#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Patrick Alford, University of Minnesota Twin Cities, United States

REVIEWED BY Anna Grosberg, University of California, Irvine, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Masahiro Todoh, todoh@eng.hokudai.ac.jp

<sup>+</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Biomechanics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology

RECEIVED 29 July 2022 ACCEPTED 30 September 2022 PUBLISHED 14 October 2022

#### CITATION

Zhao Y, Ding S and Todoh M (2022), Validate the force-velocity relation of the Hill's muscle model from a molecular perspective. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 10:1006571. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2022.1006571

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Zhao, Ding and Todoh. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Validate the force-velocity relation of the Hill's muscle model from a molecular perspective

Yongkun Zhao (D<sup>1,2†</sup>, Shihang Ding (D<sup>2†</sup> and Masahiro Todoh (D<sup>3\*†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Human Mechanical Systems and Design, Graduate School of Engineering, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan, <sup>2</sup>Division of Bioengineering, Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan, <sup>3</sup>Division of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan

#### KEYWORDS

neuromechanics, skeletal muscle, Hill's muscle model, parallel cluster model, force-velocity relation

# **1** Introduction

Today, most countries have become ageing societies (Lee et al., 2020). Motion disorder is one of the most common age-related diseases which is worth investigating. Several researchers are studying methods to model muscles in order to simulate human motions since muscles are the engines of human motion (Mathis and Schneider, 2021; Takei et al., 2021; Ueyama, 2021). The Hill's muscle model is most commonly used for addressing this issue in a great deal of research. Most researchers dismiss its force-velocity relation as a phenomenological paradigm (Miller, 2018). However, it is extremely important to validate the applicability of this relation obtained from the model. Therefore, this paper aims to provide an explanation to support that from a molecular perspective. In detail, this work is broken into three sections, the first of which describes the mechanism of muscle contraction, the second of which describes the intricacies of the Hill-based muscle model, and the third of which connects the first two sections and tries to give molecular validation for the force-velocity relation of the Hill's muscle model.

# 2 Muscle activities from a molecular aspect

Skeletal muscle, a form of striated muscle, is extremely important in the human body. Its structure and contraction mechanisms have been elucidated (Huxley, 1957; Caremani et al., 2021; Dowling et al., 2021). A description of the entire process of muscle contraction, including the signaling system and molecular interactions, is given here in order to provide insights into the explanation of Hill function from a microscopic perspective.

## 2.1 Electrochemical signaling

Skeletal muscle is made up of multiple muscle fibers, which can termed as skeletal muscle cells as well (Frontera and Ochala, 2015). These muscle fibers facilitate the force propagation from them to the tendon due to their highly ordered arrangement. In each muscle fiber, myofibrils which present the filamentous structure are parallel to each other, and they are covered by the sarcolemma. In other words, the sarcolemma is the membrane of the muscle cell. On this membrane, there exists a region called the motor end-plate on which neurotransmitter receptors are extensively distributed (Katz and Thesleff, 1957; Kuo and Ehrlich, 2015). Based on this, neuromuscular junctions can be formed when motor neurons branch into several presynaptic terminals near the motor end-plate and combine with the receptors. For activating the muscle contraction, the somatic nervous system (SNS), a significant part of the peripheral nervous system (PNS), will generate the neural impulse as an electric signal that can turn on the voltage-gated calcium channels on each synapse (Mai and Paxinos, 2011). As a result, calcium ions enter those synapes and consequently trigger the release of acetylcholine (ACh), which is a kind of neurotransmitter and working as a chemical signal.

## 2.2 Release of the cytosolic calcium

On the sarcolemma, nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) can bind to the ACh when it diffuses through the synaptic cleft (Karlin, 2002). As a result, the sodium channel that is a ligand-gated iontropic receptor can be mechanically opened by the activated nAChRs, since they are directly connected to each other. Therefore, the subsequent influx of sodium ions triggers the sarcolemma depolarization and the formation of the action potential (Bean, 2007). This potential will travel along the membrane until it reaches the transverse tubules, which are groove structures on the sarcolemma that contain a significant number of ion channels. In these transverse tubules, a huge number of L-type calcium channels that control the excitation-contraction coupling in skeletal muscle will be activated by the action potential (Kuo and Ehrlich, 2015). And their conformational shift will mechanically drag the ryanodine receptors (RyRs) in the sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR). Considering the RyR, it is divided into three isoforms (RyR1, RyR2, and RyR3) that correspond to the calcium release in cells (Fill and Copello, 2002; Gong et al., 2021; Woll and Van Petegem, 2022). It should be noticed that the RyR1 is the receptor which is found predominantly in skeletal muscle and is linked to the L-type calcium channel directly. This RyR1 increases the release of calcium stored in

SR after being activated *via* the L-type calcium channel, resulting in a rise in cytosolic calcium concentration. Depolarization-induced calcium release (DICR) is another term for this phenomenon. Illustration of this process can be found in Figure 1.

