Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY

Mariana C. Castells Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, United States

REVIEWED BY

Matthew P. Giannetti Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Philip Hei Li Iphilip@hku.hk

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Drug, Venom $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ Anaphylaxis, a section of the journal Frontiers in Allergy

RECEIVED 01 February 2023 ACCEPTED 28 February 2023 PUBLISHED 16 March 2023

CITATION

Li PH and Thong BY-H (2023) Delabelling multiple antibiotic allergy: Practical issues. Front. Allergy 4:1156137. doi: 10.3389/falgy.2023.1156137

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Li and Thong. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Delabelling multiple antibiotic allergy: Practical issues

Philip Hei Li^{1*} and Bernard Yu-Hor Thong²

¹Division of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Department of Medicine, Queen Mary Hospital, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China, ²Department of Rheumatology, Allergy and Immunology, Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore, Singapore

With the growing incidence of multi-drug resistant organisms, delabelling incorrect antibiotic allergies has become an integral part of antimicrobial stewardship worldwide. For example, around 90% of penicillin allergy labels are found to be inaccurate following a full allergy work-up, which deprive patients the use of effective first-line penicillin antibiotics and increase the risk of antimicrobial resistance with the use of other extended spectrum non-penicillin antimicrobials. Significant numbers of adult and paediatric patients over time are labelled with multiple penicillin and non-penicillin antibiotic allergies often during inappropriate antimicrobial use, resulting in a label of "multiple antibiotic allergy". In contrast to delabelling penicillin allergy where oral direct provocation tests can be used for low-risk, mild reactions, and sensitivity/specificity/positive and negative predictive values of skin tests have been demonstrated, diagnostic tests for multiple antibiotic allergy often require the use of a combination of invivo and in-vitro tests across different antimicrobial classes for evaluation. Shared decision making with patients and informed consent are also needed when prioritising which drugs to delabel first, balancing the risks, benefits of testing vs. interim use of alternative antibiotics. Similar to delabelling penicillin allergy, the cost-effectiveness of delabelling multiple drug allergies is unknown.

KEYWORDS

penicillins, allergy, drug, antimicrobial stewardship, antibiotic

Introduction

With the growing incidence of multi-drug resistant organisms, delabelling incorrect antibiotic allergies has become an integral part of antimicrobial stewardship worldwide. Often patients have allergic and non-allergic adverse drug reactions inappropriately labelled as "drug allergy", many incorrectly labelled, with little appreciation of future implications. For example, patients may be incorrectly labelled with multiple antibiotic allergies which severely limits future antibiotic prescription, especially should patients require antibiotics to treat acute infections, pre-operative or pre-procedural antimicrobial prophylaxis or long-term antimicrobial suppressive therapy (1). The terms multiple drug hypersensitivity syndrome [MDHS and multiple drug intolerance syndrome (MDIS) are distinct entities which have been clearly defined in the literature] (2-5). The benefits of antibiotic allergy delabelling is best exemplified with beta-lactam (in particular penicillin) allergy, which is often incorrectly and over-diagnosed (6-9). There has been much interest in programs to delabel suspected penicillin allergy both in adults and children, in particular in antimicrobial stewardship programs to prevent widespread use of alternative broad spectrum non-penicillin antibiotics which may lead to antimicrobial resistance and use of agents that may not be as effective as penicillins (10-13). Clinical pharmacist and nurse-led allergist supervised delabelling programs for low-risk index reactors have been shown to be safe, effective and potentially scalable (13, 14). Guidelines for penicillin

allergy delabelling services in children and adults by non-allergists working in hospital settings, networked with a specialist allergy immunology service for advice and support, have also been published (15–17).

However, unlike with delabelling single penicillin allergies, the approach to patients labelled with multiple suspected drug allergies can be a complex issue. The cost-effectiveness of delabelling allergies to multiple antibiotic classes is also less clear-cut in contrast to delabelling a specific drug like penicillin (18, 19). In this review, we discuss the practical issues and challenges associated with delabelling multiple antibiotic allergy in contrast to what has been learnt from well-established penicillin allergy delabelling programs.

