Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Björn Rath, Klinikum Wels-Grieskirchen, Austria

REVIEWED BY

Qipeng Song, Shandong Sport University, China João Abrantes, Universidade Lusófona, Portugal

*CORRESPONDENCE Tung-Wu Lu, ⊠ twlu@ntu.edu.tw Hwa-Chang Liu, ⊠ hcliu8@gmail.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Biomechanics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology

RECEIVED 29 December 2022 ACCEPTED 13 March 2023 PUBLISHED 22 March 2023

CITATION

Lee P-A, Wang T-M, Chen Y-T, Wu K-H, Liu H-C and Lu T-W (2023), Mid-vastus total knee arthroplasty for medial osteoarthritis recovers gait balance control better than lateral parapatellar approach three months post-surgery. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 11:1133992. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2023.1133992

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Lee, Wang, Chen, Wu, Liu and Lu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY).

The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Mid-vastus total knee arthroplasty for medial osteoarthritis recovers gait balance control better than lateral parapatellar approach three months post-surgery

Pei-An Lee^{1,2}, Ting-Ming Wang^{2,3}, Yu-Ting Chen¹, Kuan-Hsien Wu¹, Hwa-Chang Liu^{3,4}* and Tung-Wu Lu^{1,2}*

⁴Department of Biomedical Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan, ²Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, School of Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan, ³Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, ⁴Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Taiwan Adventist Hospital National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) approaches affect recovery outcomes, with different levels of residual loss of muscle strength and functional deficits. The current study compared the gait balance control in older individuals 3 months after TKA via the lateral parapatellar approach (LPPA) and mid-vastus approach (MVA) in terms of the inclination angle (IA) of the center of pressure (COP) to the body's center of mass (COM) vector, and the rate of change of IA (RCIA). In a gait laboratory, 12 patients with severe medial knee osteoarthritis who had undergone bilateral TKA via LPPA and 12 via MVA were evaluated and compared against 12 healthy controls for their balance control during gait 3 months after surgery. The participants' kinematic data and ground reaction forces were measured synchronously using an 8-camera motion capture system and three forceplates, respectively, from which the COM, COP, IA and RCIA were calculated using a 13-body-segment model. The LPPA group showed significantly greater sagittal IA during DLS (p < 0.01) but less sagittal and frontal RCIA throughout the gait cycle (p < 0.04) compared to controls. The MVA showed better recovery in the balance control with most IA and RCIA variables similar to those of the healthy controls throughout the gait cycle. The patients with LPPA walked with a compromised balance control throughout the gait cycle while the MVA group showed close-to-normal balance control with a slight decrease in sagittal RCIA during SLS. The current between-approach findings were likely related to the differences in the muscles involved during surgery, suggesting that MVA may be a better choice than LPPA when taking short-term gait balance control into consideration.

KEYWORDS

mid-vastus approach, lateral parapatellar approach, total knee arthroplasty, balance, motion analysis

Introduction

Knee osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common joint disorder, affecting more than 654.1 million adults worldwide in 2020 (Cui et al., 2020). Over 10% of adults aged above 60 years suffer from knee OA (Zhang and Jordan, 2010), with limited range of motion, pain and joint stiffness, reduced physical function (Yelin et al., 1987; Jinks et al., 2007; Cubukcu et al., 2012), and affected gait patterns and balance control, resulting in an elevated risk of falling (Levinger et al., 2012; Tsonga et al., 2015). Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) has been the main treatment for advanced knee OA, showing notable efficacy in reducing pain and improving the motion and loadings of the operated knee during walking (Hatfield et al., 2011; Orishimo et al., 2012). With the advance in total knee prosthesis design for wear resistance and low failure rate, improvement of functional performance and reduction of fall risks have become a major consideration in TKA (Moutzouri et al., 2017). Different TKA surgical procedures were found to have different recovery outcomes, with different levels of loss of muscle strength and functional deficits in the lower extremities (Migliorini et al., 2020).

The midline medial parapatellar approach (MPPA) has successfully been used for TKA in the last decades (Tarazi et al., 2019), but damage to the quadriceps tendon and the extensor mechanism has been found to affect the functional outcomes (Boerger et al., 2005). More recent modifications to this traditional approach (MPPA), such as the lateral parapatellar approach (LPPA) (Keblish, 1991) and the mid-vastus approach (MVA) (Engh et al., 1997), are believed to give better functional outcomes (Masjudin and Kamari, 2012; Gunst et al., 2016). The LPPA involves an incision on the mid-line of the quadriceps tendon (about 5 cm proximal to the apex on the patella) to separate the vastus lateralis from the remainder of the quadriceps down to the base of the patella, around the lateral border of the patella, and finally along the lateral side of the patellar tendon (Liu et al., 2001). The LPPA allows minimal muscle damage, preserves medial blood supply, and improves patellar tracking (Cristea et al., 2016). The MVA was also developed to reduce disruption of the extensor mechanism keeping the quadriceps tendon intact. The vastus medialis oblique muscle belly is split in the direction of its fibers, from a point at the superior-medial border of the patella and extending medially towards the inter-muscular septum (Dalury and Jiranek, 1999; Liu H.-W. et al., 2014). The incision then continues from the superior-medial border of the patella, around the medial border of the patella, and finally along the medial side of the patellar tendon (Engh et al., 1997). This approach has been shown to provide short recovery time and better radiological results than the traditional approach (Mehta et al., 2017). Both LPPA and MVA are reported to be superior to the traditional MPPA technique with higher tissue preservation and faster recovery (Sekiya et al., 2014; Mehta et al., 2017) but the functional outcomes between LPPA and MVA surgical approaches have not been well documented. Since LPPA and MVA affect different muscles and soft tissues, identifying their effects on the functional performance will help provide guidelines for surgical approach selections for individual patients.

