



The Effect of Hypoxic and Normoxic Culturing Conditions in Different Breast Cancer 3D Model Systems

Andreas Svanström¹, Jennifer Rosendahl^{1,2}, Simona Salerno¹, Emma Jonasson¹, Joakim Håkansson^{2,3}, Anders Ståhlberg^{1,4,5} and Göran Landberg^{1,6}*

¹Department of Laboratory Medicine, Institute of Biomedicine, Sahlgrenska Center for Cancer Research, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden, ²Unit of Biological Function, Division Materials and Production, RISE Research Institutes of Sweden, Borås, Sweden, ³Department of Laboratory Medicine, Institute of Biomedicine, Gothenburg University, Gothenburg, Sweden, ⁴Wallenberg Centre for Molecular and Translational Medicine, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden, ⁵Department of Clinical Genetics and Genomics, Region Västra Götaland, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden, ⁶Department of Clinical Pathology, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Michael Danquah, University of Tennessee at Chattanooga, United States

Reviewed by:

Alice Conigliaro, University of Palermo, Italy Maria Touri, Amirkabir University of Technology, Iran

> *Correspondence: Göran Landberg goran.landberg@gu.se

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Biomaterials, a section of the journal Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology

Received: 19 May 2021 Accepted: 23 September 2021 Published: 04 November 2021

Citation:

Svanström A, Rosendahl J, Salerno S, Jonasson E, Håkansson J, Ståhlberg A and Landberg G (2021) The Effect of Hypoxic and Normoxic Culturing Conditions in Different Breast Cancer 3D Model Systems. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 9:711977. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2021.711977 The field of 3D cell cultures is currently emerging, and material development is essential in striving toward mimicking the microenvironment of a native tissue. By using the response of reporter cells to a 3D environment, a comparison between materials can be assessed, allowing optimization of material composition and microenvironment. Of particular interest, the response can be different in a normoxic and hypoxic culturing conditions, which in turn may alter the conclusion regarding a successful recreation of the microenvironment. This study aimed at determining the role of such environments to the conclusion of a better resembling cell culture model to native tissue. Here, the breast cancer cell line MCF7 was cultured in normoxic and hypoxic conditions on patient-derived scaffolds and compared at mRNA and protein levels to cells cultured on 3D printed scaffolds, Matrigel, and conventional 2D plastics. Specifically, a wide range of mRNA targets (40), identified as being regulated upon hypoxia and traditional markers for cell traits (cancer stem cells, epithelial-mesenchymal transition, pluripotency, proliferation, and differentiation), were used together with a selection of corresponding protein targets. 3D cultured cells were vastly different to 2D cultured cells in gene expression and protein levels on the majority of the selected targets in both normoxic and hypoxic culturing conditions. By comparing Matrigel and 3DPS-cultured cells to cells cultured on patient-derived scffolds, differences were also noted along all categories of mRNA targets while specifically for the GLUT3 protein. Overall, cells cultured on patient-derived scaffolds closely resembled cells cultured on 3D printed scaffolds, contrasting 2D and Matrigel-cultured cells, regardless of a normoxic or hypoxic culturing condition. Thus, these data support the use of either a normoxic or hypoxic culturing condition in assays using native tissues as a blueprint to optimize material composition.

Keywords: 3D printed scaffolds (3DPS), alginate, patient-derived scaffolds (PDS), breast cancer, normoxia, hypoxia

INTRODUCTION

Today, there is a rapid development of materials used for 3D cell cultures, including organoids and 3D printed scaffolds, which are replacing conventional 2D cultures and animal experiments (Katt et al., 2016). A vast number of studies point at different key success factors in the development of 3D printing materials, ranging from a category of technical feasibility of producing the desired product to biocompatibility and the physiological relevance of the created microenvironment (Ngo et al., 2018). Several studies have demonstrated the complexity of a tumor microenvironment and point at the heterogeneity of inhabiting cells, and interaction with the immune system as well as biochemical cues given by endocrine signaling and the extracellular matrix (Baghban et al., 2020). In cancer, the constituents of patient-derived scaffolds and the response of inhabiting reporter cells have been linked to clinical data (Landberg et al., 2020a; Landberg et al., 2020b), underscoring the importance of the extracellular matrix in creating a physiologically relevant microenvironment. Importantly, the success in manufacturing a 3D material with a relevant physiological microenvironment can be analyzed by comparing the response of reporter cells cultured in produced 3D scaffolds to cells cultured in native tissue.

