Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY John Semmler, University of Adelaide, Australia

REVIEWED BY Ronan Mooney, Shirley Ryan AbilityLab, United States Wei-Yeh Liao, University of Adelaide, Australia

\*CORRESPONDENCE Koen Cuypers ⊠ koen.cuypers@uhasselt.be

RECEIVED 10 May 2025 ACCEPTED 12 June 2025 PUBLISHED 03 July 2025

#### CITATION

Van Malderen S, Hehl M, Verstraelen S, Breugelmans R, Oeltzschner G, Swinnen SP and Cuypers K (2025) GABA, Glx, and GSH in the cerebellum: their role in motor performance and learning across age groups. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 17:1626417.

doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2025.1626417

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Van Malderen, Hehl, Verstraelen, Breugelmans, Oeltzschner, Swinnen and Cuypers. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# GABA, Glx, and GSH in the cerebellum: their role in motor performance and learning across age groups

Shanti Van Malderen<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Melina Hehl<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Stefanie Verstraelen<sup>1</sup>, Robbe Breugelmans<sup>5</sup>, Georg Oeltzschner<sup>6</sup>, Stephan P. Swinnen<sup>2,3</sup> and Koen Cuypers<sup>1,2,3</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Neuroplasticity and Movement Control Research Group, Rehabilitation Research Institute (REVAL), Hasselt University, Diepenbeek, Belgium, <sup>2</sup>KU Leuven, Leuven Brain Institute (LBI), Leuven, Belgium, <sup>3</sup>Movement Control and Neuroplasticity Research Group, Department of Movement Sciences, Group Biomedical Sciences, KU Leuven, Heverlee, Belgium, <sup>4</sup>Translational MRI, Department of Imaging and Pathology, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium, <sup>5</sup>Imo-imomec, Hasselt University, Hasselt, Belgium, <sup>6</sup>Medicine, Department of Radiology and Radiological Science, Johns Hopkins, Baltimore, MD, United States

**Introduction:** The cerebellum is essential for motor control and learning, relying on structural and functional integrity. Age-related atrophy leads to Purkinje cell loss, but subtle neurochemical changes in GABA, Glx (glutamate + glutamine), and glutathione (GSH) may precede degeneration and contribute to motor decline.

**Methods:** 25 younger (YA) and 25 older adults (OA) were included in this study. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS), using the MEGA-PRESS sequence, was used to investigate how age affects GABA, Glx and GSH levels in the right cerebellar hemisphere, and their relationship with motor performance, measured using a visuomotor bimanual tracking task (BTT).

**Results:** In line with previous work YA outperformed OA on both the simple and complex task variants of the BTT. Furthermore, YA demonstrated faster short-term motor learning as compared to OA. On the metabolic level, no significant age group differences in cerebellar GABA, Glx or GSH levels, nor any task-related modulation of GABA or Glx were observed. Additionally, neither baseline neurometabolite levels nor their modulation predicted motor performance or learning.

**Discussion:** These results align with previous research suggesting that neurometabolic aging is region-specific, with the cerebellum potentially being more resilient due to its slower aging process. Since neither baseline nor task-related modulation of GABA, Glx, or GSH predicted motor performance or learning, cerebellar neurometabolite concentrations may not directly underlie age-related behavioral changes. Instead, volumetric decline and changes in structural and functional connectivity in the aging cerebellum may play a more significant role in motor decline as compared to neurochemical alterations. Nonetheless, it is important to consider that motor performance and learning rely on distributed brain networks—including cortical and subcortical structures— which also undergo age-related changes and may contribute to observed behavioral declines. While our findings do not support a direct role of cerebellar neurometabolite levels in age-related motor performance differences, they underscore the complexity of neurochemical aging.

#### KEYWORDS

magnetic resonance spectroscopy, cerebellum, aging, gamma-aminobutyric acid, Glx, glutathione

# **1** Introduction

The cerebellum plays a vital role in a wide range of functions, including motor control, particularly in tasks involving coordination, such as bimanual movements and visuomotor tracking (Miall et al., 2001; Debaere et al., 2004; e.g., Boisgontier et al., 2018) as well as motor learning (e.g., D'Angelo, 2018; Hull and Regehr, 2022). Similar to cortical brain regions, the cerebellum undergoes atrophic changes with advancing age (Raz et al., 2010; Ramanoel et al., 2018; Han et al., 2020). In particular, cerebellar gray matter (GM) (Andersen et al., 2003; Yu et al., 2017) and white matter (WM) (Raz et al., 2005) have been reported to decrease across the entire cerebellum with advancing age. Nevertheless, GM loss exhibits a spatiotemporal heterogeneous pattern in which right lobule V and bilateral lobule VIIIa-distinct areas of motor representation (Buckner et al., 2011)-showed higher rates of GM loss as compared to the overall rate (Yu et al., 2017). Age-related volumetric changes in the cerebellum manifest in significant declines in motor coordination and motor learning (Raz et al., 2000; e.g., Boisgontier et al., 2018). Specifically, Boisgontier et al. (2018) showed that cerebellar GM loss directly correlates with bimanual coordination performance deficits in older adults, highlighting the key role of cerebellar degeneration in broader behavioral impairments.

The decline in cerebellar volume coincides with significant neuronal loss, as the cerebellum undergoes a 40% loss of both Purkinje and granule cells (Andersen et al., 2003), generally beginning between the ages of 50 and 60 years (Hall et al., 1975). In addition to the apotheosis of Purkinje cells, research in cats and rodents also shows degeneration of Purkinje cells with increasing age (Woodruff-Pak et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010; Childs et al., 2021). This loss and degradation of Purkinje cells has been suggested to relate to behavioral changes in animal models like Lurcher mutant mice-an animal model of cerebellar degeneration-, which show impaired motor skills, reduced motor learning, and deficits in spatial orientation and associative learning (Hilber and Caston, 2001; Porras-García et al., 2005; Cendelín et al., 2008; Porras-García et al., 2010). However, not all dysfunctions can be attributed to volumetric changes or Purkinje cell loss and degradation alone. Subtler structural or biochemical changes often precede neuronal death, as seen in Staggerer mutant mice, where motor and working memory impairments emerge even when Purkinje cell numbers remain intact (Caston et al., 2003; Caston et al., 2004). This suggests that, alongside other factors such as neural functional changes (Seidler et al., 2010; Bernard and Seidler, 2014; Bernard et al., 2021), early alterations in cerebellar biochemical properties may significantly contribute to the progressive decline in motor and cognitive functions with age. Despite evidence of structural cerebellar decline with age, recent studies using cerebellar brain inhibition (CBI) have demonstrated functional resilience of cerebellar excitability in older adults (Mooney et al., 2022; Van Malderen et al., 2025). Furthermore, the use of the same bimanual tracking task in Van Malderen et al. (2025) as in the present study supports its suitability for engaging the cerebellum in older adults (Boisgontier et al., 2018). Yet, age-related differences in cerebellar neurochemical processes and their relationship with motor control and motor learning in humans remain largely unexplored.

As Purkinje cells, which are the main output neurons of the cerebellar hemispheres, use gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA)ergic neurotransmission to exert inhibitory control via their projections (Sastry et al., 1997), optimal GABAergic function can be considered to play a vital role in cerebellar function (Gao et al., 2006). GABA is the primary inhibitory neurotransmitter in the human brain, and its importance in human motor behavior and motor learning has already been demonstrated in cerebral regions (e.g., Boy et al., 2010; Maes et al., 2022; for a review see: Li et al., 2022).

As glutamate (Glu) is the principal excitatory neurotransmitter in the human brain, its role is equally vital for proper cerebellar function. In the cerebellum, granule cells, mossy fibers, and part of the neurons of the deep cerebellar nuclei-constituting the main class of excitatory projections to the thalamus-primarily utilize glutamate as their neurotransmitter (Bentivoglio and Kuypers, 1982; Bentivoglio and Molinari, 1986; Otis et al., 1997; Ruigrok et al., 2015). Optimal glutamatergic signaling is thus essential for the modulation and coordination of motor output. The importance of cerebellar glutamate in motor performance is highlighted, among other things, by the disruption of the cerebellar error correction mechanism observed in neurodegenerative cerebellar disorders affecting motor control, such as essential tremor (Tapper et al., 2020; also see their Figure 4). Due to the difficulty in spectrally separating glutamate from glutamine in magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) at 3 T, their concentrations are often reported as a combined measure referred to as Glx (Bell et al., 2021).

In addition to baseline (resting state) GABA and Glx levels, the modulation of GABA and Glx levels during motor execution (taskrelated) is suggested to play a vital role, as motor performance and skill acquisition through short-term learning are dependent on the adaptive changes in GABA and Glx within task-specific brain regions (Marsman et al., 2017; Maes et al., 2022; Li et al., 2024b; Hehl et al., 2025; Rodríguez-Nieto et al., 2025). Nevertheless, GABA and Glx levels appear to change with age (Huang et al., 2017; Porges et al., 2017a; Zuppichini et al., 2024), and these changes have been associated with the decline of various motor behaviors (Zahr et al., 2008; Porges et al., 2017a; Hermans et al., 2018a; Marenco et al., 2018; Cassady et al., 2019; Chamberlain et al., 2021; Krishnamurthy et al., 2022; Pasanta et al., 2023). However, most research has focused on cortical regions such as the primary sensorimotor cortex (SM1) and supplementary motor areas, but evidence suggests that GABA levels are differentially linked to motor performance depending on the specific brain region (e.g., Puts et al., 2011; Hermans et al., 2018a; Maes et al., 2021; Li et al., 2022). Specifically, GABA levels in a given brain region are associated with motor performance in tasks that critically depend on that particular region. While Long et al. (2015) demonstrated the feasibility and reproducibility of cerebellar GABA-edited MRS in older adults, the relationship between motor behavior and potential age-related differences in both baseline cerebellar GABA and Glx levels, as well as their task-related modulation, remains unexplored. Given the cerebellum's vulnerability to atrophic changes and its importance in motor control and learning, it is essential to investigate whether age-related changes in GABA and Glx levels contribute to impaired motor coordination and learning.

Previous studies investigating age-related differences in cortical GABA+ and Glx levels have yielded inconsistent findings. Particularly, while some have reported lower GABA+ levels in older compared to younger adults (e.g., Chalavi et al., 2018; Cassady et al., 2019; Chamberlain et al., 2021; Maes et al., 2021; Heise et al., 2022), others found no significant group differences (e.g., Hermans et al., 2018b; Maes et al., 2018; Cuypers et al., 2021). Similarly, findings on Glx are mixed, with some reporting cortical Glx declines (e.g., Huang et al., 2017; Levin et al., 2019), while others observed no age-related differences (e.g., Weerasekera et al., 2020). These discrepancies may be due to regional variation in age differences in neurometabolite levels (Rodríguez-Nieto et al., 2023; Li et al., 2024a). However, direct evidence for age-related differences in cerebellar GABA+ and Glx concentrations remains sparse, highlighting the importance of examining these neurochemicals in the aging cerebellum.

In addition to GABA and Glx, assessing glutathione (GSH)—the brain's primary antioxidant that protects neurons from oxidative damage—is highly valuable, particularly in the context of neurodegenerative processes such as aging (Chakrabarti et al., 2011; Mazzetti et al., 2015). This is especially relevant for the cerebellum, and particularly the granule layer, given its vulnerability to oxidative stress (Wang et al., 2009; Wang and Michaelis, 2010). Specifically, while most brain neurons demonstrate resilience to oxidative stress, neurons in specific regions, such as the hippocampal CA1 area and the cerebellar granule cell layer, are notably susceptible (Wilde et al., 1997; Wang et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2009). This heightened sensitivity to oxidative stress has been suggested to potentially contribute to the pronounced loss of cerebellar granule neurons observed in older adults (Andersen et al., 2003), potentially impacting cerebellar function over time.

While some studies report lower GSH levels in certain cortical regions with aging (e.g., Emir et al., 2011; Suri et al., 2017; Hu et al., 2024), others have found higher cortical GSH levels in the medial frontal and sensorimotor cortices of healthy older adults (Hupfeld et al., 2021). Interestingly, elevated GSH levels in the sensorimotor cortex were negatively associated with motor performance (Hupfeld et al., 2021). These mixed findings highlight inconsistencies in the current literature regarding the impact of aging on GSH levels and their functional significance remains insufficiently explored. Given that GSH levels have been found to decrease in spinocerebellar ataxia (Doss et al., 2015), it remains an open question whether similar changes occur in the aging cerebellum and, if so, how this relates to motor function. Hence, investigating GSH levels alongside GABA in the cerebellum may provide a more comprehensive understanding of the neurochemical changes associated with aging and their impact on motor control.

Both GABA and GSH can be quantified in humans using MRS, a noninvasive imaging technique that allows for the estimation of regional metabolite concentrations *in vivo*. Specifically, the MEscher– GArwood Point RESolved Spectroscopy (MEGA-PRESS) sequence (Mescher et al., 1998) enables the selective measurement of low-concentration metabolites like GABA and GSH by isolating their signals from those of more abundant overlapping metabolites.

This study aims to address key gaps in the literature by examining age-related changes in cerebellar GABA (both baseline measures and

its modulation) and GSH levels and their associations with motor control and short-term motor learning. Specifically, we aim to address whether (1) GABA, Glx and GSH levels differ between younger and older adults; (2) GABA and Glx levels are being modulated during task performance and whether this task-related modulation of GABA and/or Glx differs between age groups; and whether motor performance and short-term motor learning are predicted by (3a) resting GABA, Glx or GSH levels, or (3b) task-related GABA and/or Glx modulation. By addressing these questions, this study seeks to elucidate the neurochemical basis of age-related motor control changes and the role of the cerebellum in these processes.

Based on evidence of age-related reductions in cortical GABA and Glx levels (Huang et al., 2017; Porges et al., 2017a; Maes et al., 2021; Zuppichini et al., 2024; Rodríguez-Nieto et al., 2025), alterations in their task-related modulation (Maes et al., 2022; Rodríguez-Nieto et al., 2025), and the association of these changes with impaired motor control (e.g., Hermans et al., 2018a; Cassady et al., 2019; Maes et al., 2021)—as well as findings of declining GSH levels (in some but not all studies) with age (e.g., Emir et al., 2011; Suri et al., 2017; Hu et al., 2024)—we hypothesized that:

- 1. Resting levels of GABA, Glx, and GSH differ between older and younger adults, consistent with prior findings in cortical motor regions.
- 2. GABA and Glx levels are modulated during task performance (2a), in line with previous research in cortical brain regions, with younger adults showing different task-related modulation than older adults (2b), as seen in Maes et al. (2022) for example.
- 3. Motor performance and short-term motor learning are predicted by resting levels of GABA, Glx, and GSH (3a), and task-related modulation of GABA and/or Glx (3b).

# 2 Methods

# 2.1 Participants

A total of 50 right-handed participants distributed across two age groups—younger adults (YA) aged 20 to 40 years (N = 25; mean±SD 25 ± 5 years) and older adults (OA) aged 60 to 80 years (N = 25; mean±SD 68 ± 5 years)—were included in this MRS study. All participants were right-handed [determined using the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory (EHI) (Oldfield, 1971); scores ranging from -100 to +100, where values above +50 indicated right-handedness; inclusion cut off  $\geq$  50]. All participants had normal or corrected-to-normal vision. Recruitment took place across Flanders, Belgium, through community and university channels, including social media, the research group's website, advertisements for the experiment in lectures focused on learning after retirement, and posters. Additionally, older adults listed in the Movement Control & Neuroplasticity Research Groups' database at KU Leuven were contacted via email or telephone.

