#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Vadim R. Viviani, Federal University of São Carlos, Brazil

REVIEWED BY Kiryl Piatkevich, Westlake University, China Dhermendra K. Tiwari, Goa University, India

\*CORRESPONDENCE James A. H. Murray, MurrayJAH@Cardiff.ac.uk, ajathoul@bioflares.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Biomaterials, a section of the journal Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology

RECEIVED 15 March 2022 ACCEPTED 30 June 2022 PUBLISHED 26 August 2022

#### CITATION

Jathoul AP, Branchini BR, Anderson JC and Murray JAH (2022), A higher spectral range of beetle bioluminescence with infraluciferin. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 10:897272. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2022.897272

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Jathoul, Branchini, Anderson and Murray. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

### A higher spectral range of beetle bioluminescence with infraluciferin

Amit P. Jathoul<sup>1,2</sup>, Bruce R. Branchini<sup>3</sup>, James C. Anderson<sup>4</sup> and James A. H. Murray<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Biosciences, University of Cardiff, Cardiff, United Kingdom, <sup>2</sup>Bioflares Ltd., Trowbridge, Wiltshire, United Kingdom, <sup>3</sup>Hale Laboratory, Connecticut College, New London, CT, United States, <sup>4</sup>Department of Chemistry, University College London, London, United Kingdom

Coleopteran bioluminescence is unique in that beetle luciferases emit colors ranging between green (ca.550 nm) and red (ca.600 nm), including intermediate colors such as yellow and orange, allowing up to 3 simultaneous parameters to be resolved in vitro with natural luciferin (D-LH<sub>2</sub>). Here, we report a more than doubling of the maximum bioluminescence wavelength range using a single synthetic substrate, infraluciferin (iLH<sub>2</sub>). We report that different luciferases can emit colors ranging from visible green to near-infrared (nIR) with iLH<sub>2</sub>, including in human cells. iLH<sub>2</sub> was designed for dual color far-red to nIR bioluminescence imaging (BLI) in small animals and has been utilized in different mouse models of cancer (including a metastatic hepatic model showing detailed hepatic morphology) and for robust dual parameter imaging in vivo (including in systemic hematological models). Here, we report the properties of different enzymes with iLH<sub>2</sub>: Lampyrid wild-type (WT) Photinus pyralis (Ppy) firefly luciferase, Ppy-based derivatives previously engineered to be thermostable with D-LH<sub>2</sub>, and also color-shifted Elaterid-based enzymes: blue-shifted Pyrearinus termitilluminans derivative Eluc (reported D-LH<sub>2</sub>  $\lambda$ max = 538 nm) and red-shifted Pyrophorus plagiopthalamus derivative click beetle red (CBR) luciferase (D-LH<sub>2</sub>  $\lambda$ max = 618 nm). As purified enzyme, in bacteria or in human cells, Eluc emitted green light ( $\lambda$ max = 536 nm) with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> whereas Ppy Fluc (λmax = 689 nm), x2 Fluc (λmax = 704 nm), x5 Fluc (λmax = 694 nm), x11 Fluc  $(\lambda max = 694 \text{ nm})$  and CBR  $(\lambda max = 721 \text{ nm})$  produced far-red to nIR peak wavelengths. Therefore, with  $iLH_{2}$ , enzyme  $\lambda$ maxes can be separated by ca.185nm, giving almost non-overlapping spectra. This is the first report of single-substrate bioluminescence color emission ranging from visible green to nIR in cells and may help shed light on the color tuning mechanism of beetle luciferases. We also report on the reason for the improvement in activity of x11 Fluc with iLH<sub>2</sub> and engineer an improved infraluciferase (iluc) based on this mutant.

#### KEYWORDS

bioluminescence, green, near-infrared, multicolor, infraluciferin, luciferase, spectral range

### 1 Introduction

Beetle luciferases catalyze a reaction of beetle D-luciferin (D-LH<sub>2</sub>), adenosine triphosphate (ATP), and oxygen to produce bright genetically encodable light of colors ranging from green to red (Viviani and Ohmiya, 2000; Nakajima et al., 2005). This widely studied reaction has numerous applications, including being used for molecular diagnostics (Kiddle et al., 2012) and BLI in biomedicine (Badr and Tannous, 2011), helping unravel mammalian molecular and cellular mechanisms or responses to therapies. However, applications in mammalian tissues are limited by the presence of oxy- and deoxy-hemoglobin (HbO2 and Hb), which absorb light at <600 nm wavelengths (Liang et al., 2012)<sup>,</sup> (Li et al., 2009), complicating signal rendering and quantification of BLI and dual parameter BLI in vivo (Rice et al., 2001; Zhao et al., 2005; Rice and Contag, 2009). As mammalian tissues are relatively transparent to wavelengths of 650-1350 nm (the bio-optical window), to overcome these challenges, we previously described infraluciferin (iLH<sub>2</sub>), a red-shifted analog of D-LH<sub>2</sub> (Figure 1) that can produce different far-red to nIR colors with different luciferases in the bio-optical window of mammalian tissues (Jathoul et al., 2014; Anderson et al., 2017; Stowe et al., 2019). In other words, wavelength shifts that were normally only observed with D-LH<sub>2</sub> were observed at longer wavelengths with iLH2, demonstrating color tuning with a red-shifted analog. BLI in mice using D-iLH2 methyl (Me) ester (or even DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> Me ester) with wild-type (WT) Photinus pyralis (Ppy) firefly luciferase (Fluc) and its thermostable/color derivatives previously allowed nIR BLI of detailed disease morphologies in small animal models of cancer (Jathoul et al., 2014) and allowed robust dual color imaging of T-cell effectors and cancer targets in a Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) T-cell cancer therapy model in vivo (Stowe et al., 2019), showing its advantages for BLI compared to D-LH2. However, pure WT Ppy Fluc enzyme produced much lower specific activity with DL-iLH2 than with D-LH2, by over 3-orders of magnitude at pH 7.8 (Anderson et al., 2019). x11 Fluc (Jathoul et al.,