In the tumor microenvironment, cells will experience a gradient of oxygen levels that will influence cell behavior (Al Tameemi et al., 2019; Mas-Bargues et al., 2019). During the development of materials for biological model systems, efforts to improve the material will depend on the cellular response, which in turn may be affected by oxygen levels. This study compares the culturing platforms patient-derived scaffolds (PDS), 3D printed scaffolds (3DPS), Matrigel, and conventional 2D cultures by the response of breast cancer reporter cells in normoxia and hypoxia. The cellular response was assessed by studying gene expression levels using a panel of biomarker genes for the cellular properties of cancer stem cells (CSC), epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), pluripotency, proliferation, and differentiation, and by protein levels using Western blot to identify the best conditions for a relevant tumor cell culture model.

METHODS

De-Cellularization of Patient-Derived Scaffolds

Patient-derived breast tumors were collected *via* the clinical pathology diagnostic unit at Sahlgrenska University Hospital. Processing of patient material and data has been approved by the Regional Research Ethics Committee in Gothenburg (DNR: 515-12 and T972-18). The tumors were de-cellularized as described in a previous study by Landberg et al. (2020a). In brief, breast tumors were de-cellularized in a lysis buffer containing 0.1% SDS (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.02% sodium azide (VWR), 5 mM 2H₂O-Na₂-EDTA (Sigma-Aldrich), and 0.4 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (Sigma-Aldrich). Scaffolds were washed in a lysis buffer lacking SDS followed by washing in distilled water and

PDS (Medicago). Washed scaffolds were sterilized in 0.1% peracetic acid (Sigma-Aldrich), washed in PBS supplemented with 1% Antibiotic–Antimycotic (ThermoFischer Scientific), and stored at 4°C in PBS (Medicago) containing 0.02% Na-azide (VWR) and 5 mM 2H₂O-Na₂-EDTA (Sigma-Aldrich). Prior to use, scaffolds were cut to about $3 \times 3 \times 3$ mm size.

Bioprinting

Alginate (Protanal LF 10/60, FMC) with hydroxyapatite (Sigma-Aldrich) was prepared and printed as described in a study by Svanström et al. (2021). In brief, 8% (v/v) alginate and 5% (w/v) hydroxyapatite were mixed using an Ultra-Turrax T50 digital dispenser (IKA), and printed in 4 layer discs @20 mm using an EnvisionTEC 4th Gen 3D-Bioplotter[®] (EnvisionTEC) and a needle diameter of 400 μ m. Printed scaffolds were cross-linked during printing with 0.1 M CaCl₂ (VWR).

Cell Culture

MCF7 cells (ATCC HTB-22) were kept sub-confluent in DMEM (Thermo Fischer Scientific) supplemented with a final concentration of 10% (v/v) FBS (Sigma-Aldrich), 1% (v/v) penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich), 1% (v/v) MEM non-essential amino acids (Sigma-Aldrich), and 1% (v/v) L-glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich), and cultured at 37°C at 5% CO₂. Cells were kept in normoxic conditions (21% O₂) if nothing else started. Cell suspensions were prepared by washing cells in PBS and by detaching cells using trypsin-EDTA (Thermo Fischer Scientific). Detached cells were washed in supplemented media, centrifugated at $300 \times g$ for 3 min, and the pellet was resuspended in above-described DMEM.

3DPS and PDS were placed in a 24-well plate (Sarstedt) with supplemented media for 1 h prior to cell seeding. Cells were seeded at a cell density of 300,000 cells/ml in a total volume of 2 ml and cultured at 37°C at 5% CO₂. Following 24 h of initial culture, 3DPS and PDS were moved every 3–4 days to a new 6well plate (Sarstedt) until reaching a total culturing time of 3 weeks. 2D cultured cells were seeded at a cell density of 12,500 cells/ml in a total volume of 2 ml in a 6-well plate (Sarstedt) and cultured for 72 h. Cells cultured for 3 weeks or 72 h in 3D or 2D, respectively, were placed in normoxia (21% O₂) or hypoxia (1% O₂) at 37°C and 5% CO₂ for 48 h. A hypoxic environment was achieved using a Sci-tive-N hypoxia chamber (Ruskinn).