It has been reported that older people with knee OA are at higher risk of loss of balance during level walking and remain so after TKA (Kearns et al., 2008; Swinkels et al., 2009; Matsumoto et al., 2012; Chan et al., 2018; Lee P. A. et al., 2021). While full recovery of wholebody balance control can be expected 1 year after TKA (Lee P. A. et al., 2021), it is important to avoid falls, especially during the first 3 months of recovery following TKA (Swinkels et al., 2009; Matsumoto et al., 2012; Lee P. A. et al., 2021). Since the muscular system plays an important role in whole-body balance during activities (Fukagawa et al., 1995; Daubney and Culham, 1999), any reduction in the muscle strength at the knee will have a direct effect on the normal contribution of the knee in supporting the lower extremities' maintenance of whole-body balance. For example, patients with bilateral medial knee OA were found to have reduced knee extensor moments and contributions to the support moments needed to prevent collapse of the lower limbs while balancing and supporting the body (Liu Y.-H. et al., 2014). Similarly, it is expected that the amount of damage to the surrounding muscles during surgery will have a direct effect on the mechanics of the knee and the normal function of the lower extremities in maintaining balance. The LPPA and MVA may have different effects on gait balance during the early recovery phase as the LPPA involves incisions to the quadriceps tendon and vastus lateralis muscles, while the MVA reduces the damage to the quadriceps tendon and vastus medialis by splitting the vastus medialis obliquus. Such potential differences may be a factor that should be considered in surgical decision-making. Previous studies have compared the clinical results of MVA or LPPA separately with the MPPA (Dalury and Jiranek, 1999; Liu H.-W. et al., 2014; Heekin and Fokin, 2014; Nutton et al., 2014) but none have reported direct comparisons of the clinical outcome between MVA and LPPA. Studies on whole-body balance control during gait in patients before and after TKA have been limited and have not specified the surgical approaches used (Mandeville et al., 2008; Lee P. A. et al., 2021). Thus, the effects of the TKA surgical approach on balance control during gait remains unexplored.

The balance control during gait can be quantified and evaluated by the motions of the body's center of mass (COM) relative to the center of pressure (COP) in terms of the inclination angle (IA) and the rate of change of IA (RCIA) of the COM-COP vector (Huang et al., 2008; Chien et al., 2013; Lee P.-A. et al., 2021). These variables-especially the frontal plane components-have been shown to be effective in distinguishing unbalanced patients from healthy controls during level walking (Chou et al., 2003; Lee and Chou, 2006) and have high test-retest reliability (De Jong et al., 2020). The IA and RCIA analysis has been used in studies on various populations during various dynamic activities (Huang et al., 2008; Chien et al., 2013; Lee P.-A. et al., 2021). Bilateral severe medial compartment knee OA has been shown to compromise the COM-COP control in older adults during gait, which may be related to the increased risk of falling in this population (Lee P.-A. et al., 2021). Comparisons of the IA and RCIA variables between LPPA and the MVA with respect to healthy controls enable a quantitative analysis of the effects of surgical approaches, i.e., LPPA and MVA, on the dynamic balance control during gait following TKA. Such information will provide useful insights for the selection of a surgical approach and the management of fall risks in patients with TKA, especially during the early phase of recovery.

The purpose of the current study was to identify and compare the whole-body balance control during level walking in older people 3 months after TKA *via* LPPA vs. MVA, in terms of IA and RCIA. It



was hypothesized that both patient groups would show compromised balance control with greater IA but less RCIA when compared to healthy controls, and that patients who underwent TKA *via* MVA would show better whole-body balance control than patients with LPPA as compared to healthy controls.

Materials and methods

Participants

All experiments and procedures of the current study were conducted with the approval of Taiwan Adventist Hospital Institutional Review Board (IRB No. 106-E-15), conforming to the Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects (World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki). Twelve older adults with severe bilateral medial knee OA who had undergone TKA via LPPA (LPPA group; male/female: 2/10; age: 67.3 ± 6.8 years, height: 157.7 ± 6.8 cm, mass: 71.0 ± 14.9 kg, BMI: 28.4 \pm 4.1, tibiofemoral angle: $-2.2 \pm 7.9^{\circ}$) and 12 via MVA (MVA group; male/female: 2/10; age: 68.6 ± 7.8 years, height: 155.5 \pm 6.0 cm, mass: 64.1 \pm 13.3 kg, BMI: 26.5 \pm 5.5, tibiofemoral angle: $2.5 \pm 4.5^{\circ}$) for treating severe bilateral anteromedial knee OA (KL grade 4) participated in the current gait study with written informed consent. The tibiofemoral angles were measured as the angle between the anatomic femoral axis and the mechanical limb axis on an anterioposterior radiograph of the lower limbs (Ishii et al., 1995). Twelve healthy controls (Control group; male/female: 2/10; age: 67.4 \pm 6.1 years, height: 156.7 \pm 6.0 cm, mass: 65.5 \pm 8.8 kg, BMI: 26.7 \pm 3.7) were selected from the local community to match with the patient groups for sex, age and BMI. The participants with TKA met the following including criteria: 1) radiographically confirmed severe bilateral medial knee OA with affected varus alignment (Kellgren and Lawrence grade 4); 2) 3 months after bilateral TKA via LPPA or MVA; and 3) independent walking without assistive device. All participants were free from neuromusculoskeletal diseases or pathology that might affect gait. The patients were implanted bilaterally with cemented posterior stabilized total knee prostheses (NexGen[®]Legacy[®] Posterior Stabilized-Flex, Zimmer Biomet, United States) via the mid-vastus approach (MVA) (Dalury and Jiranek, 1999) or via the lateral aspect of the patella (LPPA) (Figure 1). All surgical procedures were performed by a senior consultant orthopedic surgeon (HCL) specializing in knee surgery with more than 30 years of experience. The LPPA and MVA groups received equal in-patient rehabilitation after surgery for at least 1 week, focusing mainly on joint mobilization and muscle strengthening, including passive/active flexion/extension, isometric quadriceps contractions, inner range quadriceps exercises and straight leg raises. They were taught a standardized home-exercise program before they left the hospital, including simple exercises to retrain lower-limb strength and to increase knee mobility. All participants in the LPPA and MVA groups were assessed radiographically and via the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) questionnaire 3 months after TKA. An a priori power analysis using G*POWER (Erdfelder et al., 1996) based on pilot results on IA and RCIA from five participants per group determined that a projected sample size of seven participants for each group would be needed for comparisons among LPPA, MVA and Control groups using one-way ANOVA with a power of 0.8 and a Cohen's f = 0.75 at a significance level of 0.05. Thus, 12 participants for each group were appropriate for the study's main objectives.