# 2.3 The crossbridge model and contraction

Considering in each myofibril, periodic patterns along its longitudinal direction can be observed clearly (Huxley, 1957; Herzog, 2017, 2022). Such a repeating structure is termed as the sarcomere, which is a highly organized architecture predominantly composed of multiple filamentous actins (F-actins), myosin thick filaments, titins, and Z-disc proteins ( $\alpha$ -Ctinins) as shown in Figure 2 (Hwang and Sykes, 2015). For a single F-actin, it is composed of multiple golobular actin proteins (G-actins). Due to the inherently asymmetrical structure of G-actins and their regular orientation, each F-actin exhibits a spatial polarity with the barbed and the pointed ends (Carlsson, 2010; Dominguez and Holmes, 2011). In consideration of the sarcomere, however, a symmetrical structure can be observed. Such a spatial symmetry is formed by two sets of bundled F-actins with opposite polarity which are connecting to distinct Z-discs. In both sets of them, their pointed ends are left free, while the barbed ends are fastened on the Z-discs. According to the previous experimental works and the crossbridge model, myosin-II proteins tend to move toward the barbed ends of F-actins during their interactions. It should be noticed that the myosin-II is the non-processive protein since it can easily dissociate from the substrate. Therefore, multiple myosin-II proteins usually associate together through their tail domains as a bipolar structure called myosin thick filament to achieve the processive movement (Sweeney and Houdusse, 2010). Due to the symmetry structure, this thick filament can connect to the two sets of F-actins and locates at the core of each sarcomere due to titins and the myosin-binding protein C (MyBP-C) (Tskhovrebova and Trinick, 2003; Hwang and Sykes, 2015). Meanwhile, multiple myosin heads exist in both sides of the thick filament which perform a stochastic property according to the crossbridge model (Huxley, 1957).

As the cytosolic calcium concentration rises, the released calcium ions can bind to the protein troponin C on F-actin, which is a component of the troponin complex (Galińska-Rakoczy et al., 2008). Then, a conformational change of this complex will mechanically shift the tropomyosin to the groove of the helical F-actin (Galińska-Rakoczy et al., 2008; Von der Ecken et al., 2015). Consequently, the myosin-binding sites on F-actins can be exposed, thus resulting in the sustain movement of myosin





thick filament toward the barbed ends. During this process, F-actins cross the thick filament and shorten the sarcomere length which leads to the muscle contraction eventually. Considering the molecular connection between the myosin-II protein and F-actin, it should be researched further to better comprehend the muscle contraction. Advances in singlemolecule experiments have revealed precise knowledge about myosin architectures (Ferrer et al., 2008; Sweeney and Houdusse,



2010; Yang et al., 2020; Rahmani et al., 2021). Based on these investigations, myosin-II can be mainly classified into three domains: the head domain, the lever arm domain, and the tail domain. The heads of myosin-II in skeletal muscle can associate with the F-actin, ATP, and its hydrolysis products. Meanwhile, the angle between the head and the coiled-coil tail can be adjusted by the lever arm domain. It also serves as a binding site for the myosin light chains (MLCs) that contribute to the myosin integrity and modulating the ATP hydrolysis. In addition, the coiled-coil part is an elastic structure that resembles a tail in myosin. It can form the myosin thick filament by combining with that of other myosin-II proteins.

According to the crossbridge model (Huxley, 1957), the cyclical interactions between myosin proteins and F-actins are the origins of contractile force. Among these interactions, a local conformational change of the myosin is essential for the force generation, which is described as a "swinging" in the crossbridge model. Based on this, myosin-II can make a step toward the barbed ends of F-actins. To describe this process, mainly two scenarios have been proposed: the power stroke and the Brownian ratchet (Bustamante et al., 2001; Holmes et al., 2004; Moretto et al., 2022). The mechanism of power stroke illustrates how a conformational shift in myosin occurs, causing the myosin to take an irreversible step toward the barbed end. Meanwhile, the Brownian ratchet mechanism states that the arm of myosin would randomly reach forward and backward

binding-sites due to its thermal fluctuation, and that the forward binding-site becomes the preferential one as a result of energy events and myosin conformational change. However, according to a recent comparison, these two cases are not conceptually dissimilar. The Brownian ratchet may be a better explanation for a reduced step size. Myosin dynamics, on the other hand, can be considered as power strokes when the ratchetlike motion increments are tiny enough in comparison to the step size (Hwang and Karplus, 2019; Matusovsky et al., 2020; Marcucci et al., 2021). As a result, the myosin dynamics are referred to as a power stroke mechanism for a more intuitive comprehension.

Based on the crossbridge model and the mechanism of power stroke (Huxley, 1957; Hwang and Karplus, 2019), the entire process of the actomyosin interactions can be described in detail. After the shift of tropomyosin, myosin-II heads can bind to the F-actins by consuming ATP. During this process, the hydrolysis products (ADP and phosphate) are still attaching on the myosin head. Following that, the phosphate (Pi) release triggers a conformational shift in the lever arm, which pulls the F-actin and causes a contraction. After releasing the ADP, myosin head can detach from and reattach to the F-actin by associating with a new ATP and hydrolysing it. Within these cyclical interactions, myosin can continuously bind to a new binding site because a relative displacement has already happened. The overall ensemble of myosin can constantly travel to the barbed end



TABLE 1 Parameters in the Hill muscle model.

