Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Chao Luo, Shanghai Mental Health Center, China

REVIEWED BY Danielle Greaves, University of South Australia, Australia Wenyu Song, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, United States Sining Pan, Guangxi Medical University, China

★CORRESPONDENCE Guan-yu Chen 741755319@qq.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 17 December 2024 ACCEPTED 03 March 2025 PUBLISHED 18 March 2025

CITATION

Qiu L-q, Song J-l, Zhang L-c, Fan B, Li Q, Lu B and Chen G-y (2025) Association between hyperlipidemia and postoperative delirium risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 17:1544838. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2025.1544838

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Qiu, Song, Zhang, Fan, Li, Lu and Chen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Association between hyperlipidemia and postoperative delirium risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Li-quan Qiu[†], Jian-li Song[†], Li-cai Zhang[†], Bin Fan, Qiang Li, Bin Lu and Guan-yu Chen^{*}

Department of Anesthesiology, Zigong Fourth People's Hospital, Zigong, Sichuan, China

Introduction: The association between hyperlipidemia and its potential role as a risk factor for postoperative delirium (POD) remains unclear.

Methods: We systematically searched PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, and ClinicalTrials.gov to identify studies meeting the inclusion criteria. Outcomes of interest included comparisons of blood lipid levels between POD and non-POD (NPOD) patients, the association between hyperlipidemia and POD risk, and the predictive value of hyperlipidemia for POD.

Results: A total of nine studies involving 4,686 patients were included in the meta-analysis. Pooled analysis revealed that hyperlipidemia was significantly associated with an increased risk of POD (OR = 1.47; 95% CI 1.13–1.91; P = 0.004;) compared to patients without hyperlipidemia. Patients with POD exhibited significantly higher levels of total cholesterol (TC) (weighted mean difference [WMD] = 0.31; 95% CI 0.03–0.59; P = 0.030), triglycerides (TG) (WMD = 0.37; 95% CI 0.03–0.71; P = 0.033), and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) (WMD = 0.09; 95% CI 0.01–0.17; P = 0.023) compared to NPOD patients. In contrast, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) levels were significantly lower in POD patients (WMD = -0.07; 95% CI -0.12 to -0.01; P = 0.026). Insufficient evidence was available to summarize the area under the curve (AUC) results.

Conclusions: Blood lipid levels were significantly elevated in POD patients compared to NPOD patients. Hyperlipidemia was significantly associated with an increased risk of POD, highlighting its potential role as a risk factor.

KEYWORDS

meta-analysis, postoperative delirium, hyperlipidemias, hypertriglyceridemia, hypercholesterolemia

Introduction

Postoperative delirium (POD) is an acute and reversible form of brain dysfunction characterized by inattention, altered consciousness, and sudden cognitive decline. It is a common complication following anesthesia and surgery, with incidence rates ranging from 50 to 70% in high-risk populations (Swarbrick and Partridge, 2022; Wang et al., 2020; Jin et al., 2020). POD can prolong hospital stays, increase healthcare costs, raise postoperative mortality, and elevate the risk of other complications, thereby negatively impacting patient outcomes (Inouye et al., 2014; Bai et al., 2020; Yan et al., 2023). The etiology of POD is multifactorial, encompassing a range of risk factors such as advanced

age, pre-existing cognitive impairment, chronic alcoholism, the presence of comorbid conditions, and variations related to specific surgical procedures (Gravante et al., 2021; Kazmierski et al., 2022). Currently, there is no specific treatment for POD. Therefore, it is essential to investigate perioperative risk factors and implement proactive interventions to reduce the incidence and severity of POD, ultimately improving postoperative recovery.

Hyperlipidemia, also known as dyslipidemia, is a metabolic disorder characterized by abnormal lipid levels, typically presenting as elevated total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), and low-density lipoprotein (LDL), or reduced highdensity lipoprotein (HDL) levels (Li et al., 2023). This condition can lead to lipid deposition in vascular endothelium, contributing to atherosclerosis, blood-brain barrier disruption, and abnormal lipid accumulation in the brain, potentially resulting in neurodegenerative diseases. Dysregulated lipid metabolism is strongly associated with Alzheimer's disease, with significant differences in blood lipid levels observed between cognitively impaired patients and healthy individuals (Buckley et al., 2018; Pérez-Gálvez et al., 2018). Given the shared pathophysiological mechanisms between Alzheimer's disease and POD, it is plausible that abnormal lipid metabolism may also increase the risk of POD, a hypothesis supported by recent observational studies (Fong et al., 2021). Specifically, hyperlipidemia has been linked to a higher incidence of POD in patients undergoing hip replacement and colorectal cancer surgeries (Zhao et al., 2024; Lin et al., 2022); however, some studies suggest that POD may be associated only with low HDL levels rather than triglyceride levels (Feinkohl et al., 2023). Therefore, the relationship between hyperlipidemia and POD remains controversial.

Several meta-analyses have been published investigating risk factors for POD in various surgical cohorts, including gastrointestinal and orthopedic surgeries (Scholz et al., 2016; Rong et al., 2021). Although these studies have examined hyperlipidemia as one of the potential risk factors, their primary focus was not specifically on hyperlipidemia, and they did not resolve the ongoing controversy regarding the relationship between hyperlipidemia and POD. The research design limited the depth and breadth of the investigation into hyperlipidemia (Scholz et al., 2016; Rong et al., 2021). For example, hyperlipidemia was only analyzed as one of many potential factors, without a thorough exploration of the specific associations between different types, severity levels, and other characteristics of hyperlipidemia and POD. To address this gap, we conducted the first systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate the association between hyperlipidemia and the incidence of POD.