Prior to participation, participants were extensively screened. Cognitive and executive functioning were evaluated using the Montreal Cognitive Assessment [MoCA; Nasreddine et al., 2005] using a cut-off score of  $\leq 23/30$  (Carson et al., 2018). To screen for self-reported depressive symptoms, participants completed the 21-item Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), with higher scores

reflecting more severe depressive symptoms and using a cut-off score of >13 (Beck et al., 1961; Van der Does, 2002). The 90-item Symptom Checklist (SCL) was also administered to assess physical and psychological symptoms, with a cut-off score of >81 (Derogatis, 1975), ensuring participants were in good mental and physical health. Table 1 displays questionnaire scores and demographics per group. Finally, participants were excluded if they self-reported (a history of) central nervous system disorders, psychiatric conditions, use of medications that affect the central nervous system (e.g., sedatives, antidepressants), brain surgeries or injuries, health issues or treatments influencing the central nervous system, or a history of drug or alcohol abuse, or if the reported any contraindications to MRI (e.g., metal implants, claustrophobia).

The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee (Ethics Committee Research UZ/KU Leuven; reference S66028) and participants gave full written informed consent prior to study participation, according to the latest amendment of the Declaration of Helsinki (World Medical Association, 2013).

## 2.2 Overview experimental protocol

Prior to inclusion, each participant underwent a screening session to ensure eligibility. This session encompassed an evaluation of the previously outlined inclusion and exclusion criteria (see Section 2.1 Participants) and a practical familiarization phase. For the latter, participants entered a mock scanner, a non-magnetic replica of the MRI machine, to simulate the actual experimental environment. Within this mock setup, participants performed 15 trials of the Bimanual Tracking Task (BTT), focusing only on the simple (1:1) condition (see Section 2.3 Bimanual Tracking Task). This procedure allowed assessment of participants' comfort in the scanner environment and verified participants' ability to understand and perform the required task. If participants were comfortable in the scanner and agreed to continue participation, they were scheduled for a second session at the university hospital on a different day. This session included a 105-min MRI scan with a 5 min break in between. The first part involved acquiring highresolution T1 and T2-weighted anatomical images (~10 min) and MRS measures (~4×11 min), while the second part included diffusionweighted imaging (DWI; ~15 min) and resting-state functional MRI

TABLE 1 Participant demographics.

(rs-fMRI; ~15 min). This paper will focus solely on the data from the first part of the multimodal acquisition in order to maintain coherence and flow. The experimental design is illustrated in Figure 1.

## 2.3 Bimanual tracking task (BTT)

The bimanual tracking task (BTT) was used to assess bimanual coordination and short-term motor learning since it requires real-time control of complex movement patterns with both hands. Previous studies employing a similar task design have demonstrated its sensitivity to age-related changes in bimanual coordination performance (e.g., Pauwels et al., 2015; Santos Monteiro et al., 2017; King et al., 2018; Maes et al., 2021). Originally developed by our group (Sisti et al., 2011; Pauwels et al., 2015; Monteiro et al., 2019), the set-up has since been further optimized for the MRI procedures used in this study [also see Hehl et al. (2025)]. Specifically, a non-ferromagnetic version of the BTT setup was adapted to be placed bridge-like over the participants' hips, allowing for effortless operation while they were in a supine position.

During the task-related MRS acquisition of GABA, participants performed the BTT inside the MR scanner ( $\pm$  52 trials in total over 11 min). The task was displayed via a projection screen mirrored at the participant's eye level (projection [LCD projector: NEC NP-PA500U, 1920 × 1,200 pixels] at the cranial end of the MR scanner and viewed through a mirror sized ~14 × 9 cm, positioned approximately 13 cm from the participant's eyes) and required participants to track a white target dot as it moved at a constant speed along a blue target line. Using coordinated movements of both index fingers, participants had to match the dot's trajectory as accurately as possible. Each hand was placed on a handle, with the index fingers extended and positioned in the circular grooves of rotatable dials, which controlled movement along the x-axis (right finger) and y-axis (left finger). While maintaining contact with the handles, only the index fingers could move.

Each trial began with a blue target line on a black background that remained visible until the end of each trial. A large yellow dot initially covered the smaller white target dot, marking a 2000 ms anticipatory period. Participants were instructed to start moving as soon as the yellow dot disappeared, rotating the dials to control vertical (left dial)

Characteristic	Younger adults <i>N</i> = 25 (13 F, 12 M)		Older adults N =	Group comparison				
	Median (Mean⊽)	IQR (SD⊽)	Median (Mean⊽)	IQR (SD⊽)	<i>p</i> -value WRST			
Age (years)	25.44∇	5.03∇	67.60∇	5.35∇				
Questionnaires								
EHI LQ (%)	100.00	5.00	100.00	0.00	0.4870			
MoCA (score /30)	29.00	2.00	29.00	2.00	0.4531			
SCL (score /360)	11.00	19.00	13.00	25.00	0.9149			
BDI (score /63)	4.00	6.50	4.00	7.50	0.5246			
IPAQ (MET/h)	4674.00	4456.50	4635.00	6665.25	0.4849			

Variables denoted by  $\nabla$  represent normally distributed data, for which the mean and standard deviation are reported. For non-normally distributed variables, the median and interquartile range are provided. Statistical analyses of non-normally distributed variables were conducted using the Wilcoxon Rank-sum test.

BDI, Beck's depression inventory; EHI LQ, Edinburgh handedness inventory's lateralization quotient; F, female; IPAQ, international physical activity questionnaire; IQR, interquartile range; M, male; MoCA, Montreal cognitive assessment; SCL, symptom checklist; SD, standard deviation; WRST, Wilcoxon Rank-sum test.



weighted imaging (DWI; ~15 min) and resting-state functional MRI (rs-fMRI; ~15 min). During the acquisition of anatomical scans and the first MRS measurement assessing resting gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA<sub>pre</sub>) and glutamine and glutamate (Glx<sub>pre</sub> levels) (PRE), participants watched a movie. This was followed by a task-based MRS acquisition (BTT), where participants performed a bimanual tracking task (BTT) while GABA and Glx levels were measured. The final two MRS measurements, assessing GABA<sub>post</sub>, Glx<sub>post</sub> and glutathione (GSH) were conducted at rest again (POST and GSH), allowing participants to resume watching the movie. During the second part, they remained at rest while watching a movie during the DWI acquisition, followed by looking at a white cross on a black background during the rs-fMRI acquisition. This manuscript focuses solely on MRS measurements and the anatomical T1-weighted scans necessary for overlay and segmentation, as indicated by the gray box in the figure. BTT, bimanual tracking task; DWI, diffusion-weighted imaging; GABA, gamma-aminobutyric acid; Glx, combined measure for glutamine and glutamate; GSH, glutathione; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; MRS, magnetic resonance spectroscopy; R-CB, right cerebellar hemisphere; Rs-fMRI, resting -state functional magnetic resonance imaging.

and horizontal (right dial) cursor movements, while a red line visualized the real-time trajectory of the participant's cursor (see Supplementary material 1). The movement phase lasted 10,000 ms and ended when the white dot reached the opposite end of the blue target line. Each trial lasted 12,000 ms in total, with a 2000 ms rest between movement phases. The task comprised three conditions, each with distinct inter-hand movement frequency ratios: 1:1 (iso-frequency), 1:3, and 3:1 (non-iso-frequency). The 1:1 condition, in which both fingers moved at identical speeds, represented the least complex coordination task (e.g., Swinnen, 1998; Sisti et al., 2011). In contrast, the non-iso-frequency conditions (1:3 and 3:1) required asymmetric coordination, with, respectively, the right or left index finger moving three times faster than the other. The target moved along one of four possible trajectories: toward the upper right, upper left, lower right, or lower left quadrant, requiring different rotation directions (e.g., both hands rotating clockwise when moving to the upper right).

#### 2.3.1 BTT performance

In line with Hehl et al. (2025) and Van Malderen et al. (2025), the BTT scores (S) ranged from 0 to 100, with 100 indicating perfect performance. A preliminary score P, representing the proportion of the target line 'covered' by the participant's actual movement trajectory, was first computed in accordance with Zivari Adab et al. (2020) (see their Figure 2D). More specifically, P was calculated as the total number of unique 'completed' points (i.e., points on the target template with a minimal Euclidean distance from the trajectory) divided by the total number of target template points, multiplied by 100. Higher scores were achieved when the participant's red feedback line closely aligned with and paralleled the target line, resembling the correct inter-hand frequency (Zivari Adab et al., 2020). However, to penalize those who followed a parallel trajectory but deviated significantly from the target line, the preliminary score P was adjusted by a distance correction factor D, accounting for the deviation between the participant's trajectory and the target line:

#### $S = P \cdot D$

This factor, based on the average trial distance (d) from the target line, was calculated as:

$$D = \left(1 - \frac{\eth}{8}\right)$$

With one unit corresponding to the distance that can be covered in 200 ms.



#### FIGURE 2

Overlay of voxels and spectra. **(A)** Normalized voxel masks projected into MNI space and overlaid on an MNI template, per age group. The colour coding indicates overlay agreement in percentage (yellow indicates a 100% overlap, whereas dark purple indicates a 10% overlap) of all voxel masks within each age group. The voxel placement was consistent, showing a high degree of overlap between participants. The images are according to the neurological convention (i.e., coronal and axial view with the right side on the right and the left of the image). **(B,C)** Difference spectra (mean±SD and 90% CI) per age group for GABA **(B)** and GSH (NAA alignment only) **(C)**. Plots for GSH are being displayed for each alignment method apart in Supplement 3. GABA, gamma-aminobutyric acid; Glx, combined measure for glutamine and glutamate; GSH, glutathione; Ppm, parts per million.

In contrast to Hehl et al. (2025) a denominator of 8 rather than 5 was used for correction, since independent behavioral datasets suggested a denominator of 8 to be optimal for correction in a sample consisting of older and younger adults to minimize bottom and ceiling effects. D ranged from 1 (perfect alignment) to a theoretical minimum of 0 (maximal distance from the target line). However, the lower limit of D was set at 0.1 rather than 0 to allow better differentiation between participants who performed at the lower limit.

Performance was determined by averaging all scores (S) obtained throughout the 11-min block, across all conditions.

#### 2.3.2 Short-term motor learning

Short-term motor learning was quantified using the slope (a) of a linear fit (y = ax + b) to the scores (S) across trials (Hehl et al., 2025). Specifically, the linear slopes of scores (S) (dependent variable) over trials (1 – ±52; independent variable) were estimated.

## 2.4 Magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) acquisition

All MRS and MRI data were acquired on a 3 Tesla scanner (Philips Achieva dstream scanner; University hospital Leuven, Gasthuisberg), with a 32-channel receiver head coil (Philips, Best, The Netherlands). The protocol consisted of an anatomical T1-weighted scan, followed by four successive MRS acquisitions of the right cerebellar hemisphere, performed during approximately 44 min, including three GABA-edited acquisitions—one at rest (GABA<sub>pre</sub> and Glx<sub>pre</sub>), one during task performance (GABA<sub>BTT</sub> and Glx<sub>BTT</sub>), and another at rest (GABA<sub>post</sub> and Glx<sub>post</sub>)—and one GSH-edited acquisition (see Figure 1).

The T1-weighted high-resolution anatomical image was collected using a three-dimensional turbo field echo (3DTFE) sequence [echo time (TE) = 4.6 ms, repetition time (TR) = 9.7 ms, flip angle = 8°, field of view =  $256 \times 242 \times 182$  mm, 182 sagittal slices, voxel size =  $1 \times 1 \times 1$  mm3; acquisition time ~6 min] and was used for MRS voxel placement and correction of MRS quantification based on anatomical information.

For MRS, acquisition voxels were positioned in the right cerebellar hemisphere [30 (AP) x 25 (LR) x 25 (HF) mm<sup>3</sup> = 18.75 mL], see Figure 2. Specifically, the VOI was positioned at the top of the cerebellum, aligned with the cerebellar border along the cerebrum, and placed as medially as possible to include the dentate nucleus, while avoiding contact with the fourth ventricle in both the sagittal and coronal planes. In exceptional cases—such as participant discomfort or technical issues—excessive head movement or briefly removing the participant from the scanner for an additional break required the T1-weighted anatomical scan to be repeated and the MRS voxel to be repositioned. This occurred for one older and one younger participant, where the voxel was repositioned after both the GABA<sub>pre</sub> and GABA<sub>post</sub> scans, and for one additional younger participant, where repositioning was necessary only after the GABA<sub>pre</sub> scan.

A J-difference-edited Mescher-Garwood Point-Resolved Spectroscopy (MEGA-PRESS) protocol was used to quantify either GABA or GSH (TR = 2,000 ms, TE = 68 ms for GABA-edited / 120 ms for GSH-edited MRS, 2,000 Hz spectral width, 1,024 spectral points, 'on'/off' editing pulse frequency = 1.9/7.5 ppm for GABA-edited / 4.56/7.5 ppm for GSH-edited MRS, 'on'/off' spectra collected in an interleaved fashion, duration ~11 min per acquisition) (Mescher et al., **1998; Edden and Barker, 2007).** The automated second-order "pencilbeam" (PB) shimming procedure (Philips) was used, and water suppression was applied using the Multiply Optimized Insensitive Suppression Train (MOIST; bandwidth 140 Hz). For each of the four acquisitions, an additional 16 unsuppressed water averages were acquired within the same VOI using the same acquisition parameters and later used as reference metabolite. Since macromolecular signals were co-edited during the GABA-edited MRS acquisition, the signal detected at 3 ppm represents both GABA and macromolecules, and will be referred to as GABA+.

To evaluate the accuracy and reliability of voxel placement, the overlap across participants is visualized in Figure 2. Additionally, the mean difference spectra for both age groups, along with standard deviation (SD) and confidence intervals (CI), are presented.

# 2.5 MRS data (pre)processing

A summary of all experimental procedures, in accordance with the "minimum reporting standards in MRS" consensus paper (Lin et al., 2021), is provided in Supplementary material 2.

Data processing was performed using Gannet (version 3.4.0-dev; RRID: SCR\_016049) (Edden et al., 2014) and included Eddy current correction for both water and metabolite data, exponential linebroadening of 3 Hz, zero-filling to 32 K data points, and weighted averaging of the transients (Mikkelsen et al., 2020). Frequency and phase corrections were applied using robust spectral registration for all GABA+ data (Mikkelsen et al., 2020) and using spectral registration to NAA for GSH data. Since spectral registration of GSH-edited data is challenging, other alignment algorithms were used if visual inspection of pre- and post-alignment data suggested that the NAA spectral alignment algorithm was introducing error and, hence worsening spectral quality. A detailed description of this procedure can be found in Supplementary material 3.

For quantification purposes, the Gannet model for GABA+-edited spectra applies a five-parameter Gaussian-Lorentzian model to fit a Gaussian peak between 2.79 and 3.55 ppm. Specifically, the model incorporates three Gaussian peaks to represent GABA+ and the Glx [glutamate (Glu) and glutamine (Gln)] doublet between 2.79 and 4.1 ppm, along with a four-parameter curved baseline function including linear and quadratic terms. The Gannet model for GSH-edited spectra utilizes a six-parameter Gaussian model to fit the data between 2.25 and 3.5 ppm. This includes a four-parameter curved baseline function with linear and quadratic terms, one Gaussian assigned to model the GSH signal, and additional Gaussians to represent the complex co-edited aspartyl multiplet at approximately 2.6 ppm. Unsuppressed water signals were modeled using a Gaussian-Lorentzian lineshape. Consistent with previous MRS research on aging (Chalavi et al., 2018; Hermans et al., 2018b; Maes et al., 2018; Maes et al., 2021; Verstraelen et al., 2021), metabolite concentration estimates are reported relative to this internal water reference (i.e., GABA+/H2O).