Parameter	Symbol	Unit
Maximum isometric force	fo	[m]
Force-length relation	$f_{fl}$	None
Force-velocity relation	$f_{fv}$	None
Activation level	а	None
Pennation angle	α	[°]
Muscle tendon force	$f_t$	[N]
Muscle fiber force	$f_m$	[N]
Contractile component force	$f_{cc}$	[N]
Series elastic component force	$f_{ m sec}$	[N]
Parallel elastic component force	$f_{pec}$	[N]
Length of series elastic component	lsec	[m]
Length of parallel elastic component	lpec	[m]
Unloaded length	$l_{u}$	[m]

by repeating such contacts, resulting in skeletal muscle processive contraction. The complete process of muscle contraction is illustrated as Figure 3.

# 3 Hill-based muscle modeling

The Hill-based muscle model is the most widely used computer model for human movement modeling (Miller, 2018). The Hillmodel is made up of three parts: contractile, series elastic, and parallel elastic components. A contractile component (CC) is in series with a series elastic component (SEC), and both of them are parallel to the parallel elastic component (PEC) in a Hill-based muscle model (Scovil and Ronsky, 2006). To visualize such a process, the illustration is depicted in Figure 4. Parameters in this section can be found in Table 1.

### 3.1 Contractile component

A neural signal is generated and delivered to the muscular system after a motor neuron is excited. This signal is classified as a time-varying signal under the Hill model, and it refers to the sum of the motor unit action potentials. And the signal's value is configured to be between 0 and 1. The CC activates after receiving this signal, and then transfers this signal to the activation level, which similarly has a value range of 0-1. It should be noted that this activation takes time to complete, as this corresponds to the time required for a chemical reaction. At the sarcomere level, the actin and myosin cross-bridges are the source of force created by CC. The Hill model assumes that the value of the CC force produced is determined by three factors: the force-length relationship, the force-velocity relationship, and the activation level mentioned earlier. It should be noted that these three factors are commonly assumed as multiplicative and independent of others (Miller, 2018). The following is the formula for it (Miller, 2018):

$$f_{cc} = f_0 \cdot a \cdot f_{fl} \cdot f_{f\nu} \tag{1}$$

where,  $f_0$  represents the maximum isometric force,  $f_{fl}$  and  $f_{f\nu}$  represent the relationship of force-length, and force-velocity, and both of them are nondimensional and *a* is the activation level.

## 3.2 Series elastic component

The SEC follows an elastic force-extension relationship. It should be noted, however, that the SEC is neglected for several tendon types due to its high stiffness (Winters, 1990). When the length of SEC is less than the unloaded length, the force created by SEC is typically regarded to be zero. When the length of the SEC is increased over the unloaded length during a contraction, the SEC force generates progressively more force. A nonlinear

#### TABLE 2 Parameters in the parallel cluster model.

Parameter	Symbol	Unit
Total number of myosin	Ν	None
Number of bound myosin	i	None
Number of post-power-stroke myosin	j	None
Stiffness of myosin neck linker	$k_m$	[pN/nm]
External force	f	[pN]
Strain of pre-power-stroke myosin	$\epsilon_{ij}$	[nm]
Strain of power-stroke	d	[nm]
Transition rates	$k_{01}, k_{10}, k_{12}, k_{21}, k_{20}$	$[s^{-1}]$
Zero-force unbinding rate	$k_{20}^{0}$	$[s^{-1}]$
Elastic force on post-power-stroke myosin	$f_{ij}^{pp}$	[pN]
Total energy of bound myosin	$e_{ij}$	[pN nm]
Thermal energy	$k_B T$	[pN nm]
Energy bias to post-power-stroke state	$e_{pp}$	[pN nm]
Position change of ensemble caused by new binding	$\Delta Z_{on}$	[nm]
Unbinding force	$f_0$	[pN]
Ensemble velocity in condition <i>i</i>	$V_i$	[nm/s]
Averaged velocity of bound ensemble	$V_b$	[nm/s]
Unloaded velocity of muscle	$\nu_0$	[nm/s]
Stall force of myosin	$f_s$	[pN]
Velocity derived from the Hill muscle model	$v_{hill}$	[nm/s]

model was built to capture the force-extension relationship in order to quantitatively express this phenomenon (Pawlak, 2022).

$$f_{sec} = \begin{cases} \gamma(l_{sec} - l_u) & \text{if } l_{sec} > l_u \\ 0 & \text{if } l_{sec} \le l_u \end{cases}$$
(2)  
$$\gamma = f_0 / (u_0 l_u)^2$$

where,  $l_{sec}$  and  $l_u$  are lengths of SEC and its unloaded length.  $u_0$  is a constant factor which is commonly set as 0.04, and the value of it significantly affects the muscle energetics (Lichtwark and Wilson, 2007).

