



Hospitalization and Mortality in Patients With Heart Failure Treated With Sacubitril/Valsartan vs. Enalapril: A Real-World, Population-Based Study

Swathi Pathadka¹, Vincent K. C. Yan¹, Xue Li^{1,2,3}, Gary Tse⁴, Eric Y. F. Wan^{1,5}, Hayden Lau^{1,6}, Wallis C. Y. Lau^{1,7}, David C. W. Siu⁸, Esther W. Chan^{1*} and Ian C. K. Wong^{1,7*}

¹ Department of Pharmacology and Pharmacy, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, Centre for Safe Medication Practice and Research, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China, ² Department of Paediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China, ³ Department of Social Work and Social Administration,

Faculty of Social Science, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China, ⁴ Xiamen Cardiovascular Hospital, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China, ⁵ Department of Family Medicine and Primary Care, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of

Hospital, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China, ⁷ Research Department of Practice and Policy, University College of

Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China, ⁶ Department of Accident & Emergency, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, Queen Mary

London School of Pharmacy, London, United Kingdom, ⁸ Department of Medicine, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Manuel Martínez-Sellés, Gregorio Marañón Hospital, Spain

Reviewed by:

University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

with heart failure.

Izabella Uchmanowicz, Wroclaw Medical University, Poland Juan Carlos Lopez-Azor, University Hospital October 12, Spain

*Correspondence:

Esther W. Chan ewchan@hku.hk lan C. K. Wong wongick@hku.hk

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Cardiovascular Epidemiology and Prevention, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

> Received: 03 September 2020 Accepted: 21 December 2020 Published: 20 January 2021

Citation:

Pathadka S, Yan VKC, Li X, Tse G, Wan EYF, Lau H, Lau WCY, Siu DCW, Chan EW and Wong ICK (2021) Hospitalization and Mortality in Patients With Heart Failure Treated With Sacubitril/Valsartan vs. Enalapril: A Real-World, Population-Based Study. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 7:602363. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2020.602363 **Background:** The effect of sacubitril/valsartan on survival and hospitalization risk in older patients with heart failure has not been explored. We aimed to investigate the risk of hospitalization and mortality with the use of sacubitril/valsartan vs. enalapril in patients

Methods: This was a population-based cohort study using the Hong Kong-wide electronic healthcare database. Patients diagnosed with heart failure and newly prescribed sacubitril/valsartan or enalapril between July 2016 and June 2019 were included. The risk of primary composite outcome of cardiovascular mortality or heart failure-related hospitalization, all-cause hospitalization, heart failure-related hospitalization, cardiovascular mortality and all-cause mortality were compared using Cox regression with inverse probability treatment weighting. Additional analysis was conducted by age stratification.

Results: Of the 44,503 patients who received sacubitril/valsartan or enalapril, 3,237 new users (sacubitril/valsartan, n = 1,056; enalapril, n = 2,181) with a diagnosis of heart failure were identified. Compared with enalapril, sacubitril/valsartan users were associated with a lower risk of primary composite outcome [hazard ratio (HR) 0.58; 95% confidence interval (Cl), 0.45–0.75], heart failure-related hospitalization (HR 0.59; 95% Cl, 0.45–0.77), all-cause mortality (HR 0.51; 95% Cl, 0.36–0.74) and borderline non-significant reductions in all-cause hospitalization (HR 0.85; 95% Cl, 0.70–1.04) and cardiovascular mortality (HR 0.63; 95% Cl, 0.39–1.02). The treatment effect of sacubitril/valsartan remains unaltered in the patient subgroup age ≥ 65 years (73%).

Conclusions: In real-world settings, sacubitril/valsartan was associated with improved survival and reduced heart failure-related hospitalization compared to enalapril in Asian patients with heart failure. The effectiveness remains consistent in the older population.

Keywords: heart failure, sacubitril/valsartan, enalapril, pharmacoepidemiolgy, mortality, hospitalization

INTRODUCTION

Sacubitril/valsartan, the first-in-class angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitor (ARNI), was proven to be superior to angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI), with significant reduction in all-cause mortality and composite outcome of heart failure hospitalization or cardiovascular mortality, in patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) in the Prospective Comparison of ARNI With ACEI to Determine Impact on Global Mortality and Morbidity in Heart Failure (PARADIGM-HF) trial (1). The novel drug combination also reduced the rates of 30-day heart failure readmission and all-cause readmission after heart failure hospitalization (2). Based on treatment benefits observed in the pivotal trial, sacubitril/valsartan was indicated for patients with symptomatic HFrEF (3, 4).

