



Associations Between Cognitive Functions and Physical Frailty in Patients With Parkinson's Disease

Wei-Che Lin^{1*†}, Yu-Chi Huang^{2†}, Chau-Peng Leong², Meng-Hsiang Chen¹, Hsiu-Ling Chen¹, Nai-Wen Tsai³, Hui-Hsin Tso², Po-Cheng Chen² and Cheng-Hsien Lu³

¹Department of Diagnostic Radiology, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Chang Gung University College of Medicine, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, ²Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Chang Gung University College of Medicine, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, ³Department of Neurology, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Chang Gung University College of Medicine, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Micaela Morelli, University of Cagliari, Italy

Reviewed by:

Richard Camicioli, University of Alberta, Canada Takahito Yoshizaki, Keio University, Japan

> *Correspondence: Wei-Che Lin alex@cgmh.org.tw

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

Received: 06 June 2019 Accepted: 03 October 2019 Published: 30 October 2019

Citation:

Lin W-C, Huang Y-C, Leong C-P, Chen M-H, Chen H-L, Tsai N-W, Tso H-H, Chen P-C and Lu C-H (2019) Associations Between Cognitive Functions and Physical Frailty in Patients With Parkinson's Disease. Front. Aging Neurosci. 11:283. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2019.00283 **Background**: Parkinson's disease (PD) is a neurodegenerative disease manifested by both motor and non-motor dysfunctions and co-existence of cognitive impairment and physical frailty is common. Given that research in this area is limited, a better understanding of associated factors with physical frailty could provide a focused screening method and facilitate early intervention in PD.

Methods: Seventy-six patients with idiopathic PD were recruited and Fried's criteria of physical frailty were used to group all participants. Comprehensive cognitive tests and clinical characteristics were measured, and univariate and multivariate analysis was performed to explore the relationship between clinical factors or neuropsychological functions.

Results: Twenty-nine patients with PD (38%) exhibited physical frailty. Compared to PD patients without frailty, PD patients with frailty were older in age and demonstrated worse disease severity and poorer cognitive functions, including attention, executive function, memory, speech and language, and visuospatial function (p < 0.05). Further, stepwise logistic regression analysis revealed that disease severity by the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) total score (OR: 1.065; 95% CI: 1.033–1.099) and executive function (OR: 0.724; 95% CI: 0.581–0.877) were independent risk factors for predicting physical frailty (p = 0.003 and 0.002). The best cut-off points are 46 in UPDRS (sensitivity: 62.1%; specificity: 91.5%).

Conclusions: Executive function impairment is an independent risk factor for the development of physical frailty with disease progression. Awareness of such comorbidity might provide a screening tool to facilitate investigation in their underlying etiology and early intervention for frailty prevention.

Keywords: cognitive impairment, executive function, physical frailty, Parkinson's disease, movement disorder

INTRODUCTION

Parkinson's disease (PD) is one of the most common neurodegenerative diseases (de Lau and Breteler, 2006). Incidence rates of PD were reported as 8-18 per 100,000 person-year and its prevalence is estimated at 0.3% in the general population and 1% in older people aged greater than 60 years (de Lau and Breteler, 2006). The cardinal motor features in PD, including tremor, bradykinesia, rigidity, and postural instability frequently lead to abnormal limbs or trunk postures, impaired gait ability, and decreased physical activity (Jankovic, 2008). Physical frailty is also a common presentation in patients with PD and is significantly associated with morbidity and mortality (Ahmed et al., 2008). However, limited evidence or studies are available on the relationships between physical frailty and clinical characteristics or functional capabilities in PD. In addition to physical frailty, non-motor symptoms, such as cognitive impairment and dementia, psychosis, mood disorders, and fatigue (Jankovic, 2008; Barone et al., 2009), are common comorbidities as the disease progresses. It is reasonable to suggest that the possible interaction between the decline in some of the motor and non-motor functions in PD may be important factors in physical frailty. Clinically, it is important to identify the predicting factors for physical frailty in early stage PD and provide appropriate management to prevent further disease progression.

Frailty is a common clinical syndrome in older adults due to aging-related decline in multiple physiological systems. According to the reports of SHARE and BLSA-II, the prevalence of physical frailty among community-dwelling elderly is 17% in Europe and 12.3% in China (Santos-Eggimann et al., 2009; Zheng et al., 2016). Indeed, frailty is associated with negative health outcomes, including mobility disability, deterioration of daily living activities, institutionalization, and mortality (Xue, 2011). In proposing the term frailty, Fried et al. (2001) operationalized five phenotypes of low energy, decreased grip strength, slow walking speed, unintentional weight loss, and low physical activity. Subsequently, the Frailty Index of Accumulative Deficits (FI-CD), which was proposed by Rockwood and Mitnitski, took into account the multidimensional nature of frailty and included psychosocial domains such as cognitive decline and other psychosocial factors, as well as geriatric syndromes, in its definition of frailty (Rockwood et al., 2005). However, the mathematical nature of the FI-CD, as well as its being more time consuming to complete, have led to it being relatively unpopular in clinical application (Dent et al., 2016). In a review article that considered eight studies that focused on PD and frailty, most of the included studies used Fried's criteria, while only one study used the FI-CD (Smith et al., 2019). Additional research has demonstrated that elderly with physical frailty exhibited poorer cognitive performance and greater cognitive deterioration than individuals without frailty (Boyle et al., 2010; Rogers et al., 2017). On the other hand, individuals with cognitive dysfunction or dementia showed a higher risk of physical frailty (Robertson et al., 2013). Thus, specific domains of cognitive function among the elderly might even associate with higher risk of frailty onset and act as a predictor of mortality and disability (Gross et al., 2016; Rosado-Artalejo et al., 2017).

