



The Sexual Motivation of Male Rats as a Tool in Animal Models of Human Health Disorders

Michal Bialy¹*, Wiktor Bogacki-Rychlik¹, Jacek Przybylski² and Tymoteusz Zera¹

¹Department of Experimental and Clinical Physiology, Laboratory of Centre for Preclinical Research, Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland, ²Department of Biophysics and Human Physiology, Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

Normal or dysfunctional sexual behavior seems to be an important indicator of health or disease. Many health disorders in male patients affect sexual activity by directly causing erectile dysfunction, affecting sexual motivation, or both. Clinical evidence indicates that many diseases strongly disrupt sexual motivation and sexual performance in patients with depression, addiction, diabetes mellitus and other metabolic disturbances with obesity and diet-related factors, kidney and liver failure, circadian rhythm disorders, sleep disturbances including obstructive sleep apnea syndrome, developmental and hormonal disorders, brain damages, cardiovascular diseases, and peripheral neuropathies. Preclinical studies of these conditions often require appropriate experimental paradigms, including animal models. Male sexual behavior and motivation have been intensively investigated over the last 80 years in animal rat model. Sexual motivation can be examined using such parameters as: anticipatory behavior and 50-kHz ultrasonic vocalizations reflecting the emotional state of rats, initiation of copulation, efficiency of copulation, or techniques of classical (pavlovian) and instrumental conditioning. In this review article, we analyze the behavioral parameters that describe the sexual motivation and sexual performance of male rats in the context of animal experimental models of human health disorders. Based on analysis of the parameters describing the heterogeneous and complex structure of sexual behavior in laboratory rodents, we propose an approach that is useful for delineating distinct mechanisms affecting sexual motivation and sexual performance in selected disease states and the efficacy of therapy in preclinical investigations.

Keywords: sexual motivation, general arousal, sexual arousal, ultrasonic vocalizations, depression, anxiety, metabolic disorders, male behavior

INTRODUCTION

Sexual interaction has been one of the most intensively studied appetitive behaviors over the last 80 years. Copulation differs between species, but detailed investigation of the mechanisms regulating the behavior of one species seems to be important from the perspective of comparative physiological research. Furthermore, effective sexual interactions involve activation of a sequence of behavioral patterns that depend on distinct brain structures, neural networks,

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Fabrizio Sanna, University of Cagliari, Italy

Reviewed by:

Berend Olivier, Utrecht University, Netherlands José R. Eguibar, Meritorious Autonomous University of Puebla, Mexico

> *Correspondence: Michal Bialy michalbialy@yahoo.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Motivation and Reward, a section of the journal Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience

> Received: 30 July 2019 Accepted: 30 October 2019 Published: 26 November 2019

Citation:

Bialy M, Bogacki-Rychlik W, Przybylski J and Zera T (2019) The Sexual Motivation of Male Rats as a Tool in Animal Models of Human Health Disorders. Front. Behav. Neurosci. 13:257. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2019.00257 and neurotransmitters. The amygdala (A), bed nucleus of stria terminalis (BNST), medial preoptic area (MPOA), and central tegmental field/subparafascicular nucleus of the thalamus constitute the core central structures. They connect with the dopaminergic mesolimbical, mesocortical, and nigrostriatal tracts, lateral and ventromedial hypothalamus, paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus, ventral premammilary nucleus, midbrain periaqueductal gray, nucleus paragigantocellularis of the medulla, and autonomic regions of the spinal cord and regulate sexual motivation, arousal, and copulatory performance. Detailed analysis of neural networks and neurotransmitters in the context of sexual behavior is outlined in several recent reviews (Hull and Rodríguez-Manzo, 2017; Hill and Elias, 2018; Seizert, 2018; Le Moëne and Ågmo, 2019).

With this background, analysis of the sexual activity of laboratory rodents provides a powerful experimental tool for studying the inheritable traits, endocrine factors, neurotransmitter systems, and neural networks involved in evolutionarily preserved as well as experience-dependent aspects of behavior.

In this review article, we analyze the behavioral parameters describing the sexual motivation and sexual performance of male rats in the context of health disorders in humans. Based on analysis of parameters describing the heterogeneous and complex structure of sexual behavior in laboratory rodents, we propose an approach that is useful for delineating distinct mechanisms affecting sexual motivation and sexual performance in disease states and the efficacy of therapy in preclinical investigations. Furthermore, we argue that this approach could be applied for more precise determination of specific mechanisms involved in abnormal or disturbed sexual behavior in rats that are translationally related to human health disorders. In particular, translational research in rodent models of sexual behavior has provided important insights into the pathomechanisms and pharmacotherapy of clinical conditions that are described in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 5th edition (DSM 5) and in the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th revision (ICD-10), including premature ejaculation, paraphilias, mood and anxiety disorders as well as neurological and metabolic diseases (McVary et al., 1997; Grønli et al., 2005; Giuliano and Clément, 2006; Hawley et al., 2013; Pfaus et al., 2013; Kang et al., 2014; Olayo-Lortia et al., 2014; Sanna et al., 2014; Faulkner et al., 2015; Babaei-Balderlou and Khazali, 2016; Oosting et al., 2016; Ramírez-Rodríguez et al., 2017; Hernández and Fernández-Guasti, 2018; Novati et al., 2018). In this light, we propose that in various rodent models of human disease states, sexual motivation and performance may be differently affected, which is reflected in distinct changes of specific components of male rat sexual behavior. However, this translational potential of animal models of sexual behavior for investigating human disorders should be exploited cautiously, as not all aspects of sexual behavior and health disorders are identical in rats and humans (Le Moëne and Ågmo, 2019). Here, we present an outline of male rat sexual behavior in the context of rodent models of human diseases, which should

be helpful in finding appropriate experimental models for evaluation of pathomechanisms, therapeutic interventions, and alternatives to the current therapies in preclinical studies. Owing to the specificities and differences of male and female sexual motivation and behavior under physiological conditions and in health disorders (Pfaff, 2017; Hill and Elias, 2018), we did not analyze female sexual behavior in the review.

SEXUAL BEHAVIOR AS AN EXPERIMENTAL MODEL

The sexual behavior of male rats consists of the anticipatory stage during which a male searches for a receptive female, followed by an initiation stage during which a male and a female show mutual investigation. At the end of the initiatory stage, rats begin to copulate. Female behaviors, including sex-soliciting behavior, receptivity, and occurrence of the lordosis reflex (measured as % displaying lordosis), influence the initiation stage and copulatory performance, as lordosis allows for intromission. Copulation comprises specific highly stereotypical motor patterns, including mounting, intromission, and ejaculation. Mounting is a pattern when a male lifts his forebody over the female hindquarters, clasping her flanks with his forepaws, and begins a series of rapid shallow movements of the pelvis. When the glans penis detects the vagina, a male can perform rapid erection, with a deeper intravaginal thrust, which is followed by immediate dismounting. This mounting-intromission-dismounting pattern is repeated until ejaculation is achieved. Ejaculation is marked by a long-lasting intromission (about 1-2 s), which a male rat usually achieves after a few to a dozen intromissions. Rats usually copulate for up to eight ejaculations until copulatory satiation (Larsson, 1956; Sachs and Barfield, 1976). During all these stages, rats produce a complex series of ultrasound vocalizations of various frequencies and temporal patterns (Barfield et al., 1979). Furthermore, fully expressed sexual behavior requires both sexual motivation and sexual arousal, which should be treated as two distinct phenomena (Sachs, 2000). Sexual arousal depends on the activation of brain networks within the brainstem that simultaneously control behavioral and autonomic nervous system responses during sexual interaction and is mainly manifested by penile erection, whereas sexual motivation drives and maintains subsequent stages of sexual behavior (Schober et al., 2011; Ågmo, 2011). Differences between sexual motivation and sexual arousal, or more precisely the fact that these processes are not interchangeable or equivalent, can be explained by the analysis of non-copulating male rats or asexual orientation in humans. In healthy non-copulating rats, noncontact erections are present during exposure to the receptive female in spite of the absence of an attempt to copulate, which is especially visible after medial preoptic lesions (Stefanick and Davidson, 1987; Liu et al., 1997; Portillo and Paredes, 2019). Similar dissociation between sexual arousal and sexual motivation can be seen in asexually oriented men. The level of masturbation in healthy asexual men is similar to that in heterosexual counterparts, but with no motivation for either



hetero or homosexual interactions (Brotto et al., 2010; Portillo and Paredes, 2019).

The sexual behavior of a male rat contains both inheritable arousal-activated neuronal networks and networks that are experience-dependent and modified by classical and instrumental conditioning (Pfaus et al., 2001; Hull and Rodríguez-Manzo, 2017). Based on statistical factor analysis of sexual behavior in copulatory experienced rats, five independent components of sexual behavior have been distinguished: anticipatory, initiation, rate of copulation, number of intromissions, and intromission ratio (IR; Sachs, 1978; Pfaus et al., 1990). All five components of sexual interactions are summarized in **Figure 1** and discussed below from the perspectives of sexual motivation, general arousal, and sexual arousal.