RNA Purification and qPCR

RNA was purified and analyzed by quantitative PCR (qPCR) as described previously (Weigelt et al., 2014). Briefly, scaffolds were rinsed in supplemented media, lysed in QIAzol, and disrupted using a TissueLyser II (Qiagen). RNA was extracted using an automated extraction robot (QIAcube, Qiagen) configured to miRNeasy Micro Kit reagents (Qiagen) with on-column DNA digestion (Qiagen). Nucleic acid concentration was measured using Nanodrop ND-1000 (Saveen Werner). Complementary DNA (cDNA) was produced with a GrandScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (TATAA Biocenter) using 20 μ l reactions, and a T100 Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad) at 22°C for 5 min, 42°C for 30 min, and 85°C for 5 min followed by cooling to 4°C.

Samples were diluted 1:4 in RNase-free water (Invitrogen). qPCR was performed on a CFX384 (Bio-Rad) in 6 µl reactions, containing 400 nM of each primer (Supplementary Table S1), 1x SYBR Grandmaster (TATAA Biocenter), and 2 µl diluted cDNA. The temperature profile was as follows: 95°C for 2 min, 39 cycles of amplification at 95°C for 5 s, 60°C for 20 s, and 70 °C for 20 s followed by a melting curve analysis at 65°C-95°C with 0.5°C per 5 s increments. Cycles of quantification (Cq) values by the regression method were determined using CFX Manager software version 3.1 (Bio-Rad) and analyzed using GenEx (MultiD). Missing values were imputed based on replicates followed by setting the remaining missing values to +1 of the group. Values higher than Cq-values of 35 were set to 35. Values were normalized by reference genes evaluated by the NormFinder algorithm and transformed to relative values and log2 scale. Analysis and the t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE) plot were performed in MATLAB (Mathworks).

Western Blot

Cells cultured in 2D were washed once in PBS (VWR) and detached using trypsin-EDTA (ThermoFischer Scientific). Cells cultured on 3DPS and PDS were transferred to a 24-well plate, gently washed twice in supplemented media, and detached using trypsin-EDTA (Thermo Fischer Scientific) in an Incushaker (Benchmark) for 5 min at 130 rpm followed by manual pipetting. Cells cultured on Matrigel were detached as 3DPS and PDS-cultured cells, where a Matrigel/cell suspension was made during the manual pipetting step. All detached cells were washed in supplemented media, centrifugated at $300 \times g$ for 3 min, and resuspended in PBS (VWR). Cells were filtered through a 35 µm mesh (Corning), washed in PBS (VWR), centrifugated at $300 \times g$ for 3 min, and resuspended in PBS (VWR). The washing step was repeated twice. Cell pellets were lysed in a RIPA buffer containing 1x Halt protease and phosphatase inhibitors with EDTA (all ThermoFischer Scientific). Lysates were centrifuged at $14,000 \times g$ for 15 min at 4°C and the supernatant was recovered and stored at -20°C prior to analysis. Protein levels were estimated using the DC protein assay (Bio-Rad) with BSA standard (Thermo scientific). All samples were denatured under reducing conditions at 98°C for 10 min and analyzed together with a pre-stained protein ladder (Thermo Scientific) on a 20% SDS-PAGE gel (Bio-Rad). Proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (GE Healthcare) and stained with SYPRO RUBY (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Membranes were imaged/analyzed for total protein content using a Gel doc and Image Lab software (Bio-Rad). Following total protein staining, membranes were blocked in a blocking buffer for 30 min at room temperature, incubated with primary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature in the blocking buffer, washed in PBS (VWR) with 0.1% Tween 20 (Sigma-Aldrich), and incubated with secondary HRP-conjugated antibodies in the blocking buffer for 1 h at room temperature Membranes were washed in a washing buffer and incubated with ECL select (GE healthcare). Chemiluminescence was detected using ImageQuant800 (Amersham), and images were analyzed using ImageJ ((Schneider et al., 2012); see Supplementary Table S2 for antibody specifications). The signals given by the specific

antibodies from a blot containing all samples per biological replicate were first normalized to the total loading per sample as measured by SYPRO RUBY staining and then to the total signal over all samples.

Data Analysis

All data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism v8 (GraphPad). Illustration in **Figure 1** was designed with biorender.com.

