



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Yannan Chen,
South China Normal University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE
Tatsuya Yokota
✉ t.yokota@nitech.ac.jp

RECEIVED 16 May 2025
ACCEPTED 03 June 2025
PUBLISHED 01 July 2025

CITATION
Yamauchi N, Hontani H and Yokota T (2025)
Corrigendum: Expectation-maximization
alternating least squares for tensor network
logistic regression.
Front. Appl. Math. Stat. 11:1629658.
doi: 10.3389/fams.2025.1629658

COPYRIGHT
© 2025 Yamauchi, Hontani and Yokota. This is
an open-access article distributed under the
terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution
License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or
reproduction in other forums is permitted,
provided the original author(s) and the
copyright owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is cited, in
accordance with accepted academic practice.
No use, distribution or reproduction is
permitted which does not comply with these
terms.

Corrigendum: Expectation-maximization alternating least squares for tensor network logistic regression

Naoya Yamauchi¹, Hidekata Hontani¹ and Tatsuya Yokota^{1,2*}

¹Department of Computer Science, Nagoya Institute of Technology, Aichi, Japan, ²RIKEN Center for Advanced Intelligence Project, Tokyo, Japan

KEYWORDS

expectation-maximization (EM), majorization-minimization (MM), alternating least squares (ALS), tensor networks, tensor train, logistic regression, Pólya-Gamma (PG) augmentation

A Corrigendum on

Expectation-maximization alternating least squares for tensor network logistic regression

by Yamauchi, N., Hontani, H., and Yokota, T. (2025). *Front. Appl. Math. Stat.* 11:1593680.
doi: 10.3389/fams.2025.1593680

In the original published article, there were typographical errors in mathematical formulas (Equations 58, 59, 73, and 74). The equations were derived and implemented correctly in the computer program; however, mistakes occurred during the writing of the paper. Corrections have been made to Equations 58, 59 in Section 4.2.2 *EM-ALS algorithm* and Equations 73, 74 in Section 4.3.2 *EM-ALS for learning multi-class TN classifiers*.

Equations 58, 59, 73, and 74 previously stated:

$$\hat{\beta}_m = (\mathbf{Z}_m^\top \mathbf{\Omega}_t \mathbf{Z}_m)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_m^\top (\kappa \odot \omega_t), \quad (58)$$

$$\hat{\beta}_m^{(\epsilon)} = (\mathbf{Z}_m^\top \mathbf{\Omega}_t \mathbf{Z}_m + \epsilon \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_m^\top (\kappa \odot \omega_t), \quad (59)$$

$$\hat{\beta}_m = (\mathbf{Z}_m^\top \mathbf{\Omega}_t \mathbf{Z}_m)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_m^\top (\kappa \odot \omega_t), \quad (73)$$

$$\hat{\beta}_m^{(\epsilon)} = (\mathbf{Z}_m^\top \mathbf{\Omega}_t \mathbf{Z}_m + \epsilon \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_m^\top (\kappa \odot \omega). \quad (74)$$

The corrected Equations appear below:

$$\hat{\beta}_m = (\mathbf{Z}_m^\top \boldsymbol{\Omega}_t \mathbf{Z}_m)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_m^\top \boldsymbol{\kappa}, \quad (58)$$

$$\hat{\beta}_m^{(\epsilon)} = (\mathbf{Z}_m^\top \boldsymbol{\Omega}_t \mathbf{Z}_m + \epsilon \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_m^\top \boldsymbol{\kappa}, \quad (59)$$

$$\hat{\beta}_m = (\mathbf{Z}_m^\top \boldsymbol{\Omega}_t \mathbf{Z}_m)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_m^\top \boldsymbol{\kappa}, \quad (73)$$

