2004). Role of LRP in TGFβ2-mediated neuronal uptake of Aβ and effects on memory. J. Neurosci. Res. 77, 217–228. doi: 10.1002/jnr.20149
- Helenius, K., Yang, Y., Alasaari, J., and Mäkelä, T. P. (2009). Mat1 inhibits peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ-mediated adipocyte differentiation. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 29, 315–323. doi: 10.1128/mcb.00347-08
- Houseknecht, K. L., Cole, B. M., and Steele, P. J. (2002). Peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor gamma (PPARγ) and its ligands: A review. *Domest. Anim. Endocrinol.* 22, 1–23. doi: 10.1016/s0739-7240(01)00117-5
- Iankova, I., Petersen, R. K., Annicotte, J. S., Chavey, C., Hansen, J. B., Kratchmarova, I., et al. (2006). Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ recruits the positive transcription elongation factor b complex to activate transcription and promote adipogenesis. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 20, 1494–1505. doi: 10.1210/me.2005-0222
- Iulita, M. F., Allard, S., Richter, L., Munter, L. M., Ducatenzeiler, A., Weise, C., et al. (2014). Intracellular Aβ pathology and early cognitive impairments in a transgenic rat overexpressing human amyloid precursor protein: a multidimensional study. *Acta Neuropathol. Commun.* 2:61. doi: 10.1186/2051-5960-2-61
- Jang, J. K., Park, K. J., Lee, J. H., Ko, K. Y., Kang, S., and Kim, I. Y. (2017). Selenoprotein S is required for clearance of C99 through endoplasmic

reticulum-associated degradation. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 486, 444-450. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.03.060

- Khodadadi, D., Gharakhanlou, R., Naghdi, N., Salimi, M., Azimi, M., Shahed, A., et al. (2018). Treadmill exercise ameliorates spatial learning and memory deficits through improving the clearance of peripheral and central amyloid-β levels. *Neurochem. Res.* 43, 1561–1574. doi: 10.1007/s11064-018-2571-2
- LaFerla, F. M., Green, K. N., and Oddo, S. (2007). Intracellular amyloid-β in Alzheimer's disease. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 8, 499–509. doi: 10.1038/nrn2168
- Lana, E., Khanbolouki, M., Degavre, C., Samuelsson, E. B., Åkesson, E., Winblad, B., et al. (2017). Perforin promotes amyloid β internalisation in neurons. *Mol. Neurobiol.* 54, 874–887. doi: 10.1007/s12035-016-9685-9
- Li, G., Kim, C., Kim, J., Yoon, H., and Zhou, H. (2015). Common pesticide, dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT), increases amyloid-β levels by impairing the function of ABCA1 and IDE: implication for Alzheimer's disease. J. Alzheimers Dis. 46, 109–122. doi: 10.3233/jad-150024
- Lin, N., Chen, L. M., Pan, X. D., Zhu, Y. G., Zhang, J., Shi, Y. Q., et al. (2016). Tripchlorolide attenuates β -amyloid generation via suppressing PPAR γ -regulated BACE1 activity in N2a/APP695 cells. *Mol. Neurobiol.* 53, 6397–6406. doi: 10.1007/s12035-015-9542-2
- Luo, D. Z., Hou, X. Y., Hou, L., Wang, M. X., Xu, S., Dong, C. F., et al. (2011). Effect of pioglitazone on altered expression of Aβ metabolism-associated molecules in the brain of fructose-drinking rats, a rodent model of insulin resistance. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 664, 14–19. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2011.04.045
- Mandrekar-Colucci, S., Karlo, J. C., and Landreth, G. E. (2012). Mechanisms underlying the rapid peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ-mediated amyloid clearance and reversal of cognitive deficits in a murine model of Alzheimer's disease. J. Neurosci. 32, 10117–10128. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI. 5268-11.2012
- McGeer, P. L., and McGeer, E. G. (2013). The amyloid cascade-inflammatory hypothesis of Alzheimer disease: implications for therapy. Acta Neuropathol. 126, 479–497. doi: 10.1007/s00401-013-1177-7
- Nagele, R. G., D'Andrea, M. R., Anderson, W. J., and Wang, H. Y. (2002). Intracellular accumulation of β -amyloid₁₋₄₂ in neurons is facilitated by the α 7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor in Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroscience* 110, 199–211. doi: 10.1016/s0306-4522(01)00460-2
- Orasanu, G., Ziouzenkova, O., Devchand, P. R., Nehra, V., Hamdy, O., Horton, E. S., et al. (2008). The PPARγ agonist pioglitazone represses inflammation in a PPARα-dependent manner *in vitro* and *in vivo* in mice. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 52, 869–881. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2008.04.055
- Ovsepian, S. V., and Herms, J. (2013). Drain of the brain: low-affinity p75 neurotrophin receptor affords a molecular sink for clearance of cortical amyloid β by the cholinergic modulator system. *Neurobiol. Aging* 34, 2517–2524. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2013.05.005
- Pacheco-Quinto, J., and Eckman, E. A. (2013). Endothelin-converting enzymes degrade intracellular β -amyloid produced within the endosomal/lysosomal pathway and autophagosomes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 288, 5606–5615. doi: 10.1074/jbc. m112.422964
- Papadopoulos, P., Rosa-Neto, P., Rochford, J., and Hamel, E. (2013). Pioglitazone improves reversal learning and exerts mixed cerebrovascular effects in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease with combined amyloid-β and cerebrovascular pathology. *PLoS One* 8:e68612. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0068612
- Patrick, G. N., Zukerberg, L., Nikolic, M., de la Monte, S., Dikkes, P., and Tsai, L. H. (1999). Conversion of p35 to p25 deregulates Cdk5 activity and promotes neurodegeneration. *Nature* 402, 615–622. doi: 10.1038/45159
- Pérez, A., Morelli, L., Cresto, J. C., and Castaño, E. M. (2000). Degradation of soluble amyloid β-peptides 1–40, 1-42, and the Dutch variant 1-40Q by insulin degrading enzyme from Alzheimer disease and control brains. *Neurochem. Res.* 25, 247–255. doi: 10.1023/A:1007527721160
- Rai, A., Tripathi, S., Kushwaha, R., Singh, P., Srivastava, P., Sanyal, S., et al. (2014). CDK5-induced p-PPARγ(Ser 112) downregulates GFAP via PPREs in developing rat brain: effect of metal mixture and troglitazone in astrocytes. *Cell Death Dis.* 5:e1033. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2013.514
- Rebeck, G. W., Hoe, H. S., and Moussa, C. E. (2010). β -amyloid₁₋₄₂ gene transfer model exhibits intraneuronal amyloid, gliosis, tau phosphorylation, and neuronal loss. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 7440–7446. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m109.083915
- Ripoli, C., Cocco, S., Li Puma, D. D., Piacentini, R., Mastrodonato, A., Scala, F., et al. (2014). Intracellular accumulation of amyloid-β (Aβ) protein plays a major role in Aβ-induced alterations of glutamatergic synaptic transmission