PD is recognized as a complex condition with neuropsychiatric and non-motor symptoms in addition to its motor symptomatology (Langston, 2006). However, the neuropathological and neuropsychological manifestations were not well understood and heterogeneous among PD patients with cognitive impairment. The general pattern of cognitive impairment in PD was illustrated by memory and executive dysfunctions (Muslimovic et al., 2005) and often presented in the early stages of PD. Besides, PD patients with cognitive impairments had worse motor symptoms, including postural instability and gait disorder (Sollinger et al., 2010). In addition, PD patients with mild cognitive impairment (PD-MCI) had a higher risk of developing PD dementia (PDD) with declined premorbid level that interfered with daily activities and were associated with reduced quality of life, increased risk of institutionalization, and mortality (Hobson and Meara, 2004; Perez et al., 2012). For instance, Ahmed et al. (2008) found that frail PD patients demonstrated higher Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) scores, which covered mentation, behavior, mood, daily living ability, and motor capacity. Since aging increases the risk of PD and the risk of developing dementia in PD is approximately five to six times greater than the general population (Hobson and Meara, 2004), we considered that a higher prevalence of cognitive dysfunction, particularly in some specific domain deficits may be associated with a higher incidence of physical frailty in PD patients throughout the disease progression.

In this study, we aim to determine the independent predictors of physical frailty from age, disease severity/duration, and global or specific domains of cognitive dysfunction in patients with PD. A better understanding of associated factors with physical frailty could provide a focused screening method and facilitate early intervention in patients with PD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

The aim of this case-control study was to identify the relationship between cognitive function and physical frailty in patients with PD. The study was approved by the institutional review board of the hospital. All investigators performed the procedures according to the ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects.

Participants

Seventy-six patients (35 males and 41 females; mean age: 62.64 \pm 9.23 years) with idiopathic PD diagnosed according to the United Kingdom Brain Bank criteria (Ramaker et al., 2002) and without other neurological or psychiatric disease were prospectively enrolled at the Neurology Department of Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, a tertiary medical center in Taiwan. Those patients with idiopathic PD were treated and regularly follow up in the hospital. The inclusion criteria were patients with idiopathic PD and aged between 40 and 75 years. Patients with the following conditions were excluded: atherosclerotic narrowing on intracranial and extracranial vessels (>50% stenosis) with or without evidence of old cerebral infarctions, coronary artery diseases status post-percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty or bypass surgery, renal failure requiring hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis, moderate to severe heart failure (New York Heart Association class III and IV), and central or peripheral disorders known to affect autonomic nervous systems. For each PD patient, all assessments were conducted in the ON-state. Informed written consent was obtained from all patients prior to the start of the study procedures.

Methods

All PD patients were screened for physical frailty using Fried's criteria (Fried et al., 2001) which are practical measurement criteria that have been applied in multiple epidemiological studies (Fried et al., 2001; Bandeen-Roche et al., 2006; Gill et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2015). Five components, including unintentional weight loss, exhaustion, low grip strength, slowness while walking, and low levels of activity, were measured in all enrolled patients. According to this criteria of frailty, following are the evaluation steps (Fried et al., 2001; Bieniek et al., 2016): first, the information about unintentional weight loss in the last year was reported; then, declined level of exhaustion and lowered physical activity were ranked by the caregivers; third, a dynamometer was used to measure the grip strength, and lastly, gait speed was measured while walking over a 10-m walkway. Participants who met 0-2 of these criteria were allocated into non-frail group, and those who met at least three of these five criteria were categorized into frail group.

Clinical Demography and Severity of PD

Clinical characteristics for these patients with idiopathic PD including age, gender, duration since disease onset or diagnosis, and medicine duration, were evaluated. Each PD patient's disease severity and functional status were evaluated by the UPDRS (Ramaker et al., 2002). The sections of UPDRS consist of the following: (1) an evaluation of mentation, behavior, and mood; (2) an evaluation of daily activities regarding speech, salivation, swallowing, handwriting, cutting food and handling utensils, dressing, hygiene, turning in bed, falling, freezing when walking, walking, tremor, and sensory complaints; and (3) motor capability. Higher scores represent more severe symptoms of PD. The modified Hoehn & Yahr Staging Scale (Ramaker et al., 2002) were used to evaluate the severity of PD based on clinical presentations and functional ability from stages 1-5 (higher levels indicating higher severity of the disease). The Schwab and England Activities of Daily Living (ADL) scale (Hobson and Meara, 2004) was used to assess a person's daily function for PD, in which a score of 100% indicates complete independence and 0% indicates a bedridden status with vegetative functions.