Anticipatory Behavior

In standard laboratory procedure, a male rat is usually placed in the experimental chamber for 5 min before introduction of a receptive female (Larsson, 1956). During this time, anticipatory behavior is measured by intensiveness of chamber exploration, number of rearings, or changes of levels in special bi-level apparatus (Mendelson and Gorzalka, 1987; Mendelson and

Pfaus, 1989). In sexually experienced males, intensiveness of exploration with looking for cues from a female co-occurs with intensive ultrasonic vocalizations in the 50-kHz band, termed precontact vocalizations (PVs; Bialy et al., 2000). Ultrasounds emitted by rodents, in addition to olfactory cues, are a signal for identification of individuals (Holy and Guo, 2005; Asaba et al., 2014). Ultrasonic vocalizations in the 50-kHz band reflect the emotional state of rodents and are related to the activation of the nucleus accumbens (Hamed et al., 2016; Mulvihill and Brudzynski, 2018). Ultrasounds also cause rats to react by approaching a sound source (Wöhr and Schwarting, 2007; Pultorak et al., 2016). The number of PVs during the acquisition of sexual experience is related to the level of sexual experience and conditioning to odor cues and conditioning stimuli (CS) from the experimental chamber, and it depends on the rewarding value of sexual contacts (Bialy et al., 2000).

PVs and other elements of anticipatory behavior can be completely suppressed by repeated dopamine D1 receptor activation in the nucleus accumbens without significant effects on subsequent copulatory behavior (Bialy et al., 2010). Furthermore, increase in the number of PVs during the acquisition of sexual experience is inhibited by NMDA antagonists but is not related to the initiation of copulation measured by mount latency (ML; see below; Bialy et al., 2000). These observations indicate that anticipatory behavior depends on different neural networks than initiation and copulatory behaviors.

In the sexual context, penile erection is treated as an indicator of an elevated level of sexual arousal (Sachs, 2000). However, our studies indicate that penile erection is not observed during anticipatory behavior (Bialy et al., 2000, 2010). This implies that the anticipatory behavior depends on stimulation of the general arousal system and motivation to look for cues related to sexual activity rather than on the sexual arousal itself.

Initiation Behavior

The time between exposure of a male rat to a receptive female and the first mounting determines the length of the initiation stage and is described as ML. When a female is introduced, both male and female show mutual investigation and mutually emit ultrasonic vocalizations. Odor, visual, auditory, and tactile cues enhance both the level of sexual motivation, leading to copulatory behavior, and the level of sexual arousal, making it sufficient to evoke erection and effective intromission (Hull and Rodríguez-Manzo, 2017). ML depends on sexual motivation enhanced by mutual male-female investigation. In addition to the motivational aspect measured by ML, the latency between the introduction of a female to the first intromission, termed intromission latency (IL), indicates the time required to reach a sufficiently high level of sexual arousal to induce erection (Hull and Rodríguez-Manzo, 2017). ML is prolonged in sexually naïve males and is significantly shorter in sexually experienced rats. Sexual experience and conditioning to cues from a female or experimental chamber significantly reduce both ML and IL (Larsson, 1959; Dewsbury, 1969; Bialy et al., 2000).

The initiation of copulation is strongly related to sexual motivation, and it is inhibited by an enhanced level of anxiety (Pfaus and Wilkins, 1995; Miwa et al., 2011). Activation of cAMP-response element-binding protein (CREB) in the nucleus accumbens reduces anxiety level and ML, but it has no effect on copulatory efficiency as measured by ejaculation latency (EL; Barrot et al., 2005). Similarly, acute administration of D1 receptor agonist into the nucleus accumbens significantly increases the percentage of sexually naïve males that display mounting, but without an increase in PVs or shortening of EL (Bialy et al., 2010). ML is also dramatically prolonged by lesion of the anterior cingulate cortex (Ågmo et al., 1995), suggestive of a critical role for the nucleus accumbens-anterior cingulate cortex/medial prefrontal cortex network in sexual motivation and initiation of a new behavior (Bialy et al., 2010; Sanna et al., 2017). Sexual motivation can also be described by approach behavior in the sexual incentive motivation test arena (Le Moëne and Ågmo, 2019).

Copulatory Efficiency (Copulatory Rate Factor)

Sexual motivation during copulation controls the behavior directed towards the satisfaction of sexual drive by achieving intromissions and ejaculations. Copulatory efficiency describes the ability to satisfy the sexual drive and depends on sexual experience (Larsson, 1959; Dewsbury, 1969; Bialy et al., 2000). Simultaneously with sexual motivation, adequate sexual arousal has to be achieved and accumulated to elicit penile erection and ejaculation, which are mediated by activation of the autonomic nervous system (Giuliano and Rampin, 2004).

The most important measure of copulatory efficiency is EL, which describes the time from first intromission to ejaculation. Additionally, copulatory efficiency can be measured by interintromission interval (III), which provides the mean time between intromissions before each ejaculation (Sachs and Barfield, 1976; Sachs, 1978).

Acquisition of sexual experience has been shown to involve different neuronal networks in copulatory efficiency and in the initiation of copulation (Bialy et al., 2000, 2010). The key neural network that regulates copulatory rate involves connections between the amygdala, BNST, central tegmental field, and MPOA (Hull and Rodríguez-Manzo, 2017). MPOA is one of the brain structures in which acquisition of sexual experience leads to an increase in Fos expression, and higher levels of neurotransmitters, receptors, or enzymes and hormones important for regulation of sexual activity (Hull and Rodríguez-Manzo, 2017). Specifically, it was shown that acquisition of sexual experience is associated with an increase in nitric oxide with higher levels of nitric oxide synthase (Dominguez et al., 2006), glutamate and dopamine (Will et al., 2014), D1 receptor signaling (McHenry et al., 2012) and D2 receptor signaling (Nutsch et al., 2016), and oxytocin receptors (Gil et al., 2013), and an increased number of neurons containing androgen receptors (Swaney et al., 2012). Moreover, acquisition of sexual experience and improvement in copulatory efficiency was shown to involve neuronal plasticity as measured by *c-fos* expression in the parieto-occipital cortex (Bialy et al., 1992; Bialy and Kaczmarek, 1996). Additionally, dopamine and noradrenaline levels in the medial prefrontal cortex correlate with sexual experience (Sanna et al., 2017).

Number of Intromissions

The number of intromissions indicates the level of genital stimulation required to induce ejaculation and describes the accumulation of sexual arousal. The cortico-medial part of the amygdala accumulates arousal in rats (de Jonge et al., 1992), as lesions in this region lead to a dramatic increase in number of intromissions (Harris and Sachs, 1975). Similar effects were observed after BNST lesion (Valcourt and Sachs, 1979). Strong reductions in intromission number were observed after serotonin 5HT1A receptor agonist (Snoeren et al., 2014) and D2 agonist and, less effectively, D1 agonist, but not D4 agonists (Cagiano et al., 1989; Beck et al., 2002; Sanna et al., 2015).

Intromission Ratio

Males display intromissions and/or mounts without intromission from the initiation of copulation to ejaculation. The IR describes the proportion of intromissions to the sum of mounts and intromissions. A low value of this parameter is strongly related to erectile dysfunction, which may be due to a low level of NO synthesis (neuronal and epithelial source),

