Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Anupam Bhardwaj, Savitribai Phule Pune University, India

REVIEWED BY

Milan Stojanovic, Astronomical Observatory, Serbia Alok Durgapal, Kumaun University, India

*CORRESPONDENCE

Tarak Chand, I tarakchands@gmail.com, tarakchand@aries.res.in Saurabh Sharma, Saurabh175@gmail.com, Saurabh@aries.res.in

RECEIVED 08 April 2025 ACCEPTED 29 May 2025 PUBLISHED 18 June 2025

CITATION

Chand T, Sharma S, Singh K, Pandey J, Verma A, Kaur H, Mamta, Chakraborty M, Ojha DK and Singh AK (2025) Long-term investigation of an open cluster Berkeley 65. *Front. Astron. Space Sci.* 12:1608196. doi: 10.3389/fspas.2025.1608196

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Chand, Sharma, Singh, Pandey, Verma, Kaur, Mamta, Chakraborty, Ojha and Singh. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Long-term investigation of an open cluster Berkeley 65

Tarak Chand^{1,2}*, Saurabh Sharma¹*, Koshvendra Singh³, Jeewan Pandey¹, Aayushi Verma^{1,2}, Harmeen Kaur¹, Mamta^{1,4}, Manojit Chakraborty^{1,4}, Devendra K. Ojha³ and Ajay Kumar Singh⁵

¹Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Aryabhatta Research Institute of Observational Sciences (ARIES), Nainital, India, ²Department of Applied Physics/Physics, M.J.P. Rohilkhand University, Bareilly, India, ³Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research (TIFR), Mumbai, India, ⁴Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Roorkee, Roorkee, India, ⁵Department of Applied Physics, Bareilly College, Bareilly, India

We present a decade-long investigation of a poorly studied cluster, Berkeley 65 (Be 65), using deep optical data from the telescopes of ARIES, Nainital Observatory. We estimate its radius ($R_{cluster} = 1.6'$, aspect ratio of ~1.1), distance $(2.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ kpc})$ and age (~160 Myrs). A clear turn-off point at ~1.7 M_{\odot} in the mass function suggests the escape of low-mass stars, and the lower photometric mass compared to the dynamical mass indicates ongoing disruption due to external forces. Our long-baseline optical photometric data also identifies 64 periodic and 16 non-periodic stars in this region. We have presented the light curves and the classification of those variables. The periodic stars have periods ranging from ~0.05 days to ~3.00 days and amplitude ranges from ~8 mmag to ~700 mmag. The nonperiodic stars show variation from ~30 mmag to \sim 500 mmag. The periodic stars include main-sequence pulsating variables such as Slow Pulsating B-type, δ Scuti, RR Lyrae, and γ Doradus. We report a detached binary system and rotating variables similar to BY Draconis-type stars exhibiting variable brightness caused by starspots, chromospheric activity, and magnetic field-related phenomena.

KEYWORDS

Galaxies, star clusters, general-(ISM), dust, extinction-stars, variables, general-(stars), Hertzsprung-Russell and color-magnitude diagrams

1 Introduction

Most stars are believed to form in clusters within molecular clouds (Lada and Lada, 2003) and are ideal sites to study star formation and stellar/galaxy evolution. The mass function distribution in a cluster (having a broad mass range) is an ideal statistical tool to investigate the star formation process. The dynamics of stars in the clusters, as well as the structure of clusters measured as a function of cluster age, hold important clues on the processes of galaxy evolution. The long-term monitoring of stars in the cluster also gives important clues on the internal physical processes related to their evolution, through their photometric variability behavior (Lada and Lada, 2003; Allen et al., 2007; Grasha et al., 2018).

The star clusters, which are primarily formed in the Galactic disc, are subjected to disturbance, such as disc shock, spiral arm passage, molecular cloud encounters, etc., (Spitzer and Harm, 1958; Kruijssen, 2012). As star clusters evolve, the removal of gas due to stellar feedback, along with gravitational interactions among stars and binary systems, gradually weakens the cluster's gravitational potential. This process results in the expansion of the cluster and can ultimately lead to its partial or complete dissolution into the surrounding galactic field (e.g., Spitzer and Harm, 1958; Dib et al., 2011; Parker and Meyer, 2012; Dib et al., 2013; Parker and Dale, 2013; Pfalzner and Kaczmarek, 2013; Brinkmann et al., 2017; Dib et al., 2018). In the Galactic disc, open clusters typically have a lifespan of approximately 200 Myr before they begin to break apart (Bonatto et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2013). Clusters that survive beyond this period often develop distorted shapes and become less tightly bound, increasing their likelihood of breaking apart. After breaking apart, these former clusters give rise to moving groups and add stars to the field population (see also, Sharma et al., 2020). Thus, open clusters are the sites to study the galaxy's evolution and dynamics (Tang et al., 2019).

Open clusters also harbor various types of variable stars, including pulsating, rotating, eclipsing binaries, and non-periodic variables, in a broad mass spectrum. Pulsating variables, such as β Cep, δ Scuti stars, γ Doradus (γ Dor) stars, and Slowly Pulsating B-type (SPB) stars, exhibit variable amplitudes ranging from a few millimagnitude (mmag) to hundreds of mmag over periods of hours to days. These stars are particularly valuable for investigating the internal structure and evolution as their variability arises from radial and non-radial pulsations (Gautschy and Saio, 1993; Kim et al., 2001; Kang et al., 2007; Chehlaeh et al., 2018). On the other hand, rotating variables show periodic variability due to the modulation of stellar spots caused by stellar rotation. The variability amplitudes for these variables typically range from a few mmag to tens of mmag over days. The study of variability in these stars provides direct information about angular momentum evolution and magnetic activity (Messina, et al., 2008). Overall, studying long-term photometric variability in stars gives us an essential insight into how different mechanisms evolve during the life span of stars.

Thus, considering the above points, an intermediate-age open cluster (a few 100 Myr age, having most of the stars in the main sequence) located in the Galactic plane is ideally suited to study the dynamics and stellar evolution simultaneously. Similar studies on star clusters have been carried out in the past decade. However, most of these studies are not always based on deep and long-term photometric data and usually lack membership determination based on high-quality proper motion (PM) data. This paper presents a photometric study of a poorly studied open cluster, Berkeley 65 (hereafter, Be 65; Figure 1). Be 65 is a Trumpler Class I 2p open cluster (Ruprecht, 1966) in Cassiopeia constellation, located at $\alpha_{2000} = 02^{h}39^{m}00^{s}$, $\delta_{2000} = +60^{\circ}25'00''$ (*l* = 135.8480°, b = +0.2588°). The primary aim of the current study is to understand the dynamical state of this cluster precisely and to identify various types of variables in this region by using our decade-long photometric monitoring of this cluster along with highquality data in archives, such as PM information from Gaia's third data release (Gaia Collaboration et al., 2023).

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the observations and data reduction. Section 3 outlines the methods used to derive the cluster parameters and identify variable stars. In Section 4, we discuss the physical and dynamical properties of the

cluster Be 65, as well as the characteristics and classification of the identified variables. Finally, Section 5 provides a summary of our findings and conclusions.

2 Observations and data reduction

2.1 Optical photometric data

The long-term photometric monitoring of Be 65 was done using the 1.3 m f/4 Devasthal Fast Optical Telescope (DFOT) at the Aryabhatta Research Institute of Observational Sciences (ARIES), located at Devasthal, India. The telescope has a 2K×2K CCD camera with a field of view (FoV) of ~ 18'.4×18.'4. The CCD has a ~ 0.54 arcsec/pixel plate scale with a pixel size of ~ 13.5 μ m. The gain and read-out noise of the CCD are ~2.0 electrons/ADU and ~ 7.0 electrons, respectively (Sagar et al., 2012). About 1200 frames were taken in the Johnson V filter over twenty-nine nights, from 2005 October 28 to 2022 November 04, including rigorous observation on two full nights and a few observations in the Johnson U, B, and Cousins R, I bands. We have also observed the Be 65 cluster by 1.04 m ARIES Sampurnanand Telescope (ST), Nainital, in broadband U, B, V, R_C and I_C filters using the 2K \times 2K CCD camera having a FoV of 13'.5×13'.5 (Sagar et al., 2012; Kaur et al., 2020). The observing nights had good weather conditions, with a typical full width at half maxima (FWHM) for stellar images of around 1.5'' - 2''. The detailed log of observations is given in Table 1.

The basic data reduction, including image cleaning, photometry, and astrometry, was done using the standard procedure explained in Sharma et al. (2020) and Kaur et al. (2020). The Be 65 field was observed on the same night (04 November 2005) as was NGC 6910 cluster (Kaur et al., 2020) along with a standard field (SA98, Landolt, 1992). The typical seeing value on that night was ~ 7 pixels ($\sim 3''$), and observations were conducted under good atmospheric conditions. Thus, we have used the same calibration equations, as generated by Kaur et al. (2020), to transform instrumental magnitudes of several bright stars in the Be 65 field into standard Vega systems. For subsequent observations of Be 65 using the 1.3 m telescope, it was not necessary to observe additional standard stars because the field already contained several bright stars with established standard magnitudes. Thus, to calibrate the 1.3 m observations, a set of new transformation coefficients were directly derived from the standard and instrumental magnitudes of the local standard stars. While there is a possibility that some of these stars may be variable, the statistical impact is minimized due to the large number of stars used. This approach also helps reduce errors associated with differences in airmass between standard stars and target stars, and it mitigates instrumental effects in the calibration equations. The current observations can detect stars faint up to V~22 mag with photometric errors less than 0.1 mag. For the present analysis, we have used only those stars whose error in magnitude in different bands is less than 0.1 mag. We transformed the pixel coordinates into celestial coordinates (RA and Dec) using the Graphical Astronomy and Image Analysis (GAIA¹) tool with a root-mean-square (RMS) less than 0.5''.

