#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Michael D. Flythe, United States Department of Agriculture, United States

REVIEWED BY Sohail Ahmad, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Pakistan Mayra A. D. Saleh, University of the Azores, Portugal

\*CORRESPONDENCE Tossaporn Incharoen Stossaporni@nu.ac.th

RECEIVED 31 December 2024 ACCEPTED 18 February 2025 PUBLISHED 26 March 2025

#### CITATION

Incharoen T, Nopparatmaitree M, Kongkeaw A, Soisuwan K, Likittrakulwong W, Thongnum A, Norbu N, Tenzin J, Supatsaraphokin N and Loor JJ (2025) Dietary micronized hemp fiber enhances *in vitro* nutrient digestibility and cecal fermentation, antioxidant enzyme, lysosomal activity, and productivity in finisher broilers reared under thermal stress. *Front. Anim. Sci.* 6:1553829. doi: 10.3389/fanim.2025.1553829

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Incharoen, Nopparatmaitree, Kongkeaw, Soisuwan, Likittrakulwong, Thongnum, Norbu, Tenzin, Supatsaraphokin and Loor. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Dietary micronized hemp fiber enhances *in vitro* nutrient digestibility and cecal fermentation, antioxidant enzyme, lysosomal activity, and productivity in finisher broilers reared under thermal stress

Tossaporn Incharoen<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Manatsanun Nopparatmaitree<sup>3</sup>, Adisak Kongkeaw<sup>1</sup>, Keatisak Soisuwan<sup>4</sup>, Wirot Likittrakulwong<sup>5</sup>, Atichat Thongnum<sup>6</sup>, Nima Norbu<sup>7</sup>, Jigme Tenzin<sup>8</sup>, Natphapat Supatsaraphokin<sup>9</sup> and Juan J. Loor<sup>10</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Agriculture Natural Resource and Environment, Naresuan University, Phitsanulok, Thailand, <sup>2</sup>Center of Excellence in Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization, Faculty of Science, Naresuan University, Phitsanulok, Thailand, <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Animal Science and Agricultural Technology, Silpakorn University, Phetchaburi, Thailand, <sup>4</sup>Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Rajamagala University of Technology Srivijaya, Nakhon Si Thammarat, Thailand, <sup>5</sup>Faculty of Food and Agricultural Technology, Pibulsongkram Rajabhat University, Phitsanulok, Thailand, <sup>6</sup>Department of Animal Science and Fishery, Faculty of Sciences and Agricultural Technology, Rajamangala University of Technology Lanna, Phitsanulok, Thailand, <sup>7</sup>District Livestock Sector, Gasa District Administration, Khatoed, Bhutan, <sup>8</sup>Department of Animal Science, College of Natural Resources, Royal University of Bhutan, Thimphu, Bhutan, <sup>9</sup>Firstly Tech Co. Ltd., Lam Luk Ka, Pathum Thani, Thailand, <sup>10</sup>Department of Animal Sciences, Division of Nutritional Sciences, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, United States

**Introduction:** Heat stress impairs broiler performance and exacerbates oxidative stress. Hemp (*Cannabis sativa L.*) contains cannabidiol (CBD) and other bioactive compounds with antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and immunomodulatory properties, which may enhance health status and overall performance in broilers. This study aimed to investigate the effects of dietary micronized hemp fiber (MHF) supplementation on *in vitro* nutrient digestibility, cecal fermentation, antioxidant enzyme activity, lysosomal function, and productivity in finisher broilers reared under a thermal environment.

**Methods:** At 21 days of age, 210 broilers with uniform body weight were randomly allocated to three dietary treatments: a basal diet (CON), and diets supplemented with MHF at 0.75% (L-MHF) or 1.50% (H-MHF). Birds had ad libitum access to diets until 42 days of age.

**Results:** Compared with the CON, there was no effect (p > 0.05) of MHF supplementation on *in vitro* true digestibility of dry matter, organic matter, crude protein, ether extract, or gross energy. Similarly, gas production at various incubation times and the rate and extent of gas production from cecal fermentation did not differ (p > 0.05). However, cecal fermentation analysis revealed that total volatile fatty acid (VFA) concentrations, including acetic, propionic, and butyric acids, were significantly higher (p < 0.01) in the L-MHF

and H-MHF groups. Microbiological analysis revealed increased (p < 0.01) total bacterial counts, lactic acid bacteria, and Enterococcus sp. populations, coupled with reduced E. coli counts in the L-MHF and H-MHF groups. There was a significant (p < 0.001) improvement in final body weight and average daily gain in the H-MHF group compared with the CON and L-MHF groups. Feed conversion ratio was lowest (p < 0.001) in the H-MHF group across all measured periods, while average daily feed intake remained unaffected (p > 0.05). Except for increased (p < 0.05) wing weight in the H-MHF group, dressing percentage or weight of major cuts did not differ (p > 0.05). Antioxidant enzyme activity was enhanced in the MHF-groups, with higher (p < 0.001) catalase, superoxide dismutase, and glutathione peroxidase activities observed in the H-MHF and L-MHF groups. However, lysozyme activity was reduced (p < 0.05) in the H-MHF group.

**Conclusion:** Dietary supplementation with MHF improved *in vitro* cecal fermentation profiles, antioxidant capacity, and productivity metrics in finisher broilers under thermal stress. These findings underscore the potential of MHF as a functional feed additive in broiler production.

#### KEYWORDS

micronized hemp fiber, broiler productivity, *in vitro* nutrient digestibility, cecal fermentation, antioxidant enzyme activity, thermal stress

### **1** Introduction

Heat stress in poultry, caused by elevated ambient temperatures, significantly impairs feed intake, nutrient utilization, and growth performance while exacerbating oxidative stress (Kpomasse et al., 2021; Goel, 2021). Oxidative stress is a critical factor leading to compromised growth and productivity in broilers. Nutritional strategies, particularly the incorporation of plant-derived bioactive compounds, have shown potential in alleviating the adverse effects of heat stress by enhancing intestinal health, improving nutrient digestibility, and supporting overall performance (Ivanova et al., 2024; Oni et al., 2024). Hemp (Cannabis sativa L.), a plant with a rich history of medicinal use, has garnered attention in animal nutrition due to its nutraceutical properties. It contains cannabinoids, including cannabidiol (CBD), and other bioactive compounds (Adesina et al., 2020; Ostapczuk et al., 2021). CBD is a key phytocannabinoid derived from various parts of the hemp plant such as flowers, leaves, and stems (Fallahi et al., 2022). Its pharmacological properties include antioxidant, antiinflammatory, anxiolytic, and immunomodulatory effects (Atalay et al., 2019; Wright et al., 2020). Konieczka et al. (2022) noted that CBD derived from hemp has garnered significant attention due to its ability to positively influence gut health and functionality. According to the findings of Kleinhenz et al. (2022), including hemp in the diets (5.5 mg/kg cannabidiolic acid) of male Holstein cattle resulted in a decrease in biomarkers related to inflammation and stress. The administration of hemp-CBD resulted in the upregulation of gene expression associated with gut barrier function and increased

the activity of gut bacterial enzymes in broilers in response to a challenge with *C. perfringens* (Konieczka et al., 2020).