Methods

This study was performed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement. The protocol for this meta-analysis is available in PROSPERO (CRD42024588137) (Shamseer et al., 2015).

Search for trials

We conducted a comprehensive search of the PubMed (from 1966), Web of Science (Science Citation Index Expanded, from 1900), Cochrane Library (from 1993), ClinicalTrials.gov (from 2000), and Embase (from 1947) databases, and the search spanned from these starting dates through September 9, 2024. The search utilized the following keywords: "postoperative delirium," "hyperlipidemias," "hypertriglyceridemia," "hypercholesterolemia," and "delirium," aiming to identify studies that met the predefined inclusion criteria. Furthermore, references from all eligible articles were meticulously examined to identify additional potentially relevant studies. Any discrepancies were resolved through discussion with a third author (CGY). The detailed search strategy for PubMed is provided in Supplementary File 1.

Selection criteria and inclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) Study population: adult patients scheduled for surgery; (2) Study design: observational studies (cohort studies or case-control studies); (3) Exposure: hyperlipidemia as the exposure factor, with patients categorized into hyperlipidemia and non-hyperlipidemia groups; (4) Outcome: comparison of blood lipid levels between POD and NPOD group—where the NPOD group consisted of patients who underwent the same surgical procedures as the POD group but did not meet the diagnostic criteria for POD—along with an evaluation of the association between hyperlipidemia and POD risk, and an assessment of the predictive value of hyperlipidemia for POD; (5) Data availability: studies providing odds ratios (ORs) and area under the curve (AUC) data; and (6) Language: studies published in English.

Exclusion criteria: studies with incomplete or unavailable data were excluded. Additionally, reviews, case reports, and animal studies were not considered.

Data extraction and key measurements

The extracted data included study characteristics such as the first author, year of publication, country, study design, sample size, definition of hyperlipidemia, method of POD evaluation, the variables used in the multivariate model, and the number of POD cases. The outcomes of interest encompassed: (1) comparison of blood lipid levels between POD and non-POD (NPOD) groups, (2) the association between hyperlipidemia and POD risk, and (3) the predictive value of hyperlipidemia for POD. Additionally, odds ratios (ORs) and area under the curve (AUC) values were extracted. For ORs, multivariate-adjusted ORs were prioritized for extraction and analysis. If multivariate-adjusted values were unavailable, univariate-adjusted ORs were used instead. Any discrepancies in data extraction were resolved through consensus.

Quality of evidence

The quality of evidence for all studies was evaluated independently by two authors (JLS and LQQ) utilizing the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) criteria applicable to cohort and case-control studies (Stang, 2010). The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) assesses the risk of bias across three domains: participant selection, comparability, and outcomes. In the selection and outcome categories, each item is scored with a maximum of 1 point, while the comparability domain allows for a maximum of 2 points. The total score on the NOS ranges from 0 to 9, with higher scores indicating better study quality. Based on the total score, studies were categorized into three quality levels: low (0–3 points), moderate (4–6 points), and high (7–9 points). Any discrepancies in ratings were resolved by a third author (CGY).

Statistical analysis

We conducted the meta-analysis using STATA software version 14.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX). Weighted mean differences (WMDs), odds ratios (ORs), area under the curve (AUC) values, and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used to evaluate the outcomes. Heterogeneity was assessed using the I² statistic, and pooled analyses were performed using a randomeffects model when significant heterogeneity was observed ($I^2 \ge$ 50%); otherwise, a fixed-effects model was applied. To address potential statistical or clinical heterogeneity, subgroup analyses were conducted based on country, delirium assessment scale, type of surgery (cardiac vs. non-cardiac), region (Asia vs. Europe), variables adjusted (multivariate vs. univariate) and study design (cohort study vs. case-control). Sensitivity analyses were performed to assess the robustness and quality of the findings by sequentially excluding individual studies from the pooled analyses. Sensitivity analyses were conducted to evaluate the robustness and reliability of the findings by sequentially excluding individual studies from the pooled analyses. Additionally, sensitivity analyses were performed by excluding studies that reported only unadjusted odds ratios (ORs). Publication bias was evaluated using Begg's and Egger's tests, complemented by funnel plots. A *p*-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant for all analyses.

Results

Studies retrieved and their characteristics

The database search identified 98 potentially eligible records. After screening the titles and abstracts, 20 full-text articles were reviewed, of which 9 met the inclusion criteria (Feinkohl et al., 2023; Lin et al., 2022; Zhao et al., 2024; Böhner et al., 2003; Chu et al., 2021; Ding et al., 2024; Li et al., 2021; Sugimoto et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015) (Figure 1).

The characteristics of the included studies are summarized in Supplementary Table 1. The sample sizes ranged from 130 to 1,462 participants, with a total of 4,686 individuals included in the metaanalysis. Among these, 1,104 (23.6%) developed postoperative delirium (POD), while 3,582 (76.4%) did not. Geographically, seven studies (77.8%) were conducted in Asia—including six from China and one from Japan—and two (22.2%) were from Europe, both of which were conducted in Germany.