¹ https://astro.dur.ac.uk/~pdraper/gaia/gaia.html



Left panel: Color composite image of the Be 65 cluster region covering $\sim 20' \times 20'$ FoV, using the W2 (4.6 μ m), W3 (12 μ m), and W4 (22 μ m) WISE images, shown as blue, green, and red colors, respectively. The red contours are the stellar iso-density contours generated using the nearest neighbor method from the 2MASS data (see Section 4.1). The cyan color convex hull indicates the extent of the Be 65 cluster. The green open stars and triangles denote the identified periodic and non-periodic variables. Right panel: Zoomed-in image of the cluster region. This color-composite image comprises 2MASS *K*, *J* band, and observed *V* band images shown as red, green, and blue, respectively.

2.2 Archival photometric data

We have also used the photometric point source catalog from the following archives:

- 1. We obtained near-infrared (NIR) JHKs photometric data from the 2MASS All-Sky Point Source Catalog (Cutri et al., 2003; Skrutskie et al., 2006) for a stellar distribution study (see Section 3.1). This catalog provides complete and reliable NIR photometry down to the 15.8, 15.1, and 14.3 magnitude limits in J (1.24μ m), H (1.66μ m), and K_s (2.16μ m). We analyzed only sources with good photometric precision (photometric uncertainties less than 0.1 mag).
- 2. We have used data from Gaia's third data release, Gaia DR3 (Gaia Collaboration et al., 2023). Gaia DR3 provides astrometric positions, parallaxes, radial velocities, and proper motions for over 1.8 billion sources brighter than 21 mag in the G (0.33-1.05 μ m) photometric band. Precise magnitudes in three photometric bands G, $G_{BP}(0.33-0.68\mu$ m), and $G_{RP}(0.63-1.05 \mu$ m) are provided for up to 1.4 billion sources, along with astrophysical parameters and variability information for millions of objects. We have downloaded the Gaia DR3 data from the data archive². We have used this data to discriminate between members and non-members of the clusters (see Supplementary Appendix S1.1).

3 Methodology

3.1 Structure of the cluster

As stars are less attenuated by dust and gas in NIR bands in comparison to optical bands, we have used the 2MASS All-Sky Point Source Catalog to investigate the distribution of stellar density in the Be 65 region, covering ~20' × 20' FoV. A surface density map is produced using the nearest neighbor method as described in Gutermuth et al. (2005), Gutermuth et al. (2009) and Sharma et al. (2016). Briefly, the local surface density was computed using a grid with a resolution of 5 pixels (~ 2.5 arcsec). At each grid point, the distance to the 20th nearest star was determined. Then the local surface density (σ) at each grid point [i,j] was estimated using the following equation:

$$\sigma(i,j) = \frac{N}{\pi \times (r_N)^2} \tag{1}$$

where r_N is the calculated distance of the nearest 20th stars from the grid point, and *N* is the number of stars (i.e., 20) within a local area of radius r_N . Then the calculated surface density map was smoothed to a 3 × 3 pixels² grid.

The resulting density contours, shown as red curves in the left panel of Figure 1, have the lowest contour level set at 1σ above the mean stellar density (8 + 2 *stars/arcmin*²) with contour intervals of 1σ (2 stars/*arcmin*²). The contours reveal a clear enhancement in stellar density in the central region.

² https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/data-release-3

TABLE 1 Log of observations.

Date of observation	Filter	Exp time (in s)	No. of frames	Instrument
2005-10-28	V	900	3	2K×2K ST
2005-11-04	U; B; V; R; I	300; 180; 180; 60; 300	3; 3; 3; 3; 9	$2K \times 2K ST$
2014-11-17	V	60	460	2K×2K DFOT
2014-12-26	V	60	80	2K×2K DFOT
2016-10-21	V	60	3	2K×2K DFOT
2016-11-26	V	60	70	2K×2K DFOT
2016-11-27	V	60	240	2K×2K DFOT
2017-02-17	V	60	3	2K×2K DFOT
2017-02-18	V	60	3	2K×2K DFOT
2017-02-23	V	60	3	2K×2K DFOT
2017-02-24	V	60	3	2K×2K DFOT
2017-10-15	V	300	4	2K×2K DFOT
2017-10-16	U; B; V; R; I	480; 300; 10,300; 60; 10,300	3; 3; 3.3; 3; 3.3	2K×2K DFOT
2017-10-26	V	300	4	2K×2K DFOT
2017-11-12	V	300	5	2K×2K DFOT
2017-11-23	V	300	16	2K×2K DFOT
2017-11-24	V	300	4	2K×2K DFOT
2017-12-22	V	300	8	2K×2K DFOT
2017-12-23	V	300	2	2K×2K DFOT
2018-01-20	V	300	3	2K×2K DFOT
2018-01-21	V	300	4	2K×2K DFOT
2018-02-08	V	10,300	3.3	2K×2K DFOT
2018-12-05	V	20,300	4.4	2K×2K DFOT
2018-12-06	V	20,300	3.3	2K×2K DFOT
2019-10-26	V	20,300	2.2	2K×2K DFOT
2019-10-28	V	20,300	2.2	2K×2K DFOT
2022-10-17	V	180	122	2K×2K DFOT
2022-10-18	V	180	60	2K×2K DFOT
2022-11-04	V	180	20	2K×2K DFOT

3.2 Extinction, distance, and age of the cluster

We have used an optical (U-B) versus (B-V) twocolor diagram (TCD) (see left panel in Figure 2) to estimate the reddening toward the Be 65 cluster (Verma et al., 2023; Sharma et al., 2024). We have plotted the probable member stars (see Supplementary Appendix S1.1) that are within the convex hull as black dots along with zero-age main sequence (ZAMS; dashed line in blue color in Figure 2) taken from Pecaut and Mamajek (2013).



We have shifted the ZAMS along the reddening vector (with a slope of E(U-B)/E(B-V) = 0.72, corresponding to $R_V = 3.1$) to match the distribution of stars with minimum reddening in the cluster direction (blue solid curve in the left panel of Figure 2). Only stars of spectral type A or earlier have been selected for the reddening analysis to obtain a more reliable result. This choice is motivated by the desire to minimize several effects of factors like metallicity, unresolved binaries, rotating stars, low mass pre-main sequence (PMS), and photometric errors, which can introduce uncertainties for later type stars (see Phelps and Janes, 1994 and ref therein). In this way, the minimum reddening value ($E(B - V)_{min}$) is estimated as 0.92 mag ($A_V = 2.85$ mag). The approximate error in this estimate is 0.05 mag, as outlined in Phelps and Janes (1994).

To calculate the distance of the Be 65 cluster, we have selected 11 relatively brighter (V < 16 mag) member stars (see Supplementary Appendix S1.1) which are inside the cluster extent, i.e., convex hull (see Section 4.1). These selected member stars have a parallax error of less than 0.1 mas. The distances of these selected member stars were obtained from Bailer-Jones et al. (2021), and the mean of the distances of these stars has been considered as the distance of the cluster, i.e., 2.0 \pm 0.1 kpc. To further verify the estimated distance and extinction of the cluster, we applied the isochrone fitting method to its color-magnitude diagram (CMD), a technique that has been successfully utilized in numerous previous studies (Phelps and Janes, 1994; Schmeja and Klessen, 2006; Sharma et al., 2016; Pandey et al., 2020). Specifically, we used the V vs. (V-I) CMD, as shown in the right panel of Figure 2. We have shown the distribution of member stars (see Supplementary Appendix S1.1) within the convex hull as black dots.

The blue dashed curve is the ZAMS curve taken from Pecaut and Mamajek (2013) corrected for distance and minimum extinction. A good match of ZAMS with the distribution of member stars provides additional confirmation of the cluster's distance and extinction estimates.

The ages of young clusters are typically estimated using dereddened CMDs, either by comparing the most massive stars with post-main-sequence evolutionary tracks, if significant stellar evolution is evident, or by fitting PMS isochrones to the lower-mass, still-contracting population (Phelps and Janes, 1994; Lata et al., 2014a; Sharma et al., 2020; Rangwal et al., 2023; Verma et al., 2023). The brighter blue stars typically serve as key constraints in isochrone fitting (Phelps and Janes, 1994). To determine the age of Be 65 cluster, we utilized the optical CMD (V versus V-I), presented in the right panel of Figure 2 (Lata et al., 2014b; Sharma et al., 2020; Rangwal et al., 2023). The ZAMS (Pecaut and Mamajek, 2013), corrected for the cluster's distance and reddening, is overlaid as a dashed blue curve. The brightest star on the main sequence has an estimated mass of approximately 3.5 M_☉. By visually fitting isochrones from Pastorelli et al. (2019), we find that an isochrone corresponding to an age 160 Myr (solid blue curve) aligns well with the observed member stars in the CMD. The uncertainty in the estimated age is influenced by factors such as differential reddening within the cluster and an extended period of star formation, both of which contribute to scatter in CMD (Phelps and Janes, 1994). Given that we have corrected the isochrone using the minimum observed reddening value, and considering the variability in extinction across the cluster, we adopt a minimum age uncertainty of approximately 40 Myr.



3.3 Mass function

The distribution of stellar masses during a star formation event is known as the initial mass function (IMF). The study of the IMF is crucial for understanding the star formation process and star clusters' subsequent chemical and dynamical evolution (Kroupa, 2002). However, directly determining the IMF for a cluster is not possible due to the dynamic evolution of the stellar system. Therefore, we have estimated the present-day mass function (MF) of the Be 65 cluster. The MF is commonly represented by a power law equation, $\Phi \propto m^{\Gamma}$, and the slope of the MF is denoted as:

$$\Gamma = \frac{dlog(\Phi)}{dlog(m)}; \Phi = N(log(m))$$
(2)

Where Φ is the number of stars, corrected for completeness factor (CF), per unit logarithmic mass interval.