RESULT

The development and optimization of biocompatible materials for 3D printing requires studies on cellular behavior, where the cellular response to the 3D printed microenvironment is compared to an *in vivo*-like system. Depending on the type of research conducted, cells are either cultured in normoxic or hypoxic conditions. It is therefore important to determine if similarities between cells cultured in a 3D printed and an *in vivo*-like environment change between normoxia and hypoxia as this will influence the optimization of 3D printing materials. Here, the breast cancer cell line MCF7 was cultured in 2D culture plates, on 3DPS, Matrigel, or in an *in vivo*-like setting represented by PDS, and the expression levels of genes and proteins known to be regulated by hypoxia were compared (Bando et al., 2003) (**Figure 1**).

3DPS- and PDS-Cultured Cells Display Similar Gene Expression Profiles

By studying the expression levels of genes representing markers for metabolism, cell death, invasion, cell division, mitosis/ stemness, proliferation, angiogenesis, cancer EMT. pluripotency, differentiation, hypoxia, and epigenetics, we could compare the expression profiles of the cells in different environments (Supplementary Table S3). The t-SNE analysis clearly separated samples in normoxic from hypoxic culturing condition as well as by the type of the culturing model (Figure 2). Specifically, 3D cultured cells were shown to have a higher expression of genes related to metabolism (PGK1, HK2, and GLUT3), angiogenesis (PAI1 and VEGFA), cancer stemness (CD44 and MALAT1), invasion (CXCR4),epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) (FOSL1, SNAI1, and MUC1), and hypoxia (CA9) while having a lower expression of genes related to mitosis/proliferation (BUB1, MKI67, and CCNA2) and differentiation (ESR) in normoxic and/or hypoxic culture conditions relative to 2D cultured cells (Figures 3A,B). Noteworthy, 2D cultured cells displayed higher response to hypoxia shown by the hypoxia marker (CA9) relative 3D cultured cells (Figure 3C; Supplementary Table S4).

The analysis of gene expression levels in PDS-, 3DPS-, and Matrigel-cultured cells showed more similarities between PDSand 3DPS-cultured cells than between PDS and Matrigel in normoxia (**Figures 3A,B**; **Supplementary Table S3**). In addition, the gene expression response to hypoxia showed a significant difference between Matrigel-cultured cells and 3DPS, PDS, and 2D cultured cells (**Figure 3C**)







(Supplementary Table S5). The decrease in total RNA levels upon hypoxia was shown to be similar between 2D and 3D cultured cells (Supplementary Figure S1), suggesting a similar decrease in viability and/or proliferation between the culture platforms.

PDS- and 3DPS-Cultured Cells Showed a High Degree of Similarity at the Protein Level

To further assess the response of MCF7 cells to different culturing platforms, protein analysis using Western blots was performed. 2D cultured cells were shown to have significantly lower protein levels related to stemness (CD44), hypoxia (CA9), and pluripotency (POU5F1) while having higher levels of proteins related to proliferation (CCNA2) and differentiation (ERa) compared to PDS-cultured cells (Figure 4), supporting the gene expression data (Figures 3A,B). Surprisingly, CD44 protein levels were shown to be upregulated in 3DPS- and Matrigel-cultured cells relative to PDS-cultured cells, contrasting gene expression levels. In addition, the protein levels of the glucose transporter GLUT3 was significantly downregulated in Matrigel-cultured cells relative to PDScultured cells. However, no difference in the uptake of a glucose analog among PDS-, 3DPS-, and Matrigel-cultured cells was shown (Supplementary Figure S2).

By comparing the response to hypoxia, PDS- and 3DPScultured cells showed significant upregulation of CA9, while Matrigel- or 2D-cultured cells showed no difference (**Figure 4B**), consistent with gene expression. The decrease in the total protein level upon hypoxia was significantly lower in 2D than in PDS-cultured cells (**Supplementary Figure S1**).

DISCUSSION

Several methods may be used to compare the cellular response to a microenvironment, including prote-, lipid-, secret-, and transcriptomics among others that are time consuming and labor intensive while generating large and unbiased datasets.



epithelial-mesenchymal transition (green), pluripotency (pink), differentiation (dark blue), hypoxia (gray), and epigenetics (dark green).