For delirium assessment, two studies used the Confusion Assessment Method for the Intensive Care Unit (CAM-ICU), three employed the standard Confusion Assessment Method (CAM), two applied the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM) criteria, one utilized the 3-min Diagnostic Interview for Confusion Assessment Method (3D-CAM), and one study incorporated multiple assessment scales.

In terms of study design, three were retrospective casecontrol studies, and six were prospective cohort studies. Regarding surgical procedures, two studies focused on cardiac surgeries, while the remaining seven involved non-cardiac surgeries. For hyperlipidemia definitions, only four studies provided specific criteria, whereas the other five used a generalized definition without distinguishing between low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), total cholesterol (TC), and triglyceride (TG) levels.

As for anesthesia techniques, five studies used general anesthesia, one employed an epidural block, one combined general anesthesia with an epidural block, and two did not specify the anesthesia methods. Among the included studies, four adjusted for multiple confounding factors and reported adjusted odds ratios (ORs), while the remaining five studies provided unadjusted ORs without covariate adjustment.

Quality of evidence

The NOS overall methodological score of all included studies revealed moderate to high quality (Supplementary Tables 2, 3).

Comparison of blood lipid levels between POD and NPOD

The pooled results demonstrated that patients with postoperative delirium (POD) had significantly higher levels of TC (WMD = 0.31; 95% CI 0.03–0.59; P = 0.030; $I^2 = 82.3\%$), TG (WMD = 0.37; 95% CI 0.03–0.71; P = 0.033; $I^2 = 91.6\%$), and LDL-C (WMD = 0.09; 95% CI 0.01–0.17; P = 0.023; $I^2 = 0\%$) compared to non-POD (NPOD) patients. In contrast, HDL-C levels were significantly lower in POD patients (WMD = -0.07; 95% CI -0.12 to -0.01; P = 0.026; $I^2 = 27.3\%$) relative to NPOD patients (Figure 2).

Association between hyperlipidemia and risk of POD

All included studies were analyzed to evaluate the association between hyperlipidemia and the risk of POD. The pooled analysis revealed that hyperlipidemia was significantly associated with an increased risk of POD (OR = 1.47; 95% CI 1.13–1.91; P = 0.004; I² = 76.9%) compared to patients without hyperlipidemia (Figure 3).



Predictive value of hyperlipidemia for POD

Only one study included in the meta-analysis reported area under the curve (AUC) results, which precluded a comprehensive summary analysis. However, the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves indicated that LDL-C [AUC = 0.607 (0.519-0.691)], HDL-C [AUC = 0.620 (0.531-0.703)], TG [AUC = 0.761 (0.679-0.831)], and TC [AUC = 0.708 (0.623-0.784)] were all predictive of POD.

Subgroup analyses

Subgroup analyses were conducted based on country, delirium assessment scale, type of surgery (cardiac vs. non-cardiac), region (Asia vs. Europe), variables adjusted (multivariate or univariate) and study design (cohort study vs. case-control).

In the subgroup analysis of hyperlipidemia classification, a reduced level of HDL-C (OR = 1.859; 95% CI 1.204-2.870;

 $P = 0.005; I^2 = 66.2\%)$ was significantly associated with the occurrence of postoperative delirium (POD). However, no significant associations were observed between POD and TG levels (OR = 1.495; 95% CI 0.999–2.239; $P = 0.051; I^2 = 73.8\%$) or TC levels (OR = 1.208; 95% CI 0.460–3.173; $P = 0.701; I^2 = 90.5\%$) (Figure 4).

For delirium assessment, studies using the CAM (OR = 2.09; 95% CI 1.36–3.21; P = 0.001; $I^2 = 62.6\%$) and the 3D-CAM (OR = 1.30; 95% CI 1.08–1.56; P = 0.005; $I^2 = 0\%$) identified a significant association between hyperlipidemia and POD. In contrast, no significant association was found in studies using the CAM-ICU (OR = 2.20; 95% CI 0.74–6.57; P = 0.156; $I^2 = 76.6\%$) (Figure 5).

A significant association between hyperlipidemia and POD was observed exclusively in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery (OR = 1.4; 95% CI 1.03–1.90; P = 0.033; $I^2 = 78.8\%$), whereas no such association was found in patients undergoing cardiac surgery (OR = 2.2; 95% CI 0.74–6.57; P = 0.156; $I^2 = 76.6\%$) (Figure 6).

In prospective cohort studies, hyperlipidemia was significantly associated with POD (OR = 1.67; 95% CI 1.23–2.28; P = 0.001; $I^2 =$