To estimate the CF, we used the ADDSTAR routine from DAOPHOT II (Stetson, 1987; Stetson, 1992). The detailed methodology is described in Sagar and Richtler (1991). In summary, artificial stars with known magnitudes and positions were randomly added to the original image. These modified frames were then re-reduced using the same reduction procedures as the original data. The CF as a function of magnitude was determined by calculating the ratio of recovered artificial stars to the total number of added stars within each magnitude bin. To better sample the fainter end of the luminosity function, a more number of artificial stars were added in the lower magnitude ranges. The number of added stars was limited to approximately 15% of the total star count in order to preserve the original crowding conditions. The right panel of Figure 3 shows the CF versus magnitude plot in V and I bands for the cluster region. As expected, the CF decreases when we go towards the fainter end.

The left panel of Figure 3 presents the CMDs for stars in both the cluster and field regions. We defined an envelope to

select well-defined MS stars in each region (cf. Sharma et al. 2008), to reduce field star contamination in the cluster region. To construct the cluster's luminosity function (LF), we subtracted the CF-corrected star counts in the field region from those in the cluster region, in different mag bins within the envelopes in the CMDs. Finally, the magnitude bins in the LF were converted into mass bins using a 160 Myr isochrone from Pecaut and Mamajek (2013), and the resulting MF distribution is shown in Figure 4.

3.4 Identification of variables

Our long-term photometric monitoring of Be 65 is ideal for identifying this region's long-period and short-term variables. We have used differential photometric techniques to identify variable stars in the field. This technique is particularly useful for removing the star's brightness variation due to atmospheric conditions on the night of observation and instrumental signatures. It is important to note that the error in calculated magnitude increases as the stars become fainter. Therefore, a brighter star cannot be used as a comparison star for the faint stars, as larger magnitude errors can obscure the star's variation. To overcome this, we divided all the stars into different magnitude bins with a bin size of 1 mag. We computed the magnitude differences for each magnitude bin for every possible pair of stars in a frame. We selected the comparison stars for which the standard deviation in the magnitude difference was the minimum. After selecting the comparison star, we determined the magnitude difference between the comparison star and target stars in each magnitude bin. Subsequently, we generated light curves (LCs) by plotting the resulting $\triangle V$ (magnitude difference) against the Modified Julian Date (MJD). We visually inspected all the LCs in the initial step to identify any signs of variability. If a star displayed a consistent visual variation greater than the scatter observed in the comparison star, it was classified as a variable star. The Lomb-Scargle periodogram (Lomb, 1976; Scargle, 1982)





is then used to determine the period of all periodic variable stars. As data is highly unevenly sampled, false periods can also show up in the periodogram. Therefore, we have confirmed the period of the periodic variable by plotting their phase-folded LCs. The phase values in these phase-folded LCs are binned so that each bin, with a bin size of 0.01, contains a single data point. In this way, we have identified 64 periodic stars toward the Be 65 cluster. For those variables for which no periods were estimated (16) successfully, we call them non-periodic variables. In Figure 5, we show the sample LCs of periodic (V42) and non-periodic (V15) stars. In Figure 6, the power spectrum and phase-folded LC (in the upper and middle panel, respectively) of a periodic star V42 is shown, while in the lower panel, the phase-folded LC of a detected eclipsing binary (for detail, see Section 4.4) is shown. The LCs of all variable stars are provided in Supplementary Material, and their derived parameters (e.g., coordinates, V magnitudes, periods, amplitudes, etc.) are given in Table 2. Variables with distances (taken from Bailer-Jones et al., 2021) falling within the one-sigma range of the estimated cluster distance (as discussed in Section 3.2) are classified as member stars (35) in Table 2, while the remaining are considered field stars (45). Although many of the member variables lie outside the apparent cluster extent (see Figure 1), this may be due to their dispersal from the cluster as a result of an ongoing disruption process. Figure 7 illustrates the RMS dispersion of magnitudes for all the observed stars as a function of their V magnitude. As expected, there is an increase in dispersion towards the fainter end of the magnitude range. The identified variables are represented by open blue stars (periodic) and open blue triangles (non-periodic). In this figure, some stars with a very high RMS are not classified as variables. This could be due to unusually high photometric errors (e.g., bad pixels within the star, the presence of a nearby brighter star, cosmic correction residuals).

4 Results

4.1 Physical properties of the Be 65 cluster

Using the surface density estimates derived from Equation 1, the peak density is found to be located at $\alpha_{2000} = 02^{h}39^{m}05^{s}$, $\delta_{2000} = +60^{\circ}24'30''$. As the cluster does not have perfectly spherical symmetric morphology, we define its area using a convex hull³, represented by the cyan-colored curve in the right panel of Figure 1 (see Schmeja and Klessen, 2006, for details). The Qhull⁴ program is used to compute the convex hull for the stars located within the lowest density contour. We estimated the area of cluster A_{cluster}, as the area under the convex hull normalized by a geometrical factor that considers the ratio of objects contained within the convex hull versus those situated on its boundary (cf. Sharma et al., 2020, and references therein). Then, the cluster radius, R_{cluster}, is determined as the radius of a circle with the same area as A_{cluster}. Additionally, we have computed the circular radial size, R_{circle}, which is defined as half of the maximum distance between any two members within the cluster. It represents the radius of the smallest possible circle that contains the entire grouping. In this way, we have estimated the $A_{cluster}$, $R_{cluster}$ and aspect ratio $R_{circle}^2/R_{cluster}^2$ (Gutermuth et al., 2009) for the Be 65 cluster as 8.3 *arcmin*², 1.6' and 1.1, respectively.

The minimum reddening value $(E(B - V)_{min})$ towards Be 65 cluster is estimated as 0.92 ± 0.05 mag ($A_V = 2.85$ mag). The seemingly less scattered distribution of stars in the TCD hints toward

³ Convex hull is an irregular polygon enclosing all points in a grouping with internal angles between two contiguous sides of less than 180 deg.

⁴ Barber, C. B., D.P. Dobkin, and H.T. Huhdanpaa," The Quickhull Algorithm for Convex Hulls," ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, 22(4):469-483 December 1996, www.qhull.org.



the negligible differential reddening or gas/dust in this cluster. This hints towards the evolved phase of this cluster. Our PM and CMD fitting analysis confirms the distance of this cluster as 2.0 ± 0.1 kpc. The cluster's age, estimated from the turn-off point of the brightest member star for the cluster, is estimated as ~160 Myrs.

Although there is a scatter in the MF distribution, a distinct change in slope can be observed at $\log(M_{\odot}) \approx 0.23$ (or $M \approx 1.7M_{\odot}$). This break in the MF slope has also been observed in previous studies of other clusters (e.g., Sharma et al., 2007; Jose et al., 2008). We calculated distinct Γ using Equation 2 on either side of the observed break using a least-squares fitting method. The MF slope in the relatively higher-mass $(1.7M_{\odot} \leq M \leq 3.5M_{\odot})$ regime is found to be -2.52 ± 0.15 , whereas the MF slope in the low-mass regime $(0.9M_{\odot} \leq M \leq 1.7M_{\odot})$ is estimated as 1.27 ± 0.33 .

4.2 Dynamical state of the cluster

In our study, we used the minimum spanning tree (MST⁵) method (Allison et al., 2009; Olczak et al., 2011; Dib et al., 2018), to investigate mass segregation within the Be 65 cluster region. We used the mass segregation ratio (MSR, Γ_{MSR}) to quantify mass segregation. The Γ_{MSR} was calculated by constructing MSTs for two groups: the massive member stars (with magnitudes G < 17) and an

⁵ The MST is a network of branches that connects a set of points while minimizing the total branch length and avoiding any loops (Battinelli, 1991). This algorithm has lately become a popular tool to search for clusters of stars since it is independent from the star's density number (Gutermuth et al., 2009; Chavarria et al., 2014; Sharma et al., 2016).



FIGURE 6

Upper panel: The power spectrum of a periodic star (V42) derived using Lomb-Scargle periodogram (Lomb, 1976; Scargle, 1982). Middle panel: the phase-folded light curve of the same periodic star (V42) using the period as 0.089 days. Lower panel: The phase-folded light curve of a detected eclipsing binary (V62); approx. 1.2 days is used as a period to fold the light curve.

TABLE 2 Catalog of the variable stars.