#### 3.3 Parallel elastic component

Aside from the CC and SEC, PEC is another component in the Hill muscle model which has a force-extension relationship that is parallel to that of the CC only. However, sometimes PEC can be also set parallel to the both CC and SEC (Lo and Xie, 2012). The force generated by PEC is modeled as a function of both  $f_{cc}$  and  $f_{sec}$  (Blemker, 2017).

$$f_{pec}\cos\alpha = f_{sec} - f_{cc}\cos\alpha \tag{3}$$

$$f_t = f_{pec} + f_{sec} = f_{pec} + f_{cc} \cos \alpha \tag{4}$$

where  $f_t$  denotes the total force exerting on the muscle tendon.

## 4 Molecular validation for the forcevelocity relation

In Section 2, the entire muscle contraction process including the signaling system and molecular interactions is described. To validate the force-velocity relation of the Hill-based muscle model quantitatively, a precise mathematical model describing the myosin activities have to be constructed. Hence, in this section we introduce a model of myosin ensemble called a "Parallel Cluster Model" and present numerical simulation results on it. Its force-velocity diagram exhibits a similar shape as that of the Hill-based muscle model.

## 4.1 Parallel cluster model

In order to quantitatively validate the Hill model, the parallel cluster model (PCM) is adopted which can replicate the force-velocity relationship of a myosin ensemble (Erdmann et al., 2013). Parameters in this PCM can be found in Table 2. According to the mechanism of power stroke, myosin proteins can be classified into three states. Assume that an ensemble has N number of myosin-II proteins, with number i of them binding to F-actins. As a result, the number of free state quantity of myosin is N - i. The number j of the bound myosin proteins are in the post-power-stroke state (after conformational

change of the lever arm). As a result, number i - j myosin molecules are in the pre-power-stroke condition. The free, pre-power-stroke, and post-power-stroke states are represented by the subscripts 0, 1 and 2, respectively. Myosin's coiled-coil domain can be simplified into a spring-like structure with a stiffness of  $k_m$  (Vilfan and Duke, 2003). Basically, other myosin groups will exert force on each ensemble due to the symmetry structure of the myosin thick filament. Based on the assumption that the myosin monomers in the same state are bearing equivalent load (Erdmann and Schwarz, 2012; Erdmann et al., 2013), the elastic force in myosin ensemble can balance such an external force, as shown below:

$$f = k_m [(i-j)\varepsilon_{ij} + j(\varepsilon_{ij} + d)] = k_m (i\varepsilon_{ij} + jd)$$
(5)

where  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  stands for the myosin strain in condition (*i*, *j*). The increased strain induced by myosin conformational change is indicated by *d* (Vilfan and Duke, 2003). When the external force is a constant value, the force generated by the myosin group is independent of the ensemble position (Erdmann et al., 2013). As a result, the strain  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  can be expressed as follows:

$$\varepsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{i} \left[ \left( f/k_m \right) - jd \right] \tag{6}$$

With transition rates of  $Tr_{01}$ ,  $Tr_{10}$ ,  $Tr_{12}$ ,  $Tr_{21}$ , and  $Tr_{20}$ , transitions between myosin states can be characterized in a stochastic fashion. Only the transition from state 2 to state 0 is irreversible since the release of ADP and hydrolysis of a new ATP occur during the unbinding events. Except for  $Tr_{20}$ , all transition rates in the PCM can be thought of as constants derived from single molecule studies (Vilfan and Duke, 2003; Walcott et al., 2012). The unbinding rate  $Tr_{20}$  for myosin heads in state 2 can be stated as follows, according to the reaction-rate hypothesis (Hänggi et al., 1990).

$$Tr_{20}(i,j) = Tr_{20}^{0} \exp\left(-f_{ij}^{PP} / f_{0}\right)$$
(7)

where  $Tr_{20}^0$  represents the constant zero-force unbinding rate as determined by experimental studies. The elastic force acting on individual myosin in the post-power-stroke condition is represented by  $f_{ij}^{pp} = k_m (\varepsilon_{ij} + d)$ . The force triggered by the unbinding event is indicated by the constant  $f_0$ , which is a theoretical force equals to the quotient of the local thermal energy divided by unbinding distance (Vilfan and Duke, 2003; Walcott et al., 2012).

This expression of the unbinding rate fits the catch-bond property of myosin-II well. When a binding myosin is subjected to a tensile force, a catch-bond occurs, in which the bond becomes stronger or the dwell duration of the binding molecule increases (Thomas et al., 2008; Barger et al., 2020; Xie, 2020). This catch-bond trait can be described using a variety of conceptual and quantitative models. The ligand and receptor combine like hooks in the conceptual description. The tensile force can strengthen the connection due to its rigidity. In terms of quantitative models, the majority of them follow the reactionrate theory described above, which explains the unbinding event from the perspective of interaction potential and force (Pereverzev et al., 2005; Cortes et al., 2020; Weirich et al., 2021). According to these explanations, if there is an external force acting on the protein, myosin attachment will be firmed. And such a response can result in a concave force-velocity curve, which can also be deduced from the Hill muscle model.