Hemp's economic value has risen significantly due to its potential biomedical applications (Adesina et al., 2020). Hemp products, especially hemp seed oil, have gained popularity due to their high content of fatty acids and proteins, offering considerable benefits for human dietary applications and antimicrobial properties effective against bacterial and fungal pathogens (Ostapczuk et al., 2021; Meffo Kemda et al., 2024). The demand for hemp-based food has increased by 500% since 2017, driving more intensive agricultural practices and higher resource consumption across the supply chain (Sorrentino, 2021; Meffo Kemda et al., 2024). Typically, hemp seeds are harvested upon maturity, indicated by flower desiccation and seed head development. However, the by-products such as roots, leaves, desiccated seeds, and floral inflorescences are also collected, aligning with bio-green-circular economy principles to promote sustainable agricultural practices (Sopian et al., 2024; Meffo Kemda et al., 2024).

Recent advancements in hemp processing have enhanced the bioavailability of CBD and other cannabinoids by converting inactive cannabinoid acids into their active forms such as CBD, optimizing their physiological effects (Wang et al., 2016; Lima et al., 2022). Lima et al. (2022) reported that activated cannabinoids, such as CBD, may aid in regulating metabolism, alleviating stress, and potentially enhancing immune function, promoting improved health and growth in animals. These advancements support the inclusion of hemp by-products as sustainable feed ingredients or supplement for livestock (Tufarelli et al., 2023; Sopian et al., 2024).

In addition, by promoting gut health and microbial balance, dietary fibers derived from hemp by-products may play an essential role in poultry nutrition. Fiber-rich diets improve intestinal morphology, enhance nutrient absorption, and support overall growth, particularly during the latter stages of broiler production (Jha and Mishra, 2021; Zhang et al., 2023). Soluble dietary fibers are rapidly fermented by gut microbiota, producing short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) that contribute to gut integrity and immune function (McRorie and McKeown, 2017; Guan et al., 2021). Such attributes may position hemp by-products as an optimal supplement in the formulation of sustainable feed solutions.

This study explored the potential to upcycle and add value to hemp by-products by manufacturing micronized hemp fiber (MHF) by reducing particle size and enhancing phytochemical compositions. Additionally, given the increasing demand for sustainable feeding, evaluating the efficacy of the MHF as a functional supplement under thermal stress conditions is crucial for improving broiler welfare and productivity. We hypothesized that dietary supplementation with MHF would enhance *in vitro* nutrient digestibility and cecal fermentation, and antioxidant activity, leading to improved growth performance in finisher broilers under thermal stress conditions. This research aimed to provide insights into the application of MHF in modern poultry feeding strategies, contributing to sustainable and efficient broiler management practices.

# 2 Materials and methods

# 2.1 Feed supplements and chemical analysis

The hemp by-product consisting of leaves, inflorescences, shriveled seeds and stalks was obtained during the post-harvest processing stage and supplied by Hemp Pro Co. Ltd., Thailand.The materials were processed using a rotary grinder, passed through a 2.0 mm sieve, and classified as unmicronized hemp fiber (UHF). Subsequently, the UHF underwent a two-stage mechanical grinding process, with the first stage involving grinding through a 200-micrometer sieve, followed by a second stage using a 100-mesh (150-micron) screen. The sample was then heated in a hot air oven at 145°C for 10 minutes to induce decarboxylation reactions, which involve breaking a pro-carboxylic acid chain to activate the

naturally occurring acid forms of the cannabinoids (Wang et al., 2016). Ultimately, the samples were then collected, stored in ziplock plastic bags, and designated as MHF. Particle characteristics of the MHF were measured by stereo microscope (Figure 1A), light microscope (Figure 1B), and scanning electron microscope (Figure 1C). Quantitative contents of macronutrients and cannabinoids in the MHF are presented in Table 1.

# 2.2 Study on *in vitro* nutrient digestibility and cecal fermentation

#### 2.2.1 Experimental design

The experiment was carried out in a completely randomized design with three dietary treatments, each consisting of five replicates using triplicates for each replication. The treatments consisted of a basal diet supplemented with MHF at levels of 0% (CON), 0.75% (Low level of micronized hemp fiber: L-MHF), and 1.50% (High level of micronized hemp fiber: H-MHF).

#### 2.2.2 In vitro true nutrient digestibility

The experimental diets were standardized to deliver 19% crude protein (CP) and 3,000 kcal/kg metabolizable energy (ME). The dietary formulations were based on analyzed nutrient content in compliance with NRC (1994) specifications, as detailed in Table 2. The in vitro prediction of true nutrient digestibility involved a twostep process using enzymatic digestion. A series of feed samples of approximately 0.5 g of finely ground material (1 mm) were mixed with a pepsin solution containing 0.1 g porcine pepsin per 10 ml of a 0.2M HCl solution (pH 2.0). The pH was adjusted to 6.8 using either a 1M HCl or 1M NaOH solution. Subsequently, 1 mL of a freshly prepared pancreatin solution was added, consisting of 0.5 mg of pancreatin dissolved in 10 mL of a 0.2M phosphate buffer (pH 6.8). The nutritional composition (dry matter, organic matter, crude protein, crude fiber, ether extract, and gross energy) of broiler diets and digesta samples was analyzed according to AOAC (2016) methodologies. The in vitro prediction of true nutrient digestibility was calculated using the equation according to AOAC (2016). The in vitro digestibility coefficients were determined by calculating the difference between the initial sample values and the undigested residue values, with adjustments made for dry matter (DM) content based on a blank sample included in each experimental series according to Jezierny et al. (2010).



TABLE 1 Quantitative content of macronutrients and cannabinoids in the MHF.

ltem	Micronized hemp fiber (MHF)					
Nutrient composition (%)						
Dry matter	88.99					
Moisture	11.11					
Organic matter	76.51					
Ash	23.49					
Crude protein	17.56					
Ether extract	7.05					
Crude fiber	8.55					
Dietary fiber	36.36					
Soluble dietary fiber (SDF)	4.75					
Insoluble dietary fiber (IDF)	31.61					
SDF: IDF ratio	0.15					
Gross energy (kcal/kg)	2,972.50					
Cannabinoids (mg/g)						
Cannabidiol (CBD)	1.518					
Cannabinol (CBN)	0.231					
Cannabichromene (CBC)	0.209					
Cannabidiolic acid (CBDA)	0.370					
Cannabigerolic acid (CBGA)	-					
Cannabidivarin (CBDV)	0.251					
Δ8-Tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ8-THC)	-					
Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ9-THC)	-					
Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabivarinic acid (THCA)	-					
Tetrahydrocannabivarin (THCV)	-					

#### 2.2.3 In vitro cecal degradability

The in vitro fermentations were set up in 100 mL serum bottles with rubber stoppers filled with 0.3 g of digesta after a two-step process using enzymatic digestion. Cecal samples were diluted at a ratio of 1:10 (w/w) in anaerobic phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; 0.1 mol/L, pH 7.4) before analysis. The Vian Levure (VL) sterile medium was prepared following a modified method adapted from Prayoonthien et al. (2018). All fermentation bottles were inoculated with 5 mL of cecal inoculum solution using a 5-mL syringe. Subsequently, 45 mL of VL sterile medium was added to each bottle to facilitate the fermentation process. For the ileum fermentation bottles, the pH was adjusted to between 5.60 and 5.83 (Gabriel et al., 2006). The media were flushed with carbon dioxide gas, and the in vitro fermentations were conducted at 42°C for 24 hours in an anaerobic chamber. Gas production content was collected after incubation for 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, and 24 h. Cumulative gas production data were calculated TABLE 2 Ingredients and calculated chemical composition of a basal diet (% as-fed basis unless stated otherwise).