In a hospital gait laboratory, each participant walked at her/his preferred walking speed on a 10-m walkway while the ground reaction forces (GRF) were measured at 1,080 Hz using three forceplates (50.8×46.2 cm, OR-6-7-1,000, AMTI, United States) and the three-dimensional (3D) motions of the body segments were measured at 120 Hz using an 8-camera motion analysis system (Vicon MX T-40, United Kingdom) with 54 infrared retro-reflective markers placed on specific anatomical landmarks commonly used in human motion analysis (Chen et al., 2011). The participants were allowed to walk on the walkway several times before data collection. Data from a total of six successful trials with each foot placed on one forceplate, each containing a complete gait cycle for each lower limb, were obtained for each participant.

With the measured GRF and marker data, the mass and position of the COM for each body segment were obtained using an optimization-based method, which has been shown to have better accuracy than traditional prediction methods (Chen et al., 2011). The whole body's COM during gait was then calculated as the massweighted sum of all the segmental COM position vectors using a 13body-segment model (Lee and Chou, 2006; Mandeville et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2017; Lee p.-A. et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2022), and the corresponding COP position was calculated using the forceplate data. The inclination angles (IA) of the COM-COP vector in the



sagittal and frontal planes were then calculated according to Hsu et al. (2010):

$$\vec{t} = \left(\vec{Z} \times \frac{\vec{P}_{COM-COP}}{\left|\vec{P}_{COM-COP}\right|}\right)$$
(1)

Sagittal IA = $\sin^{-1}(t_Y)$ (2)

Frontal IA =
$$\begin{cases} -\sin^{-1}(t_X), \text{ for the right limb}\\ \sin^{-1}(t_X), \text{ for the left limb} \end{cases}$$
(3)

where $P_{COM-COP}$ was the vector pointing from the COP to the COM, \vec{Z} was the vertical unit vector, and \vec{X} was the unit vector pointing to the direction of progression. The corresponding RCIA were calculated by smoothing and differentiating the IA trajectories using the GCVSPL package (Woltring, 1986). A positive sagittal IA indicates a COM position anterior to the COP, while a positive frontal IA indicates a COM position away from the COP and towards the contralateral limb (Figure 2). At a standing posture, the greater the magnitude of the IA, the greater the COM is away from the COP and the greater muscular efforts (joint moments) needed to maintain the posture. During walking, even if the COM is outside the base of support, with an appropriate IA-RCIA combination, a person can still tolerate and regain balance without initiating a fall, according to the position and velocity

control of the COM described by Pai and Patton (Pai and Patton, 1997). In other words, the greater the IA, the more the COM-COP vector deviates from vertical and the greater the muscular effort needed to bring the COM back to be above the COP, unless the RCIA is towards the vertical (i.e., negative RCIA for positive IA; positive RCIA for negative IA). Thus, the data of IA and RCIA should be considered together to identify the deviations and/ or strategies of dynamic balance control as the position and velocity of the COM together determine the safe region without initiating a fall (Pai and Patton, 1997). A person with greater than normal IA but without an appropriate RCIA is considered to have compromised balance control, while a less than normal IA and RCIA indicate a conservative balance strategy. The current data analyses and graphics generation were conducted using in-house developed programs in MATLAB (R2017b, MathWorks, United States) (Wu et al., 2020; Lee P. A. et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2022).

For statistical analysis, the values of the sagittal and frontal IA and RCIA at heel-strike and toe-off were obtained for both limbs, for each trial and each participant. The time-averaged values and ranges of IA and RCIA over the phases of double-limb support (DLS) and swing/single-limb support (SLS) were also obtained. For each variable, data from the six trials were averaged. Each variable was first tested for normality using a Shapiro-Wilk test. For variables of normal distribution, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed between MVA, LPPA, and Control groups. Once a significant main effect was found, *post hoc* tests were performed using an independent *t*-test. All significance levels were set at $\alpha = 0.05$. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 20 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States).

Results

No significant differences in age, height, mass or BMI were found among the LPPA, the MVA and Control groups. Compared to the Control, the LPPA and MVA groups showed greater WOMAC scores in pain, stiffness and physical function with smaller walking speed and cadence but greater step width (Table 1). No significant differences between the LPPA and the MVA groups were found in WOMAC scores or temporo-spatial parameters.

Compared to Control, the LPPA group showed significantly greater average IA during DLS but with less range of IA and average RCIA throughout the gait cycle in the sagittal plane (Table 2) (Figures 3, 4). In the frontal plane, the LPPA also showed significantly less average RCIA throughout the gait cycle (Table 3) (Figures 3, 4). On the other hand, the MVA group showed significantly greater average IA during DLS but significantly less average RCIA during SLS in the sagittal plane (Table 2) (Figures 3, 4). In the frontal plane, the MVA group showed significantly greater average IA during SLS in the sagittal plane (Table 2) (Figures 3, 4). In the frontal plane, the MVA group showed significantly greater average IA during SLS (Table 3) (Figures 3, 4).

Compared to LPPA, the MVA showed similar averaged IA but with significantly greater ranges throughout the gait cycle and significantly greater ranges of RCIA during SLS in the sagittal plane (Table 2) (Figures 3, 4). In the frontal plane, the MVA group showed significantly greater ranges and average values of IA and RCIA during SLS and significantly greater ranges of IA and average RCIA during the rest of the gait cycle (Table 3) (Figures 3, 4).