Nomenclature and definitions

Multiple drug allergy refers specifically to individuals who have been diagnosed with probable or definite immune-mediated drug hypersensitivity reactions (DHR) based on a combination of corroborative/ consistent history, physical signs, in-vitro and/or in-vivo tests. This entity may be synonymous with MDHS although MDHS includes both immune- and non-immune mediated hypersensitivity reactions. In contrast, MDIS refers to intolerance to 3 or more chemically unrelated drugs (1). MDIS is not immune-mediated and has no defined mechanism responsible for the adverse reactions or claimed intolerance to medications. Thus the term multiple antibiotic allergy refers to patients with immune-mediated hypersensitivity to 2 or more antibiotic drug classes.

Risk stratifying the index drug allergy episode

The history of any danger or "high risk" features in the index reaction is important in risk stratifying low-risk vs. non-low risk penicillin allergy patients (20). In practice, it is often challenging to differentiate patients with MDHS and MDIS to multiple antibiotic classes as the history is often remote (especially in the elderly or where vague drug allergy labels originated in childhood or early adulthood) and difficult to verify. Nonetheless, it is unlikely that a severe reaction (e.g., Stevens Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis, drug hypersensitivity syndrome or anaphylaxis) in the index history is missed unless there the patient has no recollection of hospitalization for the serious drug reaction, especially in elderly patients with cognitive impairment, or where no immediate family members witnessed or are able to recall the event either. Machine learning using datasets derived from electronic medical records and other digital assessment tools may in future help facilitate classification of index adverse drug reactions and risk assessment (21, 22). Structured and validated clinical decision tools or guidance, such as PEN-FAST, are straightforward and have also been demonstrated to aid with risk stratification (23).

Drug reactions with similar stereotypical reactions

In individuals with multiple antibiotic allergy, one needs to consider if these patients truly have MDHS, MDIS, or whether a common unifying underlying chronic disorder may need to be excluded. For example patients with chronic inducible or chronic spontaneous urticaria (CSU) or asthma may develop urticaria/ angioedema or wheeze during acute infections when oral nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are administered together with different antibiotic classes and the infection acts as a co-factor (24). Up to 10% patients with CSU carry drug "allergy" labels, which often impede future medical treatments or therapies (25). Similarly, patients with mast cell activation syndromes may develop immediate hypersensitivity type reactions related to neuromuscular blocking agents rather than antibiotics administered during the perioperative period (26). Eczema flares triggered by acute infection and viral exanthems may also be difficult to differentiate from antibiotic related drug eruptions.

Diagnostic workup

Diagnostic workup of suspected antibiotic allergies usually includes taking a thorough drug allergy history, followed by invivo or -vitro allergy tests, followed by a confirmatory challenge (if appropriate). Choosing the appropriate in-vivo or -vitro tests for each patient depends on the patient history and type of suspected DHR based on clinical suspicion. For in-vivo tests, immediate DHR are commonly confirmed with skin prick and intradermal tests while non-immediate reactions are confirmed with patch tests or intradermal tests with delayed reading. Although the performance of such in-vivo tests have been well established for penicillin, notable exceptions including poor negative predictive value of skin testing for piperacillintazobactam allergies, need to be emphasised (27). Furthermore, skin testing is not available for many antibiotics and not well studied except for penicillin. Negative in-vivo tests require a drug provocation test for confirmation. Drug provocation tests may be single or double blinded should the index reaction be associated with non-specific symptoms or signs (28). In-vitro tests measuring drug specific IgE are less commonly used in clinical practice because of the limited range of drugs commercially available, and suboptimal performance characteristics (sensitivity and specificity). For non-immediate reactions, lymphocyte transformation tests and ELISpot tests are usually only available in research centres or large tertiary referral centres (29). In patients with multiple antibiotic allergy where there are relative or absolute contraindications to drug provocation tests, combinations of in-vitro and in-vivo tests may be useful to identify the culprit antibiotic (30). However, the costs, availability and access to these tests need to be balanced with the need to delabel the multiple antibiotic allergies, the availability and riskbenefits of alternative potentially broader spectrum antibiotics. For instance, in tuberculous (TB) drug allergy, there are benefits

of delabelling some of the first-line TB drugs implicated rather than proceed with second line TB treatment which may be less widely available, associated with more treatment related side-effects or result in prolonging the course of TB treatment (31, 32). Whether and how to proceed with further testing requires shared-decision making between the patient and the allergist.