FIGURE 4 | Protein levels in MCF7 cells cultured in normoxia and hypoxia. (A) Representative Western blot image from total protein extracts of cells cultured in normoxia (N) or hypoxia (H) on patient-derived scaffolds (PDS), 3D printed scaffolds (3DPS), Matrigel (M.Gel), or in 2D. Protein ladder 45–130 kilodalton (kDa). Loading control by SYBRO Ruby staining (RUBY). (B) Quantification of Western blot (n = 3) showing the protein amount relative total protein amount. Asterix (*) indicates statistical comparison of respective group and PDS. The hash sign (#) indicates statistical comparison of normoxia and hypoxia for respective platform. The statistical method used was two-way ANOVA; Tukey's *post hoc* test for multiple comparison; **[#] p-value <0.05, ** p-value <0.01, ***,^{###} p-value <0.001.

This study uses qPCR as a simple and fast, yet highly sensitive, method to detect minor changes in cell response to the microenvironment by analyzing markers important for cancer characteristics (CSC, EMT, pluripotency, proliferation, and differentiation) and known to be affected by hypoxia and to describe main cellular traits. Instead of using primary tumor cells, which carry different characteristics from patient to patient, we used the standardized cancer cell line MCF7 to be able to analyze the effect of the different microenvironments on the cells. Here, the comparison among the culturing platforms such as PDS, 3DPS, Matrigel, and 2D in normoxia and hypoxia has shown major differences between 2D and 3D. 3D cultured cells were indicated by a gene expression level analysis to have higher levels of genes related to metabolism (PGK1, HK2, and GLUT3). These results are consistent with previous studies, where GLUT3 expression levels were regulated by hypoxic conditions (Navale and Paranjape, 2016), here indicated by a relatively high level of a hypoxia marker (CA9) and markers for angiogenesis (PAI1 and VEGFA) in both normoxic and hypoxic culturing conditions. As cells cultured on 3DPS do not infiltrate the material and are suggested to grow in layers less than 1-200 µm thick, a distance required to reach hypoxia (Carmeliet and Jain, 2000), the relative hypoxic conditions during normoxic culture may instead be due to cell density (Wenger et al., 2015). 3D cultured cells were also shown to have lower levels of genes related to cell proliferation or mitosis (CCNA2, MKI67, and BUB1) and differentiation (ESR1) relative 2D cultured, consistent with higher levels of a cell cycle inhibitor (CDKN1) and cancer stemness-related markers (CD44 and MALAT1). Noteworthy, the expression of ITGA6, an integrin important for mammosphere formation and associated with stemness traits (Cariati et al., 2008), was downregulated in a 3D setting compared to 2D. This may be explained by differences in cell polarity, where integrins are required for maintaining cell polarity (Lee and Streuli, 2014) and where 2D cultured cells are known to be highly polar in comparison to 3D cultured cells (Baker and Chen, 2012). In addition, ABCG2, a marker for cancer stemness that is related to cancer drug resistence and shown to be upregulated upon doxorubucin treatment in a 3D environment, (Svanström et al., 2021) and was downregulated in a 3D environment. ABCG2 expression is controlled by several different transcriptional factors, including ESR1 (Mo and Zhang, 2012) which here was downregulated on the protein level in 3D relative 2D cultured cells, supporting a downregulation of ABCG2. 3D cultured cells were also shown to have an increased matrix remodeling profile relative 2D cultured cells by increased levels of a matrix degrading protease (MMP9), a chemotaxis receptor (CXCR4), as well as reduced levels of the CXCR4-related chemokine (CXCL12) whose downregulation is suggested to promote breast cancer metastasis (Yu et al., 2017).

Interestingly, 3D cultured cells showed an increased differential expression of EMT-related genes with low levels of *SNAI2* and high levels of *SNAI1* relative 2D cultured cells. Although both *SNAI1* and *SNAI2* are correlated with EMT by suppressing the expression of E-cadherin (*CDH1*) (Serrano-Gomez et al., 2016), a recent study showed that a high ratio of *SNAI2/SNAI1* increased the levels of the mTOR signaling protein