ID	RA	Dec	V	eV	V-I	e (V-I)	Period	ePeriod	Amp	eAmp	Comment
	(deg)	(deg)	(mag)	(mag)	(mag)	(mag)	(days)	(days)	(mag)	(mag)	
V1	39.720,505	60.476,321	13.259	0.004	1.994	0.009	_	_	0.050	0.010	Nonperiodic/Field
V2	39.941,536	60.419,930	14.145	0.003	1.330	0.007	0.5058	0.0008	0.011	0.006	Periodic (SPB)/Member
V3	39.946,917	60.321,945	14.205	0.003	2.378	0.006	—	—	0.100	0.015	Nonperiodic/Field
V4	39.831,255	60.392,879	14.374	0.005	1.306	0.007	1.0058	0.0034	0.010	0.004	Periodic (<i>y</i> Dor)/Field
V5	39.823,895	60.386,711	14.475	0.004	1.190	0.006	0.8678	0.0015	0.022	0.009	Periodic (SPB)/Member
V6	39.935,830	60.438,067	14.712	0.004	1.535	0.006	0.9654	0.0030	0.010	0.003	Periodic (γ Dor)/Field
V7	39.628,701	60.393,836	14.810	0.005	1.430	0.007	0.0861	0.0001	0.032	0.017	Periodic (Non- pulsating)/Member
V8	39.863,105	60.438,568	14.928	0.004	1.295	0.006	0.4516	0.0004	0.016	0.006	Periodic (Rotating)/Field
V9	39.692,304	60.445,317	15.109	0.005	1.264	0.006	0.8619	0.0009	0.028	0.011	Periodic (RR Lyrae)/Field
V10	39.736,583	60.397,980	15.113	0.021	1.197	0.026	3.0039	0.0094	0.044	0.010	Periodic (Non- pulsating)/Member
V11	39.922,676	60.528,708	15.143	0.035	1.205	0.045	0.6502	0.0011	0.013	0.006	Periodic (RR Lyrae)/Field
V12	39.844,409	60.417,058	15.152	0.004	1.565	0.006	—	_	0.070	0.010	Nonperiodic/Field
V13	39.975,849	60.444,071	15.170	0.038	1.304	0.048	0.4793	0.0007	0.010	0.005	Periodic (Non- pulsating)/Member
V14	39.722,888	60.418,012	15.352	0.005	1.480	0.007	0.7267	0.0014	0.012	0.006	Periodic (Rotating)/Field
V15	39.739,006	60.383,476	15.539	0.005	1.808	0.007	—	_	0.170	0.020	Nonperiodic/Field
V16	39.933,530	60.372,973	15.700	0.003	1.476	0.006	0.5757	0.0008	0.012	0.007	Periodic (<i>y</i> Dor)/Field
V17	39.907,136	60.544,052	15.750	0.038	1.402	0.048	_	_	0.030	0.010	Nonperiodic/Field
V18	39.946,733	60.525,744	15.846	0.048	2.222	0.062	0.9337	0.0012	0.011	0.005	Periodic (RR Lyrae)/Member
V19	39.792,712	60.397,589	15.858	0.005	1.359	0.007	0.9028	0.0021	0.014	0.004	Periodic (RR Lyrae)/Member
V20	39.747,914	60.493,748	15.887	0.005	1.475	0.009	0.7879	0.0014	0.013	0.006	Periodic (RR Lyrae)/Field
V21	39.641,814	60.393,076	15.913	0.003	1.410	0.006	_	_	0.080	0.015	Nonperiodic/Field
V22	39.520,161	60.475,308	15.915	0.057	2.784	0.073	0.5056	0.0007	0.010	0.006	Periodic (Non- pulsating)/Field
V23	39.608,363	60.409,567	15.919	0.005	1.423	0.008	_	_	0.060	0.010	Nonperiodic/Member

(Continued on the following page)

TABLE 2 (Continued) Catalog of the variable stars.

ID	RA	Dec	V	eV	V-I	e (V-I)	Period	ePeriod	Amp	eAmp	Comment
	(deg)	(deg)	(mag)	(mag)	(mag)	(mag)	(days)	(days)	(mag)	(mag)	
V24	39.727,561	60.305,753	15.952	0.004	1.507	0.006	_	_	0.130	0.025	Nonperiodic/Field
V25	39.712,146	60.358,012	15.998	0.005	2.290	0.009	0.6320	0.0007	0.015	0.008	Periodic (RR Lyrae)/Field
V26	39.932,458	60.537,526	16.035	0.038	1.470	0.049	0.9539	0.0010	0.016	0.008	Periodic/Field
V27	39.699,695	60.443,812	16.121	0.004	1.445	0.008	1.0702	0.0018	0.020	0.009	Periodic (Rotating)/Field
V28	39.560,095	60.363,216	16.146	0.004	1.487	0.010	0.3223	0.0002	0.016	0.009	Periodic (Rotating)/Field
V29	39.605,768	60.464,853	16.170	0.003	1.165	0.008	0.3219	0.0002	0.013	0.009	Periodic (δ Scuti)/Field
V30	39.604,962	60.337,468	16.198	0.012	1.874	0.017	0.3224	0.0001	0.013	0.008	Periodic (δ Scuti)/Member
V31	39.514,935	60.373,143	16.252	0.048	2.212	0.062	_	_	0.160	0.020	Nonperiodic/Field
V32	39.695,983	60.367,846	16.353	0.003	1.471	0.009	1.0286	0.0013	0.030	0.009	Periodic (RR Lyrae)/Member
V33	39.504,900	60.339,187	16.393	0.037	1.326	0.047	0.4958	0.0006	0.010	0.007	Periodic (RR Lyrae)/Member
V34	39.957,744	60.412,576	16.395	0.006	1.684	0.007	0.2154	0.0001	0.008	0.011	Periodic (δ Scuti)/Member
V35	39.723,520	60.463,519	16.531	0.004	1.547	0.006	0.5201	0.0005	0.016	0.009	Periodic (Rotating)/Field
V36	39.776,654	60.470,334	16.592	0.006	1.515	0.012	0.3618	0.0001	0.029	0.015	Periodic (δ Scuti)/Member
V37	39.940,246	60.505,081	16.594	0.005	1.526	0.034	0.7281	0.0008	0.015	0.009	Periodic (RR Lyrae)/Field
V38	39.815,099	60.474,716	16.716	0.004	1.266	0.008	0.7013	0.0006	0.025	0.011	Periodic (RR Lyrae)/Field
V39	39.954,041	60.342,575	16.741	0.004	2.595	0.006	_	—	0.125	0.015	Nonperiodic/Field
V40	39.864,716	60.393,709	16.820	0.020	2.521	0.023		_	0.150	0.030	Nonperiodic/Field
V41	39.791,664	60.355,647	16.833	0.008	1.937	0.010	0.1464	0.0001	0.016	0.012	Periodic (δ Scuti)/Member
V42	39.737,806	60.430,669	16.902	0.007	1.577	0.011	0.0892	0.0001	0.024	0.013	Periodic (δ Scuti)/Field
V43	39.766,324	60.393,774	16.942	0.009	1.445	0.013	0.7770	0.0010	0.021	0.012	Periodic (y Dor)/Member
V44	39.653,417	60.490,077	17.047	0.004	1.689	0.008	0.2140	0.0001	0.014	0.014	Periodic (y Dor)/Member
V45	39.773,688	60.285,470	17.123	0.042	1.745	0.054	0.4554	0.0002	0.020	0.018	Periodic (Rotating)/Field

(Continued on the following page)

TABLE 2 (Continued) Catalog of the variable stars.

ID	RA	Dec	V	eV	V-I	e (V-I)	Period	ePeriod	Amp	eAmp	Comment
	(deg)	(deg)	(mag)	(mag)	(mag)	(mag)	(days)	(days)	(mag)	(mag)	
V46	39.705,667	60.451,790	17.149	0.006	1.498	0.011	0.7183	0.0005	0.031	0.014	Periodic (y Dor)/Member
V47	39.694,434	60.505,336	17.150	0.021	1.482	0.067	0.7281	0.0005	0.039	0.013	Periodic (γ Dor)/Member
V48	39.567,552	60.531,938	17.172	0.046	1.897	0.058	0.6986	0.0006	0.027	0.010	Periodic (Rotating)/Field
V49	39.477,496	60.317,343	17.183	0.048	2.217	0.062	_	_	0.300	0.030	Nonperiodic/Field
V50	39.795,096	60.393,275	17.254	0.004	2.637	0.008	0.4597	0.0003	0.017	0.012	Periodic (γ Dor)/Field
V51	39.905,973	60.350,667	17.278	0.004	1.808	0.007	0.4206	0.0002	0.026	0.013	Periodic (Rotating)/Field
V52	39.759,961	60.481,283	17.451	0.004	1.412	0.008	0.3857	0.0002	0.017	0.015	Periodic (Rotating)/Member
V53	39.936,971	60.500,374	17.466	0.015	1.602	0.027	0.8473	0.0004	0.043	0.015	Periodic (<i>y</i> Dor)/Member
V54	39.856,862	60.395,369	17.495	0.024	1.798	0.042	0.6993	0.0003	0.049	0.021	Periodic (Rotating)/Member
V55	39.670,907	60.418,470	17.512	0.015	1.960	0.025	0.7428	0.0004	0.034	0.016	Periodic (Non- pulsating)/Field
V56	39.930,378	60.469,099	17.570	0.024	1.577	0.049	0.7281	0.0003	0.046	0.017	Periodic (Rotating)/Field
V57	39.711,173	60.486,700	17.643	0.004	1.784	0.009	_	_	0.120	0.040	Nonperiodic/Field
V58	39.607,465	60.448,024	17.646	0.005	1.726	0.007	0.4058	0.0002	0.017	0.017	Periodic (Rotating)/Member
V59	39.783,238	60.469,015	17.705	0.043	2.446	0.055	0.7281	0.0003	0.058	0.021	Periodic (Rotating)/Field
V60	39.675,972	60.409,314	17.743	0.005	2.112	0.011	1.1358	0.0008	0.059	0.016	Periodic (Rotating)/Member
V61	39.512,832	60.549,854	17.745	0.046	1.763	0.058	0.6543	0.0005	0.024	0.018	Periodic (Rotating)/Member
V62	39.957,821	60.452,358	17.781	0.008	1.872	0.015	1.2003	0.0001	0.743	0.149	Periodic (Algol-EB)/Field
V63	39.942,505	60.538,305	17.850	0.050	1.653	0.060	0.7281	0.0002	0.073	0.025	Periodic (Rotating)/Member
V64	39.525,307	60.286,714	17.918	0.050	2.313	0.064	0.5115	0.0001	0.111	0.023	Periodic (Rotating)/Member
V65	39.827,212	60.541,931	17.974	0.053	1.833	0.066	0.5320	0.0002	0.053	0.025	Periodic (RR Lyrae)/Field
V66	39.721,142	60.515,226	17.976	0.045	1.761	0.056	0.7464	0.0004	0.045	0.027	Periodic (Rotating)/Member

(Continued on the following page)

