



Inhibition of Catechol-O-methyltransferase Does Not Alter Effort-Related Choice Behavior in a Fixed Ratio/Concurrent Chow Task in Male Mice

Adrienne C. DeBrosse¹, Abigail M. Wheeler², James C. Barrow^{1,2} and Gregory V. Carr^{1,2*}

¹Lieber Institute for Brain Development, Johns Hopkins University Medical Campus, Baltimore, MD, United States, ²Department of Pharmacology and Molecular Sciences, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Jonathan L. Brigman, University of New Mexico School of Medicine, United States

Reviewed by:

Anna K. Radke, Miami University, United States Molly Kwiatkowski, University of California, San Diego, United States

> *Correspondence: Gregory V. Carr greg.carr@libd.org

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Motivation and Reward, a section of the journal Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience

> Received: 07 February 2020 Accepted: 21 April 2020 Published: 21 May 2020

Citation:

DeBrosse AC, Wheeler AM, Barrow JC and Carr GV (2020) Inhibition of Catechol-O-methyltransferase Does Not Alter Effort-Related Choice Behavior in a Fixed Ratio/Concurrent Chow Task in Male Mice. Front. Behav. Neurosci. 14:73. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2020.00073 Effort-related choice (ERC) tasks allow animals to choose between high-value reinforcers that require high effort to obtain and low-value/low-effort reinforcers. Dopaminergic neuromodulation regulates ERC behavior. The enzyme catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) metabolizes synaptically-released dopamine. COMT is the predominant regulator of dopamine turnover in regions of the brain with low levels of dopamine transporters (DATs), including the prefrontal cortex (PFC). Here, we evaluated the effects of the COMT inhibitor tolcapone on ERC performance in a touchscreen-based fixedratio/concurrent chow task in male mice. In this task, mice were given the choice between engaging in a fixed number of instrumental responses to acquire a strawberry milk reward and consuming standard lab chow concurrently available on the chamber floor. We found no significant effects of tolcapone treatment on either strawberry milk earned or chow consumed compared to vehicle treatment. In contrast, we found that haloperidol decreased instrumental responding for strawberry milk and increased chow consumption as seen in previously published studies. These data suggest that COMT inhibition does not significantly affect effort-related decision making in a fixedratio/concurrent chow task in male mice.

Keywords: dopamine, tolcapone, catechol-O-methyltransferase, motivation, touchscreen, prefrontal cortex

INTRODUCTION

Humans and other mammals interact with complex stimuli in their environments and make decisions to maximize economic value and improve survival odds. Economic value is defined as the benefit provided by a good or service relative to the amount of currency required to procure it (Samuelson, 1947). A common type of decision is one where a high-value item and a low-value item of similar quality are both available. The choice is easy when the amount of effort (currency) required to procure both items is the same. Conversely, when the high-value option requires more work to attain, the calculation becomes more complicated because effort-based discounting of the high-value option determines the choice (Walton et al., 2006; Botvinick et al., 2009).

Abbreviations: ACC, anterior cingulate cortex; COMT, catechol-*O*-methyltransferase; DAT, dopamine transporter; EEfRT, Effort Expenditure for Rewards Task; ERC, effort-related choice; FR, fixed ratio; ip, intraperitoneal; NAc, nucleus accumbens; PFC, prefrontal cortex; PR, progressive ratio; VMAT, vesicular monoamine transporter.

The capacity to make effort-based decisions is disrupted in many psychiatric and neurological disorders, including depression and schizophrenia (Treadway et al., 2012; Fervaha et al., 2013; Gold et al., 2013; Barch et al., 2014). In the case of schizophrenia, the cognitive effort allocated to gaining a reward drops off more steeply than in healthy individuals and is correlated with overall negative symptomatology (Culbreth et al., 2016). These data suggest that an increased understanding of how effort-based decisions are made would potentially improve treatment options for psychiatric disorders.

There are several paradigms for assessing effort-based decision-making in humans and animal models, including the human Effort Expenditure for Rewards Task (EEfRT), rodent T-maze with barriers, and rodent operant concurrent choice tasks (Cousins et al., 1994; Botvinick et al., 2009; Mott et al., 2009; Treadway et al., 2012; Enomoto et al., 2018). Recently, rodent touchscreen-based versions of operant concurrent choice effort-related choice (ERC) tasks have been developed (Heath et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2020).

The dopaminergic system has long been recognized as a critical regulator of ERC performance from studies that utilized systemic manipulations. The D2 antagonist haloperidol biases behavior toward the low-effort low-value option across multiple versions of ERC tasks (Salamone et al., 1991, 1994; Randall et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2020). Similarly, the D1 receptor antagonist ecopipam decreases the choice of the high-effort arm of a T-maze and decreases lever pressing in a fixed ratio (FR)/concurrent chow paradigm (Yohn et al., 2015a). Modulation of dopamine transporter (DAT) activity also affects ERC performance. DAT knockdown mice demonstrate an increased preference for the high-effort high-value reward in an FR/concurrent chow task (Cagniard et al., 2006). Pharmacological treatment with DAT inhibitors also biases rats toward the high-effort reward in a progressive ratio (PR)/concurrent chow task (Sommer et al., 2014).

Experiments targeting the nucleus accumbens (NAc) have demonstrated a particularly strong involvement of the mesolimbic dopaminergic system in ERC behavior. Dopamine depletion in the NAc biases responding toward the low-effort low-reward option (Salamone et al., 1994; Cousins et al., 1996). Local injections of both the D1 antagonist SCH 23390 and the D2 antagonist raclopride into the NAc shell and core decrease lever pressing in rats during an FR/concurrent chow task (Nowend et al., 2001). Direct injections of haloperidol into the NAc likewise decrease lever pressing on an FR5/concurrent chow task (Salamone et al., 1991).