PDL2 that regulates cell growth and proliferation (Ganesan et al., 2016). Thus, the reduced SNAI2/SNAI1 ratio correlates with the decreased levels of proliferation markers and indicates an upregulated EMT response by SNAI1, supported by an upregulation of FOSL1 and MUC1 levels. Surprisingly, ID1 level that is shown by the previous study to be implicated in breast cancer metastasis (Gupta et al., 2007; Gumireddy et al., 2014) was noted to be downregulated. A previous study has shown ID1 to inhibit TWIST-mediated EMT to promote mesenchymal-epithelial transition at metastatic sites where SNAI1 expression is low and underscores that ID1 does not affect SNAI1-mediated EMT at the primary tumor site (Stankic et al., 2013). In addition, ID1 was shown to keep cancer stemness with epithelial traits. Thus, the reduction of ID1, in an environment with high levels of SNAI1, suggests that induced stemness is of less epithelial character. Together, data on the response of the reporter cells to a 3D environment by mRNA expression levels are consistent with those of previous studies and support their use in comparing different 3D models.

By comparing the 3D culturing platforms, 3DPS- and Matrigel-cultured cells were shown to have significant differential gene expression levels in 9 and 12 out of 40 genes, respectively, in normoxia compared to PDS-cultured cells, which increased to 15 genes for both models, in hypoxia. Thus, 3DPSand Matrigel-cultured cells are more similar to PDS-cultured cells in a normoxic environment than hypoxic environment, although the directional response in gene expression relative 2D cultured cells was similar in both culturing conditions. In addition, PDScultured cells were more similar to 3DPS-cultured cells than Matrigel-cultured cells. This was supported by the response in gene expression levels to hypoxia, where Matrigel-cultured cells were different from PDS-, 3DPS-, and 2D cultured cells. The relative similar response to hypoxia between 2D and 3D (PDS, 3DPS), as analyzed by response in gene expression levels in the defined set of markers, was surprisingly given difference in overall cell response between 2D and 3D. However, 2D cultured cells had a relatively high response to hypoxia compared to 3D cultured cells, as measured by the hypoxia marker (CA9), indicating that 2D cultured cells respond differently to hypoxia. Thus, the lack of larger differences in response between 2D and 3D cultured cells upon hypoxia may be due to the selection of genes included in the gene panel.

To verify the findings on gene expression levels, Western blot was performed on selected targets, where protein loadings were normalized to total protein levels (**Supplementary Figure S3**) as hypoxia affected common loading controls (actin, tubulin) (**Supplementary Figure S4**). Consistent with the gene expression in this study, and protein levels within a previous study (Landberg et al., 2020a), 3D cultured cells had upregulated protein levels of markers for cancer stemness (CD44) and pluripotency (POU5F1), and downregulated levels of markers for proliferation (CCNA2) and differentiation (ERS1). Surprisingly, GLUT3 levels were similar among 2D-, PDS-, and 3DPS-cultured cells, while Matrigel-cultured cells showed relatively low protein levels of GLUT3, contrasting data on gene expression levels. Thus, GLUT3 homeostasis is indicated to be different in 2D and Matrigel-cultured cells relative PDS- and 3PDS-cultured cells. This may also be the case for CD44, whose protein levels among PDS, 3DPS, and Matrigel did not correspond to the gene expression. In addition, data from a glucose intake assay showed similar uptake of a glucose analog between 3D cultured cells and a relatively high intake in 2D cultured cells. As glucose uptake is mediated *via* several GLUT transporters (Navale and Paranjape, 2016), the discrepancy between the protein level and glucose intake may be due to a differential control of glucose uptake.

CONCLUSION

A comparison among PDS-, 3DPS-, Matrigel-, and 2D cultured cells was performed by studying the gene and protein expression levels of selected genes, where 2D cultured cells separated from 3D cultured cells and where 3DPS- and PDS-cultured cells showed a higher degree of similarity than Matrigel cultured cells. The gene expression and protein levels in PDS- and 3DPS-cultured cells were similar in both normoxia and hypoxia. Thus, conclusion made from assays used to analyze the progress in material development toward an *in vivo*-like environment, here by the cellular response in reporter cells cultured in a 3D printed material, is indicated to be equally valid in a normoxic and hypoxic environment.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The work described has been carried out in accordance with the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki). The study using patient-derived tumors has been approved by the Swedish Ethical Review Authority (Etikprövningsmyndigheten, DNR 515-12 and T972-18).