Item	%, as-fed basis					
Ingredients						
Corn	55.00					
Rice bran	10.00					
Soybean meal (46%CP)	25.00					
Extruded soybean	3.40					
Fish meal (55%CP)	5.00					
Calcium carbonate	0.06					
Salt	0.03					
Vitamin-mineral premix <sup>1</sup>	0.05					
Mycotoxin adsorbents <sup>2</sup>	0.02					
Total	100.00					
Calculated chemical composition						
Crude protein	19.00					
Ether extract	4.50					
Crude fiber	4.00					
Calcium	0.90					
Available phosphorus	0.65					
Metabolizable energy (kcal/kg)	3200.00					

<sup>1</sup>Vitamin-mineral premix provided per ton of diet: vitamin A (trans-retinyl acetate), 12,000 IU; vitamin D3 (cholecalciferol), 2,400 IU; vitamin E (all-rac-tocopherol-acetate), 19.98 mg; vitamin K3 (bisulphate menadione complex), 1.15 mg; vitamin B1, 2.00 mg; vitamin B2, 6.00 mg; vitamin B6, 3.00 mg; vitamin B12 (cyanocobalamin), 0.02 mg; nicotinic acid, 30.00 mg; pantothenic acid (D-calcium pantothenate), 10.00 mg; folic acid, 0.96 mg; biotin, 0.12 mg; choline chloride, 210.00 mg; selenium, 0.30 mg; cobalt, 0.40 mg; iodine, 0.85 mg; zinc, 40.00 mg; maganese, 61.00 g; copper, 8.00 mg. <sup>2</sup>Mycotoxin adsorbents is a mixture of bentonites, illites, and kaolites, which chemically contain as follows: 63.90% SiO<sub>2</sub>; 16.20% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>; 1.95% CaO; 3.32% Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>; 2.90% MgO; 3.90% Na<sub>2</sub>O; and 0.80% K<sub>2</sub>O.

according to the equation of Ørskov and McDonald (1979).

$$P = a + b(1 - e^{(-ct)})$$

where:

- a = gas production from upper gut digestible fraction,
- b = gas production from cecal fermentation fraction,

c = gas production rate constant for cecal fermentation fraction (b),

- t = incubation time,
- |a+b| = potential extent of gas production,
- P = gas produced at time 't'.

# 2.2.4 Lactic acid, volatile fatty acid, and microbiological populations

Lactic acid and volatile fatty acids were analyzed after 24 hours of *in vitro* fermentation. A 1 mL sample was centrifuged at  $10,000 \times$ g for 10 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was collected and stored at -20°C for subsequent analysis of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) and lactic acid. The concentrations of SCFAs, including acetate, propionate, and butyrate, as well as lactic acid, were analyzed using gas chromatography (GC; Agilent 7890B). A CP-Sil 5 CB column (0.32 mm  $\times$  25 m fused silica capillary column) and a flameionization detector (FID) were employed for the detection. Internal standards, 4-methylvaleric acid (Alfa Aesar, United Kingdom) for SCFAs and fumaric acid (Alfa Aesar, United Kingdom) for lactic acid, was used following the method described by Mookiah et al. (2014). The final concentrations of SCFAs and lactic acid were calculated using the modified method of Donalson et al. (2008).

The microbiological content was assessed simultaneously following *in vitro* fermentation, 5 mL solution samples were collected from the glass bottle with rubber seal cap and transferred into 45 mL of 0.85% NaCl solution. The samples were prepared for analysis using a ten-fold dilution method described by Gava et al. (2015). Microorganisms in the laboratory samples were quantified using culture techniques to measure total bacteria, lactic acid bacteria, *Enterococcus*, and *E. coli*, following the methods described by McDonald et al. (1983); Horn et al. (1996), and Schillinger and Holzapfel (2003). The microbial counts were then log-transformed (base 10) following the procedure described by Cengiz et al. (2015).

# 2.3 *In vivo* experimental design and husbandry management

Three hundred one-day-old male Ross 308 broiler chicks were acquired from a local hatchery (Charoen Pokphand Foods PCL., Lamphun, Thailand), and transported to the research site via a controlled-environment truck. These chicks were immediately placed in a brooding zone with sterilized rice hull bedding. Throughout the pre-experimental phase, broilers had ad libitum access to a commercial starter diet containing 21% crude protein (CP) and 3,000 kcal/kg of metabolizable energy (ME), and clean drinking water. At 21 days old, a total of 210 birds with uniform weight was randomly separated into a completely randomized design with 3 dietary treatments, each with 10 replicates of 7 birds. The treatments were a basal diet (Table 2) supplemented with MHF at levels of 0% (CON), 0.75% (L-MHF), and 1.50% (H-MHF). Birds had ad libitum access to each experimental diet through 42 days of age. Animals' health and biosecurity followed the standard recommendation based on Ross 308 management guidelines. Broilers were housed in a wire pen floored with disinfected rich hull as litter. Each pen was  $120 \times 60$  cm<sup>2</sup> and equipped with a nipple drinker and bulk feeder. All experimental birds were reared in the same tunnel-ventilated facility under daily cycling heat stress conditions over a 24 h period of  $35 \pm 4$  °C for 12 h, and 31 ± 4°C for 12 h. Relative humidity was held at approximately  $70 \pm 5\%$  during the whole experimental period.

# 2.3.1 Determination of growth performance and carcass yield

Body weights and residual feed in each pen were recorded weekly, and then calculations were performed to determine average daily gain (ADG), average daily feed intake (ADFI), and feed conversion ratio (FCR). At the completion of the feeding period, one bird was selected from each replication based on its average body weight from each group (n=10). Subsequently, the selected birds were subjected to an 8-hour fast, and the live body weight was individually measured using a commercial digital balance. Each bird was slaughtered by neck cutting, and its feathers were removed by an automatic plucking machine. Eviscerated carcasses were individually weighed and represented as carcass yield (g/100 g BW). Retailed meat cuts (breast, wings, and thighs + drumsticks) and edible visceral organs (liver without gall bladder, proventriculus, gizzard, and heart) were harvested and reported as g/100 g BW.

#### 2.3.2 Antioxidant and lysosomal activity

At 42 days old, 5 birds with uniform weight were selected from each treatment group for hematological analysis. A puncture was performed on each bird via the wing vein using sterile needles and syringes, and the blood was kept in blood collection tubes without anticoagulant solution. Subsequently, these samples were transferred to a centrifuge at  $3,600 \times g$  for 10 min at 4°C. The serum was taken and kept at -20°C until use. The activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), and catalase (CAT) in plasma were determined using colorimetric methods with a spectrophotometer. A modified approach was used to measure SOD activities using a commercial kit (ab65354; Abcam, Cambridge, UK), and the enzymatic activity was represented as the percent inhibition rate. The activity of GSH-Px (EC.1.11.1.9) was determined using assay kits (ab102530; Abcam, Cambridge, UK). The CAT activity (EC1.11.1.6) was analyzed using a commercial spectrophotometric kit (ab83464; Abcam, Cambridge, UK). All samples were measured in triplicate according to the manufacturer's standard protocols. Lysosomal activity was conducted using a method previously described by Likittrakulwong et al. (2022) with slight modifications. A standard curve demonstrating the degree of lysis of the Grampositive bacteria Micrococcus lysodeikticus by a known concentration of lysozyme standard was used to test lysosomal activity.