| Anticipatory Behavior - Emotional Value, Reward Value of Previous Contacts PVs Lower vs Control PVs (No.) Rearing (No.) Prevel (No. Prevel (No.) Prever (No.) | arameters |
|---|-----------------|
| (No.) Pysregulation of Rewarding System/Drug • CPP Bi-Level (No.) • Reward Distrement (States/Depressive Like-Behavior [1] • CPP Bi-Level (No.) • Reward Drug-Induced Behavior [1] • Open Field (General Activi Distrement (Activi Distreme (Acti Distrement (Activi Distrement (Activi Distrem | |
| Realing (No.) Reward Like-Behavior [1] Forced Swim Test Open Field (General Activi Sexual Fetishism (Absence of Fetish) [3] Open Field (General Activi Open Field (General Activi Popen Field (General Activi Popen Field (General Activi Popen Field (General Activi Popen Field (General Activi Initiation Behavior – Motivation Value Initiation Behavior – Motivation Value Shorter vs Control Prevalue Motivation Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] Open Field (General Activi Initiation Behavior – Motivation Value ML (Mount Latency in sec.) Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] Open Field (General activi Depressive-Like Behavior [10] Central Activi Open Field (General activi Preference Sexual Motivation Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] Open Field (General Activi Open Field (General Activi Preference Test Preference Preference [8] Medical Examination Central Activiant Laberatory Test Disorders [9] Depressive-Like Behavior [10] A Level of Anxiety | |
| Exploration (Distance in meters) • Reward Drug-induced Behavior [4] • CPP • Open Field (General Activit Initiation Behavior – Motivation Value Initiation Behavior – Motivation Value • Neurodegenerative Hypersexualism [5] • Open Field (General activit in Center) • Open Field (General activit in Center) ML (Mount Latency in sec.) • Sexual Motivation Neurodegenerative Hypersexualism [5] • Open Field (General activit in Center) ML (Mount Latency in sec.) • Level of Anxiety Low Anxiety Level [6] • Medical Examination • CRH/ACTH/ Corticosterom Loger vs Control ML (Mount Latency in sec.) • Sexual Motivation Anhedonic States [7] Depressive-Like Behavior [10] Alcholism [11] Diabetes Related Neurological Impairment [12] • Medical Examination · Additional Laboratory Test Disorders • Sexual Motivation Ankety Disorders [13] Open Field (Time Spent in CRH/ACTH/ Corticosterom Sexual/Copulatory Prefere Sexual/Copulatory Prefere Sexual/Copulatory Prefere • Level of Anxiety Acquisition of Sexual Experience [2] Sexual/Copulatory Prefere Sexual/Copulatory Prefere | ty) |
| (Distance in meters) ↑ Reward Drug-Induced Behavior [4] • CPP open Field (General Activition and the integration of the integratin the integration of the integration of the integratia | |
| Shorter vs Control ↑ Sexual Motivation Neurodegenerative Hypersexualism [5] • Open Field (Time Spent in Center) Image: Control Image: Control • Open Field (Time Spent in Center) • Open Field (Time Spent in Center) ML (Mount Latency in sec.) Image: Control • Anhedonic States [7] • Medical Examination Image: Control • Sexual Motivation • Anhedonic States [7] • Medical Examination Image: Control • Sexual Motivation • Anhedonic States [7] • Medical Examination Image: Control • Sexual Motivation • Anhedonic States [7] • Medical Examination Image: Control • Sexual Motivation • Medical Examination • Additional Laboratory Test Diabetes Related Neurological Impairment [12] • Medical Examination • Additional Laboratory Test Image: Control • Preference to Female Same-Sex Preference/Sex Identity Formation • Sexual/Copulatory Prefere Shorter vs Control • Preference (Motivation) to Achieve Ejaculation(s) • Sexual/Copulatory Prefere • Sexual/Copulatory Prefere Copulatory Rate – Efficiency (Motivation) • Acquisition of Sexual Experience [2] • Normal or Lower NI • Lower NI Image: Up = Scalar Stress Induced Behavior/Depressive Like-Behavior [16] <td< td=""><td>.y)</td></td<> | .y) |
| ↑ Sexual Motivation Neurodegenerative Hypersexualism [5] Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish] [3] • Open Field (General activi in Center) ↓ Level of Anxiety Low Anxiety Level [6] • Open Field (Time Spent in • CRH/ACTH/ Corticosterom Longer vs Control ML (Mount Latency in sec.) ↓ Sexual Motivation Anhedonic States [7] Insulin-Resistance (mice) [8] HPG Axis Disorders [9] Depressive-Like Behavior [10] Alcoholism [11] Diabetes Related Neurological Impairment [12] • Medical Examination • Additional Laboratory Test Disorders ↑ Level of Anxiety Anxiety Disorders [9] Diabetes Related Neurological Impairment [12] • Open Field (Time Spent in • CRH/ACTH/ Corticosterom Disruptions (Gender Dysphoria) [14] • Open Field (Time Spent in • CRH/ACTH/ Corticosterom Disruptions (Gender Dysphoria) [14] Copulatory Rate – Efficiency (Motivation) to Acquisition of Sexual Experience [2] Shorter vs Control • Normal or Lower NI ↓ Figaculatory Threshold Premature Ejaculation [15] • Normal or Lower NI ↓ EL (Ejaculation Latency in sec.) Stress Induced Behavior/Depressive Like- Behavior [16] • Normal III ↓ Sexual Motivation Stress Induced Behavior/Depressive Like- Behavior [16] • Longer Time Between Intr ↓ Figaculatory Threshold Delayed ejaculation, SSRI Induced Ejaculatory Dysfunction [17] • Normal III | |
| ML (Mount attion) Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] in Center) ML (Mount Latency in sec.) Anhedonic States [7] • Open Field (Time Spent in Sulin-Resistance (mice) [8] ML Anhedonic States [7] • Medical Examination (Mount Latency in sec.) • Sexual Motivation Anhedonic States [7] • Medical Examination • Level of Anxiety Anhedonic States [7] • Medical Examination • Additional Laboratory Test Disorders [9] Diabetes Related Neurological Impairment [12] • Forced Swim Test • Open Field (Time Spent in Centry) • Level of Anxiety Anxiety Disorders [9] • Open Field (Time Spent in Centry) • Additional Laboratory Test Disorders • Level of Anxiety Anxiety Disorders [13] • Open Field (Time Spent in Centry) • Open Field (Time Spent in Centry) • Preference to Female Same-Sex Preference/Sex Identity Formation Disruptions (Gender Dysphoria) [14] • Sexual/Copulatory Prefere Copulatory Rate – Efficiency (Motivation) • Acquisition of Sexual Experience [2] • Normal or Lower NI • El (Ejaculatory Threshold Premature Ejaculation [15] • Lower NI • El (Ejaculatory Threshold Stress Induced Behavior/Depressive Like-Behavior [16] • Longer Time Between Intr • Long | |
| ML (Mount Latency in sec.) V Even of Anxiety Longer vs Control Anhedonic States [7] Insulin-Resistance (mice) [8] HPG Axis Disorders [9] Depressive-Like Behavior [10] Alcoholism [11] Diabetes Related Neurological Impairment [12] Additional Laboratory Test Disorders Glucose Preference Test Forced Swim Test Open Field (Time Spent in CRH/ACTH/ Corticosteron) Preference to Female Same-Sex Preference/Sex Identity Formation Disruptions (Gender Dysphoria) [14] Open Field (Time Spent in CRH/ACTH/ Corticosteron) Shorter vs Control Shorter vs Control Shorter vs Control EL (Ejaculation Latency in sec.) Sexual Motivation Presonal Pressive Like- Behavior [16] Alcoholism [11] Higher EF Longer vs Control EL (Ejaculation Latency in sec.) Sexual Motivation Alcoholism [11] HPG Axis Disorders [9] Diabetes Related Neurological Impairment [12] Normal III Y Sexual Motivation Delayed ejaculation, SSR Induced Ejaculatory Normal III Ex copula mechanically ev ejaculation Normal III V Sexual Threshold Premature Ejaculation [13] Short EL Kinger vs Control Number of Intromissions – Acccumulation of Sexual Arousal Lower vs Control<!--</td--><td></td> | |
| ML (Mount Latency in sec.) ✓ Sexual Motivation ✓ Sexual Motivation ✓ Sexual Motivation ✓ Depressive-Like Behavior [10] Alcoholism [11] Diabetes Related Neurological Impairment [12] ✓ Level of Anxiety ✓ Anxiety Disorders [13] ✓ Open Field (Time Spent in OPenessive-Like Behavior [10] Alcoholism [11] ✓ Diabetes Related Neurological Impairment [12] ✓ Open Field (Time Spent in OPen Field (Time Spent | |
| Mutt Insulin-Resistance (mice) [8] + Medical Examination (Mount Latency in sec.) + Sexual Motivation Insulin-Resistance (mice) [8] + Additional Laboratory Test Microscope Depressive-Like Behavior [10] Additional Laboratory Test Disorders [9] Diabetes Related Neurological Impairment [12] • Open Field (Time Spent in • CRH/ACTH/ Corticosterom • Open Field (Time Spent in • CRH/ACTH/ Corticosterom V Preference to Female Same-Sex Preference/Sex Identity Formation Disruptions (Gender Dysphoria) [14] • Sexual/Copulatory Prefere Copulatory Rate – Efficiency (Motivation) to Achieve Ejaculation(s) • Normal or Lower NI Shorter vs Control • Sexual Experience Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] • Normal or Lower NI Latency in sec.) • Ejaculatory Threshold Premature Ejaculation [15] • Lower NI Latency in sec.) • Sexual Motivation Stress Induced Behavior/Depressive Like- Behavior [16] • Normal or Lower NI Latency in sec.) • Ejaculatory Threshold Premature Ejaculation, SSR Induced Ejaculatory Dysfunction [17] • Normal III Latency in sec.) • Ejaculatory Threshold Delayed ejaculation, SSR Induced Ejaculatory Dysfunction [17] • Normal III Lower vs Control • Ex copula mechanically ev ej | |
| ↑ Level of Anxiety Anxiety Disorders [13] • CRH/ACTH/ Corticosteronu ↓ Preference to Female Same-Sex Preference/Sex Identity Formation Disruptions (Gender Dysphoria) [14] • Sexual/Copulatory Prefere Copulatory Rate – Efficiency (Motivation) to Achieve Ejaculation(s) • Sexual/Copulatory Prefere Shorter vs Control • Acquisition of Sexual Experience [2] Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] • Normal or Lower NI ↓ Ejaculatory Threshold Premature Ejaculation [15] • Lower NI Latency in sec.) ↓ Sexual Motivation Stress Induced Behavior/Depressive Like- Behavior [16] Diabetes Related Neurological Impairment [12] • Normal III ↑ Ejaculatory Threshold Delayed ejaculation, SSRI Induced Ejaculatory Dysfunction [17] • Normal III • K Ejaculatory Threshold Premature Ejaculation [18] Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] • Normal III • K Ejaculatory Threshold Premature Ejaculation [18] Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] • Short EL NI ↓ Ejaculatory Threshold Premature Ejaculation [18] Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] • Short EL | s for Metabolic |
| Image: Preference to Female Disruptions (Gender Dysphoria) [14] • Sexual/Copulatory Prefere Copulatory Rate – Efficiency (Motivation) to Achieve Ejaculation(s) • Normal or Lower NI Shorter vs Control Acquisition of Sexual Experience [2] Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] • Normal or Lower NI Image: Legaculation Latency in sec. Image: Longer vs Control • Longer vs Control • Lower NI Image: Legaculatory Threshold Premature Ejaculation [15] • Lower NI • Lower NI Image: Legaculation Latency in sec. Image: Vs Control • Sexual Motivation • Stress Induced Behavior/Depressive Like-Behavior [16] • Longer Time Between Intre Image: Legaculatory Threshold Stress Induced Behavior/Depressive Like-Behavior [16] • Longer Time Between Intre Image: Legaculatory Threshold Stress Induced Behavior/Depressive Like-Behavior [16] • Longer Time Between Intre Image: Legaculatory Threshold Delayed ejaculation, SSRI Induced Ejaculatory • Normal III Image: Mathematical Stress Induced Related Neurological Impairment [12] • Normal III • Normal III Image: Mathematical Stress Induced Lipsculatory Threshold Premature Ejaculation, SSRI Induced Ejaculatory • Normal III Image: Mathematical Stress Induced Lipsculation [17] • Short EL • Short EL <td></td> | |
| Shorter vs Control Acquisition of Sexual Experience [2] Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] • Normal or Lower NI ↓ Ejaculatory Threshold Premature Ejaculation [15] • Lower NI ↓ Ejaculatory Threshold Premature Ejaculation [15] • Lower NI Longer vs Control • Stress Induced Behavior/Depressive Like- Behavior [16] • Longer Time Between Intr ↓ Sexual Motivation Alcoholism [11] HPG Axis Disorders [9] Diabetes Related Neurological Impairment [12] • Normal III ↑ Ejaculatory Threshold Delayed ejaculation, SSRI Induced Ejaculatory Dysfunction [17] • Normal III Number of Intromissions – Accumulation of Sexual Arousal Lower vs Control • Short EL Ni (No. intromissions) + Ejaculatory Threshold Premature Ejaculation [18] Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] • Short EL | nce Test |
| Image: Sexual Experience Acquisition of Sexual Experience [2] Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] • Normal or Lower NI Image: Sexual Experience Image: Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] • Lower NI Image: Sexual Experience Image: Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] • Lower NI Image: Sexual Experience Image: Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] • Lower NI Image: Sexual Experience Image: Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] • Lower NI Image: Sexual Experience Stress Induced Behavior/Depressive Like-Behavior [16] • Longer Time Between Intr Image: Sexual Motivation Alcoholism [11] • Longer Time Between Intr HPG Axis Disorders [9] Diabetes Related Neurological Impairment [12] • Normal III Image: Sexual Experience Delayed ejaculation, SSRI Induced Ejaculatory • Normal III Image: Sexual Experience Delayed ejaculation, SSRI Induced Ejaculatory • Normal III Image: Sexual Experience Image: Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] • Short EL NI Image: Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] • Short EL Intromissions) Image: Shorter III Image: Shorter III | |
| * Sexual Experience Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] • Normal of Lower NI • El (Ejaculatory Threshold Premature Ejaculation [15] • Lower NI • Longer vs Control • Stress Induced Behavior/Depressive Like-Behavior [16] • Longer Time Between Intr • Alcoholism [11] • Longer Time Between Intr • Longer Time Between Intr • Higher of Intromissions – Accumulation of Sexual Arousal • Normal III • Ejaculatory Threshold Premature Ejaculation [17] • Normal III • Ejaculatory Threshold Delayed ejaculation, SSRI Induced Ejaculatory Dysfunction [17] • Normal III • Ejaculatory Threshold Premature Ejaculation [18] • Short EL NI • Ejaculatory Threshold Premature Ejaculation [18] • Short EL NI • Higher vs Control • Normal or Shorter III • Normal or Shorter III | |
| Image: Constraint of the second stress of | |
| EL (Ejaculation Latency in sec.) Stress Induced Behavior/Depressive Like- Behavior [16] Alcoholism [11] HPG Axis Disorders [9] Diabetes Related Neurological Impairment [12] Longer Time Between Intr HPG Axis Disorders [9] Diabetes Related Neurological Impairment [12] Normal III Ex copula mechanically ev ejaculation Normal III Ex copula mechanically ev ejaculation Normal III Ex copula mechanically ev ejaculation Number of Intromissions – Accumulation of Sexual Arousal Lower vs Control Figaculatory Threshold Premature Ejaculation [18] Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] Short EL Higher vs Control Arousal Normal or Shorter III Normal Or Shorte | |
| Latency in sec.) Latency in sec.) Alcoholism [11] HPG Axis Disorders [9] Diabetes Related Neurological Impairment [12] The Ejaculatory Threshold Number of Intromissions – Accumulation of Sexual Arousal Lower vs Control NI (No. intromissions) Migher vs Control Higher vs Control Alcoholism [11] HPG Axis Disorders [9] Diabetes Related Neurological Impairment [12] Pelayed ejaculation, SSRI Induced Ejaculatory Dysfunction [17] Premature Ejaculation [18] Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] Higher vs Control Accumulation of Sexual Arousal NI (No. intromissions) Accumulation of Sexual Arousal Accumulation of Sexual Arousal NI (No. intromissions) Accumulation of Sexual Arousal Accumulation of Sexual Arousal Premature Ejaculation [18] Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] Accumulation of Sexual Arousal Accumulation | |
| ↑ Ejaculatory Threshold Delayed ejaculation, SSRI Induced Ejaculatory Dysfunction [17] • Ex copula mechanically ev ejaculation Number of Intromissions – Accumulation of Sexual Arousal Lower vs Control • Ejaculatory Threshold • Ejaculatory Threshold NI (No. intromissions) • Ejaculatory Threshold • Premature Ejaculation [18] Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] • Short EL | omissions (III) |
| NI Ejaculatory Threshold Premature Ejaculation [18] Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] | oked pattern of |
| NI Figaculatory Threshold Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] • Short EL (No. intromissions) Higher vs Control • Variation (Institution) • Variation (Institution) • Short EL • Normal or Shorter III | |
| NI Ejaculatory Inreshold Sexual Fetishism (Presence of Fetish) [3] Higher vs Control Higher vs Control Normal or Shorter III Normal or Shorter III Normal or Shorter III | |
| intromissions) J Arousal • Normal or Shorter III | |
| Arousal | |
| Accumulation • Higher EL | |
| Intromission Ratio – Erectile Function | |
| IR Lower vs Control | |
| Intromissions / Erectile Dysfunction Neuropathy [12] NO synthesis inhibition [20] • ML | |
| No. mountings Higher vs Control | |
| intromissions) Improved Erection PDE5 Inhibition [21] • ML • Ex copula test | |

