Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Reto Asmis, Wake Forest University, United States

REVIEWED BY

Chrystalle Katte Carreon, Boston Children's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, United States Hongzhou Duan, Peking University, China Hao Chen, Fudan University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Chengwei Zou zouchengwei@sdu.edu.cn Gang Zhang surgeonzg@outlook.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Cardio-Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

RECEIVED 24 July 2022 ACCEPTED 12 October 2022 PUBLISHED 31 October 2022

CITATION

Zhang S, Xu Z, Zou C and Zhang G (2022) Cardiac venous malformation concurrent with multiple hepatic venous malformations: A case report. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* 9:1001996. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.1001996

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Zhang, Xu, Zou and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

(CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Cardiac venous malformation concurrent with multiple hepatic venous malformations: A case report

Shijie Zhang^{1†}, Zhenqiang Xu^{1,2†}, Chengwei Zou^{1,2*} and Gang Zhang^{1,2*}

¹Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Shandong Provincial Hospital, Shandong University, Jinan, China, ²Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Shandong Provincial Hospital, Shandong First Medical University, Jinan, China

A 50-year-old woman who had previously undergone right radical mastectomy presented with chest tightness and shortness of breath after physical activities. A cardiac mass and multiple hepatic lesions were successively detected. We first performed hepatic puncture biopsy. Histopathologic examination confirmed that the multiple hepatic lesions were venous malformations. Based on the imaging findings and previous reports in the literature, we boldly speculated that the cardiac mass was also a venous malformation. The cardiac venous malformation was successfully resected, and the postoperative pathology confirmed our suspicion.

KEYWORDS

cardiac, cardiac venous malformation, hepatic venous malformation, cardiac metastases, tricuspid regurgitation

Introduction

Cardiac cavernous hemangiomas, which should be now classified as venous malformations (VMs) (1), account for 5 to 10% of benign mass-forming cardiac lesions/tumors (2). Most cardiac VMs are solitary and concurrence at multiple locations is rarely reported (3). A literature review reveals cardiac VM coexisting VMs at other locations in the liver, lung, pleura, and thymus (4). Here, we report a rare right ventricular VM concurrent with multiple hepatic VMs. To our knowledge, only several cases of cardiac VMs have been reported coexisting with VMs in the liver (4–6). This case describes how we first identify the extracardiac VMs and then associate it with the intracardiac VM in a patient with breast cancer history. The diagnostic insight and experience are valuable for physician communication and learning.

Case presentation

A 50-year-old female patient was admitted with chest tightness and shortness of breath after activities. The patient denied previous cardiovascular antecedents and family history. She reported a history of right radical mastectomy performed 10 years ago. She did not receive radiotherapy, chemotherapy, or endocrine treatment after the operation. On admission, the patient's body temperature was normal (36.5°C) with a blood pressure of 139/70 mmHg, and a heart rate of 84 bpm. The patient was 158 cm tall and weighed 61.3 kg.

Physical examination revealed a grade III/VI systolic murmur at the left sternal margin. Jugular venous distention, generalized edema, and shifting dullness indicated severe right heart failure. The liver was enlarged, exceeding one transverse finger below the costal margin. By palpation, the liver edge felt slightly firm. There was no tenderness or rebound pain in the abdomen. The patient did not complain of other symptoms. The physical examination showed no positive signs of other systems.

Electrocardiography showed sinus rhythm, right axis deviation, and incomplete right bundle branch block (Supplementary Figure 1).

Laboratory examination

Routine blood examination: Hemoglobin level was 147 g/L; hematocrit level was 43.3%; and serum leukocyte and platelet levels were 3.93×10^9 /L and 175×10^9 /L, respectively. The biochemical investigation showed no alteration in the following serum levels: liver enzymes, albumin, urea, creatinine, potassium, glucose, and sodium. Direct and indirect bilirubin levels were elevated (20.89 and 33.88 µmol/L, respectively). Myocardial enzymes and myocardial markers including creatine kinase (CK), creatine kinase isoenzyme mass (CK-MB), myoglobin (MYO), and high-sensitivity troponin T (HS-TnT) were normal. B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) level was 3,732.00 pg/mL. The blood tests for tumor markers were not evident except for an elevated CA125 level of 240 U/mL.