REFERENCES

- Al Tameemi, W., Dale, T. P., Al-Jumaily, R. M. K., and Forsyth, N. R. (2019). Hypoxia-Modified Cancer Cell Metabolism. *Front. Cel Dev. Biol.* 7, 4. doi:10.3389/fcell.2019.00004
- Baghban, R., Roshangar, L., Jahanban-Esfahlan, R., Seidi, K., Ebrahimi-Kalan, A., Jaymand, M., et al. (2020). Tumor Microenvironment Complexity and Therapeutic Implications at a Glance. *Cell Commun Signal* 18 (1), 59. doi:10.1186/s12964-020-0530-4
- Baker, B. M., and Chen, C. S. (2012). Deconstructing the Third Dimension: How 3D Culture Microenvironments Alter Cellular Cues. J. Cel Sci 125 (Pt 13), 3015–3024. doi:10.1242/jcs.079509
- Bando, H., Toi, M., Kitada, K., and Koike, M. (2003). Genes Commonly Upregulated by Hypoxia in Human Breast Cancer Cells MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 57 (8), 333–340. doi:10.1016/ s0753-3322(03)00098-2

Patients have declared written consent of using their tumors for research purpose.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AS: conceptualization, methodology, validation, formal analysis, investigation, data curation. writing-original draft. editing, visualization. writing-review and and IR: conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, investigation, and writing-original draft, writing-review and editing. SS: validation, formal analysis, investigation, data curation, and writing-review and editing. EJ: visualization, formal analysis, and writing-review and editing. JH: conceptualization, resources, writing-review and editing, project administration, supervision, and funding. AS: conceptualization, resources, writing-review and editing, project administration, supervision, and funding. GL: conceptualization, resources, writing-review and editing, project administration, supervision, and funding.

FUNDING

This research was funded by Sweden's Innovation Agency (2017-03737); the Swedish Cancer Society (2019-0306 and 2019-0317); the Swedish Research Council (2019-01273, 2017-01392, 2016-01530, and 2015-03256); the Swedish state under the agreement between the Swedish government and the county council, the ALF agreement (716321 and 721091); Region Västra Götaland, Sweden (RUN 2018-00017 and infrastructure support to AS), Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research (FID15-0008); Johan Jansson Foundation for Cancer Research; Wilhelm and Martina Lundgrens Foundation; Assar Gabrielsson Foundation; and the Foundation Sigurd och Elsa Goljes Minne.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fbioe.2021.711977/ full#supplementary-material

- Cariati, M., Naderi, A., Brown, J. P., Smalley, M. J., Pinder, S. E., Caldas, C., et al. (2008). Alpha-6 Integrin Is Necessary for the Tumourigenicity of a Stem Celllike Subpopulation within the MCF7 Breast Cancer Cell Line. *Int. J. Cancer* 122 (2), 298–304. doi:10.1002/ijc.23103
- Carmeliet, P., and Jain, R. K. (2000). Angiogenesis in Cancer and Other Diseases. *Nature* 407 (6801), 249–257. doi:10.1038/35025220
- Ganesan, R., Mallets, E., and Gomez-Cambronero, J. (2016). The Transcription Factors Slug (SNAI2) and Snail (SNAI1) Regulate Phospholipase D (PLD) Promoter in Opposite Ways towards Cancer Cell Invasion. *Mol. Oncol.* 10 (5), 663–676. doi:10.1016/j.molonc.2015.12.006
- Gumireddy, K., Li, A., Kossenkov, A. V., Cai, K. Q., Liu, Q., Yan, J., et al. (2014).
 ID1 Promotes Breast Cancer Metastasis by S100A9 Regulation. *Mol. Cancer Res.* 12 (9), 1334–1343. doi:10.1158/1541-7786.mcr-14-0049
- Gupta, G. P., Perk, J., Acharyya, S., de Candia, P., Mittal, V., Todorova-Manova, K., et al. (2007). ID Genes Mediate Tumor Reinitiation during Breast Cancer Lung Metastasis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 104 (49), 19506–19511. doi:10.1073/ pnas.0709185104