TABLE 1 | Particular components of male sexual behavior, parameters that describe them and their relation to abnormal sexual motivation, arousal and performance due to psychiatric, cardiovascular, neurologic, endocrine and metabolic health disorder, and additional tests to confirm causes of changes in parameters in the rat models.

Since sexual behavior depends on specific strain of rats, laboratory environment, nutrition and housing (Hansen et al., 1978; Bialy et al., 2014; Molenda-Figueira et al., 2017; Sanna et al., 2017), changes in specific parameters describing male sexual behavior should be evaluated against values obtained in control groups in a given experimental paradigm. Abbreviations: ACTH, adrenocorticotropic hormone; CRH, corticotropin-releasing hormone; EF, ejaculation frequency; HPG, hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis; III, inter-intromission interval; PDE5, phosphodiesterase 5; PVs, precontact vocalizations in the 50-kHz band; SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor. References in the table: [1] (Pfaus and Phillips, 1991, Van Furth et al., 1994, Barr et al., 1999); [2] (Bialy et al., 2000); [3] (Pfaus et al., 2013); [4] (Fiorino and Phillips, 1999); [5] (Novati et al., 2018); [6] (Barrot et al., 2005, Higg (Faulkner et al., 2015); [9] (Babaei-Balderlou and Khazali, 2016); [10] (Bialy et al., 2014); [11] (Sadeghzadeh et al., 2014); [12] (McVary et al., 2017), [13] (Hawley et al., 2013, Sanna et al., 2014); [14] (Garantirez-Rodríguez et al., 2017, Hernández and Fernández-Guasti, 2018); [15] (Coolen et al., 1997, Pattij et al., 2005a, Clément et al., 2007, Kang et al., 2013, Olayo-Lortia et al., 2014); [16] (Granii et al., 2005); [17] (de Jong et al., 2005, Hueletl-Soto et al., 2012); [18] (Coolen et al., 1997, Beck and Bialy, 2000); [19] (Harris and Sachs, 1975, Valcourt and Sachs, 1979, Novati et al., 2018); [20] (Hull et al., 1994, Bialy et al., 2016).

peripheral neuropathy, or vascular pathology (Hull et al., 1994; Bialy et al., 1996).