Neuropsychological Assessments

A neuropsychological battery of tests was performed by one clinical psychologist blinded to each patient's status. These tests covered five domains: attention, executive function, speech and language, memory, and visuospatial function (Chen et al., 2017). Attention was assessed using the digit span score in the Wechsler

Adult Intelligence Scale-III (WAIS-III; Taylor and Heaton, 2001) and the attention and orientation scores from the Cognitive Ability Screening Instrument (CASI; Teng et al., 1994). Executive function was measured using the similarities, arithmetic, matrix reasoning, picture arrangement, and digit symbol coding scores in the WAIS-III and the abstract thinking and judgment score in the CASI. Speech and language function was evaluated using the vocabulary and comprehension scores in the WAIS-III and the language score in the CASI. Memory function was assessed using the information score in the WAIS-III and the short- and long-term memory scores in the CASI. Visuospatial function was assessed using the picture completion and block design scores from the WAIS-III and the drawing score from the CASI.

Statistical Analysis

The demographic data were compared among the study groups using the two-sample Mann-Whitney U test and the Pearson chi-square test, where appropriate. The predictive relation among different clinical characteristics and physical frailty was analyzed using stepwise logistic regression. Due to the different scoring systems and numbers of sub-tests in each domain of the neuropsychological testing, the scores of each subtest in neuropsychological assessments were converted into a z-score, and then summed up into five main cognition categories. Following, univariate logistic regression was used to analyze the correlation between each clinical characteristic and physical frailty. Any variable with a *p*-value of <0.1 in univariate regression was selected to be analyzed using multivariate logistic regression. Further, a forward conditional method without multicollinearity was chosen while performing multivariate logistic regression. Receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC curve) was used to find the best cut-off point of risk factors and further analyze the sensitivity and specificity of the best cut-off point. Statistical significance was defined as a p-value of <0.05. All statistical tests were performed using SPSS 19.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

Clinical Characteristics in PD With/Without Frailty

Table 1 presents the clinical characteristics in idiopathic PD patients with and without frailty. There were 29 PD patients (38.2%) with physical frailty (12 men and 17 women; mean age: 65.34 years) and 47 PD patients (61.8%) without physical frailty (23 men and 24 women; mean age: 60.98 years). A significant difference was found in age between PD patients with and without frailty (65.34 ± 8.42 years and 60.98 ± 9.45 years, p = 0.033). However, while longer disease duration (2.74 years vs. 2.12 years) and medication duration (1.64 years vs. 1.07 years) were found in the PD patients with frailty compared to those without, the differences were not significant. As for the equivalent doses of levodopa, a statistically significant betweengroup difference was observed (326.83 ± 276.29 mg/day and 547.77 ± 289.37 mg/day, p = 0.001). The mean total scores of UPDRS were significantly higher in PD patients with frailty

TADLE I CHINCALCHALACTERISTICS IN FARMINSON'S UISEASE PALTERIS WITHOUT AND WITH HAIR	TABLE 1	Clinical characteristics in Parkinson's disease patients without and with frailty.
--	---------	--

	DD with out froits (n 47)	DD with frailty (m. 60)	Р
	PD without frailty ($n = 47$)	PD with frailty $(n = 29)$	P
Gender (male, female)	23, 24	12, 17	0.637
Age [years, mean (SD)]	60.98 (9.45)	65.34 (8.42)	0.033*
Disease duration [years, mean (SD)]	2.12 (2.70)	2.74 (2.82)	0.136
Medicine duration [year, mean (SD)]	1.07 (1.77)	1.64 (2.09)	0.157
Equivalent doses of levodopa [mg/day, mean (SD)]	326.83 (276.29)	547.77 (289.37)	0.001**
UPDRS I, mean (SD)	2.91 (2.10)	4.06 (2.72)	0.076
UPDRS II, mean (SD)	7.02 (5.08)	12.34 (6.98)	0.001**
UPDRS III, mean (SD)	18.13 (12.52)	32.55 (14.52)	0.001**
UPDRS total, mean (SD)	26.17 (14.95)	48.96 (22.08)	0.001**
Modified Hoehn & Yahr scale, mean (SD)	1.54 (1.02)	2.17 (1.12)	0.009**
Schwab and England ADL scale, mean (SD)	86.80 (16.70)	79.31 (15.10)	0.006**

In clinical characteristics, gender was analyzed by using chi-square. Age, disease duration, duration of taking medicines, equivalent doses of levodopa, UPDRS, Hoehn & Yahr scale, Schwab and England ADL scale, and MMSE were analyzed by using the Mann–Whitney U test. UPDRS, the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

TABLE 2 | The comparisons of the neuropsychological assessments between Parkinson's disease patients without and with frailty.