ID		WMD	(95% CI)	Weight
			(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
			20, 0, 00)	5.05
Lin 2022 (TC)		0.69 (0	.39, 0.99)	5.95
$L_{1} = 2021 (TC)$		- 0.15 (0	.03, 0.27)	9.37
Zhao 2024 (TC)		- 0.17 (-	0.05, 0.39)	7.40
Subtotal (I-squared = 82.3°	$\frac{1}{2}$ %, p = 0.004)	0.31 (0	.03, 0.59)	22.72
TG				
Lin 2022 (TG)		→ 0.83 (0	.52, 1.14)	5.74
Li 2021 (TG)		0.07 (-	0.03, 0.17)	9.59
Zhao 2024 (TG)	ļ	• 0.30 (0	.14. 0.46)	8.58
Subtotal (I-squared = 91.6°	$p_{0}, p = 0.000)$	O .37 (0	.03, 0.71)	23.92
LDL-C		0.05 (0.14.0.24)	7.00
Lin 2022 (LDL-C)		- 0.05 (-	(0.14, 0.24)	7.90
$L_1 2021 (LDL-C)$		• 0.11 (0	.01, 0.21)	9.59
Zhao 2024 (LDL–C)		- 0.08 (-	0.09, 0.25)	8.32
Subtotal (1–squared = 0.0%	p, p = 0.854)	0.09 (0	.01, 0.17)	25.81
HDL–C				
Lin 2022 (HDL–C)		-0.04 (-0.25, 0.17)	7.59
Li 2021 (HDL-C)	•	-0.09 (-0.12, -0.06)	10.38
Zhao 2024 (HDL–C)	÷.	0.00 (-	0.10, 0.10)	9.58
Subtotal (I-squared = 27.3°	$p_{0}, p = 0.253)$	-0.07 (-0.12, -0.01)	27.54
Overall (I-squared = 89.5%	(n = 0.000)	> 0.16.00	05 0 27)	100.00
overani (i squared opto)	u, p 0.000)	0.10 (0	,	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from ra	ndom effects analy	is		
	-1.14 0	1.14		

Forest plot comparing serum lipid profiles, including total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), between patients with and without postoperative delirium.

77.0%). However, this association was not observed in retrospective case-control studies (OR = 0.92; 95% CI 0.48–1.77; P = 0.806; $I^2 = 79.6\%$) (Supplementary Figure 1).

Studies conducted in Asia revealed a significant association between hyperlipidemia and POD (OR = 1.62; 95% CI 1.22– 2.17; P = 0.001; $I^2 = 75.6\%$), whereas studies in Europe did not demonstrate such an association (OR = 0.98; 95% CI 0.46–2.09; P = 0.956; $I^2 = 85.3\%$) (Supplementary Figure 2). Additionally, studies from China identified a significant correlation between hyperlipidemia and POD (OR = 1.79; 95% CI 1.37–2.32; P < 0.001; $I^2 = 69.1\%$), in contrast to studies from other countries, which found no significant correlation (OR = 0.81; 95% CI 0.40–1.64; P=0.560; $I^2 = 69.1\%$) (Supplementary Figure 3).

The subgroup analysis based on variables adjusted revealed that hyperlipidemia was significantly associated with an increased risk of POD (OR = 1.75; 95% CI 1.40–2.20; p < 0.001; $i^2 = 67.5\%$) compared to patients without hyperlipidemia after adjusting for the

multivariate factors; however, we found no significant correlation (OR = 0.85; 95% CI, 0.40–1.80; p = 0.664; $I^2 = 77.2\%$;) after adjusting for univariate factors (Supplementary Figure 4).

Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

Sensitivity analysis revealed that no individual study significantly influenced the pooled odds ratio (OR) or its 95% confidence intervals (CIs) (Supplementary Figure 5). Exclusion of studies reporting only unadjusted ORs did not substantially alter the direction or significance of the pooled results (Supplementary Table 4). Furthermore, no significant publication bias was observed for the OR outcome. The funnel plot, which plotted the standard error of log OR against log OR, demonstrated symmetry. Both Egger's test (P = 0.795) and Begg's test (P = 0.913)



confirmed the absence of statistically significant publication bias (Supplementary Figure 6).

Discussion

This study is the first meta-analysis and systematic review to comprehensively evaluate the association between hyperlipidemia and postoperative delirium (POD). Our findings reveal that blood lipid levels were significantly higher in patients with POD compared to those without POD (NPOD). Moreover, patients with hyperlipidemia exhibited a significantly increased risk of developing POD relative to non-hyperlipidemic patients. However, the included studies exhibited heterogeneity in delirium diagnostic methods, hyperlipidemia classification, and study design (prospective vs. retrospective), which should be carefully considered when interpreting our findings.

Emerging evidence suggests that dyslipidemia may contribute to POD through multifaceted biological pathways. The association between hyperlipidemia and POD is likely mediated by neuroinflammation, oxidative stress, and cerebrovascular dysfunction. Elevated levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and triglyceride (TG) have been shown to promote the activation of microglia and astrocytes via the nuclear factorkappa B (NF-KB) pathway, leading to increased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-6, TNF- α) in the central nervous system (CNS) (Yue et al., 2024). This neuroinflammatory cascade may disrupt synaptic plasticity and neurotransmitter balance (e.g., acetylcholine, dopamine), which are critical for maintaining cognitive function during surgical recovery (Liu et al., 2023). Furthermore, hypertriglyceridemia exacerbates oxidative stress by generating reactive oxygen species (ROS) through lipid peroxidation, potentially damaging neuronal mitochondria and impairing cerebral energy metabolism (Rojas-Gutierrez et al., 2017). In contrast, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) plays a protective role through reverse cholesterol transport, reducing lipid accumulation and counteracting endothelial dysfunction, oxidative stress, inflammation, and thrombosis (Bates et al., 2017; Formiga et al., 2012, 2011). Studies consistently associate elevated serum HDL-C levels with enhanced cognitive function (Bates et al., 2017; Formiga et al., 2012, 2011). However, our meta-analysis revealed no significant association



FIGURE 4

Forest plot illustrating the subgroup analysis evaluating the association between hyperlipidemia and risk of postoperative delirium, stratified by lipid profile classification

between hyperlipidemia (elevated TC/TG levels) and POD incidence. Notably, we identified a critical relationship between preoperative serum HDL-C levels and POD risk, suggesting that low preoperative HDL-C may serve as an independent risk factor for POD development.