Imaging results

Echocardiography revealed a large solid mass (4.82 cm \times 4.48 cm \times 6.42 cm) (**Figure 1A**), with right ventricular outflow tract obstruction and secondary severe tricuspid regurgitation (Video 1). No abnormal echoes were detected in the pericardium or pericardial cavity. Computed tomography (CT) scan revealed right ventricular mass (**Figure 1B**), along with multiple occupancies in the liver. A diagnosis of breast cancer metastasis was suspected at first. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed to further define the mass of these two organs. The cardiac mass

(Figure 1C) showed iso-intensity on T1-weighted images and hyper-intensity on T2-weighted images compared with the normal myocardium of the right ventricle. The mass showed diffuse contrast uptake with gadolinium enhancement. Further, evaluation of the liver revealed multiple mass lesions with varied sizes showing progressive enhancement, indicating a typical VM (Figure 1C). Notably, the lesions of two organs were not detected in previous assessments of breast cancer.

Brain MRI and abdominal ultrasound revealed no other lesions. Coronary angiography (CA) revealed no obvious stenosis in the coronary arteries and no discernible tumorfeeding artery was detected.

Management

Although homologous multiorgan lesions were suspected, they were not determined as benign or malignant. The patient underwent hepatic puncture biopsy under ultrasound localization. Results of the pathological examinations revealed hepatic VM (**Figure 2A**). Given her medical history and imaging findings, the diagnosis of cardiac VM and multiple VMs was highly suspected. We decided to perform a resection of the cardiac mass.

Before surgery, our team administered diuresis, heartrate reduction, and nutritional myocardial therapy. The patient's symptoms and cardiac function improved significantly. Complete excision of the cardiac mass (Figure 2B) was performed under standard cardiopulmonary bypass. The pedicle of the mass was attached to the interventricular septum, near the anterior wall of the right ventricle. The capsule was intact, and there was no adhesion or fusion with the tricuspid valve and chordae tendinae. We completely excised the pedicle from the myocardium while preserving the ventricular structure, as well as the free wall of the right ventricle. Upon exploration, the valve annulus of the tricuspid valve was enlarged. We believe that the cardiac lesion blocked the right ventricular outflow tract, causing dilatation of the right ventricle and enlargement of the valve annulus, resulting in functional tricuspid insufficiency. We used the 28 # Edwards tricuspid annuloplasty ring to perform tricuspid valvuloplasty. Pathological examination demonstrated thinwalled interanastomosing channels lined by endothelial cells. There is no atypia, necrosis or active mitosis (Figure 2C). By immunohistochemistry, the lining cells are positive for CD31 and CD34, confirming endothelial differentiation. Based on the histological and immunohistochemical features, we diagnosed the cardiac mass as a VM. The patient was discharged 6 days after the operation.

Although multiple VMs in the liver were also detected, they were small in size. Nonetheless, the patient complained of no abdominal symptoms. The liver enlargement disappeared, and her liver function was normal. We recommended a

Zhang et al.



FIGURE 1

(A) Preoperative transthoracic echocardiography showing a large mass measuring $4.82 \text{ cm} \times 4.48 \text{ cm} \times 6.42 \text{ cm}$ attached to the anterior wall of the right ventricle, with a moderate to strong and relatively homogeneous echo. (B) The computed tomography scan of the patient's chest showing a right ventricular mass (arrow) in the right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT). RA: right atrium; RV: right ventricle. (C) Reconstructed magnetic resonance imaging of the patient's chest and abdomen showed a cardiac mass concurrent with multiple hepatic lesions. The right ventricular mass and the multiple liver lesions are indicated by a star and three arrows, respectively.



FIGURE 2

(A) Pathological examination of the liver mass showing multiple irregular dilatated vascular spaces and layers of endothelial cells without signs of malignancy (Hematoxylin and eosin, \times 200). (B) Intraoperative photograph of the excised specimen appeared as a cystic mass with a broad base and intact capsule. (C) Pathological evaluation of the cardiac mass (Hematoxylin and eosin, \times 100).

regular follow-up. A telephone follow-up was conducted 6 months and 1 year after surgery. The patient had no discomfort and her tolerance to activity was good. In addition, cardiac echocardiography revealed no tumor recurrence or tricuspid regurgitation. No significant progression of the hepatic VMs was detected.