Despite compelling evidence on the reduction of mortality and heart failure hospitalization observed in ARNI group in the pivotal trial, the generalisability of findings in clinical practice is uncertain due to the stringent trial criteria in patient recruitment. Approximately 76% of real-world patients with HFrEF did not meet the trial criteria owing to the difference in clinical characteristics and only a quarter of patients in clinics were eligible to receive sacubitril/valsartan (5, 6). Moreover, women, elderly patients and ethnic minorities are usually underrepresented in clinical trials involving heart failure, including PARADIGM-HF trial (7). Only 22% of the trial population were women (1), 18% were from the Asia-Pacific region (8), 49% of patients were >65 years (9), and the mean age of death was 65.5 years (10).

Previous retrospective observational studies conducted in the United States (11-13) and Canada (14) reported a reduction in hospitalization and improvement in the quality of life in the Western population, favoring sacubitril/valsartan treatment over ACEI or angiotensin-II receptor blocker (ARB). However, the real-world evidence on the effectiveness of sacubitril/valsartan in the Asian population is limited. Asian patients with heart failure may be younger, but with more cardiovascular mortality and heart failure hospitalizations compared to Western counterparts (9, 15). A subgroup analysis of PARADIGM-HF reported higher cardiovascular and all-cause mortality in patients from the Asia-Pacific region than other regions (8). Notably, there is considerable variability in regional, ethnic, socioeconomic, and aetiological factors within Asia-Pacific countries, which contribute to differences in heart failure characteristics and outcomes (8, 16, 17).

To bridge this research gap, a population-based cohort study using territory-wide electronic medical records (EMR) was conducted to evaluate the impact of sacubitril/valsartan on mortality and hospitalization in Chinese patients with heart failure in the real-world setting of Hong Kong.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data Source

This study was conducted using EMR from the Clinical Data Analysis and Reporting System (CDARS) database developed by the Hong Kong Hospital Authority (HA). The HA provides healthcare services to more than 7.4 million people in Hong Kong via 43 public hospitals, 49 specialist outpatient clinics and 73 general outpatient clinics, and contributes to 70% of the Hong Kong healthcare sector (18). CDARS is a centralized information system of medical data of de-identified patients including demographics, diagnoses, dispensing records, laboratory tests, and consultation records. A high degree of coding accuracy has been demonstrated in previous studies for identification of several cardiovascular outcomes such as atrial fibrillation, stroke, and myocardial infarction (19, 20). CDARS has also been used to conduct a number of post-marketing surveillance studies (21).

Study Design and Cohort Selection

This was a retrospective cohort study using a Hong Kong-wide population database. Patients \geq 18 years with a clinical diagnosis of heart failure and a prescription of sacubitril/valsartan or enalapril between 1 July 2016 and 30 June 2019 were included. The treatment group was assigned based on the first prescription of drug received during the study period. Patients who received a prescription of enalapril 1 year prior to the index date were excluded to identify new users (**Figure 1**). Follow-up began from the start date of first prescription of sacubitril/valsartan or enalapril (i.e., index date) until the earliest of censoring events, including the occurrence of an outcome, death, switch in therapy, discontinuation of treatment (since cardiovascular drugs are usually dispensed for 1 month, treatment break of > 30 days between consecutive prescriptions), or the end of the study period (31 July 2019).

Outcomes

The primary outcome was a composite of death from cardiovascular causes or heart failure-related hospitalization. Secondary outcomes were death from any cause, death from cardiovascular cause, all-cause hospitalization, and heart failure-related hospitalization. The outcome of heart failure and cause of death were identified using the International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-9 and ICD-10 codes, respectively (**Supplementary Table 1**).



STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Primary Analysis

Baseline patient characteristics were expressed as mean [standard deviation (SD)] for continuous variables and as frequencies (percentages) for categorical variables. To minimize the imbalance arising from confounding between sacubitril/valsartan and enalapril treatment groups, inverse probability treatment weighting (IPTW) using propensity score (PS) was employed. PS was estimated using logistic regression with the treatment group as dependent variable and all confounders as independent variables (22). Potential confounders included age, sex, prior history of diseases (hypertension, diabetes mellitus, ischaemic cardiomyopathy, atrial fibrillation, myocardial infarction, ischaemic heart disease, and ischaemic stroke), Charlson comorbidity index, the number of hospitalization (1 year prior to index date), and the number of past heart failure-related hospitalizations (left-censored to the earliest record in CDARS), recent medication use (prescriptions 1 year prior to index date, including renin-angiotensinaldosterone system inhibitors, beta-blockers, calcium channel blockers, diuretics, digoxin, antithrombotic therapy, antiarrhythmic therapy, hypoglycaemic drugs, lipid-lowering drugs, mineralocorticoid antagonists). A standardized mean difference of <0.2 between the treatment groups post-weighting was considered negligible (23).

We used the Kaplan Meier curve to illustrate the clinical outcomes between treatment groups. The risk of hospitalization and death was compared between treatment groups using Cox regression. Since the majority of heart failure burden occurs in those aged above 65 years, we conducted subgroup analysis to investigate the comparative effectiveness stratified by age groups (<65 and \geq 65 years). To assess the robustness of results of the primary analysis, sensitivity analyses were conducted using 1:1 PS matching with nearest neighbor method (24). and regression adjustment. The statistical analyses were performed using R 3.6.1 (RStudio, Boston, Massachusetts), and independent crosscheck of analysis was conducted by two co-authors (S.P and V.K.C.Y) for quality assurance.

RESULTS

Patient Characteristics

A total of 44,503 patients received a prescription of sacubitril/valsartan or enalapril between 1 July 2016 and 31 June 2019 **Figure 1**. Following the exclusion criteria, 2,181 patients on enalapril and 1,056 patients on sacubitril/valsartan were included in the analysis [age, mean (\pm SD): 74.2 \pm 14.6 years; female: 44.2%; **Table 1**]. Before weighting, patients on enalapril had a higher burden of chronic cardiovascular diseases, except for ischaemic heart disease. However, the proportion of medication use was lower in sacubitril/valsartan users in general. After weighting, the treatment groups in comparison were well-balanced for all the baseline characteristics.

Clinical Outcomes of Sacubitril/Valsartan Compared to Enalapril

The primary outcome composite of death from cardiovascular causes or hospitalization due to heart failure-related causes occurred in 32.6% of sacubitril/valsartan group and 47.3% in

TABLE 1	Baseline characte	eristics of cohort	adjusted usin	g inverse pr	obability treatme	ent weighting.
---------	-------------------	--------------------	---------------	--------------	-------------------	----------------

	Enalapril	Sacubitril/valsartan	SMD	
	2,181	1,056	Crude	Adjusted
Age, mean (SD)	78.25 (13.25)	65.84 (13.82)	0.92	0.07
Sex- female, no. (%)	1,067 (48.9)	307 (29.1)	0.42	0.07
Comorbidities - no. (%)				
Atrial fibrillation	717 (32.9)	290 (27.5)	0.12	0.04
Diabetes mellitus	654 (30.0)	265 (25.1)	0.11	0.02
Hypertension	1,163 (53.3)	384 (36.4)	0.35	0.05
Ischaemic cardiomyopathy	17 (0.8)	58 (5.5)	0.27	<0.001
Ischaemic heart disease	777 (35.6)	549 (52.0)	0.33	0.09
Ischaemic stroke	327 (15.0)	87 (8.2)	0.21	0.09
Recent medication use - no. (%)				
Anti-arrhythmic drugs	127 (5.8)	157 (14.9)	0.30	0.13
Anti-thrombotic therapy	1,279 (58.6)	875 (82.9)	0.55	0.11
Beta-blockers	711 (32.6)	835 (79.1)	1.06	0.17
Calcium channel blockers	953 (43.7)	254 (24.1)	0.42	0.07
Digoxin	285 (13.1)	200 (18.9)	0.16	0.012
Diuretics	1,225 (56.2)	868 (82.2)	0.59	0.09
Hypoglycaemic drugs	524 (24.0)	342 (32.4)	0.19	0.07
Lipid lowering drugs	845 (38.7)	722 (68.4)	0.62	0.10
Mineralocorticoid antagonists	119 (5.5)	520 (49.2)	1.13	0.07
RAAS inhibitors	658 (30.2)	852 (80.7)	1.18	0.03
Number of hospitalizations 1 year before index date, mean (SD)	2.74 (5.41)	2.73 (6.48)	0.002	0.019
CCI, mean (SD)	2.44 (1.95)	1.92 (1.76)	0.28	0.06
Number of HF hospitalizations before index date, mean (SD)	2.73 (4.31)	2.68 (4.17)	0.01	0.05