MRS voxels were coregistered to the T1-weighted image using the GannetCoRegister module (SPM12), and the T1 data was then segmented with the GannetSegment module to identify the tissue fractions of gray matter (GM), white matter (WM), and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) within the voxels (Ashburner and Friston, 2005). During quantification via the GannetQuantify module, tissue correction was

applied according to the Gasparovic method (Gasparovic et al., 2006). This approach accounted for the relative MR visibility of water in different tissues (GM, WM and CSF) as well as the distinct T1 and T2 relaxation values for both water and metabolites. Modulation of GABA+ and Glx was defined as the difference between during-task (BTT) and pre-task levels, calculated by subtracting GABA<sub>pre</sub> and Glx<sub>pre</sub> from GABA<sub>BTT</sub> and Glx<sub>BTT</sub>, respectively.

#### 2.5.1 Criteria for data exclusion

All data, including difference spectra before and after alignment, fit outcomes and residuals, were visually inspected. Data were excluded if they showed out-of-voxel echoes or lipid contamination. Furthermore, MRS data were excluded if no clear GABA+ or GSH signal could be detected or if modeling of the data in the Gannet toolbox failed (Edden et al., 2014). Additionally, scaled estimates for GABA+ and GSH that exceeded five times the median absolute deviation (MAD)—the average absolute distance between each data point and the median—from the median were excluded, ensuring the detection of poor fits not captured by other criteria (Rousseeuw and Hubert, 2011; Craven et al., 2022).

## 2.6 Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS JMP<sup>®</sup> software (version 17, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC; RRID: SCR\_022199) and the significance level was set at  $\alpha = 0.05$ . All values are presented as mean±SD unless stated otherwise.

Where linear mixed models (LMMs) or multiple linear regression models were employed, the final model was obtained using backward selection (Babyak, 2004). Specifically, starting from the initial model, this involved iteratively removing effects that lacked significance. To ensure robustness, model selection was cross-validated using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) (Burnham and Anderson, 2002). The normality and homoscedasticity of conditional residuals of all models were visually checked using the Q-Q plot and the residual-bypredicted plot, respectively. Where adequate, post-hoc pairwise comparisons correcting for multiple testing were performed using Tukey honestly significant difference (HSD) tests.

### 2.6.1 Group differences in baseline characteristics and MRS quality metrics

Student's *t*-tests were applied to test differences between groups. If variables were non-normally distributed in at least one group, statistical analysis was conducted using the Wilcoxon Rank-sum test.

#### 2.6.2 Group differences in motor performance

An LMM was used to assess group differences in motor performance (i.e., average scores). The model included score as the dependent variable, with age group (YA and OA), condition (1:1, 1:3, and 3:1), and age group\*condition as fixed effects. Participant was included as a random intercept.

# 2.6.3 Group differences in short-term motor learning

Differences in motor performance (i.e., learning slope) were examined using an LMM with learning slope as the dependent variable. Fixed effects included age group (YA and OA), task variant (1:1, 1:3 and 3:1), and age group\*task variant, while participant was added as a random intercept.

#### 2.6.4 Group differences in GABA+, Glx and GSH

Wilcoxon rank-sum tests were used to test for group differences in GABA<sub>pre</sub>, GABA<sub>post</sub>, Glx<sub>pre</sub> and GSH levels, as these levels were not normally distributed for at least one age group. A t-test was applied to test for group comparisons for the normally distributed GABA<sub>BTT</sub>, Glx<sub>BTT</sub> and Glx<sub>post</sub> levels.

# 2.6.5 Modulation of GABA+ and Glx levels during task performance and age group differences

Changes in GABA+ and Glx levels during task performance and age group differences in modulation were assessed for both neurometabolites separately using LMMs. GABA+ and Glx, respectively, were added as the dependent variable, and age group (YA and OA), timepoint (pre, BTT, and post), and age group\*timepoint as fixed effects. Participant was included as a random intercept.

We also evaluated the Glx/GABA+ ratio at each time point (pre-, during-, and post-task) as an exploratory index of excitatory/ inhibitory (E/I) balance. While not used in the main models, these group comparisons are summarized in Supplementary material 4.

To verify that the tissue correction method (and hence the tissue composition) did not bias the results, these analyses were repeated using both CSF-corrected and uncorrected GABA+ values. The results are provided in Supplementary material 5.

### 2.6.6 Association between motor performance and short-term motor learning and task-related GABA+ modulation, Glx modulation, and resting GSH levels

Separate multiple regression models using automated multiple data imputation to replace missing data points (1 missing  $GABA_{pre}/GIx_{pre}$  datapoint, and 5 GSH missing datapoints; see 3.1.2 MRS quality metrics) were constructed for two behavioral outcomes, i.e., performance and short-term learning.

To investigate the associations with baseline neurometabolite levels (GABA+, Glx and GSH), each model included fixed effects for age group, GABA<sub>pre</sub>, Glx<sub>pre</sub>, GSH, age group\*GABA<sub>pre</sub>, age group\*Glx<sub>pre</sub>, age group\*GSH, GABA<sub>pre</sub>\*Glx<sub>pre</sub>, GABA<sub>pre</sub>\*GSH and Glx<sub>pre</sub>\*GSH. Similarly, to investigate the relationships with taskrelated GABA+ and Glx modulation, models included fixed effects for age group, modulation GABA, modulation Glx, group\*modulation GABA, age group\*modulation Glx, and modulation GABA\*modulation Glx. In all models, sex was included as a covariate of no interest.

# **3** Results

# 3.1 Group differences in baseline characteristics and MRS quality metrics

#### 3.1.1 Baseline characteristics

Age groups did not differ with respect to MoCA scores, handedness or any other baseline parameter as shown in Table 1.

#### TABLE 2 Quality measures.

	Younger adults (median±IQR) (mean <u>+</u> SD) ∇	Older adults (median±IQR) (mean <u>+</u> SD) ⊽	Group comparison p-value of WRST p-value of t-test ∇
FWHM GABA+	$18.79 \pm 2.00$	19.15 ± 2.21	0.0719
FWHM Glx	$14.44 \pm 1.44$	14.63 ± 2.33	0.0130*
FWHM GSH	15.06 ± 3.39∇	$14.58 \pm 4.38$	0.8559
FWHM Cr	8.66 ± 0.89	9.44 ± 1.85	<0.0001*
SNR GABA+	$12.69 \pm 2.04 \nabla$	11.24 ± 1.92∇	<0.0001*∇
SNR Glx	$15.58 \pm 3.24 \nabla$	$13.87 \pm 2.71 \nabla$	<b>0.0006</b> *∇
SNR GSH	$6.86 \pm 1.41  abla$	$7.38 \pm 1.60 \nabla$	0.2597∇
Fit error GABA+	$6.30 \pm 1.27 \nabla$	6.86 ± 2.01	0.0184*
Fit error Glx	4.80 ± 2.29	5.69 ± 2.91	0.0039*
Fit error GSH	13.15 ± 3.57∇	$15.19 \pm 5.07 \nabla$	0.1248∇

Magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) data quality measures for GABA+, Glx and GSH in younger and older adults. For Glx and GSH, data are pooled over the pre, BTT and post measurement.

Variables denoted by  $\nabla$  represent normally distributed data, for which the mean and standard deviation are reported. For non-normally distributed variables, the median and interquartile range are provided. Statistical analyses of non-normally distributed variables were conducted using the Wilcoxon Rank-sum test, while group comparisons between normally distributed variables was analyzed using a Student's *t*-test. Significant differences are written in bold and denoted with an asterisk.

FWHM, full width at half maximum; GABA, gamma-aminobutyric acid; Glx, glutamate + glutamine; GSH, glutathione; IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation; SNR, signal to noise ratio; WRST, Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

#### TABLE 3 Tissue fractions of each VOI per age group.

Metabolite	GM fraction (mean±SD) (median±IQR)∇			WM fraction (mean <u>+</u> SD) (median <u>+</u> IQR)⊽			CSF fraction (mean <u>+</u> SD) (median <u>+</u> IQR)∇		
	YA	OA	p	YA	OA	р	YA	OA	p
GABA+/Glx									
Pre	$0.65\pm0.03$	$0.64\pm0.03$	0.2538	$0.33\pm0.03$	$0.33\pm0.04$	0.9203	$0.01\pm0.01\nabla$	$0.03\pm0.01$	0.0028*
BTT	$0.65\pm0.03$	$0.64\pm0.02$	0.1432	$0.33\pm0.03$	$0.34\pm0.03$	0.9043	$0.01\pm0.01\nabla$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	0.0070*
Post	$0.65 \pm 0.03$	$0.64\pm0.02$	0.1445	$0.33\pm0.03$	$0.34 \pm 0.03$	0.9056	$0.01\pm0.01\nabla$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	0.0074*
GSH	$0.65\pm0.03$	$0.64\pm0.03$	0.1234	$0.33\pm0.03$	$0.34\pm0.03$	0.7396	$0.01\pm0.01\nabla$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	0.0156*

Variables denoted by  $\nabla$  represent parameters with non-normal distributions, for which the median and interquartile range (IQR) are reported. These variables were analyzed using a Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Statistical analyses of normally distributed parameters were performed using a pooled *t*-test. Significant group differences are indicated with an asterisk (\*) and written in bold. CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; GSH, glutathione; GABA, gamma aminobutyric acid; GM, grey matter; IQR, inter quartile range; OA, older adults; SD, standard deviation; WM, white matter; YA, younger adults.

#### 3.1.2 MRS quality metrics

A total of 6 out of 200 MRS spectra were excluded from analysis due to either technical issues or poor spectral quality. Specifically, one older adult's  $GABA_{pre}$  and  $Glx_{pre}$  scan failed due to a technical error during the first scan session, which could not be repeated, resulting in 1 missing  $GABA_{pre}$  and  $Glx_{pre}$ measurement. For GSH, 5 spectra were excluded: 3 from older adults [2 due to bad quality (high fit errors exceeding mean + 3 SD), 1 due to unreasonably scaled estimates > median + 5\*MAD] and 2 from younger adults [1 due to no detectable GSH signal, 1 due to bas quality (high FWHM exceeding mean + 3 SD)].

The fit error of the GABA+, Glx, and GSH signal, defined as the fit amplitude divided by the standard deviation of the fit residual, full width at half maximum (FWHM; Hz) for GABA+, Glx, GSH and creatine (Cr) and, signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of GABA+, Glx and, GSH are reported for both groups separately in Table 2. The CSF fraction was higher in older compared to younger adults while there were no significant age group differences in WM or GM fractions (see Table 3).

# 3.2 Group differences in motor performance & short-term motor learning

#### 3.2.1 Motor performance

There was a significant effect of age group [F(1,48) = 37.27, p < 0.0001] (OA / YA (mean±SD):  $16.52 \pm 16.80$  /  $34.54 \pm 21.22$ ), condition [F(2,96) = 72.39, p < 0.0001], and the age group\*condition interaction [F(2,96) = 7.67, p = 0.0008] on BTT performance (average score S) (see Figure 3).

Post-hoc pairwise comparisons (Table 4) showed a consistent difference in performance, with older adults consistently performing worse compared to younger adults in all conditions



#### FIGURE 3

Score on the bimanual tracking task (BTT) by task variant for both age groups. Mean bimanual tracking task (BTT) performance scores per task variant (1:1, 1:3 and 3:1 frequency ratios [L: R hand]) and for each age group separately are represented by a boxplot (box = percentile 25–75, whiskers =  $\pm$ 1 SD, line = median, x = mean) and individual datapoints are superimposed. There was a significant main effect of age group, with younger adults (YA) outperforming older adults (OA) across all conditions. A significant main effect of condition and an age group\*condition interaction were also observed. Post-hoc pairwise comparisons of the age group\*condition interaction effect showed a consistent difference in performance, with younger adults outperforming older adults in all conditions. In both age groups, the 1:1 condition was consistently better executed than the 1:3 condition. Finally, there was no difference in the execution between the 1:3 and the 3:1 condition. \*\*\*\* represents *p* < 0.0001, while \*\*\*

TABLE 4 Tukey HSD all pairwise comparison analysis of the age group*condition effect on BTT sco	ore.
---	------

Age group	Condition	-Age group	-Condition	Difference	T Ratio	Lower 95% Cl	Upper 95% CI	<i>p</i> -value
OA	1:1	OA	1:3	8.58	5.27	3.84	13.31	<0.0001*
OA	1:1	OA	3:1	8.27	5.08	3.54	13.01	<0.0001*
OA	1:1	YA	1:1	-22.61	-7.00	-32.01	-13.22	<0.0001*
OA	1:3	OA	3:1	-0.30	-0.19	-5.04	4.43	1.0000
OA	1:3	YA	1:3	-17.72	-22.61	-27.12	-8.33	<0.0001*
OA	3:1	YA	3:1	-13.60	-4.21	-23.00	-4.21	0.0008*
YA	1:1	YA	1:3	13.47	8.27	8.73	18.21	<0.0001*
YA	1:1	YA	3:1	17.29	10.62	12.55	22.02	<0.0001*
YA	1:3	YA	3:1	3.82	2.34	-0.92	8.55	0.1871

Significant *p*-values are written in bold and indicated with an asterisk.

CI, confidence interval; OA, older adults; YA, younger adults.

[1:1: t(96) = -22.61, p < 0.0001; 1:3: t(96) = -17.72, p < 0.0001; 3:1: t(96) = -13.60, p = 0.0008]. In both age groups, the 1:1 condition was consistently better executed than the 1:3 condition [OA: t(96) = 8.58, p < 0.0001; YA: t(96) = 13.47, p < 0.0001], and the 3:1

condition [OA: t(96) = 8.27, p < 0.0001; YA: t(96) = 17.29, p < 0.0001]. Finally, there was no difference in the execution between the 1:3 and the 3:1 condition [OA: t(96) = -0.30, p = 1.0000; YA: t(96) = 3.82, p = 0.1871].



Group differences in short-term motor learning (learning slope). (A) Score on the B11 plotted over trial numbers for every participant separately (younger adults in purple; older adults in green). Short-term motor learning was quantified using the slope of these linear fits, corresponding with Hehl et al. (2025). Individual learning slopes are shown as thin purple or green lines for younger and older adults, respectively. Bold lines represent the group averages. (B) The average learning slopes per age group are represented by a boxplot (percentile 25–75)  $\pm$  1 SD (whiskers), the line represents the median and individual datapoints are superimposed. Younger adults demonstrated a steeper learning progress (i.e., making bigger improvements from trial to trial) than older adults. \* represents a statistical difference of p < 0.05. BTT, bimanual tracking task; OA, older adults; YA, younger adults.

#### 3.2.2 Short-term motor learning

There was a significant effect of age group [F(1,48) = 6.07, p = 0.0174)(OA / YA (mean±SD):  $0.12 \pm 0.25$  /  $0.24 \pm 0.27$ ] on short-term motor learning (slope) (see Figure 4), with younger adults demonstrating a steeper learning progress (i.e., making bigger improvements from trial to trial). None of the other effects were significant (all, p > 0.05).