Discussion

Diagnosis of the cardiac VM is aided by imaging techniques. Echocardiography has become an important tool for the initial identification of cardiac VMs. Contrast-enhanced myocardial echocardiography has evolved rapidly in recent years and utilized in the diagnosis of cardiac vascular malformation (7). CT and MRI can be used to evaluate the size, location, extracardiac extension, and the degree of myocardial involvement of the malformation. In addition, MRI in this study suggested that the lesions were of vascular origin, which facilitated the diagnosis and differential diagnosis. Typically, cardiac VM shows isointense and high signal intensity compared with myocardium in T1- and T2-weighted images, respectively (5, 8, 9). Homogeneous enhancement after contrast infusion is another typical manifestation of cardiac VM (8–10). The high vascularity of cardiac VM is of great diagnostic value clinically. Besides, CA can be used to evaluate the presence of feeding vessels. However, imaging examination only provides limited diagnostic clues for cardiac VM. Biopsy is needed for a definitive diagnosis.

In this case, the patient was first diagnosed with a right ventricular mass, and further examination revealed concurrent multiple liver masses. Given that right ventricular occupying lesions are generally malignant, and both cardiac (11) and hepatic (12) metastases of breast cancer have been reported, it was initially believed that the cardiac mass, in this case, was malignant. However, as the diagnosis progressed, we assumed that the cardiac lesion was more likely to be benign. First, the mass was confined to the right ventricle, without obvious myocardial infiltration or invasion of other cardiac chambers, pericardium, or pericardial cavity. Both imaging and pathological findings showed that multiple liver lesions were VMs. The possibility of multiple metastases from breast cancer was ruled out. Finally, as mentioned above, cases of cardiac VM concurrent with hepatic VM have been reported in the literature. Despite the patient's history of breast cancer as a confounding factor, preoperatively, we believed that the cardiac mass was likely consistent with the nature of the lesions in the liver, which was also a VM. Total resection is possible in most cardiac VMs, but a few cases cannot be excised (10). The diagnosis of previously reported cases of cardiac VMs was almost established by biopsy of surgically excised specimens, suggesting that the benign or malignant nature of the lesion was uncertain before surgery. Our team first identified the multiple liver mass as VMs pathologically Combined with the imaging findings, the mass in the heart was suspected as a VM. The postoperative pathology confirmed our suspicion.

The cause of VMs remains unclear. Previous studies have shown that somatic mutations in TIE2, PIK3CA, MAP3K3 and other genes lead to VMs (13–17). Although abnormal veins are present at birth, deep lesions often grow within the body and remain undetected until symptoms appear (18). VMs can progress rapidly in response to changes in hormone levels (during puberty or pregnancy), infection, trauma, and inappropriate treatment (19, 20). However, the etiology of cardiac VMs is rarely reported. In our case, the lesions of two organs were not detected in previous assessments of breast cancer. The patient did not receive chemotherapy or endocrine therapy after surgery for breast cancer. We speculate that the progression of VMs may be related to her perimenopausal period.

In summary, we reported a rare case of right ventricular VM concurrent with multiple hepatic VMs. The decision to operate the patient was based on relatively clear diagnosis of the nature of the lesion. In addition to complete resection of the right ventricular VM, we also performed a successful repair of the tricuspid valve. Long-term follow-up is required, especially to evaluate recurrence and right heart function.

Despite its rarity, clinicians need to be aware that cardiac VMs are occasionally associated with extracardiac VMs. However, any underlying mechanism is unknown.

Patient perspective

"As a patient, I am glad that the cause of the disease has been found and solved. I underwent radical surgery for breast cancer 10 years ago. In recent years, I haven't seen my breast surgeon again. At first, I thought the breast cancer had returned and metastasized, which frightened me. Fortunately, both the cardiac and hepatic tumors were benign. I am very grateful to the doctors and nurses who treated me."

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

Ethics statement

Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article. Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for the publication of this case report.

Author contributions

SZ and ZX cared for the patient and wrote the final manuscript. CZ and GZ revised it critically. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by the Nature Science Foundation of Shandong Province (ZR2020MH044 to CZ).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the

References

1. Kunimoto K, Yamamoto Y, Jinnin M. ISSVA classification of vascular anomalies and molecular biology. Int J Mol Sci. (2022) 23:2358.

2. Sun, SB, Fan G, Farhaj Z, Hongxin L. A giant cardiac hemangioma encroaching on the right coronary artery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* (2022) S0003-4975(22)00470-2.