Emerging evidence presents a complex interplay between dyslipidemia, lipid-lowering therapies, and postoperative delirium. While epidemiological studies frequently identify hyperlipidemia as a modifiable risk factor for POD, the therapeutic implications remain controversial. Preclinical models suggest that statins may attenuate neuroinflammation through pleiotropic effects on bloodbrain barrier integrity and microglial activation, likely due to their pleiotropic effects beyond cholesterol reduction, including antiinflammatory properties (via inhibition of isoprenoid synthesis) and endothelial stabilization (De Loecker and Preiser, 2012). However, randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have failed to consistently replicate these benefits, possibly due to heterogeneity in statin regimens (e.g., dosage, duration) and surgical context (e.g., cardiac vs. non-cardiac procedures) (Vallabhajosyula et al., 2017). Intriguingly, a lipid paradox has been observed: both severe hypertriglyceridemia and aggressive lipid-lowering correlate with increased POD incidence, suggesting a U-shaped relationship between lipid homeostasis and neurological outcomes (Chang et al., 2024). This phenomenon may reflect the dual role of cholesterol in neuroprotection (e.g., synaptic membrane integrity) vs. neurotoxicity (e.g., atherogenic plaque-induced ischemia) (Zhang and Liu, 2015).

The clinical implications of our findings highlight several key areas for improving POD risk management. Preoperative lipid profiling, including subtyping hyperlipidemia (e.g., distinguishing atherogenic dyslipidemia from isolated hypertriglyceridemia), may enhance POD risk stratification. The timing of lipid modulation is also critical, as chronic statin use appears beneficial, while abrupt perioperative withdrawal may trigger rebound inflammation (Pineda and Cubeddu, 2011). Additionally, novel therapeutic targets such as proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) inhibitors, which reduce LDL-C without crossing the blood-brain barrier, warrant further investigation

ID	OR (95% CI)	Weight
САМ		
Lin 2022 (TC) (adjusted)	➡ 3.15 (1.86, 5.33)	7.50
Lin 2022 (TG) (adjusted)	- 2.48 (1.57, 3.92)	8.13
Lin 2022 (LDL–C) (adjusted) \downarrow	— 2.47 (1.31, 4.66)	6.60
Lin 2022 (HDL–C) (adjusted)	3 .88 (1.68, 8.93)	5.14
Wang 2015 (unadjusted)	0.77 (0.28, 2.12)	4.14
Chu 2021 (unadjusted)	1.04 (0.55, 1.97)	6.58
Subtotal (I-squared = 62.6% , p = 0.020)	2.09 (1.36, 3.21)	38.10
· 3D-CAM		
Zhao 2024 (TC) (adjusted)	1.38 (1.00, 1.89)	9.32
Zhao 2024 (TG) (adjusted)	1.26 (1.01, 1.59)	9.99
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0% , p = 0.669)	1.30 (1.08, 1.56)	19.31
Multiple Delirium Scale		
Feinkohl 2023 (TG) (adjusted)	1.16 (0.77, 1.74)	8.55
Feinkohl 2023 (HDL–C) (adjusted)	1.84 (1.21, 2.78)	8.48
Subtotal (I-squared = 58.5% , p = 0.120)	1.46 (0.93, 2.29)	17.03
CAM-ICU		
Ding 2024 (unadjusted)	4.40 (1.53, 12.66)	3.90
Li 2021 (HDL–C) (adjusted)	1.41 (1.12, 1.79)	9.96
Subtotal (I-squared = 76.6%, p = 0.039)	> 2.20 (0.74, 6.57)	13.86
DSM–IV		
Sugimoto 2015 (unadjusted)	0.45 (0.22, 0.89)	6.15
Böhner 2023 (TC) (unadjusted)	0.35 (0.16, 0.77)	5.54
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0% , p = 0.663)	0.40 (0.24, 0.68)	11.70
. Overall (I-squared = 76.9% , p = 0.000)	1.47 (1.13, 1.91)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
.079 1	12.7	

for POD prevention (Agnello et al., 2024). Future research should focus on elucidating perioperative lipidomic changes and their interactions with blood-brain barrier permeability, alongside conducting large-scale RCTs to evaluate the efficacy of personalized lipid management protocols in high-risk surgical populations.