2012a) was found to be our most active mutant, approximately 7fold brighter, and contained subsets of mutations previously engineered in Murray Lab (Figure 1). As there is a significant reduction of *in vivo* attenuation by Hb/HbO<sub>2</sub> using iLH<sub>2</sub>, x11 Fluc and x11 Fluc color derivatives (Jathoul et al., 2012b) have proven efficient, and the single-substrate dual-parameter *in vivo* BLI approach made possible by iLH<sub>2</sub> is attractive owing to homogeneous *in vivo* pharmacokinetics and dynamics of the single substrate in simultaneous or consecutive acquisitions.

Here, we report some basic properties of beetle luciferases with *DL*-iLH<sub>2</sub>, such as specific activities, kinetics, and pH dependence of activities and colors. We established some foundational aspects of *Ppy*-based Fluc activities and developed brighter infraluciferases (ilucs) based on the x11 Fluc scaffold. To better understand color shifting potential with *DL*-iLH<sub>2</sub>, we also examined two of the most extremely color-shifted luciferases: Eluc, derived from *Pyrearinus termitiluminans* luciferase, is one of the most blue-shifted enzymes reported with *D*-LH<sub>2</sub> ( $\lambda$ max = 538 nm) (Neto et al., 2009)<sup>•</sup> (Nakajima et al., 2010) and click beetle red (CBR) luciferase, from *Pyrophorus plagiopthalamus* luciferase, one of the most red-shifted (*D*-LH<sub>2</sub>  $\lambda$ max = 618) (Miloud et al., 2007), close to the most red-shifted enzyme with *D*-LH<sub>2</sub> from *Phrixotrix hirtus* (PxRE, *D*-LH<sub>2</sub>  $\lambda$ max = 623) (Bevilaqua et al., 2019).

### 2 Results and discussion

# 2.1 Bioluminescence of beetle luciferase enzymes with luciferin and infraluciferin

### 2.1.1 Basic bioluminescence properties of beetle luciferases with infraluciferin

To test conditions in human cells appropriately, WT Fluc, x2 Fluc, x5 Fluc, x11 Fluc, Eluc, and CBR enzymes were purified to measure their basic properties with substrates. We utilized DL-iLH<sub>2</sub>





free acid for enzyme work and its carboxy-methyl ester for cell work. The use of the racemic mix was for ease of synthesis, as reported for the development of other red-shifted luciferin analogs (Miura et al., 2013). Similar to that case, we expected that light yields could be in the order of 2-3-times lower than with an enantiopure D-iLH<sub>2</sub>. Flash kinetics of enzymes were similar with both D-LH<sub>2</sub> and DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> (Supplementary Figure S1A-C), suggesting that adenylation of DLiLH2 proceeds effectively, but oxidation is less efficient. We could not measure Km for DL-iLH2 due to the presence of the inhibitory L-form. Kms for ATP in the presence of DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> (ATP<sub>(iLH2)</sub>) are significant to imaging in cells (Branchini et al., 2015) and were found to be in the range of 200-300 µM for all enzymes (Supplementary Table S1). pH dependence of activity measurements with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> showed that Eluc, WT Ppy, x2, and x5 Flucs had optimal activity in the region of pH 6.6, while x11 Fluc was at pH 7.8, and CBR had a lower pH optimum of pH 5.6 (Supplementary Figure S2).

# 2.1.2 Activity of beetle luciferases and thermostable mutants with luciferin and infraluciferin

2.1.2.1 Enzyme-specific activities at physiological pH, effect of substrate concentration, coenzyme A and hemoglobin attenuation on specific activity

Since DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> is for use in cells and animals, we compared the specific activities of pure enzymes at close to physiological pH (7.3) (Figure 2). With 200 µM DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> at pH 7.3, Eluc, WT Fluc, x5 Fluc, and CBR produced 0.02, 0.15, 0.26, and 0.28% of the specific activity of WT Fluc with D-LH<sub>2</sub>. In comparison, x2 and x11 Flucs produced 6–7-fold enhanced activity of 0.88 and 1.04%, indicating mutations E354R and/or D357Y are responsible for enhancement. Overall, x11 Fluc was the most active enzyme with



(details as in Supplementary Figure S5).

DL-iLH<sub>2</sub>, maintaining linear emission kinetics and also 5-times higher activity when expressed in E. coli (Supplementary Figure S3). Reactions of x2, x5 Flucs, and Eluc were inhibited by increasing concentrations of substrate, possibly due to L-iLH<sub>2</sub> (da Silva and da Silva, 2011). At lower concentrations of DLiLH<sub>2</sub> (1.5–15  $\mu$ M), the activity of Eluc was markedly increased to the level of x11 Fluc (Supplementary Figure S4A). Coenzyme A (CoA) improves the activity of Fluc with D-LH<sub>2</sub> (Fraga et al., 2005) and, in an analogous fashion, CoA increased the maximum level of emission 2-5-fold and reduced signal decay of enzymes with DLiLH<sub>2</sub>, with the exception of Eluc, with which CoA reduced activity (Supplementary Figure S4A and B). To account for signal augmentation in vivo, we simulated the effect of Hb attenuation with a 1 cm thick agarose phantom containing 50% whole equine blood (Hb concentration estimated by spectrometry to be 0.55 mM) (Supplementary Figure S4C). Through blood, the integrated light emission from WT, x2, x5, or x11 Flucs was attenuated more than 100-fold with D-LH2 but less than 10fold with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub>.