CI, Charlson comorbidity index; HF, heart failure; RAAS inhibitors, renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitor; SD, standard deviation.

enalapril group during the median follow-up of 5.3 months (interquartile range: 1.43–14.6). Sacubitril/valsartan use was associated with lower risk of composite outcome compared with enalapril (sacubitril/valsartan vs. enalapril: 46.7 vs. 60.9 per 100 person-years; HR, 0.58; 95% CI, 0.45–0.75) (Figure 2 and Table 2). Compared with enalapril, sacubitril/valsartan use was associated with lower risk of all-cause mortality (HR, 0.51; 95% CI, 0.36–0.74) and heart failure-related hospitalization (HR, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.45–0.77) (Table 2). There was a trend toward lower risk of all-cause hospitalization (HR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.70–1.04) and cardiovascular mortality (HR, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.39–1.02) in sacubitril/valsartan group, but this was not statistically significant.

Subgroup Analyses

Approximately 73% of patients included in the cohort were 65 years and above. The beneficial effects of sacubitril/valsartan remained consistent in older age with lower risk of composite outcome (HR, 0.58; 95% CI 0.42–0.85), death from any cause (HR, 0.53; 95% CI, 0.35–0.80), and heart failure-related hospitalization (HR, 0.60; 95% CI, 0.43–0.84) (**Table 3**). There was no statistically significant difference in the risk of cardiovascular mortality (HR, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.37–1.08) or

all-cause hospitalization (HR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.67–1.08) between the treatment groups.

Sensitivity Analyses

Of the 3,237 patients in the study cohort, 503 patients from each treatment group were successfully matched (**Supplementary Table 2**). The trend of lower risk for all outcomes did not change with PS matching or unweighted multivariable Cox regression adjustment, with minimal difference in treatment effect (**Table 4**).

DISCUSSION

Study Findings and Comparison With Existing Evidence

This study demonstrates a significant reduction in all-cause mortality and heart failure-related hospitalization with sacubitril/valsartan use in Chinese patients with heart failure compared with enalapril. The results remain consistent to the elderly patient group.

In this population-based, comparative effectiveness study using territory-wide EMR, the patient population had a higher proportion of women, older patients and comorbidities compared to the PARADIGM-HF trial. Given the stringent trial



TABLE 2 | Effect of sacubitril/valsartan on patients with heart failure compared to enalapril (adjusted using inverse probability treatment weighting).

Outcomes	Enalapril	Sacubitril/valsartan	Crude HR (95% CI)	Adjusted HR (95% Cl)
	N = 2,181 - no. (%)	N = 1,056 – no. (%)		
Composite outcome of cardiovascular mortality or heart failure-related hospitalization	1,031 (47.3)	344 (32.6)	0.68 (0.60–0.77)	0.58 (0.45–0.75)
All-cause hospitalization	1,578 (72.3)	599 (56.7)	0.72 (0.66–0.80)	0.85 (0.70-1.04)
Heart failure-related hospitalization	926 (42.5)	320 (30.3)	0.71 (0.62–0.81)	0.59 (0.45–0.77)
All-cause mortality	788 (36.1)	141 (13.4)	0.40 (0.33-0.48)	0.51 (0.36–0.74)
Cardiovascular mortality	214 (9.81)	64 (6.06)	0.65 (0.49–0.86)	0.63 (0.39–1.02)

Cl, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.

criteria, real-world evidence is important and complements the findings of the randomized controlled trials. In the current literature, patients on sacubitril/valsartan were slightly younger with fewer comorbidities and better health status compared with enalapril users, which is congruent with previous retrospective observational studies in the Western populations (12, 25). However, in our study, the proportion of recent medication used for chronic illness control was higher in the sacubitril/valsartan group. Since sacubitril/valsartan is indicated for stage II-IV patients with HFrEF who remain symptomatic despite treatment with other standard heart failure therapy, the majority of patients are likely to have higher medication use in the sacubitril/valsartan group.