- Katt, M. E., Placone, A. L., Wong, A. D., Xu, Z. S., and Searson, P. C. (2016). In Vitro Tumor Models: Advantages, Disadvantages, Variables, and Selecting the Right Platform. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 4, 12. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2016.00012
- Landberg, G., Fitzpatrick, P., Isakson, P., Jonasson, E., Karlsson, J., Larsson, E., et al. (2020). Patient-derived Scaffolds Uncover Breast Cancer Promoting Properties of the Microenvironment. *Biomaterials* 235, 119705. doi:10.1016/ j.biomaterials.2019.119705
- Landberg, G., Jonasson, E., Gustafsson, A., Fitzpatrick, P., Isakson, P., Karlsson, J., et al. (2020). Characterization of Cell-free Breast Cancer Patient-Derived Scaffolds Using Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry/mass Spectrometry Data and RNA Sequencing Data. *Data in Brief* 31, 105860. doi:10.1016/j.dib.2020.105860
- Lee, J. L., and Streuli, C. H. (2014). Integrins and Epithelial Cell Polarity. J. Cel Sci 127 (Pt 15), 3217–3225. doi:10.1242/jcs.146142
- Mas-Bargues, C., Sanz-Ros, J., Román-Domínguez, A., Inglés, M., Gimeno-Mallench, L., El Alami, M., et al. (2019). Relevance of Oxygen Concentration in Stem Cell Culture for Regenerative Medicine. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20 (5), 419–422. doi:10.3390/ijms20051195
- Mo, W., and Zhang, J. T. (2012). Human ABCG2: Structure, Function, and its Role in Multidrug Resistance. *Int. J. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 3 (1), 1–27.
- Navale, A. M., and Paranjape, A. N. (2016). Glucose Transporters: Physiological and Pathological Roles. *Biophys. Rev.* 8 (1), 5–9. doi:10.1007/s12551-015-0186-2
- Ngo, T. D., Kashani, A., Imbalzano, G., Nguyen, K. T. Q., and Hui, D. (2018). Additive Manufacturing (3D Printing): A Review of Materials, Methods, Applications and Challenges. *Composites B: Eng.* 143, 172–196. doi:10.1016/ j.compositesb.2018.02.012
- Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S., and Eliceiri, K. W. (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 Years of Image Analysis. Nat. Methods 9, 671–675. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2089
- Serrano-Gomez, S. J., Maziveyi, M., and Alahari, S. K. (2016). Regulation of Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition through Epigenetic and posttranslational Modifications. *Mol. Cancer* 15, 18. doi:10.1186/s12943-016-0502-x
- Stankic, M., Pavlovic, S., Chin, Y., Brogi, E., Padua, D., Norton, L., et al. (2013). TGF-β-Id1 Signaling Opposes Twist1 and Promotes Metastatic Colonization via a Mesenchymal-To-Epithelial Transition. *Cel Rep.* 5 (5), 1228–1242. 11 Data Availability Statement The datasets generated for this study are available on request from the corresponding author. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2013.11.014

- Svanström, A., Rosendahl, J., Salerno, S., Leiva, M. C., Gregersson, P., Berglin, M., et al. (2021). Optimized Alginate-Based 3D Printed Scaffolds as a Model of Patient Derived Breast Cancer Microenvironments in Drug Discovery. *Biomed. Mater.* 16 (4). doi:10.1088/1748-605X/ac0451
- Weigelt, B., Ghajar, C. M., and Bissell, M. J. (2014). The Need for Complex 3D Culture Models to Unravel Novel Pathways and Identify Accurate Biomarkers in Breast Cancer. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 69-70, 42–51. doi:10.1016/ j.addr.2014.01.001
- Wenger, R., Kurtcuoglu, V., Scholz, C., Marti, H., and Hoogewijs, D. (2015). Frequently Asked Questions in Hypoxia Research. *Hp* 3, 35–43. doi:10.2147/ hp.s92198
- Yu, P. F., Huang, Y., Xu, C. L., Lin, L. Y., Han, Y. Y., Sun, W. H., et al. (2017). Downregulation of CXCL12 in Mesenchymal Stromal Cells by TGFβ Promotes Breast Cancer Metastasis. Oncogene 36 (6), 840–849. doi:10.1038/onc.2016.252

Conflict of Interest: AS is a board member and declares stock ownership in Tulebovaasta, Iscaff Pharma and SiMSen Diagnostics. The use of patient-derived scaffold is patent pending. GL is a board member and declares stock ownership in Iscaff Pharma AB. The use of patient-derived scaffold is patent pending. JH is co-inventor on the scaffold patent which is pending.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Svanström, Rosendahl, Salerno, Jonasson, Håkansson, Ståhlberg and Landberg. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.