# 3.3 Group differences in GABA+, Glx and GSH

#### 3.3.1 GABA+

No significant differences in GABA+ levels were observed between age groups across all time points: pre-BTT GABA levels (GABA<sub>pre</sub> OA / YA (median±IQR):  $4.74 \pm 0.85 / 4.56 \pm 0.52$ ; z = 0.89, p = 0.3735), BTT GABA levels (GABA<sub>BTT</sub> OA / YA (mean±SD):  $4.57 \pm 0.73 / 4.57 \pm 0.54$ ; t(48) = 0.01, p = 0.9958), and post-BTT GABA levels (GABA<sub>post</sub> OA / YA (median±IQR):  $4.53 \pm 0.61 / 4.50 \pm 0.48$ ; z = 0.06, p = 0.9536) (Figure 5).

#### 3.3.2 Glx

No significant differences in Glx levels were found between age groups across all time points: pre-BTT Glx levels [Glx<sub>pre</sub> OA / YA (median±IQR): 14.40 ± 1.67 / 14.29 ± 1.69; z = 0.00, *p* = 1.0000], BTT Glx levels [Glx<sub>BTT</sub> OA / YA (mean±SD): 15.01 ± 1.62 / 14.75 ± 1.39; t(48) = -0.62, *p* = 0.5384], and post-BTT Glx levels [Glx<sub>post</sub> OA / YA (mean±SD): 14.40 ± 1.21 / 14.34 ± 1.44; t(48) = -0.16, *p* = 0.8714] (Figure 6).

#### 3.3.3 GSH

There was no significant difference in GSH levels between both age groups (GSH OA / YA (median±IQR):  $4.22 \pm 0.91$  /  $3.87 \pm 1.91$ ; z = 0.47, p = 0.6416) (Figure 7).

# 3.4 Modulation of GABA+ and Glx levels during task performance and group differences

The GABA<sub>post</sub> data of one young adult was excluded from the analysis because its residual represented a visual outlier on the Q-Q plot that could not be accounted for using data transformation. This was corroborated using JMP's 'Robust Fit Outliers' method based on Huber's M-estimation (K = 4).

There were no significant effects of timepoint (pre, BTT and post levels), suggesting that GABA+ and Glx levels did not modulate over time at group level. Furthermore, there was no age group effect and no age group\*timepoint interaction (all, p > 0.05).

## 3.5 Association between baseline neurometabolite levels, task-related GABA modulation, Glx modulation, and motor performance and short-term motor learning

#### 3.5.1 Baseline neurometabolite levels

#### 3.5.1.1 Performance

Two younger male participants were excluded from the analysis due to their residuals being outliers, based on externally studentized residuals exceeding  $\pm 2$  (Belsley et al., 1980), which disrupted the normality of the residual distribution.

Neither baseline GABA+, Glx, nor GSH levels predicted motor performance. In particular, motor performance [F(2, 47) = 34.31, p < 0.0001,  $R^2 = 0.60$ ] was only significantly predicted by age group [ $\beta = -0.74$ , t(1) = -1.28, p < 0.0001], with younger adults performing



#### FIGURE 5

GABA+ (i.u.) levels before (pre), during (BTT), and after (post) task performance for both age groups. Boxplots (box = percentile 25–75, whiskers =  $\pm 1$ SD, line = median, x = mean) with individual datapoints superimposed. No significant differences in GABA+ levels were observed between age groups across all time points. BTT, bimanual tracking task; GABA, gamma-aminobutyric acid; i.u., institutional units; ns, non-significant.



x = mean), individual datapoints are superimposed. No significant differences in Glx levels were observed between age groups across all time points. BTT, bimanual tracking task; Glx, combined measure for glutamine and glutamate; i.u., institutional units; ns, non-significant.

better as compared to older adults (as reported in 3.2.1 Motor performance). Sex [ $\beta = -0.26$ , t(1) = -1.28, p = 0.0085], a covariate of no interest, was a significant predictor too, with males performing better as compared to females [Performance M / F (mean±SD): 29.25  $\pm$  13.38 / 23.11  $\pm$  13.68]. No other predictors contributed significantly to the model (all, p < 0.05). See Supplementary Tables 3–6 for the parameter estimates and effect tests of both the full and final model.



#### 3.5.1.2 Short-term motor learning

Task-related modulation of GABA+ and Glx did not significantly predict motor performance. Specifically, the final model was not significant [ $F(2, 49) = 2.68, p = 0.08, R^2 = 0.10$ ]. Parameter estimates and effect tests of both the full and final model are provided in Supplementary Tables 7–10.

#### 3.5.2 GABA+ and Glx modulation

Neither GABA+ nor Glx modulation significantly predicted performance and short-term motor learning. For both models, only age group was a significant predictor (performance:  $\beta = -0.66$ , t(1) = -6.22, p < 0.0001 / short-term motor learning  $\beta = -0.31$ , t(1) = -2.26, p = 0.0287), with younger adults performing better and demonstrating steeper learning slopes (as reported in section 3.2.1 Motor performance and 3.2.2 Short-term motor learning), respectively. Full model estimates are provided in Supplementary material 6.

# 4 Discussion

Firstly, and in line with our expectations, younger adults outperformed older adults in both the simple and complex conditions of the bimanual task. Specifically, they demonstrated superior overall performance and exhibited greater short-term motor learning. Secondly, we could not demonstrate significant baseline differences in cerebellar GABA+, Glx, or GSH levels between both age groups. In addition, neither did GABA+ or Glx levels show task-related modulation at the group level as indicated by the lack of differences over time (GABA<sub>pre</sub>, GABA<sub>BTT</sub>, and GABA<sub>post</sub> as well as Glx<sub>pre</sub>, Glx<sub>BTT</sub>, and Glx<sub>post</sub>), nor was there an age-group effect on modulation. Finally, motor performance and short-term motor learning were predicted solely by age group, replicating the behavioral results, but neither

baseline cerebellar GABA+, Glx or GSH levels nor task-related modulation of cerebellar GABA+ or Glx levels.

# 4.1 Younger adults excel in motor performance and short-term motor learning

Bimanual motor performance was better in younger as compared to older adults. As expected, motor performance was better in the simpler (iso-frequency) as compared to the more complex (non-isofrequency) task variants. Additionally, performance differences between age groups were greater in the iso-frequency compared to the non-isofrequency variant, favoring the younger group. These findings are consistent with previous research (Swinnen and Wenderoth, 2004; Boisgontier et al., 2018; Maes et al., 2022; Van Hoornweder et al., 2022; Van Ruitenbeek et al., 2023).

In addition, the short-term motor learning rate was significantly higher in younger as compared to older adults, consistent with previous literature (e.g., Swinnen, 1998; Shea et al., 2006; for a review see: Voelcker-Rehage, 2008). Nevertheless, although motor performance was generally lower in older than younger adults, older adults still retain the ability to acquire new motor skills, albeit at a slower rate than younger adults (Raz et al., 2000; Smith et al., 2005; Voelcker-Rehage, 2008; Gooijers et al., 2024). This ability is mediated by task complexity, among others, with younger adults showing significantly faster improvement as task difficulty increases (Voelcker-Rehage, 2008). Hence, the lower learning rate in older as compared to younger adults observed in this study might be attributable to the overall complexity of the bimanual tracking task, encompassing both the simple and complex non-isofrequency conditions.

# 4.2 No age-related difference in cerebellar GABA+, Glx and GSH levels

At the cortical level, previous studies demonstrated reduced GABA+ levels in older adults as compared to younger adults (e.g., Porges et al., 2017a; Chalavi et al., 2018; Cassady et al., 2019; Chamberlain et al., 2021; Dobri and Ross, 2021; Maes et al., 2021; Heise et al., 2022), but findings remain inconsistent, as other studies reported no significant differences between age groups (e.g., Chalavi et al., 2018; Hermans et al., 2018b; Maes et al., 2018; Cuypers et al., 2021). It is important to note that different brain regions were studied, i.e., mostly cortical regions and especially the SM1 and primary sensory cortices (visual, auditory, somatosensory) (Li et al., 2022). To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to examine age-related differences in neurometabolite levels in the cerebellum using MRS, and we found no significant age-related differences in cerebellar GABA+ concentration between both age groups. Interestingly, despite an increased CSF fraction and a trend toward lower GM in the voxels of older compared to younger adults, uncorrected GABA+ levels did not differ between age groups either (see Supplementary material 5). This contrasts with some studies that suggest that age-related differences in GABA+ levels (e.g., in the frontal cortex and SM1 cortex) are driven by bulk tissue changes and thus depend on the tissue correction method used (Porges et al., 2017b; Maes et al., 2018). It has been suggested that age differences in GABA+ are region-specific, potentially emerging in certain cortical areas while being absent or emerging later in others (Hermans et al., 2018a; Maes et al., 2021; Li et al., 2022). The latter may also be the case in the cerebellum.

In line with Ding et al. (2016) and Maghsudi et al. (2020), both lifespan studies using whole brain magnetic resonance spectroscopy imaging (MRSI), we found no age-related differences in cerebellar Glx levels. This aligns with some studies looking at cortical Glx (e.g., Mooney et al., 2017; Simmonite et al., 2019) but contradicts others that did reported age-related declines in cortical regions (e.g., Levin et al., 2019). Similar to GABA+, it has been suggested that age-related differences in Glx may be region-specific (Kaiser et al., 2005; Marjańska et al., 2017), and hence might evolve differently in the cerebellum as compared to cortical areas.

The finding that GABA+ and Glx levels did not differ between younger and older adults aligns with a recent transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) study (Van Malderen et al., 2025) examining CBI. CBI is thought to reflect the GABA-mediated inhibitory influence exerted from the cerebellar cortex to the dentate nucleus and motor cortex via the dentate-thalamo-cortical pathway (Ugawa et al., 1995; Fernandez et al., 2018). Similar to the current results, no age-related differences in CBI were demonstrated. While the exact relationship between MRS and TMS measures remains unclear-TMS primarily captures receptor-level functional aspects of GABAergic and glutamatergic neurotransmission (i.e., fast-acting phasic inhibition), whereas MRS predominantly reflects broader neurometabolic pools related to tonic inhibition (Stagg et al., 2011b; Rae, 2014; Cuypers and Marsman, 2021)-the two methods are considered complementary in assessing glutamergic and GABAergic neurotransmitter function (Stagg et al., 2011a; Tremblay et al., 2013; Cuypers and Marsman, 2021). These complementary findings support the idea that there may indeed be no significant age-related differences in cerebellar GABA+ and Glx functioning at the group level.

Our findings indicated no age-related differences in GSH levels in the right cerebellar hemisphere, supporting the notion that cerebellar GSH levels may remain stable across aging. This aligns with a recent systematic review suggesting that age-related GSH alterations are region-specific, with the cerebellum, among other regions, showing relative stability (Detcheverry et al., 2023).

Given that GSH plays a critical role in buffering reactive oxygen species (ROS)—associated with oxidative stress in high levels— its stability in the cerebellum may reflect this region's relative resistance to aging (Schieber and Chandel, 2014). Supporting this idea, postmortem studies have shown that, among others, the cerebellum exhibits fewer mitochondrial DNA deletions (Corral-Debrinski et al., 1992), reduced oxidative damage (Mecocci et al., 1993; Venkateshappa et al., 2012), less age-related DNA methylation compared to cortical regions (Horvath et al., 2015). This resilience may be linked to the cerebellum's inherently low regional glucose metabolism, fairly low aerobic glycolysis, and minimal age-related change in glucose metabolism—factors that may lower susceptibility to oxidative stress (Bentourkia et al., 2000; Vaishnavi et al., 2010; Goyal et al., 2014).

Oxidative stress, driven by increased ROS production, an inevitable byproduct of oxygen metabolism in aerobic cells—is a key factor in aging (Ames et al., 1993). GSH plays a crucial role in buffering these ROS (Dringen et al., 2000). Evidence from rodent studies suggests that GSH homeostasis becomes increasingly disrupted

with age, with several reports indicating lower GSH concentrations in older animals (e.g., Chen et al., 1989; Maher, 2005; Zhu et al., 2006; Rebrin et al., 2007).

Findings in humans, however, are more mixed and vary by region. Specifically, peripheral studies investigating blood GSH levels report declining GSH levels with age (Yang et al., 1995; Jones et al., 2002; Giustarini et al., 2006), while postmortem analyses yield contrasting results, showing either no age-related differences in GSH levels in the frontal cortex and cerebellum (Venkateshappa et al., 2012), or even increases in the caudate, frontal cortex, and cerebellum with advancing age (Tong et al., 2016). In vivo, studies are similarly inconsistent, with reports of stable (Gong et al., 2022) or lower GSH levels in older adults, for example, in the occipital and parietal lobes (Emir et al., 2011), precuneus, as well as in the posterior cingulate cortex (Suri et al., 2017). Conversely, other studies found higher GSH levels in the medial frontal and sensorimotor cortices in older adults after accounting for age-related atrophy (Hupfeld et al., 2021). Taken together, previous studies remain inconclusive, however, they emphasize the region-specific nature of age-related GSH changes. Our results contribute to this body of literature by reinforcing the idea that the cerebellum may be particularly resistant to GSH depletion with aging.

The preservation of GABA+, Glx, and GSH levels in the aged cerebellum may be attributed to its relatively slower aging process as compared to other (cortical) brain areas, potentially related to the so-called cerebellar reserve (Liang and Carlson, 2020; Arleo et al., 2024). While studies show age-related declines in cerebellar volume and corresponding reductions in motor performance (Jernigan et al., 2001; e.g., Bernard and Seidler, 2013; Bernard and Seidler, 2014), genetic and epigenetic evidence suggests that the cerebellum undergoes slower aging compared to other brain regions and may potentially be more resistant to the development of pathological markers of neurodegeneration (Liang and Carlson, 2020; Arleo et al., 2024). This resilience has been suggested to be due to the cerebellum's greater epigenetic stability (Horvath et al., 2015), fewer age-related deletions in mitochondrial DNA compared to the cortex (Corral-Debrinski et al., 1992), and lower levels of oxidative damage to both mitochondrial and nuclear DNA (Mecocci et al., 1993). Therefore, while the average age of older adults in our sample was comparable to that of studies showing neurometabolic differences in cortical areas (e.g., Chalavi et al., 2018; Chamberlain et al., 2021; Maes et al., 2021), it is possible that they were not yet old enough to show detectable neurometabolic changes in the cerebellum. In addition, the older adults in our study were physically active, which may protect against metabolic decline, as physical activity is known to have a protective effect on the brain and may help preserve overall brain integrity (Boisgontier et al., 2018) and neurometabolite levels [GABA+ and Glx (Bütefisch et al., 2000; Maddock et al., 2016) as well as GSH (Rai et al., 2018)]. Future studies could consider including a less active, older group (e.g., >80 years) to further investigate the potential impact of age and physical activity on neurometabolite levels in very old age.