and plasticity. J. Neurosci. 34, 12893–12903. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1201-14.2014

- Risner, M. E., Saunders, A. M., Altman, J. F., Ormandy, G. C., Craft, S., Foley, I. M., et al. (2006). Efficacy of rosiglitazone in a genetically defined population with mild-to-moderate Alzheimer's disease. *Pharmacogenomics J.* 6, 246–254. doi: 10.1038/sj.tpj.6500369
- Saad, Y., Segal, D., and Ayali, A. (2015). Enhanced neurite outgrowth and branching precede increased amyloid-β-induced neuronal apoptosis in a novel Alzheimer's disease model. J. Alzheimers Dis. 43, 993–1006. doi: 10.3233/jad-140009
- Sadleir, K. R., Eimer, W. A., Cole, S. L., and Vassar, R. (2015). Aβ reduction in BACE1 heterozygous null 5XFAD mice is associated with transgenic APP level. *Mol. Neurodegener.* 10:1. doi: 10.1186/1750-1326-10-1
- Sadleir, K. R., Eimer, W. A., Kaufman, R. J., Osten, P., and Vassar, R. (2014). Genetic inhibition of phosphorylation of the translation initiation factor eIF2 α does not block A β dependent elevation of BACE1 and APP levels or reduce amyloid pathology in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *PLoS One* 9:e101643. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0101643
- Sakamoto, J., Kimura, H., Moriyama, S., Odaka, H., Momose, Y., Sugiyama, Y., et al. (2000). Activation of human peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) subtypes by pioglitazone. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 278, 704–711. doi: 10.1006/bbrc.2000.3868
- Sanguino, E., Roglans, N., Rodríguez-Calvo, R., Alegret, M., Sánchez, R. M., Vázquez-Carrera, M., et al. (2006). Ageing introduces a complex pattern of changes in several rat brain transcription factors depending on gender and anatomical localization. *Exp. Gerontol.* 41, 372–379. doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2006. 02.011
- Selkoe, D. J. (2001). Alzheimer's disease: genes, proteins, and therapy. *Physiol. Rev.* 81, 741–766. doi: 10.1152/physrev.2001.81.2.741
- Shin, B., Oh, H., Park, S. M., Han, H. E., Ye, M., Song, W. K., et al. (2014). Intracellular cleavage of amyloid β by a viral protease NIa prevents amyloid βmediated cytotoxicity. *PLoS One* 9:e98650. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0098650
- Skaper, S. D., Facci, L., Zusso, M., and Giusti, P. (2017). Synaptic plasticity, dementia and Alzheimer disease. CNS Neurol. Disord. Drug Targets 16, 220–233. doi: 10.2174/1871527316666170113120853
- Stargardt, A., Gillis, J., Kamphuis, W., Wiemhoefer, A., Kooijman, L., Raspe, M., et al. (2013). Reduced amyloid-β degradation in early Alzheimer's disease but not in the APPswePS1dE9 and 3xTg-AD mouse models. *Aging Cell* 12, 499–507. doi: 10.1111/acel.12074
- Sung, B., Park, S., Yu, B. P., and Chung, H. Y. (2004). Modulation of PPAR in aging, inflammation, and calorie restriction. J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 59, 997–1006. doi: 10.1093/gerona/59.10.b997
- Tanzi, R. E., Moir, R. D., and Wagner, S. L. (2004). Clearance of Alzheimer's Aβ peptide: the many roads to perdition. *Neuron*. 43, 605–608. doi: 10.1016/s0896-6273(04)00533-1
- Toh, W. H., Tan, J. Z., Zulkefli, K. L., Houghton, F. J., and Gleeson, P. A. (2017). Amyloid precursor protein traffics from the Golgi directly to early endosomes in an Arl5b- and AP4-dependent pathway. *Traffic* 18, 159–175. doi: 10.1111/tra. 12465
- Turrel, O., Goguel, V., and Preat, T. (2017). *Drosophila* neprilysin 1 rescues memory deficits caused by amyloid-β peptide. *J. Neurosci.* 37, 10334–10345. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1634-17.2017
- Umeda, T., Tomiyama, T., Sakama, N., Tanaka, S., Lambert, M. P., Klein, W. L., et al. (2011). Intraneuronal amyloid β oligomers cause cell death via endoplasmic reticulum stress, endosomal/lysosomal leakage, and mitochondrial dysfunction *in vivo*. *J. Neurosci. Res.* 89, 1031–1042. doi: 10.1002/jnr.22640