Evaluation of copulatory efficiency is critically important in rat models of premature ejaculation. Short ejaculation latencies with a very low number of intromissions (1 or 2 in a copulatory series) were observed in rats treated with 5HT-1A agonist, which can be considered a model of premature ejaculation (Coolen et al., 1997). Another model of premature ejaculation is based on the fact that in sexually experienced rats, there are two extreme endophenotypes. One represents premature ejaculation, with male rats achieving rapid and frequent ejaculations, up to five ejaculations during a short 30-min session of sexual interactions. The other phenotype represents the animal model of retarded ejaculation, with sexually experienced rats achieving only intromissions without any ejaculations during such a session (Pattij et al., 2005a; Waldinger and Olivier, 2005). Such model can be useful for understanding the mechanisms and pharmacological background of premature ejaculation and the role of serotonin receptors, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI), and oxytocin receptors (Giuliano and Clément, 2006; Clément et al., 2007, 2012, 2013; Kang et al., 2013, 2014; Oosting et al., 2016) but only in the case when less genital stimulation is required to achieve ejaculation (fewer intromissions). However, findings from our laboratory indicated that the majority of normal male rats were capable of achieving extravaginal ejaculations when mounting a female with a closed vaginal orifice, provided the male rats received sufficient genital stimulation during at least two intromissions preceding the extravaginal ejaculation. Furthermore, this phenomenon was independent of the number of mountings and was present without any pharmacological intervention (Beck and Bialy, 2000).

In addition to the retarded ejaculation model described above, copulatory efficiency and sexual motivation are strongly affected by metabolic disorders, especially type 2 diabetes mellitus (McVary et al., 1997; Faulkner et al., 2015), depressivelike/anhedonic states (Pfaus and Phillips, 1991; Van Furth et al., 1994; Bialy et al., 2014), or high anxiety levels (Hawley et al., 2013; Sanna et al., 2014).

Postejaculatory Behavior

The mechanisms behind the postejaculatory period are relatively poorly understood and involve numerous spinal and supraspinal structures of the central nervous system (Seizert, 2018; Le Moëne and Ågmo, 2019). In the postejaculatory period, all three processes: general arousal, sexual motivation, and sexual arousal, which control the male's behavior are reflected in different parameters. After ejaculation, a male usually moves to one of the corners of a chamber and starts to emit a vocalization in the 22-kHz band. Most of the time, a male does not move when vocalizing. About 2 min after the first ejaculation (and significantly later after the second one), a male starts to explore the experimental chamber, even before termination of the postejaculatory vocalizations (Sachs and Bialy, 2000). This exploratory behavior reflects increasing general arousal but not sexual motivation or sexual arousal. Operant behavior shows that, at this time, male rats very often perform instrumental reactions-bar-pressing or run in a runway-but that after arriving in the compartment with a female, they evidently escape any socio-sexual contact and show a departure reaction (Beck, 1986; Beck et al., 2002). On the other hand, sexual arousal measured by penile erection occurs later than first exploratory behavior, at least during the first postejaculatory period. Such erection is visible even before the termination of postejaculatory 22-kHz vocalizations, suggesting that sexual arousal increases before a male starts to show interest in a female due to enhanced sexual motivation (Sachs and Bialy, 2000). Sexual motivation and interest in a receptive female appear after the termination of vocalizations. Furthermore, weak painful stimuli that increase sexual motivation in a non-specific way enhance a male's interest in a female and mating, but this is present only after the end of postejaculatory vocalizations (Sachs and Barfield, 1974, 1976). These findings suggest that sexual motivation during postejaculatory ultrasonic vocalizations remains at a very low level. Therefore, after ejaculation, three parameters, latency to the first exploration, latency to the first noncontact erection, and latency to approaching a female, can be treated as measures of general arousal, sexual arousal, and sexual motivation, respectively. The initiation of enhanced sexual motivation later than of enhanced sexual arousal indicates that the postejaculatory interval is not simply the mirror state of the anticipatory and initiation phases of sexual behavior. Ultrasonic postejaculatory vocalizations, on the other hand, reflect, in our opinion, a relaxation state after ejaculation (Bialy et al., 2016). An enhanced level of general arousal and sexual arousal before the termination of postejaculatory vocalizations can be distinguished by spectral analysis of postejaculatory calls. Before the termination of vocalizations, at the time as exploration or noncontact erection take place, some frequency modulations or a shift from about the 45-kHz to the 28-23-kHz band are more often detected, and these differ from the very flat 22-kHz frequency ultrasonic vocalizations at the beginning and middle of the postejaculatory period (Bialy et al., 2019).

Rewarding Value of Sexual Interactions

The rewarding properties of mountings, intromissions, and ejaculations can be evaluated by conditioning procedures. In fact, the process of conditioning in appetitive behavior usually requires several sessions before there are visible effects. Ultrasound vocalizations in the 50-kHz band seem to be the most robust parameter reflecting positive emotional states (Brudzynski, 2007). In this line, high numbers of PVs convey the rewarding value of previous sexual contacts (Bialy et al., 2000). Additionally, conditioning during a second-order procedure (Everitt et al., 1989), conditioned place preference procedure (Camacho et al., 2009; Tenk et al., 2009), and instrumental conditioned reflexes during copulation (Beck, 1971; Beck et al., 2002) are useful in the evaluation of the rewarding value of subsequent events during sexual interactions. In addition, postejaculatory vocalizations-the most of time, extremely flat long-lasting vocalizations in the 22-kHz frequency band-probably reflect abrupt decreases in sexual arousal and a relaxation state following ejaculation. Thus, in this sense, these 22-kHz vocalizations can be used as an additional measure of reduction in sexual arousal and motivation related to the preceding ejaculation (Bialy et al., 2016). Postejaculatory vocalizations usually co-occur with a male's inactivity or grooming. In addition, we found that males vocalize for significantly longer when a female is present in the copulatory chamber after ejaculation (Sachs and Bialy, 2000). Furthermore, such vocalizations are present only in a familiar environment, and cues that increase anxiety level (odor cues from unfamiliar males) significantly reduce such postejaculatory vocalization (Bialy et al., 2016). Moreover, the postejaculatory vocalizations are distinct from shorter low-frequency vocalizations that are produced by a male rat expressing a sexually related frustration state (Bialy et al., 2019).

RAT SEXUAL BEHAVIOR AND HUMAN DISEASES ASSOCIATED WITH SEXUAL DYSFUNCTION

Table 1 summarizes the key components of rat sexual behavior with relevant parameters that describe specific aspects of the behavior (first column). These parameters may be used to quantify disturbances of sexual motivation and performance (second column) that are observed in various rodent models of human diseases (third column). Moreover, additional parameters and tests can be used to further delineate and confirm the underlying causes of abnormal sexual behavior (fourth column), for example, level of anxiety or anhedonia. Furthermore, analysis of these parameters may be useful for evaluation of the efficacy of therapeutic interventions in preclinical investigations.