## 2.1.2 An expanded spectral range of beetle bioluminescence with infraluciferin

To examine the emission colors of enzymes with DL- $iLH_2$ , bioluminescence spectra (Figure 3A) were acquired using a Clariostar multimeter (Clariostar, BMG Labtech, Ortenburg,

Enzyme	Substrate			
	D-LH <sub>2</sub>		DL-iLH <sub>2</sub>	
	λmax (nm)	FWHM (nm)	λmax (nm)	FWHM (nm)
Eluc	536	71	536	67
WT Fluc	554	68	685	121
x2 Fluc	566	92	704	107
x5 Fluc	557	75	694	104
x11 Fluc	557	75	694	115
CBR	618	74	721	88

TABLE 1 Bioluminescence spectral  $\lambda$ max and full-width half maxima. Data were acquired using the Clariostar instrument. Experimental details are as shown in Figure 3A.

Germany) fitted with a detector module with improved sensitivity to nIR wavelengths and in the PhotonIMAGER Optima (PIO, Biospace Labs, Paris, France) small animal imager (Supplementary Figure S5). Bioluminescence spectra  $\lambda$ max values for WT, x2, x5, and x11 Flucs with *DL*-iLH<sub>2</sub> were 685, 704, 694, and 694 nm, respectively (Table 1), which 131, 138, 137 and 137 nm shifted compared to their  $\lambda maxes$  with D-LH<sub>2</sub>. Full width half maximum (FWHM) values of most enzymes were larger with DL-iLH2 than with D-LH2, indicating room for improvement for spectral specificities. Remarkably the emission color of Eluc with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> was green (from *E. coli* or as purified protein,  $\lambda max = 536$  nm), and CBR was nIR ( $\lambda$ max = 721 nm), with similar or narrower spectra than with D-LH<sub>2</sub>. These click beetle enzymes are extremely blue- and red-shifted and pH-independent in terms of color with D-LH<sub>2</sub> (Viviani, 2002). However, with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub>, they produced almost mutually exclusively spectra with a 185 nm peak separation between them (Figure 3B). Therefore, bioluminescence with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> can range in emission color from the visible to the nIR. This effect has the potential to benefit academia in the future and to multicolor BLI in the absence of Hb. Eluc produced a much smaller secondary nIR peak (ca.700 nm), giving an overall 7.5% overlap between normalized spectra of Eluc and CBR with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> measured in the PIO, as opposed to 28% with D-LH<sub>2</sub>, which was previously one of the largest spectral separations achievable with D-LH<sub>2</sub>. Green emission was recently reported from enzymes CBG99 and CBG99opt ( $\lambda$ max = 545 nm) with near-infrared emitting naphthyl amino-luciferin (NH2-NpLH2) (Zambito et al., 2020); supplementary supporting however, the bioluminescence spectra provided for that study show that these enzymes are red for CBG99 ( $\lambda$ max = ca.600 nm) and far-red for CBG99opt ( $\lambda$ max = ca.650 nm) with NH2-NpLH2 (Zambito et al., 2020) in human embryonic kidney (HEK) cells. pH dependence of bioluminescence spectra of Ppy-based Flucs with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> mirrored the classical effects typically obtained

with Flucs and D-LH<sub>2</sub> (Supplementary Figure S6, S7): WT Fluc displayed a classic bathochromic shift (Tisi et al., 2002) at lower pH values with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub>; Eluc had pH-independent color with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub>; CBR displayed a small reciprocal hypsochromic shift at low pH.

Despite Eluc having a low activity with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub>, we were confident that this was due to a low rate of oxidation and that the effect was not due to the chemiluminescence of free infraluciferyl adenylate initiated by Eluc. The spectral shape of the green emission was very similar to the narrow spectrum of Eluc with D-LH<sub>2</sub>. The activity of Eluc with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> was dependent on enzyme concentration, though the green spectrum did not vary with enzyme concentration (Figure 3). Chemiluminescence of DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> Me ester initiated using 1M potassium tert-butoxide (t-BuOK) (Miura et al., 2013) was seen to emit broadly in the visible between green and red depending on the buffer, and its shape differed entirely from that of Eluc (Supplementary Figure S8). This result has ramifications for the color tuning mechanism of beetle luciferases (Branchini et al., 2017) (Supplementary Figure S9).