	PD without frailty $(n = 47)$	PD with frailty ($n = 29$)	Р
Attention Function			
Digit span, mean (SD)	10.19 (2.68)	8.28 (2.90)	0.005**
Attention, mean (SD)	7.36 (0.82)	6.38 (1.21)	0.001**
Orientation, mean (SD)	16.85 (2.44)	16.41 (2.44)	0.239
Executive Function			
Digit symbol coding, mean (SD)	8.78 (2.84)	5.34 (2.55)	0.001**
Similarity, mean (SD)	9.68 (2.61)	7.59 (2.54)	0.001**
Arithmetic, mean (SD)	9.30 (2.99)	6.76 (1.64)	0.001**
Letter number sequencing, mean (SD)	9.44 (2.91)	6.74 (3.29)	0.002**
Matrix reasoning, mean (SD)	9.47 (3.06)	6.41 (2.43)	0.001**
Abstract thinking, mean (SD)	9.43 (1.86)	7.38 (1.94)	0.001**
Memory Function			
Short-term memory, mean (SD)	9.40 (2.45)	7.18 (3.09)	0.004**
Long-term memory, mean (SD)	9.79 (0.75)	9.52 (1.15)	0.245
Information, mean (SD)	9.51 (2.60)	7.69 (1.89)	0.001**
Speech and Language			
Vocabulary, mean (SD)	9.62 (3.46)	7.38 (2.34)	0.003**
Comprehension, mean (SD)	9.78 (3.16)	6.55 (2.38)	0.001**
Language, mean (SD)	9.70 (0.60)	8.73 (1.28)	0.001**
Visuospatial Function			
Picture completion, mean (SD)	8.94 (3.05)	7.24 (2.56)	0.011*
Block design, mean (SD)	8.47 (3.22)	6.31 (2.17)	0.003**
Drawing, mean (SD)	9.38 (1.48)	8.69 (1.82)	0.030*

Mann–Whitney test was used to analyze the differences of various neuropsychological assessments between two groups, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

compared with PD patients without frailty (48.96 vs. 26.17, p = 0.001). The mean stages in modified Hoehn & Yahr staging in PD patients with and without frailty were 2.17 and 1.54, respectively (p = 0.009), and the Schwab and England ADL scales in PD patients with and without frailty were 79.31 and 86.8, respectively (p = 0.006).

Group Comparisons of Neuropsychological Assessments

In the neuropsychological assessments, the PD patients with frailty had significantly poor performances in attention (digit span and attention: p = 0.001-0.005), executive function (digit symbol coding, similarities, arithmetic, letter number sequencing, matrix reasoning, and abstract thinking: p = 0.001-0.002), memory (short-term memory and information: p = 0.004 and p = 0.001), speech and language

(vocabulary, comprehension, and language: p = 0.001-0.003), and visuospatial function (picture completion, block design, and drawing: p = 0.003-0.03). However, this was not the case in the orientation of attention and in long-term memory (**Table 2**).

Risk Factors Associated With Physical Frailty

In a univariate analysis for PD patients (**Table 3**), age, UDPRS scores, Hoehn & Yahr scale, and all five specific domains of the neuropsychological assessments were all significantly related to physical frailty (p < 0.05). In a multivariate analysis, variables with multicollinearity (Variance Inflation Factor >10) were excluded for further analysis. Finally, variables, including age, UDPRS scores, Hoehn & Yahr scale, attention function and executive function, were used in the stepwise logistic

TABLE 3	Univariate and multivariate	e logistic regression	n analysis for Park	inson's disease with frailty.
---------	-----------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------	-------------------------------

	Univariate		Multivariate	
	OR (95% C.I.)	P1	OR (95% C.I.)	P2
Clinical characteristics				
Age (years)	1.057 (1.000–1.117)	0.048*	-	
Sex	0.802 (0.315-2.043)	0.644	-	
Disease duration (years)	1.086 (0.918-1.284	0.336	-	
Duration of taking medicines (years)	1.013 (0.993–1.034)	0.210	-	
UPDRS total	1.065 (1.033-1.099)	0.001**	1.063 (1.019–1.110)	0.005**
Hoehn & Yahr scale	1.690 (1.072-2.663)	0.024*	-	-
Schwab and England ADL scale	0.972 (0.943-1.002)	0.064	-	-
Neuropsychological assessments				
Attention	0.699 (0.547-0.894)	0.004**	-	-
Executive Function	0.701 (0.581-0.846)	0.001**	0.675 (0.532-0.857)	0.001**
Memory	0.660 (0.500-0.872)	0.003**	-	-
Speech and Language	0.600 (0.459-0.785)	0.001**	-	-
Visuospatial Function	0.717 (0.564-0.912)	0.007**	-	-