The translational application of animal models should be exploited cautiously, as not all aspects of sexual behavior and health disorders are identical in rats and humans (Le Moëne and Ågmo, 2019). Additionally, rodent models usually comprise only selected aspects of the complex pathogenesis of neurological, cardiovascular, and metabolic diseases in humans (Zaragoza et al., 2011; Dawson et al., 2018; Lutz, 2018). Even though

REFERENCES

- Ågmo, A. (2011). On the intricate relationship between sexual motivation and arousal. *Horm. Behav.* 59, 681–688. doi: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2010.08.013
- Ågmo, A., Villalpando, A., Picker, Z., and Fernandez, H. (1995). Lesions of the medial prefrontal cortex and sexual behavior in the male rat. *Brain Res.* 696, 177–186. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(95)00852-h
- Asaba, A., Hattori, T., Mogi, K., and Kikusui, T. (2014). Sexual attractiveness of male chemicals and vocalizations in mice. *Front. Neurosci.* 8:231. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2014.00231
- Babaei-Balderlou, F., and Khazali, H. (2016). Effects of Ghrelin on sexual behavior and luteinizing hormone beta-subunit gene expression in male rats. J. Reprod. Infertil. 17, 88–96.
- Barfield, R. J., Auerbach, P., Geyer, L. A., and McIntosh, T. K. (1979). Ultrasonic vocalizations in rat sexual behavior. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 19, 469–480. doi: 10.1093/icb/19.2.469
- Barr, A. M., Fiorino, D. F., and Phillips, A. G. (1999). Effects of withdrawal from an escalating dose schedule of d-amphetamine on sexual behavior in the male rat. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 64, 597–604. doi: 10.1016/s0091-3057(99)00156-2

the sexual behavior of a male rat is not identical to that seen in humans, neurotransmitters, brain structures, and neuronal networks and the motivational, and consummatory aspects of the sexual behavior seem to be fundamentally similar (Larsson and Ahlenius, 1999; Pattij et al., 2005b; Chan et al., 2008; Georgiadis et al., 2012). Since the sexual behavior of a male rat is well defined in terms behavioral, anatomical, and neurochemical characteristics, investigation of sexual behavior in various rodent models of human diseases provides a translational framework for better recognition of the underlying mechanisms of the sexual dysfunction seen in numerous human health disorders and their potential treatment.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MB conceived the study, analyzed the literature, prepared the figure, wrote and revised the manuscript, and secured funding. WB-R analyzed the literature, prepared the table, and wrote the manuscript. JP analyzed the literature, reviewed the manuscript, and secured funding. TZ analyzed the literature, prepared the table, and wrote and revised the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Medical University of Warsaw. The study was carried out with the use of the CePT infrastructure financed by the European Union—the European Regional Development Fund within the Operational Programme "Innovative Economy" for 2007–2013.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Marcin Kumosa for technical assistance with preparing **Figure 1**. The first author personally thanks Dr. Józef Beck for introducing him to the subject of the sexual behavior of rats and Prof. Benjamin Sachs for a beautiful time during author's postdoctoral fellowship and many discussions on male rat sexual behavior.

- Barrot, M., Wallace, D. L., Bolanos, C. A., Graham, D. L., Perrotti, L. I., Neve, R. L., et al. (2005). Regulation of anxiety and initiation of sexual behavior by CREB in the nucleus accumbens. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 102, 8357–8362. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0500587102
- Beck, J. (1971). Instrumental conditioned reflexes with sexual reinforcement in rats. *Acta Neurobiol. Exp.* 31, 251–252.
- Beck, J. (1986). Effect of visual cues from female on the postejaculatory operant behavior of male rat. *Acta Physiol. Pol.* 37, 207–212.
- Beck, J., and Biały, M. (2000). The role of mounts and intromissions in triggering ejaculation in rats. *Acta Neurobiol. Exp.* 60, 29–33.
- Beck, J., Bialy, M., and Kostowski, W. (2002). Effects of D(1) receptor agonist SKF 38393 on male rat sexual behavior and postcopulatory departure in the goal compartment-runway paradigm. *Physiol. Behav.* 76, 91–97. doi: 10.1016/s0031-9384(02)00678-9
- Bialy, M., Beck, J., Abramczyk, P., Trzebski, A., and Przybylski, J. (1996). Sexual behavior in male rats after nitric oxide synthesis inhibition. *Physiol. Behav.* 60, 139–143. doi: 10.1016/0031-9384(95)02272-4
- Bialy, M., Bogacki-Rychlik, W., Kasarello, K., Nikolaev, E., and Sajdel-Sulkowska, E. M. (2016). Modulation of 22-khz postejaculatory vocalizations

by conditioning to new place: evidence for expression of a positive emotional state. *Behav. Neurosci.* 130, 415–421. doi: 10.1037/bne0000153

- Bialy, M., and Kaczmarek, L. (1996). c-Fos expression as a tool to search for the neurobiological base of the sexual behaviour of males. *Acta Neurobiol. Exp.* 56, 567–577.
- Bialy, M., Kalata, U., Nikolaev-Diak, A., and Nikolaev, E. (2010). D1 receptors involved in the acquisition of sexual experience in male rats. *Behav. Brain Res.* 206, 166–176. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2009.09.008
- Bialy, M., Nikolaev, E., Beck, J., and Kaczmarek, L. (1992). Delayed c-fos expression in sensory cortex following sexual learning in male rats. *Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res.* 14, 352–356. doi: 10.1016/0169-328x(92) 90103-i
- Bialy, M., Podobinska, M., Barski, J., Bogacki-Rychlik, W., and Sajdel-Sulkowska, E. M. (2019). Distinct classes of low frequency ultrasonic vocalizations in rats during sexual interactions relate to different emotional states. *Acta Neurobiol. Exp.* 79, 1–12. doi: 10.21307/ane-2019-001
- Bialy, M., Rydz, M., and Kaczmarek, L. (2000). Precontact 50-kHz vocalizations in male rats during acquisition of sexual experience. *Behav. Neurosci.* 114, 983–990. doi: 10.1037/0735-7044.114.5.983
- Bialy, M., Strefnel, M., Nikolaev-Diak, A., Socha, A., Nikolaev, E., and Boguszewski, P. M. (2014). Sexual performance and precontact 50-kHz ultrasonic vocalizations in WAG/Rij rats: effects of opioid receptor treatment. *Epilepsy Behav.* 39, 66–72. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2014.08.003
- Brotto, L. A., Knudson, G., Inskip, J., Rhodes, K., and Erskine, Y. (2010). Asexuality: a mixed-methods approach. Arch. Sex. Behav. 39, 599–618. doi: 10.1007/s10508-008-9434-x
- Brudzynski, S. M. (2007). Ultrasonic calls of rats as indicator variables of negative or positive states: acetylcholine-dopamine interaction and acoustic coding. *Behav. Brain Res.* 182, 261–273. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2007.03.004
- Cagiano, R., Barfield, R. J., White, N. R., Pleim, E. T., and Cuomo, V. (1989). Mediation of rat postejaculatory 22 kHz ultrasonic vocalization by dopamine D2 receptors. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 34, 53–58. doi: 10.1016/0091-3057(89)90352-3
- Camacho, F. J., Portillo, W., Quintero-Enriquez, O., and Paredes, R. G. (2009). Reward value of intromissions and morphine in male rats evaluated by conditioned place preference. *Physiol. Behav.* 98, 602–607. doi: 10.1016/j. physbeh.2009.09.012
- Chan, J. S. W., Olivier, B., de Jong, T. R., Snoeren, E. M. S., Kooijman, E., van Hasselt, F. N., et al. (2008). Translational research into sexual disorders: pharmacology and genomics. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 585, 426–435. doi: 10.1016/j. ejphar.2008.02.098
- Clément, P., Bernabé, J., Compagnie, S., Alexandre, L., McCallum, S., and Giuliano, F. (2013). Inhibition of ejaculation by the non-peptide oxytocin receptor antagonist GSK557296: a multi-level site of action. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 169, 1477–1485. doi: 10.1111/bph.12198
- Clément, P., Bernabé, J., Gengo, P., Denys, P., Laurin, M., Alexandre, L., et al. (2007). Supraspinal site of action for the inhibition of ejaculatory reflex by dapoxetine. *Eur. Urol.* 51, 825–832. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2006.10.011
- Clément, P., Laurin, M., Compagnie, S., Facchinetti, P., Bernabé, J., Alexandre, L., et al. (2012). Effect of dapoxetine on ejaculatory performance and related brain neuronal activity in rapid ejaculator rats. J. Sex. Med. 9, 2562–2573. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2012.02884.x
- Coolen, L. M., Olivier, B., Peters, H. J., and Veening, J. G. (1997). Demonstration of ejaculation-induced neural activity in the male rat brain using 5-HT1A agonist 8-OH-DPAT. *Physiol. Behav.* 62, 881–891. doi: 10.1016/s0031-9384(97) 00258-8
- Dawson, T. M., Golde, T. E., and Lagier-Tourenne, C. (2018). Animal models of neurodegenerative diseases. *Nat. Neurosci.* 21, 1370–1379. doi: 10.1038/s41593-018-0236-8
- de Jong, T. R., Pattij, T., Veening, J. G., Waldinger, M. D., Cools, A. R., and Olivier, B. (2005). Effects of chronic selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors on 8-OH-DPAT-induced facilitation of ejaculation in rats: comparison of fluvoxamine and paroxetine. *Psychopharmacology* 179, 509–515. doi: 10.1007/s00213-005-2186-6
- de Jonge, F. H., Oldenburger, W. P., Louwerse, A. L., and Van de Poll, N. E. (1992). Changes in male copulatory behavior after sexual exciting stimuli: effects of medial amygdala lesions. *Physiol. Behav.* 52, 327–332. doi: 10.1016/0031-9384(92)90279-b