#### 2.2 Engineering brighter enzymes with infraluciferin

# 2.2.1 WT Ppy Fluc mutation E354R improves activity with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> and D357Y red-shifts emission

To examine the reasons for the relatively higher activities of x2 and x11 Flucs with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> over WT *Ppy* Fluc, the mutations E354R and D357Y, located in a solvent-exposed omega-loop ( $\Omega$ -loop) (Halliwell et al., 2018), (Conti et al., 1996) were individually constructed in WT Fluc. Mutations (Willey et al., 2001), insertions (Tafreshi et al., 2007), and deletions (Halliwell et al., 2018) in the  $\Omega$ -loop affect properties such as thermostability and color with *D*-LH<sub>2</sub> by altering H-bond



networks which link adjacent surface loops to enclose the active site in the region that coordinates the 6-hydroxyl of D-LH<sub>2</sub>. Deletions in the  $\Omega$ -loop can also affect substrate specificity with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> (Halliwell et al., 2018). In the Ppy Fluc structure with 5'-O-[N-(dehydroluciferyl)-sulfamoyl] adenosine (DLSA) bound (4G36.pdb) (Sundlov et al., 2012), E354 H-bonds to H310, and E311 H-bonds to nearby loop residue R337. This network has been implicated in the stabilization of the hydrophobic active site and providing a counterion for LO phenolate (Viviani et al., 2016). We found that E354R alone in WT Fluc was sufficient to cause an average 3.3-fold improvement in activity with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> through an open filter on the PIO (Figure 4). Mutation D357Y did not affect activity but red-shifted emission color and double mutant x2 Fluc displayed both effects of improved activity and red-shift. No further improvement was found by screening random mutations at both positions in WT Fluc, and the addition of E354R/D357Y to x5 Fluc only marginally improved activity. Some hints to a mechanism were gained by in silico docking (Goodsell and Olson, 1990), suggesting that improved iLH<sub>2</sub> coordination enhances the light yield of WT Fluc E354R (Berraud-Pache and Naviet, 2016) (Reference Supplementary Figure S10 and S11).

### 2.2.2 x11 Fluc R354H/Y357A displays enhanced activity specifically with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub>

Since the activity of x11 Fluc with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> was affected by the conformation of the  $\Omega$ -loop, we randomly mutated both positions singly and together in x11 Fluc and improvements in activity were observed in double mutant screens (Figure 5). Basic and polar residues enhanced activity at position 354, but this depended on the identity of residue 357, at which less polarity appeared favorable. We isolated significantly brighter x11 Fluc mutants, E354H/D357A, E354Q/D357S, and E354S/ D357P, with 3-, 2-, and 2.5-fold higher activities than x11 Fluc, respectively. The improved activity of mutant x11 Fluc R354H/ Y357A was confirmed separately in the laboratory of the second co-author. However, it was less active than x11 Fluc with D-LH<sub>2</sub>, so we termed this mutant infraluciferase 1 (iluc1). Pure iluc1 proved to be up to 17-fold brighter than WT Fluc with *DL*-iLH<sub>2</sub> and with a small blue-shift in emission compared to WT Fluc and x11 Fluc (Supplementary Figure S12A-C). The pH dependence of specific activity showed that iluc1 had optimum pH at 7.4, compared to 7.8 for x11 Fluc, but the pH affected the emission color of iluc1 more than x11 Fluc, and it displayed a slightly larger bathochromic shift at low pH and reciprocal hypsochromic shift at higher pH with diminution of activity at pH 9.8 (Supplementary Figure S12D-F). The kinetics of iluc1 showed a slightly higher decay than x11 Fluc (Supplementary Figure S12G). Iluc1 proved to be 20-fold brighter than WT Fluc with DL-iLH2 in E. coli BL21 cells (Supplementary Figure S12H).

# 2.3 Properties of iluc1 and color-shifted iluc1 derivatives in human embryonic kidney 293 cells

Human codon-optimized Eluc, WT Fluc, x11 Fluc, iluc1, and CBR were purchased as gblocks (IDT DNA, IA, United States) and cloned using AfeI/XbaI into a lentiviral vector pCCL (Dull et al., 1998) co-expressing EGFP downstream of an internal ribosome entry site (IRES). Vectors encoding different mutants were transfected into human embryonic kidney (HEK) cells and, after 48 h, were imaged for EGFP, followed by BLI by addition of either 1 mM *D*-LH<sub>2</sub> potassium salt (Regis Tech, IL, United States) or 1 mM *DL*-iLH<sub>2</sub> Me ester both in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) onto whole cells with culture medium (containing phenol red) removed. BLI signals were EGFP normalized to account for transfection efficiencies, and no bioluminescence was detected from any non-transfected



control cells with either substrate. Eluc and WT Ppy Fluc gave 0.17 and 0.2% total integrated light emission with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> compared to WT Fluc with D-LH2, whereas with x11 Fluc and iluc1 gave 1.8 and 3.1%, respectively (Figure 6A and Supplementary Figure S13). Eluc activity was weak and did not improve at lower concentrations (100 µM) of DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> ester. Though the green peak was stable in HEK cells, the secondary unstable nIR peak (peaking in the 697 nm +/-15 nm filter) was observed in some measurements. Iluc1 dual color mutants were constructed by the introduction of mutations V241I, G246A, and F250S to blue-shift and S284T to red-shift spectra (Branchini et al., 2007). x11 Fluc is relatively refractory to classic red-shifting mutation S284T, requiring the additional substitution R354I ("x11 red2", or "FLuc\_red") (Jathoul et al., 2012b; Stowe et al., 2019) to stabilize red-shift (Willey et al., 2001) for dual imaging with V245I/G246S/F250S ("x11 green", or "FLuc\_green"). In iluc1, however, the addition of S284T alone produced a stable red-shifted variant (ilucR) almost identical in spectrum to x11 red2 (Supplementary Figure S14). The addition of S284T to a bright x11 Fluc  $\Omega$ -loop deletion mutant ( $\Delta$ P359) (Halliwell et al., 2018) also produced a bright red-shifted mutant, x11 S284T/ $\Delta$ P359, and these gave 2.3 and 2.4% of activity with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> compared to WT Fluc with D-LH<sub>2</sub>, respectively. To blue-shift iluc1, double mutant V2451/G246S (ilucG1), single mutant F250S (ilucG2) and triple mutant V2451/G246S/F250S (ilucG3) were constructed, giving 1, 3.6, and 1.7% of activity with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> compared to WT Fluc with D-LH<sub>2</sub>, respectively. All these produced blue-shifted spectra compared to iluc1. CBR was the most red-shifted variant tested (peaking in the 722 nm filter) (Supplementary Figure S15) but was relatively dim compared to red-shifted ilucs with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> (0.2%) and, thus, not further examined.