### 2.4 Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a completely randomized design. The statistical model for *in vitro* nutrient digestibility and cecal fermentation was:  $y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + \varepsilon_{ij}$ , where  $y_{ij}$  = the observation (i = 1-3, j = 1-5),  $\mu$  = the overall mean,  $T_i$  = the effect of treatment (i= MHF supplementation at levels of 0, 0.75 and 1.50%, and  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  = the random error. While, the statistical model for *in vivo* experiment was:  $y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + \varepsilon_{ij}$ , where  $y_{ij}$  = the observation (i = 1-3, j = 1-10),  $\mu$  = the overall mean,  $T_i$  = the effect of treatment (i= MHF supplementation at levels of 0, 0.75 and 1.50%, and  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  = the random error. While, the statistical model for *in vivo* experiment was:  $y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + \varepsilon_{ij}$ , where  $y_{ij}$  = the observation (i = 1-3, j = 1-10),  $\mu$  = the overall mean,  $T_i$  = the effect of treatment (i= MHF supplementation at levels of 0, 0.75 and 1.50%, and  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  = the random error. Statistical tests were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). The data are reported as group means with the standard error of the means (SEM). Duncan's multiple range test was implemented to identify differences between the treatments. A significant effect of treatment was declared at p < 0.05.

# **3** Results

# 3.1 *In vitro* true nutrient digestibility of dietary MHF

Table 3 shows the *in vitro* true nutrient digestibility of a basal diet supplemented with MHF. The experimental results indicated that when compared with the CON diet, supplementation of MHF at both levels had no significant effect (p > 0.05) on true nutrient digestibility of dry matter, organic matter, crude protein, ether extract, and gross energy.

# 3.2 *In vitro* cecal degradability of dietary MHF

Table 4 summarizes gas production and kinetic degradation of a basal diet supplemented with MHF derived from *in vitro* cecal fermentation by broiler microbiota. The data showed that gas production at various incubation times (4, 8, 12, 16, 20, and 24 hours) did not differ (p > 0.05) significantly among the dietary treatment groups L-MHF, H-MHF, and CON (Table 4; Figure 2). Furthermore, the experimental results indicated that supplementing the broiler diet with L-MHF and H-MHF had no significant impact on gas production at time 't' or gas production from the upper gut digestible fraction. Similarly, when compared with the CON diet, no significant differences (p > 0.05) were observed in the gas production rate constant for the cecal fermentation fraction (b) or the potential extent of gas production.

# 3.3 Lactic acid, volatile fatty acid, and cecal microbiological populations

Table 5 displays concentrations of lactic acid, volatile fatty acids, and microbial counts from *in vitro* cecal fermentation by broiler microbiota of a basal diet supplemented with MHF. The levels of total lactic acid, acetic acid, propionic acid, and butyric acid among the treatments were significantly different (p < 0.01), with the L-MHF and H-MHF groups showing higher values compared with the CON group. Moreover, the H-MHF group had the highest total volatile fatty acid (VFA) levels when compared with the L-MHF and CON

TABLE 3 The *in vitro* true nutrient digestibility of a basal diet supplemented with MHF.

ltem (%)	CON	L-MHF	H-MHF	SEM	<i>P</i> -value
Dry matter	81.047	82.830	82.497	0.451	0.251
Organic matter	86.702	86.699	86.494	0.485	0.985
Crude protein	84.713	84.830	84.497	0.410	0.586
Ether extract	88.380	90.163	90.497	0.583	0.317
Gross energy	86.830	87.347	88.163	0.283	0.147

CON, control; L-MHF, low level of micronized hemp fiber; H-MHF, high level of micronized hemp fiber; SEM, the standard error of the means.

TABLE 4 Gas production and kinetic degradation of a basal diet supplemented with MHF derived from *in vitro* cecal fermentation by broiler microbiota.

ltem	CON	L-MHF	H-MHF	SEM	<i>P</i> -value		
Cumulative gas production (mL)							
4 hours	9.613	8.156	8.943	0.351	0.505		
8 hours	20.439	18.159	19.684	0.535	0.481		
12 hours	29.840	27.236	29.277	0.652	0.511		
16 hours	37.846	35.473	38.011	0.726	0.557		
20 hours	45.119	42.947	45.502	0.778	0.610		
24 hours	52.342	49.731	51.307	0.830	0.652		
Kinetic of de	Kinetic of degradations						
P (mL)	49.060	50.094	51.597	0.902	0.569		
a (mL)	-2.533	-3.302	-3.085	0.148	0.260		
b (mL)	97.960	119.431	113.684	4.572	0.131		
c (mL/hour)	0.035	0.024	0.028	0.002	0.161		
d (mL)	100.502	122.733	116.769	4.648	0.121		

CON, control; L-MHF, low level of micronized hemp fiber; H-MHF, high level of micronized hemp fiber; SEM, the standard error of the means; P, gas produced at a time 't'; a, gas production from upper gut digestible fraction; b, gas production from cecal fermentation fraction; c, gas production rate constant for cecal fermentation fraction (b); d, |a+b| potential extent of gas production.

groups (p < 0.01). The results from microbiological analysis of *in vitro* cecal fermentation by broiler microbiota revealed that the H-MHF group had a higher (p < 0.01) total bacterial count compared with the CON group. Additionally, lactic acid bacteria and *Enterococcus* sp. counts in the L-MHF and H-MHF groups were significantly higher (p < 0.01) than those in the CON group, which positively contributed to inhibiting harmful microorganisms. The data clearly showed that compared with the CON group the *E. coli* counts in the L-MHF and H-MHF and H-MHF groups were significantly lower (p < 0.01).

# 3.4 Growth performance and carcass characteristics

Table 6 presents the growth performance and economic benefit return of broilers fed a basal diet supplemented with the MHF during 21 to 42 days of age. At 21 days old, the initial body weight was not statistically significant among the groups. However, the final body weight among the treatments was significant (p < 0.001), with the H-MHF group having the highest weight gain compared with CON and L-MHF birds. The average daily gain during the overall period was significantly increased (p < 0.001) in the H-MHF group compared with other treatments. Conversely, there was no significant difference (p > 0.05) in average daily feed intake among the treatments. Despite this, the feed conversion ratio was lowest (p < 0.001) in the H-MHF group during 21 to 28 d, 29 to 35 d, or when calculated throughout the whole experimental period.

The carcass characteristics of broilers fed a basal diet with MHF during 21 to 42 days of age are shown in Table 7.



There was no significant different difference in dressing, wings, and thighs+drum sticks (p > 0.05). However, the wing was significantly larger and heavier (p < 0.05) in the diet supplemented with the H-MHF. The edible visceral organs in the treatment groups did not differ significantly (p > 0.05). Surprisingly, the weight of the proventriculus, gizzard, and heart were lower (p > 0.05) in the diet supplemented with H-MHF. Thus, overall, the results indicated only modest difference in carcass weight with supplemental MHF.

### 3.5 Antioxidant and lysosomal activity

Figure 3 shows the antioxidant activities of SOD, GSH-Px, and CAT of broilers fed a basal diet supplemented with MHF during 21 to 42 days of age. The CAT activity was significantly different between diets supplemented with MHF and control (p < 0.001). The highest (p < 0.001) CAT and SOD activities were observed in the diet supplemented with H-MHF followed by L-MHF and the lowest

in the control. The GSH-Px activity was significantly different with the MHF supplemented diets with highest (p < 0.001) activity in the L-MHF followed by H-MHF diet, and the lowest activity in the control. In addition, the lysozyme activity was lowest (p < 0.05) in the H-MHF and highest in the control (Figure 4). Thus, these results indicate important benefits of supplementation with MHF. Although MHF decreased lysozyme activity and may impact immunity, this effect could be counteracted by the increase in the other antioxidant enzymes.