The relationship between NAc dopamine and ERC performance is bidirectional as increased dopaminergic signaling biases responding toward the high-effort high-reward options. Overexpression of the D2 receptor in the NAc increases lever pressing on an ERC task (Trifilieff et al., 2013). Individual rats that respond more highly at baseline during a PR/concurrent chow lever-pressing task show higher levels of D1 receptor activation, measured as changes in DARPP-32 phosphorylation, in the NAc core (Randall et al., 2012). Moreover, in human fMRI studies, the NAc was activated during an effort discounting

task in direct relation to the amount of reward that was cued (Botvinick et al., 2009).

The role of DA in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) in ERC performance is less clear. Rodent lesion and human fMRI studies implicate the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) in regulating ERC performance. Specifically, ACC lesions bias responding toward the low-effort low-value options (Walton et al., 2002, 2003) and the ACC is activated in fMRI studies during effort-based decision-making (Botvinick et al., 2009; Klein-Flügge et al., 2016). Local infusion of the D1 antagonist SCH23390 into the ACC reduces the preference for the high-effort high-value reward in rats (Schweimer and Hauber, 2006). However, the effects of catecholamine depletion (6-hydroxydopamine lesions) in the ACC are ambiguous as one study found that depletion reduces the preference for the high-effort high-value option (Schweimer et al., 2005) while a separate study with more targeted DA depletion, by protecting noradrenergic terminals with desipramine pretreatment, showed no effect of the lesions (Walton et al., 2005).

To our knowledge, there have not been any studies investigating the effects of augmenting PFC dopaminergic activity on ERC performance. The enzyme catechol-Omethyltransferase (COMT) is an important regulator of cortical dopaminergic function due to its role in the degradation of synaptically-released dopamine (Männistö and Kaakkola, 1999). Acute inhibition of COMT leads to a decrease in dopamine turnover in regions of the brain with low DAT expression, including the PFC (Yavich et al., 2007; Käenmäki et al., 2010). COMT also plays a significant role in the intertemporal choice and delay discounting (Boettiger et al., 2007; Kayser et al., 2012), but it has not been investigated in an ERC task as monotherapy in humans or animal models.

Touchscreen-based rodent tasks have become staples of behavioral neuroscience (Bussey et al., 1997; Brigman et al., 2008; Hvoslef-Eide et al., 2015). Assays of reward-seeking and motivation have been developed alongside tasks that measure other cognitive processes (Heath et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2020). Our group has begun to use touchscreen-based tasks extensively in drug discovery efforts and drug-induced changes in reward-seeking and motivation are important to consider when interpreting behavioral changes in tasks designed to assay other cognitive domains. Here, we tested the effects of the COMT inhibitor tolcapone in a touchscreen-based ERC task.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice

Eight-week-old male C57BL/6J mice (The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, USA) were used in all experiments. The mice were housed in disposable polycarbonate caging (Innovive, San Diego, CA, USA) in groups of four. The mice were maintained on a 12/12 light/dark cycle (lights on at 06:00 h). Water was available in the home cage *ad libitum* throughout all experiments. The mice were fed Teklad Irradiated Global 16% Protein Rodent Diet (#2916; Envigo, Indianapolis, IN, USA) in the home cage *ad libitum* until the start of the food restriction protocol. Two separate cohorts of mice (Cohort A, n = 8; Cohort B, n = 8) were

tested in these experiments and all testing was done during the light phase (12:00-16:00 h). All experiments and procedures were approved by the SoBran, Inc., Fairfax, VA, USA Rangos Animal Care and Use Committee and following the *Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals*.

Drugs

Tolcapone was synthesized in-house. It was suspended in a vehicle (0.1% Tween[®] 80, 0.1% XIAMETERTM AFE-1510 silicone antifoam, 1% methylcellulose in water). We dosed tolcapone at 3, 10, and 30 mg/kg. The 30 mg/kg dose has been shown to modulate behavior in both mice and rats in multiple assays (Tunbridge et al., 2004; Lapish et al., 2009; Detrait et al., 2016). Additionally, the exposure levels at 30 mg/kg dose produce over 90% inhibition of COMT activity in rats *in vivo* (Napolitano et al., 2003). Haloperidol was purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (St.Louis, MO, USA). It was dissolved in 1% DMSO in a citrate phosphate buffer (pH 5). Both drugs were administered by intraperitoneal (ip) injection 1 h before the start of behavioral testing. Administration volumes were 10 ml/kg.

Food Restriction Protocol

Upon arrival at the animal facility, mice were given at least 72 h to acclimate to the colony room before handling by experimenters. Mice were handled and weighed daily from that point forward. After at least 2 days of handling, mice were food restricted to 3 g of chow per mouse per day to maintain 85-90% of their predicted free-feeding weight based on average growth curve data for the strain (The Jackson Laboratory). The daily allowance was in addition to the amount each mouse consumed during ERC sessions. Mice were removed from the standard group housing setup due to aggression or significant deviations from the expected body weight during food restriction. In Cohort A, we split one mouse that was under 85% of the predicted average body weight and two mice that were >90% predicted average body weight while their cage mates were in range. In Cohort B, two mice were split and singly housed due to aggression. To familiarize the mice with the Nesquik® strawberry milk (Nestlé, Vevey, Switzerland) reward used in the ERC task, we introduced the milk to the home cage on 4×4 inch weighing paper (VWR, Radnor, PA, USA). The weighing paper was left in the cage until all mice had sampled the strawberry milk. This procedure was repeated for a total of 2 days.