3. Burke A, Tavora F. The 2015 WHO classification of tumors of the heart and pericardium. *J Thorac Oncol.* (2016) 11:441–52.

4. Wang C, Chen H, Sun L, Mei Y. Cardiac cavernous hemangioma coexisting with pulmonary cavernous hemangiomas and giant hepatic hemangioma. *Ann Thorac Surg.* (2017) 103:e149–52. doi: 10.1016/j.athoracsur.2016.07.024

5. Shojaeifard M, Saedi S, Alizadeh Ghavidel A, Karimlu MR, Kasaei M, Reza Pouraliakbar H, et al. Concomitant cardiac and hepatic hemangiomas. *Echocardiography.* (2020) 37:462–4.

6. Kan CD, Yae CT, Yang YJ. Left ventricular haemangioma with papillary endothelial hyperplasia and liver involvement. *Heart.* (2004) 90:e49. doi: 10.1136/ hrt.2004.040154

7. Xiachuan Q, Xuebin L, Yongjie W. Case of cardiac hemangioma diagnosed by myocardial contrast echocardiography. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging.* (2019) 12:e008811.

8. Domoto S, Kimura F, Uwabe K, Koike H, Tabata M, Iguchi A, et al. Diagnostic features of cardiac cavernous hemangioma in the right ventricle on magnetic resonance imaging. *Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* (2017) 65:40–3. doi: 10.1007/s11748-015-0567-2

9. Hrabak-Paar M, Hübner M, Stern-Padovan R, Lušiæ M. Hemangioma of the interatrial septum: CT and MRI features. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* (2011) 34(Suppl. 2):S90–3. doi: 10.1007/s00270-010-0062-1

10. Li W, Teng P, Xu H, Ma L, Ni Y. Cardiac hemangioma: a comprehensive analysis of 200 cases. *Ann Thorac Surg.* (2015) 99:2246–52. doi: 10.1016/j. athoracsur.2015.02.064

reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fcvm.2022.1001996/full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1 The electrocardiography result.

11. Chae EY, Kim JE, Kim HH. Cardiac metastasis from breast cancer as an initial focus of recurrence. *Breast J.* (2015) 21:433–5. doi: 10.1111/tbj.12429

12. Disibio G, French SW. Metastatic patterns of cancers: results from a large autopsy study. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* (2008) 132:931–9.

13. Castel P, Carmona FJ, Grego-Bessa J, Berger MF, Viale A, Anderson KV, et al. Somatic PIK3CA mutations as a driver of sporadic venous malformations. *Sci Transl Med.* (2016) 8:332ra42. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf1164

14. Castillo SD, Tzouanacou E, Zaw-Thin M, Berenjeno IM, Parker VE, Chivite I, et al. Somatic activating mutations in Pik3ca cause sporadic venous malformations in mice and humans. *Sci Transl Med.* (2016) 8:332ra43.

15. Couto JA, Vivero MP, Kozakewich HP, Taghinia AH, Mulliken JB, Warman ML, et al. A somatic MAP3K3 mutation is associated with verrucous venous malformation. *Am J Hum Genet.* (2015) 96:480–6. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2015.01.007

16. Limaye N, Kangas J, Mendola A, Godfraind C, Schlögel MJ, Helaers R, et al. Somatic activating PIK3CA mutations cause venous malformation. *Am J Hum Genet.* (2015) 97:914–21.

17. Limaye N, Wouters V, Uebelhoer M, Tuominen M, Wirkkala R, Mulliken JB, et al. Somatic mutations in angiopoietin receptor gene TEK cause solitary and multiple sporadic venous malformations. *Nat Genet.* (2009) 41:118–24. doi: 10.1038/ng.272

18. McCuaig CC. Update on classification and diagnosis of vascular malformations. *Curr Opin Pediatr.* (2017) 29:448–54.

19. Wassef M, Blei F, Adams D, Alomari A, Baselga E, Berenstein A, et al. Vascular anomalies classification: recommendations from the international society for the study of vascular anomalies. *Pediatrics*. (2015) 136:e203–14. doi: 10.1542/peds. 2014-3673

20. Seront E, Vikkula M, Boon LM. Venous malformations of the head and neck. Otolaryngol Clin North Am. (2018) 51:173-84.