Efficacy of successful antibiotic allergy delabelling

Although there is not much data on delabelling multiple antibiotic allergies, the efficacy of delabelling individual allergies has been well demonstrated for a variety of different antibiotics. Prospective studies of patients following penicillin allergy delabelling has led to increase penicillin usage, reduction in nonpenicillin antibiotic (such as fluoroquinolone) use, improved clinical outcomes, as well as reduce future healthcare costs (9, 13, 33–36). The positive impact of delabelling incorrect penicillin allergy labels have shown to be especially pronounced among susceptible populations such as the immunocompromised and elderly (37–40). Similar benefits have been observed upon delabelling of other non-penicillin antibiotics such as sulfaantibiotics (41, 42). Although prospective data remains limited, the compound benefits following the delabelling of multiple antibiotic allergies will undoubtedly be exponential.

Healthcare resource prioritisation in delabelling

In penicillin allergy delabelling, the use of nurse- and pharmacistled protocol-driven services to delabel low-risk patients have been well-described (13, 14). Another model comprising evaluation of low risk penicillin allergy cases by non-allergists at spoke clinics within hospital departments of medicine, with training and support of an allergist-led hub has also been described (16). Such models have also been successful for other multi-disciplinary allergy initiatives (43, 44). These models of care may potentially be adapted for use in allergy/immunology services for patients with multiple antibiotic allergy where the number of provocation tests and patients who need to be tested far exceed the number of trained specialists in allergy/immunology. In any healthcare system with resource constraints, the patients requiring initiation of antibiotics earlier should be triaged and prioritised to be tested earlier e.g., bronchiectasis and primary immunodeficiency patients, rheumatology/haematology patients with recurrent infections.

Cost-effectiveness of multiple antibiotic testing

Cost-effectiveness analyses evaluate whether a new health technology (test, device or therapeutic modality) provides value relative to other existing health technologies – in essence a comparison of costs and consequences (health outcomes) (45). In

countries which do not have universal health care or where the healthcare system is co-payment or insurance based, patients may not be willing to pay for out-of-pocket expenses for multiple tests and evaluations for which they do not see any apparent benefit. Multiple visits for allergy tests are also associated with indirect costs e.g., time away from work, travelling time to the allergy clinic, time spent under observation, potential financial losses from absence from work. The cost of testing to the individual needs to be balanced against the cost to the healthcare system with the increased use of broad-spectrum antibiotics, risk of antimicrobial resistance and prolonged length of hospitalization should an antibiotic be needed. There have been few studies on the cost-effectiveness of delabelling as an allergy intervention, although these have been studied for multiplex allergen testing, adrenaline autoinjectors in anaphylaxis and venom immunotherapy (19, 46-48).

Conclusion

True multiple drug allergy is far less common than MDIS or multiple incorrect allergy labels. Incorrect allergy labels may impact patient care and necessitate delabelling, it is imperative that inappropriate use of antibiotics in the community and in hospitals be curtailed through on-going physician- and patient-education. Any suspected drug allergy reaction when it occurs, should be promptly and accurately documented, a "drug allergy passport" or alert card be given to the patient, and electronic medical records linked to electronic decision support-alerting and prescribing systems updated (49, 50). These primary prevention interventions are probably the most important to prevent a future tsunami of more patients with multiple antibiotic allergy labels.

Author contributions

PHL and BY-HT contributed equally to manuscript writing and revision. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Pichler WJ. Immune pathomechanism and classification of drug hypersensitivity. *Allergy.* (2019) 74:1457–71. doi: 10.1111/all.13765

2. Jagpal PK, Alshareef S, Marriott JF, Krishna MT. Characterization, epidemiology and risk factors of multiple drug allergy syndrome and multiple drug intolerance syndrome: a systematic review. *Clin Transl Allergy*. (2022) 12:e12190. doi: 10.1002/ clt2.12190

3. Guyer A, Iammatteo M, Karagic M, Macy E, Jerschow E. Tackling the patient with multiple drug "allergies": multiple drug intolerance syndrome. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract.* (2020) 8:2870–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jaip.2020.08.033

4. Macy E, Ho NJ. Multiple drug intolerance syndrome: prevalence, clinical characteristics, and management. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* (2012) 108:88–93. doi: 10.1016/j.anai.2011.11.006