In the PARADIGM-HF trial, sacubitril/valsartan reduced the risk of composite outcome of cardiovascular mortality or heart failure hospitalization by 20% compared with enalapril (1). A recent cohort study involving 7,893 patients with HFrEF in the US also demonstrated reduction in death and hospitalization with sacubitril/valsartan use compared to ACEI/ARB (12). However, the Asian population was under-represented in both studies, accounting for only 18% of the trial population (9) and only 2% of the retrospective cohort study (12). Notably, the treatment effect of sacubitril/valsartan did not reach statistical significance for the primary outcome (HR, 1.39; 95% CI, 1.06–1.80) for the Asia-Pacific region (9). Further, there are noticeable differences in the patient characteristics between

TABLE 3 | Subgroup analysis by age (adjusted using inverse probability treatment weighting).

	Age ≥ 65 years			Age < 65 years		
Outcomes	Enalapril	Sacubitril/valsartan	HR (95% CI)	Enalapril	Sacubitril/valsartan	HR (95% CI)
	N = 1,833 – no. (%)	N = 534 - no. (%)		N = 522 - no. (%)	N = 348 – no. (%)	
Composite outcome of cardiovascular mortality or heart failure-related hospitalization	885 (48.3)	187 (35.0)	0.58 (0.42–0.85)	146 (42.0)	157 (30.1)	0.59 (0.41–0.85)
All-cause hospitalization	1,345 (73.4)	311 (58.2)	0.85 (0.67–1.08)	233 (66.9)	288 (55.2)	0.87 (0.64–1.18)
Heart failure-related hospitalization	788 (43.0)	171 (32.0)	0.60 (0.43–0.84)	138 (39.7)	149 (28.5)	0.58 (0.40–0.84)
All-cause mortality	740 (40.4)	102 (19.1)	0.53 (0.35–0.80)	48 (13.8)	39 (7.5)	0.47 (0.26–0.87)
Cardiovascular mortality	198 (10.8)	45 (8.4)	0.63 (0.37–1.08)	16 (4.6)	19 (3.6)	0.69 (0.24–2.01)

Cl, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.

TABLE 4 | Sensitivity analyses.

Outcome	Multivariable Cox regression		After propensity score matching		
	Adjusted HR* (95% CI)	Enalapril <i>N</i> = 503 – no. (%)	Sacubitril/ valsartan	HR (95% Cl)	
			N = 503- no. (%)		
Composite outcome of death from cardiovascular cause or hospitalization due to heart failure	0.59 (0.49–0.70)	243 (48.3)	149 (29.6)	0.56 (0.45–0.68)	
All-cause hospitalization	0.73 (0.64–0.84)	360 (31.6)	285 (56.7)	0.71 (0.60-0.82)	
Heart failure-related hospitalization	0.60 (0.50–0.72)	223 (44.3)	134 (26.6)	0.55 (0.44–0.68)	
All-cause mortality	0.44 (0.34–0.55)	163 (32.4)	72 (14.3)	0.48 (0.36-0.64)	
Cardiovascular mortality	0.58 (0.39–0.86)	48 (9.5)	32 (6.4)	0.69 (0.44–1.08)	

*Adjusted for age, sex, comorbidities, Charlson comorbidity index, number of hospitalizations (any cause or heart failure-related), and recent use of medications; Cl, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.

ethnicities regarding HFrEF (17). In the real-world setting, eligibility for the medication may differ. For example, 24% of the HFrEF cohort was eligible to receive ARNI in the Swedish registry (6).

To date, one cohort study from Taiwan (N = 932) was conducted in Asia, in which sacubitril/valsartan plus standard care was associated with 34% reduction of the composite outcome compared to the standard care without sacubitril/valsartan (26). However, the outcomes were not adjusted for any potential confounding factors, neither using traditional regression nor PS methods. In our study of more than 3,000 patients who were more than 10 years older than the Taiwanese cohort, we observed an association of risk reduction of 41% for the same composite outcome in sacubitril/valsartan group compared to enalapril. This provides further evidence of effectiveness of sacubitril/valsartan in the management of heart failure in the Asian population.

Hospitalization is a strong predictor of survival and quality of life in patients with heart failure (27). Previous studies also

demonstrated cost-effectiveness of sacubitril/valsartan compared to ACEI/ARB, with lower hospitalization rate and healthcare costs (13, 28). Compared to the 44% reduction in the risk of heart failure hospitalizations in US (13), use of sacubitril/valsartan compared with enalapril was associated with similar reduction in the risk of heart failure-related hospitalization in this study. In addition to the beneficial effect on hospitalization due to heart failure, sacubitril/valsartan use is also associated with lower risk of death from any cause compared with enalapril. Therefore, sacubitril/valsartan could offer improved patient outcomes and optimize healthcare resource utilization.