#### 2.4 Dual-cell type unmixing with infraluciferin in human embryonic kidney 293 cells

To test the ability to simultaneously image and resolve HEK 293 cells *in vitro* expressing the different colored iluc mutants from each other with *DL*-iLH<sub>2</sub>, potential dual color pairings ilucG2/x11 S284T  $\Delta$ P359 and ilucG3/ilucR were compared in HEK cell unmixing experiments.  $1.5 \times 10^6$  HEK 293 cells were plated in a 48-well format and transfected after 24 h with mixes (0, 25, 50, 75, and 100%) lentiviral vectors, encoding either of the dual far-red to nIR pairs and after 24 h were imaged by the addition of either *D*-LH<sub>2</sub> or *DL*-iLH<sub>2</sub>. The brightest pairing, ilucG2 and x11 S284T  $\Delta$ P359, was not found to be separable due to variation in an unstable spectrum of ilucG2; however, ilucG3 and ilucR spectra were stable and increasing amounts of cells (ca.7800-31,250) in mixes could be imaged in separate far-red to nIR band-pass filters in the PIO with *DL*-iLH<sub>2</sub> (Figures 7A and B).

### 3 Concluding remarks

We report an unexpected finding that the bioluminescence of Eluc with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> is in the visible region (green) and that pairing it with other enzymes produces unprecedentedly large single-substrate bioluminescence wavelength separations. Thus, synthetic bioluminescence using DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> has more than double the possible spectral range of natural firefly bioluminescence without the requirement for resonance energy transfer acceptors. This basic discovery could have ramifications in academia in terms of the color tuning



#### FIGURE 6

Bioluminescence yields and spectra from transfected HEK 293 cells. (A) EGFP normalized light yields from whole HEK cells.  $1 \text{mM} D-L\text{H}_2 \text{ K}^+$  salt or DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> Me ester was added to initiate luminescence, and emission was captured over 5 min at 30°C in the PIO (Biospace Labs, Paris, France). Emission with both substrates was observed to take approximately 1 min to reach a plateau, and light yields given were from 1 min after addition of substrates. Background signals were obtained from triplicate ROIs placed in areas of images containing no wells. (B) Normalized bioluminescence spectra obtained from whole transfected HEK cells expressing different variants with (B) D-LH<sub>2</sub> and (C) DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> Me ester imaged in the PIO.



#### FIGURE 7

Imaging and unmixing HEK 293 cells expressing dual mixes of ilucG3 and ilucR. Substrates were dispensed with a multiwell pipette and, after 5 min, were imaged in successive filters on the PIO (622, 722, and 797 nm band-passes (BP)). Regions of interest were analyzed for photon flux at different wavelengths using the M3 Vision software. (A) Quantitative bioluminescence spectra from variants. (B) Increasing percentage of ilucG3 imaged through 622, 722 and 797BP filters.

mechanism of beetle luciferases and in bioimaging with the engineering of enzymes that are brighter at visible wavelengths, and enzymes with emission colors intermediate between green and far-red. We have identified enzymes with improved activity, good color stability, and emission kinetics with DL-iLH<sub>2</sub>, which could be useful as first-generation infraluciferases (ilucs) for use in dual *in vivo* imaging and continue to test and engineer new generation ilucs for potential application to simultaneous single-substrate multiparametric BLI in the future.

#### 3.1 Contribution to the field statement

Synthetic bioluminescence with infraluciferin has advantageous properties over naturally evolved bioluminescence for applications in bioimaging. With an extended spectral range, in the future, enzymes may be engineered with high activity emissions ranging from the green to the nIR and improve the amount of biological information that can be imaged simultaneously from living systems.

#### 4 Materials and methods

# 4.1 Synthesis of luciferins and luciferin source

*D*-luciferin potassium salt was purchased from Regis Technologies (CA, United States). The free acid and methyl ester of *DL*-infraluciferin were synthesized as described within the literature (Anderson et al., 2017) and prepared by first dissolving them to 10 mg/ml in DMSO and then further in a relevant buffer.

### 4.2 Vectors, cloning, over-expression, and purification of enzymes

Vectors encoding WT, x2, x5, and x11 Flucs in pET16b were prepared in previous work. 10X-N-terminal His-tagged Eluc and CBR were amplified from pLR6-Eluc (GenBank KU756582.1), provided kindly by Mikhail Koksharov (Brown University, United States), or pGex-CBR plasmids, respectively, and cloned into the pET16b with NcoI and BamHI. These were transformed into *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) pLysS cells (Agilent Technologies, CA, United States) and over-expressed and purified by nickel-NTA affinity chromatography as described previously (Law et al., 2006; Jathoul et al., 2012a). The pCCL vector 305 was kindly provided by Prof. Riccardo Brambilla (Cardiff University, Cardiff, United Kingdom) under MTA.