The potential sources of heterogeneity may include the following aspects. First, the methodologies for diagnosing delirium varied significantly across studies. Some studies employed the Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) (Feinkohl et al., 2023; Lin et al., 2022; Chu et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2015), a tool designed to assist non-psychiatric clinicians in identifying delirium, which has demonstrated high sensitivity and specificity consistent with the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) criteria. In contrast, other

studies required delirium diagnoses to be made by psychiatrists using the Delirium Rating Scale (DRS) in conjunction with DSM-IV standards (Böhner et al., 2003). This variability in diagnostic tools may have introduced bias, as some tools are more sensitive to mild cases, while others focus on severe cases, potentially influencing the reported prevalence rates and associations. Additionally, the inconsistent and imprecise definitions of hyperlipidemia across studies may have further contributed to heterogeneity. While some studies provided explicit diagnostic criteria for hyperlipidemia (Zhao et al., 2024; Feinkohl et al., 2023; Li et al., 2021), others used more generalized definitions without distinguishing between low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C). Such inconsistency could have led to the misclassification of participants as hyperlipidemic or non-hyperlipidemic, potentially

ID	OR (95% CI)	Weight
Non–cardiac surgery		
Lin 2022 (TC) (adjusted)	- 3.15 (1.86, 5.33)	7.50
Lin 2022 (TG) (adjusted)	2.48 (1.57, 3.92)	8.13
Lin 2022 (LDL–C) (adjusted)	- 2.47 (1.31, 4.66)	6.60
Lin 2022 (HDL–C) (adjusted)	► 3.88 (1.68, 8.93)	5.14
Zhao 2024 (TC) (adjusted)	1.38 (1.00, 1.89)	9.32
Zhao 2024 (TG) (adjusted)	1.26 (1.01, 1.59)	9.99
Feinkohl 2023 (TG) (adjusted)	1.16 (0.77, 1.74)	8.55
Feinkohl 2023 (HDL–C) (adjusted)	1.84 (1.21, 2.78)	8.48
Sugimoto 2015 (unadjusted)	0.45 (0.22, 0.89)	6.15
Wang 2015 (unadjusted)	0.77 (0.28, 2.12)	4.14
Böhner 2023 (TC) (unadjusted)	0.35 (0.16, 0.77)	5.54
Chu 2021 (unadjusted)	1.04 (0.55, 1.97)	6.58
Subtotal (I-squared = 78.8%, $p = 0.000$)	1.40 (1.03, 1.90)	86.14
Cardiac surgery		
Ding 2024 (unadjusted)	 ▲ 4.40 (1.53, 12.66) 	3.90
Li 2021 (HDL–C) (adjusted)	1.41 (1.12, 1.79)	9.96
Subtotal (I-squared = 76.6% , p = 0.039)	> 2.20 (0.74, 6.57)	13.86
. Overall (I-squared = 76.9%, $p = 0.000$)	1.47 (1.13, 1.91)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
.079 1	12.7	

overestimating or underestimating the true association between hyperlipidemia and outcomes, such as postoperative delirium. Furthermore, several studies were retrospective in design (Chu et al., 2021; Li et al., 2021; Sugimoto et al., 2015), potentially identifying only patients with pronounced symptoms that captured the attention of healthcare providers, thereby possibly missing cases of low-activity delirium. This limitation may lead to insufficient documentation of patients' mental states in medical records, resulting in an underrepresentation of delirium cases in retrospective analyses. In contrast, prospective studies can address this issue by implementing structured evaluation protocols that assess patients at least once daily. Variations in surgical techniques may also contribute to the discrepancies observed among studies. For instance, during cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) in cardiac surgery, blood exposure to foreign materials can trigger a systemic inflammatory response, potentially leading to postoperative neurocognitive decline. Additionally, hemodynamic fluctuations during CPB may impair cerebral perfusion, causing cerebral ischemia-a well-established risk factor for POD (Hatami et al., 2022).

This study has several limitations. First, to minimize residual confounding bias, we prioritized the use of adjusted ORs in our analysis. However, differences in adjusted variables across studies may introduce bias, which represents a limitation of our study. Importantly, sensitivity analyses confirmed the robustness of our findings: excluding studies that reported only unadjusted ORs did not substantially change the direction or significance of the pooled results. Second, our study was characterized by substantial heterogeneity. Although we explored potential sources of heterogeneity through subgroup analyses, the limited number of studies with shared characteristics restricted the scope of these analyses. Finally, the variability in diagnostic tools and hyperlipidemia definitions across studies may have increased heterogeneity and bias, ultimately limiting the generalizability of our findings.

Conclusions

Blood lipid levels were significantly elevated in POD patients compared to NPOD patients. Hyperlipidemia was significantly

associated with an increased risk of POD, highlighting its potential role as a risk factor.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

L-qQ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. J-IS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. L-cZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Writing – original draft. BF: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. QL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. QL: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. BL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. G-yC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnagi.2025. 1544838/full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

Forest plot of subgroup analysis assessing the relationship between hyperlipidemia and the risk of postoperative delirium, stratified by the research types.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2

Forest plot presenting the subgroup analysis of the association between hyperlipidemia and postoperative delirium risk, stratified by geographical region.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 3

Forest plot of subgroup analysis evaluating the association between hyperlipidemia and the risk of postoperative delirium, stratified by country.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 4

Forest plot illustrating the subgroup analysis evaluating the association between hyperlipidemia and postoperative delirium risk, stratified by the extent of covariate adjustment.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 5 Sensitivity analyses of OR data.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 6 Funnel plot of the standard error of log OR by log OR

SUPPLEMENTARY FILE 1 The Search strategy for pubmed.

SUPPLEMENTARY FILE 2 PRISMA checklist.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1 Characteristics of the included studies.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 2 Newcastle-Ottawa Scale criteria for case-control studies

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 3 Newcastle-Ottawa Scale criteria for quality of cohort studies.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 4 Sensitivity Analysis by Excluding Studies with Only Unadjusted Odds Ratios (ORs).