ID	RA	Dec	V	eV	V-I	e (V-I)	Period	ePeriod	Amp	eAmp	Comment
	(deg)	(deg)	(mag)	(mag)	(mag)	(mag)	(days)	(days)	(mag)	(mag)	
V67	39.748,481	60.365,923	18.071	0.018	2.124	0.032	0.3543	0.0001	0.120	0.078	Periodic (Rotating)/Member
V68	39.832,926	60.508,947	18.131	0.011	1.876	0.014	0.0537	0.0001	0.015	0.018	Periodic (y Dor)/Member
V69	39.625,053	60.437,254	18.170	0.008	2.165	0.015	0.4238	0.0002	0.025	0.022	Periodic (Rotating)/Field
V70	39.945,166	60.533,288	18.230	0.047	1.790	0.058	0.7281	0.0004	0.033	0.016	Periodic (Rotating)/Member
V71	39.500,473	60.410,428	18.345	0.080	1.424	0.090	0.3212	0.0001	0.077	0.057	Periodic (Rotating)/Member
V72	39.743,180	60.374,723	18.675	0.007	2.194	0.018	_	_	0.500	0.080	Nonperiodic/Field
V73	39.794,485	60.467,601	18.679	0.029	2.564	0.035	0.6908	0.0002	0.089	0.049	Periodic (RR Lyrae)/Field
V74	39.684,505	60.440,382	18.903	0.019	2.207	0.045	0.7885	0.0001	0.225	0.070	Periodic (Rotating)/Member
V75	39.805,476	60.421,299	19.468	0.013	1.950	0.022	0.3016	0.0001	0.068	0.048	Periodic (Rotating)/Member
V76	39.865,204	60.433,041	19.642	0.010	2.412	0.013	1.2054	0.0005	0.161	0.040	Periodic (Rotating)/Member
V77	39.487,082	60.465,377	19.779	0.066	2.720	0.080	0.2951	0.0001	0.100	0.062	Periodic (Rotating)/Field
V78	39.620,119	60.289,778	13.437	0.015	_	_	_	_	0.065	0.020	Nonperiodic/Field
V79	39.613,911	60.506,919	16.448	0.015	_	_	0.1369	0.0001	0.011	0.012	Periodic (δ Scuti)/Field
V80	39.519,403	60.430,814	16.187	0.020	_	_	_	_	0.500	0.030	Nonperiodic/Membe

TABLE 2 (Continued) Catalog of the variable stars.

equal number of randomly selected stars from all cluster members within the cluster region. For each group, the total edge length of the MST was computed over 100 iterations, and the mean edge lengths were determined. The Γ_{MSR} was then obtained by taking the ratio of the mean edge length of the random sample to that of the massive stars. The uncertainty in the Γ_{MSR} was estimated as the standard deviation of the MST edge lengths of random samples (for details, see Sharma et al., 2020). The calculated value of Γ_{MSR} for the Be 65 cluster is 1.1 ± 1.2 . This indicates the presence of mass segregation, suggesting a concentration of massive stars towards the central region of the cluster (for further details, see Sharma et al., 2020; 2023; Kaur et al., 2023). To explore whether the observed mass segregation in Be 65 originates from the dynamical relaxation or is inherent in its formation, we calculated the dynamical relaxation time, T_E , as ~4.4 Myr based on the sample of member stars in the Be 65 cluster (for more information, refer to Sharma et al., 2020). The probable age of the cluster is calculated as 160 Myr (see Section 3.2), which is much higher than the T_E . Thus, the cluster can be considered

dynamically relaxed. Even considering a loss of 50% of stars due to data incompleteness, the dynamical relaxation time will be $T_E \sim 7.5$ Myr. However, this remains considerably smaller than the age of the Be 65 cluster (i.e., ~ 160 Myr). This suggests that the observed mass segregation in this cluster could result from the dynamical evolution of stars.

One of the consequences of the mass segregation process is the increased vulnerability of the lowest-mass members to be ejected from the system (e.g., see Mathieu, 1984). Thus, we estimated the tidal radius ' r_t ' of Be 65, following the methodology outlined by Sharma et al. (2020). To calculate the tidal radius, we first derived the cluster stars' MF distribution using the member stars' LF (as described in Section 3.3). The total mass of the Be 65 cluster is estimated to be 82 M_{\odot} up to the completeness limit of the observed photometric V band data, corresponding to 0.9 M_{\odot} . Based on these calculations, the tidal radius is approximately 6.3 pc. If we missed 50% of the cluster mass in the lower mass bins due to data incompleteness, the resulting tidal radius ' r_t ' would be



approximately 8 pc. We then compared the photometric mass with the dynamical mass ($M_{dyn,tid}$) for stars within the tidal radius to quantify this cluster's dynamical status further. The dynamical mass is calculated by,

$$M_{dyn,tid} \sim \frac{r_t \sigma_{3D}^2}{G} \tag{3}$$

Where r_t is the 3D tidal radius, and σ_{3D} is the 3D velocity dispersion (Fleck et al., 2006; Tang et al., 2019). Assuming an isotropic velocity distribution within the tidal radius, σ_{3D}^2 is 3 times the 1D velocity dispersion σ^2 of cluster members. Using the typical radial velocity dispersion of 1 km s⁻¹ for open clusters (Girard et al., 1989), the $M_{dyn,tid}$ from Equation 3, for Be 65 cluster comes out to be ~5581 M_{\odot}. This is much higher than the estimated photometric mass of Be 65 within the tidal radius, i.e., ~164 M_{\odot}, suggesting that this cluster has lost stellar mass and, thus, is under the process of disruption.

4.3 Physical properties of the variables

Figure 8 shows the distribution of amplitude and period of the variables identified in the present study. The amplitude and period of the periodic stars range from \sim 8 mmag to \sim 700 mmag and \sim 0.05 days to \sim 3.00 days, respectively. At the same time, the amplitude of non-periodic stars ranges

from \sim 30 mmag to \sim 500 mmag. The period distribution peaks around 0.7 days for all the periodic variables. The amplitudes for non-periodic stars show a more or less flat distribution, whereas the periodic variables favor smaller amplitudes in their variability.

The physical parameters, such as the age and mass of the cluster member variables, can be easily derived from their position in the CMD. However, it can't be done for other field variables as we don't know their exact extinction and distance. Thus, we utilized the Green et al. (2019) map to determine the extinction values for these field variables. Subsequently, employing these extinction values along with the distances obtained from Bailer-Jones et al. (2021), we derived the absolute magnitude in the V band (M_V) for the field variables. By comparing the estimated M_V values with the standard M_V values in Pecaut and Mamajek (2013), we got the values of luminosity $(\log(L/L_{\odot}))$ and temperature $(\log(T_{eff}))$ for all the variables. In Figure 9, the Hertzsprung-Russell (HR) diagram $(\log(L/L_{\odot}) \text{ vs. } \log(T_{eff}))$ is plotted for all the periodic variables in the FoV. In this HR diagram, the dotted blue line is the MS curve taken from Pecaut and Mamajek (2013). The location of β Cep, SPB, and δ Scuti stars in the HR diagram are represented by the dotted magenta line and solid black and blue lines, respectively (Miglio et al., 2007; Balona et al., 2011). The magenta dashed lines show the location of the γ Dor stars (Warner et al., 2003). The green dots represent the field periodic variables, whereas the red open circles represent the member periodic variables. The distribution of the current sample



of periodic variables in the HR diagram suggests that they have a large mass range (0.5-3.0 M_{\odot}); however, most are between \sim 1-2 M_{\odot} .

4.4 Classification of variables

We have not detected any PMS signatures in the identified variables, as outlined in the study by Gutermuth et al. (2009); Koenig and Leisawitz (2014); Sharma et al. (2016). Thus, considering the identified variable as MS variables, we tried to classify these variables into various known type classes. Our approach involved classifying these stars based on their period, variability amplitude, position in the HR diagram, and the shape of their LCs.

4.4.1 Pulsating variables

 β Cep stars, which are pulsating MS variables, occupy a position above the upper MS on the HR diagram (dotted magenta line in Figure 9) and have early B spectral types (Handler and Meingast, 2011). They exhibit periods ranging from 0.1 to 0.6 days and amplitudes ranging from ~10 mmag to ~300 mmag. On the other hand, SPB stars are located just below the instability strip of β Cep variables (Waelkens, 1991). These stars display complex oscillations with multiple periods, attributed to non-radial g-mode pulsations driven by the k mechanism (Gautschy and Saio, 1993; Fedurco et al., 2020). Typically, these variables have periods ranging from half a day to a few days in some instances (Stankov and Handler, 2005). δ Scuti stars are pulsating MS stars with spectral types A and F. They undergo radial and non-radial pulsations, with periods typically ranging from ~ 0.008 days-0.42 days (Sánchez Arias et al., 2017; Martinez-Vazquez et al., 2023). The amplitude of brightness variations is generally small, on the order of a few mmag to a few hundred mmag (Pietrukowicz et al., 2020; Soszyński et al., 2021). Another group of pulsation variables is known as γ Dor, with periods ranging from several hours to a few days and amplitudes ranging from a few tens of mmag to hundreds of mmag. These stars are located below the instability strip of δ Scuti stars, and their instability strip overlaps with that of δ Scuti stars (Warner et al., 2003; Ibanoglu et al., 2018).

In our study, we identified two stars with periodic variations (V2 and V5) positioned within the SPB region on the HR diagram (refer to Figure 9). These stars have periods of 0.5058 ± 0.0008 days and 0.8678 ± 0.0015 days, respectively. Consequently, we classify these stars as SPB-type variable stars. The 19 periodic variables fall within the δ Scuti instability strip on the HR diagram. These stars exhibit periods that span from ~0.09 days to ~1.03 days, and their amplitudes range from ~8 mmag to ~90 mmag. Among them, 7 stars have periods that fall within the typical range of δ Scuti variables. Furthermore, the LCs of these stars are well in agreement with the LCs of δ Scuti stars available in the literature (see, Pietrukowicz et al., 2020). Thus, these stars are marked as δ Scuti in Table 2. The remaining 12 stars are marked as "RR Lyrae" stars as RR Lyrae stars have the same spectral type as δ Scuti but larger periods Mullen et al., 2023; Gao et al., 2025. The 9 identified periodic variables, with periods ranging from ~0.21 days to ~1.01 days and amplitudes ranging from ~10 mmag to ~43 mmag, are located within the y Dor instability strip in the HR diagram. These stars are classified as γ Dor in Table 2. One periodic variable, V68, located just below the y Dor instability strip, has a period $(0.0537 \pm 0.0001 \text{ days}; \text{ very short})$ for rotating variable), amplitude (~15 mmag), and shape of the light curve, similar to that of a pulsating star. Considering the potential errors in the calculated luminosity and temperature values, we have marked this variable as " γ Dor" in Table 2.