# 4 Discussion

Although the supplementation of MHF did not significantly influence the *in vitro* true nutrient digestibility, incorporating MHF into the diet has the potential to enhance *in vitro* cecal fermentation activity by broiler microbiota. Its impact on cecal fermentation suggests a potential prebiotic effect. Additionally, the increase in

TABLE 5 Concentrations of lactic acid, volatile fatty acids, and microbial counts of a basal diet supplemented with MHF derived from *in vitro* cecal fermentation by broiler microbiota.

Item	CON	L-MHF	H-MHF	SEM	P-value
Lactic acid (mmol/mL)	5.6 <sup>b</sup>	6.4 <sup>a</sup>	6.7 <sup>a</sup>	0.12	0.006
Volatile fatty acids (mmol/mL)					
Acetic acid	26.6 <sup>b</sup>	28.1 <sup>a</sup>	31.9 <sup>a</sup>	1.45	0.002
Propionic acid	4.8 <sup>b</sup>	5.9 <sup>a</sup>	5.9 <sup>a</sup>	0.27	0.001
Butyric acid	$0.7^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.9 <sup>a</sup>	1.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.04	0.001
Total VFA	29.2 <sup>c</sup>	32.8 <sup>b</sup>	37.5 <sup>a</sup>	1.25	0.004
Microbial counts (Log CFU/mL)					
Total bacteria	9.1 <sup>b</sup>	9.2 <sup>ab</sup>	9.3ª	0.02	0.005
Lactic bacteria	8.1 <sup>b</sup>	8.5 <sup>a</sup>	8.6 <sup>a</sup>	0.08	0.001
Enterococcus	7.2 <sup>b</sup>	7.8 <sup>a</sup>	7.9 <sup>a</sup>	0.11	0.001
E. coli	5.3 <sup>a</sup>	4.5 <sup>b</sup>	4.5 <sup>b</sup>	0.16	0.001

 ${}^{a,b,c}\textsc{Different}$  superscripts in the same row express as significant value at P<0.01.

CON, control; L-MHF, low level of micronized hemp fiber; H-MHF, high level of micronized hemp fiber; SEM, the standard error of the means.

ltem	CON	L-MHF	H-MHF	SEM	<i>P</i> -value
Initial weight (g)	581.9	581.9	581.8	1.81	1.000
Final weight (g)	1970.0 <sup>b</sup>	1963.6 <sup>b</sup>	2238.9 <sup>a</sup>	26.93	< 0.001
Average daily	gain (g/da	ay)			
21 to 28 d	50.0 <sup>b</sup>	48.9 <sup>b</sup>	66.4 <sup>a</sup>	1.60	< 0.001
28 to 35 d	67.5 <sup>b</sup>	66.0 <sup>b</sup>	81.8 <sup>a</sup>	1.59	< 0.001
35 to 42 d	80.9 <sup>b</sup>	82.4 <sup>b</sup>	88.6 <sup>a</sup>	1.21	0.017
Overall period	66.0 <sup>b</sup>	65.8 <sup>b</sup>	78.9 <sup>a</sup>	1.28	< 0.001
Average daily	feed intal	(g/day)			
21 to 28 d	93.7	95.7	99.9	1.16	0.078
28 to 35 d	147.8	156.9	146.1	2.65	0.205
35 to 42 d	176.4	169.7	181.9	2.22	0.076
Overall period	139.3	140.7	142.7	1.47	0.653
Feed conversion ratio					
21 to 28 d	1.9 <sup>a</sup>	2.0 <sup>a</sup>	1.5 <sup>b</sup>	0.04	< 0.001
28 to 35 d	2.2 <sup>a</sup>	2.4 <sup>a</sup>	1.8 <sup>b</sup>	0.06	< 0.001
35 to 42 d	2.2 <sup>b</sup>	2.1 <sup>b</sup>	2.1	0.03	0.121
Overall period	2.1 <sup>a</sup>	2.1 <sup>a</sup>	1.8 <sup>b</sup>	0.04	< 0.001

TABLE 6 Growth performance of broilers fed a basal diet supplemented with the MHF during 21 to 42 days of age.

<sup>a,b</sup>Different superscripts in the same row express as significant value at P<0.01.

CON, control; L-MHF, low level of micronized hemp fiber; H-MHF, high level of micronized hemp fiber; SEM, the standard error of the means.

lactic acid bacteria and *Enterococcus* spp., coupled with a reduction in *E. coli* counts, suggests that MHF fosters a more favorable gut microbial balance. This could be due to the soluble fiber fraction in MHF, which serves as a fermentable substrate for beneficial bacteria, promoting competitive exclusion of harmful pathogens. Furthermore, the acidic environment created by VFAs inhibits the growth of harmful pathogens, such as *Salmonella* and *E. coli*, while promoting the growth of beneficial bacteria (Walugembe et al., 2015; Palamidi et al., 2023). As a result, a significant increasing in lactic acid, acetic acid, propionic acid, butyric acid, and total VFA production was observed in MHF-fed birds. These metabolites are known to improve gut health by lowering pH and inhibiting pathogenic bacteria. Regarding to short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), particularly butyrate, are known to enhance intestinal integrity, reduce inflammation, and provide an energy source for epithelial cells, thereby promoting efficient nutrient absorption (Singh and Kim, 2021; Chandrasekaran et al., 2024). Similarly, Li et al. (2022) observed that dietary fiber supplementation led to promoting acid-producing bacteria and SCFAs, which positively activated AMPK pathway-related genes through the gut-liver axis.

The role of fiber in modulating intestinal morphology, nutrient absorption, and the gut microbiota in broilers has been highlighted in studies by Tejeda and Kim (2021). On the other hand, Walugembe et al. (2015) reported that an increase in dietary fiber led to an elevation in the cecal levels of SCFA, which in turn influenced positively the use of nutrients and general intestinal health in fattening chickens. Similarly, Okrathok et al. (2023) reported that modified dietary fiber in the form of cassava pulp improved cecal microbial populations and the production of SCFA. Ali et al. (2022) observed that the microbial fermentation of dietary fibers leads to the production of SCFA, promoting a healthy intestinal environment in the animal. In this study, the analysis of the nutritive value of MHF revealed that it contained 36.36% dietary fiber, comprising 4.75% soluble dietary fiber and 31.61% insoluble dietary fiber. Because modern broiler feed formulations are deliberately designed with a restricted crude fiber content, the incorporation of MHF into these diets could help achieve an optimal fiber composition. The recommended ratio of soluble to insoluble fiber should range from 30:70 to 40:60 (soluble:insoluble) (Amerah et al., 2009). The present findings suggest that incorporating MHF into broiler diets could serve as a functional strategy to improve cecal fermentation, microbial population, and in consequence growth performance. Additionally, previous studies have demonstrated that dietary fiber supplementation, when

TABLE 7 Carcass characteristic of broilers fed a basal diet supplemented with the MHF during 21 to 42 days of age.