Alternatively, while age-related differences in neurometabolite levels may exist, they may have fallen below the detection threshold of our methodology. Specifically, just as different parts of the cerebellum undergo atrophic changes to varying degrees (Andersen et al., 2003; Paul et al., 2009), it is possible that specific metabolite changes occur on a smaller scale within functional cerebellar subregions. However, these subtle differences may be lost within the broader cerebellar voxel, which encompasses nearly the entire right cerebellar hemisphere and thus has limited spatial resolution. In addition, it could be that a longitudinal study is needed to detect such subtle differences and account for individual variability. Indeed, Zuppichini et al. (2024) demonstrated GABA differences in the right auditory, bilateral sensorimotor and ventrovisual regions between young and older adults in a longitudinal analysis, whereas no differences were observed in a cross-sectional comparison. Finally, it is important to consider the contribution of macromolecules to the GABA signal. Given that older exhibit higher macromolecule levels as compared to younger adults (Aufhaus et al., 2013; Marjańska et al., 2018), potential age-related differences in GABA itself (without macromolecules) may be underestimated.

## 4.3 No modulation of cerebellar GABA+ and Glx levels during task performance as compared to baseline and no group differences in modulation

GABA and Glx concentrations in a specific brain region may exhibit rapid modulation-either increasing or decreasing-as a function of task performance or motor learning, depending on the region's precise role in the process being examined (e.g., Pasanta et al., 2023; Li et al., 2024b). Despite the increased interest in the modulation of GABA and Glx levels recently, evidence is still scarce, with only a few studies investigating visuomotor coordination learning tasks and just one study specifically focusing on the cerebellum (Nettekoven et al., 2022). Some studies reported GABA reductions in SM1 during motor performance and learning, which were linked to enhanced motor learning and improved visuomotor task performance (Floyer-Lea et al., 2006; Kolasinski et al., 2019; Maes et al., 2022) while others reported an increase in Glx which was correlated to motor actions but did not predict visual performance (Kurcyus et al., 2018; Volovyk and Tal, 2020). Nevertheless, findings are inconsistent, with considerable variability in effect sizes and directionality for GABA levels (Pasanta et al., 2023). Furthermore, prior research has shown that neurometabolite changes are highly region-specific, with some studies failing to detect modulation in certain areas while observing significant effects in others (Chalavi et al., 2018; Coxon et al., 2018; Frangou et al., 2019). Finally, specific features of the task also seem to influence temporal neurometabolite changes (Chalavi et al., 2018; Hehl et al., 2025).

In the present study, we did neither observe task-related modulation of GABA+ or Glx levels at the group level, nor did we find age-related differences in the modulation of these neurometabolites in the cerebellum. These findings align with previous studies that report mixed evidence for GABA and Glx modulation depending on the specific task and brain region, and might point to the absence of a modulation of GABA and Glx in the context of bimanual coordination learning in the cerebellum. Alternatively, it could be that transient changes in neurometabolite levels within a specific cerebellar region occur on a small scale within a specific functional sub-region. Indeed, prior work using magnetic resonance spectroscopic imaging (MRSI) has demonstrated GABA changes in the dentate nucleus but not in the cerebellar hemisphere (Nettekoven et al., 2022), suggesting that modulation might occur at a finer spatial scale than our voxel, which encompassed most of the right cerebellar hemisphere, could capture. It should be noted that the cerebellum is composed of a mosaic of functional subregions, each specialized for distinct roles ranging from fine motor control to social and linguistic processing (King et al., 2019; Nettekoven et al., 2024). As such, our cerebellar voxel likely captured a broad and heterogeneous area, in contrast to cortical MRS studies that often target more functionally discrete and homogeneous regions. Alternatively, modulation might take place on an even smaller scale, given the presence of multiple pathways underlying synaptic longterm potentiation (LTP) in the cerebellum (Binda et al., 2016) and other forms of cerebellar plasticity occurring at microscale (Schonewille et al., 2011). Due to the relatively large voxel in MRS, and hence, limited spatial resolution, averaging across multiple layers, subregions and functional domains-each serving distinct sensorimotor, cognitive, or affective functions-subtle, regionspecific neurometabolic differences might go undetected. Future studies employing higher spatial resolution and region-specific targeted approaches may provide further insights into the subtle dynamics of neurometabolite regulation in the cerebellum.

Along the same lines, our use of 11-min task blocks may have limited our ability to detect modulation of metabolite levels. On one hand, dynamic fluctuations may have occurred outside the temporal sensitivity of our block-averaged approach, potentially masking transient changes (Bell et al., 2023). On the other hand, it is also possible that the duration of task engagement was insufficient for eliciting measurable neurometabolic changes, as longer or more intensive task practice might be required for such effects to emerge. The absence of detectable GABA+ or Glx modulation in our study may therefore reflect limitations in temporal resolution rather than a true absence of change. Future studies could benefit from exploring sliding-window analyses to capture transient modulations that are otherwise obscured by long block durations with a block-averaged analysis (Chen et al., 2017; Bell et al., 2023) as well as prolonged task paradigms to test for delayed or cumulative effects.

## 4.4 No association between motor performance and short-term motor learning and task-related GABA+ modulation, Glx modulation, and baseline neurometabolite levels

Since we found that neither baseline GABA+, Glx and GSH levels, nor GABA+ and Glx modulation predicted motor performance or short-term motor learning at a group-level, it may be that cerebellar neurometabolite concentrations remain relatively stable and not directly relate to age-related differences in behavioral outcomes. Instead, their effects could be mediated by other age-related factors influencing cerebellar function. For example, declines in norepinephrine levels may weaken GABAergic signaling (Watson and McElligott, 1983; Heron et al., 1996; Zhang et al., 2010), while age-related changes in Purkinje cell electrophysiology (Rogers et al., 1981; Chung et al., 2003) and synaptic signaling (Takahashi et al., 2009) could also play a role. A detailed investigation of these mechanisms is, however, beyond the scope of the current study.

It is also plausible that age-related differences in behavior and motor learning are not primarily driven by age-related changes in cerebellar neurometabolite levels but rather by broader alterations in cerebellar morphology, and both functional and structural connectivity. Indeed, Bernard and Seidler (2014) have argued that reductions in cerebellar volume, and particularly diminished connectivity with cortical and subcortical regions, may contribute significantly to age-related motor deterioration. To further clarify the complexity of cerebellar contributions to motor performance in aging, future studies may benefit from integrating morphometric analyses (e.g., voxel-based morphometry) with neurometabolic, connectivity (diffusion-weighted imaging and functional magnetic resonance imaging), and behavioral data. Such multimodal approaches could help disentangle whether structural, functional, and neurochemical differences interact to mediate motor outcomes in older adults.

Moreover, as already discussed at length in the above sections, it might be that the neurometabolite levels in specific functional sub-regions rather than the cerebellar hemisphere as a whole are linked to behavioral outcome. Indeed, while Nettekoven et al. (2022) did not observe a group-level reduction in GABA during an adaptation task using MRSI, they reported that greater early adaptation-driven GABA decreases in the right dentate nucleus were associated with better performance. Due to the relatively large voxel size in our study, we were unable to differentiate between cerebellar subregions such as the hemisphere and the deep nuclei, which may have masked subtle but functionally relevant differences.

Finally, it is important to consider that bimanual coordination relies on a distributed network of regions beyond the cerebellum, including-but not limited to- primary motor (M1) and sensory (S1) cortices, supplementary motor area (SMA), premotor cortex, cingulate motor area, basal ganglia (Laplane et al., 1977; e.g., Brinkman, 1981; Brinkman, 1984; Tanji et al., 1987; Tracy et al., 2001; Debaere et al., 2004; Swinnen and Wenderoth, 2004; Jantzen et al., 2009). Indeed, age-related atrophy and alterations in functional and structural connectivity within this network have been shown to contribute to impaired bimanual coordination (e.g., Goble et al., 2010; Solesio-Jofre et al., 2014; Serbruyns et al., 2015; Fujiyama et al., 2016; Serbruyns et al., 2016). It might therefore be interesting if future work would use a network-level approach to better understand how aging affects the complex interplay between cerebellar, other subcortical and cortical regions involved in motor control and learning.

# 4.5 Considerations, limitations and future perspectives

Most of the limitations of the study have been mentioned earlier, but for clarity, a summary is provided here.

First, it is of importance to acknowledge the contribution of macromolecules to the GABA+ signal. Specifically, since macromolecule levels are higher in older as compared to younger adults (Aufhaus et al., 2013; Marjańska et al., 2018; Genovese et al., 2024), potential age-related differences in GABA+ may be underestimated. Moreover, the use of macromolecule-suppressed GABA measurements may enhance the relationship between GABA levels and motor performance (Mikkelsen et al., 2018), put still poses several methodological difficulties.

Second, Glx is a compound measure including both glutamate and glutamine. Its measurement as a single entity may lead to different

age-related effects than assessing glutamate and glutamine independently (Hädel et al., 2013; Roalf et al., 2020). Specifically, in certain cortical brain regions, glutamate has been shown to decline with age, whereas glutamine demonstrated an increase (Hädel et al., 2013). Due to the considerable spectral overlap between their resonances, higher magnetic field strengths are recommended as they will improve separation, enabling more precise quantification of both metabolites (Hetherington et al., 1997). Furthermore, other MRS sequences—such as PRESS or STEAM—are better suited for quantifying Glx than the difference spectrum derived from the GABA-optimized MEGA-PRESS sequence used in this study (Maddock et al., 2018).

Third, neurometabolite levels were measured within a relatively large voxel to ensure an adequate signal-to-noise ratio. However, as previously discussed, age-related changes and modulation of neurometabolite concentrations might occur on a much smaller spatial scale, which is particularly relevant for the cerebellum, given its high neuronal density and multiple functionally distinct subregions (King et al., 2019; Nettekoven et al., 2024).

Finally, it is important to interpret comparisons between this study and previous research with caution, as substantial methodological differences exist across different MRS studies [e.g., edited vs. unedited MRS, voxel size, and TE–which may be suboptimal in HERMES and HERCULES scans when quantifying multiple metabolites simultaneously (Detcheverry et al., 2023)].

Future research using a higher resolution of MRS—using higher field strengths (7 T) —should address age-related differences in baseline neurometabolite levels and their potential modulation in the context of motor performance and learning on a smaller scale.

# **5** Conclusion

Our findings indicate superior motor performance and faster short-term motor learning in younger compared to older adults. However, no significant age-related differences were observed in cerebellar GABA+, Glx, or GSH levels, nor did GABA+ or Glx concentrations modulate during task performance. These results align with previous research suggesting that neurometabolite alterations in aging are region-specific, with the cerebellum being potentially more resilient to neurometabolic aging. Since neither baseline GABA+, Glx, or GSH levels nor task-related modulation of GABA+ and Glx predicted motor performance or learning, cerebellar neurometabolite concentrations may not directly underlie age-related behavioral changes. Instead, volumetric decline and alterations in structural and functional connectivity in the cerebellum, as well as alterations in other brain regions in the network underlying bimanual motor control, may play a more prominent role. While our findings do not support a direct role of cerebellar neurometabolite levels in age-related motor performance differences, they underscore the complexity of neurochemical aging.

# Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

# Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Ethics Committee Research UZ/KU Leuven. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

# Author contributions

SVM: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MH: Writing – review & editing. SVe: Writing – review & editing. RB: Software, Writing – review & editing. GO: Software, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. SS: Resources, Writing – review & editing. KC: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Research Foundation Flanders grant (G039821N). SVM (11L9322N), MH (11F6921N), and RB (1SD8323N) were funded by a grant from the Research Foundation Flanders. SVM (BOF21INCENT15) was supported by the UHasselt Special Research Fund grant. MH was supported by the KU Leuven Special Research Fund (PDMT2/24/077).

# References

Ames, B. N., Shigenaga, M. K., and Hagen, T. M. (1993). Oxidants, antioxidants, and the degenerative diseases of aging. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 90, 7915–7922. doi: 10.1073/pnas.90.17.7915

Andersen, B. B., Gundersen, H. J., and Pakkenberg, B. (2003). Aging of the human cerebellum: a stereological study. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 466, 356–365. doi: 10.1002/cne. 10884

Arleo, A., Bares, M., Bernard, J. A., Bogoian, H. R., Bruchhage, M. M. K., Bryant, P., et al. (2024). Consensus paper: cerebellum and ageing. *Cerebellum* 23, 802–832. doi: 10.1007/s12311-023-01577-7

Ashburner, J., and Friston, K. J. (2005). Unified segmentation. *NeuroImage* 26, 839–851. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.02.018

Aufhaus, E., Weber-Fahr, W., Sack, M., Tunc-Skarka, N., Oberthuer, G., Hoerst, M., et al. (2013). Absence of changes in GABA concentrations with age and gender in the human anterior cingulate cortex: a MEGA-PRESS study with symmetric editing pulse frequencies for macromolecule suppression. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 69, 317–320. doi: 10.1002/mrm.24257

Babyak, M. A. (2004). What you see may not be what you get: a brief, nontechnical introduction to overfitting in regression-type models. *Psychosom. Med.* 66, 411–421. doi: 10.1097/01.psy.0000127692.23278.a9

Beck, A. T., Ward, C. H., Mendelson, M., Mock, J., and Erbaugh, J. (1961). An inventory for measuring depression. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 4, 561–571. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1961.01710120031004

Bell, T., Boudes, E. S., Loo, R. S., Barker, G. J., Lythgoe, D. J., Edden, R. A. E., et al. (2021). In vivo Glx and Glu measurements from GABA-edited MRS at 3 T. NMR Biomed. 34:e4245. doi: 10.1002/nbm.4245

Bell, T. K., Craven, A. R., Hugdahl, K., Noeske, R., and Harris, A. D. (2023). Functional changes in GABA and glutamate during motor learning. *eNeuro* 10, ENEURO.0356–ENEU20.2023. doi: 10.1523/eneuro.0356-20.2023

Belsley, D. A., Kuh, E., and Welsch, R. (1980). Identifying influential data and sources of collinearity. *Regression Diagnostics: Identifying Influential Data and Sources of Collinearity*. New York (N.Y.): Wiley.