4.4.2 Non-pulsating variables

A group of five stars, V7, V10, V13, V22, and V55, are located within the gap between the SPB and δ Scuti instability regions. Mowlavi et al. (2013) found these types of stars in the open cluster NGC 3766, where they observed a significant population of newly identified variable stars occupying the region between SPB and δ Scuti stars, where standard stellar models do not predict any pulsation (Balona et al., 2011; Mowlavi et al., 2013; Szewczuk and Daszyńska-Daszkiewicz, 2017). However, it has been observed



that stars do exist in this gap and are classified as non-pulsating variables despite actually pulsating. This pulsation may be attributed to rapid rotation, which alters the internal conditions of the stars (Balona et al., 2011). The findings of Mowlavi et al. (2013) were further supported by Lata et al. (2014a), Lata et al. (2019) in their study of the young clusters NGC 1893 and Stock 8. Mowlavi et al. (2013) reported that the periods of these variable stars range from 0.1 to 0.7 days, with amplitudes between 1 and 4 mmag. On the other hand, Lata et al. (2014b) identified a new class of variables in NGC 1893, with periods ranging from 0.17 to 0.58 days and amplitude variations between 7 and 19 mmag. In the case of Stock 8, Lata et al. (2019) identified similar variables, with amplitudes of up to 40 mmag and periods of up to 0.364 days. In this study, the newly identified class of variables have periods ranging from 0.09 to 0.74 days, with amplitudes ranging from 10 to 44 mmag. However, one newly identified class variable (V10) has a period of ~ 3 days and an amplitude of 44 mmag. These variables are marked as "Non-pulsating" in Table 2.

4.4.3 Eclipsing binary

The shape of the LC of one identified periodic variable, V62, suggests that this variable is the probable candidate for an eclipsing binary (EB). Based on the distinct primary and secondary minima

observed in the LC of V62, it can be concluded that this star is a detached binary system. The period of the complete cycle for this EB is calculated as 1.2 days. The brightness variation during primary and secondary minima is calculated as approximately 800 mmag and 150 mmag, respectively. The phase-folded LC is given in the lower panel of Figure 6. This star is listed in VizieR Online Data Catalog: KISOGP (Ren et al., 2021) as an Algol-type eclipsing binary with a period 1.2003209±0.0000029 days and with an eclipsing ratio 0.245. This star is also identified by Gaia DR3 (Gaia Collaboration, 2022) as EB with similar period and brightness variation in the G band.

4.4.4 Rotating variables

This section discusses those variable stars that are located below the *y* Dor instability strip in the HR diagram. The mass and period range (i.e., solar to subsolar and few hours to few days, respectively) of these variables are well in agreement with the BY Draconis (BY Dra) type variables. BY Dra stars are main-sequence stars belonging to the FGKM spectral types. They exhibit variable brightness caused by starspots, chromospheric activity, and other phenomena related to their magnetic fields (Chahal et al., 2022). These stars are quasiperiodic and change the shape of their LC over a month. The typical amplitude for the BY Dor variable is a few hundred mmag. The 26 identified periodic variables are classified as probable BY Dor in the present work. The period and amplitude of these 28 variables range from approximately 0.30 days–1.20 days and 12 mmag to 225 mmag, respectively. Three of these variables, V48, V52, and V77, are identified by Gaia DR3 (Gaia Collaboration, 2022) as solar-like, RS Canum Venaticorum type and short-time variables, respectively.

4.4.5 Non-periodic variables

The sixteen identified variables are marked as non-periodic in the present study. Two are classified as cluster members, and fourteen are field populations (see Section 3.4). The nonmember variables show amplitudes ranging from ~ 30 mmag to 500 mmag, and the member variables have amplitudes ~ 60 mmag and 500 mmag. One of the member variables (V80) is classified as a long-period (with period ~ 691.4704 days) variable by the Gaia variability pipeline (Lebzelter et al., 2023). However, our analysis categorized this variable as non-periodic due to insufficient data to determine its periodicity in the LC. The variables, V15, V31, and V57 are classified as solar-like variable in Gaia DR3 (Gaia Collaboration, 2022). The periods for V31 and V57 are mentioned as 1.67 days and 4.1 days, respectively.

One periodic variable star, V26, could not be classified due to the lack of distance information in Bailer-Jones et al., 2021. Therefore, we have labeled it as "Periodic/Field" in Table 2.

5 Summary and conclusion

We have used deep optical photometric data from the ARIES telescopes to study the physical properties of a poorly studied cluster, Be 65. The shape of this cluster is more or less circular, with an aspect ratio of 1.1. The center of the cluster is found to be at $\alpha_{2000} = 02^{h}39^{m}05^{s}$, $\delta_{2000} = +60^{\circ}24'30''$ and the size and area of the cluster are estimated as $R_{cluster} = 1.6'$ (=0.95 pc at a distance of 2 kpc) and $A_{cluster} = 8.3 \ arcmin^2$, respectively. Using the PM data from Gaia DR3, we have identified members of this cluster. We have estimated this cluster's distance to 2.0 ± 0.1 kpc based on the parallax of member stars and isochrone fitting on optical CMD. The brightest member stars of the cluster are estimated to be 3.5 M_{\odot} massive, and based on the turn-off point, the age of this cluster is estimated as ~ 160 Myrs. We have found evidence of mass segregation in this cluster, and the comparison of the age of this cluster (160 Myr) with its dynamical age (7.5 Myr) suggests that the observed mass segregation can be due to the internal dynamical evolution of the cluster. The MF slope in this cluster's relatively higher-mass $(1.7M_{\odot} \le M \le 3.5M_{\odot})$ regime is estimated as -2.52, steeper than the Salpeter value of -1.35, and the MF shows a turn-off in its distribution at bit higher mass (at ~1.7 M_{\odot}). The MF slope of +1.27 at the lowest mass bin $(0.8M_{\odot} \le M \le 1.7M_{\odot})$ suggests fewer low-mass stars in this cluster. Thus, the overall MF distribution hints towards losing low-mass stars probably due to the dynamical evolution in the Be 65 cluster. The low value of the estimated photometric mass, i.e., ~164 M_{\odot} , in comparison to its dynamical mass (~5581 M_{\odot}), confirms that this cluster has lost stellar mass and, thus, is under the process of disruption. A cluster can disrupt under the influence of both the internal and external dynamical evolution (see also, Tang et al., 2019). The stellar system can lose a substantial fraction of its stars in a time scale of τ_{evap} ~

 $100 \times T_E$ due to internal dynamical evolution (Shu, 1982; Binney and Tremaine, 1987). External disturbances, such as tidal forces from nearby giant molecular clouds or star clusters, passages through Galactic spiral arms or discs, or shear forces caused by Galactic differential rotation, can further speed up the cluster's disintegration. The Be 65 cluster, located within the Galactic disc in an environment of gas and dust (see Figure 1), with an age of approximately 160 Myr, seems to have lost stars much earlier than its τ_{evap} (~0.75 Gyr) time scale, suggesting dominant roles of the external forces in its dynamical evolution.

Using a homogeneous decade-long baseline optical data, we also searched for the variables in the direction of the Be 65 cluster region. We have identified 64 periodic and 16 non-periodic stars, with periods ranging from 0.05 days to 3 days and amplitudes ranging from approximately 8 mmag to 742 mmag. Out of them, 35 are found to be members of the cluster Be 65, and the remaining ones are the field population. Using the position in the HR diagram and the shape of the LCs, we have characterized the variability properties of the periodic stars. Of them, 31 are categorized as main-sequence pulsating variables of different types, such as SPB (2), δ Scuti (7), RR Lyrae (12), and γ Dor (10). We have found 5 variables that do not follow the standard pulsation models and fall between SPB and δ Scuti instability regions. Their pulsation may be attributed to rapid rotation, which alters the internal conditions of the stars (Balona et al., 2011). We also found a detached binary system (V62) based on its distinct primary and secondary minima observed in the LC. We have identified 26 rotating variables with mass and period ranges (i.e., solar to subsolar and a few hours to a few days, respectively) similar to BY Dra-type variables. They exhibit variable brightness caused by starspots, chromospheric activity, and other phenomena related to their magnetic fields. The 16 identified variables are categorized as non-periodic, as we cannot phase-fold their light LCs. These variables show 30 mmag to 500 mmag amplitude range. A few of them (V15, V31, and V57) are classified as solar-like variables in Gaia DR3.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Author contributions

TC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. SS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review and editing. KS: Writing – review and editing. JP: Formal Analysis, Investigation, Writing – review and editing. AV: Data curation, Validation, Writing – review and editing. HK: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – review and editing. Mamta: Data curation, Writing – review and editing. MC: Data curation, Writing – review and editing. DO: Conceptualization, Writing – review and editing. AS: Supervision, Writing – review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. DO acknowledges the support of the Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India, under project identification no. RTI 4002. AV acknowledges the financial support of DST-INSPIRE (No.: DST/INSPIRE Fellowship/2019/IF190550).

Acknowledgments

The observations reported in this paper were obtained by using the 1.3 m Devasthal Fast Optical Telescope (DFOT) and 1.04 m Sampurnand Telescope (ST), Nainital, India. This work made use of data from the Two Micron All Sky Survey (a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation), and archival data obtained with the Spitzer Space Telescope and Wide Infrared Survey Explorer (operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the NASA. This publication also made use of data from the European Space Agency (ESA) mission Gaia (https://www.cosmos.esa.int/gaia), processed by the Gaia Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC, https://www.cosmos. esa.int/web/gaia/dpac/consortium). We thank both the referees for their constructive suggestions, which significantly improved the clarity of the paper.