$$\hat{\beta}_m^{(\epsilon)} = (\mathbf{Z}_m^\top \boldsymbol{\Omega}_t \mathbf{Z}_m + \epsilon \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_m^\top \boldsymbol{\kappa}. \quad (74)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \|\boldsymbol{\Omega}_t^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{Z}_m \boldsymbol{\beta}_m - \boldsymbol{\kappa} \oslash \boldsymbol{\omega}_t)\|_2^2 \\ &= \|\boldsymbol{\Omega}_t^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{Z}_m \boldsymbol{\beta}_m - \boldsymbol{\Omega}_t^{-1} \boldsymbol{\kappa})\|_2^2 \\ &= (\mathbf{Z}_m \boldsymbol{\beta}_m - \boldsymbol{\Omega}_t^{-1} \boldsymbol{\kappa})^\top \boldsymbol{\Omega}_t (\mathbf{Z}_m \boldsymbol{\beta}_m - \boldsymbol{\Omega}_t^{-1} \boldsymbol{\kappa}) \\ &= \boldsymbol{\beta}_m^\top \mathbf{Z}_m^\top \boldsymbol{\Omega}_t \mathbf{Z}_m \boldsymbol{\beta}_m - 2 \boldsymbol{\beta}_m^\top \mathbf{Z}_m^\top \boldsymbol{\kappa} + \boldsymbol{\kappa}^\top \boldsymbol{\Omega}_t^{-1} \boldsymbol{\kappa}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \oslash \boldsymbol{\omega}_t = \boldsymbol{\Omega}_t^{-1} \boldsymbol{\kappa}$. Optimality condition is given by

$$\left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}_m} \right|_{\boldsymbol{\beta}_m = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_m} = 2 \mathbf{Z}_m^\top \boldsymbol{\Omega}_t \mathbf{Z}_m \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_m - 2 \mathbf{Z}_m^\top \boldsymbol{\kappa} = \mathbf{0}. \quad (1)$$

1 Derivation of corrections

Here we only show the derivation of Equation (58). The remaining three corrections can be derived in a similar manner.

First, Equation 58 is the weighted least squares solution for

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathcal{A}_m, v | \theta^{(t)}) &= \|\boldsymbol{\Omega}_t^{\frac{1}{2}} (\boldsymbol{\kappa} \oslash \boldsymbol{\omega}_t) - \boldsymbol{\Omega}_t^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{L}^{(m)} \odot \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{(m)} \odot \mathbf{R}^{(m)})^\top \text{vec}(\mathcal{A}_m) \\ &\quad + v \boldsymbol{\Omega}_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}\|_2^2, \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

where we put $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_t = \text{diag}(\hat{\omega}_1^{(t)}, \hat{\omega}_2^{(t)}, \dots, \hat{\omega}_N^{(t)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$, $\boldsymbol{\omega}_t = [\hat{\omega}_1^{(t)}, \hat{\omega}_2^{(t)}, \dots, \hat{\omega}_N^{(t)}]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$, $\boldsymbol{\kappa} = [\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_N]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and \oslash stands for entry-wise division. Let us put $\boldsymbol{\beta}_m = [\text{vec}(\mathcal{A}_m)^\top, v]^\top$ and $\mathbf{Z}_m = [(\mathbf{L}^{(m)} \odot \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{(m)} \odot \mathbf{R}^{(m)})^\top, \mathbf{1}]$, the cost function is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\boldsymbol{\Omega}_t^{\frac{1}{2}} (\boldsymbol{\kappa} \oslash \boldsymbol{\omega}_t) - \boldsymbol{\Omega}_t^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{L}^{(m)} \odot \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{(m)} \odot \mathbf{R}^{(m)})^\top \text{vec}(\mathcal{A}_m) + v \boldsymbol{\Omega}_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}\|_2^2 \\ &= \|\boldsymbol{\Omega}_t^{\frac{1}{2}} (\boldsymbol{\kappa} \oslash \boldsymbol{\omega}_t) - \boldsymbol{\Omega}_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{Z}_m \boldsymbol{\beta}_m\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the minimizer is given in closed form as

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_m = (\mathbf{Z}_m^\top \boldsymbol{\Omega}_t \mathbf{Z}_m)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_m^\top \boldsymbol{\kappa}. \quad (58)$$

The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.