The use of sacubitril/valsartan in the elderly population is less studied (9, 29). The risk of mortality is higher in patients with heart failure >65 years compared to non-elderly patients (30). Patients in our study cohort were almost 12 years older than that of the PARADIGM-HF trial population, with nearly three-quarters over 65 years (1). The older population continued to benefit from the effects of sacubitril/valsartan. Further studies focusing on the safety of sacubitril/valsartan in the older population, in patients with renal dysfunction and potential barriers for the clinical adoption of sacubitril/valsartan should be carried out.

LIMITATIONS

This study has several limitations. Firstly, due to the retrospective nature of the study using electronic medical databases, there is potential for selection bias and unmeasured residual confounding. To minimize this potential prescribing bias arising from confounders between the treatment groups, IPTW was employed. The analysis was repeated by using multivariable Cox regression and PS matching that consistently support the robustness of the primary analysis. Secondly, since echocardiography information was not available from the study dataset, we could not distinguish the subtypes of heart failure. However, since sacubitril/valsartan is currently indicated only for symptomatic HFrEF management, patients with a prescription record of sacubitril/valsartan were taken to have a diagnosis of HFrEF. Future studies are warranted with long-term follow-up informing the safety and effectiveness of sacubitril/valsartan compared to other standard HFrEF treatment.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

This study provides evidence to support the effectiveness of sacubitril/valsartan in a cohort comprised of an older (mean age, 74 vs. 63.8 years in the PARADIGM-HF trial), more often women (44 vs. 22%) Asian population with heart failure (1). Despite the observed differences in patient characteristics between trial population and real-world population, sacubitril/valsartan was associated with better clinical outcomes in patients with heart failure compared with enalapril. Hence, our study indicates that benefits observed in PARADIGM-HF trial could be translatable to a representative population of Asian patients with heart failure.

Switching from ACEI or ARB to sacubitril/valsartan in stable HFrEF showed improvements in cardiac structure and function and reduction in healthcare utilization in primary care in recent prospective observational studies (31, 32). The lower risk of heart failure-related hospitalization and all-cause mortality remain consistent in the older population in our study. We recommend the initiation of sacubitril/valsartan in all patients eligible for ARNI with careful safety monitoring. Caution should be exercised in older patients during initiation and dose titration due to potential side effects, including systolic hypotension which may lead to falls.

REFERENCES

 McMurray JJ, Packer M, Desai AS, Gong J, Lefkowitz MP, Rizkala AR, et al. Angiotensin-neprilysin inhibition versus enalapril in heart failure. N Engl J Med. (2014) 371:993–1004. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1 409077

CONCLUSION

In Chinese patients with heart failure, sacubitril/valsartan users showed lower risks of heart failure-related hospitalization and all-cause mortality compared with enalapril users, including patients ≥ 65 years of age. Sacubitril/valsartan should be initiated in patients eligible for ARNI to obtain optimal pharmacological treatment. Further studies investigating the long-term safety and effectiveness of sacubitril/valsartan are needed.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due to the nature of sensitive electronic healthcare data. Requests to access these datasets should be directed to the corresponding author.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Hong Kong/Hospital Authority Hong Kong West Cluster (reference number: UW18-680). Since this is a retrospective study using electronic healthcare database, no informed consent was needed.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

EWC, ICKW, SP, XL, and EYFW conceptualized the study and methodology. EWC and ICKW provided resources and supervised the study. SP collected the data, conducted the formal analysis, and cross-checked by VY. SP and VY wrote the original draft. All authors critically reviewed and commented on all other drafts.