Bentivoglio, M., and Kuypers, H. G. J. M. (1982). Divergent axon collaterals from rat cerebellar nuclei to diencephalon, mesencephalon, medulla oblongata and cervical

# **Conflict of interest**

GO was a paid consultant for Neurona Therapeutics Inc.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# **Generative AI statement**

The authors declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnagi.2025.1626417/ full#supplementary-material

cord - a fluorescent double retrograde labeling study. *Exp. Brain Res.* 46, 339–356. doi: 10.1007/BF00238629

Bentivoglio, M., and Molinari, M. (1986). Crossed divergent axon collaterals from cerebellar nuclei to thalamus and lateral medulla oblongata in the rat. *Brain Res.* 362, 180–184. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(86)91414-9

Bentourkia, M., Bol, A., Ivanoiu, A., Labar, D., Sibomana, M., Coppens, A., et al. (2000). Comparison of regional cerebral blood flow and glucose metabolism in the normal brain: effect of aging. *J. Neurol. Sci.* 181, 19–28. doi: 10.1016/s0022-510x(00)00396-8

Bernard, J. A., Ballard, H. K., and Jackson, T. B. (2021). Cerebellar dentate connectivity across adulthood: a large-scale resting state functional connectivity investigation. *Cereb Cortex Commun* 2:tgab050. doi: 10.1093/texcom/tgab050

Bernard, J. A., and Seidler, R. D. (2013). Relationships between regional cerebellar volume and sensorimotor and cognitive function in young and older adults. *Cerebellum* 12, 721–737. doi: 10.1007/s12311-013-0481-z

Bernard, J. A., and Seidler, R. D. (2014). Moving forward: age effects on the cerebellum underlie cognitive and motor declines. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 42, 193–207. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.02.011

Binda, F., Dorgans, K., Reibel, S., Sakimura, K., Kano, M., Poulain, B., et al. (2016). Inhibition promotes long-term potentiation at cerebellar excitatory synapses. *Sci. Rep.* 6:33561. doi: 10.1038/srep33561

Boisgontier, M. P., Cheval, B., van Ruitenbeek, P., Cuypers, K., Leunissen, I., Sunaert, S., et al. (2018). Cerebellar gray matter explains bimanual coordination performance in children and older adults. *Neurobiol. Aging* 65, 109–120. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2018.01.016

Boy, F., Evans, C. J., Edden, R. A., Singh, K. D., Husain, M., and Sumner, P. (2010). Individual differences in subconscious motor control predicted by GABA concentration in SMA. *Curr. Biol.* 20, 1779–1785. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2010.09.003

Brinkman, C. (1981). Lesions in supplementary motor area interfere with a monkey's performance of a bimanual coordination task. *Neurosci. Lett.* 27, 267–270. doi: 10.1016/0304-3940(81)90441-9

Brinkman, C. (1984). Supplementary motor area of the monkey's cerebral cortex: short-and long-term deficits after unilateral ablation and the effects of subsequent callosal section. *J. Neurosci.* 4, 918–929. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.04-04-00918.1984

Buckner, R. L., Krienen, F. M., Castellanos, A., Diaz, J. C., and Yeo, B. T. (2011). The organization of the human cerebellum estimated by intrinsic functional connectivity. *J. Neurophysiol.* 106, 2322–2345. doi: 10.1152/jn.00339.2011

Burnham, K. P., and Anderson, D. R. (2002). Model selection and multimodel inference: A practical information-theoretic approach. New York: Springer. Available online at: https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/b97636

Bütefisch, C. M., Davis, B. C., Wise, S. P., Sawaki, L., Kopylev, L., Classen, J., et al. (2000). Mechanisms of use-dependent plasticity in the human motor cortex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 97, 3661–3665. doi: 10.1073/pnas.97.7.3661

Carson, N., Leach, L., and Murphy, K. J. (2018). A re-examination of Montreal cognitive assessment (MoCA) cutoff scores. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 33, 379–388. doi: 10.1002/gps.4756

Cassady, K., Gagnon, H., Lalwani, P., Simmonite, M., Foerster, B., Park, D., et al. (2019). Sensorimotor network segregation declines with age and is linked to GABA and to sensorimotor performance. *NeuroImage* 186, 234–244. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage. 2018.11.008

Caston, J., Chianale, C., and Mariani, J. (2004). Spatial memory of heterozygous staggerer (Rora(+)/Rora(sg)) versus normal (Rora(+)/Rora(+)) mice during aging. *Behav. Genet.* 34, 319–324. doi: 10.1023/B:BEGE.0000017875.78571.a6

Caston, J., Hilber, P., Chianale, C., and Mariani, J. (2003). Effect of training on motor abilities of heterozygous staggerer mutant (Rora(+)/Rora(sg)) mice during aging. *Behav. Brain Res.* 141, 35–42. doi: 10.1016/s0166-4328(02)00319-4

Cendelín, J., Korelusová, I., and Vozeh, F. (2008). The effect of repeated rotarod training on motor skills and spatial learning ability in Lurcher mutant mice. *Behav. Brain Res.* 189, 65–74. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2007.12.013

Chakrabarti, S., Munshi, S., Banerjee, K., Thakurta, I. G., Sinha, M., and Bagh, M. B. (2011). Mitochondrial dysfunction during brain aging: role of oxidative stress and modulation by antioxidant supplementation. *Aging Dis.* 2, 242–256. doi: 10.1007/s10522-010-9301-8

Chalavi, S., Pauwels, L., Heise, K. F., Zivari Adab, H., Maes, C., Puts, N. A. J., et al. (2018). The neurochemical basis of the contextual interference effect. *Neurobiol. Aging* 66, 85–96. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2018.02.014

Chamberlain, J. D., Gagnon, H., Lalwani, P., Cassady, K. E., Simmonite, M., Seidler, R. D., et al. (2021). GABA levels in ventral visual cortex decline with age and are associated with neural distinctiveness. *Neurobiol. Aging* 102, 170–177. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2021.02.013

Chen, T. S., Richie, J. P., and Lang, C. A. (1989). The effect of aging on glutathione and cysteine levels in different regions of the mouse brain. *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.* 190, 399–402. doi: 10.3181/00379727-190-42879

Chen, C., Sigurdsson, H. P., Pépés, S. E., Auer, D. P., Morris, P. G., Morgan, P. S., et al. (2017). Activation induced changes in GABA: functional MRS at 7T with MEGA-sLASER. *NeuroImage* 156, 207–213. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.05. 044

Childs, R., Gamage, R., Munch, G., and Gyengesi, E. (2021). The effect of aging and chronic microglia activation on the morphology and numbers of the cerebellar Purkinje cells. *Neurosci. Lett.* 751:135807. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2021.135807

Chung, Y. H., Joo, K. M., Kim, M. J., and Cha, C. I. (2003). Age-related changes in the distribution of Na(v)1.1 and Na(v)1.2 in rat cerebellum. *Neuroreport* 14, 841–845. doi: 10.1097/00001756-200305060-00013

Corral-Debrinski, M., Horton, T., Lott, M. T., Shoffner, J. M., Beal, M. F., and Wallace, D. C. (1992). Mitochondrial DNA deletions in human brain: regional variability and increase with advanced age. *Nat. Genet.* 2, 324–329. doi: 10.1038/ng1292-324

Coxon, J. P., Cash, R. F. H., Hendrikse, J. J., Rogasch, N. C., Stavrinos, E., Suo, C., et al. (2018). GABA concentration in sensorimotor cortex following high-intensity exercise and relationship to lactate levels. *J. Physiol.* 596, 691–702. doi: 10.1113/jp274660

Craven, A. R., Bhattacharyya, P. K., Clarke, W. T., Dydak, U., Edden, R. A. E., Ersland, L., et al. (2022). Comparison of seven modelling algorithms for  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid-edited proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *NMR Biomed.* 35:e4702. doi: 10.1002/nbm.4702

Cuypers, K., Hehl, M., van Aalst, J., Chalavi, S., Mikkelsen, M., Van Laere, K., et al. (2021). Age-related GABAergic differences in the primary sensorimotor cortex: a multimodal approach combining PET, MRS and TMS. *NeuroImage* 226:117536. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117536

Cuypers, K., and Marsman, A. (2021). Transcranial magnetic stimulation and magnetic resonance spectroscopy: opportunities for a bimodal approach in human neuroscience. *NeuroImage* 224:117394. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117394

D'Angelo, E. (2018). Physiology of the cerebellum. *Handb. Clin. Neurol.* 154, 85–108. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-444-63956-1.00006-0

Debaere, F., Wenderoth, N., Sunaert, S., Van Hecke, P., and Swinnen, S. P. (2004). Cerebellar and premotor function in bimanual coordination: parametric neural responses to spatiotemporal complexity and cycling frequency. *NeuroImage* 21, 1416–1427. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2003.12.011 Derogatis, L. R. (1975). SCL-90-R: Symptom checklist-90-R: Administration, scoring, and procedures manual. San Antonio, Pearson: NCS Pearson.

Detcheverry, F., Senthil, S., Narayanan, S., and Badhwar, A. (2023). Changes in levels of the antioxidant glutathione in brain and blood across the age span of healthy adults: a systematic review. *NeuroImage* 40:103503. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2023.103503

Ding, X. Q., Maudsley, A. A., Sabati, M., Sheriff, S., Schmitz, B., Schütze, M., et al. (2016). Physiological neuronal decline in healthy aging human brain - An in vivo study with MRI and short echo-time whole-brain 1H MR spectroscopic imaging. *NeuroImage* 137, 45–51. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.05.014

Dobri, S., and Ross, B. (2021). Total GABA level in human auditory cortex is associated with speech-in-noise understanding in older age. *NeuroImage* 225:117474. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117474

Doss, S., Rinnenthal, J. L., Schmitz-Hübsch, T., Brandt, A. U., Papazoglou, S., Lux, S., et al. (2015). Cerebellar neurochemical alterations in spinocerebellar ataxia type 14 appear to include glutathione deficiency. *J. Neurol.* 262, 1927–1935. doi: 10.1007/s00415-015-7788-2

Dringen, R., Gutterer, J. M., and Hirrlinger, J. (2000). Glutathione metabolism in brain metabolic interaction between astrocytes and neurons in the defense against reactive oxygen species. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 267, 4912–4916. doi: 10.1046/j.1432-1327. 2000.01597.x

Edden, R. A., and Barker, P. B. (2007). Spatial effects in the detection of gammaaminobutyric acid: improved sensitivity at high fields using inner volume saturation. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 58, 1276–1282. doi: 10.1002/mrm.21383

Edden, R. A. E., Puts, N. A. J., Harris, A. D., Barker, P. B., and Evans, C. J. (2014). Gannet: a batch-processing tool for the quantitative analysis of gamma-aminobutyric acid–edited MR spectroscopy spectra. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging* 40, 1445–1452. doi: 10.1002/jmri.24478

Emir, U. E., Raatz, S., McPherson, S., Hodges, J. S., Torkelson, C., Tawfik, P., et al. (2011). Noninvasive quantification of ascorbate and glutathione concentration in the elderly human brain. *NMR Biomed.* 24, 888–894. doi: 10.1002/nbm.1646

Fernandez, L., Major, B. P., Teo, W. P., Byrne, L. K., and Enticott, P. G. (2018). Assessing cerebellar brain inhibition (CBI) via transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS): a systematic review. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 86, 176–206. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev. 2017.11.018

Floyer-Lea, A., Wylezinska, M., Kincses, T., and Matthews, P. M. (2006). Rapid modulation of GABA concentration in human sensorimotor cortex during motor learning. *J. Neurophysiol.* 95, 1639–1644. doi: 10.1152/jn.00346.2005

Frangou, P., Emir, U. E., Karlaftis, V. M., Nettekoven, C., Hinson, E. L., Larcombe, S., et al. (2019). Learning to optimize perceptual decisions through suppressive interactions in the human brain. *Nat. Commun.* 10:474. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-08313-y

Fujiyama, H., Van Soom, J., Rens, G., Gooijers, J., Leunissen, I., Levin, O., et al. (2016). Age-related changes in frontal network structural and functional connectivity in relation to bimanual movement control. *J. Neurosci.* 36, 1808–1822. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3355-15.2016

Gao, W., Chen, G., Reinert, K. C., and Ebner, T. J. (2006). Cerebellar cortical molecular layer inhibition is organized in parasagittal zones. *J. Neurosci.* 26, 8377–8387. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.2434-06.2006

Gasparovic, C., Song, T., Devier, D., Bockholt, H. J., Caprihan, A., Mullins, P. G., et al. (2006). Use of tissue water as a concentration reference for proton spectroscopic imaging. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 55, 1219–1226. doi: 10.1002/mrm.20901

Genovese, G., Terpstra, M., Filip, P., Mangia, S., McCarten, J. R., Hemmy, L. S., et al. (2024). Age-related differences in macromolecular resonances observed in ultrashort-TE STEAM MR spectra at 7T. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 92, 4–14. doi: 10.1002/mrm.30061

Giustarini, D., Dalle-Donne, I., Lorenzini, S., Milzani, A., and Rossi, R. (2006). Age-related influence on thiol, disulfide, and protein-mixed disulfide levels in human plasma. *J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 61, 1030–1038. doi: 10.1093/gerona/61. 10.1030

Goble, D. J., Coxon, J. P., Van Impe, A., De Vos, J., Wenderoth, N., and Swinnen, S. P. (2010). The neural control of bimanual movements in the elderly: brain regions exhibiting age-related increases in activity, frequency-induced neural modulation, and task-specific compensatory recruitment. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 31, 1281–1295. doi: 10.1002/hbm.20943

Gong, T., Hui, S. C. N., Zöllner, H. J., Britton, M., Song, Y., Chen, Y., et al. (2022). Neurometabolic timecourse of healthy aging. *NeuroImage* 264:119740. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022.119740

Gooijers, J., Pauwels, L., Hehl, M., Seer, C., Cuypers, K., and Swinnen, S. P. (2024). Aging, brain plasticity, and motor learning. *Ageing Res. Rev.* 102:102569. doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2024.102569

Goyal, M. S., Hawrylycz, M., Miller, J. A., Snyder, A. Z., and Raichle, M. E. (2014). Aerobic glycolysis in the human brain is associated with development and neotenous gene expression. *Cell Metab.* 19, 49–57. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2013.11.020

Hädel, S., Wirth, C., Rapp, M., Gallinat, J., and Schubert, F. (2013). Effects of age and sex on the concentrations of glutamate and glutamine in the human brain. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging* 38, 1480–1487. doi: 10.1002/jmri.24123

Hall, T. C., Miller, A. K. H., and Corsellis, J. A. N. (1975). Variations in the human Purkinje cell population according to age and sex. *Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol.* 1, 267–292. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2990.1975.tb00652.x

Han, S., An, Y., Carass, A., Prince, J. L., and Resnick, S. M. (2020). Longitudinal analysis of regional cerebellum volumes during normal aging. *NeuroImage* 220:117062. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117062

Hehl, M., Malderen, S. V., Blashchuk, S., Sunaert, S., Edden, R. A. E., Swinnen, S. P., et al. (2025). The reciprocal relationship between short- and Long-term motor learning and Neurometabolites. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 46:e70170. doi: 10.1002/hbm. 70170

Heise, K.-F., Rueda-Delgado, L., Chalavi, S., King, B. R., Monteiro, T. S., Edden, R. A. E., et al. (2022). The interaction between endogenous GABA, functional connectivity, and behavioral flexibility is critically altered with advanced age. *Communications Biology* 5:426. doi: 10.1038/s42003-022-03378-w

Hermans, L., Leunissen, I., Pauwels, L., Cuypers, K., Peeters, R., Puts, N. A. J., et al. (2018a). Brain GABA levels are associated with inhibitory control deficits in older adults. *J. Neurosci.* 38, 7844–7851. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.0760-18.2018

Hermans, L., Levin, O., Maes, C., van Ruitenbeek, P., Heise, K.-F., Edden, R. A. E., et al. (2018b). GABA levels and measures of intracortical and interhemispheric excitability in healthy young and older adults: an MRS-TMS study. *Neurobiol. Aging* 65, 168–177. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2018.01.023

Heron, C., Gould, T. J., and Bickford, P. (1996). Acquisition of a runway motor learning task is impaired by a beta adrenergic antagonist in F344 rats. *Behav. Brain Res.* 78, 235–241. doi: 10.1016/0166-4328(95)00252-9

Hetherington, H. P., Pan, J. W., Chu, W. J., Mason, G. F., and Newcomer, B. R. (1997). Biological and clinical MRS at ultra-high field. *NMR Biomed.* 10, 360–371. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1099-1492(199712)10:8<360::aid-nbm477>3.0.co;2-8

Hilber, P., and Caston, J. (2001). Motor skills and motor learning in Lurcher mutant mice during aging. *Neuroscience* 102, 615–623. doi: 10.1016/s0306-4522(00)00509-1

Horvath, S., Mah, V., Lu, A. T., Woo, J. S., Choi, O. W., Jasinska, A. J., et al. (2015). The cerebellum ages slowly according to the epigenetic clock. *Aging (Albany NY)* 7, 294–306. doi: 10.18632/aging.100742

