



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
David L. Harmon,
University of Kentucky, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE

Laura Sarri

✉ laura.sarri@udl.cat

Gabriel de la Fuente

✉ gabriel.delafuente@udl.cat

RECEIVED 11 March 2025

ACCEPTED 13 March 2025

PUBLISHED 26 March 2025

CITATION

Fuertes E, Sarri L, Carnicero R, Pérez-Calvo E, Calderón A, Balcells J, Seradj AR, Cantero-Martínez C, Fernández-Ortega J and de la Fuente G (2025) Corrigendum: From feed to field: effect of dietary protein level and use of a blend of feed additives on gaseous emissions from growing-finishing pig slurry. *Front. Anim. Sci.* 6:1591726. doi: 10.3389/fanim.2025.1591726

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Fuertes, Sarri, Carnicero, Pérez-Calvo, Calderón, Balcells, Seradj, Cantero-Martínez, Fernández-Ortega and de la Fuente. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: From feed to field: effect of dietary protein level and use of a blend of feed additives on gaseous emissions from growing-finishing pig slurry

Esperanza Fuertes¹, Laura Sarri^{1*}, Rodrigo Carnicero¹, Estefania Pérez-Calvo ², Álvaro Calderón³, Joaquim Balcells ¹, Ahmad Reza Seradj¹, Carlos Cantero-Martínez⁴, Jesús Fernández-Ortega⁴ and Gabriel de la Fuente^{1*}

¹Departament de Ciència Animal, Universitat de Lleida — Agrotecnio-CERCA Center, Lleida, Spain,

²dsm-firmenich, Animal Nutrition and Health, Kaiseraugst, Switzerland, ³dsm-firmenich, Animal Nutrition and Health, Madrid, Spain, ⁴Departament de Ciència i Enginyeria Forestal i Agrícola Agrotecnio-CERCA Center, Lleida, Spain

KEYWORDS

ammonia emission, crude protein, feed additives, field application, slurry, swine

A Corrigendum on

From feed to field: effect of dietary protein level and use of a blend of feed additives on gaseous emissions from growing-finishing pig slurry

By Fuertes E, Sarri L, Carnicero R, Pérez-Calvo E, Calderón Á, Balcells J, Seradj A R, Cantero-Martínez C, Fernández-Ortega J and de la Fuente G (2025). *Front. Anim. Sci.* 6:1508660. doi: 10.3389/fanim.2025.1508660

In the published article, there was an error in **Table 1** as published. The weight, expressed in g kg⁻¹ of all the ingredients of the eight experimental diets was incorrect, as they were expressed in other units. In addition, the units in which they should be expressed are “g kg⁻¹ as fed”, and not “g kg DM⁻¹”. The corrected **Table 1** and its caption appear below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher’s note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

TABLE 1 Ingredients and chemical composition of the experimental diets of two feeding phases, growing and finishing, fed standard (SP) or low (LP) levels of crude protein, combined with the presence (SPA and LPA) or absence (SPC and LPC) of dietary treatment.

	GROWING PHASE				FINISHING PHASE			
	SPC	SPA	LPC	LPA	SPC	SPA	LPC	LPA
Ingredients (g kg ⁻¹ as fed)								
Corn	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00
Barley	378.12	336.11	430.06	388.63	414.02	375.36	466.08	424.73
Wheat	300.0	300.0	300.0	300.0	350.0	350.0	350.0	350.0
Wheat bran	–	20.00	–	20.00	–	20.00	–	24.44
Beet Pulp	–	31.21	–	32.22	–	30.00	–	30.00
Soy 47%	164.62	160.36	113.59	108.89	132.85	128.10	81.68	75.72
Fat	29.63	20.56	24.05	13.77	27.14	16.38	21.51	10.04
Carbonate	8.10	7.08	7.98	6.94	7.84	6.87	7.72	6.82
Monocalcium phosphate	3.09	3.05	3.47	3.43	2.20	2.15	2.59	2.52
Salt	4.27	4.06	4.28	4.06	4.27	4.06	4.27	4.05
L-Isoleucine	–	–	0.73	0.81	–	–	0.80	0.89
Lysine	5.09	5.16	6.56	6.63	4.99	5.06	6.46	6.55
DL-Methionine	1.55	1.62	2.00	2.07	1.33	1.40	1.78	1.85
L-Threonine	1.97	2.01	2.63	2.68	1.86	1.90	2.52	2.57
Tryptophan	0.27	0.28	0.48	0.50	0.22	0.23	0.43	0.44
L-Valine	0.29	0.35	1.17	1.23	0.28	0.34	1.16	1.22
Premix	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Vitazyme NSP	–	1.00	–	1.00	–	1.00	–	1.00
Vevovital	–	3.00	–	3.00	–	3.00	–	3.00
Biotronic Top 3	–	1.00	–	1.00	–	1.00	–	1.00
Digestarom Finish	–	0.15	–	0.15	–	0.15	–	0.15
Chemical Characteristics (g 100 g DM ⁻¹)								
Humidity	9.62	9.62	9.58	9.59	9.43	9.45	9.40	9.43
Crude Protein	16.00	16.00	14.50	14.50	15.00	15.00	13.50	13.50
Crude Fiber	3.22	3.85	3.32	3.96	3.34	3.95	3.43	4.07
NDF	11.89	13.25	12.48	13.89	12.51	13.87	13.10	14.57
Fat	4.93	4.05	4.41	3.42	4.61	3.58	4.09	3.0
Ca	0.60	0.61	0.59	0.60	0.57	0.58	0.56	0.57
P	0.42	0.42	0.41	0.41	0.39	0.40	0.38	0.39
N	2.56	2.56	2.32	2.32	2.40	2.40	2.16	2.16
DM (g 100 g FM ⁻¹)	90.18	89.89	90.30	89.62	89.83	89.47	89.64	88.99
OM	95.69	95.24	96.09	95.90	95.95	95.81	95.91	95.70