- Vadukul, D. M., Gbajumo, O., Marshall, K. E., and Serpell, L. C. (2017). Amyloidogenicity and toxicity of the reverse and scrambled variants of amyloid-β 1–42. FEBS Lett. 591, 822–830. doi: 10.1002/1873-3468.12590
- Vassar, R., Bennett, B. D., Babu-Khan, S., Kahn, S., Mendiaz, E. A., Denis, P., et al. (1999). β -secretase cleavage of Alzheimer's amyloid precursor protein by the transmembrane aspartic protease BACE. *Science* 286, 735–741. doi: 10.1126/science.286.5440.735
- Vingtdeux, V., Chandakkar, P., Zhao, H., Blanc, L., Ruiz, S., and Marambaud, P. (2015). CALHM1 ion channel elicits amyloid-β clearance by insulin-degrading enzyme in cell lines and *in vivo* in the mouse brain. *J. Cell Sci.* 128, 2330–2338. doi: 10.1242/jcs.167270
- Wang, L., Yu, C. J., Liu, W., Cheng, L. Y., and Zhang, Y. N. (2011). Rosiglitazone protects neuroblastoma cells against advanced glycation end products-induced injury. *Acta Pharmacol. Sin.* 32, 991–998. doi: 10.1038/aps.2011.81
- Watson, G. S., and Craft, S. (2003). The role of insulin resistance in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease: implications for treatment. CNS Drugs 17, 27–45. doi: 10.2165/00023210-200317010-00003
- Welikovitch, L. A., Do Carmo, S., Maglóczky, Z., Szocsics, P., Lőke, J., Freund, T., et al. (2018). Evidence of intraneuronal Aβ accumulation preceding tau pathology in the entorhinal cortex. *Acta Neuropathol.* 136, 901–917. doi: 10.1007/s00401-018-1922-z
- Xu, S., Guan, Q., Wang, C., Wei, X., Chen, X., Zheng, B., et al. (2014). Rosiglitazone prevents the memory deficits induced by amyloid-β oligomers via inhibition of inflammatory responses. *Neurosci. Lett.* 578, 7–11. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2014. 06.010
- Yang, E. J., Ahn, S., Ryu, J., Choi, M. S., Choi, S., Chong, Y. H., et al. (2015). Phloroglucinol attenuates the cognitive deficits of the 5XFAD mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *PLoS One* 10:e0135686. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.01 35686
- Ye, P., Zhang, X. J., Wang, Z. J., and Zhang, C. (2006). Effect of aging on the expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ and the possible relation to insulin resistance. *Gerontology* 52, 69–75. doi: 10.1159/0000 90951
- Zepa, L., Frenkel, M., Belinson, H., Kariv-Inbal, Z., Kayed, R., Masliah, E., et al. (2011). ApoE4-driven accumulation of intraneuronal oligomerized A β 42 following activation of the amyloid cascade *in vivo* is mediated by a gain of function. *Int. J. Alzheimers Dis.* 2011:792070. doi: 10.4061/2011/ 792070
- Zhao, Z., Xiang, Z., Haroutunian, V., Buxbaum, J. D., Stetka, B., and Pasinetti, G. M. (2007). Insulin degrading enzyme activity selectively decreases in the hippocampal formation of cases at high risk to develop Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol. Aging* 28, 824–830. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2006. 05.001
- Zhao, L., Zhao, Y., Tang, F. L., Xiong, L., Su, C., Mei, L., et al. (2019). pHluorin-BACE1-mCherry acts as a reporter for the intracellular distribution of active BACE1 *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Cells* 8:E474. doi: 10.3390/cells8050474

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Quan, Qian, Li and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.