References

Allen, L., Megeath, S. T., Gutermuth, R., Myers, P. C., Wolk, S., Adams, F. C., et al. (2007). "The structure and evolution of young stellar clusters," in *Protostars and planets V*. Editors B. Reipurth, D. Jewitt, and K. Keil, 361. doi:10.48550/arXiv.astro-ph/0603096

Allison, R. J., Goodwin, S. P., Parker, R. J., Portegies Zwart, S. F., de Grijs, R., and Kouwenhoven, M. B. N. (2009). Using the minimum spanning tree to trace mass segregation. *MNRAS* 395, 1449–1454. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009. 14508.x

Bailer-Jones, C. A. L., Rybizki, J., Fouesneau, M., Demleitner, M., and Andrae, R. (2021). Estimating distances from parallaxes. V. Geometric and photogeometric distances to 1.47 billion stars in Gaia early data release 3. *AJ* 161. *Astron. J.* 161, 147. doi:10.3847/1538-3881/abd806

Balona, L. A., Pigulski, A., De Cat, P., Handler, G., Gutiérrez-Soto, J., Engelbrecht, C. A., et al. (2011). Kepler observations of the variability in B-type stars. *MNRAS* 413, 2403–2420. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18311.x

Battinelli, P. (1991). A new identification technique for OB associations: OB associations in the Small Magellanic Cloud. A & A 244, 69.

Binney, J., and Tremaine, S. (1987). Galactic dynamics. Princeton, NJ, United States: Princeton University Press.

Bonatto, C., Kerber, L. O., Bica, E., and Santiago, B. X. (2006). Probing disk properties with open clusters. *A&A* 446, 121–135. doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20053573

Brinkmann, N., Banerjee, S., Motwani, B., and Kroupa, P. (2017). The bound fraction of young star clusters. A&A 600, A49. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201629312

Chahal, D., de Grijs, R., Kamath, D., and Chen, X. (2022). Statistics of BY Draconis chromospheric variable stars. *MNRAS* 514, 4932-4943. doi:10.1093/mnras/stac1660

Chavarría, L., Allen, L., Brunt, C., Hora, J. L., Muench, A., and Fazio, G. (2014). A multiwavelength study of embedded clusters in W5-east, NGC 7538, S235, S252 and S254-S258. *MNRAS* 439, 3719–3754. doi:10.1093/mnras/stu224

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fspas.2025. 1608196/full#supplementary-material

Chehlaeh, N., Mkrtichian, D., Lampens, P., Komonjinda, S., Kim, S.-L., Van Cauteren, P., et al. (2018). Photometric study and search for variable stars in the intermediateage open cluster NGC 2126. *Mon. Notices R. Astronomical Soc.* 480, 1850–1863. doi:10.1093/mnras/sty1906

Cutri, R. M., Skrutskie, M. F., van Dyk, S., Beichman, C. A., Carpenter, J. M., Chester, T., et al. (2003). VizieR online data catalog: 2MASS all-sky catalog of point sources (Cutri+ 2003). *VizieR Online Data Cat, II/246.*

Dib, S., Gutkin, J., Brandner, W., and Basu, S. (2013). Feedback-regulated star formation - II. Dual constraints on the SFE and the age spread of stars in massive clusters. *MNRAS* 436, 3727–3740. doi:10.1093/mnras/stt1857

Dib, S., Piau, L., Mohanty, S., and Braine, J. (2011). Star formation efficiency as a function of metallicity: from star clusters to galaxies. *MNRAS* 415, 3439–3454. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18966.x

Dib, S., Schmeja, S., and Parker, R. J. (2018). Structure and mass segregation in Galactic stellar clusters. MNRAS 473, 849–859. doi:10.1093/mnras/stx2413

Fedurco, M., Paunzen, E., Hümmerich, S., Bernhard, K., and Parimucha, Š. (2020). Pulsational properties of ten new slowly pulsating B stars. A&A 633, A122. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201935478

Fleck, J. J., Boily, C. M., Lançon, A., and Deiters, S. (2006). On the mass of dense star clusters in starburst galaxies from spectrophotometry. *MNRAS* 369, 1392–1406. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10390.x

Gaia Collaboration (2022). VizieR online data catalog: Gaia DR3 Part 4. Var. (Gaia Collab. 2022). VizieR Online Data Catalog, I/358.

Gaia Collaboration, Vallenari, A., Brown, A. G. A., Prusti, T., de Bruijne, J. H. J., Arenou, F., et al. (2023). Gaia data release 3 - summary of the content and survey properties. A&A 674, A1. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202243940

Gao, X., Chen, X., Wang, S., and Liu, J. (2025). Classification of periodic variable stars from TESS. *ApJS* 276, 57. doi:10.3847/1538-4365/ad9dd6

Gautschy, A., and Saio, H. (1993). On non-radial oscillations of B-type stars. MNRAS 262, 213–219. doi:10.1093/mnras/262.1.213

Girard, T. M., Grundy, W. M., Lopez, C. E., and van Altena, W. F. (1989). Relative proper motions and the stellar velocity dispersion of the open cluster M67. *AJ* 98, 227. doi:10.1086/115139

Grasha, K., Calzetti, D., Bittle, L., Johnson, K. E., Donovan Meyer, J., Kennicutt, R. C., et al. (2018). Connecting young star clusters to CO molecular gas in NGC 7793 with ALMA-LEGUS. *MNRAS* 481, 1016–1027. doi:10.1093/mnras/sty2154

Grasha, K., Elmegreen, B. G., Calzetti, D., Adamo, A., Aloisi, A., Bright, S. N., et al. (2017). Hierarchical star formation in turbulent media: evidence from young star clusters. *ApJ* 842, 25. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aa740b

Green, G. M., Schlafly, E., Zucker, C., Speagle, J. S., and Finkbeiner, D. (2019). A 3D dust map based on Gaia, pan-STARRS 1, and 2MASS. *ApJ* 887, 93. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab5362

Gutermuth, R. A., Megeath, S. T., Myers, P. C., Allen, L. E., Pipher, J. L., and Fazio, G. G. (2009). A spitzer survey of young stellar clusters within one kiloparsec of the sun: cluster core extraction and basic structural analysis. *ApJS* 184, 18–83. doi:10.1088/0067-0049/184/1/18

Gutermuth, R. A., Megeath, S. T., Pipher, J. L., Williams, J. P., Allen, L. E., Myers, P. C., et al. (2005). The initial configuration of young stellar clusters: a K-band number counts analysis of the surface density of stars. *ApJ* 632, 397–420. doi:10.1086/432460

Handler and Meingast, and Meingast, S. (2011). New β cephei stars in the young open cluster ngc 637. A&A 533, A70. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201116874

Ibanoglu, C., Çakırlı, Ö., and Sipahi, E. (2018). The first comprehensive catalog of y Dor pulsators and their characteristics. New A 62, 70–84. doi:10.1016/j.newast.2018.01.004

Jose, J., Pandey, A. K., Ojha, D. K., Ogura, K., Chen, W. P., Bhatt, B. C., et al. (2008). Stellar contents and star formation in the young open cluster Stock 8. *Mon. Notices R. Astronomical Soc.* 384, 1675–1700. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007. 12825.x

Kang, Y. B., Kim, S. L., Rey, S. C., Lee, C. U., Kim, Y. H., Koo, J. R., et al. (2007). Variable stars in the open cluster NGC 2099 (M37). *PASP* 119, 239–250. doi:10.1086/513883

Kaur, H., Sharma, S., Dewangan, L. K., Ojha, D. K., Durgapal, A., and Panwar, N. (2020). Unveiling the physical conditions in NGC 6910. *ApJ* 896, 29. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab9122

Kaur, H., Sharma, S., Durgapal, A., Dewangan, L. K., Verma, A., Panwar, N., et al. (2023). Structural analysis of open cluster Bochum 2. *J. Astrophysics Astronomy* 44, 66. doi:10.1007/s12036-023-09953-9

Kim, S. L., Chun, M. Y., Park, B. G., Lee, S. H., Sung, H., Ann, H. B., et al. (2001). Search for short-period variable stars in the open cluster NGC 2301. *A&A* 371, 571–578. doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20010403

Koenig, X. P., and Leisawitz, D. T. (2014). A classification scheme for young stellar objects using the wide-field infrared survey explorer AllWISE catalog: revealing low-density star formation in the outer galaxy. *ApJ* 791, 131. doi:10.1088/0004-637X/791/2/131

Kroupa, P. (2002). The initial mass function of stars: evidence for uniformity in variable systems. *Science* 295, 82–91. doi:10.1126/science.1067524

Kruijssen, J. M. D. (2012). On the fraction of star formation occurring in bound stellar clusters. *MNRAS* 426, 3008–3040. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21923.x

Lada, C. J., and Lada, E. A. (2003). Embedded clusters in molecular clouds. *Annu. Rev. Astronomy Astrophysics* 41, 57–115. doi:10.1146/annurev.astro.41. 011802.094844

Landolt, A. U. (1992). UBVRI photometric standard stars in the magnitude range 11.5-16.0 around the celestial equator. AJ 104, 340. doi:10.1086/116242

Lata, S., Pandey, A., Sharma, S., Bonatto, C., and Yadav, R. K. (2014a). Photometric study of five open star clusters. *New Astron*. 26, 77–85. doi:10.1016/j.newast.2013.06.003

Lata, S., Pandey, A. K., Kesh Yadav, R., Richichi, A., Irawati, P., Panwar, N., et al. (2019). Short-period variable stars in young open cluster Stock 8. *AJ* 158, 68. doi:10.3847/1538-3881/ab298c

Lata, S., Yadav, R. K., Pandey, A. K., Richichi, A., Eswaraiah, C., Kumar, B., et al. (2014b). Main-sequence variable stars in young open cluster NGC 1893. *MNRAS* 442, 273–284. doi:10.1093/mnras/stu726

Lebzelter, T., Mowlavi, N., Lecoeur-Taibi, I., Trabucchi, M., Audard, M., García-Lario, P., et al. (2023). Gaia Data Release 3. The second Gaia catalogue of long-period variable candidates. *A&A* 674, A15. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202244241

Lomb, N. R. (1976). Least-squares frequency analysis of unequally spaced data. Ap&SS 39, 447-462. doi:10.1007/BF00648343

Martinez-Vazquez, C. E., Salinas, R., Vivas, A. K., and Catelan, M. (2023). "Breaking the laws": a segmented period-luminosity relation in delta Scuti stars. *Am. Astronomical Soc. Meet. Abstr.* 241, 10.