The scores of neuropsychological assessments were changed into a z-score, and then summed up into five main cognition categories, which are attention, executive function, memory, speech and language, and visuospatial function (see **Table 2** for more details). Univariate logistic regression was used to analyze the correlation between each variable and patients with or without frailty. Any variables that had p < 0.1 were selected to be further analyzed by using multivariate logistic regression. In a multivariate analysis, variables with multicollinearity (Variance Inflation Factor > 10) were excluded for further analysis. Finally, variables, including age, UDPRS scores, Hoehn & Yahr scale, attention function and executive function (boldface), were used in the stepwise logistic regression analysis. When executing multivariate logistic regression, "forward: conditional" was chosen as our method. MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; UPDRS, Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale, ** P < 0.01.

regression analysis. Only UPDRS total score (OR: 1.065; 95% CI: 1.033–1.099) and executive function (OR: 0.724; 95% CI: 0.581–0.877) were statistically significant for predicting the PD patients with frailty (p = 0.003 and 0.002, respectively).

In the ROC curve analysis, Figure 1 illustrates that the area under curve (AUC) of UPDRS is 0.785 (acceptable discrimination: 0.7-0.79); AUC of executive function is 0.854 (excellent discrimination: 0.8-0.89). The cut-off points of UPDRS and executive function were 46 and 0.222, respectively. The sensitivity and the specificity at the best cut-off point of UPDRS were 62.1% and 91.5%, respectively. At the best cut-off point of executive function, the sensitivity was 91.3% and the specificity was 69.7%. Further analysis indicated that among those subtests belong to executive function, both digit symbol coding (p = 0.021) and matrix reasoning (p = 0.036)had more power in predicting frailty, comparatively. The ROC curve showed that AUC of digit symbol coding was 0.835 (excellent discrimination), the AUC of matrix reasoning was 0.766 (acceptable discrimination). The cut-off points of digit symbol coding and matrix reasoning was 7.4 (sensitivity 85.6%, specificity 70.0%) and 8.3 (sensitivity 96.6%, specificity 46.1%; Figure 2).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we investigated the associations among age, disease duration, disease severity, cognitive dysfunctions, and physical frailty in PD. Mostly neuropsychological functions, including attention, executive function, memory, speech and language, and visuospatial function, were significantly worse in PD patients with physical frailty than those without. Multivariate analysis revealed that disease severity and executive function were independent risk factors for predicting physical frailty in PD. We found that one *z*-score increment in UPDRS total score increased the risk of physical frailty in PD patients by 6.4% and a decrease in executive function by one *z*-score increased the risk of physical frailty by 38.1%. Our findings partially support previous work demonstrating that PD patients develop frailty over the course of their disease progression (Ahmed et al., 2008) and also highlight the importance of interaction in cognitive-physical integrity (Gross et al., 2016; Rosado-Artalejo et al., 2017).

Frailty is common in older populations and carries a higher risk of poor health status in terms of falls, disability, institutionalization, and mortality (Xue, 2011). Several past studies have reported prevalence rates of frailty in PD patients ranging from 29% to 33% according to the Fried criteria, whereas the prevalence of frailty was found to be higher in research using the FI-CD (50% to 67%; Ahmed et al., 2008; Roland et al., 2012a,b,c, 2014; Buchman et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2019). In another study regarding screening, Ahmed et al. (2008) also found a higher prevalence of physical frailty in PD patients than in the general population, as well as higher UPDRS scores in frail patients. Tan et al. (2018) also reported higher incidences of sacropenia and frailty among PD patients. Otherwise, older age and greater PD motor severity could predict frailty in PD. Indeed, past studies have found that inactivity can induce loss of muscle mass in older adults, and that loss of muscle mass strongly predicted functional impairments and disabilities (Janssen et al., 2002), which may further exacerbate PD-associated neuropathology in the muscles, thus leading to increased weakness and frailty. Postural instability and gait difficulty are the main motor manifestations of PD, and these have been reported to make some contributions to overall disability, especially during the later course of the disease (Jankovic, 2008; Muslimovic et al., 2008). In the past, studies have focused on different factors that might cause various disabilities in PD, and it was considered that older age at onset; higher scores in terms of postural instability, gait disorder, and disease severity; and disease duration may be







associated with different disabilities, such as greater postural abnormalities (Post et al., 2007; Muslimovic et al., 2008). In addition, such disturbances in posture, balance, and gait in PD were found to contribute to adverse cognitive outcomes (Schneider et al., 2015). Similarly, in our study, it was also found that there was a higher prevalence of physical frailty (38%) among PD patients of older ages with more severe conditions and receiving larger doses of levodopa. Furthermore, we used ROC analysis to determine that the best cut-off point of the UPDRS is a score of 46, which was found to have a sensitivity of 62.1% and a specificity of 91.5%. Notably, this information has the potential to aid in the development of a screening tool that could be used to identify PD patients at high risk of physical frailty.