5. Omer HM, Hodson J, Thomas SK, Coleman JJ. Multiple drug intolerance syndrome: a large-scale retrospective study. *Drug Saf.* (2014) 37:1037–45. doi: 10. 1007/s40264-014-0236-x

6. Shenoy ES, Macy E, Rowe T, Blumenthal KG. Evaluation and management of penicillin allergy: a review. *JAMA*. (2019) 321:188–99. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018. 19283

7. Mak HWF, Yeung MHY, Wong JCY, Chiang V, Li PH. Differences in beta-lactam and penicillin allergy: beyond the west and focusing on Asia-Pacific. *Front Allergy.* (2022) 3:1059321. doi: 10.3389/falgy.2022.1059321

8. Li PH, Yeung HHF, Lau CS, Au EYL. Prevalence, incidence, and sensitization profile of beta-lactam antibiotic allergy in Hong Kong. *JAMA Netw Open.* (2020) 3: e204199. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.4199

9. Siew LQC, Li PH, Watts TJ, Thomas I, Ue KL, Caballero MR, et al. Identifying low-risk beta-lactam allergy patients in a UK tertiary centre. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. (2019) 7:2173–81e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jaip.2019.03.015

10. Samarakoon U, Accarino J, Wurcel AG, Jaggers J, Judd A, Blumenthal KG. Penicillin allergy delabeling: opportunities for implementation and dissemination. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* (2022) S1081-1206(22):02006-3. doi: 10.1016/j.anai. 2022.12.023

11. Powell N, Stephens J, Kohl D, Owens R, Ahmed S, Musicha C, et al. The effectiveness of interventions that support penicillin allergy assessment and delabelling of adult and paediatric patients by non-allergy specialists: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Infect Dis.* (2022) 129:152–61. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid. 2022.11.026

12. Copaescu AM, James F, Vogrin S, Rose M, Chua K, Holmes NE, et al. Use of a penicillin allergy clinical decision rule to enable direct oral penicillin provocation: an international multicentre randomised control trial in an adult population (PALACE): study protocol. *BMJ Open*. (2022) 12:e063784. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-063784

13. Kan AKC, Hui HKS, Li TS, Chiang V, Wong JCY, Chan TS, et al. Comparative effectiveness, safety, and real-world outcomes of a nurse-led, protocol-driven penicillin allergy evaluation from the Hong Kong Drug Allergy Delabelling Initiative (HK-DADI). *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract.* (2023) 11(2):474–80.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jaip. 2022.08.052

14. Bhogal R, Hussain A, Balaji A, Bermingham WH, Marriott JF, Krishna MT. The role of a clinical pharmacist in spurious penicillin allergy: a narrative review. *Int J Clin Pharm.* (2021) 43:461–75. doi: 10.1007/s11096-020-01226-7

15. Savic L, Ardern-Jones M, Avery A, Cook T, Denman S, Farooque S, et al. BSACI guideline for the set-up of penicillin allergy de-labelling services by non-allergists working in a hospital setting. *Clin Exp Allergy*. (2022) 52:1135–41. doi: 10.1111/cea. 14217

16. Li PH, Wong JCY, Chan JMC, Chik TSH, Chu MY, Ho GCH, et al. Hong Kong Drug Allergy Delabelling Initiative (HK-DADI) consensus statements for penicillin allergy testing by nonallergists. *Front Allergy*. (2022) 3:974138. doi: 10.3389/falgy. 2022.974138

17. Alvarez-Cuesta E, Madrigal-Burgaleta R, Broyles AD, Cuesta-Herranz J, Guzman-Melendez MA, Maciag MC, et al. Standards for practical intravenous rapid drug desensitization & delabeling: a WAO committee statement. *World Allergy Organ J.* (2022) 15:100640. doi: 10.1016/j.waojou.2022.100640

18. Vethody C, Yu R, Keck JM, Onasch MK, Stone CA, Phillips EJ. Safety, efficacy, and effectiveness of delabeling in patients with multiple drug allergy labels. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract.* (2021) 9:922–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jaip.2020.09.010

19. Brusco NK, Bury S, Chua KYL, Vogrin S, Holmes NE, Trubiano JA. Penicillin allergy delabeling program: an exploratory economic evaluation in the Australian context. *Intern Med J.* (2023) 53:74–83. doi: 10.1111/imj.15532