- Dewsbury, D. A. (1969). Copulatory behaviour of rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) as a function of prior copulatory experience. *Anim. Behav.* 17, 217–223. doi: 10.1016/0003-3472(69)90004-9
- Dominguez, J. M., Brann, J. H., Gil, M., and Hull, E. M. (2006). Sexual experience increases nitric oxide synthase in the medial preoptic area of male rats. *Behav. Neurosci.* 120, 1389–1394. doi: 10.1037/0735-7044.120. 6.1389
- Everitt, B. J., Cador, M., and Robbins, T. W. (1989). Interactions between the amygdala and ventral striatum in stimulus-reward associations: studies using a second-order schedule of sexual reinforcement. *Neuroscience* 30, 63–75. doi: 10.1016/0306-4522(89)90353-9
- Faulkner, L. D., Dowling, A. R., Stuart, R. C., Nillni, E. A., and Hill, J. W. (2015). Reduced melanocortin production causes sexual dysfunction in male mice with POMC neuronal insulin and leptin insensitivity. *Endocrinology* 156, 1372–1385. doi: 10.1210/en.2014-1788
- Ferraz, M. M. D., Quintella, S. L., Parcial, A. L. N., and Ferraz, M. R. (2016). The effects of sildenafil after chronic L-NAME administration in male rat sexual behavior. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 146–147, 13–20. doi: 10.1016/j.pbb.2016. 04.004
- Fiorino, D. F., and Phillips, A. G. (1999). Facilitation of sexual behavior in male rats following d-amphetamine-induced behavioral sensitization. *Psychopharmacology Berl.* 142, 200–208. doi: 10.1007/s0021300 50880
- Georgiadis, J. R., Kringelbach, M. L., and Pfaus, J. G. (2012). Sex for fun: a synthesis of human and animal neurobiology. *Nat. Rev. Urol.* 9, 486–498. doi: 10.1038/nrurol.2012.151
- Gil, M., Bhatt, R., Picotte, K. B., and Hull, E. M. (2013). Sexual experience increases oxytocin receptor gene expression and protein in the medial preoptic area of the male rat. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 38, 1688–1697. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen. 2013.02.002
- Giuliano, F., and Clément, P. (2006). Serotonin and premature ejaculation: from physiology to patient management. *Eur. Urol.* 50, 454–466. doi: 10.1016/j. eururo.2006.05.055
- Giuliano, F., and Rampin, O. (2004). Neural control of erection. *Physiol. Behav.* 83, 189–201. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2004.08.014
- Grønli, J., Murison, R., Fiske, E., Bjorvatn, B., Sørensen, E., Portas, C. M., et al. (2005). Effects of chronic mild stress on sexual behavior, locomotor activity and consumption of sucrose and saccharine solutions. *Physiol. Behav.* 84, 571–577. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2005.02.007
- Hamed, A., Daszczuk, P., Kursa, M. B., Turzynska, D., Sobolewska, A., Lehner, M., et al. (2016). Non-parametric analysis of neurochemical effects and Arc expression in amphetamine-induced 50-kHz ultrasonic vocalization. *Behav. Brain Res.* 312, 174–185. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2016.05.042
- Hansen, S., Larsson, K., Carlsson, S. G., and Sourander, P. (1978). The development of sexual behavior in the rat: role of preadult nutrition and environmental conditions. *Dev. Psychobiol.* 11, 51–61. doi: 10.1002/dev. 420110109
- Harris, V. S., and Sachs, B. D. (1975). Copulatory behavior in male rats following amygdaloid lesions. *Brain Res.* 86, 514–518. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(75) 90906-3
- Hawley, W. R., Grissom, E. M., Belkin, M. N., James, T. F., and Dohanich, G. P. (2013). Decreased sexual motivation and heightened anxiety in male long-evans rats are correlated with the memory for a traumatic event. Arch. Sex. Behav. 42, 659–668. doi: 10.1007/s10508-012-0017-5
- Hernández, A., and Fernández-Guasti, A. (2018). Male rats with same-sex preference show higher immobility in the forced swim test, but similar effects of fluoxetine and desipramine than males that prefer females. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 171, 39–45. doi: 10.1016/j.pbb.2018.05.017
- Hill, J. W., and Elias, C. F. (2018). Neuroanatomical framework of the metabolic control of reproduction. *Physiol. Rev.* 98, 2349–2380. doi: 10.1152/physrev. 00033.2017
- Holy, T. E., and Guo, Z. (2005). Ultrasonic songs of male mice. *PLoS Biol.* 3:e386. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0030386
- Hueletl-Soto, M. E., Carro-Juarez, M., and Rodriguez-Manzo, G. (2012).
 Fluoxetine chronic treatment inhibits male rat sexual behavior by affecting both copulatory behavior and the genital motor pattern of ejaculation. *J. Sex. Med.* 9, 1015–1026. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2011.02339.x

- Hull, E. M., Lumley, L. A., Matuszewich, L., Dominguez, J., Moses, J., and Lorrain, D. S. (1994). The roles of nitric oxide in sexual function of male rats. *Neuropharmacology* 33, 1499–1504. doi: 10.1016/0028-3908(94)
- 90054-x Hull, E. M., and Rodríguez-Manzo, G. (2017). "1.01-male sexual behavior," in *Hormones, Brain and Behavior*, 3rd Edn., eds D. W. Pfaff and M. Joëls (Oxford: Academic Press), 1–57.
- Kang, K. K., Ahn, G. J., Sung, J. H., Kim, S. H., Kim, H., and Lee, S. (2013). Ejaculatory responses are inhibited by a new chemical entity, DA-8031, in preclinical rodent models of ejaculation. Urology 81, 920.e13–928.e13. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2012.12.006
- Kang, K. K., Sung, J. H., Kim, S. H., and Lee, S. (2014). Effect of DA-8031, a novel oral compound for premature ejaculation, on male rat sexual behavior. *Int. J. Urol.* 21, 325–329. doi: 10.1111/iju.12256
- Larsson, K. (1956). Conditioning and Sexual Behavior in the Male Albino Rat. Oxford, England: Almqvist and Wiksell.
- Larsson, K. (1959). Experience and maturation in the development of sexual behavior in male puberty rat. *Behaviour* 14, 101–107. doi: 10.1163/156853959x00027
- Larsson, K., and Ahlenius, S. (1999). Brain and sexual behavior. *Ann. N Y Acad. Sci.* 19, 292–308. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1999.tb09274.x
- Le Moëne, O., and Ågmo, A. (2019). Modeling human sexual motivation in rodents: some caveats. Front. Behav. Neurosci. 13:187. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2019. 00187
- Liu, Y. C., Salamone, J. D., and Sachs, B. D. (1997). Lesions in medial preoptic area and bed nucleus of stria terminalis: differential effects on copulatory behavior and noncontact erection in male rats. *J. Neurosci.* 17, 5245–5253. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.17-13-05245.1997
- Lutz, T. A. (2018). Considering our methods: methodological issues with rodent models of appetite and obesity research. *Physiol. Behav.* 192, 182–187. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2018.02.026
- McHenry, J. A., Bell, G. A., Parrish, B. P., and Hull, E. M. (2012). Dopamine D1 receptors and phosphorylation of dopamine- and cyclic AMP-regulated phosphoprotein-32 in the medial preoptic area are involved in experienceinduced enhancement of male sexual behavior in rats. *Behav. Neurosci.* 126, 523–529. doi: 10.1037/a0028707
- McVary, K. T., Rathnau, C. H., and McKenna, K. E. (1997). Sexual dysfunction in the diabetic BB/WOR rat: a role of central neuropathy. *Am. J. Physiol.* 272, R259–R267. doi: 10.1152/ajpregu.1997.272.1.R259
- Mendelson, S. D., and Gorzalka, B. B. (1987). An improved chamber for the observation and analysis of the sexual behavior of the female rat. *Physiol. Behav.* 39, 67–71. doi: 10.1016/0031-9384(87)90345-3
- Mendelson, S. D., and Pfaus, J. G. (1989). Level searching: a new assay of sexual motivation in the male rat. *Physiol. Behav.* 45, 337–341. doi: 10.1016/0031-9384(89)90136-4
- Miwa, Y., Nagase, K., Oyama, N., Akino, H., and Yokoyama, O. (2011). Effect of corticotropin-releasing factor receptor antagonist on psychologically suppressed masculine sexual behavior in rats. J. Sex. Med. 8, 688–695. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2010.02055.x
- Molenda-Figueira, H. A., Bell, M. R., De Lorme, K. C., and Sisk, C. L. (2017). Pubertal pair-housing facilitates adult sexual behavior in male rats. *Dev. Psychobiol.* 59, 111–117. doi: 10.1002/dev.21475
- Mulvihill, K. G., and Brudzynski, S. M. (2018). Individual behavioural predictors of amphetamine-induced emission of 50 kHz vocalization in rats. *Behav. Brain Res.* 350, 80–86. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2018.05.009
- Novati, A., Yu-Taeger, L., Gonzalez Menendez, I., Quintanilla Martinez, L., and Nguyen, H. P. (2018). Sexual behavior and testis morphology in the BACHD rat model. *PLoS One* 13:e0198338. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0198338
- Nutsch, V. L., Will, R. G., Robison, C. L., Martz, J. R., Tobiansky, D. J., and Dominguez, J. M. (2016). Colocalization of mating-induced fos and d2-like dopamine receptors in the medial preoptic area: influence of sexual experience. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 10:75. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2016.00075
- Olayo-Lortia, J., Ferreira-Nuño, A., Velázquez-Moctezuma, J., and Morales-Otal, A. (2014). Further definition on the multiple partner choice arena: a potential animal model for the study of premature ejaculation. *J. Sex. Med.* 11, 2428–2438. doi: 10.1111/jsm.12637
- Oosting, R. S., Chan, J. S. W., Olivier, B., and Banerjee, P. (2016). Vilazodone does not inhibit sexual behavior in male rats in contrast to paroxetine: a

role for 5-HT1A receptors? *Neuropharmacology* 107, 271–277. doi: 10.1016/j. neuropharm.2016.03.045