Hu, X., Pan, K., Zhao, M., Lv, J., Wang, J., Zhang, X., et al. (2024). Brain extended and closed forms glutathione levels decrease with age and extended glutathione is associated with visuospatial memory. *NeuroImage* 293:120632. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage. 2024.120632

Huang, D., Liu, D., Yin, J., Qian, T., Shrestha, S., and Ni, H. (2017). Glutamateglutamine and GABA in brain of normal aged and patients with cognitive impairment. *Eur. Radiol.* 27, 2698–2705. doi: 10.1007/s00330-016-4669-8

Hull, C., and Regehr, W. G. (2022). The cerebellar cortex. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 45, 151–175. doi: 10.1146/annurev-neuro-091421-125115

Hupfeld, K. E., Hyatt, H. W., Alvarez Jerez, P., Mikkelsen, M., Hass, C. J., Edden, R. A. E., et al. (2021). In vivo brain glutathione is higher in older age and correlates with mobility. *Cereb. Cortex* 31, 4576–4594. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhab107

Jantzen, K. J., Steinberg, F. L., and Kelso, J. S. (2009). Coordination dynamics of largescale neural circuitry underlying rhythmic sensorimotor behavior. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 21, 2420–2433. doi: 10.1162/jocn.2008.21182

Jernigan, T. L., Archibald, S. L., Fennema-Notestine, C., Gamst, A. C., Stout, J. C., Bonner, J., et al. (2001). Effects of age on tissues and regions of the cerebrum and cerebellum. *Neurobiol. Aging* 22, 581–594. doi: 10.1016/s0197-4580(01)00217-2

Jones, D. P., Mody, V. C. Jr., Carlson, J. L., Lynn, M. J., and Sternberg, P. Jr. (2002). Redox analysis of human plasma allows separation of pro-oxidant events of aging from decline in antioxidant defenses. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 33, 1290–1300. doi: 10.1016/s0891-5849(02)01040-7

Kaiser, L. G., Schuff, N., Cashdollar, N., and Weiner, M. W. (2005). Age-related glutamate and glutamine concentration changes in normal human brain: 1H MR spectroscopy study at 4 T. *Neurobiol. Aging* 26, 665–672. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging. 2004.07.001

King, M., Hernandez-Castillo, C. R., Poldrack, R. A., Ivry, R. B., and Diedrichsen, J. (2019). Functional boundaries in the human cerebellum revealed by a multi-domain task battery. *Nat. Neurosci.* 22, 1371–1378. doi: 10.1038/s41593-019-0436-x

King, B. R., van Ruitenbeek, P., Leunissen, I., Cuypers, K., Heise, K. F., Santos Monteiro, T., et al. (2018). Age-related declines in motor performance are associated with decreased segregation of large-scale resting state brain networks. *Cereb. Cortex* 28, 4390–4402. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhx297

Kolasinski, J., Hinson, E. L., Divanbeighi Zand, A. P., Rizov, A., Emir, U. E., and Stagg, C. J. (2019). The dynamics of cortical GABA in human motor learning. *J. Physiol.* 597, 271–282. doi: 10.1113/jp276626

Krishnamurthy, V., Paredes Spir, I., Mammino, K. M., Nocera, J. R., McGregor, K. M., Crosson, B. A., et al. (2022). The relationship between resting cerebral blood flow, Neurometabolites, cardio-respiratory fitness and aging-related cognitive decline. *Front. Psych.* 13. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.923076

Kurcyus, K., Annac, E., Hanning, N. M., Harris, A. D., Oeltzschner, G., Edden, R., et al. (2018). Opposite dynamics of GABA and glutamate levels in the occipital cortex during visual processing. *J. Neurosci.* 38, 9967–9976. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.1214-18.2018

Laplane, D., Talairach, J., Meininger, V., Bancaud, J., and Bouchareine, A. (1977). Motor consequences of motor area ablations in man. *J. Neurol. Sci.* 31, 29–49. doi: 10.1016/0022-510X(77)90004-1

Levin, O., Weerasekera, A., King, B. R., Heise, K. F., Sima, D. M., Chalavi, S., et al. (2019). Sensorimotor cortex neurometabolite levels as correlate of motor performance in normal aging: evidence from a 1H-MRS study. *NeuroImage* 202:116050. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116050

Li, H., Chalavi, S., Rasooli, A., Rodríguez-Nieto, G., Seer, C., Mikkelsen, M., et al. (2024a). Baseline GABA+ levels in areas associated with sensorimotor control predict initial and long-term motor learning progress. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 45:e26537. doi: 10.1002/hbm.26537

Li, H., Heise, K. F., Chalavi, S., Puts, N. A. J., Edden, R. A. E., and Swinnen, S. P. (2022). The role of MRS-assessed GABA in human behavioral performance. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 212:102247. doi: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2022.102247

Li, H., Rodríguez-Nieto, G., Chalavi, S., Seer, C., Mikkelsen, M., Edden, R. A. E., et al. (2024b). MRS-assessed brain GABA modulation in response to task performance and learning. *Behav. Brain Funct.* 20:22. doi: 10.1186/s12993-024-00248-9

Liang, K. J., and Carlson, E. S. (2020). Resistance, vulnerability and resilience: a review of the cognitive cerebellum in aging and neurodegenerative diseases. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 170:106981. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2019.01.004

Lin, A., Andronesi, O., Bogner, W., Choi, I. Y., Coello, E., Cudalbu, C., et al. (2021). Minimum reporting standards for in vivo magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRSinMRS): experts' consensus recommendations. *NMR Biomed.* 34:e4484. doi: 10.1002/nbm.4484

Long, Z., Dyke, J. P., Ma, R., Huang, C. C., Louis, E. D., and Dydak, U. (2015). Reproducibility and effect of tissue composition on cerebellar γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) MRS in an elderly population. *NMR Biomed.* 28, 1315–1323. doi: 10.1002/nbm.3381

Maddock, R. J., Casazza, G. A., Fernandez, D. H., and Maddock, M. I. (2016). Acute modulation of cortical glutamate and GABA content by physical activity. *J. Neurosci.* 36, 2449–2457. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.3455-15.2016

Maddock, R. J., Caton, M. D., and Ragland, J. D. (2018). Estimating glutamate and Glx from GABA-optimized MEGA-PRESS: off-resonance but not difference spectra values correspond to PRESS values. *Psychiatry Res. Neuroimaging* 279, 22–30. doi: 10.1016/j.pscychresns.2018.07.003

Maes, C., Cuypers, K., Heise, K. F., Edden, R. A. E., Gooijers, J., and Swinnen, S. P. (2021). GABA levels are differentially associated with bimanual motor performance in older as compared to young adults. *NeuroImage* 231:117871. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage. 2021.117871

Maes, C., Cuypers, K., Peeters, R., Sunaert, S., Edden, R. A. E., Gooijers, J., et al. (2022). Task-related modulation of sensorimotor GABA+ levels in association with brain activity and motor performance: a multimodal MRS-fMRI study in young and older adults. *J. Neurosci.* 42, 1119–1130. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1154-21. 2021

Maes, C., Hermans, L., Pauwels, L., Chalavi, S., Leunissen, I., Levin, O., et al. (2018). Age-related differences in GABA levels are driven by bulk tissue changes. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 39, 3652–3662. doi: 10.1002/hbm.24201

Maghsudi, H., Schütze, M., Maudsley, A. A., Dadak, M., Lanfermann, H., and Ding, X. Q. (2020). Age-related brain metabolic changes up to seventh decade in healthy humans: whole-brain magnetic resonance spectroscopic imaging study. *Clin. Neuroradiol.* 30, 581–589. doi: 10.1007/s00062-019-00814-z

Maher, P. (2005). The effects of stress and aging on glutathione metabolism. Ageing Res. Rev. 4, 288–314. doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2005.02.005

Marenco, S., Meyer, C., van der Veen, J. W., Zhang, Y., Kelly, R., Shen, J., et al. (2018). Role of gamma-amino-butyric acid in the dorsal anterior cingulate in age-associated changes in cognition. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 43, 2285–2291. doi: 10.1038/s41386-018-0134-5

Marjańska, M., Deelchand, D. K., Hodges, J. S., McCarten, J. R., Hemmy, L. S., Grant, A., et al. (2018). Altered macromolecular pattern and content in the aging human brain. *NMR Biomed.* 31. doi: 10.1002/nbm.3865

Marjańska, M., McCarten, J. R., Hodges, J., Hemmy, L. S., Grant, A., Deelchand, D. K., et al. (2017). Region-specific aging of the human brain as evidenced by neurochemical profiles measured noninvasively in the posterior cingulate cortex and the occipital lobe using (1)H magnetic resonance spectroscopy at 7 T. *Neuroscience* 354, 168–177. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2017.04.035

Marsman, A., Mandl, R. C. W., Klomp, D. W. J., Cahn, W., Kahn, R. S., Luijten, P. R., et al. (2017). Intelligence and brain efficiency: investigating the association between working memory performance, glutamate, and GABA. *Front. Psych.* 8:154. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2017.00154

Mazzetti, A. P., Fiorile, M. C., Primavera, A., and Lo Bello, M. (2015). Glutathione transferases and neurodegenerative diseases. *Neurochem. Int.* 82, 10–18. doi: 10.1016/j.neuint.2015.01.008

Mecocci, P., MacGarvey, U., Kaufman, A. E., Koontz, D., Shoffner, J. M., Wallace, D. C., et al. (1993). Oxidative damage to mitochondrial DNA shows marked age-dependent increases in human brain. *Ann. Neurol.* 34, 609–616. doi: 10.1002/ana.410340416

Mescher, M., Merkle, H., Kirsch, J., Garwood, M., and Gruetter, R. (1998). Simultaneous in vivo spectral editing and water suppression. *NMR Biomed.* 11, 266–272. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1099-1492(199810)11:6<266::aid-nbm530>3.0.co;2-j

Miall, R. C., Reckess, G. Z., and Imamizu, H. (2001). The cerebellum coordinates eye and hand tracking movements. *Nat. Neurosci.* 4, 638–644. doi: 10.1038/88465

Mikkelsen, M., Harris, A. D., Edden, R. A. E., and Puts, N. A. J. (2018). Macromoleculesuppressed GABA measurements correlate more strongly with behavior than macromolecule-contaminated GABA+ measurements. *Brain Res.* 1701, 204–211. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2018.09.021

Mikkelsen, M., Tapper, S., Near, J., Mostofsky, S. H., Puts, N. A. J., and Edden, R. A. E. (2020). Correcting frequency and phase offsets in MRS data using robust spectral registration. *NMR Biomed.* 33:e4368. doi: 10.1002/nbm.4368

Monteiro, T. S., King, B. R., Zivari Adab, H., Mantini, D., and Swinnen, S. P. (2019). Age-related differences in network flexibility and segregation at rest and during motor performance. *NeuroImage* 194, 93–104. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage. 2019.03.015

Mooney, R. A., Cirillo, J., and Byblow, W. D. (2017). GABA and primary motor cortex inhibition in young and older adults: a multimodal reliability study. *J. Neurophysiol.* 118, 425–433. doi: 10.1152/jn.00199.2017

Mooney, R. A., Ni, Z., Shirota, Y., Chen, R., Ugawa, Y., and Celnik, P. A. (2022). Agerelated strengthening of cerebello-cortical motor circuits. *Neurobiol. Aging* 118, 9–12. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2022.04.016

Nasreddine, Z. S., Phillips, N. A., Bedirian, V., Charbonneau, S., Whitehead, V., Collin, I., et al. (2005). The Montreal cognitive assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* 53, 695–699. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415. 2005.53221.x

Nettekoven, C., Mitchell, L., Clarke, W. T., Emir, U., Campbell, J., Johansen-Berg, H., et al. (2022). Cerebellar GABA change during Visuomotor adaptation relates to adaptation performance and cerebellar network connectivity: a magnetic resonance spectroscopic imaging study. J. Neurosci. 42, JN-RM-0096-22–JN-RM-0096-7732. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.0096-22.2022

Nettekoven, C., Zhi, D., Shahshahani, L., Pinho, A. L., Saadon-Grosman, N., Buckner, R. L., et al. (2024). A hierarchical atlas of the human cerebellum for functional precision mapping. *Nat. Commun.* 15:8376. doi: 10.1038/s41467-024-52371-w

Oldfield, R. C. (1971). The assessment and analysis of handedness: the Edinburgh inventory. *Neuropsychologia* 9, 97–113. doi: 10.1016/0028-3932(71)90067-4

Otis, T. S., Kavanaugh, M. P., and Jahr, C. E. (1997). Postsynaptic glutamate transport at the climbing fiber-Purkinje cell synapse. *Science* 277, 1515–1518. doi: 10.1126/science.277.5331.1515

Pasanta, D., He, J. L., Ford, T., Oeltzschner, G., Lythgoe, D. J., and Puts, N. A. (2023). Functional MRS studies of GABA and glutamate/Glx - a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 144:104940. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2022.104940

Paul, R., Grieve, S. M., Chaudary, B., Gordon, N., Lawrence, J., Cooper, N., et al. (2009). Relative contributions of the cerebellar vermis and prefrontal lobe volumes on cognitive function across the adult lifespan. *Neurobiol. Aging* 30, 457–465. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2007.07.017

Pauwels, L., Vancleef, K., Swinnen, S. P., and Beets, I.a. M. (2015). Challenge to promote change: both young and older adults benefit from contextual interference. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 7, 1–12. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2015.00157

Porges, E. C., Woods, A. J., Edden, R. A., Puts, N. A., Harris, A. D., Chen, H., et al. (2017a). Frontal gamma-aminobutyric acid concentrations are associated with cognitive performance in older adults. *Biol. Psychiatry Cogn. Neurosci. Neuroimaging* 2, 38–44. doi: 10.1016/j.bpsc.2016.06.004

Porges, E. C., Woods, A. J., Lamb, D. G., Williamson, J. B., Cohen, R. A., Edden, R. A. E., et al. (2017b). Impact of tissue correction strategy on GABA-edited MRS findings. *NeuroImage* 162, 249–256. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.08.073

Porras-García, E., Cendelin, J., Domínguez-del-Toro, E., Vozeh, F., and Delgado-García, J. M. (2005). Purkinje cell loss affects differentially the execution, acquisition and prepulse inhibition of skeletal and facial motor responses in Lurcher mice. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 21, 979–988. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2005.03940.x

Porras-García, E., Sánchez-Campusano, R., Martínez-Vargas, D., Domínguezdel-Toro, E., Cendelín, J., Vožeh, F., et al. (2010). Behavioral characteristics, associative learning capabilities, and dynamic association mapping in an animal model of cerebellar degeneration. *J. Neurophysiol.* 104, 346–365. doi: 10.1152/jn.00180.2010

Puts, N. A. J., Edden, R. A. E., Evans, C. J., McGlone, F., and McGonigle, D. J. (2011). Regionally specific human GABA concentration correlates with tactile discrimination thresholds. *J. Neurosci.* 31, 16556–16560. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.4489-11.2011

Rae, C. D. (2014). A guide to the metabolic pathways and function of metabolites observed in human brain 1H magnetic resonance spectra. *Neurochem. Res.* 39, 1–36. doi: 10.1007/s11064-013-1199-5

Rai, S., Chowdhury, A., Reniers, R. L. E. P., Wood, S. J., Lucas, S. J. E., and Aldred, S. (2018). A pilot study to assess the effect of acute exercise on brain glutathione. *Free Radic. Res.* 52, 57–69. doi: 10.1080/10715762.2017.1411594

