



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Nikolaos (Nikos) G. Papadopoulos,
National and Kapodistrian University of Athens,
Greece

*CORRESPONDENCE

Danilo Di Bona
✉ danilo.dibona@unifg.it

RECEIVED 23 June 2025

ACCEPTED 08 July 2025

PUBLISHED 25 July 2025

CITATION

Di Bona D, Di Biase A, Paoletti G, Villani R,
Serviddio G, Cognet-Sicé J, Scurati S and
Canonica GW (2025) Correction: Efficacy of
index of reactivity-liquid sublingual
immunotherapy in allergic rhinoconjunctivitis:
a systematic review and meta-analysis of
randomized studies.
Front. Allergy 6:1652198.
doi: 10.3389/falgy.2025.1652198

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Di Bona, Di Biase, Paoletti, Villani,
Serviddio, Cognet-Sicé, Scurati and Canonica.
This is an open-access article distributed
under the terms of the [Creative Commons
Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use,
distribution or reproduction in other forums is
permitted, provided the original author(s) and
the copyright owner(s) are credited and that
the original publication in this journal is cited,
in accordance with accepted academic
practice. No use, distribution or reproduction
is permitted which does not comply with
these terms.

Correction: Efficacy of index of reactivity-liquid sublingual immunotherapy in allergic rhinoconjunctivitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized studies

Danilo Di Bona^{1*}, Andrea Di Biase¹, Giovanni Paoletti^{2,3},
Rosanna Villani¹, Gaetano Serviddio¹, Josiane Cognet-Sicé⁴,
Silvia Scurati⁴ and Giorgio Walter Canonica^{2,3}

¹Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, University of Foggia, Foggia, Italy, ²Department of Biomedical Sciences, Humanitas University, Pieve Emanuele, Italy, ³Personalized Medicine, Asthma and Allergy, Humanitas Clinical and Research Center, IRCCS, Rozzano, Italy, ⁴Integrated Health Care Department, Stallergenes Greer, Antony, France

KEYWORDS

meta-analysis, randomized controlled trial, rhinitis, allergic, SLIT-liquid, sublingual immunotherapy, systematic review

A Correction on

Efficacy of index of reactivity-liquid sublingual immunotherapy in allergic rhinoconjunctivitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized studies

By Di Bona D, Di Biase A, Paoletti G, Villani R, Serviddio G, Cognet-Sicé J, Scurati S and Canonica GW (2025). Sec. Allergen Immunotherapy. 6. doi: 10.3389/falgy.2025.1597003

In section Methods, subsection Data analysis, paragraph 2: the reference for “In cases where standard errors (SEs) were provided, SDs were calculated using the formula: $SD = SE\sqrt{n}$ ” was erroneously written as (13). It should be (15) as stated below.

“In cases where standard errors (SEs) were provided, SDs were calculated using the formula: $SD = SE\sqrt{n}$ (15).”

In section Results, paragraph 3: the references for “Low heterogeneity was observed ($Q = 0.37$; $df = 25$; $P = 0.22$; $I^2 = 20\%$) but decreased to 0% after excluding three outlier studies” were erroneously written as (26, 29, 42). It should be (28, 31, 44) as stated below.

“Low heterogeneity was observed ($Q = 0.37$; $df = 25$; $P = 0.22$; $I^2 = 20\%$) but decreased to 0% after excluding three outlier studies (28, 31, 44)”

In section Results, paragraph 6: the references for “However, excluding four influential studies” were erroneously written as (26, 27, 31, 32). It should be (26, 28, 29, 34) as stated below.

“However, excluding four influential studies (26, 28, 29, 34)...”

There was a mistake in the caption of Figure 1 as published. The term “seasonal” was incorrectly inserted in the sentence “Meta-analysis of 25 RCTs of IR-SLIT-liquid vs.

placebo for seasonal allergic rhinoconjunctivitis.” and should be deleted. The corrected caption of Figure 1 appears below.

“Meta-analysis of 25 RCTs of IR-SLIT-liquid vs. placebo for allergic rhinoconjunctivitis. The SMD and 95% CI for the effect of treatment on symptom score (SS) are plotted on the graph.”

There was a mistake in the caption of Figure 3 as published. The term “seasonal” was incorrectly inserted in the sentence “Meta-analysis of 20 RCTs of IR-SLIT-liquid vs. placebo for seasonal allergic rhinoconjunctivitis.” and should be deleted. The corrected caption of Figure 3 appears below.

“Meta-analysis of 20 RCTs of IR-SLIT-liquid vs. placebo for allergic rhinoconjunctivitis. The SMD and 95% CI for the

effect of treatment on medication score (MS) are plotted on the graph.”

The original version of this article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.