- Pattij, T., de Jong, T. R., Uitterdijk, A., Waldinger, M. D., Veening, J. G., Cools, A. R., et al. (2005a). Individual differences in male rat ejaculatory behaviour: searching for models to study ejaculation disorders. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 22, 724–734. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2005.04252.x
- Pattij, T., Olivier, B., and Waldinger, M. D. (2005b). Animal models of ejaculatory behavior. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 11, 4069–4077. doi: 10.2174/138161205774913363
- Pfaff, D. W. (2017). *How the Vertebrate Brain Regulates Behavior: Direct From the Lab.* Cambridge, MA and London, England: Harvard University Press.
- Pfaus, J. G., Erickson, K. A., and Talianakis, S. (2013). Somatosensory conditioning of sexual arousal and copulatory behavior in the male rat: a model of fetish development. *Physiol. Behav.* 122, 1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2013. 08.005
- Pfaus, J. G., Kippin, T. E., and Centeno, S. (2001). Conditioning and sexual behavior: a review. *Horm. Behav.* 40, 291–321. doi: 10.1006/hbeh. 2001.1686
- Pfaus, J. G., Mendelson, S. D., and Phillips, A. G. (1990). A correlational and factor analysis of anticipatory and consummatory measures of sexual behavior in the male rat. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 15, 329–340. doi: 10.1016/0306-4530(90)90058-h
- Pfaus, J. G., and Phillips, A. G. (1991). Role of dopamine in anticipatory and consummatory aspects of sexual behavior in the male rat. *Behav. Neurosci.* 105, 727–743. doi: 10.1037/0735-7044.105.5.727
- Pfaus, J. G., and Wilkins, M. F. (1995). A novel environment disrupts copulation in sexually naive but not experienced male rats: reversal with naloxone. *Physiol. Behav.* 57, 1045–1049. doi: 10.1016/0031-9384(94)00394-k
- Portillo, W., and Paredes, R. G. (2019). Motivational drive in non-copulating and socially Monogamous Mammals. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 13:238. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2019.00238
- Pultorak, J. D., Kelm-Nelson, C. A., Holt, L. R., Blue, K. V., Ciucci, M. R., and Johnson, A. M. (2016). Decreased approach behavior and nucleus accumbens immediate early gene expression in response to Parkinsonian ultrasonic vocalizations in rats. *Soc. Neurosci.* 11, 365–379. doi: 10.1080/17470919.2015. 1086434
- Ramírez-Rodríguez, R., Tecamachaltzi-Silvaran, M. B., Díaz-Estrada, V. X., Chena-Becerra, F., Herrera-Covarrubias, D., Paredes-Ramos, P., et al. (2017). Heterosexual experience prevents the development of conditioned same-sex partner preference in male rats. *Behav. Processes* 136, 43–49. doi: 10.1016/j. beproc.2017.01.010
- Sachs, B. D. (1978). "Conceptual and neural mechanisms of masculine copulatory behavior," in *Sex and Behavior: Status and Prospectus*, eds T. E. McGill, D. A. Dewsbury and B. D. Sachs (Boston, MA: Springer), 267–295.
- Sachs, B. D. (2000). Contextual approaches to the physiology and classification of erectile function, erectile dysfunction and sexual arousal. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 24, 541–560. doi: 10.1016/s0149-7634(00)00022-1
- Sachs, B. D., and Barfield, R. J. (1974). Copulatory behavior of male rats given intermittent electric shocks: theoretical implications. J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol. 86, 607–615. doi: 10.1037/h0036176
- Sachs, B. D., and Barfield, R. J. (1976). "Functional analysis of masculine copulatory behavior in the rat," in *Advances in the Study of Behavior*, eds J. S. Rosenblatt, R. A. Hinde and E. Shaw (New York: Academic Press), 91–154.
- Sachs, B. D., and Bialy, M. (2000). Female presence during postejaculatory interval facilitates penile erection and 22-kHz vocalization in male rats. *Behav. Neurosci.* 114, 1203–1208. doi: 10.1037/0735-7044.114.6.1203
- Sadeghzadeh, M., Shirpoor, A., Khalaji, N., Naderi, R., Samadi, M., and Rasmi, Y. (2018). The effect of chronic ethanol consumption on sexual motivation and behavior of adult male wistar rats in the copulatory phase. *Addict. Health* 10, 190–197. doi: 10.22122/ahj.v10i3.577
- Sanna, F., Bratzu, J., Piludu, M. A., Corda, M. G., Melis, M. R., Giorgi, O., et al. (2017). Dopamine, noradrenaline and differences in sexual behavior between roman high and low avoidance male rats: a microdialysis study in the medial prefrontal cortex. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 11:108. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2017. 00108
- Sanna, F., Contini, A., Melis, M. R., and Argiolas, A. (2015). Role of dopamine D4 receptors in copulatory behavior: studies with selective D4 agonists and antagonists in male rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 137, 110–118. doi: 10.1016/j.pbb.2015.08.012

- Sanna, F., Corda, M. G., Melis, M. R., Piludu, M. A., Giorgi, O., and Argiolas, A. (2014). Male roman high and low avoidance rats show different patterns of copulatory behaviour: comparison with sprague dawley rats. *Physiol. Behav.* 127, 27–36. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2014.01.002
- Schober, J., Weil, Z., and Pfaff, D. (2011). How generalized CNS arousal strengthens sexual arousal (and vice versa). *Horm. Behav.* 59, 689–695. doi: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2010.10.005
- Seizert, C. A. (2018). The neurobiology of the male sexual refractory period. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 92, 350–377. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018. 06.011
- Snoeren, E. M. S., Veening, J. G., Olivier, B., and Oosting, R. S. (2014). Serotonin 1A receptors and sexual behavior in male rats: a review. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 121, 102–114. doi: 10.1016/j.pbb.2013.11.007
- Stefanick, M. L., and Davidson, J. M. (1987). Genital responses in noncopulators and rats with lesions in the medical preoptic area or midthoracic spinal cord. *Physiol. Behav.* 41, 439–444. doi: 10.1016/0031-9384(87) 90078-3
- Swaney, W. T., Dubose, B. N., Curley, J. P., and Champagne, F. A. (2012). Sexual experience affects reproductive behavior and preoptic androgen receptors in male mice. *Horm. Behav.* 61, 472–478. doi: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2012. 01.001
- Tenk, C. M., Wilson, H., Zhang, Q., Pitchers, K. K., and Coolen, L. M. (2009). Sexual reward in male rats: effects of sexual experience on conditioned place preferences associated with ejaculation and intromissions. *Horm. Behav.* 55, 93–97. doi: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2008.08.012
- Valcourt, R. J., and Sachs, B. D. (1979). Penile reflexes and copulatory behavior in male rats following lesions in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis. *Brain Res. Bull.* 4, 131–133. doi: 10.1016/0361-9230(79)90068-6

- Van Furth, W. R., Wolterink-Donselaar, I. G., and van Ree, J. M. (1994). Endogenous opioids are differentially involved in appetitive and consummatory aspects of sexual behavior of male rats. Am. J. Physiol. 266, 606–613. doi: 10.1152/ajpregu.1994.266.2.R606
- Waldinger, M. D., and Olivier, B. (2005). Animal models of premature and retarded ejaculation. *World J. Urol.* 23, 115–118. doi: 10.1007/s00345-004-0493-x
- Will, R. G., Hull, E. M., and Dominguez, J. M. (2014). Influences of dopamine and glutamate in the medial preoptic area on male sexual behavior. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 121, 115–123. doi: 10.1016/j.pbb.2014.02.005
- Wöhr, M., and Schwarting, R. K. W. (2007). Ultrasonic communication in rats: can playback of 50-kHz calls induce approach behavior? *PLoS One* 2:e1365. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0001365
- Zaragoza, C., Gomez-Guerrero, C., Martin-Ventura, J. L., Blanco-Colio, L., Lavin, B., Mallavia, B., et al. (2011). Animal models of cardiovascular diseases. *J. Biomed. Biotechnol.* 2011:497841. doi: 10.1155/2011/497841

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial srelationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Bialy, Bogacki-Rychlik, Przybylski and Zera. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.