Operant Chamber Habituation and Initial Touch Training

We adapted the ERC training and task parameters (**Table 1**) described by Heath et al. (2015). Our training and test schedules were programmed in the Animal Behavior Environment Test System (ABET II; Lafayette Instrument, Lafayette, IN, USA) and run in four Bussey-Saksida Mouse Touch Screen Chambers (Model 80614E, Lafayette Instrument, Lafayette, IN, USA). All training and testing were conducted 5 days per week (Monday–Friday). Mice were habituated to the chambers during two consecutive 20-min sessions in which they were presented with 200 μ l of strawberry milk in the reward tray. Mice passed the Habituation stage when they had consumed all of the milk in the

tray. After reaching criterion, mice advanced to the Initial Touch stage. During Initial Touch training, mice began to learn the cues of a trial, including a lit tray light when strawberry milk was dispensed into the tray after the expiration of a 30-s trial timer. Mice could earn more reward by making a nose poke response at the center square in a 5-mask array on the touchscreen (30 μ l of strawberry milk for touching while omissions resulted in 10 μ l of the reward). For Initial Touch training, a passing criterion was set at 30 completed trials within the 60-min session.

Fixed Ratio Training

During FR training, mice were required to nose poke the illuminated center square of the 5-mask array to receive a reward (10 µl). Each session had an assigned response requirement or number of touches to the center square that was needed to earn each reward. For example, during an FR2 schedule, a mouse would receive one reward after two responses at the center square. Response requirements remained constant within a session and increased as mice passed through training. We trained the mice on response requirements of one (FR1), two (FR2), three (FR3), and five (FR5) responses. The criterion was set at 30 completed trials within a 30-min session. Mice were required to reach criterion on one FR1, one FR2, one FR3, and three consecutive FR5 sessions to advance on to the ERC task. In cases where mice reached the FR5 criterion on days other than Friday, we maintained mice on the FR5 schedule until Monday of the following week to avoid confounding schedule and day of the week in the next phase of the experiment.

The Effort-Related Choice Task

The ERC task utilized FR schedules with the addition of a preweighed \sim 3 g pellet of standard laboratory chow available on the floor of the touchscreen chamber. Before the mouse was put into the chamber, the chow was placed between the front and back infrared beams. To counterbalance starting chow position across chambers, chow was placed next to either the back or front wall on the right or left side of the chamber. Mice had the choice of responding at the touchscreen to earn strawberry milk rewards based on the specific FR schedule or consume the freely available chow. Each session was 30 min in duration. After each session, chow crumbs were swept down into the catch tray beneath the chamber and weighed together with the remaining chow to determine how much was consumed during the session. We continued to feed mice 3 g chow/mouse in the home cage following the experiment, as well, to keep them in the 85-90% predicted free-feeding body weight range.

The mice in these experiments were split into two cohorts (A and B) that were tested on separate protocols to answer specific experimental questions.

Cohort A

During the first phase of ERC testing, the mice were tested on FR schedules with increasing response requirements over 5 days (FR1, FR2, FR4, FR8, and FR16). The same schedules were presented during the next week but in reverse order (FR16, FR8, FR4, FR2, and FR1). Next, we tested the effect of tolcapone on choice at three doses (3, 10, and 30 mg/kg) using

TABLE 1 | Order of training and testing phases.

Phase	Session duration	Criterion		
Handling & food restriction	-	Mouse samples the strawberry milk on weight paper		
Operant chamber habituation	20 min	Mouse eats all 200 μ l strawberry milk from the tray		
Initial touch training	60 min	Mouse advances through 30 trials		
Fixed ratio training	30 min	Mouse completes one 30 trial session of the FR1, FR2, FR3 each and		
		three consecutive 30 trial sessions of the FR5 schedule		
Baseline ERC (FR1, FR2, FR4, FR8, FR16)	30 min	Two sessions on each reinforcement schedule (Cohort A only)		
ERC with drug treatment (FR4)	30 min	No passing criterion, fixed schedules		

Cohort A completed all phases in order while Cohort B did not do the Baseline ERC phase.

TABLE 2 Days to criterion.									
Cohort	Habituation	Initial operant	FR1	FR2	FR3	FR5			
A	2.0 ± 0	1.0 ± 0	2.2 ± 0.25	1.2 ± 0.25	1.0 ± 0	5.5 ± 0.78			
В	2.5 ± 0.27	$1.6 \pm 0.18^{*}$	$4.0 \pm 0.46^{**}$	1.0 ± 0	1.8 ± 0.37	6.9 ± 1.5			

*p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01 compared to Cohort A. n = 8/cohort.

TABLE 3 Food consumption during ERC curve s	setting.
---	----------

Response ratio	Consumption					Paired t-test results for Session 2–Session 1 (df = 7)				
	Session 1		Session 2		Milk		Chow			
	Milk (μl)	Chow (mg)	Milk (μl)	Chow (mg)	t	p-value	t	p-value		
FR1	1,740 ± 97.98	66.68 ± 13.54	$1,915 \pm 148.2$	73.23 ± 15.09	1.072	0.3193	0.4254	0.6833		
FR2	$1,493 \pm 153.9$	60.19 ± 9.631	$1,463 \pm 193.4$	162.9 ± 46.34	0.1851	0.8584	2.086	0.0754		
FR4	972.5 ± 143.6	85.99 ± 17.40	$1,023 \pm 148.4$	164.9 ± 40.81	0.7372	0.485	1.844	0.1077		
FR8	448.0 ± 65.22	131.6 ± 34.81	392.5 ± 53.44	176.2 ± 44.62	0.9751	0.3620	1.093	0.3106		
FR16	142.5 ± 14.36	183.7 ± 39.83	122.5 ± 17.90	178.1 ± 39.31	0.8584	0.4191	0.1128	0.9134		

the FR4 schedule over the following 3 weeks. We interleaved drug/vehicle dosing days (Tuesday/Thursday) with no-injection baseline days (Monday/Wednesday/Friday). We also tested haloperidol (0.1 mg/kg) as a comparator to validate our protocol.