FUNDING

This work was supported by an educational grant from Novartis Hong Kong.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Ms Lisa Y Lam, DipHE Open, English Language and literature for proof-reading this manuscript.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcvm. 2020.602363/full#supplementary-material

- Desai AS, Claggett BL, Packer M, Zile MR, Rouleau JL, Swedberg K, et al. Influence of Sacubitril/Valsartan (LCZ696) on 30-Day Readmission After Heart Failure Hospitalization. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2016) 68:241– 48. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2016.04.047
- Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, Butler J, Casey DE Jr., Colvin MM, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/HFSA Focused Update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline

for the Management of Heart Failure: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Failure Society of America. *Circulation.* (2017) 136:e137-61. doi: 10.1161/CIR.000000000000509

- 4. Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, Bueno H, Cleland JGF, Coats AJS, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis treatment of acute chronic heart failure: The Task Force for the diagnosis treatment of acute chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC)Developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur Heart J.* (2016) 37:2129–200. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw128
- Rodrigues G, Tralhao A, Aguiar C, Freitas P, Ventosa A, Mendes M. Is the PARADIGM-HF cohort representative of the realworld heart failure patient population? *Rev Port Cardiol.* (2018) 37:491–96. doi: 10.1016/j.repce.2017.09.021
- Norberg H, Bergdahl E, Lindmark K. Eligibility of sacubitril-valsartan in a real-world heart failure population: a community-based single-centre study. ESC Heart Fail. (2018) 5:337–43. doi: 10.1002/ehf2.12251
- Heiat A, Gross CP, Krumholz HM. Representation of the elderly, women, and minorities in heart failure clinical trials. *Arch Intern Med.* (2002) 162:1682– 8. doi: 10.1001/archinte.162.15.1682
- Kristensen SL, Martinez F, Jhund PS, Arango JL, Belohlavek J, Boytsov S, et al. Geographic variations in the PARADIGM-HF heart failure trial. *Eur Heart J*. (2016) 37:3167–74. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw226
- Jhund PS, Fu M, Bayram E, Chen CH, Negrusz-Kawecka M, Rosenthal A, et al. Efficacy and safety of LCZ696 (sacubitril-valsartan) according to age: insights from PARADIGM-HF. *Eur Heart J.* (2015) 36:2576– 84. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv330
- Desai AS, McMurray JJ, Packer M, Swedberg K, Rouleau JL, Chen F, et al. Effect of the angiotensin-receptor-neprilysin inhibitor LCZ696 compared with enalapril on mode of death in heart failure patients. *Eur Heart J.* (2015) 36:1990–7. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv186
- Antol DD, Casebeer AW, DeClue RW, Stemkowski S, Russo PA. An early view of real-world patient response to Sacubitril/Valsartan: a retrospective study of patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. *Adv Ther.* (2018) 35:785–95. doi: 10.1007/s12325-018-0710-4
- Tan NY, Sangaralingham LR, Sangaralingham SJ, Yao X, Shah ND, Dunlay SM. Comparative effectiveness of Sacubitril-Valsartan versus ACE/ARB therapy in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. *JACC Heart Fail.* (2020) 8:43–54. doi: 10.1016/j.jchf.2019.08.003
- 13. Albert NM, Swindle JP, Buysman EK, Chang C. Lower hospitalization and healthcare costs with Sacubitril/Valsartan versus angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin-receptor blocker in a retrospective analysis of patients with heart failure. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2019) 8:e011089. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.118.011089
- Haddad H, Bergeron S, Ignaszewski A, Searles G, Rochdi D, Bastien N. The PARASAIL study- patient reported outcomes from the canadian real world experience use of Sacubitril/Valsartan in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction. *J Card Fail.* (2018) 24(8, Suppl.):S55– 6. doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2018.07.253
- Atherton JJ, Hayward CS, Wan Ahmad WA, Kwok B, Jorge J, Hernandez AF, et al. Patient characteristics from a regional multicenter database of acute decompensated heart failure in Asia Pacific (ADHERE International-Asia Pacific). J Card Fail. (2012) 18:82–8. doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2011.09.003
- Lam CS, Teng TK, Tay WT, Anand I, Zhang S, Shimizu W, et al. Regional and ethnic differences among patients with heart failure in Asia: the Asian sudden cardiac death in heart failure registry. *Eur Heart J.* (2016) 37:3141– 53. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw331
- 17. Dewan P, Jhund PS, Shen L, Petrie MC, Abraham WT, Atif Ali M, et al. Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: comparison of patient characteristics and clinical outcomes within Asia and between Asia, Europe and the Americas. *Eur J Heart Fail.* (2019) 21:577–87. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.1347
- Hospital Authority, Hong Kong. Clusters, Hospitals & Institutions 2018. (2018). Available from: http://www.ha.org.hk/visitor/template101.asp?Parent_ID= 10004&Content_ID=10036& (accessed August 20, 2018).
- Wong AY, Root A, Douglas IJ, Chui CS, Chan EW, Ghebremichael-Weldeselassie Y, et al. Cardiovascular outcomes associated with use of clarithromycin: population based study. *BMJ.* (2016) 352:h6926. doi: 10.1136/bmj.h6926