	LPPA	MVA	Control	$P_{G} (P_{LC}, P_{MC}, P_{LM})$		
WOMAC scores						
Pain	12.9 (11.4)	17.5 (16.9)	4.2 (3.6)	0.02 , 0.02 , 0.44		
Stiffness	35.4 (14.9)	38.5 (24.1)	6.3 (6.5)	< 0.01 , < 0.01 , 0.71		
Physical function	19.0 (11.9)	22.9 (19.3)	4.3 (6.6)	< 0.01 , 0.01 , 0.56		
Spatiotemporal parameters						
Walking speed (mm/s)	727.7 (153.1)	756.4 (122.0)	871.7 (60.4)	0.01, 0.01, 0.62		
Cadence (steps/min)	90.2 (15.2)	93.1 (8.5)	105.0 (7.8)	0.01 , <0.01 , 0.57		
Stride length (mm)	967.3 (55.8)	972.9 (74.4)	1,001.2 (49.8)	Main Effect: 0.87		
Step length (mm)	479.0 (31.3)	481.3 (38.0)	494.6 (29.2)	Main Effect: 0.74		
Step width (mm)	127.3 (27.6)	131.0 (15.6)	103.3 (26.4)	0.04 , 0.01 , 0.69		

TABLE 1 Means (standard deviations) of the WOMAC scores and spatiotemporal parameters during walking in the LPPA, MVA, and Control groups. *p*-values for comparisons between patient and Control groups using independent t-tests are also given.

Bold values are significantly different from the groups.

P_G, group effect; P_{LC}, LPPA, vs. Control; P_{MC}, MVA, vs. Control; P_{LM}, LPPA, vs. MVA.

TABLE 2 Means (standard deviations) of inclination angles (IA) and rates of change of IA (RCIA) in the sagittal plane at heel-strike (HS) and toe-off (TO), and their average values and ranges during double-limb support (DLS) and single-limb support (SLS), as well as peak RCIA during DLS. *p*-values are also given for comparisons between groups using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and for *post hoc* analysis using independent *t*-tests.

	LPPA	MVA	Control	$P_{G} (P_{LC}, P_{MC}, P_{LM})$			
Sagittal IA (deg)							
Events							
HS	6.6 (0.9)	6.3 (1.4)	7.3 (0.9)	0.03 , 0.06, 0.58			
ТО	-8.0 (0.7)	-8.3 (0.8)	-8.0 (0.8)	Main Effect: 0.24			
Averages							
SLS	-0.6 (0.5)	-0.5 (0.6)	-0.3 (0.8)	Main Effect: 0.61			
DLS	-1.7 (1.0)	-1.6 (0.5)	-0.3 (0.6)	< 0.01 , < 0.01 , 0.62			
Ranges	Ranges						
SLS	13.5 (2.0)	15.4 (1.7)	16.3 (1.1)	< 0.01 , 0.13, 0.02			
DLS	13.5 (1.5)	15.1 (1.3)	15.5 (1.4)	< 0.01 , 0.49, 0.01			
Sagittal RCIA (deg/s)							
Events							
HS	-64.0 (71.8)	-106.7 (93.6)	-131.3 (63.4)	0.02 , 0.46, 0.22			
ТО	-6.5 (27.1)	-8.7 (22.7)	-36.8 (31.2)	< 0.01, 0.02, 0.15			
Averages							
SLS	28.9 (6.0)	31.4 (4.6)	36.4 (3.3)	< 0.01, 0.01, 0.26			
DLS	-69.2 (21.5)	-86.9 (23.2)	-104.2 (20.8)	< 0.01 , 0.07, 0.07			
Peak Values							
DLS	-139.8 (50.0)	-149.7 (33.1)	-155.8 (32.4)	Main Effect: 0.61			
Ranges							
SLS	115.3 (55.2)	178.0 (80.3)	175.5 (84.4)	0.05, 0.94, 0.04			
DLS	221.4 (72.2)	253.0 (68.4)	245.6 (37.6)	Main Effect: 0.43			

Bold values are significantly different from the groups.

 $p\text{-Values: }P_{G}$, group effect; P_{LC} = LPPA, vs. Control; P_{MC} = MVA, vs. Control; P_{LM} = LPPA, vs. MVA.



groups 3 months after TKA via LPPA (red lines) and MVA (blue lines), and the control group (black dashed lines) during level walking in the sagittal (**A**,**B**) and frontal (**C**,**D**) planes. Positive sagittal and frontal IA indicate COM positions that are anterior and contralateral to the COP, respectively. Positive sagittal and frontal RCIA indicate rates of anterior changes and contralateral changes in the corresponding IA, respectively. Heel-strike (HS), contralateral toe-off (CTO), contralateral heel-strike (CHS) and toe-off (TO) are indicated by vertical lines. IA and RCIA were temporally normalized by the stride time to be in 0%-100% of the gait cycle. Asterisks (*) indicate statistical significance (p < 0.05) between LPPA and Control, and crosses (†) indicate statistical significance (p < 0.05) between MVA and Control for the time-averaged IA or RCIA values over double-limb support (DLS) or single limb-support (SLS) phases.

Discussion

The current study aimed to identify and compare the whole-body balance control during level walking in older people 3 months after TKA via LPPA or MVA, in terms of COM-COP IA and RCIA. The patients with LPPA showed a compromised balance control both during weight transfer and single-limb support as indicated respectively by the significantly greater average IA with less average RCIA during DLS, and significantly less average RCIA in both sagittal and frontal planes during SLS (Tables 2, 3). In contrast, patients with MVA showed better recovery in the COM-COP control with most IA and RCIA variables similar to those of the healthy controls throughout the gait cycle, except for greater sagittal IA during DLS, and less sagittal RCIA and greater frontal IA during SLS. Since no differences were found between LPPA and MVA in the residual pain, stiffness and physical function as indicated by the WOMAC scores, the observed differences in balance control were more likely to be related to the differences between the surgical approaches, especially the muscles involved. The current results suggest that MVA may be a better choice than LPPA for TKA when taking gait balance control into consideration.