Cohort B

This cohort proceeded directly from training to the drug treatment phase. The drug treatment phase was identical to Cohort A.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted in GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). We used t-tests to analyze the habituation and training data. Welch's t-tests were used for the Habituation, Initial Operant, FR2, and FR3 stages due to unequal variances between the groups. The baseline ERC and ERC-tolcapone data were analyzed using repeated measures one-way ANOVAs with Geisser-Greenhouse corrections. Tukey's tests were used for post hoc analyses. We utilized paired *t*-tests to analyze the haloperidol data. In analyses where performance following drug or vehicle treatment was compared to baseline performance, the baseline was calculated by averaging the performance from the three baseline testing days during the same week as the drug/vehicle session. During the drug treatment phase, we found no differences in performance between Cohort A and Cohort B, so we combined them for the statistical analyses of the effects of tolcapone and haloperidol. One mouse was removed from the analysis of the tolcapone experiment because it did not receive the 30 mg/kg dose due to experimenter error. The statistical significance threshold was set at p < 0.05. Data are presented as the mean \pm SEM.

RESULTS

Training

All mice progressed through training and advanced to the ERC task. Data for the training stages are shown in **Table 2**. There was no difference in the number of sessions to reach criterion during the Habituation stage ($t_{(7)} = 1.871$, p = 0.1036), however, Cohort B required more total sessions to pass the Initial Operant ($t_{(7)} = 3.416$, p = 0.0112) and the FR1 ($t_{(14)} = 3.326$, p = 0.0050) stages. These group differences did not persist beyond these early training stages as there were no differences in the sessions required to reach criterion in the FR2 ($t_{(7)} = 1.000$, p = 0.3506), FR3 ($t_{(7)} = 2.049$, p = 0.0796), or FR5 ($t_{(14)} = 0.8215$, p = 0.4251) stages.

Baseline ERC Performance

Cohort A was first tested on an ERC task where the ratio requirement to earn the strawberry milk reward was varied across sessions. Mice had two sessions each with five different FR response requirements (FR1, FR2, FR4, FR8, and FR16) that we presented in ascending order (first week) and descending order (second week). There were no differences in strawberry milk or chow consumption between the first session (ascending) and the second session (descending) for



any of the response requirements (**Table 3**). For follow-up analyses, we averaged the two sessions on each response requirement for each mouse. As expected, the number of rewards earned, expressed as strawberry milk consumed, decreased as the ratio requirement increased ($F_{(4,28)} = 108.7$, p < 0.0001). The mean value of milk consumed at each ratio requirement was significantly different from all of the other ratios tested (**Figure 1**). Also, as the work requirement for the strawberry milk increased, consumption of the freely available chow increased ($F_{(4,28)} = 4.380$, p = 0.0071; **Figure 1**). *Post hoc* comparisons showed that the amount of chow consumed during FR1 sessions was significantly lower than the amount consumed on FR8 (p = 0.0465) and FR16 (p = 0.0047) sessions.

Effects of Tolcapone on ERC Performance

For the drug treatment stage of testing, we chose to use the FR4 schedule for all sessions. Based on the data collected in the first experiment, the FR4 schedule was predicted to produce a baseline level of performance that would allow us to measure significant increases or decreases in both milk and chow consumption.

Vehicle, tolcapone (3, 10, 30 mg/kg), and haloperidol (0.1 mg/kg) were administered over the course of 3 weeks. We conducted three baseline (no drug or vehicle) ERC sessions each week. We found that the weekly average of strawberry milk consumption increased over the 3 weeks ($F_{(1.964,27.49)} = 12.49$, p < 0.0001; Figure 2). In contrast, chow consumption did not change over time ($F_{(1.413,19.78)} = 2.253$, p = 0.1427; Figure 2). To determine if there were fluctuations in baseline consumption from session-to-session, we also compared across the three sessions within each week and these data are presented in Table 4. We found there were no differences within any of the weeks on strawberry milk



FIGURE 2 | Average consumption during baseline sessions during the drug treatment stage. Strawberry milk consumed is plotted on the left axis and chow consumed is plotted on the right axis. Each mouse had three baseline sessions (Monday, Wednesday, Friday) each week. Consumption of both strawberry milk and chow was averaged across the three sessions within a week. Baseline strawberry milk consumption increased over the testing period with a significant difference between Week 3 and Week 1 (p = 0.0009) and Week 3 and Week 2 (p = 0.0255). [#]p < 0.05 compared to Week 2 and ****p < 0.001 compared to Week 1. N = 15.

consumption (Week 1: $F_{(1.722,25.83)} = 0.3119$, p = 0.7025; Week 2: $F_{(1.393,20.89)} = 1.976$, p = 0.1720; Week 3: $F_{(1.746,26.18)} = 2.747$, p = 0.0889) or chow consumption (Week 1: $F_{(1.738,26.07)} = 0.8410$, p = 0.4279; Week 2: $F_{(1.653,24.79)} = 1.872$, p = 0.1795; Week 3: $F_{(1.362,20.42)} = 1.002$, p = 0.3549). Therefore, we decided to also analyze the data by normalizing each vehicle or drug treatment session to the average of the three baseline sessions during the same week.

Tolcapone did not alter the amount of strawberry milk earned ($F_{(1.838,25.74)} = 1.153$, p = 0.3272; **Figure 3A**) or chow consumed ($F_{(2.294,32.12)} = 2.331$, p = 0.1068) at any dose tested (3, 10, 30 mg/kg; **Figure 3B**). Normalizing to the baseline sessions did not change the results of the comparison. There were still no significant changes in strawberry milk earned ($F_{(2.247,31.45)} = 1.621$, p = 0.2118; **Figure 3C**) or chow consumed ($F_{(1.044,14.62)} = 0.7226$, p = 0.4149; **Figure 3D**).