References

Agnello, F., Mauro, M. S., Rochira, C., Landolina, D., Finocchiaro, S., Greco, A., et al. (2024). PCSK9 inhibitors: current status and emerging frontiers in lipid control. *Expert Rev. Cardiovasc. Ther.* 22, 41–58. doi: 10.1080/14779072.2023.2288169

Bai, J., Liang, Y., Zhang, P., Liang, X., He, J., Wang, J., et al. (2020). Association between postoperative delirium and mortality in elderly patients undergoing hip fractures surgery: a meta-analysis. *Osteoporos. Int.* 31, 317–326. doi: 10.1007/s00198-019-05172-7 Bates, K. A., Sohrabi, H. R., Rainey-Smith, S. R., Weinborn, M., Bucks, R. S., Rodrigues, M., et al. (2017). Serum high-density lipoprotein is associated with better cognitive function in a cross-sectional study of aging women. *Int. J. Neurosci.* 127, 243–252. doi: 10.1080/00207454.2016.1182527

Böhner, H., Hummel, T. C., Habel, U., Miller, C., Reinbott, S., Yang, Q., et al. (2003). Predicting delirium after vascular surgery: a model based on pre- and intraoperative data. *Ann. Surg.* 238, 149–156. doi: 10.1097/01.sla.0000077920.38307.5f Buckley, R. F., Mormino, E. C., Amariglio, R. E., Properzi, M. J., Rabin, J. S., Lim, Y. Y., et al. (2018). Sex, amyloid, and APOE ε4 and risk of cognitive decline in preclinical Alzheimer's disease: findings from three well-characterized cohorts. *Alzheimers Dement.* 14, 1193–1203. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2018.04.010

Chang, H. T., Chan, P. C., and Chiu, P. Y. (2024). Non-linear relationship between serum cholesterol levels and cognitive change among older people in the preclinical and prodromal stages of dementia: a retrospective longitudinal study in Taiwan. *BMC Geriatr.* 24:474. doi: 10.1186/s12877-024-05030-0

Chu, Z., Wu, Y., Dai, X., Zhang, C., and He, Q. (2021). The risk factors of postoperative delirium in general anesthesia patients with hip fracture: attention needed. *Medicine* 100:e26156. doi: 10.1097/MD.000000000026156

De Loecker, I., and Preiser, J. C. (2012). Statins in the critically ill. Ann. Intensive Care 2:19. doi: 10.1186/2110-5820-2-19

Ding, Y., Gao, J., Ge, Y., Huang, T., and Zhang, Y. (2024). Risk factors for postoperative delirium in frail elderly patients undergoing on-pump cardiac surgery and development of a prediction model: a prospective observational study. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* 11:1425621. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2024.1425621

Feinkohl, I., Janke, J., Slooter, A. J. C., Winterer, G., Spies, C., and Pischon, T. (2023). Metabolic syndrome and the risk of postoperative delirium and postoperative cognitive dysfunction: a multi-centre cohort study. *Br. J. Anaesth.* 131, 338–347. doi: 10.1016/j.bja.2023.04.031

Fong, T. G., Vasunilashorn, S. M., Gou, Y., Libermann, T. A., Dillon, S., Schmitt, E., et al. (2021). Association of CSF Alzheimer's disease biomarkers with postoperative delirium in older adults. *Alzheimers Dement*. 7:e12125. doi: 10.1002/trc2. 12125

Formiga, F., Ferrer, A., Chivite, D., Pinto, X., Badia, T., Padrós, G., et al. (2012). Serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels correlate well with functional but not with cognitive status in 85-year-old subjects. *J. Nutr. Health Aging* 16, 449–453. doi: 10.1007/s12603-012-0018-z

Formiga, F., Ferrer, A., Chivite, D., Pinto, X., Cuerpo, S., and Pujol, R. (2011). Serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels, their relationship with baseline functional and cognitive status, and their utility in predicting mortality in nonagenarians. *Gerontol. Int.* 11, 358–364. doi: 10.1111/j.1447-0594.2010.00681.x

Gravante, F., Giannarelli, D., Pucci, A., Gagliardi, A. M., Mitello, L., Montagna, A., et al. (2021). Prevalence and risk factors of delirium in the intensive care unit: an observational study. *Nurs. Crit. Care* 26, 156–165. doi: 10.1111/nicc.12526

Hatami, S., Hefler, J., and Freed, D. H. (2022). Inflammation and oxidative stress in the context of extracorporeal cardiac and pulmonary support. *Front. Immunol.* 13:831930. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.831930

Inouye, S. K., Westendorp, R. G., and Saczynski, J. S. (2014). Delirium in elderly people. *Lancet* 383, 911–922. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60688-1

Jin, Z., Hu, J., and Ma, D. (2020). Postoperative delirium: perioperative assessment, risk reduction, and management. *Br. J. Anaesth.* 125, 492-504. doi: 10.1016/j.bja.2020.06.063

Kazmierski, J., Miler, P., Pawlak, A., Jerczyńska, H., Nowakowska, K., Walkiewicz, G., et al. (2022). Increased postoperative myeloperoxidase concentration associated with low baseline antioxidant capacity as the risk factor of delirium after cardiac surgery. *Ann. Med.* 54, 610–616. doi: 10.1080/07853890.2022.2039405