The patients with LPPA walked at a reduced walking speed with a compromised COM-COP control both during weight

transfer and single-limb support, mainly associated with significantly less RCIA in both the sagittal and frontal planes when compared with healthy controls. During DLS, the LPPA group exhibited significantly greater IA in the sagittal plane but with less RCIA in both the sagittal and frontal planes. Without an appropriate RCIA to match the greater or normal IA, the observed deviations in the COM-COP control were considered unfavourable to the dynamic balance control in this group (Pai and Patton, 1997). During DLS of normal level walking, the main task of the locomotor system is to transfer the body weight medially and anteriorly from the trailing limb to the leading limb. The COM-COP motion in this phase can be regarded as a pendulum, with the COP moving from the trailing towards the leading limb while the COM is controlled within a relatively small range. The greater IA magnitude-but without correspondingly greater RCIA-may indicate a reduced forward momentum and ability to maintain the dynamic stability of the COM in the sagittal plane with a greater risk of loss of balance (Pai and Patton, 1997; Lee P.-A. et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2022). These findings are clinically relevant as about 51% of falls by the elderly result from inadequate body weight transfer (Robinovitch et al., 2013). A significantly greater step width



further indicated a compromised balance control during the preceding SLS and DLS, further affecting the subsequent SLS. During SLS, in contrast to DLS, the COM-COP motion can be regarded as an inverted pendulum, with the whole-body COM travelling from a trailing position to a leading position while the COP is controlled within a relatively small base of support. The reduction in RCIA in both the sagittal and frontal planes may indicate inadequate linear and angular velocities of the inverted pendulum for the COM to progress over the stationary foot (Pai and Patton, 1997; Wu et al., 2020; Lee P. A. et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2022), resulting in the loss of balance, thus increasing the risk of falling. While the LPPA allows minimal muscle damage, preserves medial blood supply, and improves patellar tracking as compared to the traditional approach (Cristea et al., 2016; Gunst et al., 2016), the damaged quadriceps tendon and the separation of the vastus lateralis from the remainder of the quadriceps around the lateral border of the patella (Liu et al., 2001) can still result in patellar tracking disorders and difficulties in the function of the extensor mechanism (Bindelglass and Vince, 1996), contributing to the observed alterations in the whole-body balance control, especially in the frontal plane.

In contrast to LPPA, patients with MVA showed better recovery in the COM-COP control with most IA and RCIA variables similar to those of the healthy controls throughout the gait cycle, except for greater sagittal IA during DLS and greater frontal IA during SLS but with less sagittal RCIA during SLS. During DLS, the MVA group walked with close-to-normal COM-COP control despite the slight increase in the sagittal IA, indicating a normal body weight transfer. Since inadequate body weight transfer increases the risk of falls in the elderly (Robinovitch et al., 2013), a normal weight transfer for MVA suggests that patients who had undergone TKA *via* MVA were at a no greater fall risk than healthy controls. During SLS, the MVA group also showed improvement in balance control with normal frontal RCIA.

The better recovery of balance control in the MVA group was further confirmed by direct comparisons between the LPPA and MVA groups. Compared to the LPPA, the MVA showed better recovery in the COM-COP balance control as indicated by significantly greater sagittal range of IA and frontal average RCIA throughout the gait cycle. It is known that balance is positively correlated with quadriceps strength (Yahia et al., 2011; Güner et al., 2015). The quadriceps tendon and the quadriceps muscle group, namely, vastus lateralis, vastus medialis and rectus femoris, play an important role in lower-limb stability and balance-perturbed walking (Bui et al., 2018; Kim and Chou, 2022). For the current patients who had undergone TKA via MVA, the vastus medialis oblique muscle belly was split in the direction of its fibers, which may improve the blood supply to the patella without damaging the quadriceps tendon. With less damage to the knee extensor mechanism than the LPPA, the MVA procedure provided better patellar tracking and a better function of the extensor mechanism (Dalury and Jiranek, 1999; Nutton et al., 2014), contributing to the observed better recovery of the whole-body balance control.

This current study was the first to investigate the post-operative performance of the whole-body balance control during level walking

	LPPA	MVA	Control	P_G , (P_{LC} , P_{MC} , P_{LM})			
Frontal IA (deg)							
Events							
HS	-3.9 (0.8)	-4.3 (1.0)	-3.8 (0.9)	Main Effect: 0.29			
ТО	-3.4 (0.7)	-4.0 (0.7)	-3.3 (0.9)	Main Effect: 0.13			
Averages	Averages						
SLS	3.1 (0.5)	3.8 (0.6)	3.2 (0.7)	0.55, 0.04 , < 0.01			
DLS	-0.2 (0.5)	-0.0 (0.3)	0.1 (0.6)	Main Effect: 0.42			
Ranges	Ranges						
SLS	1.1 (0.4)	1.6 (0.5)	1.3 (0.6)	0.53, 0.26, 0.04			
DLS	6.9 (1.2)	8.6 (1.6)	7.1 (1.6)	0.80, 0.03 , 0.01			
Frontal RCIA (deg/s)							
Events							
HS	38.5 (31.8)	55.8 (44.0)	54.6 (21.2)	Main Effect: 0.38			
ТО	-8.9 (11.7)	-15.5 (9.9)	-26.0 (14.8)	< 0.01 , 0.05, 0.15			
Averages	Averages						
SLS	0.7 (0.9)	1.9 (1.3)	2.0 (1.6)	0.03 , 0.94, 0.01			
DLS	-35.5 (10.9)	-48.5 (12.3)	-48.4 (15.3)	0.03 , 0.98, 0.01			
Peak Values							
DLS	-62.3 (23.0)	-71.6 (17.5)	-61.7 (19.3)	Main Effect: 0.41			
Ranges							
SLS	49.2 (26.5)	80.4 (31.4)	70.0 (42.6)	0.17, 0.50, 0.02			
DLS	89.7 (37.9)	108.1 (29.4)	85.5 (25.3)	Main Effect: 0.19			

TABLE 3 Means (standard deviations) of inclination angles (IA) and rates of change of IA (RCIA) in the frontal plane at heel-strike (HS) and toe-off (TO), and their average values and ranges during double-limb support (DLS) and single-limb support (SLS), as well as peak RCIA during DLS. *p*-values are also given for comparisons between groups using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and for *post hoc* analysis using independent *t*-tests.