Effects of Haloperidol on ERC Performance

To validate our ERC protocol, we also tested the effects of haloperidol (0.1 mg/kg) as a positive control. Haloperidol has been shown to decrease responding for the high-value option in ERC tasks, including a recent study using a touchscreenbased task (Yang et al., 2020). Here, we found that haloperidol decreased the amount of strawberry milk earned ($t_{(15)} = 2.477$, p = 0.0272; **Figure 4A**), but did not significantly increase the amount of chow consumed ($t_{(15)} = 1.685$, p = 0.1126; **Figure 4B**).

As with tolcapone, we also compared the effects of haloperidol on vehicle treatment normalized to baseline consumption. We found that haloperidol decreased the amount of strawberry milk earned ($t_{(15)} = 4.657$, p = 0.0003; **Figure 4C**) and it also significantly increased chow consumption ($t_{(15)} = 2.221$, p = 0.0422; **Figure 4D**).

TABLE 4 | Baseline sessions.

	Week 1				Week 2			Week 3		
Food Type	Session 1	Session 2	Session 3	Session 1	Session 2	Session 3	Session 1	Session 2	Session 3	
Milk (µl)	1,080 ± 102.1	1,107 ± 122.2	1,037 ± 113.1	1,224 ± 113.8	$1,116 \pm 144.2$	$1,312 \pm 190.8$	$1,457 \pm 144.5$	$1,436 \pm 126.3$	1,277 ± 111.0	
Chow (mg)	181.0 ± 34.89	157.5 ± 28.88	151.4 ± 26.94	136.8 ± 37.06	96.20 ± 27.19	82.52 ± 17.25	124.1 ± 33.70	194.4 ± 44.24	137.9 ± 28.43	



DISCUSSION

Here, we describe a series of experiments using a modified touchscreen-based ERC task. In agreement with previous studies using multiple ERC tasks, we found that male mice modulate their consumption of a highly palatable (high value) food source based on the amount of work required to attain the food. As the work requirement for the high-value food increased, mice both decreased their consumption of the high-value food and increased the consumption of freely available standard chow. We validated our novel ERC task by using haloperidol as a positive control. We found that haloperidol decreased the amount of high-value food earned and consumed and increased the amount of chow consumed. These effects of haloperidol have been seen previously in ERC tasks and suggest that our task assays similar neurobiological constructs as previously described ERC protocols (Salamone et al., 1991, 1994; Yang et al., 2020). Additionally, we tested the effects of the COMT inhibitor tolcapone and found that it did not significantly modulate ERC performance at any of the doses tested.

To our knowledge, the experiments we describe here are the first report of the effects of acute COMT inhibition alone in



an ERC task. Tolcapone has been tested in a PR/concurrent chow ERC task in combination with the vesicular monoamine transporter (VMAT) inhibitor tetrabenazine and it did not modify the decrease in PR responding caused by tetrabenazine (Randall et al., 2014). Our data indicate that COMT activity does not regulate ERC performance to a significant degree in male mice.

It is still possible that COMT may be critically involved in ERC behavior and our experimental conditions were just not optimized to measure the effects of COMT inhibition. For example, we chose to focus on a FR4/concurrent chow ERC task and COMT inhibition may modulate performance in ERC tasks that use different reinforcement schedules or different types of effort (Salamone et al., 1994; Randall et al., 2014; Yohn et al., 2015b). We also used an acute, single-dose treatment strategy to specifically investigate how real-time modulation of COMT activity affects ERC performance. A chronic treatment regimen may uncover behavioral effects due to long-term alterations in COMT function and downstream adaptations. The utilization of genetic models of variable COMT activity may demonstrate a specific role for COMT in ERC performance. Additionally, COMT function is sexually dimorphic in both humans and rodents (Sannino et al., 2017), so COMT may significantly regulate ERC performance in females. Future studies will be designed to address potential sex differences in ERC performance. Moreover, we only used a single strain (C57BL/6J), so our results may also be strain-specific. We chose C57BL/6J mice because they are a commonly used strain for behavioral studies and many of the mutant models we use in our laboratory are on a C57BL/6J background (Carr et al., 2016).

Two other possible explanations for the lack of an effect of COMT inhibition on ERC behavior are that the interaction between dopaminergic signaling in the ACC and ERC behavior is: (1) unidirectional; or (2) insignificant. Previous work has indicated that the infusion of a D1 antagonist into the ACC decreases preference for the high cost/high reward option (Schweimer and Hauber, 2006). To our knowledge, selective agonists have not been infused in the ACC, so it is possible that augmenting DA signaling does not alter ERC behavior. In contrast, lesions of the DA innervation within the ACC did not affect ERC behavior (Walton et al., 2005), suggesting that DA modulation may not be a critical factor in ACC processing during effort-related decision making.

We believe the results of acute tolcapone administration reported here are an accurate representation of the effects in this population (young, male C57BL/6J mice) because our validation data indicate we used a robust ERC task. Our current protocol was a modified version of a previously published touchscreen ERC assay (Heath et al., 2015). Also, haloperidol produced the expected decrease in preference for the high-effort high-value option seen in traditional ERC tasks (Salamone et al., 1991) and a recently developed touchscreen version (Yang et al., 2020).