Higher incidences of cognitive impairments were also found in PD patients with frailty in the present study. When there is a loss of dopamine in the basal ganglia, negative impacts on not only motor functional impairment but also non-motor symptoms, such as automatic behavior and cognitive function are evident (Petzinger et al., 2013). MCI is common in the early stage of PD, which will impede motor-skill learning and will progress with disease severity (Petzinger et al., 2013). Additionally, MCI has an increased risk of developing into dementia, which often eclipses motor dysfunction as the main reason of becoming disabled, and the occurrence of dementia is considered to be an independent predictor of mortality in PD (Forsaa et al., 2010). Previous functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies have demonstrated that in PD patients, both cognitive function and motor function are related to their cortical atrophy and those with cognitive impairment and worse disease severity may experience extensive cortical atrophy and decreased cortical perfusion (Chen et al., 2017). Until now, it is still a chickenand-egg conundrum for motor and cognitive functions in PD and the analysis of comorbidity with cognitive deficit in PD patients with frailty is still limited. However, we emphasized the importance of recognizing cognitive decline in the early stage of PD, which might be a useful clinical sign for the initiation of frailty prevention with PD patients. We also found that using a z-score lower than 0.222 in executive function (sensitivity: 91.3% and specificity: 69.7%) could help physicians to reveal executive impairment in patients with PD to identify those at higher risk of physical frailty.

Another important, but not well explored, finding in the present study is the prediction of frailty by executive function in PD patients. Executive function covers many high-level capabilities required to perform complex activities or tasks. The capabilities are coordinated by the prefrontal area, including its projected cortex and subcortical areas, with a wide network (Rosado-Artalejo et al., 2017). Relevantly, the development of executive function impairments in PD was reasonable since the alternations in both the corticostriatal and mesolimbic pathways are common in PD (Chen et al., 2017). The onset of primary executive dysfunction is related to the risks for general cognitive declines in other domains and affects the performances driven by other cognition functions. Furthermore, executive dysfunction was reported as a specific domain of cognition function that mostly contributed to physical frailty in elderly (Gross et al., 2016). Similarly, we also found that executive function was an important determinant of physical frailty in PD after eliminating the overlapping influences of other cognition functions. Due to the degenerative process of dopaminergic nigrostriatal pathway, motor impairments are progressively declined and cognition dysfunction might be partially depended on the loss of dopaminergic neurons and also associated with a disconnected prefrontal cortex, hippocampus, and amygdala (Solari et al., 2013). The frontal and temporal cortices are in charge of gait control, which shares a similar neuro-mechanism with executive function (Rosado-Artalejo et al., 2017). Likewise, atrophy of both gray and white matter in the prefrontal lobe, temporal areas, and basal ganglions lead to slow gait, muscle mass loss, and weakness (Gross et al., 2016). Therefore, we believe that executive function and physical impairments might share similar pathophysiology in the progression of disease in PD.

Limitations

Three limitations of this study must be considered. First, we only recruited patients from one medical center and the findings are, therefore, not representative of the PD general population. Second, we did not record the details of the pharmacotherapy history of these PD patients, especially the possibility of under-treatment with levodopa, which is related to motor functions. Third, the prevalence of frailty might vary according to the different frailty measures used, and the most suitable tool for estimating frailty in PD is still a matter of controversy. Besides, this was a cross-sectional study, which limits the opportunity to analyze the causal associations among disease severity, cognitive changes, and physical frailty in PD patients. Thus, longitudinal studies are warranted for investigating these associations further.

CONCLUSION

In summary, we found PD patients with frailty had older age, greater disease severity, and significant deficits in daily activity abilities and most cognitive functions, including attention, executive function, memory, speech, and visuospatial function. Furthermore, severe PD and greater attenuations in executive function could indicate a higher risk of physical frailty in PD. Therefore, our results may help clinicians to screen high-risk PD patients for physical frailty and design efficient intervention strategies to prevent health outcome declines in PD.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this manuscript will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation, to any qualified researcher.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Institutional review board of Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct and intellectual contribution to the work, and approved it for publication.

FUNDING

This work received financial support from the National Science Council (MOST 103-2314-B-182A-010-MY3 to WC-L and MOST 104-2314-B-182A-053 to HL-C).