# 4.3 Construction of random mutants at positions E354 and D357 by overlap extension mutagenesis

Overlap extension was used to introduce mutations into Flucs by site-directed random mutagenesis since this method gives added versatility when introducing simultaneous mutations at different sites. To construct random mutations at E354 and D357, first, a fragment of ca.1070 bp was amplified from pET16b x2 Fluc using outer primer pETPpyFor (AGGTCGTCATATGGAAGACGCCAA AA) and overlap primer RYrRev (GACCGCGCCCGGTTTNN NATCCCCNNNGGGTGTAATCAGAATAG). Another ca.580 bp fragment was amplified separately amplified using overlap primer RYrFor (CTATTCTGATTACACCCNNNGGGGATNN NAAACCGGGCGCGGTC) and outer primer pETPpyRev (GCAGCCGGATCCAGTTACATTTTACA). Each fragment was gel purified, and they were fused together by PCR using outer primers pETPpyFor and Rev to produce a single band of ca.1500bp, which was further extracted and digested with NdeI and BamHI before subcloning into pET16b. Luminescent colonies were identified by spraying with 60 µM DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> in 0.1M citrate buffer (pH 5) and being imaged for 1 min in the PIO and were verified by sequencing.

### 4.4 Measurement of specific activity, coenzyme A assays, and flash kinetics

For specific activity, each enzyme was prepared in chilled PEM (1x PBS, 2 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and 10 mM magnesium sulphate (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), pH 7.3) or TEM buffer (100 mM Tris-acetate, 2 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and 10 mM magnesium sulphate (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), pH 7.8) and 200 µM D-LH<sub>2</sub> or 60 µM DL-iLH<sub>2</sub> and 2 mM ATP at pH 7.8 were used to saturate reactions. Measurements were captured with or without band-pass filters in the PIO 1 min after addition of substrates, for periods of up to 1 h at 28-30°C. Flash kinetics were measured with both substrates by dispensing 2 mM ATP onto 0.167  $\mu$ M enzymes with 200  $\mu$ M D-LH<sub>2</sub> or 60 mM iLH<sub>2</sub> and adjusting PMT gain values to 1,500 v for D-LH<sub>2</sub> and 4,095 v for DL-iLH<sub>2</sub>. To test the effect of coenzyme A (CoA), 200 µM was also supplemented into experiments. To construct the Hb phantom, 50% whole equine blood in 1% agarose was allowed to set in a 150 mm square Petri dish, and 96-well plates containing samples were overlaid with this to test the effect of filtering of emission by blood.

## 4.5 pH dependence of bioluminescence spectra

Bioluminescent spectra were captured using both the PIO and Clariostar multimeter (BMG Labtech, Ortenburg, Germany).

For measurements at differing pH values, TEM buffer was adjusted to different pH values using acetic acid or sodium hydroxide, and these were used to prepare both substrates and enzymes.

# 4.6 Kinetics assays: measurement of $\ensuremath{\mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{m}}}$ for ATP

For ATP  $K_m$  measurements, light emission was measured when substrate concentrations of ATP were varied between 1  $\mu$ M and 2 mM in the presence of either saturating *D*-LH<sub>2</sub> or *DL*-iLH<sub>2</sub>, respectively. Data were plotted using the Hanes–Woolf plot to derive  $K_m$  and  $k_{cat}$  values (obtained with a BMG Fluostar PMT gain of 4,095 v).

## 4.7 Chemiluminescence of infraluciferin Me ester

To initiate chemiluminescence, 50  $\mu$ l of 10 mg/ml iLH<sub>2</sub> Me ester was added to 200  $\mu$ l 2M t-BuOK in TEM buffer or 5  $\mu$ l of ester was added to 50  $\mu$ l 1M t-BuOK in DMSO and light emission was integrated over 1 min using different band pass filters in the PIO.

# 4.8 HEK cell transfection, transduction, and imaging

HEK cells were plated at the density of  $1.5 \times 10^6$  cells per plate in a 6-well dish. The following day, wells were transfected with 500 ng pCCL vectors encoding Flucs using 3 µl of GeneJuice transfection reagent (Novagen, WI, United States) and imaged after 48h for EGFP expression using an excitation wavelength of 487 nm and acquisition with a 547 nm (+/-15 nm) filter, and subtraction of the subsequent image taken with an excitation value of 412 nm. BLI was then acquired for 5 min after dispensing substrates on cells using a multiwell pipette.

#### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author. Data and materials will be made available in line with UKRI policies.

### Author contributions

APJ/BB carried out experiments planned with other authors.

### Funding

BB was funded by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Grant number FA9550-18-1-0017, United States. This work was partly funded by BBSRC grant BB/L022346/1 to JM. APJ was funded by the Welsh Government for a Ser Cymru II Award (80762-CU-063) and JCA was funded by the University College London.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge Mikhail Koksharov (Brown University, United States) for providing Eluc pDNA and helpful discussions. The authors also acknowledge George Roberts (a graduate of Cardiff University) for carrying out mutagenesis of Eluc at position F243. APJ and JCA are inventors of infra-luciferin, listed on patent EP2981527 A1.