- Chan EW, Lau WC, Leung WK, Mok MT, He Y, Tong TS, et al. Prevention of dabigatran-related gastrointestinal bleeding with gastroprotective agents: a population-based study. *Gastroenterology.* (2015) 149:586–95 e3. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2015.05.002
- Lau WC, Chan EW, Cheung CL, Sing CW, Man KK, Lip GY, et al. Association between dabigatran vs warfarin and risk of osteoporotic fractures among patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *JAMA*. (2017) 317:1151– 8. doi: 10.1001/jama.2017.6912
- Curtis LH, Hammill BG, Eisenstein EL, Kramer JM, Anstrom KJ. Using inverse probability-weighted estimators in comparative effectiveness analyses with observational databases. *Med Care.* (2007) 45(10 Suppl. 2):S103– 7. doi: 10.1097/MLR.0b013e31806518ac
- 23. Stuart EA, Lee BK, Leacy FP. Prognostic score-based balance measures can be a useful diagnostic for propensity score methods in comparative effectiveness research. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (2013) 66(8 Suppl.):S84–90 e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2013.01.013
- Sturmer T, Wyss R, Glynn RJ, Brookhart MA. Propensity scores for confounder adjustment when assessing the effects of medical interventions using nonexperimental study designs. J Intern Med. (2014) 275:570– 80. doi: 10.1111/joim.12197
- DeVore AD, Hill CL, Thomas L, Sharma PP, Albert NM, Butler J, et al. Patient, provider, and practice characteristics associated with Sacubitril/Valsartan Use in the United States. *Circ Heart Fail.* (2018) 11:e005400. doi: 10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.118.005400
- 26. Chang HY, Feng AN, Fong MC, Hsueh CW, Lai WT, Huang KC, et al. Sacubitril/valsartan in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction patients: Real world experience on advanced chronic kidney disease, hypotension, and dose escalation. J Cardiol. (2019) 74:372–80. doi: 10.1016/j.jjcc.2019.03.010
- Lin AH, Chin JC, Sicignano NM, Evans AM. Repeat hospitalizations predict mortality in patients with heart failure. *Mil Med.* (2017) 182:e1932– 37. doi: 10.7205/MILMED-D-17-00017
- Sandhu AT, Ollendorf DA, Chapman RH, Pearson SD, Heidenreich PA. Cost-Effectiveness of Sacubitril-Valsartan in patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. Ann Intern Med. (2016) 165:681–9. doi: 10.7326/M16-0057
- Gan L, Langenickel T, Petruck J, Kode K, Rajman I, Chandra P, et al. Effects of age and sex on the pharmacokinetics of LCZ696, an angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitor. *J Clin Pharmacol.* (2016) 56:78–86. doi: 10.1002/ jcph.571
- Krittayaphong R, Karaketklang K, Yindeengam A, Janwanishstaporn S. Heart failure mortality compared between elderly and nonelderly Thai patients. *J Geriatr Cardiol.* (2018) 15:718–24. doi: 10.11909/j.issn.1671-5411.2018.12.006
- 31. Ganesananthan S, Shah N, Shah P, Elsayed H, Phillips J, Parkes A, et al. Real-world treatment switching to sacubitril/valsartan in patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: a cohort study. *Open Heart.* (2020) 7:e001305. doi: 10.1136/openhrt-2020-001305
- Dieterle T, Schaefer S, Meyer I, Ackermann G, Ahmed K, Hullin R. Introduction of sacubitril/valsartan in primary care follow-up of heart failure: a prospective observational study (THESEUS). *ESC Heart Fail*. (2020) 7:1626– 34. doi: 10.1002/ehf2.12716

Conflict of Interest: EWC has received research funding from Bayer, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Pfizer, and Takeda, for work unrelated to this study. ICKW has received research funding outside the submitted work from Amgen, Bayer, Bristol-Myers Squibb, GSK, and Janssen. XL has received a research grant from Janssen.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Pathadka, Yan, Li, Tse, Wan, Lau, Lau, Siu, Chan and Wong. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.