Ramanoel, S., Hoyau, E., Kauffmann, L., Renard, F., Pichat, C., Boudiaf, N., et al. (2018). Gray matter volume and cognitive performance during Normal aging. A voxel-based morphometry study. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 10:235. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2018.00235

Raz, N., Ghisletta, P., Rodrigue, K. M., Kennedy, K. M., and Lindenberger, U. (2010). Trajectories of brain aging in middle-aged and older adults: regional and individual differences. *NeuroImage* 51, 501–511. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.03.020

Raz, N., Lindenberger, U., Rodrigue, K. M., Kennedy, K. M., Head, D., Williamson, A., et al. (2005). Regional brain changes in aging healthy adults: general trends, individual differences and modifiers. *Cereb. Cortex* 15, 1676–1689. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhi044

Raz, N., Williamson, A., Gunning-Dixon, F., Head, D., and Acker, J. D. (2000). Neuroanatomical and cognitive correlates of adult age differences in acquisition of a perceptual-motor skill. *Microsc. Res. Tech.* 51, 85–93. doi: 10.1002/1097-0029(20001001) 51:1<85::AID-JEMT9>3.0.CO;2-0

Rebrin, I., Forster, M. J., and Sohal, R. S. (2007). Effects of age and caloric intake on glutathione redox state in different brain regions of C57BL/6 and DBA/2 mice. *Brain Res.* 1127, 10–18. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2006.10.040

Roalf, D. R., Sydnor, V. J., Woods, M., Wolk, D. A., Scott, J. C., Reddy, R., et al. (2020). A quantitative meta-analysis of brain glutamate metabolites in aging. *Neurobiol. Aging* 95, 240–249. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2020.07.015

Rodríguez-Nieto, G., Levin, O., Hermans, L., Weerasekera, A., Sava, A. C., Haghebaert, A., et al. (2023). Organization of neurochemical interactions in young and older brains as revealed with a network approach: evidence from proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy ((1)H-MRS). *NeuroImage* 266:119830. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022.119830

Rodríguez-Nieto, G., Rasooli, A., Li, H., Sunaert, S., Mantini, D., Mikkelsen, M., et al. (2025). The role of inhibitory and excitatory neurometabolites in age-related differences in action selection. *Npj Aging* 11:17. doi: 10.1038/s41514-025-00204-5

Rogers, J., Zornetzer, S. F., and Bloom, F. E. (1981). Senescent pathology of cerebellum: Purkinje neurons and their parallel fiber afferents. *Neurobiol. Aging* 2, 15–25. doi: 10.1016/0197-4580(81)90054-3

Rousseeuw, P. J., and Hubert, M. (2011). Robust statistics for outlier detection. WIREs Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery 1, 73–79. doi: 10.1002/widm.2

Ruigrok, T. J. H., Sillitoe, R. V., and Voogd, J. (2015). "Chapter 9 - cerebellum and cerebellar connections" in The rat nervous system. ed. G. Paxinos. *Fourth* ed (San Diego: Academic Press), 133–205.

Santos Monteiro, T., Beets, I. A. M., Boisgontier, M. P., Gooijers, J., Pauwels, L., Chalavi, S., et al. (2017). Relative cortico-subcortical shift in brain activity but preserved training-induced neural modulation in older adults during bimanual motor learning. *Neurobiol. Aging* 58, 54–67. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2017.06.004

Sastry, B. R., Morishita, W., Yip, S., and Shew, T. (1997). GABA-ergic transmission in deep cerebellar nuclei. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 53, 259–271. doi: 10.1016/s0301-0082(97)00033-6

Schieber, M., and Chandel, N. S. (2014). ROS function in redox signaling and oxidative stress. *Curr. Biol.* 24, R453–R462. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2014.03.034

Schonewille, M., Gao, Z., Boele, H. J., Veloz, M. F., Amerika, W. E., Simek, A. A., et al. (2011). Reevaluating the role of LTD in cerebellar motor learning. *Neuron* 70, 43–50. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2011.02.044

Seidler, R. D., Bernard, J. A., Burutolu, T. B., Fling, B. W., Gordon, M. T., Gwin, J. T., et al. (2010). Motor control and aging: links to age-related brain structural, functional, and biochemical effects. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 34, 721–733. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev. 2009.10.005

Serbruyns, L., Gooijers, J., Caeyenberghs, K., Meesen, R. L., Cuypers, K., Sisti, H. M., et al. (2015). Bimanual motor deficits in older adults predicted by diffusion tensor imaging metrics of corpus callosum subregions. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 220, 273–290. doi: 10.1007/s00429-013-0654-z

Serbruyns, L., Leunissen, I., van Ruitenbeek, P., Pauwels, L., Caeyenberghs, K., Solesio-Jofre, E., et al. (2016). Alterations in brain white matter contributing to agerelated slowing of task switching performance: the role of radial diffusivity and magnetization transfer ratio. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 37, 4084–4098. doi: 10.1002/hbm.23297

Shea, C. H., Park, J. H., and Braden, H. W. (2006). Age-related effects in sequential motor learning. *Phys. Ther.* 86, 478–488. doi: 10.1093/ptj/86.4.478

Simmonite, M., Carp, J., Foerster, B. R., Ossher, L., Petrou, M., Weissman, D. H., et al. (2019). Age-related declines in occipital GABA are associated with reduced fluid processing ability. *Acad. Radiol.* 26, 1053–1061. doi: 10.1016/j.acra.2018.07.024

Sisti, H. M., Geurts, M., Clerckx, R., Gooijers, J., Coxon, J. P., Heitger, M. H., et al. (2011). Testing multiple coordination constraints with a novel bimanual visuomotor task. *PLoS One* 6:e23619. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0023619

Smith, C. D., Walton, A., Loveland, A. D., Umberger, G. H., Kryscio, R. J., and Gash, D. M. (2005). Memories that last in old age: motor skill learning and memory preservation. *Neurobiol. Aging* 26, 883–890. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2004.08.014

Solesio-Jofre, E., Serbruyns, L., Woolley, D. G., Mantini, D., Beets, I. A., and Swinnen, S. P. (2014). Aging effects on the resting state motor network and interlimb coordination. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 35, 3945–3961. doi: 10.1002/hbm.22450

Stagg, C. J., Bachtiar, V., and Johansen-Berg, H. (2011a). What are we measuring with GABA magnetic resonance spectroscopy? *Commun. Integ. Biol.* 4, 573–575. doi: 10.4161/cib.16213

Stagg, C. J., Bestmann, S., Constantinescu, A. O., Moreno, L. M., Allman, C., Mekle, R., et al. (2011b). Relationship between physiological measures of excitability and levels of glutamate and GABA in the human motor cortex. *J. Physiol.* 589, 5845–5855. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2011.216978

Suri, S., Emir, U., Stagg, C. J., Near, J., Mekle, R., Schubert, F., et al. (2017). Effect of age and the APOE gene on metabolite concentrations in the posterior cingulate cortex. *NeuroImage* 152, 509–516. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.03.031

Swinnen, S. P. (1998). Age-related deficits in motor learning and differences in feedback processing during the production of a bimanual coordination pattern. *Cogn. Neuropsychol.* 15, 439–466. doi: 10.1080/026432998381104

Swinnen, S. P., and Wenderoth, N. (2004). Two hands, one brain: cognitive neuroscience of bimanual skill. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 8, 18–25. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2003.10.017

Takahashi, E., Niimi, K., and Itakura, C. (2009). Motor coordination impairment in aged heterozygous rolling Nagoya, Cav2.1 mutant mice. *Brain Res.* 1279, 50–57. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2009.05.016

Tanji, J., Okano, K., and Sato, K. C. (1987). Relation of neurons in the nonprimary motor cortex to bilateral hand movement. *Nature* 327, 618–620. doi: 10.1038/327618a0

Tapper, S., Göransson, N., Lundberg, P., Tisell, A., and Zsigmond, P. (2020). A pilot study of essential tremor: cerebellar GABA+/Glx ratio is correlated with tremor severity. *Cerebellum Ataxias* 7:8. doi: 10.1186/s40673-020-00116-y

Tong, J., Fitzmaurice, P. S., Moszczynska, A., Mattina, K., Ang, L. C., Boileau, I., et al. (2016). Do glutathione levels decline in aging human brain? *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 93, 110–117. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2016.01.029

Tracy, J. I., Faro, S. S., Mohammed, F. B., Pinus, A. B., Madi, S. M., and Laskas, J. W. (2001). Cerebellar mediation of the complexity of bimanual compared to unimanual movements. *Neurology* 57, 1862–1869. doi: 10.1212/wnl.57.10.1862

Tremblay, S., Beaulé, V., Proulx, S., de Beaumont, L., Marjanska, M., Doyon, J., et al. (2013). Relationship between transcranial magnetic stimulation measures of intracortical inhibition and spectroscopy measures of GABA and glutamate+glutamine. *J. Neurophysiol.* 109, 1343–1349. doi: 10.1152/jn.00704.2012

Ugawa, Y., Uesaka, Y., Terao, Y., Hanajima, R., and Kanazawa, I. (1995). Magnetic stimulation over the cerebellum in humans. *Ann. Neurol.* 37, 703–713. doi: 10.1002/ana.410370603

Vaishnavi, S. N., Vlassenko, A. G., Rundle, M. M., Snyder, A. Z., Mintun, M. A., and Raichle, M. E. (2010). Regional aerobic glycolysis in the human brain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 107, 17757–17762. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1010459107

Van der Does, W. (2002). Cognitive reactivity to sad mood: structure and validity of a new measure. Behav. Res. Ther. 40, 105–119. doi: 10.1016/s0005-7967(00)00111-x

Van Hoornweder, S., Blanco-Mora, D. A., Depestele, S., van Dun, K., Cuypers, K., Verstraelen, S., et al. (2022). Aging and complexity effects on hemisphere-dependent movement-related Beta desynchronization during bimanual motor planning and execution. *Brain Sci.* 12. doi: 10.3390/brainsci12111444

Van Malderen, S., Hehl, M., Nuyts, M., Verstraelen, S., Heemels, R. E., Hardwick, R. M., et al. (2025). Age-related differences in task-related modulation of cerebellar brain inhibition. *Neurobiol. Aging* 150, 53–68. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2025.02.009

Van Ruitenbeek, P., Santos Monteiro, T., Chalavi, S., King, B. R., Cuypers, K., Sunaert, S., et al. (2023). Interactions between the aging brain and motor task complexity across the lifespan: balancing brain activity resource demand and supply. *Cereb. Cortex* 33, 6420–6434. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhac514

Venkateshappa, C., Harish, G., Mahadevan, A., Srinivas Bharath, M. M., and Shankar, S. K. (2012). Elevated oxidative stress and decreased antioxidant function in the human hippocampus and frontal cortex with increasing age: implications for neurodegeneration in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurochem. Res.* 37, 1601–1614. doi: 10.1007/s11064-012-0755-8

Verstraelen, S., Cuypers, K., Maes, C., Hehl, M., Van Malderen, S., Levin, O., et al. (2021). Neurophysiological modulations in the (pre)motor-motor network underlying age-related increases in reaction time and the role of GABA levels - a bimodal TMS-MRS study. *NeuroImage* 243:118500. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118500

Voelcker-Rehage, C. (2008). Motor-skill learning in older adults—a review of studies on age-related differences. *Eur. Rev. Aging Phys. Act.* 5, 5–16. doi: 10.1007/s11556-008-0030-9

Volovyk, O., and Tal, A. (2020). Increased glutamate concentrations during prolonged motor activation as measured using functional magnetic resonance spectroscopy at 3T. *NeuroImage* 223:117338. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117338

Wang, X., and Michaelis, E. K. (2010). Selective neuronal vulnerability to oxidative stress in the brain. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 2:12. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2010.00012

Wang, X., Pal, R., Chen, X.-w., Limpeanchob, N., Kumar, K. N., and Michaelis, E. K. (2005). High intrinsic oxidative stress may underlie selective vulnerability of the hippocampal CA1 region. *Mol. Brain Res.* 140, 120–126. doi: 10.1016/j.molbrainres.2005.07.018

Wang, X., Zaidi, A., Pal, R., Garrett, A. S., Braceras, R., Chen, X. W., et al. (2009). Genomic and biochemical approaches in the discovery of mechanisms for selective neuronal vulnerability to oxidative stress. *BMC Neurosci.* 10:12. doi: 10.1186/1471-2202-10-12

Watson, M., and McElligott, J. G. (1983). 6-OHDA induced effects upon the acquisition and performance of specific locomotor tasks in rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 18, 927–934. doi: 10.1016/s0091-3057(83)80016-1

Weerasekera, A., Levin, O., Clauwaert, A., Heise, K. F., Hermans, L., Peeters, R., et al. (2020). Neurometabolic correlates of reactive and proactive motor inhibition in young and older adults: evidence from multiple regional (1)H-MR spectroscopy. *Cereb Cortex Commun* 1:tgaa028. doi: 10.1093/texcom/tgaa028

Wilde, G. J. C., Pringle, A. K., Wright, P., and Iannotti, F. (1997). Differential vulnerability of the CA1 and CA3 subfields of the Hippocampus to superoxide and hydroxyl radicals in vitro. *J. Neurochem.* 69, 883–886. doi: 10.1046/j.1471-4159.1997.69020883.x

Woodruff-Pak, D. S., Foy, M. R., Akopian, G. G., Lee, K. H., Zach, J., Nguyen, K. P., et al. (2010). Differential effects and rates of normal aging in cerebellum and hippocampus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 107, 1624–1629. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0914207107

World Medical Association (2013). World medical association declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *JAMA* 310, 2191–2194. doi: 10.1001/jama.2013.281053

Yang, C. S., Chou, S. T., Liu, L., Tsai, P. J., and Kuo, J. S. (1995). Effect of ageing on human plasma glutathione concentrations as determined by high-performance liquid chromatography with fluorimetric detection. *J. Chromatogr. B Biomed. Appl.* 674, 23–30. doi: 10.1016/0378-4347(95)00287-8

Yu, T., Korgaonkar, M. S., and Grieve, S. M. (2017). Gray matter atrophy in the cerebellum—evidence of increased vulnerability of the crus and vermis with advancing age. *Cerebellum* 16, 388–397. doi: 10.1007/s12311-016-0813-x

Zahr, N. M., Mayer, D., Pfefferbaum, A., and Sullivan, E. V. (2008). Low striatal glutamate levels underlie cognitive decline in the elderly: evidence from in vivo molecular spectroscopy. *Cereb. Cortex* 18, 2241–2250. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhm250

Zhang, C., Zhu, Q., and Hua, T. (2010). Aging of cerebellar Purkinje cells. *Cell Tissue Res.* 341, 341–347. doi: 10.1007/s00441-010-1016-2

Zhu, Y., Carvey, P. M., and Ling, Z. (2006). Age-related changes in glutathione and glutathione-related enzymes in rat brain. *Brain Res.* 1090, 35–44. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres. 2006.03.063

Zivari Adab, H., Chalavi, S., Monteiro, T. S., Gooijers, J., Dhollander, T., Mantini, D., et al. (2020). Fiber-specific variations in anterior transcallosal white matter structure contribute to age-related differences in motor performance. *NeuroImage* 209:116530. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.116530

Zuppichini, M. D., Hamlin, A. M., Zhou, Q., Kim, E., Rajagopal, S., Beltz, A. M., et al. (2024). GABA levels decline with age: a longitudinal study. *Imaging Neurosci.* 2, 1–15. doi: 10.1162/imag\_a\_00224