### Conflict of interest

APJ was employed by Bioflares Ltd.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

#### Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fbioe.2022. 897272/full#supplementary-material

### References

Anderson, J. C., Chang, C. H., Jathoul, A. P., and Syed, A. J. (2019). Synthesis and bioluminescence of electronically modified and rotationally restricted colour-shifting infraluciferin analogues. *Tetrahedron* 75, 347–356. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2018. 11.061

Anderson, J. C., Grounds, H., Jathoul, A. P., Murray, J. A. H., Pacman, S. J., and Tisi, L. C. (2017). Convergent synthesis and optical properties of near-infrared emitting bioluminescent infra-luciferins. *RSC Adv.* 7, 3975–3982. doi:10.1039/ c6ra19541e

Badr, C. E., and Tannous, B. A. (2011). Bioluminescence imaging: progress and applications. *Trends Biotechnol.* 29, 624–633. doi:10.1016/j.tibtech.2011.06.010

Berraud-Pache, R., and Naviet, I. (2016). QM/MM calculations on a newly synthesised oxyluciferin substrate: new insights into the conformational effect. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 18, 27460–27467. doi:10.1039/c6cp02585d

Bevilaqua, V. R., Matsuhashi, T., Oliveira, G., Oliveira, P. S. L., Hirano, T., and Viviani, V. R. (2019). Phrixotrix luciferase and 6-aminoluciferins reveal a larger luciferin phenolate binding site and provide novel far-red combinations for bioimaging purposes. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 8998. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-44534-3

Branchini, B. R., Ablamsky, D. M., Murtiashaw, M. H., Uzasci, L., Fraga, H., and Southworth, T. L. (2007). Thermostable red and green light-producing firefly luciferase mutants for bioluminescent reporter applications. *Anal. Biochem.* 361, 253–262. doi:10.1016/j.ab.2006.10.043

Branchini, B. R., Southworth, T. L., Fontaine, D. M., Murtiashaw, M. H., McGurk, A., Talukder, M. H., et al. (2017). Cloning of the orange lightproducing luciferase from *Photinus scintillans* - a new proposal on how bioluminescence color is determined. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 93, 479–485. doi:10.1111/php.12671

Branchini, B. R., Southworth, T. L., Fontaine, D. M., Kohrt, D., Talukder, M., Michelini, E., et al. (2015). An enhanced chimeric firefly luciferase-inspired enzyme for ATP detection and bioluminescence reporter and imaging applications. *Anal. Biochem.* 484, 148–153. doi:10.1016/j.ab.2015.05.020

Conti, E., Franks, N. P., and Brick, P. (1996). Crystal structure of firefly luciferase throws light on a superfamily of adenylate-forming enzymes. *Structure* 4, 287–298. doi:10.1016/s0969-2126(96)00033-0

da Silva, L. P., and da Silva, J. C. (2011). Kinetics of inhibition of firefly luciferase by dehydroluciferyl-coenzyme A, dehydroluciferin and L-luciferin. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 10, 1039–1045. doi:10.1039/c0pp00379d

Dull, T., Zufferey, R., Kelly, M., Mandel, R. J., Nguyen, M., Trono, D., et al. (1998). A third-generation lentivirus vector with a conditional packaging system. *J. Virol.* 72, 8463–8471. doi:10.1128/jvi.72.11.8463-8471.1998

Fraga, H., Fernandes, D., Fontes, R., and da Silva, J. C. (2005). Coenzyme A affects firefly luciferase luminescence because it acts as a substrate and not as an allosteric effector. *FEBS J.* 272, 5206–5216. doi:10.1111/j.1742-4658.2005.04895.x

Goodsell, D. S., and Olson, A. J. (1990). Automated docking of substrates to proteins by simulated annealing. *Proteins.* 8, 195–202. doi:10.1002/prot.340080302

Halliwell, L. M., Jathoul, A. P., Bate, J. P., Worthy, H. L., Anderson, J. C., Jones, D. D., et al. (2018).  $\Delta$ Flucs: brighter *Photinus pyralis* firefly luciferases identified by surveying consecutive single amino acid substitutions in a thermostable variant. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 115, 50–59. doi:10.1002/bit.26451

Jathoul, A., Law, E., Gandelman, O., Pule, M., Tisi, L., and Murray, J. (2012). "Development of a pH-tolerant thermostable *photinus pyralis* luciferase for brighter *in vivo* imaging," in *Bioluminescence - recent advances in oceanic measurements and laboratory applications*. Editor D. Lapota (London: IntechOpen).

Jathoul, A. P., Grounds, H., Anderson, J. C., and Pule, M. A. (2014). A dual-color far-red to near-infrared firefly luciferin analogue designed for multiparametric bioluminescence imaging. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 53, 13275–13279. doi:10. 1002/ange.201405955

Jathoul, A. P., Thomas, S., Philip, B., and Pule, M. A. (2012). "Characteisation of a bright and thermostable rainbow of firefly luciferases: enhanced tools for *in vivo* bioluminescence imaging," in *World molecular imaging conference poster session* (Dublin, Ireland, UK. https://wmis.org/abstracts/2012/data/papers/P297.html.