Our data suggest an interesting potential dichotomy in the role of COMT in reward-seeking behavior. While our data show no effect of COMT inhibition on ERC behavior, there are very clear effects of COMT modulation on intertemporal choice and delay discounting in humans (Boettiger et al., 2007; Kayser et al., 2012). To our knowledge, the role of COMT in intertemporal choice has not been tested in animal models. There is evidence that distinct neural circuits regulate delay and effort discounting (Prévost et al., 2010; Klein-Flügge et al., 2016). Additional experimentation will be required to determine the exact role of

REFERENCES

- Apud, J. A., and Weinberger, D. R. (2007). Treatment of cognitive deficits associated with schizophrenia: potential role of catechol-O-methyltransferase inhibitors. CNS Drugs 21, 535–557. doi: 10.2165/00023210-200721070-00002
- Barch, D. M., Treadway, M. T., and Schoen, N. (2014). Effort, anhedonia, and function in schizophrenia: reduced effort allocation predicts amotivation and functional impairment. J. Abnorm. Psychol. 123, 387–397. doi: 10.1037/a0036299

COMT function in reward-seeking behavior, particularly related to effort and delay discounting.

COMT inhibition has been proposed as a therapeutic strategy for combating cognitive impairment, particularly disorders of cognitive control (Apud and Weinberger, 2007). There is evidence in humans and rodents that COMT inhibition may be beneficial for specific populations (Gasparini et al., 1997; Kayser et al., 2012; McCane et al., 2014) or on specific cognitive tasks (Tunbridge et al., 2004; Byers et al., 2019). Determining the utility of COMT inhibitors will require data showing the effects of these compounds across multiple cognitive domains so that clinical populations that would benefit from this class of compounds can be identified. These experiments represent a potentially important contribution to this literature as the first report on the effects of COMT inhibition on effort-related decision-making.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets generated by this project are available on request to the corresponding author.

ETHICS STATEMENT

All experiments and procedures were approved by the SoBran, Inc., Fairfax, VA, USA Rangos Animal Care and Use Committee and following the *Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals*.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

GC conceived the experiment and supervised the project with JB. AB and AW collected and analyzed the data. AB and GC designed the experiments, interpreted the data, and wrote the manuscript. All authors provided feedback and revised the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was funded by the US National Institute of Mental Health grant R01MH107126.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Ingrid Buchler and Noelle White for their technical assistance. A version of this manuscript was posted as a preprint on bioRxiv (DeBrosse et al., 2020).

- Boettiger, C. A., Mitchell, J. M., Tavares, V. C., Robertson, M., Joslyn, G., D'Esposito, M., et al. (2007). Immediate reward bias in humans: frontoparietal networks and a role for the catechol-O-methyltransferase 158(Val/Val) genotype. J. Neurosci. 27, 14383–14391. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2551-07.2007
- Botvinick, M. M., Huffstetler, S., and McGuire, J. T. (2009). Effort discounting in human nucleus accumbens. *Cogn. Affect. Behav. Neurosci.* 9, 16–27. doi: 10.3758/cabn.9.1.16
- Brigman, J. L., Feyder, M., Saksida, L. M., Bussey, T. J., Mishina, M., and Holmes, A. (2008). Impaired discrimination learning in mice lacking the

NMDA receptor NR2A subunit. Learn. Mem. 15, 50-54. doi: 10.1101/lm. 777308

- Bussey, T. J., Muir, J. L., Everitt, B. J., and Robbins, T. W. (1997). Triple dissociation of anterior cingulate, posterior cingulate, and medial frontal cortices on visual discrimination tasks using a touchscreen testing procedure for the rat. *Behav. Neurosci.* 111, 920–936. doi: 10.1037/0735-7044. 111.5.920
- Byers, S., Buchler, I. P., DePasquale, M., Rowley, H. L., Kulkarni, R. S., Pinder, L., et al. (2019). Novel, non-nitrocatechol COMT inhibitors modulate dopamine neurotransmission in the frontal cortex and improve cognitive flexibility. *bioRxiv* [Preprint]. doi: 10.1101/510040
- Cagniard, B., Balsam, P. D., Brunner, D., and Zhuang, X. (2006). Mice with chronically elevated dopamine exhibit enhanced motivation, but not learning, for a food reward. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 31, 1362–1370. doi: 10.1038/sj. npp.1300966
- Carr, G. V., Chen, J., Yang, F., Ren, M., Yuan, P., Tian, Q., et al. (2016). KCNH2-3.1 expression impairs cognition and alters neuronal function in a model of molecular pathology associated with schizophrenia. *Mol. Psychiatry.* 21, 1517–1526. doi: 10.1038/mp.2015.219
- Cousins, M. S., Atherton, A., Turner, L., and Salamone, J. D. (1996). Nucleus accumbens dopamine depletions alter relative response allocation in a T-maze cost/benefit task. *Behav. Brain Res.* 74, 189–197. doi: 10.1016/0166-4328(95)00151-4
- Cousins, M. S., Wei, W., and Salamone, J. D. (1994). Pharmacological characterization of performance on a concurrent lever pressing/feeding choice procedure: effects of dopamine antagonist, cholinomimetic, sedative and stimulant drugs. *Psychopharmacology* 116, 529–537. doi: 10.1007/bf02247489
- Culbreth, A., Westbrook, A., and Barch, D. (2016). Negative symptoms are associated with an increased subjective cost of cognitive effort. J. Abnorm. Psychol. 125, 528–536. doi: 10.1037/abn0000153
- DeBrosse, A. C., Wheeler, A. M., Barrow, J. C., and Carr, G. V. (2020). Effects of catechol-O- methyltransferase inhibition on effort-related choice behavior in male mice. *bioRxiv* [Preprint]. doi: 10.1101/2020.01.28.924142
- Detrait, E. R., Carr, G. V., Weinberger, D. R., and Lamberty, Y. (2016). Brain catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) inhibition by tolcapone counteracts recognition memory deficits in normal and chronic phencyclidine-treated rats and in COMT-Val transgenic mice. *Behav. Pharmacol.* 27, 415–421. doi: 10.1097/fbp.000000000000208
- Enomoto, T., Konoike, N., Takemoto, A., Nakamura, K., and Ikeda, K. (2018). Blockade of dopamine D₁ receptors, but not D₂ receptors, decreases motivation in a novel effort-discounting paradigm in common marmosets. *Behav. Neurosci.* 132, 526–535. doi: 10.1037/bne0000273
- Fervaha, G., Graff-Guerrero, A., Zakzanis, K. K., Foussias, G., Agid, O., and Remington, G. (2013). Incentive motivation deficits in schizophrenia reflect effort computation impairments during cost-benefit decision-making. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* 47, 1590–1596. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2013.08.003
- Gasparini, M., Fabrizio, E., Bonifati, V., and Meco, G. (1997). Cognitive improvement during Tolcapone treatment in Parkinson's disease. J. Neural Transm. 104, 887–894. doi: 10.1007/bf01285556
- Gold, J. M., Strauss, G. P., Waltz, J. A., Robinson, B. M., Brown, J. K., and Frank, M. J. (2013). Negative symptoms of schizophrenia are associated with abnormal effort-cost computations. *Biol. Psychiatry* 74, 130–136. doi: 10.1016/j. biopsych.2012.12.022
- Heath, C. J., Bussey, T. J., and Saksida, L. M. (2015). Motivational assessment of mice using the touchscreen operant testing system: effects of dopaminergic drugs. *Psychopharmacology* 232, 4043–4057. doi: 10.1007/s00213-015-4009-8
- Heath, C. J., Phillips, B. U., Bussey, T. J., and Saksida, L. M. (2016). Measuring motivation and reward-related decision making in the rodent operant touchscreen system. *Curr. Protoc. Neurosci.* 74, 8.34.1–8.34.20. doi:10.1002/0471142301.ns0834s74
- Hvoslef-Eide, M., Mar, A. C., Nilsson, S. R., Alsio, J., Heath, C. J., Saksida, L. M., et al. (2015). The NEWMEDS rodent touchscreen test battery for cognition relevant to schizophrenia. *Psychopharmacology* 232, 3853–3872. doi: 10.1007/s00213-015-4007-x
- Käenmäki, M., Tammimäki, A., Myöhänen, T., Pakarinen, K., Amberg, C., Karayiorgou, M., et al. (2010). Quantitative role of COMT in dopamine clearance in the prefrontal cortex of freely moving mice. *J. Neurochem.* 114, 1745–1755. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-4159.2010.06889.x