Mathieu, R. D. (1984). The structure and dynamics of the open cluster M 11. ApJ 284, 643–662. doi:10.1086/162447

Messina, S., Distefano, E., Parihar, P., Kang, Y. B., Kim, S.-L., Rey, S.-C., et al. (2008). RACE-OC project: rotation and variability in the open cluster NGC 2099 (M 37). A&A 483, 253–262. doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20079216

Miglio, A., Montalbán, J., and Dupret, M. A. (2007). Revised instability domains of SPB and β Cephei stars. Commun. Asteroseismol. 151, 48–56. doi:10.1553/cia 151s48

Mowlavi, N., Barblan, F., Saesen, S., and Eyer, L. (2013). Stellar variability in open clusters. I. A new class of variable stars in NGC 3766. *A&A* 554, A108. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201321065

Mullen, J. P., Marengo, M., Martínez-Vázquez, C. E., Chaboyer, B., Bono, G., Braga, V. F., et al. (2023). RR Lyrae mid-infrared period-luminosity-metallicity and period-Wesenheit-Metallicity relations based on Gaia DR3 parallaxes. *ApJ* 945, 83. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/acb20a

Olczak, C., Spurzem, R., and Henning, T. (2011). A highly efficient measure of mass segregation in star clusters. A&A 532, A119. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201116902

Pandey, R., Sharma, S., Panwar, N., Dewangan, L. K., Ojha, D. K., Bisen, D. P., et al. (2020). Stellar cores in the sh 2-305 H II region. *ApJ* 891, 81. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab6dc7

Parker, R. J., and Dale, J. E. (2013). Imprints of feedback in young gasless clusters? MNRAS 432, 986–997. doi:10.1093/mnras/stt517

Parker, R. J., and Meyer, M. R. (2012). Characterizing the dynamical state of star clusters from snapshots of their spatial distributions. *MNRAS* 427, 637–650. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21851.x

Pastorelli, G., Marigo, P., Girardi, L., Chen, Y., Rubele, S., Trabucchi, M., et al. (2019). Constraining the thermally pulsing asymptotic giant branch phase with resolved stellar populations in the Small Magellanic Cloud. *MNRAS* 485, 5666–5692. doi:10.1093/mnras/stz725

Pecaut, M. J., and Mamajek, E. E. (2013). Intrinsic colors, temperatures, and bolometric corrections of pre-main-sequence stars. *ApJS* 208, 9. doi:10.1088/0067-0049/208/1/9

Pfalzner, S., and Kaczmarek, T. (2013). The expansion of massive young star clusters - observation meets theory. A&A 559, A38. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201322134

Phelps, R. L., and Janes, K. A. (1994). Young open clusters as probes of the star formation process. 1: an atlas of open cluster photometry. *ApJS* 90, 31. doi:10.1086/191857

Pietrukowicz, P., Soszyński, I., Netzel, H., Wrona, M., Udalski, A., Szymański, M. K., et al. (2020). Over 10000 δ Scuti stars toward the galactic bulge from OGLE-IV. Acta Astron 70, 241–263. doi:10.32023/0001-5237/70.4.1

Rangwal, G., Yadav, R. K. S., Bisht, D., Durgapal, A., and Sariya, D. P. (2023). Investigating kinematics and dynamics of three open clusters towards Galactic anticentre. *Mon. Notices R. Astronomical Soc.* 523, 1867–1884. doi:10.1093/mnras/stad1517

Ren, F., de Grijs, R., Zhang, H., Deng, L., Chen, X., Matsunaga, N., et al. (2021). VizieR online data catalog: KISOGP: 7055 eclipsing binaries with KWFC (Ren+, 2021). Strasbourg, France: VizieR Online Data Catalog. doi:10.26093/cds/vizier. 51610176

Ruprecht, J. (1966). Classification of open star clusters. Bull. Astronomical Institutes Czechoslov. 17, 33.

Sagar, R., Kumar, B., Omar, A., and Joshi, Y. C. (2012). New optical telescopes at Devasthal observatory: 1.3-m installed and 3.6-m upcoming. *Astronomical Soc. India Conf. Ser.* 4, 173. doi:10.1117/12.925634

Sagar, R., and Richtler, T. (1991). Mass functions of five Large Magellanic Cloud star clusters. A&A 250, 324.

Sánchez Arias, J. P., Córsico, A. H., and Althaus, L. G. (2017). Asteroseismology of hybrid δ Scuti- γ Doradus pulsating stars. A&A 597, A29. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201629126

Scargle, J. D. (1982). Studies in astronomical time series analysis. II. Statistical aspects of spectral analysis of unevenly spaced data. *ApJ* 263, 835–853. doi:10.1086/160554

Schmeja, S., and Klessen, R. S. (2006). Evolving structures of star-forming clusters. *A&A* 449, 151–159. doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20054464

Sharma, S., Dewangan, L., Panwar, N., Kaur, H., Ojha, D. K., Yadav, R., et al. (2023). Teutsch 76: a deep near-infrared study. *J. Astrophysics Astronomy* 44, 46. doi:10.1007/s12036-023-09936-w

Sharma, S., Ghosh, A., Ojha, D. K., Pandey, R., Sinha, T., Pandey, A. K., et al. (2020). The disintegrating old open cluster Czernik 3. *MNRAS* 498, 2309–2322. doi:10.1093/mnras/staa2412

Sharma, S., Pandey, A. K., Borissova, J., Ojha, D. K., Ivanov, V. D., Ogura, K., et al. (2016). Structural studies of eight bright rimmed clouds in the southern hemisphere. *Astronomical J.* 151, 126. doi:10.3847/0004-6256/151/5/126

Sharma, S., Pandey, A. K., Ogura, K., Aoki, T., Pandey, K., Sandhu, T. S., et al. (2008). Mass functions and photometric binaries in nine open clusters. *Astronomical J.* 135, 1934–1945. doi:10.1088/0004-6256/135/5/1934 Sharma, S., Pandey, A. K., Ojha, D. K., Chen, W. P., Ghosh, S. K., Bhatt, B. C., et al. (2007). Star formation in young star cluster NGC 1893. *Mon. Notices R. Astronomical Soc.* 380, 1141–1160. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.12156.x

Sharma, S., Verma, A., Mallick, K., Dewangan, L. K., Kaur, H., Yadav, R. K., et al. (2024). Cluster Formation in a filamentary cloud: the case of the stellar cluster NGC 2316. *AJ* 167, 106. doi:10.3847/1538-3881/ad19cd

Shu, F. H. (1982). The Physical Universe. New York: McGraw Hill.

Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., Weinberg, M. D., Schneider, S., Carpenter, J. M., et al. (2006). The two Micron all Sky survey (2MASS). *AJ* 131, 1163–1183. doi:10.1086/498708

Soszyński, I., Pietrukowicz, P., Skowron, J., Udalski, A., Szymański, M. K., Skowron, D. M., et al. (2021). Over 24 000 δ Scuti stars in the galactic bulge and disk from the OGLE survey. Acta Astron 71, 189–204. doi:10.32023/0001-5237/71.3.1

Spitzer, L., Jr., and Harm, R. (1958). Evaporation of stars from isolated clusters. ApJ 127, 544. doi:10.1086/146486

Stankov, A., and Handler, G. (2005). Catalog of galactic β cephei stars. ApJS 158, 193–216. doi:10.1086/429408

Stetson, P. B. (1987). DAOPHOT: a computer program for crowded-field stellar photometry. *PASP* 99, 191. doi:10.1086/131977

Stetson, P. B. (1992). "More experiments with DAOPHOT II and WF/PC images," in *Astronomical data analysis software and systems I*. Editors D. M. Worrall, C. Biemesderfer, and J. Barnes (San Francisco, CA: Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series), 25, 297.

Szewczuk, W., and Daszyńska-Daszkiewicz, J. (2017). Domains of pulsational instability of low-frequency modes in rotating upper main sequence stars. *MNRAS* 469, 13–46. doi:10.1093/mnras/stx738

Tang, S.-Y., Pang, X., Yuan, Z., Chen, W. P., Hong, J., Goldman, B., et al. (2019). Discovery of tidal tails in disrupting open clusters: coma berenices and a neighbor stellar group. *ApJ* 877, 12. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab13b0

Verma, A., Sharma, S., Dewangan, L., Pandey, R., Baug, T., Ojha, D. K., et al. (2023). Kronberger 55: a candidate for end-dominated collapse scenario. *J. Astrophysics Astronomy* 44, 52. doi:10.1007/s12036-023-09932-0

Waelkens, C. (1991). Slowly pulsating B stars. A&A 246, 453.

Warner, P. B., Kaye, A. B., and Guzik, J. A. (2003). A theoretical y Doradus instability strip. ApJ 593, 1049–1055. doi:10.1086/376727

Yang, S.-C., Sarajedini, A., Deliyannis, C. P., Sarrazine, A. R., Kim, S. C., and Kyeong, J. (2013). WIYN open cluster study LII: wide-field CCD photometry of the old open cluster NGC 6819. *ApJ* 762, 3. doi:10.1088/0004-637X/762/1/3