Bold values are significantly different from the groups.

p-Values: PG, group effect; PLC = LPPA, vs. Control; PMC = MVA, vs. Control; PLM = LPPA, vs. MVA.

between older people who had undergone TKA via LPPA vs. MVA, in terms of COM-COP IA and RCIA. The results are limited to patients 3 months post-surgery. Follow-ups beyond 3 months will be needed to provide further evidence for the long-term performance differences. The proportion of females and males in the current study groups is representative of the actual occurrence where knee OA is more prevalent in women compared to men (Srikanth et al., 2005). Further study will be needed to identify the possible effects of sex or sex-related differences in tibiofemoral and Q angles on the whole-body balance control during level walking in older people with knee OA. Further studies using the current approach are also suggested for insight into how other surgical approaches might affect balance control during walking in the older population. For those with unilateral knee OA or valgus knee patients, the COM control relative to the COP is expected to be different from the current patients', especially in the frontal plane. Further study will also be needed to identify the differences in unilateral TKA via LPPA vs. MVA on balance control during level walking. The current study assessed the participants' balance control abilities while they walked at their preferred speeds, indicative of their physical and control capabilities. To fully understand the impact of gait speed on balance control, further study will be needed to investigate slower and faster walking speeds than the participants' preferred speeds.

Conclusion

The study compared the whole-body balance control during level walking in older people 3 months after TKA for medial knee OA *via* LPPA or MVA. The patients with LPPA showed compromised balance control during weight transfer and single-limb support in both sagittal and frontal planes. In contrast, patients with MVA showed better recovery in balance control, with most IA and RCIA variables back to normal throughout the gait cycle. The observed differences between LPPA and MVA in balance control were likely to be related to the differences between the surgical approaches such as the muscles involved. The current results suggest that MVA may be a better choice than LPPA for TKA when considering gait balance control in the frontal plane. Further study will be needed to identify the effects of MVA and LPPA on balance control in patients with unilateral knee OA.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the All experiments and procedures of the current study were conducted with the approval of Taiwan Adventist Hospital Institutional Review Board (IRB No. 106-E-15), conforming to the Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects (World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

References

Bindelglass, D. F., and Vince, K. G. (1996). Patellar tilt and subluxation following subvastus and parapatellar approach in total knee arthroplasty: Implication for surgical technique. *J. arthroplasty* 11, 507–511. doi:10.1016/s0883-5403(96)80101-x

Boerger, T., Aglietti, P., Mondanelli, N., and Sensi, L. (2005). Mini-subvastus versus medial parapatellar approach in total knee arthroplasty. *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Research*[®] 440, 82–87. doi:10.1097/01.blo.0000185755.09777.2d

Bui, C. N., Learned, J. R., and Scolaro, J. A. (2018). Treatment of patellar fractures and injuries to the extensor mechanism of the knee: A critical analysis review. *JBJS Rev.* 6, e1. doi:10.2106/jbjs.rvw.17.00172

Chan, A. C., Jehu, D. A., and Pang, M. Y. (2018). Falls after total knee arthroplasty: Frequency, circumstances, and associated factors—a prospective cohort study. *Phys. Ther.* 98, 767–778. doi:10.1093/ptj/pzy071

Chen, S.-C., Hsieh, H.-J., Lu, T.-W., and Tseng, C.-H. (2011). A method for estimating subject-specific body segment inertial parameters in human movement analysis. *Gait posture* 33, 695–700. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2011.03.004

Chien, H.-L., Lu, T.-W., and Liu, M.-W. (2013). Control of the motion of the body's center of mass in relation to the center of pressure during high-heeled gait. *Gait posture* 38, 391–396. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2012.12.015

Chou, L.-S., Kaufman, K. R., Hahn, M. E., and Brey, R. H. (2003). Medio-lateral motion of the center of mass during obstacle crossing distinguishes elderly individuals with imbalance. *Gait posture* 18, 125–133. doi:10.1016/s0966-6362(02)00067-x

Cristea, S., Predescu, V., Dragosloveanu, Ş., Cuculici, Ş., and Mărăndici, N. (2016). "Surgical approaches for total knee arthroplasty," in *Arthroplasty-A comprehensive Review* (București, Romania: IntechOpen).

Cubukcu, D., Sarsan, A., and Alkan, H. (2012). Relationships between pain, function and radiographic findings in osteoarthritis of the knee: A cross-sectional study. *Arthritis* 2012, 1–5. doi:10.1155/2012/984060

Cui, A., Li, H., Wang, D., Zhong, J., Chen, Y., and Lu, H. (2020). Global, regional prevalence, incidence and risk factors of knee osteoarthritis in population-based studies. *EClinicalMedicine* 29, 100587. doi:10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100587

Dalury, D. F., and Jiranek, W. A. (1999). A comparison of the midvastus and paramedian approaches for total knee arthroplasty. *J. arthroplasty* 14, 33–37. doi:10. 1016/s0883-5403(99)90199-7

Daubney, M. E., and Culham, E. G. (1999). Lower-extremity muscle force and balance performance in adults aged 65 years and older. *Phys. Ther.* 79, 1177–1185. doi:10.1093/ptj/79.12.1177