- Kayser, A. S., Allen, D. C., Navarro-Cebrian, A., Mitchell, J. M., and Fields, H. L. (2012). Dopamine, corticostriatal connectivity and intertemporal choice. *J. Neurosci.* 32, 9402–9409. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1180-12.2012
- Klein-Flügge, M. C., Kennerley, S. W., Friston, K., and Bestmann, S. (2016). Neural signatures of value comparison in human cingulate cortex during decisions requiring an effort-reward trade-off. *J. Neurosci.* 36, 10002–10015. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0292-16.2016
- Lapish, C. C., Ahn, S., Evangelista, L. M., So, K., Seamans, J. K., and Phillips, A. G. (2009). Tolcapone enhances food-evoked dopamine efflux and executive memory processes mediated by the rat prefrontal cortex. *Psychopharmacology* 202, 521–530. doi: 10.1007/s00213-008-1342-1
- Männistö, P. T., and Kaakkola, S. (1999). Catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT): biochemistry, molecular biology, pharmacology, and clinical efficacy of the new selective COMT inhibitors. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 51, 593–628.
- McCane, A. M., Czachowski, C. L., and Lapish, C. C. (2014). Tolcapone suppresses ethanol intake in alcohol-preferring rats performing a novel cued access protocol. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 38, 2468–2478. doi: 10.1111/acer.12515
- Mott, A. M., Nunes, E. J., Collins, L. E., Port, R. G., Sink, K. S., Hockemeyer, J., et al. (2009). The adenosine A2A antagonist MSX-3 reverses the effects of the dopamine antagonist haloperidol on effort-related decision making in a T-maze cost/benefit procedure. *Psychopharmacology* 204, 103–112. doi: 10.1007/s00213-008-1441-z
- Napolitano, A., Bellini, G., Borroni, E., Zürcher, G., and Bonuccelli, U. (2003). Effects of peripheral and central catechol-O-methyltransferase inhibition on striatal extracellular levels of dopamine: a microdialysis study in freely moving rats. *Parkinsonism Relat. Disord.* 9, 145–150. doi: 10.1016/s1353-8020(02)00016-0
- Nowend, K. L., Arizzi, M., Carlson, B. B., and Salamone, J. D. (2001). D1 or D2 antagonism in nucleus accumbens core or dorsomedial shell suppresses lever pressing for food but leads to compensatory increases in chow consumption. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 69, 373–382. doi: 10.1016/s0091-3057(01)00524-x
- Prévost, C., Pessiglione, M., Météreau, E., Cléry-Melin, M. L., and Dreher, J. C. (2010). Separate valuation subsystems for delay and effort decision costs. *J. Neurosci.* 30, 14080–14090. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2752-10.2010
- Randall, P. A., Lee, C. A., Nunes, E. J., Yohn, S. E., Nowak, V., Khan, B., et al. (2014). The VMAT-2 inhibitor tetrabenazine affects effort-related decision making in a progressive ratio/chow feeding choice task: reversal with antidepressant drugs. *PLoS One* 9:e99320. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0099320
- Randall, P. A., Pardo, M., Nunes, E. J., López Cruz, L., Vemuri, V. K., Makriyannis, A., et al. (2012). Dopaminergic modulation of effort-related choice behavior as assessed by a progressive ratio chow feeding choice task: pharmacological studies and the role of individual differences. *PLoS One* 7:e47934. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0047934
- Salamone, J. D., Cousins, M. S., and Bucher, S. (1994). Anhedonia or anergia? Effects of haloperidol and nucleus accumbens dopamine depletion on instrumental response selection in a T-maze cost/benefit procedure. *Behav. Brain Res.* 65, 221–229. doi: 10.1016/0166-4328(94)90108-2
- Salamone, J. D., Steinpreis, R. E., McCullough, L. D., Smith, P., Grebel, D., and Mahan, K. (1991). Haloperidol and nucleus accumbens dopamine depletion suppress lever pressing for food but increase free food consumption in a novel food choice procedure. *Psychopharmacology* 104, 515–521. doi: 10.1007/bf02245659
- Samuelson, P. A. (1947). *Foundations of Economic Analysis*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Sannino, S., Padula, M. C., Managò, F., Schaer, M., Schneider, M., Armando, M., et al. (2017). Adolescence is the starting point of sex-dichotomous COMT genetic effects. *Transl. Psychiatry* 7:e1141. doi: 10.1038/tp.2017.109
- Schweimer, J., and Hauber, W. (2006). Dopamine D1 receptors in the anterior cingulate cortex regulate effort-based decision making. *Learn. Mem.* 13, 777–782. doi: 10.1101/lm.409306
- Schweimer, J., Saft, S., and Hauber, W. (2005). Involvement of catecholamine neurotransmission in the rat anterior cingulate in effort-related decision making. *Behav. Neurosci.* 119, 1687–1692. doi: 10.1037/0735-7044.119. 6.1687
- Sommer, S., Danysz, W., Russ, H., Valastro, B., Flik, G., and Hauber, W. (2014). The dopamine reuptake inhibitor MRZ-9547 increases progressive