In addition, a local thermodynamic equilibrium in each myosin ensemble can be assumed, since the state transitions occur in the time scale of nanoseconds while the muscle activities occurs in milliseconds (Jülicher et al., 1997; Moretto et al., 2022). Moreover, the length scale of myosin movements is only in nanometers which is extremely short compared to the cellular activities. These comparison of order of magnitude indicate the local thermodynamic equilibrium for myosin dynamics is necessary. Therefore, the Boltzmann distribution can be used to compute the conditional probability to find *j* myosin proteins in the post-power-stroke state and i - j in the pre-power-stroke state for a given number *i* of bound myosin.

$$Pr(j|i) = \frac{1}{Q_i} exp(-e_{ij}/k_BT)$$
(8)

$$Q_i = \sum_{j=0}^{i} exp(-e_{ij}/k_BT)$$
(9)

where  $Q_i$  is the partition sum.  $e_{ij}$  represents the total energy of bound myosin.  $k_B$  indicates the Boltzmann constant, T denotes the temperature.

The release of phosphate, elastic energy in myosin, and the contribution of external force are the three main sources of energy in bound myosin. External contribution can be ignored because f is unaffected by myosin position. As a result,  $e_{ij}$  can be computed as follows:

$$e_{ij} = je_{pp} + \frac{k_m}{2} \left[ (i-j)\varepsilon_{ij}^2 + j(\varepsilon_{ij} + d)^2 \right]$$
(10)

where  $e_{pp}$  denotes the energy bias from pre-power-stroke state to post-power-stroke state triggered by phosphate release (Vilfan and Duke, 2003).

Myosin's lever arm moves forward by d triggered by the power stroke. The elastic domain in myosin is stretched during this conformational change. This transition, however, does not change the position of the bound myosin head. All bound myosin heads are assumed to be in the same position in the parallel cluster model. Additionally, the ensemble position is defined as the average of the bound myosin heads (Erdmann and Schwarz, 2012; Erdmann et al., 2013). Therefore, the transition from 1 to 2 and most unbinding events would not change the ensemble position. However, the backbone of the myosin thick filament moves forward due to the bending of the lever arm. Thus, the new binding of a free myosin (from 0 to 1) occurs in a different location and pulls the entire ensemble accordingly. Furthermore,



in the situation where there is only one myosin bound to F-actin, the unbinding of this myosin will alter the position of the ensemble. In the meantime, this unbinding will move the ensemble by a distance equal to the strain value of the myosin. The following formula can be used to compute the position change of the ensemble caused by a new binding (Erdmann et al., 2013):

$$\Delta Z_{on} = -\frac{1}{i+1} \sum_{j=0}^{i} \varepsilon_{ij} Pr(j|i)$$
(11)

As a result, the velocity of a myosin ensemble can be calculated by multiplying the binding or unbinding rate by its change of ensemble position (Erdmann and Schwarz, 2012; Erdmann et al., 2013). The following equation is how velocity can be calculated using this definition:

$$V_{i} = (N - i)Tr_{01}\Delta Z_{on} - [Tr_{10}\varepsilon_{10}Pr(j = 0|i = 1) + Tr_{20}(1, 1)\varepsilon_{11}Pr(j = 1|i = 1)]\delta_{i1} (12)$$

where  $V_i$  is the ensemble velocity with condition *i* and *f* is the force contained in the myosin strain  $\varepsilon_{ij}$ . The contribution of binding events is represented by the first term, while the contribution of the latest unbinding event is represented by the second term.  $\delta_{i1}$  is the Kronecker delta, which can be used to determine whether the only bound myosin is still present.

Considering a general situation,  $\varepsilon_{ij}$ ,  $\operatorname{Tr}_{20}$  and  $\operatorname{Pr}(j|i)$  need to be updated with each change of *i*. With the Gillespie method (Gillespie, 1976) based on standard Monte-Carlo inversion steps, the averaged velocity of bound ensemble ( $i \ge 1$ ) in the parallel cluster model (Erdmann et al., 2013) can be expressed as follow:

$$V_b = \sum_{i=1}^{N} V_i \widehat{Pr}_i(\infty)$$
(13)

where  $\widehat{Pr}_i(\infty)$  indicates the re-normalized distribution of the stationary probability to find a bound ensemble ( $i \ge 1$ ) over infinite time (Erdmann and Schwarz, 2012; Erdmann et al., 2013).

For the comparison, numerical simulation experiments on both Hill-based muscle model and parallel cluster model are conducted. As can be seen from Figure 5, the force-velocity diagram generated by the Hill muscle model fits the forcevelocity diagram generated by PCM very well. From the simulation results obtained from the literature (Erdmann et al., 2013) demonstrate a qualitative agreement between the Hill model and the force-velocity curve of muscle simulation by PCM.