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, N. N., Sherman, S. J., and Vanwyck, D. (2008). Frailty in Parkinson's disease and its clinical implications. *Parkinsonism Relat. Disord.* 14, 334–337. doi: 10.1016/j.parkreldis.2007.10.004
- Bandeen-Roche, K., Xue, Q. L., Ferrucci, L., Walston, J., Guralnik, J. M., Chaves, P., et al. (2006). Phenotype of frailty: characterization in the women's health and aging studies. J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 61, 262–266. doi: 10.1093/gerona/61.3.262
- Barone, P., Antonini, A., Colosimo, C., Marconi, R., Morgante, L., Avarello, T. P., et al. (2009). The PRIAMO study: a multicenter assessment of nonmotor symptoms and their impact on quality of life in Parkinson's disease. *Mov. Disord.* 24, 1641–1649. doi: 10.1002/mds.22643
- Bieniek, J., Wilczynski, K., and Szewieczek, J. (2016). Fried frailty phenotype assessment components as applied to geriatric inpatients. *Clin. Interv. Aging* 11, 453–459. doi: 10.2147/cia.s101369
- Boyle, P. A., Buchman, A. S., Wilson, R. S., Leurgans, S. E., and Bennett, D. A. (2010). Physical frailty is associated with incident mild cognitive impairment in community-based older persons. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 58, 248–255. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2009.02671.x
- Buchman, A., Yu, L., Wilson, R., Schneider, J., and Bennett, D. (2013). Association of brain pathology with the progression of frailty in older adults. *Neurology* 80, 2055–2061. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e318294b462
- Chen, Y. S., Chen, M. H., Lu, C. H., Chen, P. C., Chen, H. L., Yang, I., et al. (2017). Associations among cognitive functions, plasma DNA and white matter integrity in patients with early-onset Parkinson's disease. *Front. Neurosci.* 11:9. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2017.00009
- de Lau, L. M., and Breteler, M. M. (2006). Epidemiology of Parkinson's disease. Lancet Neurol. 5, 525-535. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(06)70471-9
- Dent, E., Kowal, P., and Hoogendijk, E. O. (2016). Frailty measurement in research and clinical practice: a review. *Eur. J. Intern. Med.* 31, 3–10. doi: 10.1016/j.ejim. 2016.03.007
- Forsaa, E. B., Larsen, J. P., Wentzel-Larsen, T., and Alves, G. (2010). What predicts mortality in Parkinson disease? a prospective population-based long-term study. *Neurology* 75, 1270–1276. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e318 1f61311
- Fried, L. P., Tangen, C. M., Walston, J., Newman, A. B., Hirsch, C., Gottdiener, J., et al. (2001). Frailty in older adults: evidence for a phenotype. J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 56, M146–M156. doi: 10.1093/gerona/ 56.3.m146
- Gill, T. M., Gahbauer, E. A., Han, L., and Allore, H. G. (2010). Trajectories of disability in the last year of life. N. Engl. J. Med. 362, 1173–1180. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0909087
- Gross, A. L., Xue, Q. L., Bandeen-Roche, K., Fried, L. P., Varadhan, R., McAdams-DeMarco, M. A., et al. (2016). Declines and impairment in executive function predict onset of physical frailty. *J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 71, 1624–1630. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glw067
- Hobson, P., and Meara, J. (2004). Risk and incidence of dementia in a cohort of older subjects with Parkinson's disease in the United Kingdom. *Mov. Disord.* 19, 1043–1049. doi: 10.1002/mds.20216
- Jankovic, J. (2008). Parkinson's disease: clinical features and diagnosis. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 79, 368–376. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2007. 131045
- Janssen, I., Heymsfield, S. B., and Ross, R. (2002). Low relative skeletal muscle mass (sarcopenia) in older persons is associated with functional impairment and physical disability. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 50, 889–896. doi: 10.1046/j.1532-5415.2002.50216.x
- Langston, J. W. (2006). The Parkinson's complex: Parkinsonism is just the tip of the iceberg. Ann. Neurol. 59, 591–596. doi: 10.1002/ana.20834
- Lee, L., Heckman, G., and Molnar, F. J. (2015). Frailty: identifying elderly patients at high risk of poor outcomes. *Can. Fam. Physician* 61, 227–231.
- Muslimovic, D., Post, B., Speelman, J. D., and Schmand, B. (2005). Cognitive profile of patients with newly diagnosed Parkinson disease. *Neurology* 65, 1239–1245. doi: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000180516.69442.95
- Muslimovic, D., Post, B., Speelman, J. D., Schmand, B., and de Haan, R. J. (2008). Determinants of disability and quality of life in mild to moderate Parkinson disease. *Neurology* 70, 2241–2247. doi: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000313835. 33830.80