Item	CON	L-MHF	H-MHF	SEM	P-value		
Carcass yield, %BW	Carcass yield, %BW						
Dressing percentage	72.8	72.0	72.3	0.55	0.818		
Breast	19.1 <sup>b</sup>	18.8 <sup>b</sup>	22.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.50	0.011		
Wings	9.1	8.8	8.6	0.12	0.232		
Thighs+drumsticks	24.5	24.0	23.0	0.30	0.105		
Edible visceral organs, %BW	Edible visceral organs, %BW						
Liver	2.3	2.6	2.5	0.10	0.530		
Proventriculus	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.03	0.602		
Gizzard	1.4	1.5	1.1	0.07	0.061		
Heart	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.04	0.391		

 $^{\mathrm{a,b}}\mathrm{Different}$  superscripts in the same row express as significant value at P<0.05.

CON, control; L-MHF, low level of micronized hemp fiber; H-MHF, high level of micronized hemp fiber; SEM, the standard error of the means; BW, body weight.



with the MHF during 21 to 42 days of age (CON, control; L-MHF, low level of micronized hemp fiber; H-MHF, high level of micronized hemp fiber). The data represent the means  $\pm$  SE of 5 replicates. <sup>a,b</sup>Each bar with different letters denotes a significant difference (P < 0.001).

optimally balanced between soluble and insoluble fractions, enhances feed efficiency and weight gain in broilers (Jiménez-Moreno et al., 2016; Sabour et al., 2019). Sadeghi et al. (2015) reported that dietary fiber sources with a balanced combination in broiler diet resulted in enhanced immunological function. Lactobacillus populations were significantly elevated in broilers consuming fiber-supplemented diets, which corresponded with superior growth performance and enhanced humoral immune responses (Sabour et al., 2019). In addition, the inclusion of organic acids and fiber sources can improve intestinal performance and health (Adewole et al., 2021). Dietary supplementation with moderate quantities of insoluble fiber, specifically the incorporation of oat or sunflower hulls into lowfiber formulations, resulted in enhanced growth performance parameters in broiler chickens (Jiménez-Moreno et al., 2016). Dietary inclusion of insoluble fiber up to 4% maintains the performance, nutrient metabolism and improves the development of the proventriculus and gizzard in slow-growing broilers (Oliveira

et al., 2024). Furthermore, Incharoen et al. (2010) stated that dietary fiber derived from ginger by-product stimulated the intestinal development and function resulting in enhanced growth performance of broiler chickens.

Antioxidant enzyme activities such as GSH-Px, SOD, and CAT, serve as crucial biomarkers for assessing redox reactions and oxidative stress (Gusti et al., 2021). Oke et al. (2024) found that the antioxidant enzymes GPX, SOD, and CAT play a protective role in alleviating oxidative stress caused by environmental challenges such as high temperatures in broilers. Based on our experimental results, the supplementation of the MHF in broiler feed formulations demonstrated significant potential as an approach to upregulate antioxidant enzyme activity. Additionally, it was observed that MHF supplementation led to a reduction in lysosomal activity levels in the serum of broilers. These findings indicated that the observed antioxidant effects may be linked to the bioactive compounds present in MHF, particularly cannabinoids. Several previous studies have described the potential of hemp CBD



#### FIGURE 4

Lysosomal activity of broilers fed a basal diet supplemented with the MHF during 21 to 42 days of age (CON, control; L-MHF, low level of micronized hemp fiber; H-MHF, high level of micronized hemp fiber). The data represent the means  $\pm$  SE of 5 replicates. <sup>a,b</sup>Each bar with different letters denotes a significant difference (P < 0.05).

to significantly influence redox reactions and oxidative stress in broiler chickens, owing to its bioactive properties, particularly its antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects (Sopian et al., 2024; Hassan et al., 2023). The CBD antioxidant properties can be attributed to their ability to modulate oxidative stress in chickens. Bień et al. (2024) reported a reduction in oxidative stress markers after CBD supplementation during a lipopolysaccharide challenge, which illustrated the potential of CBD to combat oxidative damage in chickens. In addition, the immunostimulatory and antibacterial effects observed by Balenović et al. (2024) and Hassan et al. (2023) further support the idea that CBD can improve general health in poultry, especially by enhancing the immune response. The CBD can influence several biochemical pathways in experimental birds. Szkopek et al. (2024) reported an interaction between receptors activated by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPAR) and CBD in the intestine, which could significantly contribute to improving intestinal health and nutrient absorption. In addition, Konieczka et al. (2022) observed that the combination of CBD and nano-selenium reduced degenerative changes in the muscle of animals infected with C. perfringens, which underlines the potential of cannabinoids to improve health and muscle performance. Regarding our study, the results obtained indicated that it is likely the improved growth performance of the broilers reared under thermal stress was due to the bioactive compounds present in the micronized hemp fiber, with an emphasis on the cannabinoids (as presented in Table 1).

# 5 Conclusion

In conclusion, our investigation revealed that dietary MHF supplementation enhances *in vitro* cecal fermentation dynamics of broiler microbiota. Supplementation of MHF at a 1.5% inclusion rate can enhance overall growth performance parameters. Collectively, these findings indicate that dietary incorporation of 1.5% MHF benefits overall productivity, potentially through the stimulation of antioxidant mechanisms. The observed beneficial effects may have arisen from the synergistic interaction between the fiber components and phytochemical constituents of MHF. However, while MHF enhanced antioxidant activity, its long-term effects on immune function and gut health require further investigation. Future research should explore its practical application in large-scale poultry production and assess regulatory considerations.

## Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## **Ethics statement**

The animal study was approved by The Naresuan University Agricultural Animal Care and Use Committee (approval ID: 66 01 003). The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements, adhering to the Ethical Guidelines for Animal Experimentation established by the National Research Council of Thailand.

### Author contributions

TI: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MN: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Writing – original draft. AK: Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft. KS: Visualization, Writing – original draft. WL: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. AT: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. NN: Visualization, Writing – original draft. JT: Validation, Writing – original draft. NS: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft. JL: Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. The authors declare that this study received funding from the Firstly Tech Co. Ltd., Thailand (Grant number R2564A043). The funder was not involved in the study design, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, the writing of this article or the decision to submit it for publication. Also, this work was partially supported by Reinventing University Program 2024, The Ministry of Higher Education, Science, Research and Innovation (MHESI), Thailand (Grant number R2567A143).

## Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge Hemp Pro Co. Ltd., Thailand, for providing the hemp by-product samples used in this study. Authors extend our gratitude for the invaluable support of the Reinventing University Program 2024 and the Division of Research and Innovations, Naresuan University. We also thank the Faculty of Agriculture, Natural Resources and Environment, Naresuan University for providing the experimental facilities.

## Conflict of interest

NS is a director at Firstly Tech Co. Ltd.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# **Generative AI statement**

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

### References

Adesina, I., Bhowmik, A., Sharma, H., and Shahbazi, A. (2020). A review on the current state of knowledge of growing conditions, agronomic soil health practices and utilities of hemp in the United States. *Agriculture* 10, 129. doi: 10.3390/agriculture10040129

Adewole, D. I., Oladokun, S., and Santin, E. (2021). Effect of organic acids-essential oils blend and oat fiber combination on broiler chicken growth performance, blood parameters, and intestinal health. *Anim. Nutri.* 7, 1039–1051. doi: 10.1016/j.aninu.2021.02.001

Ali, Q., Ma, S., La, S., Guo, Z., Liu, B., Gao, Z., et al. (2022). Microbial short-chain fatty acids: a bridge between dietary fibers and poultry gut health—a review. *Anim. Biosci.* 35, 1461–1478. doi: 10.5713/ab.21.0562

Amerah, A. M., Ravindran, V., and Lentle, R. G. (2009). Influence of insoluble fibre and whole wheat inclusion on the performance, digestive tract development and ileal microbiota profile of broiler chickens. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 50, 366–375. doi: 10.1080/ 00071660902865901

AOAC (2016). Association of Official Analytical Chemists. In: Official methods of analysis of AOAC International. 20th Edition (Benjamin Franklin Station, Washington DC: AOAC International).