De Jong, L., Van Dijsseldonk, R., Keijsers, N., and Groen, B. (2020). Test-retest reliability of stability outcome measures during treadmill walking in patients with balance problems and healthy controls. *Gait posture* 76, 92–97. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2019.10.033

Author contributions

P-AL, T-MW, H-CL, and T-WL conceived and designed the experiments. P-AL and K-HW performed the experiments. P-AL, Y-TC, and T-WL analyzed the data. P-AL, H-CL, and T-WL interpreted data and wrote the main manuscript text. T-MW, H-CL, and T-WL contributed subjects/materials/analysis tools. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Engh, G. A., Holt, B. T., and Parks, N. L. (1997). A midvastus muscle-splitting approach for total knee arthroplasty. J. arthroplasty 12, 322–331. doi:10.1016/s0883-5403(97)90030-9

Erdfelder, E., Faul, F., and Buchner, A. (1996). Gpower: A general power analysis program. *Behav. Res. methods, Instrum. Comput.* 28, 1–11. doi:10.3758/bf03203630

Fukagawa, N. K., Brown, M., Sinacore, D. R., and Host, H. H. (1995). The relationship of strength to function in the older adult. *Journals Gerontology Ser. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 50, 55–59. doi:10.1093/gerona/50a.special_issue.55

Güner, S., Haghari, S., Inanici, F., Alsancak, S., and Aytekin, G. (2015). Knee muscle strength in multiple sclerosis: Relationship with gait characteristics. *J. Phys. Ther. Sci.* 27, 809–813. doi:10.1589/jpts.27.809

Gunst, S., Villa, V., Magnussen, R., Servien, E., Lustig, S., and Neyret, P. (2016). Equivalent results of medial and lateral parapatellar approach for total knee arthroplasty in mild valgus deformities. *Int. Orthop.* 40, 945–951. doi:10.1007/s00264-015-2893-5

Hatfield, G. L., Hubley-Kozey, C. L., Wilson, J. L. A., and Dunbar, M. J. (2011). The effect of total knee arthroplasty on knee joint kinematics and kinetics during gait. *J. arthroplasty* 26, 309–318. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2010.03.021

Heekin, R. D., and Fokin, A. A. (2014). Mini-midvastus versus mini-medial parapatellar approach for minimally invasive total knee arthroplasty: Outcomes pendulum is at equilibrium. *J. Arthroplasty* 29, 339–342. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2013.05.016

Hsu, W.-C., Wang, T.-M., Liu, M.-W., Chang, C.-F., Chen, H.-L., and Lu, T.-W. (2010). Control of body's center of mass motion during level walking and obstaclecrossing in older patients with knee osteoarthritis. *J. Mech.* 26, 229–237. doi:10.1017/ s1727719100003087

Huang, S.-C., Lu, T.-W., Chen, H.-L., Wang, T.-M., and Chou, L.-S. (2008). Age and height effects on the center of mass and center of pressure inclination angles during obstacle-crossing. *Med. Eng. Phys.* 30, 968–975. doi:10.1016/j.medengphy.2007.12.005

Ishii, Y., Ohmori, G., Bechtold, J. E., Sherman, R., and Gustilo, R. (1995). Accuracy of the short radiograph in the measurement of the tibiofemoral angle. *Knee* 2, 81–84. doi:10.1016/0968-0160(95)00011-d

Jinks, C., Jordan, K., and Croft, P. (2007). Osteoarthritis as a public health problem: The impact of developing knee pain on physical function in adults living in the community:(KNEST 3). *Rheumatology* 46, 877–881. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/ kem013

Kearns, R. J., Connor, D. P., and Brinker, M. R. (2008). Management of falls after total knee arthroplasty. *Orthopedics* 31, 225. doi:10.3928/01477447-20080301-20

Keblish, P. A. (1991). The lateral approach to the valgus knee. Surgical technique and analysis of 53 cases with over two-year follow-up evaluation. *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.* 271, 52–62. doi:10.1097/00003086-199110000-00008

Kim, H. K., and Chou, L.-S. (2022). Lower limb muscle activation in response to balance-perturbed tasks during walking in older adults: A systematic review. *Gait Posture* 93, 166–176. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2022.02.014

Lee, H.-J., and Chou, L.-S. (2006). Detection of gait instability using the center of mass and center of pressure inclination angles. *Archives Phys. Med. rehabilitation* 87, 569–575. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2005.11.033

Lee, P.-A., Wu, K.-H., Lu, H.-Y., Su, K.-W., Wang, T.-M., Liu, H.-C., et al. (2021a). Compromised balance control in older people with bilateral medial knee osteoarthritis during level walking. *Sci. Rep.* 11, 3742–3748. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-83233-w

Lee, P. A., Liu, H. C., Chen, T. Y., Wang, T. M., and Lu, T. W. (2021b). Recovery of balance control in bilateral medial knee osteoarthritis after total knee arthroplasty during level walking. *J. Orthop. Research*[®] 40, 1993–2003. doi:10.1002/jor.25234

Lee, W.-C., Lee, P.-A., Chen, T.-Y., Chen, Y.-T., Wu, K.-W., Tsai, Y.-L., et al. (2022). Avascular necrosis of the hip compromises gait balance control in female juveniles with unilateral developmental dysplasia treated in toddlerhood. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 10, 854818. doi:10.3389/bioe.2022.854818

Levinger, P., Lai, D. T., Menz, H. B., Morrow, A. D., Feller, J. A., Bartlett, J. R., et al. (2012). Swing limb mechanics and minimum toe clearance in people with knee osteoarthritis. *Gait posture* 35, 277–281. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2011.09.020

Liu, H.-C., Wang, J.-H., Yen, C.-C., and Yao, T.-K. (2001). "Lateral parapatellar approach for total knee arthroplasty in valgus knee or patellar subluxation," in *Arthroplasty 2000* (Springer), 165–170.