- Perez, F., Helmer, C., Foubert-Samier, A., Auriacombe, S., Dartigues, J. F., and Tison, F. (2012). Risk of dementia in an elderly population of Parkinson's disease patients: A 15-year population-based study. *Alzheimers Dement.* 8, 463–469. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2011.09.230
- Petzinger, G. M., Fisher, B. E., McEwen, S., Beeler, J. A., Walsh, J. P., and Jakowec, M. W. (2013). Exercise-enhanced neuroplasticity targeting motor and cognitive circuitry in Parkinson's disease. *Lancet Neurol.* 12, 716–726. doi: 10.1016/s1474-4422(13)70123-6
- Post, B., Merkus, M. P., de Haan, R. J., Speelman, J. D., and CARPA Study Group. (2007). Prognostic factors for the progression of Parkinson's disease: a systematic review. *Mov. Disord.* 22, 1839–1851. doi: 10.1002/ mds.21537
- Ramaker, C., Marinus, J., Stiggelbout, A. M., and Van Hilten, B. J. (2002). Systematic evaluation of rating scales for impairment and disability in Parkinson's disease. *Mov. Disord.* 17, 867–876. doi: 10.1002/ mds.10248
- Robertson, D. A., Savva, G. M., and Kenny, R. A. (2013). Frailty and cognitive impairment—a review of the evidence and causal mechanisms. *Ageing Res. Rev.* 12, 840–851. doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2013.06.004
- Rockwood, K., Song, X., MacKnight, C., Bergman, H., Hogan, D. B., McDowell, I., et al. (2005). A global clinical measure of fitness and frailty in elderly people. *CMAJ* 173, 489–495. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.050051
- Rogers, N. T., Steptoe, A., and Cadar, D. (2017). Frailty is an independent predictor of incident dementia: evidence from the english longitudinal study of ageing. *Sci. Rep.* 7:15746. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-16104-y
- Roland, K., Cornett, K., Theou, O., Jakobi, J., and Jones, G. (2012a). Physical activity across frailty phenotypes in females with Parkinson's disease. J. Aging Res. 2012:468156. doi: 10.1155/2012/468156
- Roland, K., Cornett, K., Theou, O., Jakobi, J., and Jones, G. (2012b). Concurrence of frailty and Parkinson's disease. J. Frailty Aging 1, 123–127. doi: 10.14283/jfa. 2012.20
- Roland, K., Jakobi, J., Jones, G., and Powell, C. (2012c). Quality of life as a determinant of frailty phenotype in community-dwelling persons with Parkinson's disease. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* 60, 590–592. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415. 2011.03862.x
- Roland, K., Jones, G., and Jakobi, J. (2014). Daily electromyography in females with Parkinson's disease: a potential indicator of frailty. *Arch. Gerontol. Geriatr.* 58, 80–87. doi: 10.1016/j.archger.2013.08.008
- Rosado-Artalejo, C., Carnicero, J. A., Losa-Reyna, J., Castillo, C., Cobos-Antoranz, B., Alfaro-Acha, A., et al. (2017). Global performance of executive function is predictor of risk of frailty and disability in older adults. *J. Nutr. Health Aging* 21, 980–987. doi: 10.1007/s12603-017-0895-2
- Santos-Eggimann, B., Cuenoud, P., Spagnoli, J., and Junod, J. (2009). Prevalence of frailty in middle-aged and older community-dwelling Europeans living in 10 countries. J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 64, 675–681. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glp012
- Schneider, J. S., Sendek, S., and Yang, C. (2015). Relationship between motor symptoms, cognition, and demographic characteristics in treated mild/moderate Parkinson's disease. *PLoS One* 10:e0123231. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0123231
- Smith, N., Brennan, L., Gaunt, D. M., Ben-Shlomo, Y., and Henderson, E. (2019). Frailty in Parkinson's disease: a systematic review. J. Parkinsons Dis. 9, 517–524. doi: 10.3233/JPD-191604
- Solari, N., Bonito-Oliva, A., Fisone, G., and Brambilla, R. (2013). Understanding cognitive deficits in Parkinson's disease: lessons from preclinical animal models. *Learn. Mem.* 20, 592–600. doi: 10.1101/lm.032029.113
- Sollinger, A. B., Goldstein, F. C., Lah, J. J., Levey, A. I., and Factor, S. A. (2010). Mild cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease: subtypes and motor characteristics. *Parkinsonism Relat. Disord.* 16, 177–180. doi: 10.1016/j. parkreldis.2009.11.002
- Tan, A. H., Hew, Y. C., Lim, S. Y., Ramli, N. M., Kamaruzzaman, S. B., Tan, M. P., et al. (2018). Altered body composition, sarcopenia, frailty, and their clinicobiological correlates, in Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism Relat. Disord.* 56, 58–64. doi: 10.1016/j.parkreldis.2018.06.020
- Taylor, M. J., and Heaton, R. K. (2001). Sensitivity and specificity of WAIS-III/WMS-III demographically corrected factor scores in neuropsychological assessment. J. Int. Neuropsychol. Soc. 7, 867–874. doi: 10.1017/ s1355617701777107

- Teng, E. L., Hasegawa, K., Homma, A., Imai, Y., Larson, E., Graves, A., et al. (1994). The cognitive abilities screening instrument (CASI): a practical test for cross-cultural epidemiological studies of dementia. *Int. Psychogeriatr.* 6, 45–58. doi: 10.1017/s1041610294 001602
- Xue, Q. L. (2011). The frailty syndrome: definition and natural history. *Clin. Geriatr. Med.* 27, 1–15. doi: 10.1016/j.cger.2010.08.009
- Zheng, Z., Guan, S., Ding, H., Wang, Z., Zhang, J., Zhao, J., et al. (2016). Prevalence and incidence of frailty in community-dwelling older people: beijing longitudinal study of aging II. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 64, 1281–1286. doi: 10.1111/jgs.14135

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Lin, Huang, Leong, Chen, Chen, Tsai, Tso, Chen and Lu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.