The relationship between force and velocity derived from the Hill muscle model is shown as follows (Erdmann et al., 2013; Cortes et al., 2020).

$$v_{hill} = v_0 \frac{f_s - f}{f_s + (f/\beta)} \tag{14}$$

where  $v_0$  is a constant value which is the shortening velocity of muscle when the *f* equals to 0.  $f_s$  is the stall force, the maximum force that single mysoin can bear. Myosin is forced to unbind when external force exceeds its stall force.  $\beta$  represents a dimensionless value that can modulate the force-velocity curve.

In addition, the PCM has been expanded to describe the slipbond and catch-slip-bond properties of specific proteins (Erdmann et al., 2016; Chandrasekaran et al., 2019; Cortes et al., 2020). Due to the similar mechanisms, PCM can also be adopted to reproduce the myosin behaviors in smooth muscles. However, it should be noticed that the myosin ensembles in vivo interact with a more complicated environment. In this situation, the external force acting on the ensemble can result from the friction with sarcoplasm and interactions with other elastic proteins like the titin (Herzog, 2017). Therefore, the external force is not a constant value in the real muscle cell. Furthermore, since the PCM adopts a separated method to calculate the effective bound velocity, the result is mainly based on the stochastic property of the ensemble. Hence, the external forces from the environment or other proteins cannot be substituted in the force calculation in the PCM directly (Erdmann et al., 2013; Cortes et al., 2020). To overcome this problem, the force-velocity relation generated by the PCM should be considered as a reference. The myosin kinetics should be updated in each time step based on the varying external force and its corresponding effective velocity derived from the PCM. Then, a more accurate simulation can be achieved which comprehends the muscle activities well from a molecular perspective.

# 5 Conclusion

From the Hill's muscle model, the generated muscular force can be separated into two parts: active and passive. The active part refers to the force generated by the muscle's contractile mechanism in response to central nervous system activation. The passive component refers to the force that is generated due to the muscle's material inherent properties. To validate the Hill-based muscle model from the molecular aspect, the catch-bond feature of the myosin-II protein should be considered. With this catchbond property, external force can be generated in skeletal muscle through interactions between the myosin ensemble and F-actins. The parallel cluster model can quantitatively simulate the interactive behaviors of myosin. Significantly, the derived force-velocity relationship fits the Hill muscle model greatly, which can support that from a molecular perspective. With this confident molecular support, it is now possible for the controls researchers and engineers to develop the human-centered controllers for the functional electrical stimulation therapy which is a technique that generates the muscle tensile force through using the low-energy electrical pluses. The amount of force generated artificially can be calculated based on forcevelocity relation of skeletal muscle. In addition, with this validation, it becomes potential that the musculoskeletal system can be modeled far more physiologically, forming the basis of the neuromechamics, which defines that the motion performed by the interaction among the neural, muscular, and skeletal system.

# References

Barger, S. R., Gauthier, N. C., and Krendel, M. (2020). Squeezing in a meal: myosin functions in phagocytosis. *Trends Cell Biol.* 30, 157–167. doi:10.1016/j.tcb. 2019.11.002

Bean, B. P. (2007). The action potential in mammalian central neurons. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 8, 451–465. doi:10.1038/nrn2148

Blemker, S. S. (2017). "Chapter 17 - three-dimensional modeling of active muscle tissue: The why, the how, and the future," in *Biomechanics of living organs*. Editors Y. Payan, and J. Ohayon (Oxford: Academic Press), 361–375. vol. 1 of Translational Epigenetics. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-804009-6.00017-1

Bustamante, C., Keller, D., and Oster, G. (2001). The physics of molecular motors. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 34, 412–420. doi:10.1021/ar0001719

Caremani, M., Fusi, L., Linari, M., Reconditi, M., Piazzesi, G., Irving, T. C., et al. (2021). Dependence of thick filament structure in relaxed mammalian skeletal muscle on temperature and interfilament spacing. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 153, e202012713. doi:10.1085/jgp.202012713

Carlsson, A. E. (2010). Actin dynamics: from nanoscale to microscale. Annu. Rev. Biophys. 39, 91–110. doi:10.1146/annurev.biophys.093008.131207

Chandrasekaran, A., Upadhyaya, A., and Papoian, G. A. (2019). Remarkable structural transformations of actin bundles are driven by their initial polarity, motor activity, crosslinking, and filament treadmilling. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 15, e1007156. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007156

Cortes, D. B., Gordon, M., Nédélec, F., and Maddox, A. S. (2020). Bond type and discretization of nonmuscle myosin ii are critical for simulated contractile dynamics. *Biophys. J.* 118, 2703–2717. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2020.03.033

Dominguez, R., and Holmes, K. C. (2011). Actin structure and function. Annu. Rev. Biophys. 40, 169-186. doi:10.1146/annurev-biophys-042910-155359