ratio responding in rats. Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol. 17, 2045–2056. doi: 10.1017/s1461145714000996

- Treadway, M. T., Bossaller, N. A., Shelton, R. C., and Zald, D. H. (2012). Effort-based decision-making in major depressive disorder: a translational model of motivational anhedonia. *J. Abnorm. Psychol.* 121, 553–558. doi: 10.1037/a0028813
- Trifilieff, P., Feng, B., Urizar, E., Winiger, V., Ward, R. D., Taylor, K. M., et al. (2013). Increasing dopamine D2 receptor expression in the adult nucleus accumbens enhances motivation. *Mol. Psychiatry* 18, 1025–1033. doi: 10.1038/mp.2013.57
- Tunbridge, E. M., Bannerman, D. M., Sharp, T., and Harrison, P. J. (2004). Catechol-o-methyltransferase inhibition improves set-shifting performance and elevates stimulated dopamine release in the rat prefrontal cortex. J. Neurosci. 24, 5331–5335. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1124-04.2004
- Walton, M. E., Bannerman, D. M., Alterescu, K., and Rushworth, M. F. (2003). Functional specialization within medial frontal cortex of the anterior cingulate for evaluating effort-related decisions. *J. Neurosci.* 23, 6475–6479. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-16-06475.2003
- Walton, M. E., Bannerman, D. M., and Rushworth, M. F. (2002). The role of rat medial frontal cortex in effort-based decision making. J. Neurosci. 22, 10996–11003. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.22-24-10996.2002
- Walton, M. E., Croxson, P. L., Rushworth, M. F., and Bannerman, D. M. (2005). The mesocortical dopamine projection to anterior cingulate cortex plays no role in guiding effort-related decisions. *Behav. Neurosci.* 119, 323–328. doi: 10.1037/0735-7044.119.1.323
- Walton, M. E., Kennerley, S. W., Bannerman, D. M., Phillips, P. E., and Rushworth, M. F. (2006). Weighing up the benefits of work: behavioral and neural analyses of effort-related decision making. *Neural Netw.* 19, 1302–1314. doi: 10.1016/j.neunet.2006.03.005
- Yang, J. H., Presby, R. E., Jarvie, A. A., Rotolo, R. A., Fitch, R. H., Correa, M., et al. (2020). Pharmacological studies of effort-related decision making using mouse

touchscreen procedures: effects of dopamine antagonism do not resemble reinforcer devaluation by removal of food restriction. *Psychopharmacology* 237, 33–43. doi: 10.1007/s00213-019-05343-8

- Yavich, L., Forsberg, M. M., Karayiorgou, M., Gogos, J. A., and Männisto, P. T. (2007). Site-specific role of catechol-O-methyltransferase in dopamine overflow within prefrontal cortex and dorsal striatum. *J. Neurosci.* 27, 10196–10209. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0665-07.2007
- Yohn, S. E., Santerre, J. L., Nunes, E. J., Kozak, R., Podurgiel, S. J., Correa, M., et al. (2015a). The role of dopamine D1 receptor transmission in effort-related choice behavior: effects of D1 agonists. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 135, 217–226. doi: 10.1016/j.pbb.2015.05.003
- Yohn, S. E., Thompson, C., Randall, P. A., Lee, C. A., Müller, C. E., Baqi, Y., et al. (2015b). The VMAT-2 inhibitor tetrabenazine alters effort-related decision making as measured by the T-maze barrier choice task: reversal with the adenosine A2A antagonist MSX-3 and the catecholamine uptake blocker bupropion. *Psychopharmacology* 232, 1313–1323. doi: 10.1007/s00213-014-3766-0

Conflict of Interest: JB is an inventor on patents that include novel COMT inhibitors (WO2016123576 and WO2017091818).

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 DeBrosse, Wheeler, Barrow and Carr. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.