Atalay, S., Jarocka-karpowicz, I., and Skrzydlewskas, E. (2019). Antioxidative and anti-inflammatory properties of cannabidiol. *Antioxid. (Basel).* 9, 21. doi: 10.3390/antiox9010021

Balenović, M., Janječić, Z., Savić, V., Kasap, A., Popović, M., Šimpraga, B., et al. (2024). Immunostimulatory and antibacterial effects of Cannabis sativa l. *leaves Broilers. Anim.* 14, 1159. doi: 10.3390/ani14081159

Bień, D., Michalczuk, M., Jóźwik, A., Matuszewski, A., and Konieczka, P. (2024). Effects of extracton growth performance, meat physicochemical properties, and oxidative status in chickens challenged with and lipopolysaccharide. *Anim. Sci. Pap. Rep.* 42, 81–108. doi: 10.2478/aspr-2023-0024

Cengiz, Ö., Köksal, B. H., Tatlı, O., Sevim, Ö., Ahsan, U., Üner, P. A., et al. (2015). Effect of dietary probiotic and high stocking density on the performance, carcass yield, gut microflora, and stress indicators of broilers. *Poult. Sci.* 94, 2395–2403. doi: 10.3382/ ps/pev194

Chandrasekaran, P., Weiskirchen, S., and Weiskirchen, R. (2024). Effects of probiotics on gut microbiota: an overview. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 25, 6022. doi: 10.3390/ ijms25116022

Donalson, L. M., Kim, W. K., Chalova, V. I., Herrera, P., McReynolds, J. L., Gotcheva, V. G., et al. (2008). *In vitro* fermentation response of laying hen cecal bacteria to combinations of fructooligosaccharide prebiotics with alfalfa or a layer ration. *Poult. Sci.* 87, 1263–1275. doi: 10.3382/ps.2007-00179

Fallahi, S., Bobak, Ł., and Opaliński, S. (2022). Hemp in animal diets—cannabidiol. *Animals* 12, 2541. doi: 10.3390/ani12192541

Gabriel, I. L., Mallet, S. G., Mallet, S., and Guillot, J. F. (2006). Microflora of the digestive tract: critical factors and consequences for poultry. *Worlds Poult. Sci. J.* 62, 499–511. doi: 10.1017/S0043933906001115

Gava, M. S., Moraes, L. B., Carvalho, D., Chitolina, G. Z., Fallavena, L. C. B., Moraes, H. L. S., et al. (2015). Determining the best sectioning method and intestinal segment for morphometric analysis in broilers. *Rev. Bras. Cienc. Avic.* 17, (2) 145–149. doi: 10.1590/1516-635x1702145-150

Goel, A. (2021). Heat stress management in poultry. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr. 105, 1136–1145. doi: 10.1111/jpn.13496

Guan, Z. W., Yu, E. Z., and Feng, Q. (2021). Soluble dietary fiber, one of the most important nutrients for the gut microbiota. *Molecules* 26, 6802. doi: 10.3390/molecules26226802

Gusti, A. M. T., Qusti, S. Y., Alshammari, E. M., Toraih, E. A., and Fawzy, M. S. (2021). Antioxidants-related superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase (GPX), glutathione-s-transferase (GST), and nitric oxide synthase (NOS) gene variants analysis in an obese population: a preliminary case-control study. *Antioxid. (Basel)* 10, 595. doi: 10.3390/antiox10040595

Hassan, F. U., Liu, C., Mehboob, M., Bilal, R. M., Arain, M. A., Siddique, F., et al. (2023). Potential of dietary hemp and cannabinoids to modulate immune response to enhance health and performance in animals: opportunities and challenges. *Front. Immunol.* 14. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1285052

Horn, K. G., Gedris, C. A., and Rodney, K. M. (1996). Selective isolation of vancomycin-resistant enterococci. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 34, 924–927. doi: 10.1128/jcm.34.4.924-927.1996

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Incharoen, T., Yamauchi, K., and Thongwittaya, N. (2010). Intestinal villus histological alterations in broilers fed dietary dried fermented ginger. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr. 94, e130–e137. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0396.2010.00994.x

Ivanova, S., Sukhikh, S., Popov, A., Shishko, O., Nikonov, I., Kapitonova, E., et al. (2024). Medicinal plants: A source of phytobiotics for the feed additives. *J. Agric. Food Res.* 16, 101172. doi: 10.1016/j.jafr.2024.101172

Jezierny, D., Mosenthin, R., Sauer, N., and Eklund, M. (2010). *In vitro* prediction of standardised ileal crude protein and amino acid digestibilities in grain legumes for growing pigs. *Animal* 4 4, 1987–1996. doi: 10.1017/S1751731110001114

Jha, R., and Mishra, P. (2021). Dietary fiber in poultry nutrition and their effects on nutrient utilization, performance, gut health, and on the environment: a review. J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol. 12, 51. doi: 10.1186/s40104-021-00576-0

Jiménez-Moreno, E., de-Coca-Sinova, A., González-Alvarado, J. M., and Mateos, G. (2016). Inclusion of insoluble fiber sources in mash or pellet diets for young broilers. 1. Effects on growth performance and water intake. *Poult. Sci.* 95, 41–52. doi: 10.3382/ ps/pev309

Kleinhenz, M. D., Weeder, M., Montgomery, S., Martin, M., Curtis, A., Magnin, G., et al. (2022). Short term feeding of industrial hemp with a high cannabidiolic acid (CBDA) content increases lying behavior and reduces biomarkers of stress and inflammation in Holstein steers. *Sci. Rep.* 12, 3683. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-07795-z

Konieczka, P., Szkopek, D., Kinsner, M., Fotschki, B., Juśkiewicz, J., and Banach, J. (2020). Cannabis-derived cannabidiol and nanoselenium improve gut barrier function and affect bacterial enzyme activity in chickens subjected to C. perfringens challenge. *Vet. Res.* 51, 141. doi: 10.1186/s13567-020-00863-0

Konieczka, P., Szkopek, D., Kinsner, M., Kowalczyk, P., Michalczuk, M., Bień, D., et al. (2022). Cannabidiol and nano-selenium increase microvascularization and reduce degenerative changes in superficial breast muscle in C. perfringens-infected chickens. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 24, 237. doi: 10.3390/ijms24010237

Kpomasse, C. C., Oke, O. E., Houndonougbo, F. M., and Tona, K. (2021). Broiler production challenges in the tropics: A review. *Vet. Med. Sci.* 7, 831–842. doi: 10.1002/ vms3.435

Li, Y., Xia, D., Chen, J., Zhang, X., Wang, H., Huang, L., et al. (2022). Dietary fibers with different viscosity regulate lipid metabolism via ampk pathway: roles of gut microbiota and short-chain fatty acid. *Poult. Sci.* 101, 101742. doi: 10.1016/j.psj.2022.101742

Likittrakulwong, W., Poolprasert, P., Hanthongkul, W., and Roytrakul, S. (2022). Effects of intramuscular injections of vitamins AD3E and C in combination on fertility, immunity, and proteomic and transcriptomic analyses of dairy cows during early gestation. *BioTech* 11, 20. doi: 10.3390/biotech11020020