20. Garvey LH, Savic LC. Drug provocation testing: risk stratification is key. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol. (2019) 19:266–71. doi: 10.1097/ACI.00000000000543

21. Mabilat C, Gros MF, Van Belkum A, Trubiano JA, Blumenthal KG, Romano A, et al. Improving antimicrobial stewardship with penicillin allergy testing: a review of current practices and unmet needs. *JAC Antimicrob Resist.* (2022) 4:dlac116. doi: 10. 1093/jacamr/dlac116

22. Inglis JM, Bacchi S, Troelnikov A, Smith W, Shakib S. Automation of penicillin adverse drug reaction categorisation and risk stratification with machine learning natural language processing. *Int J Med Inform.* (2021) 156:104611. doi: 10.1016/j. ijmedinf.2021.104611

23. Trubiano JA, Vogrin S, Chua KYL, Bourke J, Yun J, Douglas A, et al. Development and validation of a penicillin allergy clinical decision rule. *JAMA Intern Med.* (2020) 180:745–52. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.0403

24. Mastrorilli C, Bernardini R, Liotti L, Franceschini F, Crisafulli G, Caimmi S, et al. Chronic urticaria and drug hypersensitivity in children. *Acta Biomed.* (2019) 90(3-S):61–5. doi: 10.23750/abm.v90i3-S.8166

25. Kan AKC, Wong TTH, Chiang V, Lau CS, Li PH. Chronic spontaneous urticaria in Hong Kong: clinical characteristics, real-world practice and implications for COVID-19 vaccination. *Allergy Asthma Immunol Res.* (2023) 15:32–42. doi: 10. 4168/aair.2023.15.1.32

26. Bonadonna P, Pagani M, Aberer W, Bilo MB, Brockow K, Oude Elberink H, et al. Drug hypersensitivity in clonal mast cell disorders: ENDA/EAACI position paper. *Allergy.* (2015) 70:755–63. doi: 10.1111/all.12617

27. Wong JC, Au EY, Yeung HH, Lau CS, Li PH. Piperacillin-tazobactam allergies: an exception to usual penicillin allergy. *Allergy Asthma Immunol Res.* (2021) 13:284–94. doi: 10.4168/aair.2021.13.2.284

28. Barbaud A, Romano A. Skin testing approaches for immediate and delayed hypersensitivity reactions. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am.* (2022) 42:307–22. doi: 10.1016/j.iac.2022.01.003

29. Dona I, Torres MJ, Montanez MI, Fernandez TD. In vitro diagnostic testing for antibiotic allergy. *Allergy Asthma Immunol Res.* (2017) 9:288–98. doi: 10.4168/aair. 2017.9.4.288

30. Mayorga C, Dona I, Perez-Inestrosa E, Fernandez TD, Torres MJ. The value of in vitro tests to DiminishDrug challenges. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2017) 18:1222. doi: 10.3390/ ijms18061222

31. Tan S, Thong BY. Anti-tuberculous drug allergy: diagnostic challenges. *Clin Exp Allergy*. (2022) 52:370–1. doi: 10.1111/cea.14104

32. Bermingham WH, Bhogal R, Arudi Nagarajan S, Mutlu L, El-Shabrawy RM, Madhan R, et al. Practical management of suspected hypersensitivity reactions to anti-tuberculosis drugs. *Clin Exp Allergy.* (2022) 52:375–86. doi: 10.1111/cea.14084

33. Powell N, Honeyford K, Sandoe J. Impact of penicillin allergy records on antibiotic costs and length of hospital stay: a single-centre observational retrospective cohort. J Hosp Infect. (2020) 106:35–42. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2020.05.042

34. Steenvoorden L, Bjoernestad EO, Kvesetmoen TA, Gulsvik AK. De-labelling penicillin allergy in acutely hospitalized patients: a pilot study. *BMC Infect Dis.* (2021) 21:1083. doi: 10.1186/s12879-021-06794-1

35. Sobrino-Garcia M, Moreno EM, Munoz-Bellido FJ, Gracia-Bara MT, Laffond E, Dona I, et al. Analysis of the costs associated with the elective evaluation of patients labelled as allergic to beta-lactams or nonsteroidal antiinflamatory agents. *Front Pharmacol.* (2020) 11:584633. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2020.584633