Kiddle, G., Hardinge, P., Buttigieg, N., Gandelman, O., Pereira, C., McElgunn, C. J., et al. (2012). GMO detection using a bioluminescent real time reporter (BART) of loop mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) suitable for field use. *BMC Biotechnol.* 12, 15. doi:10.1186/1472-6750-12-15

Law, G. H. E., Gandelman, O. A., Tisi, L. C., Lowe, C. R., and Murray, J. A. H. (2006). Mutagenesis of solvent exposed amino acids in Photinus pyralis luciferase improves thermostability and pH-tolerance. *Biochem. J.* 397, 305–312. doi:10.1042/bj20051847

Li, X., Nakajima, Y., Niwa, K., Viviani, V. R., and Ohmiya, Y. (2009). Enhanced red-emitting railroad worm luciferase for bioassays and bioimaging. *Protein Sci.* 19, 26–33. doi:10.1002/pro.279

Liang, Y., Walczak, P., and Bulte, J. W. (2012). Comparison of red-shifted firefly luciferase *Ppy* RE9 and conventional Luc2 as bioluminescence imaging reporter genes for *in vivo* imaging of stem cells. *J. Biomed. Opt.* 17, 016004. doi:10.1117/1.jbo. 17.1.016004

Miloud, T., Henrich, C., and Hammerling, G. J. (2007). Quantitative comparison of click beetle and firefly luciferases for *in vivo* bioluminescence imaging. *J. Biomed. Opt.* 12, 054018. doi:10.1117/1.2800386

Miura, C., Kiyama, M., Iwano, S., Ito, K., Obata, R., Hirano, T., et al. (2013). Synthesis and luminescence properties of biphenyl-type firefly luciferin analogs with a new, near-infrared light-emitting bioluminophore. *Tetrahedron* 69, 9726–9734. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2013.09.018

Nakajima, Y., Kimura, T., Sugata, K., Enomoto, T., Asakawa, A., Kubota, H., et al. (2005). Multicolor luciferase assay system: one-step monitoring of multiple gene expressions with a single substrate. *BioTechniques* 38, 891–894. doi:10.2144/05386st03

Nakajima, Y., Yamazaki, T., Nishii, S., Noguchi, T., Hoshino, H., Niwa, K., et al. (2010). Enhanced beetle luciferase for high-resolution bioluminescence imaging. *PLoS ONE* 5, e10011. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010011

Neto, A. J., Scorsato, V., Arnoldi, F. G. C., and Viviani, V. R. (2009). *Pyrearinus termitilluminans* larval click beetle luciferase: active site properties, structure and function relationships and comparison with other beetle luciferases. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 8, 1748–1754. doi:10.1039/b9pp00053d

Rice, B. W., Cable, M. D., and Nelson, M. B. (2001). In vivo imaging of lightemitting probes. J. Biomed. Opt. 6, 432-440. doi:10.1117/1.1413210

Rice, B. W., and Contag, C. H. (2009). The importance of being red. Nat. Biotechnol. 27, 624-625. doi:10.1038/nbt0709-624

Stowe, C., Burley, T. A., Allan, H., Vinci, M., Kramer-Marek, G., Ciobota, D. M., et al. (2019). Near-infrared dual bioluminescence imaging in mouse models of cancer using infraluciferin. *Elife* 8, e45801. doi:10.7554/elife.45801

Sundlov, J. A., Fontaine, D. M., Southworth, T. L., Branchini, B. R., and Gulick, A. M. (2012). Crystal structure of firefly luciferase in a second catalytic conformation supports a domain alternation mechanism. *Biochemistry* 51, 6493–6495. doi:10.1021/bi300934s

Tafreshi, N. K., Hosseinkhani, S., Sadeghizadeh, M., Sadeghi, M., Ranjbar, B., and Naderi-Manesh, H. (2007). The influence of insertion of a critical residue (Arg356) in structure and bioluminescence spectra of firefly luciferase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 8641–8647. doi:10.1074/jbc.m609271200

Tisi, L. C., Law, E. L., Gandelman, O., Lowe, C. R., and Murray, J. A. H. (2002). "The basis of the bathochromic shift in the luciferase from," in *Bioluminescence and chemiluminescence: Progress and current applications edited by stanley PE and kricka LJ* (Singapore: World Scientific).Photinus pyralis

Viviani, V. R., and Ohmiya, Y. (2000). Bioluminescence color determinants of phrixothrix railroad-worm luciferases: Chimeric luciferases, site-directed mutagenesis of arg 215 and guanidine effect. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 72, 267–271. doi:10.1562/0031-8655(2000)0720267bcdopr2.0.co2

Viviani, V. R., Simões, A., Bevilaqua, V. R., Gabriel, G. V., Arnoldi, F. G., and Hirano, T. (2016). Glu311 and Arg337 stabilize a closed active-site conformation and provide a critical catalytic base and countercation for green bioluminescence in beetle luciferases. *Biochemistry* 55, 4764–4776. doi:10.1021/acs.biochem. 6b00260

Viviani, V. R. (2002). The origin, diversity, and structure function relationships of insect luciferases. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 59, 1833–1850. doi:10.1007/pl00012509

Willey, T. L., Squirrell, D. J., and White, P. J. (2001). "Design and selection of firefly luciferases with novel *in vivo* and *in vitro* properties," in Proc. 11<sup>th</sup> int. Symp. Biolum. Chemilum. Editors J. F. Case, P. J. Herring, B. H. Robinson, S. H. D. Haddock, L. J. Kricka, and P. E. Stanley (Singapore: World Scientific), 201–204. Pgs.

Zambito, G., Hall, M. P., Wood, M. G., Gaspar, N., Ridwan, Y., Stellari, F. F., et al. (2020). Red-shifted click beetle luciferase mutant expands the multicolorbioluminescent palette for deep tissue imaging. *iScience* 24, 101986.

Zhao, H., Doyle, T. C., Coquoz, O., Kalish, F., Rice, B. W., and Contag, C. H. (2005). Emission spectra of bioluminescent reporters and interaction with mammalian tissue determine the sensitivity of detection *in vivo. J. Biomed. Opt.* 10, 041210. doi:10.1117/1.2032388