Li, J., Meng, D., Chang, C., Fu, B., Xie, C., Wu, Z., et al. (2021). Risk factors for delirium after coronary artery bypass grafting in elderly patients. *Ann. Transl. Med.* 9:1666. doi: 10.21037/atm-21-5160

Li, J. J., Zhao, S. P., Zhao, D., Lu, G. P., Peng, D. Q., Liu, J., et al. (2023). 2023 Chinese guideline for lipid management. *Front. Pharmacol.* 14:1190934. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2023.1190934

Lin, Y., Peng, X., Lin, X., Deng, X., Liu, F., Tao, H., et al. (2022). Potential value of serum lipid in the identification of postoperative delirium undergoing knee/hip arthroplasty: the perioperative neurocognitive disorder and biomarker lifestyle study. *Front. Psychiatry* 13:870317. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.870317

Liu, Y., Yang, W., Xue, J., Chen, J., Liu, S., Zhang, S., et al. (2023). Neuroinflammation: the central enabler of postoperative cognitive dysfunction. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 167:115582. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2023.115582

Pérez-Gálvez, A., Jarén-Galán, M., Garrido-Fernández, J., Calvo, M. V., Visioli, F., and Fontecha, J. (2018). Activities, bioavailability, and metabolism of lipids from structural membranes and oils: promising research on mild cognitive impairment. *Pharmacol. Res.* 134, 299–304. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2018.07.013

Pineda, A., and Cubeddu, L. X. (2011). Statin rebound or withdrawal syndrome: does it exist? *Curr. Atheroscler. Rep.* 13, 23–30. doi: 10.1007/s11883-010-0148-x

Rojas-Gutierrez, E., Muñoz-Arenas, G., Treviño, S., Espinosa, B., Chavez, R., Rojas, K., et al. (2017). Alzheimer's disease and metabolic syndrome: a link from oxidative stress and inflammation to neurodegeneration. *Synapse* 71:e21990. doi: 10.1002/syn.21990

Rong, X., Ding, Z. C., Yu, H. D., Yao, S. Y., and Zhou, Z. K. (2021). Risk factors of postoperative delirium in the knee and hip replacement patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Orthop. Surg. Res.* 16:76. doi: 10.1186/s13018-020-02127-1

Scholz, A. F., Oldroyd, C., McCarthy, K., Quinn, T. J., and Hewitt, J. (2016). Systematic review and meta-analysis of risk factors for postoperative delirium among older patients undergoing gastrointestinal surgery. *Br. J. Surg.* 103, e21–e28. doi: 10.1002/bjs.10062

Shamseer, L., Moher, D., Clarke, M., Ghersi, D., Liberati, A., Petticrew, M., et al. (2015). Preferred reporting items for systematic review and metaanalysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. *BMJ* 350:g7647. doi: 10.1136/bmj.g7647

Stang, A. (2010). Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* 25, 603–605. doi: 10.1007/s10654-010-9491-z

Sugimoto, M., Kodama, A., Narita, H., Banno, H., Yamamoto, K., and Komori, K. (2015). Pre- and intraoperative predictors of delirium after open abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *Ann. Vasc. Dis.* 8, 215–219. doi: 10.3400/avd.oa.15-00054

Swarbrick, C. J., and Partridge, J. S. L. (2022). Evidence-based strategies to reduce the incidence of postoperative delirium: a narrative review. *Anaesthesia* 77, 92–101. doi: 10.1111/anae.15607

Vallabhajosyula, S., Kanmanthareddy, A., Erwin, P. J., Esterbrooks, D. J., and Morrow, L. E. (2017). Role of statins in delirium prevention in critical ill and cardiac surgery patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Crit. Care* 37, 189–196. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrc.2016.09.025

Wang, J., Li, Z., Yu, Y., Li, B., Shao, G., and Wang, Q. (2015). Risk factors contributing to postoperative delirium in geriatric patients postorthopedic surgery. *Asia-Pac. Psychiatry* 7, 375–382. doi: 10.1111/appy.12193

Wang, Y. Y., Yue, J. R., Xie, D. M., Carter, P., Li, Q. L., Gartaganis, S. L., et al. (2020). Effect of the tailored, family-involved hospital elder life program on postoperative delirium and function in older adults: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern. Med.* 180, 17–25. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2019.4446

Yan, E., Veitch, M., Saripella, A., Alhamdah, Y., Butris, N., Tang-Wai, D. F., et al. (2023). Association between postoperative delirium and adverse outcomes in older surgical patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Clin. Anesth.* 90:111221. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinane.2023.111221

Yue, C., Fu, Y., Zhao, Y., Ou, Y., Sun, Y., and Tan, L. (2024). Association between Alzheimer's disease and metabolic syndrome: unveiling the role of dyslipidemia mechanisms. *Brain Netw. Disord.* doi: 10.1016/j.bnd.2024.10.006. [Epub ahead of print].

Zhang, J., and Liu, Q. (2015). Cholesterol metabolism and homeostasis in the brain. *Protein Cell* 6, 254–264. doi: 10.1007/s13238-014-0131-3

Zhao, Y., Zhong, K., Zheng, Y., Xia, X., Lin, X., Kowark, A., et al. (2024). Postoperative delirium risk in patients with hyperlipidemia: a prospective cohort study. *J. Clin. Anesth.* 98:111573. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinane.2024. 111573