# Author contributions

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

# Funding

The authors declare that this study received funding from Baichen Chen who is affiliated to Technical University of Munich.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Dowling, J. J., Weihl, C. C., and Spencer, M. J. (2021). Molecular and cellular basis of genetically inherited skeletal muscle disorders. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 22, 713–732. doi:10.1038/s41580-021-00389-z

Erdmann, T., and Schwarz, U. S. (2012). Stochastic force generation by small ensembles of myosin ii motors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 108, 188101. doi:10.1103/ physrevlett.108.188101

Erdmann, T., Albert, P. J., and Schwarz, U. S. (2013). Stochastic dynamics of small ensembles of non-processive molecular motors: The parallel cluster model. *J. Chem. Phys.* 139, 175104. doi:10.1063/1.4827497

Erdmann, T., Bartelheimer, K., and Schwarz, U. S. (2016). Sensitivity of small myosin ii ensembles from different isoforms to mechanical load and atp concentration. *Phys. Rev. E* 94, 052403. doi:10.1103/physreve.94.052403

Ferrer, J. M., Lee, H., Chen, J., Pelz, B., Nakamura, F., Kamm, R. D., et al. (2008). Measuring molecular rupture forces between single actin filaments and actinbinding proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 105, 9221–9226. doi:10.1073/pnas. 0706124105

Fill, M., and Copello, J. A. (2002). Ryanodine receptor calcium release channels. *Physiol. Rev.* 82, 893–922. doi:10.1152/physrev.00013.2002

Frontera, W. R., and Ochala, J. (2015). Skeletal muscle: a brief review of structure and function. *Calcif. Tissue Int.* 96, 183–195. doi:10.1007/s00223-014-9915-y

Galińska-Rakoczy, A., Engel, P., Xu, C., Jung, H., Craig, R., Tobacman, L. S., et al. (2008). Structural basis for the regulation of muscle contraction by troponin and tropomyosin. *J. Mol. Biol.* 379, 929–935. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2008.04.062

Gillespie, D. T. (1976). A general method for numerically simulating the stochastic time evolution of coupled chemical reactions. *J. Comput. Phys.* 22, 403–434. doi:10.1016/0021-9991(76)90041-3

Gong, D., Yan, N., and Ledford, H. A. (2021). Structural basis for the modulation of ryanodine receptors. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 46, 489–501. doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2020. 11.009

Hänggi, P., Talkner, P., and Borkovec, M. (1990). Reaction-rate theory: fifty years after kramers. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 62, 251–341. doi:10.1103/revmodphys.62.251

Herzog, W. (2017). Skeletal muscle mechanics: questions, problems and possible solutions. J. Neuroeng. Rehabil. 14, 98–17. doi:10.1186/s12984-017-0310-6

Herzog, W. (2022). What can we learn from single sarcomere and myofibril preparations? *Front. Physiol.* 13, 837611. doi:10.3389/fphys.2022.837611

Holmes, K. C., Trentham, D., Simmons, R., Holmes, K., Schröder, R., Sweeney, H., et al. (2004). The structure of the rigor complex and its implications for the power stroke. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B* 359, 1819–1828. doi:10.1098/rstb.2004. 1566

Huxley, A. F. (1957). Muscle structure and theories of contraction. *Prog. Biophys. Biophys. Chem.* 7, 255–318. doi:10.1016/s0096-4174(18)30128-8

Hwang, W., and Karplus, M. (2019). Structural basis for power stroke vs. brownian ratchet mechanisms of motor proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 116, 19777–19785. doi:10.1073/pnas.1818589116

Hwang, P. M., and Sykes, B. D. (2015). Targeting the sarcomere to correct muscle function. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 14, 313–328. doi:10.1038/nrd4554

Jülicher, F., Ajdari, A., and Prost, J. (1997). Modeling molecular motors. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 69, 1269–1282. doi:10.1103/revmodphys.69.1269

Karlin, A. (2002). Emerging structure of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 3, 102–114. doi:10.1038/nrn731

Katz, B., and Thesleff, S. (1957). A study of thedesensitization'produced by acetylcholine at the motor end-plate. *J. physiology* 138, 63–80. doi:10.1113/jphysiol. 1957.sp005838

Kuo, I. Y., and Ehrlich, B. E. (2015). Signaling in muscle contraction. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 7, a006023. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a006023

Lee, D., Kim, S., and Kim, K. (2020). International r&d collaboration for a global aging society: focusing on aging-related national-funded projects. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 17, 8545. doi:10.3390/ijerph17228545

Lichtwark, G., and Wilson, A. (2007). Is achilles tendon compliance optimised for maximum muscle efficiency during locomotion? *J. Biomech.* 40, 1768–1775. doi:10. 1016/j.jbiomech.2006.07.025

Lo, H. S., and Xie, S. Q. (2012). Exoskeleton robots for upper-limb rehabilitation: State of the art and future prospects. *Med. Eng. Phys.* 34, 261–268. doi:10.1016/j. medengphy.2011.10.004

Mai, J. K., and Paxinos, G. (2011). The human nervous system. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Academic Press.