36. Krah NM, Jones TW, Lake J, Hersh AL. The impact of antibiotic allergy labels on antibiotic exposure, clinical outcomes, and healthcare costs: a systematic review. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* (2021) 42:530–48. doi: 10.1017/ice.2020.1229

37. Wong JCY, Cheong N, Lau CS, Li PH. Prevalence and impact of misdiagnosed drug allergy labels among patients with hereditary angioedema. *Front Allergy*. (2022) 3:953117. doi: 10.3389/falgy.2022.953117

38. Wong JCY, Li PH. Drug allergy management in the elderly. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2021) 21(4):340–5.

39. Chan SCW, Yeung WWY, Wong JCY, Chui ESH, Lee MSH, Chung HY, et al. Prevalence and impact of reported drug allergies among rheumatology patients. *Diagnostics*. (2020) 10(11):918. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics10110918

40. Li PH, Chung HY, Lau CS. Epidemiology and outcomes of geriatric and nongeriatric patients with drug allergy labels in Hong Kong. *Hong Kong Med J.* (2021) 27:192–7. doi: 10.12809/hkmj208716

41. Waldron JL, Trubiano JA. Antibiotic allergy labels in immunocompromised populations. *Transpl Infect Dis.* (2022) 24:e13955. doi: 10.1111/tid.13955

42. Trubiano JA, Thursky KA, Stewardson AJ, Urbancic K, Worth LJ, Jackson C, et al. Impact of an integrated antibiotic allergy testing program on antimicrobial stewardship: a multicenter evaluation. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2017) 65:166–74. doi: 10. 1093/cid/cix244

43. Chiang V, Saha C, Yim J, Au EYL, Kan AKC, Hui KSH, et al. The role of the allergist in coronavirus disease 2019 vaccine allergy safety: a pilot study on a "huband-spoke" model for population-wide allergy service. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* (2022) 129:308–12e1. doi: 10.1016/j.anai.2022.05.011

44. Chiang V, To KKW, Hung IFN, Saha C, Yim JS, Wong JCY, et al. COVID-19 Vaccine Allergy Safety Track (VAS-Track) pathway: real-world outcomes on vaccination rates and antibody protection. *Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol.* (2023). doi: 10.12932/AP-110722-1410. [Epub ahead of print]

45. Fautrel B, Boonen A, de Wit M, Grimm S, Joore M, Guillemin F. Cost assessment of health interventions and diseases. *RMD Open.* (2020) 6(3):e001287. doi: 10.1136/rmdopen-2020-001287

46. Hockenhull J, Elremeli M, Cherry MG, Mahon J, Lai M, Darroch J, et al. A systematic review of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of Pharmalgen[®] for the treatment of bee and wasp venom allergy. *Health Technol Assess.* (2012) 16:1–110. III–IV. doi: 10.3310/ hta16120

47. Westwood M, Ramaekers B, Lang S, Armstrong N, Noake C, de Kock S, et al. ImmunoCAP[®] ISAC and microtest for multiplex allergen testing in people with difficult to manage allergic disease: a systematic review and cost analysis. *Health Technol Assess.* (2016) 20:1–178. doi: 10.3310/hta20670

48. Armstrong N, Wolff R, van Mastrigt G, Martinez N, Hernandez AV, Misso K, et al. A systematic review and cost-effectiveness analysis of specialist services and adrenaline auto-injectors in anaphylaxis. *Health Technol Assess.* (2013) 17:1–117. v–vi. doi: 10.3310/hta17170

49. Powell N, Elkhalifa S, Guyer A, Garcez T, Sandoe J, Zhou L. Addressing the challenges of penicillin allergy delabeling with electronic health records and mobile applications. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract.* (2023) 11(2):414–21. doi: 10.1016/j.jaip.2022.10.037

50. Brockow K, Aberer W, Atanaskovic-Markovic M, Bavbek S, Bircher A, Bilo B, et al. Drug allergy passport and other documentation for patients with drug hypersensitivity - an ENDA/EAACI drug allergy interest group position paper. *Allergy.* (2016) 71:1533–9. doi: 10.1111/all.12929