Lima, T. M., Santiago, N. R., Alves, E. C. R., Chaves, D. S. A., and Visacri, M. B. (2022). Use of cannabis in the treatment of animals: a systematic review of randomized clinical trials. *Anim. Health Res. Rev.* 23, 25–38. doi: 10.1017/S1466252321000189

McDonald, L. C., Hackney, C. R., and Ray, B. (1983). Enhanced recovery of injured *Escherichia coli* by compounds that degrade hydrogen peroxide or block its formation. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 45, 360–365. doi: 10.1128/aem.45.2.360-365.1983

McRorie, J. W. Jr., and McKeown, N. M. (2017). Understanding the physics of functional fibers in the gastrointestinal tract: an evidence-based approach to resolving enduring misconceptions about insoluble and soluble fiber. *J. Acad. Nutr. Diet.* 117, 251–264. doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2016.09.021

Meffo Kemda, M., Marchi., M., Nerim, E., Marchettini, N., and Niccolucci, V. (2024). Environmental impact assessment of hemp cultivation and its seedbased food products. *Front. Environ. Sci.* 12. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2024.1342330

Mookiah, S., Sieo, C. C., Ramasamy, K., Abdullah, N., and Ho, Y. W. (2014). Effects of dietary prebiotics, probiotic and synbiotics on performance, caecal bacterial populations and caecal fermentation concentrations of broiler chickens. *J. Sci. Food. Agric.* 94, 341–348. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.6365

National Research Council (1994). Nutrient requirement of poultry. 9th Edn (Washington, DC: National Academy Press).

Oke, O. E., Akosile, O. A., Oni, A. I., Opowoye, I. O., Ishola, C. A., Adebiyi, J. O., et al (2024). Oxidative stress in poultry production. *Poult. Sci.* 103, 104003. doi: 10.1016/j.psj.2024.104003

Okrathok, S., Sirisopapong, M., Mermillod, P., and Khempaka, S. (2023). Modified dietary fiber from cassava pulp affects the cecal microbial population, short-chain fatty acid, and ammonia production in broiler chickens. *Poult. Sci.* 102, 102265. doi: 10.1016/j.psj.2022.102265

Oliveira, N. R., Santos, F. R., Sousa Silva, M. R., Rissato, I. S., Roque, G. C., Silva, C. M., et al. (2024). Dietary levels of soluble and insoluble fibre sources for young slow-growing broilers. *Czech J. Anim. Sci.* 69, 139–154. doi: 10.17221/84/2023-CJAS

Oni, A. I., Adeleye, O. O., Adebowale, T. O., and Oke, O. E. (2024). The role of phytogenic feed additives in stress mitigation in broiler chickens. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* 108, 81–98. doi: 10.1111/jpn.13869

Ørskov, E. R., and McDonald, I. (1979). The estimation of protein degradability in the rumen from incubation measurements weighted according to rate of passage. J. Agric. Sci. 92, 499–503. doi: 10.1017/S0021859600063048

Ostapczuk, K., Apori, S. O., Estrada, G., and Tian, F. (2021). Hemp growth factors and extraction methods effect on antimicrobial activity of hemp seed oil: A systematic review. *Separations* 8, 183. doi: 10.3390/separations810018

Palamidi, I., Paraskeuas, V. V., and Mountzouris, K. C. (2023). Dietary and phytogenic inclusion effects on the broiler chicken cecal ecosystem. *Front. Anim. Sci.* 3. doi: 10.3389/fanim.2022.1094314

Prayoonthien, P., Nitisinprasert, S., and Keawsompong, S. (2018). In vitro fermentation of copra meal hydrolysate by chicken microbiota. 3 Biotech. 8, 41. doi: 10.1007/s13205-017-1058-1

Sabour, S., Tabeidian, S. A., and Sadeghi, G. (2019). Dietary organic acid and fiber sources affect performance, intestinal morphology, immune responses and gut microflora in broilers. *Anim. Nutr.* 5, 156–162. doi: 10.1016/j.aninu.2018.07.004

Sadeghi, A., Toghyani, M., and Gheisari, A. (2015). Effect of various fiber types and choice feeding of fiber on performance, gut development, humoral immunity, and fiber preference in broiler chicks. *Poult. Sci.* 94, 2734–2743. doi: 10.3382/ps/pev292

Schillinger, U., and Holzapfel, W. H. (2003). Chapter 8 Culture media for lactic acid bacteria. Progr. Industr. Microbiol. 37, 127–140. doi: 10.1016/S0079-6352(03)80011-5

Singh, A. K., and Kim, W. K. (2021). Effects of dietary fiber on nutrients utilization and gut health of poultry: A review of challenges and opportunities. *Anim. (Basel).* 11, 181. doi: 10.3390/ani11010181

Sopian, Y., Sartsook, A., Arjin, C., Lumsangkul, C., Sringarm, K., Sivapirunthep, P., et al. (2024). Dietary supplementation of Cannabis sativa residues in broiler chickens

affects performance, carcass characteristics, intestinal morphology, blood biochemistry profile, and oxidative stability. *Poult. Sci.* 103, 104117. doi: 10.1016/j.psj.2024.104117

Sorrentino, G. (2021). Introduction to emerging industrial applications of cannabis (Cannabis sativa L.). *Rend. Fis. Acc. Lincei.* 32, 233–243. doi: 10.1007/s12210-021-00979-1

Szkopek, D., Mendel, M., Kinsner, M., Fotschki, B., Juśkiewicz, J., Kozłowski, K., et al. (2024). Interaction between peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors and cannabidiol in the gut of chickens applied to different challenge conditions. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 25, 11398. doi: 10.3390/ijms252111398

Tejeda, O. J., and Kim, W. K. (2021). Role of dietary fiber in poultry nutrition; review. *Anim. (Basel).* 11, 461. doi: 10.3390/ani11020461

Tufarelli, V., Losacco, C., Tedone, L., Passantino, L., Tarricone, S., Laudadio, V., et al. (2023). Hemp seed (Cannabis sativa L.) cake as sustainable dietary additive in slow-growing broilers: effects on performance, meat quality, oxidative stability and gut health. *Vet. Q.* 43, 1–12. doi: 10.1080/01652176.2023.2260448

Walugembe, M., Hsieh, J. C. F., Koszewski, N. J., Lamont, S. J., Persia, M. E., and Rothschild, M. F. (2015). Effects of dietary fiber on cecal short-chain fatty acid and cecal microbiota of broiler and laying-hen chicks. *Poult. Sci.* 94, 2351–2359. doi: 10.3382/ps/ pev242

Wang, M., Wang, Y. H., Avula, B., Radwan, M. M., Wanas, A. S., Van Antwerp., et al. (2016). Decarboxylation study of acidic cannabinoids: a novel approach using ultrahigh-performance supercritical fluid chromatography/photodiode array-mass spectrometry. *Cannabis Cannabinoid Res.* 1, 262–271. doi: 10.1089/can.2016.0020

Wright, M., Di Ciano, P., and Brands, B. (2020). Use of cannabidiol for the treatment of anxiety: a short synthesis of pre-clinical and clinical evidence. *Cannabis Cannabinoid Res.* 5, 191–196. doi: 10.1089/can.2019.0052

Zhang, C., Hao, E., Chen, X., Huang, C., Liu, G., Chen, H., et al. (2023). Dietary fiber levels improve growth performance, nutrient digestibility, immune and intestinal morphology of broilers from day 22 to 42. *Anim. (Basel).* 13, 1227. doi: 10.3390/ani13071227