Marcucci, L., Fukunaga, H., Yanagida, T., and Iwaki, M. (2021). The synergic role of actomyosin architecture and biased detachment in muscle energetics: insights in cross bridge mechanism beyond the lever-arm swing. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22, 7037. doi:10.3390/ijms22137037

Mathis, M. W., and Schneider, S. (2021). Motor control: Neural correlates of optimal feedback control theory. *Curr. Biol.* 31, R356–R358. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2021. 01.087

Matusovsky, O. S., Kodera, N., MacEachen, C., Ando, T., Cheng, Y.-S., and Rassier, D. E. (2020). Millisecond conformational dynamics of skeletal myosin ii power stroke studied by high-speed atomic force microscopy. *ACS Nano* 15, 2229–2239. doi:10.1021/acsnano.0c06820

Miller, R. H. (2018). *Hill-based muscle modeling*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 1–22. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-30808-1\_203-2

Moretto, L., Ušaj, M., Matusovsky, O., Rassier, D. E., Friedman, R., and Månsson, A. (2022). Multistep orthophosphate release tunes actomyosin energy transduction. *Nat. Commun.* 13, 4575–4618. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-32110-9

Pawlak, K. (2022). Human physiology model-synthesis of the three-element Hill's muscle model and crossbridge dynamics model. Warszawa, Poland: Instytut Techniki Lotniczej i Mechaniki Stosowanej. Ph.D. thesis.

Pereverzev, Y. V., Prezhdo, O. V., Forero, M., Sokurenko, E. V., and Thomas, W. E. (2005). The two-pathway model for the catch-slip transition in biological adhesion. *Biophys. J.* 89, 1446–1454. doi:10.1529/biophysj.105.062158

Rahmani, H., Ma, W., Hu, Z., Daneshparvar, N., Taylor, D. W., McCammon, J. A., et al. (2021). The myosin ii coiled-coil domain atomic structure in its native environment. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 118, e2024151118. doi:10.1073/pnas. 2024151118

Scovil, C. Y., and Ronsky, J. L. (2006). Sensitivity of a hill-based muscle model to perturbations in model parameters. *J. Biomech.* 39, 2055–2063. doi:10.1016/j. jbiomech.2005.06.005

Sweeney, H. L., and Houdusse, A. (2010). Structural and functional insights into the myosin motor mechanism. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* 39, 539–557. doi:10.1146/annurev.biophys.050708.133751

Takei, T., Lomber, S. G., Cook, D. J., and Scott, S. H. (2021). Transient deactivation of dorsal premotor cortex or parietal area 5 impairs feedback control of the limb in macaques. *Curr. Biol.* 31, 1476–1487.e5. doi:10.1016/j.cub. 2021.01.049

Thomas, W. E., Vogel, V., and Sokurenko, E. (2008). Biophysics of catch bonds. Annu. Rev. Biophys. 37, 399-416. doi:10.1146/annurev.biophys.37. 032807.125804

Tskhovrebova, L., and Trinick, J. (2003). Titin: properties and family relationships. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 4, 679–689. doi:10.1038/nrm1198

Ueyama, Y. (2021). Costs of position, velocity, and force requirements in optimal control induce triphasic muscle activation during reaching movement. *Sci. Rep.* 11, 16815–16914. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-96084-2

Vilfan, A., and Duke, T. (2003). Instabilities in the transient response of muscle. *Biophys. J.* 85, 818–827. doi:10.1016/s0006-3495(03)74522-6

Von der Ecken, J., Müller, M., Lehman, W., Manstein, D. J., Penczek, P. A., and Raunser, S. (2015). Structure of the f-actin-tropomyosin complex. *Nature* 519, 114–117. doi:10.1038/nature14033

Walcott, S., Warshaw, D. M., and Debold, E. P. (2012). Mechanical coupling between myosin molecules causes differences between ensemble and single-molecule measurements. *Biophys. J.* 103, 501–510. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2012. 06.031

Weirich, K. L., Stam, S., Munro, E., and Gardel, M. L. (2021). Actin bundle architecture and mechanics regulate myosin ii force generation. *Biophys. J.* 120, 1957–1970. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2021.03.026

Winters, J. M. (1990). "Hill-based muscle models: a systems engineering perspective," in *Multiple muscle systems* (Springer), 69–93.

Woll, K. A., and Van Petegem, F. (2022). Calcium-release channels: structure and function of ip3 receptors and ryanodine receptors. *Physiol. Rev.* 102, 209–268. doi:10.1152/physrev.00033.2020

Xie, P. (2020). Dynamics of atp-dependent and atp-independent steppings of myosin-v on actin: catch-bond characteristics. J. R. Soc. Interface 17, 20200029. doi:10.1098/rsif.2020.0029

Yang, S., Tiwari, P., Lee, K. H., Sato, O., Ikebe, M., Padrón, R., et al. (2020). Cryoem structure of the inhibited (10s) form of myosin ii. *Nature* 588, 521–525. doi:10. 1038/s41586-020-3007-0