Liu, H.-W., Gu, W.-D., Xu, N.-W., and Sun, J.-Y. (2014a). Surgical approaches in total knee arthroplasty: A meta-analysis comparing the midvastus and subvastus to the medial peripatellar approach. *J. arthroplasty* 29, 2298–2304. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2013. 10.023

Liu, Y.-H., Wang, T.-M., Wei, I.-P., Lu, T.-W., Hong, S.-W., and Kuo, C.-C. (2014b). Effects of bilateral medial knee osteoarthritis on intra-and inter-limb contributions to body support during gait. *J. Biomechanics* 47, 445–450. doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2013. 11.001

Lu, H.-L., Lu, T.-W., Lin, H.-C., and Chan, W. P. (2017). Comparison of body's center of mass motion relative to center of pressure between treadmill and over-ground walking. *Gait posture* 53, 248–253. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2017.02.003

Mandeville, D., Osternig, L. R., and Chou, L.-S. (2008). The effect of total knee replacement surgery on gait stability. *Gait posture* 27, 103–109. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost. 2007.02.009

Masjudin, T., and Kamari, Z. (2012). Approaches for staged bilateral total knee arthroplasty: A prospective, randomised study. *Malays. Orthop. J.* 6, 31–36. doi:10.5704/moj.1207.018

Matsumoto, H., Okuno, M., Nakamura, T., Yamamoto, K., and Hagino, H. (2012). Fall incidence and risk factors in patients after total knee arthroplasty. *Archives Orthop. trauma Surg.* 132, 555–563. doi:10.1007/s00402-011-1418-y

Mehta, N., Bhat, M. S., Goyal, A., Mishra, P., Joshi, D., and Chaudhary, D. (2017). Quadriceps sparing (subvastus/midvastus) approach versus the conventional medial parapatellar approach in primary knee arthroplasty. *J. Arthrosc. Jt. Surg.* 4, 15–20. doi:10.1016/j.jajs.2017.02.004 Migliorini, F., Aretini, P., Driessen, A., El Mansy, Y., Quack, V., Tingart, M., et al. (2020). Better outcomes after mini-subvastus approach for primary total knee arthroplasty: A bayesian network meta-analysis. *Eur. J. Orthop. Surg. Traumatology* 30, 979–992. doi:10.1007/s00590-020-02648-9

Moutzouri, M., Gleeson, N., Billis, E., Tsepis, E., Panoutsopoulou, I., and Gliatis, J. (2017). The effect of total knee arthroplasty on patients' balance and incidence of falls: A systematic review. *Knee Surg. Sports Traumatol. Arthrosc.* 25, 3439–3451. doi:10.1007/s00167-016-4355-z

Nutton, R. W., Wade, F. A., Coutts, F. J., and Van Der Linden, M. L. (2014). Short term recovery of function following total knee arthroplasty: A randomised study of the medial parapatellar and midvastus approaches. *Arthritis* 2014, 1–7. doi:10.1155/2014/173857

Orishimo, K. F., Kremenic, I. J., Deshmukh, A. J., Nicholas, S. J., and Rodriguez, J. A. (2012). Does total knee arthroplasty change frontal plane knee biomechanics during gait? *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Research*[®] 470, 1171–1176. doi:10.1007/s11999-011-2196-0

Pai, Y.-C., and Patton, J. (1997). Center of mass velocity-position predictions for balance control. J. biomechanics 30, 347-354. doi:10.1016/s0021-9290(96)00165-0

Robinovitch, S. N., Feldman, F., Yang, Y., Schonnop, R., Leung, P. M., Sarraf, T., et al. (2013). Video capture of the circumstances of falls in elderly people residing in long-term care: An observational study. *Lancet* 381, 47–54. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(12) 61263-x

Sekiya, H., Takatoku, K., Takada, H., Sugimoto, N., and Hoshino, Y. (2014). Lateral approach is advantageous in total knee arthroplasty for valgus deformed knee. *Eur. J. Orthop. Surg. Traumatology* 24, 111–115. doi:10.1007/s00590-012-1137-2

Swinkels, A., Newman, J. H., and Allain, T. J. (2009). A prospective observational study of falling before and after knee replacement surgery. *Age ageing* 38, 175–181. doi:10.1093/ageing/afn229

Tarazi, N., Zhou, R., and Khan, W. S. (2019). "Total knee replacement," in *General Principles of orthopedics and trauma* (Springer), 661–679.

Tsonga, T., Michalopoulou, M., Malliou, P., Godolias, G., Kapetanakis, S., Gkasdaris, G., et al. (2015). Analyzing the history of falls in patients with severe knee osteoarthritis. *Clin. Orthop. Surg.* 7, 449–456. doi:10.4055/cios.2015.7.4.449

Woltring, H. J. (1986). A Fortran package for generalized, cross-validatory spline smoothing and differentiation. *Adv. Eng. Softw.* 8, 104–113. doi:10.1016/0141-1195(86) 90098-7

Wu, K.-W., Lu, T.-W., Lee, W.-C., Ho, Y.-T., Wang, J.-H., Kuo, K. N., et al. (2020). Whole body balance control in Lenke 1 thoracic adolescent idiopathic scoliosis during level walking. *Plos one* 15, e0229775. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0229775

Yahia, A., Ghroubi, S., Mhiri, C., and Elleuch, M. (2011). Relationship between muscular strength, gait and postural parameters in multiple sclerosis. *Ann. Phys. rehabilitation Med.* 54, 144–155. doi:10.1016/j.rehab.2011.02.004

Yelin, E., Lubeck, D., Holman, H., and Epstein, W. (1987). The impact of rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis: The activities of patients with rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis compared to controls. *J. rheumatology* 14, 710–717.

Zhang, Y., and Jordan, J. M. (2010). Epidemiology of osteoarthritis. *Clin. geriatric Med.* 26, 355–369. doi:10.1016/j.cger.2010.03.001